OPEN

Gestational exposure to PM_{2.5}, NO₂, and sex steroid hormones

Identifying critical windows of exposure in the Rochester UPSIDE Cohort

Mariah Kahwaji[®]^a, Luke Duttweiler[®]^b, Sally W. Thurston[®]^{b,c}, Donald Harrington^b, Richard K. Miller^{c,d}, Susan K. Murphy[®]^e, Christina Wang^f, Jessica Brunner^d, Yihui Ge^g, Yan Lin^g, Philip K. Hopke^{®a,h}, Thomas G. O'Connor^{d,i,j,k}, Junfeng J. Zhang^g, David Q. Rich^{a,c,l,*}, Emily S. Barrett^{a,d,m}

Background: Sex steroid hormones are critical for maintaining pregnancy and optimal fetal development. Air pollutants are potential endocrine disruptors that may disturb sex steroidogenesis during pregnancy, potentially leading to adverse health outcomes. **Methods:** In the Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes Understanding Pregnancy Signals and Infant Development pregnancy cohort (Rochester, NY), sex steroid concentrations were collected at study visits in early-, mid-, and late-pregnancy in 299 participants. Since these visits varied by the gestational age at blood draw, values were imputed at 14, 22, and 30 weeks gestation. Daily NO₂ and PM_{2.5} concentrations were estimated using random forest models, with daily concentrations from each 1-km² grid containing the subject's residence. Associations between gestational week mean NO₂ and PM_{2.5} concentrations and sex steroid concentrations were examined utilizing distributed lag nonlinear models.

Results: Each interquartile range (IQR = 9 ppb) increase in NO₂ during weeks 0–5 was associated with higher early-pregnancy total testosterone levels (cumulative β = 0.45 ln[ng/dl]; 95% Cl = 0.07, 0.83), while each IQR increase in NO₂ during weeks 12–14 was associated with lower early-pregnancy total testosterone levels (cumulative β = -0.27 ln[ng/dl]; 95% Cl = -0.53, -0.01). Similar NO₂ increases during gestational weeks 0–14 were associated with higher late-pregnancy estradiol concentrations (cumulative β = 0.29 ln[pg/ml]; 95% Cl = 0.10, 0.49), while each IQR increase in NO₂ concentrations during gestational weeks 22–30 was associated with lower late-pregnancy estradiol concentrations (cumulative β = -0.18 ln[pg/ml]; 95% Cl = -0.34, -0.02). No associations with PM_{2.5} were observed, except for an IQR increase in PM_{2.5} concentrations (IQR = 4 µg/m³) during gestational weeks 5–11 which was associated with lower late-pregnancy estroil levels (cumulative β = -0.16 ln[ng/ml]; 95% Cl = -0.31, -0.00).

Conclusions: Residential NO₂ exposure was associated with altered sex steroid hormone concentrations during pregnancy with some indication of potential compensatory mechanisms.

Keywords: Air pollution; NO2; PM2.5; Sex steroid hormones; Pregnancy; Distributed lag model; Cohort

^aDepartment of Public Health Sciences, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York; Department of Biostatistics and Computational Biology, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York: Department of Environmental Medicine, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York; ^aDepartment of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York; Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Duke University Medical Center; Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, NC; Division of Endocrinology, Department of Medicine and Clinical and Translational Science Institute, The Lundquist Institute at Harbor-University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) Medical Center, Torrance, California; ^gNicholas School of the Environment & Duke Global Health Institute, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina; hInstitute for a Sustainable Environment, Clarkson University, Potsdam, New York: 'Department of Psychology, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York; Department of Psychiatry, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, New York; ^kDepartment of Neuroscience, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, New York; 'Department of Medicine, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, Rochester, New York; "Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Rutgers University School of Public Health, Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences Institute, Piscataway, New Jersey

Funding is provided by Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (R01HD083369), NIH Office of the Director grants (UG3OD023349; UH3OD023349), the Wynne Center for Family Research (no grant number), the National Institute of Environmental Health (Grant #5R01ES027495, P30ES001247, P30ES005022, and T32ES007271), and the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health (CTSA award number UL1TR002001).

Data and code used in these analyses may be provided upon reasonable request and IRB approval.

SDC Supplemental digital content is available through direct URL citations in the HTML and PDF versions of this article (www.environepidem.com).

Introduction

Sex steroids play critical roles in the maintenance of pregnancy, placental function, and fetal development.^{1,2} Sex steroid hormones contribute to optimal fetal growth and development by promoting a uterine microenvironment that supports increased uterine size and successful placental development.¹ Throughout gestation, steroidogenesis contributes to vascularization, which promotes intrauterine nutrient transfer and supports the evolving physiological demands of pregnancy.^{1,2} Estrogens, including estrone (E₁), estradiol (E₂), and estriol (E₃), are produced primarily by the placenta during pregnancy, with some E₁ and E₂ additionally produced by the ovaries.³ Testosterone is a potent androgen that is also produced by the placenta during pregnancy and has been suggested to regulate fetal growth processes.⁴ Sex steroid concentrations vary across pregnancy with

What this study adds

Few epidemiologic studies have assessed the association between air pollution exposure and maternal sex steroid hormone concentrations during pregnancy. This study observed that NO_2 concentrations during several gestational weeks of pregnancy were associated with maternal sex steroid hormone concentrations using distributed lag nonlinear modeling. The results of this study suggest that future experimental and epidemiologic studies are needed to examine potential compensatory mechanisms underlying the association between air pollution and sex steroid hormone concentrations during pregnancy. substantial estrogen increases in both early and late pregnancy, whereas androgens gradually increase across pregnancy with a peak in concentrations during late pregnancy.^{5,6} Disruption in these temporal estrogen and androgen patterns has previously been associated with altered fetal growth, sex-differentiated brain development, and ultimately, child behavioral changes.^{4,7-9} Thus, it is important to understand if external factors predict adverse outcomes.

Gestational air pollution exposure has previously been associated with abnormal fetal growth, low birth weight, preterm birth, and disrupted thyroid hormone homeostasis, suggesting potential endocrine-disrupting properties.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ Endocrinedisrupting chemicals, possibly including particulate matter (PM) and nitric dioxide (NO₂), are thought to induce adverse perinatal outcomes by mimicking the properties of endogenous hormones, disrupting the endocrine system, and inducing systemic inflammation.^{15,16} Limited evidence from a few studies suggests that PM exposure during pregnancy can act as both an agonist and antagonist of estrogen and androgen receptors, thereby disrupting endogenous levels of sex steroid hormones.¹⁶ The potential endocrine-disrupting properties of air pollution are further supported by recent epidemiological studies that suggest gestational air pollution exposure is associated with disrupted thyroid hormone homeostasis in mothers and offspring.¹²⁻¹⁴ While the existing literature supports that ambient air pollution may have endocrine-disrupting properties, further studies are needed to understand the underlying mechanisms.

Although endocrine-disrupting chemicals have been associated with altered sex steroidogenesis during pregnancy in many studies,17-20 few studies have examined the association between air pollution exposure and sex steroid hormones during pregnancy.²¹⁻²³ Plusquin et al²¹ measured ambient air pollution across the gestational period and examined associations with steroid hormones related to the androgenic pathway in umbilical cord blood, reporting that each 7.96 µg/m³ increase in PM25 concentrations during the third trimester of pregnancy was associated with 23% higher cord blood levels of 17α hydroxypregnenolone, a precursor to androgens and estrogens.²¹ Further, each 0.58 µg/m³ increase in black carbon levels during the first trimester of pregnancy was associated with 11% lower cord blood levels of androstenedione, a precursor to testosterone.21 Colicino et al22 measured ambient air pollution during the preconception and early prenatal periods (up to 20 weeks gestation) and maternal sex steroid hormone concentrations at an average of 29.5 weeks gestation.²² They reported that average PM₂₅ concentrations during the first 20 weeks of pregnancy were positively associated with androgen metabolites during late pregnancy.²² Experimental evidence from animal models further suggests that PM_{2.5} exposure may reduce testosterone and estrogen levels.24,25

Limited evidence suggests that gestational air pollution exposure is associated with altered sex steroid hormones. However, the directions of the association have varied, and few studies

Environmental Epidemiology (2025) 9:e361

Received 13 August, 2024; Accepted 10 December, 2024

Published online 15 January 2025

DOI: 10.1097/EE9.000000000000361

have leveraged serial measurements of air pollutants and hormones to more fully understand associations across gestation.^{21,22} Building on that limited literature, we examined the associations between gestational week mean PM_{2.5} and NO₂ concentrations, and sex steroid hormones in a prospective pregnancy cohort in Rochester, NY, and used distributed lag nonlinear models to examine critical windows of exposure for sex steroid hormones measured in early-, mid-, and late-pregnancy. Based on limited experimental and observational evidence, we hypothesized that gestational week PM_{2.5} and NO₂ concentrations would be negatively associated with both estrogens (estrone, estradiol, and estriol) and free and total testosterone across pregnancy.

Methods

Study Population

The Environmental influences on Child Health Outcomes Understanding Pregnancy Signals and Infant Development (ECHO-UPSIDE) pregnancy cohort has been described previously.26 Briefly, pregnant women were recruited between December 2015 and April 2019 from clinics associated with the University of Rochester Medical Center in Rochester, NY. Women were eligible to enroll in the study if they had a singleton pregnancy with a gestational age of less than 14 weeks, there was no history of known substance abuse problems or psychotic illness, and they were able to communicate in English. Women were excluded if they had major endocrine disorders (e.g., polycystic ovary syndrome) or significant obstetric problems likely to lead to pregnancy loss at the time of enrollment. The original ECHO-UPSIDE cohort included 326 women who met these inclusion/exclusion criteria. Of these women, 299 had at least one measurement of sex steroids during gestation and were included in the current analysis (Supplemental Figure 1, http://links.lww.com/EE/A324). All women provided written informed consent, and this study was approved by the University of Rochester Research Subjects Review Board (RSRB#2064).

Exposure measures

The methods used to estimate daily PM_{2.5} concentrations have been previously described,²⁷ with the same methods used to estimate NO₂ concentrations. Briefly, daily PM_{2.5} and NO₂ concentrations were estimated using random forest models with a spatial resolution of 1 × 1 km. Daily PM2.5 and NO2 concentration data were obtained from regulatory monitoring sites from the US Environmental Protection Agency's Air Quality System (AQS, https://www.epa.gov/aqs) in New York State from 2015 to 2020. Random forest models were developed to fit the associations between AQS measurements and potential contributors to air pollution, such as land use areas, traffic intensity indicators, population statistics, and meteorological variables, with high predictive performance (PM_{2.5}: external validation $r^2 = 0.65$, root mean square error = $2.96 \text{ }\mu\text{g/m}^3$; NO₂: external validation $r^2 = 0.043$, root mean square error = 4.2 ppb).²⁷ Each participant's address at recruitment was geocoded and then matched to the 1 km² grid containing their residence. Daily PM_{2.5} and NO₂ concentrations from that grid were then used for each day during the subject's pregnancy. Daily concentrations were averaged to provide gestational week average concentrations for each participant in the statistical analyses described below. Gestational age was determined using the best dating as measured by crownrump length or date of the last menstrual period.

Outcome measures

Sex steroid hormones were measured as previously described.^{18,28} Blood samples were collected from pregnant women at study visits during early-, mid-, and late-pregnancy. After processing,

^{*}Corresponding Author. Address: Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, 265 Crittenden Boulevard, CU 420644, Rochester, NY 14642. E-mail: David_rich@urmc. rochester.edu (D.Q. Rich).

Copyright © 2025 The Authors. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of The Environmental Epidemiology. All rights reserved. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

serum aliquots were stored at -80 °C at the University of Rochester Medical Center. Serum samples were shipped on dry ice to the Lundquist Institute at Harbor-University of California, Los Angeles Medical Center where the steroids were measured using liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). The calibration standards for testosterone had a linear response (range = 2.0–2000 ng/dL) and an accuracy of 100-113%. Total testosterone had a limit of quantification of 2 ng/dL. Free testosterone was measured using an equilibrium dialysis with labeled testosterone. Estrone (E_1) , estradiol (E_2) , and estriol (E_3) were measured using a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer in the negative mode using multiple-reactionmonitoring. Estrone and estradiol had linear calibration curves (range = 2-2000 pg/ml) with a lower limit of quantification of 2.0 pg/ml. For estriol, the calibration curve was linear (range = 50-100 pg/ml) with a lower limit of quantification of 50 pg/ml. The accuracy for estrone was between 91.9% and 101.2%, estradiol was between 93.9% and 100.3%, and estriol was between 87.2% and 104.3%.

Covariates

Covariates were selected a priori from available clinical and sociodemographic variables that were thought to be confounders of the association or predictors of sex steroid hormone concentrations, based on the prior literature.^{21,22,28} Sociodemographic information, such as maternal age (years), race and ethnicity (non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, and other), any Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) use during pregnancy (yes/no), and maternal education (high school degree or less, some college or college graduate, and higher than college) were collected at baseline. Clinical data, including parity (nulliparous or multiparous), earliest pregnancy body mass index (BMI) (kg/m²), fetal sex, and season of birth were abstracted from medical records. Additional details about the collection of covariates have been described previously.²⁶ Variables were ultimately adjusted for in the models if they were included in the subset that minimized the cross-validated mean squared error when predicting mid-pregnancy total testosterone or estradiol (Supplemental Tables 1 and 2, http://links.lww.com/ EE/A324).

Statistical analysis

Distributions of gestational week PM2, and NO2 concentrations in early-, mid-, and late-pregnancy were calculated, followed by estimation of interquartile ranges (IQR), which were used to scale associations as described in greater detail below. Sex steroid hormones were log-transformed due to non-normality, and distributions of each in early-, mid-, and late-pregnancy were calculated. Because postconceptual age at blood serum collection varied by participant within early-, mid-, and latepregnancy, sex steroid hormone concentrations were imputed at 14-, 22-, and 30-weeks' gestation for analyses of the three pregnancy periods. Imputation used linear interpolation between two measured values from the participant when at least one measurement was within 10 weeks of the target gestational age. When a value was measured only before or after the target gestation age, a value was imputed based on linear extrapolation using data from other participants, as long as the closest measurement was within 4 weeks of the gestational age.

The associations between NO₂ and sex steroid hormones measured in early-, mid-, and late-pregnancy were separately analyzed using distributed lag nonlinear models (DLNM).²⁹ We used a model that constrains the exposure effect at each gestational week to be linear but allows the lag effect across all gestational weeks included in the model to be nonlinear, termed a distributed lag model.^{29,30} The estimation of the DLNM utilized penalized cubic regression splines to allow for nonlinearity in the lag dimension. Smoothness was induced with a penalty

defined on the second derivative of the function, where the penalty parameter was selected by the model software.³¹ We set the initial degrees of freedom for the spline on the lag dimension to 7. However, the penalty parameter reduces the degrees of freedom based on the data and model fit, so the equivalent degrees of freedom for each model fit could be substantially smaller. For our early-pregnancy analyses, each hormone at 14 weeks gestation was fit in a separate DLNM model against NO₂ concentrations from weeks 0 to week 14. For each hormone, the model provides estimates of the increase or decrease in the hormone associated with each IQR increase (9 ppb) in gestational week NO₂ concentration (e.g., NO₂ in gestational week 0, 1,... 14), where the week-specific estimates are obtained from the penalized DLNM. We adjusted for maternal age (years), race and ethnicity (non-Hispanic Black, non-Hispanic White, Hispanic, Other), fetal sex (male, female), highest level of maternal education (high school or less, some college or college graduate, and higher than college education), WIC use during pregnancy (yes, no), parity (nulliparous, multiparous), season of birth, and prepregnancy BMI (kg/m²) in all models. For any set of gestational weeks where NO₂ concentrations were significant predictors of the hormone, we then estimated the cumulative difference in that hormone (and its 95% confidence interval [CI]) associated with each IQR increase in NO, concentration across those weeks. We also estimated the cumulative increase/decrease in the hormone associated with all the NO, gestational weeks across the time period included in the model (and its 95% CI) regardless of statistical significance. We then re-ran models for each combination of pollutant (PM2,5, NO2), sex steroid (free testosterone, total testosterone, estradiol, and estriol), and pregnancy time point (week 14, 22, and 30) (N = 299). The slopes associated with $PM_{2.5}$ were based on its IQR of 4 µg/m³.

Additionally, to examine potential sex-specific associations, we re-ran models separately for those pregnancies with a male or female fetus. To examine if our findings were robust to pregnancy complications, we re-ran models excluding individuals with pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes, preterm birth (gestational age <37 weeks), and miscarriage (n = 258). We then compared these findings to the primary analyses described above. All analyses were performed using R version 4.3.2, and the MGCV and DLNM packages.

Results

Participants were predominantly non-Hispanic white (55%), were on average overweight (prepregnancy BMI = 28.1 kg/m^2) in early pregnancy, with most not reporting smoking (7.4%) or any alcohol consumption (3.7%) during pregnancy (Table 1). Women in the cohort were mostly multiparous (66%), and the majority did not use WIC (56%), public assistance (67%), or Medicaid (53%) during pregnancy. There was a relatively equal distribution of fetal sex in this cohort.

The distributions of $PM_{2.5}$, NO_2 , and imputed sex steroid concentrations are summarized in Table 2. Distributions of $PM_{2.5}$ and NO_2 concentrations were similar across gestation but were only weakly correlated with each other at any given week during pregnancy (r < 0.26). NO_2 concentrations were highly correlated across gestation (r > 0.66), but $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were not (r < 0.33) (Supplemental Table 3, http://links.lww.com/ EE/A324). Logarithm-transformed total testosterone levels and free testosterone levels were highly correlated across 14-, 22and 30-weeks' gestation (r > 0.73; Supplemental Table 4, http:// links.lww.com/EE/A324). Estrone and estradiol concentrations were also highly correlated across the three gestational ages ($r_{estrone}$ >0.82 and $r_{estradio}$ >0.76). Estriol concentrations were at best moderately correlated across gestation (r < 0.49).

Patterns of the shape of the association and the identified susceptible windows were similar for total and free testosterone levels across gestation. Generally, NO₂ concentrations were positively related to testosterone levels during early pregnancy and

Table 1.

Characteristics of the study participants with at least one measurement of sex steroid concentrations $(n = 299)^a$

Maternal age (years) 28.9 (4.7) Maternal prepregnancy BMI (kg/m²) 28.1 (7.0) Parity 102 (34.1%) Multiparous 107 (65.9%) Maternal race/ethnicity 197 (65.9%) Maternal race/ethnicity 166 (55.5%) Non-Hispanic Black 76 (25.4%) Hispanic 32 (10.7%) Other 25 (8.4%) Maternal education 114 (38.1%) Some college or college graduate 112 (37.5%) Higher than college education 71 (23.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 26 (84.9%) Yes 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 22 (7.4%) No 26 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WC use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Pu	Characteristic	N (%) or Mean (SD)
Maternal prepregnancy BMI (kg/m²) 28.1 (7.0) Parity 102 (34.1%) Nulliparous 197 (65.9%) Maternal race/ethnicity 166 (55.5%) Non-Hispanic White 166 (55.5%) Non-Hispanic Black 76 (25.4%) Hispanic 32 (10.7%) Other 25 (8.4%) Maternal education 114 (38.1%) Some college or college graduate 112 (37.5%) Higher than college education 71 (23.7%) Missing 2 (0.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 246 (82.3%) Yes 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WC use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 141 (47.2%) No 168 (56.2%)	Maternal age (years)	28.9 (4.7)
Party 102 (34.1%) Nulliparous 197 (65.9%) Maternal race/ethnicity 166 (55.5%) Non-Hispanic White 166 (55.5%) Non-Hispanic Black 76 (25.4%) Hispanic 32 (10.7%) Other 25 (8.4%) Maternal education 114 (38.1%) Some college or college graduate 112 (37.5%) High school or less than high school 114 (38.1%) Some college or college graduate 112 (37.5%) Higher than college education 71 (23.7%) Missing 2 (0.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 2 Yes 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 22 (7.4%) No 168 (56.2%) Nissing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) No 168 (56.2%)	Maternal prepregnancy BMI (kg/m ²)	28.1 (7.0)
Nulliparous 102 (34.1%) Multiparous 197 (65.9%) Maternal race/ethnicity 166 (55.5%) Non-Hispanic White 166 (55.5%) Non-Hispanic Black 76 (25.4%) Hispanic 32 (10.7%) Other 25 (8.4%) Maternal education 114 (38.1%) Some college or college graduate 112 (37.5%) Higher than college education 71 (23.7%) Missing 2 (0.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy Yes Yes 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy Yes Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy Yes Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) WIC use during pregnancy Yes Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing	Parity	
Multiparous 197 (65.9%) Maternal race/ethnicity 166 (55.5%) Non-Hispanic Mite 76 (25.4%) Hispanic 32 (10.7%) Other 25 (8.4%) Maternal education 114 (38.1%) High school or less than high school 114 (38.1%) Some college or college graduate 112 (37.5%) Higher than college education 71 (23.7%) Missing 2 (0.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 2 Yes 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 2 Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 11 (3.7%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 11 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 199 (66.6%) Yes 68 (22.7%)	Nulliparous	102 (34.1%)
Maternal race/ethnicity 166 (55.5%) Non-Hispanic White 76 (25.4%) Hispanic 32 (10.7%) Other 25 (8.4%) Maternal education 114 (38.1%) Some college or college graduate 112 (37.5%) Higher than college education 71 (23.7%) Missing 2 (0.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 2 (0.7%) Yes 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 2 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 22 (7.4%) WIC use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94)	Multiparous	197 (65.9%)
Non-Hispanic White 166 (55.5%) Non-Hispanic Black 76 (25.4%) Hispanic 32 (10.7%) Other 25 (8.4%) Maternal education 114 (38.1%) Some college or college graduate 112 (37.5%) Higher than college education 71 (23.7%) Missing 2 (0.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 2 Yes 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 22 (7.4%) WIC use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) No 168 (56.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Powerty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 32 (10.7%)	Maternal race/ethnicity	
Non-Hispanic Black 76 (25.4%) Hispanic 32 (10.7%) Other 25 (8.4%) Maternal education 114 (38.1%) Some college or college graduate 112 (37.5%) Higher than college education 71 (23.7%) Missing 2 (0.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 2 (0.7%) Yes 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 2 (2 (7.4%) Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 2 (2 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Wedicaid use during pregnancy 2 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Powerty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 141 (47.2%) Yes 68 (22.7%)	Non-Hispanic White	166 (55.5%)
Hispanic 32 (10.7%) Other 25 (8.4%) Maternal education 114 (38.1%) Some college or college graduate 112 (37.5%) Higher than college education 71 (23.7%) Missing 2 (0.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 2 (0.7%) Yes 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Powerty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 22 (10.7%) Yes	Non-Hispanic Black	76 (25.4%)
Other 25 (8.4%) Maternal education 114 (38.1%) Name college or college graduate 112 (37.5%) Higher than college education 71 (23.7%) Missing 2 (0.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy Yes Yes 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy Yes Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy Yes Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Moliciaid use during pregnancy Yes Yes 141 (47.2%) No 168 (56.2%) Medicaid use during pregnancy Yes Yes 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use Yes Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 3	Hispanic	32 (10.7%)
Maternal education 114 (38.1%) Some college or college graduate 112 (37.5%) Higher than college education 71 (23.7%) Missing 2 (0.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 2 Yes 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 2 Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 2 Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 7 Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 7 Yes 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 7 Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Femal	Other	25 (8.4%)
High school or less than high school 114 (38.1%) Some college or college graduate 112 (37.5%) Higher than college education 71 (23.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 2 (0.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 2 (0.7%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Wic use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Wic use during pregnancy 11 (3.7%) Wic use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Wedicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 22 (10.7%) Yes 68 (22.7%)	Maternal education	
Some college or college graduate 112 (37.5%) Higher than college education 71 (23.7%) Missing 2 (0.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 2 Yes 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 2 Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 7 Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 2 Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%)	High school or less than high school	114 (38.1%)
Higher than college education 71 (23.7%) Missing 2 (0.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 246 (82.3%) Yes 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 42 (14.0%) Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 22 Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex <t< td=""><td>Some college or college graduate</td><td>112 (37.5%)</td></t<>	Some college or college graduate	112 (37.5%)
Missing 2 (0.7%) Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 7 Yes 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 7 Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 7 Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 2 Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex	Higher than college education	71 (23.7%)
Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) Wo 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 266 (89.0%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 28 Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 153 (51.2%) Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptu	Missing	2 (0.7%)
Yes 11 (3.7%) No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 2 Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) Wo 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 11 (3.7%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Podicaid use during pregnancy Yes Yes 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use Yes Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex Female Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%	Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy	
No 246 (82.3%) Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Modicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Ves 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 22 Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 20.9 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 20.9 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9	Yes	11 (3.7%)
Missing 42 (14.0%) Maternal smoking during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 78 Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Mo 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 7 Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 7 Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1 39.0)	No	246 (82.3%)
Maternal smoking during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 7 Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 22 Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 7 Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1 39.0)	Missing	42 (14.0%)
Yes 22 (7.4%) No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 22 Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 20 Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1 39.0)	Maternal smoking during pregnancy	
No 266 (89.0%) Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 168 (56.2%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 158 (52.8%) Powerty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 22 Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 20 Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1 39.0)	Yes	22 (7.4%)
Missing 11 (3.7%) WIC use during pregnancy 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 22 Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 7 Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1 39.0)	No	266 (89.0%)
WIC use during pregnancy 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 2 Yes 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 68 (22.7%) Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 7 Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1 39.0)	Missing	11 (3.7%)
Yes 109 (36.5%) No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 2 Yes 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 2 Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 2 Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1 39.0)	WIC use during pregnancy	х <i>У</i>
No 168 (56.2%) Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 22 (7.4%) Yes 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 74 Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 75 Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1 39.0)	Yes	109 (36.5%)
Missing 22 (7.4%) Medicaid use during pregnancy 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 22 (7.4%) Yes 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 5 Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1 39.0)	No	168 (56.2%)
Medicaid use during pregnancy 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 74 Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1, 39.0)	Missing	22 (7,4%)
Yes 141 (47.2%) No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 74 Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 7 Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1, 39.0)	Medicaid use during pregnancy	()
No 158 (52.8%) Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use	Yes	141 (47.2%)
Poverty income ratio at enrollment 3.74 (3.94) Public assistance use 3.74 (3.94) Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex 53 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1, 39.0)	Νο	158 (52.8%)
Public assistance use Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex Female Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1, 39.0)	Poverty income ratio at enrollment	3,74 (3,94)
Yes 68 (22.7%) No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex Female Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1, 39.0)	Public assistance use	
No 199 (66.6%) Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex - Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1, 39.0)	Yes	68 (22.7%)
Missing 32 (10.7%) Fetal Sex Female Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1, 39.0)	No	199 (66.6%)
Fetal Sex 153 (51.2%) Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1, 39.0)	Missing	32 (10.7%)
Female 153 (51.2%) Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1, 39.0)	Fetal Sex	(· • · · · /
Male 146 (48.8%) Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks) Mean (range) Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1, 39.0)	Female	153 (51,2%)
Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks)Mean (range)Visit 112.4 (6.14, 14.6)Visit 220.9 (18.1, 29.6)Visit 330.9 (28.1, 39.0)	Male	146 (48.8%)
Visit 1 12.4 (6.14, 14.6) Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1, 39.0)	Postconceptual age at time of blood draw (weeks)	Mean (range)
Visit 2 20.9 (18.1, 29.6) Visit 3 30.9 (28.1, 39.0)	Visit 1	12.4 (6.14. 14.6)
Visit 3 30.9 (28.1. 39.0)	Visit 2	20.9 (18.1, 29.6)
	Visit 3	30.9 (28.1, 39.0)

^aThere were 299 participants with sex steroid concentrations at 14 weeks, 296 participants with sex steroid concentrations at 22 weeks, and 299 participants with sex steroid concentrations at 30 weeks.

negatively associated with testosterone levels later in pregnancy (Table 3 and Figure 1). Each IQR increase in NO₂ concentration during gestational weeks 0 through 5 was associated with higher total testosterone levels at 14 weeks gestation (cumulative $\beta = 0.45 \ln[ng/dl]$; 95% CI = 0.07, 0.83), while each IQR increase in NO₂ concentration during gestational weeks 12-14 was associated with lower total testosterone levels at 14 weeks gestation (cumulative $\beta = -0.27 \ln[ng/dl]$; 95% CI = -0.53, -0.01) (Figure 1 and Table 3). Each IQR increase in NO, during gestational weeks 1 through 6 was associated with higher total testosterone levels at 22 weeks gestation (cumulative $\beta = 0.29$ ln[ng/dl]; 95% CI = 0.04, 0.53) (Figure 1 and Table 1). An interquartile increase in NO, during gestational weeks 1-5 and gestational weeks 0-10 was associated with higher 14-week (cumulative $\beta = 0.56 \ln[ng/dl]$; 95% CI = 0.26, 0.86) and 22-week (cumulative $\beta = 0.53 \ln[ng/dl]$; 95% CI = 0.16, 0.91) free testosterone levels, respectively (Figure 1 and Table 1). An

IQR increase in NO₂ concentrations during gestational weeks 12–14 and gestational weeks 16–22 was associated with lower 14-week (cumulative $\beta = -0.68 \ln[ng/dl]$; 95% CI = -1.02, -0.34) and 22-week (cumulative $\beta = -0.36 \ln[ng/dl]$; 95% CI = -0.67, -0.04) free testosterone levels, respectively (Figure 1 and Table 1). An IQR increase in NO₂ concentrations during gestational week 15 was associated with higher levels of free testosterone at 30 weeks (cumulative $\beta = 0.01 \ln[ng/dl]$; 95% CI = 0.00, 0.01) (Figure 1 and Table 1). Similar patterns of the cumulative cross-basis shape were observed for PM_{2.5} and free and total testosterone levels, although no statistically significant susceptible weeks were observed (Supplemental Figure 2, http://links.lww.com/EE/A324).

The overall patterns of effects for the associations between NO₂ concentrations and estrogens tended to be positive in the earlier weeks of each pregnancy period but negative in the later weeks of the same pregnancy period. Specifically, interquartile increases in NO, concentrations in gestational week 6 and during gestational weeks 0-15 were associated with higher estrone concentrations at 14 weeks gestation (cumulative $\beta = 0.02 \ln[pg/$ ml]; 95% CI = 0.00, 0.04) and 30 weeks gestation (cumulative $\beta = 0.49 \ln[pg/ml]; 95\%$ CI = 0.13, 0.84) (Figure 2 and Table 1). Each interquartile increase in NO₂ concentration during gestational weeks 0-14 was associated with higher 30-week estradiol concentrations (cumulative $\beta = 0.29 \ln[pg/ml]$; 95% CI = 0.10, 0.49), while each interquartile increase in NO₂ concentrations during gestational weeks 22-30 was associated with lower 30-week estradiol concentrations (cumulative $\beta = -0.18 \ln[pg/$ ml]; 95% CI = -0.34, -0.02) (Figure 2 and Table 1). No sensitive windows were identified for 22-week estrone, 14- and 22-week estradiol, or estriol levels at any time during pregnancy. However, patterns of association were similar across estrogens and throughout gestation. Each IQR increase in PM25 concentrations during gestational weeks 5-11 was associated with lower late-pregnancy estriol levels (cumulative $\beta = -0.16 \ln[ng/$ ml]; 95% CI = -0.31, -0.00) (Table 3, Supplemental Figure 2, http://links.lww.com/EE/A324). No other sensitive windows of PM₂₅ were identified for estrogen levels, although as with NO₂, patterns of the association were similar across estrogens and throughout gestation (Supplemental Figure 3, http://links.lww. com/EE/A324).

In sex-stratified analyses, pregnancies with male and female fetuses followed similar trends and had similar patterns of association across gestation for both NO₂ and PM_{2.5} concentrations (Supplemental Figures 4–11, http://links.lww.com/EE/A324).

After excluding women with pregnancy complications (pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes, preterm birth, and miscarriage), the overall patterns and functional forms of associations across gestation were similar compared to models including women with pregnancy complications (Supplemental Table 5 and Supplemental Figures 12-15, http://links.lww.com/EE/A324). In some instances, exposure levels during additional gestational weeks become statistically significant after excluding women with pregnancy complications. For example, each interquartile increase in PM₂₅ concentrations during gestational weeks 18-22 was associated with lower 22-week estriol levels only when women with pregnancy complications were excluded (cumulative $\beta = -0.20 \ln[\text{pg/ml}]; 95\%$ CI = -0.38, -0.02). At the same time, associations during particular gestational weeks were attenuated after women with complications were excluded from the analysis (Supplemental Table 5 and Supplemental Figures 12–15, http://links.lww.com/EE/A324). One notable exception was each interquartile increase in NO₂ concentration during gestational weeks 0-14 was positively associated with 30-week estradiol concentrations when women with pregnancy complications were excluded (cumulative $\beta = 0.23$ $\ln[pg/ml]$; 95% CI = 0.02, 0.44), whereas each interquartile increase in NO₂ concentrations during gestational weeks 0-14 were associated with lower 30-week estradiol concentrations

Table 2.
Distributions of air pollution measures and imputed sex steroid hormones by pregnancy timepoint.

	N	Missing	Mean (SD)	IQR	Minimum	25th Percentile	Median	75 th Percentile	Maximum
PM _{a, c} exposure (µg/m ³)									
Gestational week 0	280	7	6.6 (1.7)	2.4	3.3	5.3	6.3	7.7	13.2
Gestational week 10	280	7	6.8 (1.6)	2.4	2.2	5.4	6.7	7.8	12.0
Gestational week 20	217	27	6.6 (1.9)	2.6	3.5	5.1	6.1	7.7	13.4
Gestational week 30	222	30	6.8 (2.0)	2.7	2.8	5.3	6.6	8.0	13.8
NO ₂ exposure (ppb)			()						
Gestational week 0	280	7	11.6 (5.0)	8.3	1.4	7.0	11.7	15.3	24.6
Gestational week 10	280	7	11.5 (5.1)	7.7	1.1	7.1	11.7	14.7	23.9
Gestational week 20	217	27	10.4 (4.8)	7.9	0.8	6.1	10.7	14.0	22.1
Gestational week 30	222	30	10.0 (5.0)	8.0	0.7	5.4	10.1	13.4	25.1
Total testosterone (ng/dl)			()						
Early pregnancy	294	5	73.6 (46.5)	58.4	8.4	38.2	65.3	96.6	307.1
Mid pregnancy	282	14	77.9 (55.9)	50.6	4.8	43.1	62.0	93.7	406.9
Late pregnancy	276	23	77.9 (56.1)	61.4	9.6	38.8	64.2	100.2	372.0
Free testosterone (ng/dl)			· · · · ·						
Early pregnancy	293	6	0.41	0.36	0.06	0.20	0.34	0.56	2.41
Mid pregnancy	281	15	0.39	0.30	0.03	0.20	0.32	0.50	2.50
Late pregnancy	276	23	0.41	0.34	0.05	0.18	0.30	0.52	2.41
Estrone (E.) (pg/ml)									
Early pregnancy	294	5	1451 (965)	1081	72	836	1223	1917	5908
Mid pregnancy	282	14	4169 (2650)	2639	431	2388	3741	5027	18133
Late pregnancy	276	23	6336 (4272)	4433	737	3484	5580	7917	28750
Estradiol (E_) (pg/ml)									
Early pregnancy	294	5	2371 (1105)	1274	446	1636	2191	2910	8568
Mid pregnancy	282	14	6636 (2470)	3118	1398	4972	6379	8090	18734
Late pregnancy	276	23	11035 (4096)	5039	2308	8217	10697	13256	29950
Estriol (E) (pg/ml)			()						
Early pregnancy	263	36	462 (315)	432	25	217	381	649	1625
Mid pregnancy	273	23	3210 (1135)	1434	494	2450	3189	3884	7280
Late pregnancy	274	25	6277 (2158)	2728	1183	4782	6063	7510	15033

Imputed values for steroid hormone concentrations were estimated at postconceptual ages 14 weeks (early pregnancy), 22 weeks (mid pregnancy), and 30 weeks (late pregnancy). IQR indicates interquartile range.

Table 3.

Cumulative increase or decrease in sex steroid hormones across pregnancy for each interquartile range increase in $PM_{2.5}$ (interquartile range = 4) or NO₂ (interquartile range = 9) concentration in each gestational week

Variable	Weekly PM ₂₅					Weekly NO ₂				
	Susceptible weeks			Entire period		Suscept	Entire period			
	N	Gestational weeksª	Cumulative beta (95% Cl)	Cumulative beta (95% Cl)	N	Gestational weeks ^a	Cumulative beta (95% Cl)	Cumulative beta (95% CI)		
Visit 1 (14 weeks) Total testosterone (ng/dl)	246			0.31 (-0.10, 0.73)	246	0-5	0.45 (0.07, 0.83)	0.08 (-0.08, 0.23)		
Free testosterone (ng/dl)	245			-0.06 (-0.53, 0.43)	245	1–5 11–14	0.56 (0.26, 0.86) -0.68 (-1.02, -0.34)	10.06 (-0.10, 0.21)		
Estrone (pg/ml)	246			0.18 (-0.31, 0.67)	246	6	0.02 (0.00, 0.04)	0.19 (0.00, 0.37)		
Estradiol (pg/ml)	246			0.24 (-0.09, 0.56)	246			0.05 (-0.08, 0.17)		
Estriol (pg/ml) Visit 2 (22 weeks)	219			-0.05 (-0.73, 0.63)	219			0.01 (-0.22, 0.23)		
Total testosterone (ng/dl)	238			0.57 (-0.19, 1.33)	238	1–6	0.29 (0.04, 0.54)	0.14 (-0.03, 0.31)		
Free testosterone (ng/dl)	237			0.35 (-0.46, 1.15)	237	0–10 16–22	0.53 (0.16, 0.91) -0.36 (-0.68, -0.04)	0.14 (-0.05, 0.32)		
Estrone (pg/ml)	238			0.70 (-0.11, 1.51)	238			0.22 (0.04, 0.40)		
Estradiol (pg/ml)	238			0.32 (-0.13, 0.78)	238			0.05 (-0.06, 0.15)		
Estriol (pg/ml) Visit 3 (30 weeks)	230			-0.06 (-0.57, 0.45)	230			-0.08 (-0.19, 0.04)		
Total testosterone (ng/dl)	235			0.74 (-0.08, 1.56)	235			0.18 (0.01, 0.36)		
Free testosterone (ng/dl)	235			0.26 (-0.65, 1.17)	235	15	0.01 (0.00, 0.01)	0.21 (0.02, 0.40)		
Estrone (pg/ml)	235			0.34 (-0.57, 1.24)	235	0-15	0.49 (0.13, 0.84)	0.25 (0.06, 0.43)		
Estradiol (pg/ml)	235			0.15 (-0.33, 0.64)	235	0–14 22–30	0.29 (0.10, 0.49) -0.18 (-0.35, -0.02)	0.09 (-0.02, 0.19)		
Estriol (pg/ml)	233	5-11	-0.16 (-0.31, -0.00)	-0.44 (-0.95, 0.07)	233			-0.05 (-0.16, 0.05)		

All statistical models were adjusted for maternal age, race and ethnicity, fetal sex, highest level of maternal education, WIC use during pregnancy, parity, season of birth, and pre-pregnancy BMI. Sex steroid values were natural logarithm-transformed for analyses.

^aGestational weeks that were identified as statistically significant susceptible windows.



Figure 1. DLNM models estimating the association between gestational NO_2 (IQR = 9 ppb) and natural logarithm-transformed free and total testosterone in early- (14 weeks), mid- (22 weeks), and late-pregnancy (30 weeks). All statistical models were adjusted for maternal age, race and ethnicity, fetal sex, highest level of maternal education, WIC use during pregnancy, parity, season of birth, and pre-pregnancy BMI.



Figure 2. DLNM models estimating the association between gestational NO_2 (IQR = 9 ppb) and natural logarithm-transformed estrogens in early- (14 weeks), mid- (22 weeks), and late-pregnancy (30 weeks). All statistical models were adjusted for maternal age, race and ethnicity, fetal sex, highest level of maternal education, WIC use during pregnancy, parity, season of birth, and pre-pregnancy BMI.

when women with pregnancy complications were included (cumulative $\beta = -0.18 \ln[\text{pg/ml}]$; 95% CI = -0.34, -0.02).

Discussion

In this cohort of pregnant people from Rochester, NY, we observed several sensitive windows of association between NO₂ and sex steroid concentrations during early-, mid-, and late-pregnancy. The observed patterns of cumulative associations between NO₂ and sex steroid concentrations were non-monotonic and appeared to vary in direction across gestation. Specifically, we observed that each 9 ppb increase in NO₂

concentrations during gestational weeks 1-5 was associated with a 0.56 ln(ng/dl) increase in early pregnancy free testosterone concentration, approximately equivalent to a 1.75% higher free testosterone concentration. Further, each 9 ppb increase in NO₂ concentration during gestational weeks 0–5 was associated with a 0.45 ln(ng/dl) increase in total testosterone, which is an ~1.57% higher total testosterone concentration. During the same pregnancy period, 9 ppb increases in NO₂ concentration during gestational weeks 11–14 and during gestational weeks 12–14 were associated with 0.68 ln(ng/dl) decreases in earlypregnancy free testosterone (~1.97% lower free testosterone concentration) and a 0.27 ln(ng/dl) decrease in total testosterone concentration (~1.13% lower total testosterone concentration), respectively. We speculate that there is a possible compensatory mechanism as a similar pattern has been observed in the ECHO-UPSIDE cohort in the association between NO₂ and placental growth (under review), although further evidence is needed to confirm this. There was little evidence that gestational PM_{2.5} exposure was associated with gestational sex steroid concentrations. Overall, the observed patterns of association were similar between androgens and estrogens across gestation with both NO₂ and PM_{2.5}.

Few epidemiologic studies have examined the association between gestational exposure to ambient air pollution and sex steroid concentrations.²¹⁻²³ A major finding from this study was that gestational NO2 exposure was associated with androgens measured in early-, mid-, and late-pregnancy. These results are inconsistent with those of a previous study that reported no association between daily gestational NO₂ exposure (mean $[IQR] = 18.5 \ \mu g/m^3 \ [3.30])$ and cord blood sex steroid concentrations in 397 Belgian mother-child pairs.²¹ The differences in results may be due to the differences in the timing and matrix of outcome measurement, i.e., gestational versus cord blood steroid hormone concentrations, with cord blood concentrations likely more directly reflecting fetal exposure than maternal blood concentrations. The PRogramming of Intergenerational Stress Mechanisms (PRISM) cohort, consisting of 361 mother-fetal pairs sampled from Boston and New York City, evaluated associations with PM₂, but not NO₂.²² No associations were observed between preconception or early-pregnancy PM2.5 exposure and gestational estriol levels in PRISM. Similarly, we observed null associations between PM2.5 and estrogen concentrations in this study, except for an association between PM_{2.5} concentrations during weeks 5 through 11 and lower late pregnancy estriol concentrations. At the same time, PRISM also observed positive associations between PM2.5 and late-pregnancy maternal androgens,²² whereas we observed no associations between PM_{2,5} concentrations and androgens at 14-, 22-, or 30-week gestation. The discrepancy in results may be partially due to the higher levels of $PM_{2.5}$ observed in PRISM (mean = 8.89–9.2 µg/m³) compared to ECHO-UPSIDE (mean = 6.6–6.8 µg/m³).²² The observed associations between NO2 and sex steroid concentrations, but not PM₂₅ concentrations, may also suggest that traffic-related air pollution may affect sex steroids more so than the wider range of exposure sources estimated by PM_{2.5} levels.

Slight differences in the overall patterns of association were observed when women with pregnancy complications were removed. In some instances, there were more statistically significant gestational weeks of exposure, whereas others had fewer statistically significant gestational weeks. This suggests that differences were likely due to decreases in sample size, increasing random error. It is also possible that pregnancy complications induce physiologic stress that may make pregnant women more susceptible to endocrine disruption. Although this reasoning is speculative, a previous observational study reported that a mixture of phthalates was associated with placental corticotropin-releasing hormone only among women with gestational diabetes.32 Additionally, total testosterone, free testosterone, and estrone were positively associated with gestational diabetes in the ECHO-UPSIDE cohort.33 These preliminary findings should be further explored in experimental studies to elucidate the mechanism underlying the potential relationship between endocrine-disrupting exposures, sex steroid hormones, and pregnancy complications.

There is limited experimental evidence to support the underlying mechanism of the association between gestational exposure to ambient air pollution and sex steroid hormones.^{24,25} However, observational studies offer some support for the hypothesis that NO_2 has endocrine-disrupting properties. For example, NO_2 exposure during the male programming window and minipuberty has been associated with decreased penile width at age 1 year, suggesting a possible reduction in early androgen activity.³⁴ Additionally, a negative association between prenatal NO₂ exposure and pubertal staging in 11-year-old males was observed in a Hong Kong birth cohort.³⁵ Even within the clinically normal range of hormones, associations with birth outcomes, such as fetal growth restriction, preterm birth, and low birthweight have been observed emphasizing the clinical importance of endocrine disruption during pregnancy.^{36–39} Given that, on a population level, the small changes in hormone concentrations observed in this cohort during pregnancy may have a large impact on public health.

There is also evidence that PM_{2.5} may act as an endocrine disruptor,^{15,16,24,34} although we observed limited evidence of that in this cohort. Specifically, murine models suggest gestational PM_{2,5} exposure may inhibit estrogen synthesis by the ovaries via epigenetic alteration of the estrogen receptor alpha in uterine tissue.¹⁵ This further supports our finding between PM₂₅ and estriol, but not androgens. Estriol is solely produced by the placenta, whereas estrone and estradiol are produced by the mother, fetus, and placenta, all of which contribute to maternal serum concentrations of estrogens.3 The observed positive association between exposure to PM_{2.5} and estriol but not the other estrogens may therefore be due to the differing sources of estrogen production. Due to the paucity of published studies in this research area, the findings of this study should be supplemented by further experimental and observational research.

This study had several strengths. First, we utilized a longitudinal cohort design that measured both estrogens and androgens at three time points in pregnancy. Second, sensitive and specific standard assays were used to measure sex steroid concentrations, which is particularly important for hormones present in low concentrations in pregnant people, such as total and free testosterone. Last, the DLNM framework allowed for the assessment of specific gestational windows when ambient $PM_{2.5}$ and NO₂ exposure may be associated with sex steroid levels.

However, this study also had limitations that should be considered. There may have been misclassification of PM2.5 and NO, given that daily concentrations were estimated in 1 squarekilometer grid in the study area, and all individuals within a grid used the same daily concentrations no matter where they lived in that 1 square-kilometer grid. However, we believe this misclassification to be minimal given the small area specified and the reduced bias of exposure estimated from spatiotemporal modeling compared with exposure estimated from central monitoring centers. Indoor air quality is not accounted for by this method and may differ by household. Additional exposure misclassification could have arisen from individuals changing addresses during the gestational period. We believe this exposure misclassification would be nondifferential and would lead to a bias toward the null hypothesis. Blood serum samples were collected at varying time points during each trimester of pregnancy, so hormone values were imputed to standardize gestational week for analyses. This approach may have induced outcome misclassification, resulting in reduced statistical power.

The use of *P*-values to determine susceptible exposure windows is not optimal due to a reliance on post hoc analysis and multiple comparisons due to examining many weeks of gestation. Limited a priori information was available to allow us to test specific gestational weeks in relation to sex steroids in pregnancy. Although estimated associations across gestational weeks are not tested independently, due to the smooth nature of the curve fit from the DLNM, the resulting model *P*-values do not fully control type I errors. The use of *P* values to determine susceptible weeks from DLNMs is current practice when a priori information is limited.⁴⁰⁻⁴² Nonetheless, our results should be considered exploratory. This study did not include lifestyle factors, such as diet and physical activity, that may be predictive of sex steroid concentrations.⁴³⁻⁴⁷ However, lifestyle factors are unlikely to be important predictors of NO₂ and PM25 concentrations within the 1 square-kilometer grid, thus we believe that lifestyle factors would not induce any meaningful residual confounding. This study also did not consider time-dependent covariates in the analysis. We believe residual confounding by most time-variable covariates, such as weight gain throughout pregnancy, to be highly correlated with timefixed variables, such as prepregnancy BMI, thereby minimizing any potential confounding. Further, the ambient temperature was not accounted for in this study, although we did adjust for the season of birth. If in fact temperature is thought to be a significant predictor of sex steroid hormones, although Colicino et al²² found no association between ambient temperature and androgens and estrogens, there may be residual confounding by time-dependent temperature. The sample size of this study was relatively small (N = 299) and subjects lived in a geographic region with relatively low air pollution concentrations, resulting in reduced statistical power to detect associations with sex steroid hormones.

Conclusions

In this cohort of pregnant women sampled from Rochester, NY, NO₂ exposures throughout pregnancy, most often including weeks 0–15 and weeks 22–30, were associated with disruption of sex steroid hormones during early-, mid-, and late-pregnancy. Few associations with $PM_{2.5}$ were observed. Results from distributed lag models suggested that NO₂ concentrations may be associated with varying directions of association at different weeks of NO₂ exposure with positive associations in later weeks of early pregnancy, suggesting there may be a possible compensatory mechanism that should be further explored in future studies.

Conflicts of interest statement

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with regard to the content of this report.

References

- Chang K, Zhang L. Review article: steroid hormones and uterine vascular adaptation to pregnancy. *Reprod Sci.* 2008;15:336–348.
- Solano ME, Arck PC. Steroids, pregnancy and fetal development. Front Immunol. 2019;10:3017.
- Fuentes N, Silveyra P. Estrogen receptor signaling mechanisms. Adv Protein Chem Struct Biol. 2019;116:135–170.
- 4. Meakin AS, Clifton VL. Review: understanding the role of androgens and placental AR variants: insight into steroid-dependent fetal-placental growth and development. *Placenta*. 2019;84:63–68.
- O'Leary P, Boyne P, Flett P, Beilby J, James I. Longitudinal assessment of changes in reproductive hormones during normal pregnancy. *Clin Chem.* 1991;37:667–672.
- Schock H, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Lundin E, et al. Hormone concentrations throughout uncomplicated pregnancies: a longitudinal study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2016;16:146.
- Noyola-Martinez N, Halhali A, Barrera D. Steroid hormones and pregnancy. *Gynecol Endocrinol*. 2019;35:376–384.
- 8. Auyeung B, Lombardo MV, Baron-Cohen S. Prenatal and postnatal hormone effects on the human brain and cognition. *Pflugers Arch*. 2013;465:557–571.
- Day DB, Collett BR, Barrett ES, et al; TIDES Study team. Prenatal sex hormones and behavioral outcomes in children. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2020;113:104547.
- Fu L, Chen Y, Yang X, et al. The associations of air pollution exposure during pregnancy with fetal growth and anthropometric measurements at birth: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* 2019;26:20137–20147.
- 11. Simoncic V, Enaux C, Deguen S, Kihal-Talantikite W. Adverse birth outcomes related to NO₂ and PM exposure: European systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17:8116.

- Ghassabian A, Pierotti L, Basterrechea M, et al. Association of exposure to ambient air pollution with thyroid function during pregnancy. JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2:e1912902.
- 13. Howe CG, Eckel SP, Habre R, et al. Association of prenatal exposure to ambient and traffic-related air pollution with newborn thyroid function: findings from the children's health study. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2018;1:e182172.
- Zhao Y, Cao Z, Li H, et al. Air pollution exposure in association with maternal thyroid function during early pregnancy. J Hazard Mater. 2019;367:188–193.
- Darbre PD. Overview of air pollution and endocrine disorders. Int J Gen Med. 2018;11:191–207.
- Gea M, Fea E, Racca L, Gilli G, Gardois P, Schilirò T. Atmospheric endocrine disruptors: a systematic review on oestrogenic and androgenic activity of particulate matter. *Chemosphere*. 2024;349:140887.
- Rivera-Nunez Z, Kinkade CW, Khoury L, et al. Prenatal perfluoroalkyl substances exposure and maternal sex steroid hormones across pregnancy. *Environ Res.* 2023;220:115233.
- Sathyanarayana S, Butts S, Wang C, et al; TIDES Team. Early prenatal phthalate exposure, sex steroid hormones, and birth outcomes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;102:1870–1878.
- 19. Aker AM, Ferguson KK, Rosario ZY, et al. A repeated measures study of phenol, paraben and Triclocarban urinary biomarkers and circulating maternal hormones during gestation in the Puerto Rico PROTECT cohort. *Environ Health*. 2019;18:28.
- Johns LE, Ferguson KK, Soldin OP, et al. Urinary phthalate metabolites in relation to maternal serum thyroid and sex hormone levels during pregnancy: a longitudinal analysis. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol*. 2015;13:4.
- Plusquin M, Wang C, Cosemans C, et al. The association between newborn cord blood steroids and ambient prenatal exposure to air pollution: findings from the ENVIRONAGE birth cohort. *Environ Health*. 2023;22:63.
- Colicino E, Cowell W, Pedretti NF, et al. Maternal steroids during pregnancy and their associations with ambient air pollution and temperature during preconception and early gestational periods. *Environ Int.* 2022;165:107320.
- Xu Q, Guan Q, Lu Y, et al. Effect of short-term ambient air pollution exposure on early miscarriage and pregnancy hormones with critical window identification. J Hazard Mater. 2023;460:132328.
- Wang L, Luo D, Liu X, et al. Effects of PM(2.5) exposure on reproductive system and its mechanisms. *Chemosphere*. 2021;264(Pt 1):128436.
- 25. Dang S, Ding D, Lu Y, et al. PM(2.5) exposure during pregnancy induces hypermethylation of estrogen receptor promoter region in rat uterus and declines offspring birth weights. *Environ Pollut*. 2018;243 (Pt B):851–861.
- 26. O'Connor T, Best M, Brunner J, et al; UPSIDE study. Cohort profile: understanding pregnancy signals and infant development (UPSIDE): a pregnancy cohort study on prenatal exposure mechanisms for child health. *BMJ Open.* 2021;11:e044798.
- Ge Y, Yang Z, Lin Y, et al. Generating high spatial resolution exposure estimates from sparse regulatory monitoring data. *Atmos Environ* (1994). 2023;313:120076.
- Barrett ES, Mbowe O, Thurston SW, et al. Predictors of steroid hormone concentrations in early pregnancy: results from a multi-center cohort. *Matern Child Health J.* 2019;23:397–407.
- Gasparrini A, Armstrong B, Kenward MG. Distributed lag non-linear models. *Stat Med*. 2010;29:2224–2234.
- Schwartz J. The distributed lag between air pollution and daily deaths. Epidemiology. 2000;11:320–326.
- Gasparrini A, Scheipl F, Armstrong B, Kenward MG. A penalized framework for distributed lag non-linear models. *Biometrics*. 2017;73:938–948.
- 32. Barrett ES, Corsetti M, Day D, et al. Prenatal phthalate exposure in relation to placental corticotropin releasing hormone (pCRH) in the CANDLE cohort. *Environ Int.* 2022;160:107078.
- Meng Y, Thornburg LL, Hoeger KM, et al. Association between sex steroid hormones and subsequent hyperglycemia during pregnancy. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2023;14:1213402.
- 34. Barrett ES, Sharghi S, Thurston SW, et al. Associations of exposure to air pollution during the male programming window and mini-puberty with Anogenital distance and penile width at birth and at 1 year of age in the multicenter U.S. tides cohort. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2023;131:117001.
- Huang JV, Leung GM, Schooling CM. The association of air pollution with pubertal development: evidence from Hong Kong's "Children of 1997" birth cohort. Am J Epidemiol. 2017;185:914–923.

- 36. Gilles M, Otto H, Wolf IAC, et al. Maternal hypothalamuspituitary-adrenal (HPA) system activity and stress during pregnancy: effects on gestational age and infant's anthropometric measures at birth. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2018;94: 152–161.
- Jelliffe-Pawlowski LL, Baer RJ, Currier RJ. Second trimester serum predictors of preterm birth in a population-based sample of low-risk pregnancies. *Prenat Diagn*. 2010;30:727–733.
- Mucci LA, Lagiou P, Tamimi RM, Hsieh C-C, Adami H-O, Trichopoulos D. Pregnancy estriol, estradiol, progesterone and prolactin in relation to birth weight and other birth size variables (United States). *Cancer Causes Control.* 2003;14:311–318.
- Wadhwa PD, Garite TJ, Porto M, et al. Placental corticotropinreleasing hormone (CRH), spontaneous preterm birth, and fetal growth restriction: a prospective investigation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2004;191:1063–1069.
- 40. Perera F, Miao Y, Ross Z, et al. Prenatal exposure to air pollution during the early and middle stages of pregnancy is associated with adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes at ages 1 to 3 years. *Environ Health*. 2024;23:95.
- 41. Whitworth KW, Rector-Houze AM, Chen WJ, et al. Relation of prenatal and postnatal PM(2.5) exposure with cognitive and motor

function among preschool-aged children. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2024;256:114317.

- 42. Chen WJ, Rector-Houze AM, Guxens M, et al. Susceptible windows of prenatal and postnatal fine particulate matter exposures and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms in early childhood. *Sci Total Environ*. 2024;912:168806.
- Nagata C, Iwasa S, Shiraki M, Sahashi Y, Shimizu H. Association of maternal fat and alcohol intake with maternal and umbilical hormone levels and birth weight. *Cancer Sci.* 2007;98:869–873.
- 44. Lof M, Hilakivi-Clarke L, Sandin SS, et al. Dietary fat intake and gestational weight gain in relation to estradiol and progesterone plasma levels during pregnancy: a longitudinal study in Swedish women. BMC Womens Health. 2009;9:10.
- 45. Goh VH, Tong TY, Mok HP, Said B. Interactions among age, adiposity, bodyweight, lifestyle factors and sex steroid hormones in healthy Singaporean Chinese men. Asian J Androl. 2007;9:611–621.
- Hawkins M, Tobias DK, Alessa HB, et al. Objective and self-reported measures of physical activity and sex hormones: women's lifestyle validation study. *J Phys Act Health*. 2019;16:355–361.
- 47. McTiernan A, Wu L, Chen C, et al; Women's Health Initiative Investigators. Relation of BMI and physical activity to sex hormones in postmenopausal women. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2006;14:1662–1677.