

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Cardiology



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijcard

Short communication

# The role of sex and inflammation in cardiovascular outcomes and mortality in COVID-19



Anum S. Minhas <sup>a,b</sup>, Julie K. Shade <sup>c</sup>, Sung-Min Cho <sup>d</sup>, Erin D. Michos <sup>a,e</sup>, Thomas Metkus <sup>a</sup>, Nisha A. Gilotra <sup>a</sup>, Garima Sharma <sup>a</sup>, Natalia Trayanova <sup>c</sup>, Allison G. Hays <sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>c</sup> Department of Biomedical Engineering and Institute for Computational Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>d</sup> Division of Neuroscience Critical Care, Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

e Ciccarone Center for Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease, Division of Cardiology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 December 2020 Received in revised form 3 May 2021 Accepted 5 May 2021 Available online 8 May 2021

Keywords: COVID-19 Cardiovascular disease Stroke Myocardial infarction Myocardial injury Death

# ABSTRACT

*Objective:* Higher mortality in COVID-19 in men compared to women is recognized, but sex differences in cardiovascular events are less well established. We aimed to determine the independent contribution of sex to stroke, myocardial infarction and death in the setting of COVID-19 infection.

*Methods*: We performed a retrospective cohort study of hospitalized COVID-19 patients in a racially/ethnically diverse population. Clinical features, laboratory markers and clinical events were initially abstracted from medical records, with subsequent clinician adjudication.

*Results*: Of 2060 patients, myocardial injury (32% vs 23%, p = 0.019), acute myocardial infarction (2.7% vs 1.6%, p = 0.114), and ischemic stroke (1.8% vs 0.7%, p = 0.007) were more common in men vs women. In-hospital death occurred in 160 men (15%) vs 117 women (12%, p = 0.091). Men had higher odds of myocardial injury (odds ratio (OR) 2.04 [95% CI 1.43–2.91], p < 0.001), myocardial infarction (1.72 [95% CI 0.93–3.20], p = 0.085) and ischemic stroke (2.76 [95% CI 1.29–5.92], p = 0.009). Despite adjustment for demographics and cardiovascular risk factors, male sex predicted mortality (HR 1.33; 95% CI:1.01–1.74; p = 0.041). While men had significantly higher markers of inflammation, in sex-stratified analyses, increase in interleukin-6, C-reactive protein, ferritin and d-dimer were predictive of mortality and myocardial injury similarly in both sexes.

*Conclusions:* Adjusted odds of myocardial injury, ischemic stroke and all-cause mortality, but not myocardial infarction, are significantly higher in men compared to women with COVID-19. Higher inflammatory markers are present in men but associated similarly with risk in both men and women. These data suggest that adverse cardiovascular outcomes in men vs. women are independent of cardiovascular comorbidities.

© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

COVID-19, caused by coronavirus SARS-CoV2, carries high mortality and morbidity, especially for cardiovascular disease (CVD) [1,2]. Men have consistently higher unadjusted and adjusted mortality from COVID-19 compared to women as reported by various international agencies and prior literature [3–6]. This sex difference in mortality may be related to greater underlying comorbidities in men compared

E-mail address: ahays2@jhmi.edu (A.G. Hays).

to women, such as hypertension and CVD, which have been linked to increased severity of COVID-19 [5,7,8]. In addition, two factors that may contribute to mortality in COVID-19 include myocardial injury defined by troponin elevation, and increased systemic inflammation, both independently associated with in-hospital mortality [1,9,10]. However, little is known regarding sex differences in myocardial injury, systemic inflammation and CVD outcomes, although plausible explanations for sex differences include variations in immune response and angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) 2 expression. We hypothesized that adverse cardiovascular (CV) outcomes occur more frequently in men compared to women in the setting of COVID-19, even after adjusting for demographics and CV comorbidities. Further, as recent evidence suggests a

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Division of Cardiology, Johns Hopkins Hospital/Halsted 565, 600 N. Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA.

#### Table 1

Comparison of demographics, laboratory markers and clinical outcomes between women and men in COVID-19.\*

Variable	Overall	Women	Men	<i>p</i> -value
	(n = 2060)	( <i>n</i> = 972)	(n = 1088)	
Age (years)	61 (46-74)	62 (43-77)	61 (48-72)	0.340
Race/Ethnicity				0.075
White	721 (35%)	353 (36%)	368 (34%)	
Black	554 (27%)	235 (24%)	319 (29%)	
Hispanic	588 (28%)	288 (30%)	300 (28%)	
Other	197 (10%)	96 (10%)	101 (9%)	
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	28.3 (24.4-33.5)	29.7 (24.9-35.5)	27.5 (24.2-31.6)	<0.00
Comorbidities				
Hypertension	1088 (53%)	519 (53%)	577 (53%)	0.869
Diabetes	607 (29%)	290 (30%)	317 (29%)	0.728
Coronary artery disease	170 (92%)	61 (6%)	109 (10%)	0.002
Heart failure	165 (8%)	89 (9%)	76 (7%)	0.070
History of smoking	367 (18%)	139 (14%)	228 (21%)	<0.00
Medications	567 (16%)	155 (14/6)	220 (21%)	~0.00
	213 (10%)	90 (9%)	123 (11%)	0.128
Aspirin Statin	· · ·	· · /	· · ·	0.128
	321 (16%)	144 (15%)	177 (16%)	
ACEi or ARB	286 (14%)	121 (12%)	165 (15%)	0.075
Clinical variables	06 (76, 00)	00 (70, 00)	07 (75 00)	0.050
Heart rate (beats/min)	86 (76–98)	86 (76–98)	87 (75–99)	0.956
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	122 (109–138)	122 (108–137)	123 (110–138)	0.191
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	70 (62–79)	68 (60-77)	72 (64–81)	<0.00
Fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2), %	75 (50–100)	60 (45-97)	80 (55-100)	0.104
Length of inpatient admission (days)	6.7 (3.0–13.9)	6.1 (2.7–13.0)	7.0 (3.2–15.1)	0.006
Intensive care unit admission	1719 (83%)	799 (82%)	920 (85%)	0.151
Intensive care length of stay (days)	5.1 (2.8-9.6)	5.1 (2.9-9.6)	5.1 (2.7-9.6)	0.715
Laboratory values				
White blood cell count, K/cu mm	7.2 (5.2-9.9)	7.2 (5.2-9.8)	7.2 (5.3-10.2)	0.345
Absolute lymphocyte count, K/cu mm	0.9 (0.5-1.4)	0.9 (0.5-1.5)	0.9 (0.5–1.4)	0.117
Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio	5.7 (3.0-12.3)	5.3 (2.9-11.0)	5.9 (3.2-13.0)	0.060
Interleukin-6, pg/mL	55 (23-129)	43 (18-101)	72 (27–158)	<0.00
C-reactive protein, mg/dL	10.4 (4.1–27.5)	8.6 (3.0-22.1)	12.2 (5.1–34.7)	<0.00
D-dimer, mg/dL	1.0 (0.6–2.1)	1.0 (0.6–2.2)	1.0 (0.5–2.1)	0.397
Ferritin, ng/mL	621 (269–1171)	416 (169-873)	786 (434–1424)	<0.00
Fibrinogen, mg/dL	532 (417–644)	510 (407–623)	554 (437-673)	0.005
	, , ,	. ,		
Troponin I, ng/mL	0.04 (0.02–0.04)	0.04 (0.02–0.04)	0.04 (0.02–0.04)	0.255
Troponin I positive	275 (28%)	106 (23%)	169 (32%)	0.002
N-terminal pro b-type natriuretic peptide, pg/mL	231 (59–1238)	238 (55–1351)	227 (60–1106)	0.695
Creatinine, mg/dL	1.0 (0.8–1.4)	0.8 (0.7–1.2)	1.1 (0.9–1.5)	<0.00
Estimated glomerular filtration rate, mL/min/1,73 sqm	80 (48–107)	81 (45-109)	80 (51–104)	0.516
Clinical outcomes				
Death	277 (13%)	117 (12%)	160 (15%)	0.076
Myocardial infarction	45 (2.2%)	16 (1.6%)	29 (2.7%)	0.114
Stroke, ischemic	25 (1.2%)	6 (0.7%)	19 (1.8%)	0.019
Stroke, hemorrhagic	11 (0.5%)	3 (0.3%)	8 (0.7%)	0.185
Deep venous thrombosis or pulmonary embolism	66 (3%)	30 (3%)	36 (3%)	0.775
Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation	10 (0.5%)	2 (0.2%)	8 (0.8%)	0.016
Ventricular tachycardia	19 (1%)	11 (1%)	8 (1%)	0.319
Mechanical ventilation	330 (16%)	127 (13%)	203 (19%)	0.001
Clinical treatments received	• •	• •	• •	
Dexamethasone	133 (7%)	57 (6%)	76 (7%)	0.323
Remdesivir	216 (11%)	97 (10%)	119 (11%)	0.516
Hydroxychloroquine	437 (22%)	191 (20%)	246 (23%)	0.120

\* ACEi = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, ARB = angiotensin II receptor blockers, DVT = deep venous thrombosis, PE = pulmonary embolism.

link between increased systemic inflammation and worse outcomes, [11] we aimed to perform a sex-stratified evaluation of inflammatory markers and their association with mortality and CV outcomes in hospitalized patients with COVID-19.

# 2. Methods

# 2.1. Data collection


Data were obtained from the Johns Hopkins Health System COVID-19 Precision Medicine Analytic Platform Registry (JH-CROWN) on a racially and ethnically diverse patient population. This registry extrapolates data from 5 hospitals using electronic medical records. Consecutive adult patients (>18 years of age) with confirmed COVID-19 by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction test, who were admitted and died, or were discharged between March 1, 2020 and July 4, 2020, were included. Only the index hospitalization for each patient was included. Any patients who were still admitted after the end date were excluded.


Comorbidities and clinical events were obtained using ICD-10 codes or key words (Supplement), and sex and race were selfidentified. Myocardial infarction and ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke, were further adjudicated by a neurologist, 2 cardiologists and a research nurse [10,12]. All laboratory data were obtained using the first recorded values during hospitalization. COVID-19 patient management at our institution is based on specific institutional protocols, which include protocols for medical interventions, laboratory measurements and cardiac and venous thromboembolism evaluation and management. The study was approved by the Johns Hopkins University Institutional Review Board. Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

#### Table 2

Association of sex with myocardial injury, myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke and mortality.

$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$							
Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       1.58       2.04       2.04 (1.43–2.91)         (1.19–2.09)       (1.44–2.90) $p < 0.001$ myocardial infarction       Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       1.64       1.71         XXX       (0.88–3.03)       (0.89–3.29) $p = 0.118$ $p = 0.109$ Ischemic stroke       Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       2.72       3.10         XXX       (1.27–5.82)       (1.11–8.65) $p = 0.010$ $p = 0.031$ Mortality       Hazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       1.07       Male sex       1.07 (0.84–1.35) $(0.84–1.35)$ $p = 0.595$		Unadjusted	(adjusted for age, race	(adjusted for age, race, body mass index, hypertension, coronary artery disease, heart			
Male sex       1.58       2.04       2.04 (1.43–2.91) (1.19–2.09)         Male sex       1.61 $p < 0.001$ Myocardial infarction       Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       1.64       1.71         XXX       (0.88–3.03)       (0.89–3.29) $p = 0.118$ $p = 0.109$ Ischemic stroke       Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       2.72       3.10         XXX       (1.27–5.82)       (1.11–8.65) $p = 0.010$ $p = 0.031$ Mortality       Hazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       1.07       Male sex       1.07 (0.84–1.35) $(0.84–1.35)$ $p = 0.595$	Myocardial injury						
$ \begin{array}{cccc} (1.19-2.09) & (1.44-2.90) & p < 0.001 \\ p = 0.002 & p < 0.001 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{cccc} Myocardial infarction & \\ & Odds Ratio (95\% Confidence Interval) and p-value \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{ccccc} Male sex & 1.64 & 1.71 & XXX \\ & (0.88-3.03) & (0.89-3.29) \\ & p = 0.118 & p = 0.109 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{ccccc} Ischemic stroke & \\ & Odds Ratio (95\% Confidence Interval) and p-value \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{ccccc} Male sex & 2.72 & 3.10 & XXX \\ & (1.27-5.82) & (1.11-8.65) \\ & p = 0.010 & p = 0.031 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{cccccc} Mortality & \\ & Hazard Ratio (95\% Confidence Interval) and p-value \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{cccccccc} Male sex & 1.07 & Male sex & 1.07 (0.84-1.35) \\ & (0.84-1.35) & p = 0.595 \\ \end{array} $		Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value					
p = 0.002  p < 0.001 Myocardial infarction Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value Male sex 1.64 1.71 XXX (0.88-3.03) (0.89-3.29) p = 0.118 p = 0.109 Ischemic stroke Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value Male sex 2.72 3.10 XXX (1.27-5.82) (1.11-8.65) p = 0.010 p = 0.031 Mortality Hazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value Male sex 1.07 Male sex 1.07 Male sex 1.07 (0.84-1.35) p = 0.595	Male sex	1.58	2.04	2.04 (1.43-2.91)			
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Myocardial infarction} \\ Odds Ratio (95\% Confidence Interval) and p-value \\ \text{Male sex} & 1.64 & 1.71 & XXX \\ (0.88-3.03) & (0.89-3.29) \\ p = 0.118 & p = 0.109 \\ \hline \\ \text{Ischemic stroke} \\ Odds Ratio (95\% Confidence Interval) and p-value \\ \text{Male sex} & 2.72 & 3.10 & XXX \\ (1.27-5.82) & (1.11-8.65) \\ p = 0.010 & p = 0.031 \\ \hline \\ \text{Mortality} \\ \hline \\ Hazard Ratio (95\% Confidence Interval) and p-value \\ \text{Male sex} & 1.07 & \text{Male sex} & 1.07 (0.84-1.35) \\ (0.84-1.35) & p = 0.595 \\ \hline \end{array}$		(1.19-2.09)	(1.44 - 2.90)	<i>p</i> < 0.001			
Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       1.64       1.71       XXX         (0.88–3.03)       (0.89–3.29) $p = 0.118$ $p = 0.109$ Ischemic stroke       Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       2.72       3.10       XXX         (1.27–5.82)       (1.11–8.65) $p = 0.031$ Mortality       Hazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       1.07       Male sex       1.07 (0.84–1.35)         (0.84–1.35) $p = 0.595$		p = 0.002	p < 0.001				
Male sex       1.64       1.71       XXX         (0.88-3.03)       (0.89-3.29) $p = 0.109$ Ischemic stroke       Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       2.72       3.10         (1.27-5.82)       (1.11-8.65) $p = 0.010$ $p = 0.031$ Mortality       Hazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       1.07	Myocardial infarction						
(0.88-3.03)       (0.89-3.29) $p = 0.118$ $p = 0.109$ Ischemic stroke       Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       2.72       3.10       XXX         (1.27-5.82)       (1.11-8.65) $p = 0.031$ Mortality       Hazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       1.07       Male sex       1.07 (0.84-1.35)         (0.84-1.35) $p = 0.595$		Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value					
$p = 0.118 \qquad p = 0.109$ Ischemic stroke $Odds Ratio (95\% Confidence Interval) and p-value$ Male sex $2.72 \qquad 3.10 \qquad XXX$ $(1.27-5.82) \qquad (1.11-8.65)$ $p = 0.010 \qquad p = 0.031$ Mortality Hazard Ratio (95\% Confidence Interval) and p-value Male sex $1.07 \qquad Male sex \qquad 1.07 (0.84-1.35)$ $(0.84-1.35) \qquad p = 0.595$	Male sex	1.64	1.71	XXX			
Ischemic stroke Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value Male sex 2.72 3.10 XXX (1.27-5.82) (1.11-8.65) p = 0.010 $p = 0.031MortalityHazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-valueMale sex 1.07 Male sex 1.07 (0.84-1.35)(0.84-1.35) p = 0.595$		(0.88-3.03)	(0.89-3.29)				
Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       2.72       3.10       XXX $(1.27-5.82)$ $(1.11-8.65)$ $p = 0.010$ $p = 0.031$ Mortality       Hazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value         Male sex       1.07       Male sex       1.07 (0.84-1.35) $(0.84-1.35)$ $p = 0.595$		p = 0.118	p = 0.109				
Male sex         2.72         3.10         XXX $(1.27-5.82)$ $(1.11-8.65)$ $p = 0.010$ $p = 0.031$ Mortality         Hazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value           Male sex         1.07         Male sex         1.07 (0.84-1.35) $(0.84-1.35)$ $p = 0.595$	Ischemic stroke						
$\begin{array}{ll} (1.27-5.82) & (1.11-8.65) \\ p = 0.010 & p = 0.031 \\ \\ \mbox{Mortality} \\ \mbox{Hazard Ratio (95\% Confidence Interval) and p-value} \\ \mbox{Male sex} & 1.07 & \mbox{Male sex} & 1.07 (0.84-1.35) \\ (0.84-1.35) & p = 0.595 \\ \end{array}$		Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value					
p = 0.010  p = 0.031 Mortality Hazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value Male sex 1.07 Male sex 1.07 (0.84-1.35) (0.84-1.35) p = 0.595	Male sex	2.72	3.10	XXX			
MortalityHazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-valueMale sex $1.07$ Male sex $1.07$ $(0.84-1.35)$ $p = 0.595$		(1.27-5.82)	(1.11-8.65)				
$ \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Hazard Ratio (95\% Confidence Interval) and p-value} \\ \mbox{Male sex} & 1.07 & \mbox{Male sex} & 1.07 (0.84-1.35) \\ (0.84-1.35) & \mbox{p} = 0.595 \end{array} $		p = 0.010	p = 0.031				
	Mortality						
(0.84-1.35) $p = 0.595$		Hazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval) and p-value					
	Male sex	1.07	Male sex	1.07 (0.84–1.35)			
p = 0.595		(0.84-1.35)		p = 0.595			
		p = 0.595					






# 2.2. Statistical methods

We compared demographics, comorbidities, laboratory values and clinical events using parametric two-sample Student's t-test for normally distributed continuous variables, nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test for non-normally distributed continuous variables, or Chi-squared test for categorical variables. Unadjusted and adjusted Cox proportional-hazard models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HR) of death. Model 1 included adjustment for age, race and body mass index (BMI) and Model 2 added CV comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, heart failure, coronary artery disease and history of smoking). Logistic regression was performed for myocardial injury (defined as troponin  $I \ge 0.04$  ng/mL) with models 1 and 2. For myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke, only model 1 for logistic regression was conducted given a smaller number of events. Inflammatory and cardiovascular biomarkers were divided into quintiles. Given that biomarkers were not available for the entire cohort, analyses were used to identify differences in demographics and comorbidities among patients with/without laboratory values available. Identifiable differences were considered potential confounders and included for adjustment in Cox and logistic regression looking at sex-stratified association of biomarker elevation with all-cause mortality and myocardial injury. For ischemic stroke and myocardial infarction, inflammatory markers were only evaluated without adjustment given fewer events. All proportional hazard assumptions were confirmed.

#### B. Adjusted\* odds ratios of myocardial injury per quintile of biomarkers, stratified by sex



#### D. Unadjusted odds ratios of ischemic stroke per quintile of biomarkers, stratified by sex




Fig. 1. Association of inflammatory markers with mortality, myocardial injury, myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke, stratified by sex. \*Hazard ratios of death and odds ratios of myocardial injury are adjusted for age, race, body mass index, and history of hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure and smoking.

# 3. Results

Of 2060 patients, 1088 were men. Medial length of stay for men was 7.0 (3.2–15.1) days, and for women was 6.1 (2.7–13.0) days (p = 0.006). Men had lower BMI (27.5 vs 29.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, p < 0.001) and greater pre-existing coronary artery disease (10% vs 6%, p = 0.002) and smoking history (21% vs 14%, p < 0.001). On admission, men had higher interleukin-6 (72 vs 43 pg/mL, p < 0.001), C-reactive protein (12.2 vs 8.6 mg/dL, p < 0.001), ferritin (786 vs 416 ng/dL, p < 0.001) and fibrinogen (554 vs 510 mg/dL, p = 0.005) levels. Men were more likely to have myocardial injury (32% vs 23%, p = 0.002) and ischemic stroke (1.8% vs 0.7%, p = 0.019). Although there were a greater number of deaths (15% vs 12%, p = 0.076) and myocardial infarction (2.7% vs 1.6%, p = 0.114) in men vs. women, these differences were not statistically significant (Table 1).

In adjusted analysis, male sex was associated with greater risk of death (HR 1.33, 95% CI 1.01–1.74; p = 0.041 in Model 2). Men had greater odds of myocardial injury (OR 2.04; 95% CI 1.43–2.91; p < 0.001 in Model 2) and ischemic stroke in an adjusted model including age, BMI and race [OR 3.10 (1.11–8.65; p = 0.031), but not of myocardial infarction (Table 2).

After adjustment, per quintile increase in several inflammatory markers was associated with greater risk of death and odds of myocardial injury in both men and women with similar effect estimates (Fig. 1). Interleukin-6 was associated with increased odds of ischemic stroke (Fig. 1).

# 4. Discussion

We present sex data comparing important cardiovascular indices among men and women from a large cohort of racially/ethnically diverse hospitalized patients with COVID-19. Although men had more underlying CV comorbidities, after adjusting for these, men had a significantly increased risk of death compared to women. Moreover, the risk of both myocardial injury and ischemic stroke was higher in men, even after adjustment for clinical confounders. Finally, we report markedly increased levels of systemic inflammatory markers in men compared to women early during hospital admission for COVID-19, although there was no notable difference in the ability of inflammatory markers to predict adverse outcomes between sexes. To our knowledge, this is one of the first studies to investigate sex differences in COVID-19 in important CV outcomes and the degree of systemic inflammation after adjustment for comorbidities [6,13]. These findings support differences in sex-specific responses, but suggest that these differences are likely independent of common cardiovascular comorbidities, as has previously been advocated but not well studied [8,14,15].

Prior studies have shown that elevated systemic inflammation, as measured by clinical inflammatory biomarkers, is associated with COVID-19 severity [11]. However, there are few data on sex-stratified analyses of systemic inflammation and the extent of association with mortality and cardiovascular outcomes. In addition, although women have been reported to have higher levels of inflammatory markers in the outpatient setting, such as interleukin-6, compared to men, [16] our study in acutely ill patients with COVID-19 showed that men had significantly higher levels of several inflammatory markers including interleukin-6, C-reactive protein and ferritin. Despite high levels of acute inflammation in men, we demonstrate similar increases in the risk of mortality and odds of myocardial injury, myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke with elevations in inflammatory biomarkers between men and women. These findings raise suspicion that perhaps men are more likely to have a hyper-inflammatory response than women, although this alone may not explain the observed sex differences in mortality and cardiovascular outcomes. Recent studies also suggest that hyperactivation of the coagulation system, perhaps as demonstrated by rise in D-dimer, may be associated with myocardial injury and COVID-19 mortality [17–19]. COVID-19-related coagulopathy may result in the occurrence of thrombotic microangiopathy in various organs [20]. While we did not specifically investigate coagulopathy in our study and did not find D-dimer to be differentially elevated among men and women, it is certainly possible that COVID-19 related coagulopathy may be contributing to outcomes such as myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke or death.

Limitations of this work include retrospective study design. Additionally, all laboratory data were measured as clinically indicated, which raises potential selection bias. However, we adjusted for possible confounders to limit selection bias.

# 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, men are at significantly greater risk than women for myocardial injury, ischemic stroke and all-cause mortality in the setting of hospitalization with COVID-19. This increased risk in men remains despite adjustment for demographics and cardiovascular comorbidities. In addition, increased inflammatory markers are associated with a greater likelihood of developing myocardial injury, ischemic stroke and mortality, although this association is similar between men and women. These data support male sex as an independent risk factor of myocardial injury, ischemic stroke and mortality in acute COVID-19 illness.

## Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Dr. Minhas is supported by NHLBI Training Grant T32HL007024. Dr. Hays is supported by the Magic that Matters Fund of Johns Hopkins Medicine and NIH/ NHLBI 1R01HL147660.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

# **Ethics approval**

The study was approved by the Johns Hopkins University Institutional Review Board. Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

#### **Consent to participate**

Consent to participate was waived per the Johns Hopkins University Institutional Review Board.

#### Availability of data and material

All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information. Any requests for direct access to the data should be made directly to the JH-CROWN Registry.

## **Code availability**

Statistical software used was: StataCorp. 2019. *Stata* Statistical Software: Release *16*. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC. Code is available upon request.

# **Authors' contributions**

Allison Hays and Anum Minhas conceived the presented idea. Julie Shade and Natalia Trayanova assisted in data abstraction. Anum Minhas and Julie Shade performed computations. Sung-Min Cho, Allison Hays and Anum Minhas adjudicated clinical events. Erin Michos, Thomas Metkus, Nisha Gilotra and Garima Sharma contributed to revising analytic plans and interpreting the results. Anum Minhas took the lead in writing the manuscript. Allison Hays and all other coauthors edited the manuscript and provided critical feedback. Anum Minhas and Allison Hays are responsible as guarantors of the overall work.

# Acknowledgements

The data utilized for this publication were part of the JH-CROWN: The COVID PMAP Registry which is based on the contribution of many patients and clinicians.

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2021.05.011.

#### References

- [1] S. Shi, M. Qin, B. Shen, Y. Cai, T. Liu, F. Yang, W. Gong, X. Liu, J. Liang, Q. Zhao, H. Huang, B. Yang, C. Huang, Association of cardiac injury with mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China, JAMA Cardiol. (2020) https://doi. org/10.1001/jamacardio.2020.0950.
- [2] T. Guo, Y. Fan, M. Chen, X. Wu, L. Zhang, T. He, H. Wang, J. Wan, X. Wang, Z. Lu, Cardiovascular implications of fatal outcomes of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), JAMA Cardiol. (2020) https://doi.org/10.1001/jamacardio.2020.1017.
- [3] Epidemiology Working Group for NCIP Epidemic Response, Chinese center for disease control and prevention, [the epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in China], Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi, 41 (2020) 145–151, https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0254-6450. 2020.02.003.
- [4] C. Gebhard, V. Regitz-Zagrosek, H.K. Neuhauser, R. Morgan, S.L. Klein, Impact of sex and gender on COVID-19 outcomes in Europe, Biol. Sex Differ. 11 (2020) 29, https:// doi.org/10.1186/s13293-020-00304-9.
- Global Health 50/50, COVID-19 Sex-disaggregated Data Tracker, (n.d.). https:// globalhealth5050.org/covid19/sex-disaggregated-data-tracker/ (accessed July 25, 2020).
- [6] J.M.D. Gomez, J.M. Du-Fay-de-Lavallaz, S. Fugar, A. Sarau, J.A. Simmons, B. Clark, R.M. Sanghani, N.T. Aggarwal, K.A. Williams, R. Doukky, A.S. Volgman, Sex differences in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) hospitalization and mortality, J. Women's Health (Larchmt) (2021) https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2020.8948.
- [7] S.L. James, D. Abate, K.H. Abate, S.M. Abay, C. Abbafati, N. Abbasi, H. Abbastabar, et al., Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with

disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017, Lancet 392 (2018) 1789–1858, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32279-7.

- [8] M.O. Mohamed, C.P. Gale, E. Kontopantelis, T. Doran, M. de Belder, M. Asaria, T. Luscher, J. Wu, M. Rashid, C. Stephenson, T. Denwood, C. Roebuck, J. Deanfield, M.A. Mamas, Sex-differences in mortality rates and underlying conditions for COVID-19 deaths in England and Wales, Mayo Clin. Proc. S0025619620307618 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.07.009.
- [9] L. Lu, W. Zhong, Z. Bian, Z. Li, K. Zhang, B. Liang, Y. Zhong, M. Hu, L. Lin, J. Liu, X. Lin, Y. Huang, J. Jiang, X. Yang, X. Zhang, Z. Huang, A comparison of mortality-related risk factors of COVID-19, SARS, and MERS: A systematic review and meta-analysis, J. Infect. S0163445320304606 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.07.002.
- [10] K. Thygesen, J.S. Alpert, A.S. Jaffe, B.R. Chaitman, J.J. Bax, D.A. Morrow, H.D. White, Fourth universal definition of myocardial infarction (2018), J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 72 (2018) 2231–2264, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.08.1038.
- [11] F. Zeng, Y. Huang, Y. Guo, M. Yin, X. Chen, L. Xiao, G. Deng, Association of inflammatory markers with the severity of COVID-19: a meta-analysis, Int. J. Infect. Dis. 96 (2020) 467–474, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.05.055.
- [12] R.L. Sacco, S.E. Kasner, J.P. Broderick, L.R. Caplan, J.J. Connors, A. Culebras, M.S.V. Elkind, M.G. George, A.D. Hamdan, R.T. Higashida, B.L. Hoh, L.S. Janis, C.S. Kase, D.O. Kleindorfer, J.-M. Lee, M.E. Moseley, E.D. Peterson, T.N. Turan, A.L. Valderrama, H.V. Vinters, An updated definition of stroke for the 21st Century: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American heart association/ American stroke association, Stroke. 44 (2013) 2064–2089, https://doi.org/10. 1161/STR.0b013e318296aeca.
- [13] G. Sharma, A.S. Volgman, E.D. Michos, Sex differences in mortality from COVID-19 pandemic, JACC: Case Rep. 2 (2020) 1407–1410, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccas. 2020.04.027.
- [14] S.L. Klein, S. Dhakal, R.L. Ursin, S. Deshpande, K. Sandberg, F. Mauvais-Jarvis, Biological sex impacts COVID-19 outcomes, PLoS Pathog. 16 (2020), e1008570, https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008570.
- [15] J.-M. Jin, P. Bai, W. He, F. Wu, X.-F. Liu, D.-M. Han, S. Liu, J.-K. Yang, Gender differences in patients with COVID-19: focus on severity and mortality, Front. Public Health 8 (2020) 152, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00152.
- [16] M.-F. O'Connor, S.J. Motivala, E.M. Valladares, R. Olmstead, M.R. Irwin, Sex differences in monocyte expression of IL-6: role of autonomic mechanisms, Am. J. Phys. Regul. Integr. Comp. Phys. 293 (2007) R145–R151, https://doi.org/10.1152/ ajpregu.00752.2006.
- [17] Pisa Covid Study Group, A. Mengozzi, G. Georgiopoulos, M. Falcone, G. Tiseo, N.R. Pugliese, M.A. Dimopoulos, L. Ghiadoni, G. Barbieri, F. Forfori, L. Carrozzi, M. Santini, F. Monzani, S. De Marco, F. Menichetti, A. Virdis, S. Masi, The relationship between cardiac injury, inflammation and coagulation in predicting COVID-19 outcome, Sci. Rep. 11 (2021) 6515, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-85646-z.
- [18] F. Zhou, T. Yu, R. Du, G. Fan, Y. Liu, Z. Liu, J. Xiang, Y. Wang, B. Song, X. Gu, L. Guan, Y. Wei, H. Li, X. Wu, J. Xu, S. Tu, Y. Zhang, H. Chen, B. Cao, Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study, Lancet 395 (2020) 1054–1062, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30566-3.
- [19] N. Tang, H. Bai, X. Chen, J. Gong, D. Li, Z. Sun, Anticoagulant treatment is associated with decreased mortality in severe coronavirus disease 2019 patients with coagulopathy, J. Thromb. Haemost. 18 (2020) 1094–1099, https://doi.org/10.1111/jth. 14817.
- [20] S.E. Fox, A. Akmatbekov, J.L. Harbert, G. Li, J. Quincy Brown, R.S. Vander Heide, Pulmonary and cardiac pathology in African American patients with COVID-19: an autopsy series from New Orleans, Lancet Respir. Med. 8 (2020) 681–686, https://doi. org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30243-5.