

Citation: Chen B, Xu J, He X, Xu H, Li G, Du H, et al. (2015) A Genome-Wide mRNA Screen and Functional Analysis Reveal *FOXO3* as a Candidate Gene for Chicken Growth. PLoS ONE 10(9): e0137087. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087

Editor: Dawit Tesfaye, University of Bonn, GERMANY

Received: March 12, 2015

Accepted: August 13, 2015

Published: September 14, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Chen et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files. The raw RNA-seq data are available on Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) at accession number GSE72424 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/ acc.cgi?acc=GSE72424).

Funding: This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31172200; 31472090), the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-13-0803), the Key Technology Research and Development Program of Guangdong Emerging Strategic Industries (2012A020800005), and the Foundation for **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

A Genome-Wide mRNA Screen and Functional Analysis Reveal *FOXO3* as a Candidate Gene for Chicken Growth

Biao Chen^{1,2}, Jiguo Xu^{1,2}, Xiaomei He^{1,2}, Haiping Xu^{1,2}, Guihuan Li^{1,2}, Hongli Du³*, Qinghua Nie^{1,2}*, Xiquan Zhang^{1,2}

1 Department of Animal Genetics, Breeding and Reproduction, College of Animal Science, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, 510642, Guangdong, China, **2** Guangdong Provincial Key Lab of Agro-Animal Genomics and Molecular Breeding and Key Lab of Chicken Genetics, Breeding and Reproduction, Ministry of Agriculture, Guangzhou, 510642, Guangdong, China, **3** School of Bioscience and Bioengineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, 510006, China

* nqinghua@scau.edu.cn (QN); hldu@scut.edu.cn (HD)

Abstract

Chicken growth performance provides direct economic benefits to the poultry industry. However, the underlying genetic mechanisms are unclear. The objective of this study was to identify candidate genes associated with chicken growth and investigate their potential mechanisms. We used RNA-Seg to study the breast muscle transcriptome in high and low tails of Recessive White Rock (WRR_h, WRR_l) and Xinghua chickens (XH_h, XH_l). A total of 60, 23, 153 and 359 differentially expressed genes were detected in WRR_h vs. WRR_I, XH_h vs. XH_I, WRR_h vs. XH_h and WRR_I vs. XH_I, respectively. GO, KEGG pathway and gene network analyses showed that CEBPB, FBXO32, FOXO3 and MYOD1 played key roles in growth. The functions of FBXO32 and FOXO3 were validated. FBXO32 was predominantly expressed in leg muscle, heart and breast muscle. After decreased FBXO32 expression, growth-related genes such as PDK4, IGF2R and IGF2BP3 were significantly downregulated (P < 0.05). FBXO32 was significantly (P < 0.05) associated with carcass and meat quality traits, but not growth traits. FOXO3 was predominantly expressed in breast and leg muscle. In both of these tissues, the FOXO3 mRNA level in XH was significantly higher than that in WRR chickens with normal body weight (P < 0.05). In DF-1 cells, siRNA knockdown of FOXO3 significantly (P < 0.01) inhibited the MYOD expression and significantly upregulated (P < 0.01 or P < 0.05) the expression of growth-related genes including CEBPB, FBXO32, GH, GHR, IGF1R, IGF2R, IGF2BP1, IGF2BP3, INSR, PDK1 and PDK4. Moreover, 18 SNPs were identified in FOXO3. G66716193A was significantly (P < 0.05) associated with growth traits. The sites C66716002T, C66716195T and A66716179G were significantly (P < 0.05) associated with growth or carcass traits. These results demonstrated that FOXO3 is a candidate gene influencing chicken growth. Our observations provide new clues to understand the molecular basis of chicken growth.



High-level Talents in Higher Education of Guangdong, China. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Chicken growth, an important economic trait, is determined by genetic, nutritional and environmental factors. Heritability estimates showed that chicken growth could be enhanced by genetic improvement [1, 2]. This trait is controlled by multiple genes. At present, many studies have been performed to find genetic factors affecting growth. Candidate genes and quantitative trait loci (QTLs) such as *GH*, *IGFBP2* and *GHSR* have been identified [3, 4]. Recently, genomewide associate study (GWAS) analysis found that two *FOXO1A* single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were strongly associated with chicken growth [5]. However, the genetic mechanisms of chicken growth are unclear, and a more systematic picture of the genes responsible for this trait is needed. Recently, next generation sequencing provided an important opportunity for the genome-wide characterization of genes and pathways involved in growth [6-8].

In this study, two chicken breeds, Recessive White Rock (WRR) and Xinhua (XH), were used for RNA-Seq. WRR is a typical fast-growing breed that is known for its large body size and thick myofibers. XH is a Chinese native slow-growing breed which is characterized by small body size. The different growth speeds of these two breeds led to distinct growth performance at 7 weeks of age. Both breeds were used for studies on chicken growth and fat deposition traits [1, 9]. With the use of a population derived from reciprocal crosses between these two breeds, a quantitative trait loci (QTL) on chromosome 1 was identified to be related to chicken growth traits by Genome-wide association study [5]. Previous study by Methylated DNA immunoprecipitation-sequencing showed that growth-related genes exhibited altered DNA methylation between WRR and XH [10]. Therefore, in the present study, we intended to use two-tail samples of these two breeds at 7 weeks of age to study the gene expression differences between fast- and slow-growing broilers in a genome-wide level and then to identify candidate genes for chicken growth. In our study, we performed RNA-Seq and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were randomly selected to conduct qPCR experiments to validate the RNA-Seq results. Gene ontology (GO), Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway and gene network analyses were performed on the DEGs. Subsequently, the chicken FOXO3 and FBXO32 genes were selected for *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies to investigate their potential mechanisms functioned on growth.

Results

Assemble and blast analysis of reads from RNA-Seq

From RNA-Seq, we obtained 44139971, 36937542, 39046772 and 69669990 Illumina reads for WRR_h, WRR_l, XH_h and XH_l, respectively, giving rise to total residues of 4286130490, 3586054428, 3758078838 and 6698804887 bp, respectively (<u>Table 1</u>). All sequencing data have been submitted to NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database with the accession number GSE72424 (<u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE72424</u>). More than 70.5% of the total reads were mapped to the chicken genome. In total, 13828 genes were detected in the four samples, including 12848 in WRR_h, 12818 in WRR_l, 12419 in XH_h and 12915 in XH_l (Fig 1A). Of these genes, 11706 genes were identified in all four samples, while 201, 209, 125 and 249 genes were found exclusively in WRR_h, WRR_l, XH_h and XH_l, respectively (Fig 1A). The sequence length distribution showed that 83% of genes identified in the four samples had a length less than 4000 bp, while no more than 0.5% of genes were longer than 10000 bp (S1 Fig).

Table 1. Data generated from RNA-seq.

Sample ¹	Total number of reads	Total residues (bp)	Total mapped reads	Percentage of mapped reads ²
WRR _h	44139971	4286130490	32685128	74.0%
WRR	36937542	3586054428	27449227	74.3%
XH _h	39046772	3758078838	27532015	70.5%
ХНı	69669990	6698804887	49272817	70.7%

¹WRR_h, WRR_I, XH_h and XH_I indicated the group of Recessive White Rock with high body weight, Recessive White Rock with low body weight, Xinhua Chickens with high body weight and Xinhua Chickens with low body weight, respectively.

²Percentage of mapped reads in total reads.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087.t001

DEGs among the four groups

A comparison of gene expression among the four samples showed that there were 60 DEGs (fold changes ≥ 2 ; q value < 0.05) between WRR_h and WRR_l (WRR_h vs. WRR_l), 23 DEGs between XH_h and XH_l (XH_h vs. XH_l), 153 DEGs between WRR_h and XH_h (WRR_h vs. XH_h), and 359 DEGs between WRR_l and XH_l (WRR_l vs. XH_l) (Fig <u>1B</u> and <u>S1 Table</u>). *LAC_CHICK* (Ig lambda chain V-1 region) was found in all four comparisons. *LAC_CHICK* and *TTR* (transthyretin) were commonly identified in WRR_h vs. WRR_l and XH_h vs. XH_l (<u>S2 Table</u>). Moreover, 84 genes, including some crucial to chicken growth such as *FBXO32*, *FOXO3*, *MYOD1*, *PDK4*, *PNPLA2* and *SMYD1*, were commonly identified in WRR_h vs. XH_h and WRR_l vs. XH_l (<u>S3 Table</u>). Among all these DEGs, 6, 10, 11 and 12 genes were uniquely expressed in one of the two samples in each comparison of WRR_h vs. WRR_l, XH_h vs. XH_l, WRR_h vs. XH_h and WRR_l vs. XH_l and XH_l vs. XH_l, while there were a greater number of down-regulated genes in both WRR_h vs. WRR_l and XH_h vs. XH_l and XH_h vs. XH_l, while there were a greater number of down-regulated genes than of up-regulated genes in both WRR_h vs. XH_h and WRR_l vs. XH_l (<u>S2 Fig</u>).



Fig 1. Numbers of expressed genes and differentially expressed genes: results of RNA-Seq. A: Expressed genes among four samples. B: Differentially expressed genes among four contrasts including WRR_h Vs. WRR_h XH_h Vs. XH_I, WRR_h Vs. XH_h and WRR_i Vs. XH_L WRR_h, WRR_h, XH_h and XH_i indicated the group of Recessive White Rock with high body weight, Recessive White Rock with low body weight, Xinghua with high body weight and Xinghua with low body weight, respectively. The gene or differentially expressed gene number was shown at the top of each figure section.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087.g001

qPCR validation of DEGs obtained from RNA-Seq

To confirm the DEG results obtained from RNA-Seq, four genes (*RPL29*, *PDK4*, *FOXO3* and *LAPTM5*) were randomly selected to carry out qPCR using the same RNA samples used for RNA-Seq. The qPCR results showed general agreement with the RNA-Seq results in terms of the direction of expression in each comparison (Table 2).

GO and KEGG pathway analysis for DEGs

DEGs were then used for GO analysis to uncover enriched (P < 0.05) biological processes terms in each comparison. A total of 142 biological process terms, including 21 in WRRh vs. WRR₁, 6 in XH_h vs. XH₁, 47 in WRR_h vs. XH_h and 119 in WRR₁ vs. XH₁, were identified in our study (S5 Table). Of these, 99 were unique terms that appeared only once in all of the four comparisons. Many of the repeated biological process terms were focused on developmental process, regulation of biological process, cell differentiation and cell adhesion. A total of 29 DEGs involved in cell differentiation and proliferation were observed in the four comparisons, including well-known genes affecting chicken growth such as CEBPB, MYH11, MYOD1, NOTCH2 and TGFBR2 (Table 3). Moreover, in comparing XH_h vs. XH_l and WRR_h vs. XH_h, the following processes related to muscle development were found: skeletal muscle development, muscle organ development, muscle cell differentiation and muscle tissue development (S5 Table). Six DEGs were included in those processes: ACTC1, FOXP2, LGALS1, MYOD1, XIRP1 and ZFAND5. These genes might be crucial to muscle development. DEGs we identified were significant enriched (P < 0.05 and Benjiamini adjusted P < 0.1) in eight KEGG pathways, with the most influenced pathway being lysosome (Table 4). Furthermore, cell junction-related pathways such as focal adhesion, extracellular matrix (ECM)-receptor interaction and cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction were included. There were 45 DEGs in these four pathways, including TGFBR2 and ITGAV (Table 5).

Gene	Contrast	qPCR ¹	RNA-seq ²
RPL29	WRR _h Vs. WRR _I	1.58	1.05
	XH _h Vs. XH _I	1.09	1.04
	WRR _h Vs. XH _h	1.42	0.90
	WRR _I Vs. XH _I	0.98	0.89
PDK4	WRR _h Vs. WRR _I	7.26	4.60
	XH _h Vs. XH _I	3.03	1.94
	WRR _h Vs. XH _h	4.89	13.96
	WRR _I Vs. XH _I	2.04	5.90
FOXO3	WRR _h Vs. WRR _I	7.82	2.18
	XH _h Vs. XH _I	0.76	0.98
	WRR _h Vs. XH _h	11.13	6.56
	WRR _I Vs. XH _I	1.08	2.96
LAPTM5	WRR _h Vs. WRR _I	11.47	2.18
	XH _h Vs. XH _l	2.18	1.39
	WRR _h Vs. XH _h	4.63	0.32
	WRR _I Vs. XH _I	0.88	0.20

Table 2. gPCR validation of DEGs obtained from RNA-seq.

¹meant the ratio of expression value $(2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct})$ in the second group to the first group,

²fold change between contrasts presented (the ratio of FPKM in the second group to the first group).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087.t002



Gene name	Description	Fold change (P value)
Actc1	actin,alpha,cardiac muscle 1; actin,alpha 1,skeletal muscle	4.6673034 (1.20E-06)
ANG	leukocyte ribonuclease A-1; leukocyte ribonuclease A-2	3.5635050(7.02E-09)
ANXA1	annexin A1	5.0952624(8.32E-09)
B-MA1	B locus M alpha chain 1	3.5732686(0.000159)
btk	Bruton agammaglobulinemia tyrosine kinase	3.5233038(0.002987)
CD3E	CD3e molecule, epsilon (CD3-TCR complex)	5.5777273(5.02E-08)
CD74	CD74 molecule, major histocompatibility complex	2.2219375(0.000123)
CEBPB	CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), beta	3.5283824(1.13E-05)
CTGF	connective tissue growth factor	4.2948028(2.72E-06)
CXCR4	chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 4	3.9855519(0.000613)
Dpysl2	dihydropyrimidinase-like 2	2.2867636(0.000232)
EFHD1	EF-hand domain family, member D1	3.2853895(3.68E-05)
FABP4	fatty acid binding protein 4, adipocyte	5.4548149(3.38E-10)
Fkbp1b	FK506 binding protein 1B, 12.6 kDa	2.9395742(0.000495)
FYN	FYN oncogene related to SRC, FGR, YES	2.9063163(1.53E-05)
LGALS1	lectin, galactoside-binding, soluble, 1	8.8038404(1.47E-12)
LIPA	lipase A lysosomal acid cholesterol esterase	9.7054782(4.66E-15)
LYN	v-yes-1 Yamaguchi sarcoma viral related oncogene homolog	4.8534220(3.98E-08)
MDK	midkine (neurite growth-promoting factor 2)	8.3954349(1.06E-07)
MYH11	myosin heavy chain 10 non-muscle; myosin heavy chain 11	2.3873684(9.77E-07)
MYOD1	myogenic differentiation 1	8.3954349(1.06E-07)
Notch2	Notch homolog 2 (Drosophila)	2.1351327(0.000257)
plek	pleckstrin	5.8407637(1.37E-07)
Ptprc	protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor type C	4.1222595(9.99E-14)
sfrp2	secreted frizzled-related protein 2	6.4703796(4.28E-08)
Tgfbr2	transforming growth factor, beta receptor II (70/80kDa)	2.1053138(0.000330)
THY1	Thy-1 cell surface antigen	7.3370184(4.45E-12)
TXNRD1	thioredoxin reductase 1	3.1127232(0.000165)
XIRP1	xin actin-binding repeat containing 2	4.0403702(5.75E-08)

Table 3. Differentially expressed genes involved in the processes of cell differentiation and proliferation in the four comparisons.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087.t003

Table 4. Enriched KEGG pathways for all DEGs identified in the four comparisons.

No.	Pathways	P value	Benjiamini
1	Lysosome	1.2E-07	9.4E-06
2	Focal adhesion	0.0041	0.15
3	Drug metabolism	0.0045	0.12
4	Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450	0.024	0.39
5	ECM-receptor interaction	0.027	0.36
6	Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction	0.03	0.33
7	Intestinal immune network for IgA production	0.039	0.37
8	Glutathione metabolism	0.048	0.39

P < 0.05 and Benjiamini adjusted p < 0.1 was regarded as enriched.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087.t004



Table 5.	Differentially	expressed	genes in	volved in	the five	pathways	related to g	growth.
----------	----------------	-----------	----------	-----------	----------	----------	--------------	---------

Gene	Description	Gene	Description
actn1	actinin, alpha 1	fn1	fibronectin 1
Atp6v0d2	ATPase, H+ transporting, lysosomal 38kDa, V0 subunit D2	FYN	FYN oncogene related to SRC, FGR, YES
CCR2	chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 2	GNPTAB	N-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate transferase, alpha and beta subunits
CCR5	chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 5	GUSB	glucuronidase, beta
CD44	CD44 molecule	il2rg	interleukin 2 receptor, gamma
CHAD	chondroadherin	Itgav	integrin, alpha V
CLTA	clathrin, light chain (Lca)	LAMP3	lysosomal-associated membrane protein 3
Col5a1	collagen, type V, alpha 1	LAPTM4A	lysosomal-associated protein transmembrane 4 alpha
Col6a3	collagen, type VI, alpha 3	LAPTM5	lysosomal associated multispanning membrane protein 5
comP	cartilage oligomeric matrix protein	LGMN	legumain
CSF2RA	colony stimulating factor 2 receptor, alpha	lipA	lipase A, lysosomal acid, cholesterol esterase
csf3r	colony stimulating factor 3 receptor	LYPLA3	lysophospholipase 3
CTSA	cathepsin A	PARVB	parvin, beta
CTSB	cathepsin B	pdgfra	platelet-derived growth factor receptor, alpha polypeptide
CTSC	cathepsin C	Pdgfrb	platelet-derived growth factor receptor, beta polypeptide
CTSH	cathepsin H	Pik3cb	phosphoinositide-3-kinase, catalytic, beta polypeptide
CTSL2	cathepsin L2	Samd13	deoxyribonuclease II beta;sterile alpha motif domain containing 13
CTSS	cathepsin S	SPP1	secreted phosphoprotein 1
CXCL12	chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 12	TCIRG1	T-cell, immune regulator 1, ATPase, H+ transporting
CXCL13L2	similar to macrophage inflammatory protein-2	Tgfbr2	transforming growth factor, beta receptor II (70/80kDa)
CXCL14	chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 14	TLN1	talin 1
CXCR4	chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 4	ZYX	zyxin
FLNB	filamin B, beta		

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087.t005

Gene network analysis for DEGs

Subsequently, we used Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA, Ingenuity Systems; <u>http://www.</u> <u>ingenuity.com</u>) to investigate the gene networks for DEGs identified in the inner comparisons (WRR_h vs. WRR_l and XH_h vs. XH_l). The results showed that the top gene network was cellular movement (<u>Fig 2A</u>). Among the DEGs, *FABP4*, *LGALS3*, *LYN* and *SPI1* were central of this network. Network analysis for all DEGs in the cross-breed comparisons (WRR_h vs. XH_h and WRR_l vs. XH_l) revealed that the top gene network was skeletal and muscular system development and function (<u>Fig 2B</u>), with *MYOD1* and *FBXO32* as node genes.

The results of the GO, KEGG pathway and gene network analyses indicated that *CEBPB*, *FBXO32* and *MYOD1* might be the key genes related to chicken growth at 7 weeks of age. Further investigation of gene networks involving these three genes showed that *FOXO3* might be the crucial gene, interacting with *CEBPB*, *FBXO32*, and *MYOD1* and to affect growth (Fig 3A and 3B, which show networks compared for WRR_h vs. XH_h and WRR_l vs. XH_l, respectively). In WRR_h vs. XH_h and WRR_l vs. XH_l, the *CEBPB*, *FBXO32*, *FOXO3* and *MYOD1* mRNA levels were all higher in slow-growing chickens than in fast-growing chickens (Table 6). Furthermore, *FBXO32* and *FOXO3* showed relatively high fold changes in both of these two comparisons. Therefore, *FBXO32* and *FOXO3* were selected for functional analysis in this study.



MYH)

PEKEB



Fig 2. The top gene network in the inner contrasts and across-breed contrasts. A: The top gene network identified in inner contrasts (WRR_h Vs. WRR_l and XH_h Vs. XH_i). B: The top gene network identified in across-breed contrasts (WRR_h Vs. XH_h and WRR_l Vs. XH_i). Genes exhibiting up-regulated were shown in red, while gene exhibiting down-regulated were shown in green color. The color intensity indicated the degree of up-/down-regulated. Solid lines and dashed lines indicated direct interaction and indirect interaction, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087.g002



Fig 3. Gene networks involved the FBXO32 and MYOD1 in WRR_h Vs. XH_h and WRR_I Vs. XH_I. A: in WRR_h Vs. XH_h. B: in WRR_I Vs. XH_I. Genes exhibiting up-regulated were shown in green color. The color intensity indicated the degree of up-/down-regulated. Solid lines and dashed lines indicated direct interaction and indirect interaction, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087.g003



Gene	WRR _h FPKM	XH _h FPKM	log₂Ratio (XH _h / WRR _h)	WRR _I FPKM	XHI FPKM	log₂Ratio (XH _I / WRR _I)
CEBPB	45.09	159.10	1.82	62.82	138.74	1.14
FBXO32	23.94	208.70	3.12	21.52	235.93	3.45
FOXO3	14.13	92.67	2.71	30.76	90.89	1.56
MYOD1	118.89	277.56	1.22	112.65	313.63	1.48

Table 6. Differential expression of CEBPB, FBXO32, FOXO3 and MYOD1 in contrasts of WRRh Vs. XHh and WRR Vs. XHL

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087.t006

cDNA clone of the chicken FOXO3 gene

Using PCR amplification and 3' rapid amplification of cDNA ends (3'RACE), a 2,882 bp (or 1,874 bp) cDNA fragment of the chicken *FOXO3* gene, including the 1,443 bp CDS and the full-length 3' UTR (1,439 bp or 431 bp), was obtained (<u>S3 Fig</u>). The chicken FOXO3 showed more than 85.4% identity with its human, mouse, rat, elephant, whale, pig, dog, sheep and cattle, counterparts. However, much lower homology with cFOXO3 was found for fish and xenopus, which were 47.4% and 77.1%, respectively.

FOXO3 and *FBXO32* gene mRNA expression in different tissues and between different breeds

The mRNA expression analysis in various tissues showed that the chicken *FOXO3* gene was predominantly expressed in breast muscle and leg muscle tissues, followed by heart, lung and pituitary (Fig 4A). *FOXO3* expression was compared between WRR and XH chickens with normal body weight (BW) at seven weeks of age. In breast muscle, its mRNA level was significantly higher in XH chickens (P < 0.01) than in WRR chickens (Fig 4B). In leg muscle, expression in XH was significantly higher (P < 0.05) than in WRR (Fig 4B). The chicken *FBXO32* gene was predominantly expressed in leg, heart and breast muscle (Fig 5A). The mRNA level of *FBXO32* was significantly higher in breast and heart muscle than in XH (P < 0.05) but lower in leg muscle (P < 0.05) (Fig 5B).

The expression change of growth-related genes after RNA interference of candidate genes

To find suitable conditions for subsequent RNA interference experiments, we first evaluated the cell activity after transfection. From 5 to 48 h post-transfection, cell activity was not reduced and apoptosis did not occur in either DF-1 cells (S4A Fig) and skeletal muscle cell (S4B Fig). Moreover, the cell density became stronger with the advancement of time. Therefore, the transfected cells were collected at 48 h post transfection for further analysis.

To investigate the interfering efficiency of different small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), three specific siRNAs targeting *FOXO3*, siRNA-703, siRNA-842 and siRNA-1082 were transfected into DF-1 cells at three different concentrations (25 nM, 50 nM and 100 nM). Cells treated with non-specific siRNA (NC siRNA) were used as a control. The transfection of DF-1 cells with 25 nM siRNA-703 led to a 10% decrease in *FOXO3* gene expression, whereas transfection with 25 nM siRNA-842 or siRNA-1082 had no effect on gene expression (Fig 4C). After transfection with 50 nM siRNA-703, gene expression was not decreased. After transfection of siRNA-842 or siRNA-1082 transfection at 100 nM, resulting in 41% reduced gene expression (Fig 4C). For *FBXO32*, siRNA-001, siRNA-002 and siRNA-003 were synthesized and transfected into DF-1 cells at 50 nM which is the recommended concentration for Lipofectamine 2000. NC siRNA was used as a control at 50 nM. The three siRNAs decreased *FOXO3*



Fig 4. *FOXO3* expression in different tissues, different breeds, DF-1 cells and the up/down-regulation of growth-related genes. A: The mRNA level of *FOXO3* in different tissues. Cer: cerebrum, Ceb: cerebellum, Hyp: hypothalamus, Pit: pituitary, Sbf: subcutaneous fat, Abd: abdominal fat, Brm: breast muscle, Lem: leg muscle, Hea: heart, Liv: liver, Spl: spleen, Lun: lung, Kid: kidney, Giz: gizzard, Stg: stomachus glandularis, Smi: small intestine, Ova: vary, Thy: thymus, Clb: cloacal bursa. The horizontal axis indicated different tissues, while the vertical axis indicated $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ value. B: The expression difference of *FOXO3* between XH and WRR. C: Relative expression of *FOXO3* in DF-1 cells transfected with different siRNAs. The x-axis described siRNAs and the y-axis showed the relative expression of *FOXO3*. D: The relative expression changes of growth related genes in DF-1 transfected with siRNA-1082 at 100 nM. **and*indicated P < 0.01 and P < 0.05, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087.g004

PLOS ONE

gene expression by 18%, 49% and 35%, respectively (Fig 5C). Therefore, siRNA-002 was used for transfecting DF-1 cells.

Then, 100 nM siRNA-1082 was transfected into DF-1 cells. At 48 h after transfection, qPCR results showed that it effectively (P < 0.01) inhibited the expression of *FOXO3* (Fig 4D). *FOXO3* expression knockdown resulted in the significant up-regulation of many growth related genes, including *CEBPB* (P < 0.01), *FBXO32* (P < 0.01), *GH* (P < 0.01), *GHR* (P < 0.05), *IGF1R* (P < 0.01), *IGF2R* (P < 0.05), *IGF2BP1* (P < 0.05), *IGF2BP3* (P < 0.01), *INSR* (P < 0.05), *PDK1* (P < 0.05) and *PDK4* (P < 0.01), while the expression of *MYOD* was highly significantly down-regulated (P < 0.01). The mRNA levels of *IGFBP2*, *IGFBP3*, *MYF5*, *MYF6* and *MYH10* were not significantly changed (P > 0.05) (Fig 4D). The *IGF1* and *IGF2* expression levels were too low to be detected in DF-1 cells. After *FBXO32* gene expression was significantly down-regulated (P < 0.05). The *GH* and *GHR* expression levels tended to increase. (Fig 5D)



Fig 5. *FBXO32* expression in different tissues, different breeds, DF-1 cells and the up/down-regulation of growth-related genes. A: The mRNA level of *FBXO32* in different tissues in XH chickens. Cer: cerebrum, Ceb: cerebellum, Hyp: hypothalamus, Pit: pituitary, Sbf: subcutaneous fat, Abd: abdominal fat, Brm: breast muscle, Lem: leg muscle, Hea: heart, Liv: liver, Lun: lung, Kid: kidney, Giz: gizzard, Stg: stomachus glandularis. The horizontal axis indicated different tissues, while the vertical axis indicated $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ value. B: The expression difference of *FBXO32* between XH and WRR. C: Relative expression of *FBXO32* in DF-1 cells transfected with different siRNAs. The x-axis described siRNAs and the y-axis showed the relative expression of *FBXO32*. D: The relative expression changes of growth related genes in DF-1 transfected with siRNA002 at 50 nM. **and*indicated P < 0.01 and P < 0.05, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087.g005

PLOS ONE

Association of SNPs in both of FOXO3 and FBXO32 with chicken growth traits

A total of 18 SNPs were identified in the chicken FOXO3 gene (Table 7). Four SNPs were located in the intron region and 11 were located in the coding region. Among these SNPs in the coding region, only one (G66715314C) was a non-synonymous variation (Ala \rightarrow Pro). Then, 13 SNPs, C66716195T (rs314403820), G66716193A (rs317912153), A66716179G (rs15379322), A66716172G (rs15379320), T66716119C (rs15379317), A66716041G (rs15379315), C66716002T, G66715897A, C66715807T, C66715738T (rs317670452), G66715672A (rs317919122), A66715543G (rs15379310) and T66715378C (rs15379307), were selected for marker-trait association analyses (Table 8). The minor allele frequency for each SNP was greater than 0.05. Association analysis showed that G66716193A (rs317912153) was significantly (P < 0.05) (P < 0.01) associated with growth traits, including BW at different weeks, shank length at 70 days (SL70), shank length at 84 days (SL84), shank diameter at 70 days (SD70) and the average daily gain (ADG) from 4 to 8 weeks. Birds with the AG genotype had higher BW at various time points than those with the GG genotype. The site C66716002T was significantly (P < 0.05) associated with growth traits including BW at 14 days, 21 days, 77 days and SD70. Conversely, the polymorphic site C66716195T (rs314403820) was significantly (P < 0.05) associated with carcass traits, such as chest width (CW), dressed weight (DW), semi-eviscerated weight (SEW), eviscerated weight (EW) and breast muscle weight (BMW).

NO.	SNP ¹	RefSNP	Region	Nucleotide change	AA change ²
1	G66786154A	rs315777420	unknown	/	/
2	66785514GTTC Indel	/	unknown	/	/
3	G66785391A	/	unknown	/	/
4	C66716195T	rs314403820	Intron	/	/
5	G66716193A	rs317912153	Intron	/	/
6	A66716179G	rs15379322	Intron	/	/
7	A66716172G	rs15379320	Intron	/	/
8	T66716119C	rs15379317	Exon	$CAT \to CAC$	$H\toH$
9	A66716041G	rs15379315	Exon	$\textbf{CCA} \rightarrow \textbf{CCG}$	$P\toP$
10	C66716002T	/	Exon	$GCC\toGCT$	$A \to A$
11	G66715897A	/	Exon	$\textbf{TCG} \rightarrow \textbf{TCA}$	$S \to S$
12	C66715807T	/	Exon	AAC ightarrow AAT	$N\toN$
13	C66715738T	rs317670452	Exon	$\textbf{GAC} \rightarrow \textbf{GAT}$	$D\toD$
14	G66715672A	rs317919122	Exon	$\textbf{CCG} \rightarrow \textbf{CCA}$	$P\toP$
15	A66715543G	rs15379310	Exon	$ACA \to ACG$	$T\toT$
16	T66715378C	rs15379307	Exon	$TTT\toTTC$	$F\toF$
17	G66715314C	/	Exon	GCC ightarrow CCC	$A\toP$
18	C66715165T	rs317127440	Exon	$AAC \to AAT$	$N\toN$

Table 7. Summary of SNPs identified in the chicken FOXO3 gene.

¹The number indicated the position on the chromosome 3.

²AA indicated amino acid.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087.t007

The site A66716179G (rs15379322) had significant (P < 0.05) association with SD70 and abdominal fat pad weight (AFW).

SNPs of chicken FBXO32 were obtained from the NCBI SNP database. The 5'flank region is considered the promoter region of many genes. A total of 14 SNPs in the 5'flank region of FBXO32 were chosen and confirmed by sequencing (Table 9). All of these SNPs, including A137669051T (rs314992955), C137669076T (rs313186471), C137669094T (rs741279417), C137669311G (rs733740424), C137669446T (rs16155707) A137669484C (rs737463671), A137669551G (rs316423136), A137669618G (rs731278593), C137669630T (rs315617235), A137669638T (rs313162537), C137669668G (rs317677782), A137669706G (rs316476530), A137669723C (rs313990885), A137669732G (rs735987765), were selected for marker-trait association analyses (Table 10). Association analysis showed that C137669094T (rs741279417) was significantly (P < 0.05) (P < 0.01) associated with carcass traits and meat quality traits, including small intestine length (SIL) and breast muscle fleshcolor (BMF). The site C137669311G (rs733740424) was significantly (P < 0.05) associated with BMF. The site C137669446T (rs16155707) was significantly associated with chest angle (CA) (P < 0.01) and head width (HW) (P < 0.05). The site A137669484C (rs737463671) was significantly (P < 0.05) associated with CA. The site A137669551G (rs316423136) was significantly (P < 0.05) associated with CW. The site A137669618G (rs731278593) was significantly (P < 0.05) (P < 0.01) associated with SL at various weeks. The site C137669668G (rs317677782) was significantly (P < 0.05) (P < 0.01) associated with leg muscle fleshcolor (LMF) and BMF. Moreover the site A137669723C (rs313990885) and A137669732G (rs735987765) was significantly (P < 0.05) associated with BMF.



SNP	Traits	P value	Least-square Means ± standard errors (SE)			
G66716193A	BW7 (g)	<1×10 ⁻⁴	56.86±1.02 ^A (AA/52)	61.33±0.68 ^B (AG/116)	58.18±0.60 ^A (GG/220)	
	BW14 (g)	0.0245	120.90±2.17 ^a (AA/55)	126.00±1.40 ^b (AG/124)	121.67±1.25 ^a (GG/244)	
	BW21 (g)	0.0326	206.49±4.23 ^{ab} (AA/51)	214.55±2.64 ^a (AG/125)	205.90±2.38 ^b (GG/239)	
	BW35 (g)	0.0455	433.45±9.91 ^{ab} (AA/54)	446.95±6.38 ^a (AG/122)	425.48±5.73 ^b (GG/236)	
	BW42 (g)	0.0316	561.83±13.13 ^{ab} (AA/55)	585.67±8.54 ^a (AG/122)	556.68±7.66 ^b (GG/240)	
	BW49 (g)	0.0408	691.84±15.63 ^{ab} (AA/55)	721.30±10.11 ^a (AG/124)	689.33±9.02 ^b (GG/243)	
	BW56 (g)	0.0104	843.66±18.33 ^b (AA/55)	883.89±11.85 ^{Aa} (AG/123)	838.64±10.54 ^{Bb} (GG/243)	
	BW70 (g)	0.0005	1124.73±27.26 ^{AB} (AA/47)	1169.77±17.74 ^A (AG/94)	1075.11±15.47 ^B (GG/196)	
	BW77 (g)	0.0127	1311.23±31.19 ^{AB} (AA/48)	1360.08±20.28 ^A (AG/95)	1279.17±17.67 ^B (GG/197)	
	BW84 (g)	0.0373	1461.47±40.97 ^{ab} (AA/36)	1514.82±25.69 ^a (AG/76)	1425.07±22.82 ^b (GG/161)	
	SL70 (mm)	0.0065	81.62±0.77 ^{AB} (AA/47)	83.16±0.50 ^A (AG/94)	81.09±0.43 ^B (GG/196)	
	SD70 (mm)	0.0415	9.50±0.13 ^{ab} (AA/47)	9.55±0.08 ^a (AG/94)	9.23±0.07 ^b (GG/196)	
	SL84 (mm)	0.0403	87.76±0.94 ^{ab} (AA/36)	89.52±0.59 ^a (AG/76)	87.66±0.52 ^b (GG/161)	
	ADG2 (g/day)	0.0144	19.15±0.53 ^b (AA/55)	20.26±0.35 ^{Aa} (AG/120)	18.99±0.30 ^{Bb} (GG/240)	
C66716002T	BW14 (g)	0.0326	122.52±0.93 ^a (CC/332)	124.75±1.85 ^a (TC/83)	136.29±5046 ^b (TT/7)	
	BW21 (g)	0.0361	208.33±1.76 ^a (CC/325)	210.71±3.47 ^a (TC/82)	234.99±10.25 ^b (TT/7)	
	BW77 (g)	0.0454	1302.25±13.26 ^a (CC/267)	1341.32±26.25 ^{ab} (TC/66)	1473.21±76.22 ^b (TT/6)	
	SD70 (mm)	0.0462	9.36±0.054 ^a (CC/265)	9.58±0.11 ^{ab} (TC/65)	9.92±0.30 ^b (TT/6)	
C66716195T	CW (mm)	0.0131	68.20±4.49 ^a (CC/76)	62.43±5.199 ^b (TC/163)	62.26±6.55 ^{ab} (TT/183)	
	DW (g)	0.0131	1347.10±157.76 ^a (CC/76)	1136.51±182.62 ^b (TC/163)	1119.53±230.26 ^{ab} (TT/183)	
	SEW (g)	0.0212	1227.26±142.5 ^a 9(CC/76)	1046.46±165.07 ^b (TC/163)	1030.24±208.12 ^{ab} (TT/182)	
	EW (g)	0.0199	1097.35±125.03 ^a (CC/76)	935.36±144.74 ^b (TC/163)	918.04±182.49 ^{ab} (TT/182)	
	BMW (g)	0.0132	91.07±11.39 ^a (CC/76)	79.69±13.12 ^b (TC/163)	83.25±16.63 ^{ab} (TT/183)	
A66716179G	SD70(mm)	0.0286	9.49±0.07 ^A (AA/204)	9.37±0.07 ^a (AG/111)	9.00±0.17 ^{Bb} (GG/22)	
	AFW (g)	0.0478	24.94±9.62 ^a (AA/251)	7.97±12.41 ^b (AG/143)	1.87±19.63 ^{ab} (GG/227)	

Table 8. Association of SNPs in the chicken FOXO3 gene with the growth and carcass traits.

BW7, BW14, BW21, BW35, BW42, BW49, BW56, BW70, BW77 and BW84 indicated body weight at 7, 14, 21, 35, 42, 49, 56, 70 and 77 days, respectively. SL70 or SL84 = shank length at 70 or 84 days. SD70 = shank diameter at 70 days. ADG2 meant average daily gain from 4 to 8 weeks. CW = chest width. DW = dressed weight. SEW = semi-eviscerated weight. EW = eviscerated weight. BMW = breast muscle weight. AFW = abdominal fat pad weight. Number in brackets meant the number of birds tested for each genotype. The ^{a,b} or ^{A,B} values with no common superscripts within a column indicated the comparison was differed significantly (P < 0.05 or P < 0.01).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087.t008

Discussion

During postnatal growth, skeletal muscle increase occurs mainly due to muscle hypertrophy accompanied by satellite cell proliferation to incorporate new myonuclei into existing myofibers [11]. In this study, the comparison with the highest number of DEGs resulted in the most GO biological process terms, and terms of development process, cell differentiation and cell adhesion were present in multiple comparisons. Lysosome was the most significantly enriched pathway. Lysosomes are a membrane-bound cell organelles that contain acid hydrolase enzymes and are interlinked with endocytosis, phagocytosis and autophagy. Previous research showed that the autophagy-lysosome system was a key player in regulating protein degradation in skeletal muscle [12, 13]. Moreover, other enriched pathways were cell junction-related pathways (focal adhesion, ECM-receptor interaction and cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction), suggesting that pathways critical to maintaining the integrity of tissues might be involved in chicken growth at 7 weeks of age. Focal adhesion complexes serve as mechanical linkages to the ECM and are the signaling centers of numerous intracellular pathways related to cell

NO.	SNP ¹	RefSNP	Region	Nucleotide change
1	A137669051T	rs314992955	5'near gene	/
2	C137669076T	rs313186471	5'near gene	/
3	C137669094T	rs741279417	5'near gene	/
4	C137669311G	rs733740424	5'near gene	/
5	C137669446T	rs16155707	5'near gene	/
6	A137669484C	rs737463671	5'near gene	/
7	A137669551G	rs316423136	5'near gene	/
8	A137669618G	rs731278593	5'near gene	/
9	C137669630T	rs315617235	5'near gene	/
10	A137669638T	rs313162537	5'near gene	/
11	C137669668G	rs317677782	5'near gene	/
12	A137669706G	rs316476530	5'near gene	/
13	A137669723C	rs313990885	5'near gene	/
14	A137669732G	rs735987765	5'near gene	/

Table 9. Summary of SNPs identified in the 5' region of chicken FBXO32 gene.

¹The number indicated the position on the chromosome 2.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087.t009

motility, proliferation and differentiation [14]. ECM components play integral roles in the formation of the muscle satellite cell niche, and their specific interactions with satellite cells can regulate cell adhesion, migration, differentiation, proliferation and self-renewal [15]. Cytokines such as IL-6 are key regulators of cell growth, proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis [16]. Some cytokines produced by myofibers and peritendinous tissue are, termed myokines [17].

Table 10. Association of SNPs in the chicken FBXO32 gene with the carcass and meat quality traits.

SNP	Traits	P value	Least-	Least-square Means ± standard errors (SE)			
C137669094T	SIL(cm)	0.0463	143.61±1.68 ^a (TT/123)	141.46±4.27 ^{ab} (CC/11)	135.85±2.41 ^b (TC/46)		
	BMF	0.0073	56.79±0.96 ^a (TT/124)	49.91±3.29 ^b (CC/11)	54.70±1.48 ^{ab} (TC/46)		
C137669311G	BMF	0.0110	56.44±1.32(CC/81)	55.36±1.26(CG/56)	55.90±1.56(GG/39)		
C137669446T	CA(°)	0.0046	60.83±0.58 ^A (CC/170)	66.55±3.20 ^B (CT/3)	69.45±2.46 ^B (TT/8)		
	HW(mm)	0.0476	30.79±0.19 ^a (CC/163)	27.70±0.94 ^b (CT/3)	28.74±0.72 ^b (TT/8)		
A137669484C	CA(°)	0.0415	61.17±0.60 ^b (CC/144)	61.09±1.25 ^b (AC/27)	64.73±1.95 ^a (AA/7)		
A137669551G	CW(mm)	0.0218	66.97±0.83 ^b (GG/130)	73.330±1.46 ^a (AG/30)	70.97±1.84 ^a (AA/19)		
A137669618G	SL63(mm)	0.0263	79.28±1.01 ^b (GG/47)	87.10±2.09 ^a (GA/4)	82.08±2.88 ^{ab} (AA/3)		
	SL70 (mm)	0.0433	83.26±0.60 ^b (GG/118)	87.05±2.09 ^a (GA/13)	82.37±2.33 ^b (AA/13)		
	SL77 (mm)	0.0070	89.24±1.23 ^B (GG/47)	99.03±3.31 ^A (GA/4)	92.58±3.36 ^B (AA/3)		
	SL84 (mm)	0.0041	89.77±1.43 ^B (GG/103)	95.52±2.04 ^A (GA/12)	89.57±2.61 ^B (AA/11)		
C137669668G	LMF	0.0140	65.98±0.74 ^a (GG/123)	64.33±0.19 ^a (GC/43)	58.26±3.01 ^b (CC/12)		
	BMF	0.0072	57.13±0.87 ^A (GG/123)	54.25±1.46 ^{AB} (GC/43)	50.12±2.87 ^B (CC/12)		
A137669638T	HW(mm)	0.0314	30.56±0.26 ^{ab} (TT/64)	30.94±0.22 ^a (TA/59)	30.44±0.27 ^b (AA/46)		
A137669723C	BMF	0.0128	55.99±1.20 ^b (CC/70)	55.15±1.22 ^b (AC/59)	59.92±1.49 ^a (AA/47)		
A137669732G	BMF	0.0147	55.99±1.20 ^{ab} (GG/70)	55.21±1.27 ^b (GA/59)	56.47±1.47 ^a (AA/46)		

SL63, SL70, SL77 or SL84 indicated shank length at 63, 70, 77 or 84 days, respectively. CA = chest angle. SIL = small intestine length. HW = head width. CW = chest width. BMF = breast muscle fleshcolor. LMF = leg muscle fleshcolor. The ^{a,b} or ^{A,B} values with no common superscripts within a column indicated the comparison was differed significantly (P < 0.05 or P < 0.01).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137087.t010

Myokines activate of intracellular signaling cascades by binding to their specific receptors, thereby regulating metabolism in skeletal muscle [18].

Importantly, we identified, three crucial transcription factors, CEBPB, FBXO32 and MYOD1, that might be related to chicken growth. Previous research revealed that CEBPB regulated multiple genes in response to GH [19]. Moreover, CEBPB was an activator of adipogenesis and acted as an inhibitor of myogenesis [20, 21]. As one well-known myogenic regulatory factor, MYOD1 has been extensively studied, and its effect on growth has been well-demonstrated [22, 23]. It can trans-differentiate many cell types to muscle cells and is a key regulator of myogenesis [23–25]. Several recently published reports suggested that MYOD1 could modulate and facilitate the assembly of muscle enhancers [26, 27]. Furthermore, CEBPB, FBXO32 and MYOD1 can interact with each other [20, 28]. In this study, network analysis indicated that *FOXO3* was a central gene interacting with *CEBPB*, *FBXO32* and *MYOD1*.

FOXO3 is a member of the Forkhead box class O (FOXO) transcription factor family. Like other members of this family, FOXO3 was demonstrated to play a crucial role in many species, from lower animals to mammals. It performs a variety of cellular functions, including cell growth and differentiation, cell-cycle control, energy metabolism, DNA damage repair, response to oxidative stress, and apoptosis [29-33]. In mammals, FOXO3 was relatively ubiquitously expressed, consistent with our findings in chicken [34]. However, the mammalian FOXO3 was predominantly expressed in heart, brain, kidney and ovary, whereas the chicken FOXO3 was highly expressed in breast muscle and leg muscle tissues [34]. A previous study suggested that FOXO3 contributes to cell growth in striated muscle [33]. Our expression comparison between fast-growing and slow-growing breeds also confirmed its important function in skeletal muscle. It is possible that the higher expression of CEBPB, FBXO32 and FOXO3 in slow-growing XH chickens contributed to their lower growth performance, whereas the higher level of MYOD1 would partly counteract these effects. Moreover, a series of genes involved in the somatotropic axis, including GH, GHR, IGF1R, IGF2R, IGF2BP1 and IGF2BP3, was effectively up-regulated by FOXO3 knockdown after siRNA interference, suggesting that FOXO3 might play an important role in growth. In particular, some genes remarkably up-regulated by FOXO3 knockdown, including INSR, PDK1 and PDK4, were present upstream of the IGF1/ FOXO signal transduction pathway, which would further promote FOXO3 inhibition [33, 34]. Recent studies suggested that MYOD was a direct target of FOXO3 in myoblasts [35]. In vivo and in vitro, FOXO3 was demonstrated to play an important role in activating MYOD transcription [35]. In this study, FOXO3 knockdown resulted in a significant down-regulation of MYOD, whereas expression of the other MRFs (MYF5 and MYF6) was unaffected, consistent with previously published studies [35]. These results indicated that FOXO3 could interact with MYOD but not the others MRFs. Conversely, previous research identified FOXO3 as a major activator of FBXO32 expression, a factor associated with skeletal muscle protein degradation [36, 37]. In contrary, we found that FOXO3 knockdown strongly increased FBXO32 expression. Nevertheless, our data revealed that FOXO3 down-regulation induced a dramatic down-regulation of CEBPB, which is an inhibitor of myogenesis [20]. The up/down-regulation of FBXO32 and *CEBPB* was inconsistent with the reported inhibitory roles of FOXO3 on muscle growth. Therefore, further study is needed to investigate protein expression changes. The human FOXO3 contains a sequence of 95 amino acids forming a forkhead DNA-binding domain motif (148-257 amino acids). It also possesses a nuclear localization sequence (NLS, 249-251 and 269-271 amino acids), a nuclear export sequence (NES, 386-396 amino acids) and a conserved C-terminal transactivation domain [38]. The sequences of the chicken FOXO3 gene showed high identity with the previously cloned mammalian FOXO3. The chicken FOXO3 had the same amino acids as the human FOXO3 in the NLS and NES. It shared high homology with human FOXO3 in the forkhead DNA-binding domain, with a six amino acid difference.

FBXO32, also known as Atrogin 1 or MAFbx, is a skeletal and cardiac muscle-specific Fbox containing protein that was shown to be associated with the maintenance of muscle mass [22, 25]. *FBXO32* is a muscle-specific gene that has a key function in muscle atrophy [39]. Previous research on feed deprivation showed that as the muscle degraded, *FBXO32* expression increased [40]. Although we did not find any association between SNPs of *FBXO32* and chicken growth traits, our findings showed that it was highly expressed in chicken leg muscle, heart and breast muscle tissues, which is in accordance with its role. *FBXO32* was regulated by multiple transcription factors. A previous study suggested that FOXO3 can act on the promoter of *FBXO32*, mediating *FBXO32* transcription and muscle cell atrophy [41]. After *FBXO32* expression significantly decreased, growth related genes including *PDK4*, *IGF2R* and *IGFBP3* were significantly down-regulated. Previous published reports showed that FBXO32 and PDK4 may interact in skeletal muscle metabolism [42, 43]. The expression levels of *GH* and *GHR* had tendency to increase, indicating that *FBXO32* may have influence on muscle growth at the mRNA level.

Thus far, many SNPs and QTLs were reported to correlate with growth $[\underline{3}, \underline{4}]$. In humans, one FOXO3 promoter SNP was associated with human body mass index [44]. In pig, FOXO3 SNPs were closely associated with growth and development traits [45]. To date, this study is the first to scan variations in the chicken FOXO3 gene and then evaluate their effects on chicken growth. In humans, FOXO3 was phosphorylated and acetylated on multiple sites, including K242, K245, K259, K271, K290, K569, S207, S253, S295, S315, S318, S321, S325, S345, S399, S413, S426, S555, S588, S626, S644, T32 and T179 [33, 38, 46]. In this study, we scanned the entire exon 2 of chicken FOXO3, and a total of 11 SNPs were identified in the 1,432 bp exon 2 fragment. These variation sites corresponded to R222, P238, A251, S286, N316, D339, P361, T404, F459, T475 and N530 in human FOXO3. Although these variations were not present in phosphorylation or acetylation sites, C66716002T (corresponding to A251 in human FOXO3), was located in the forkhead DNA-binding domain, which is crucial in regulating the transcription of target genes. This SNP did not cause an amino acid change, but might affect mRNA splicing, stability, or protein folding, and thereby alter protein functions such as DNA-binding [47]. Moreover, it is located in the NLS region. Our association analysis demonstrated that this mutation had a significant effect on growth traits. Among the mutations identified in exon 2 of chicken FOXO3, G66715314C was a non-synonymous variation resulting in a change in the amino acid sequence. It was previously shown that non-synonymous SNPs affect protein functions and protein-protein interactions [48, 49]. Therefore, the G66715314C mutation may have important effects on economic traits, and its association with chicken growth traits needs further study. Conversely, four SNPs found in this study were located near the exon-intron boundaries, which are special regions that usually had important functional roles in protein [50]. Three of these four SNPs were associated with growth traits. Importantly, the G66716193A site, which was 60 bp away from the intron-exon boundary, was significantly associated with most growth traits.

In summary, this study provided a comprehensive transcriptome analysis of breast muscle between fast- and slow-growing chickens. *CEBPB*, *FBXO32*, *FOXO3* and *MYOD1* may play key roles in chicken growth at seven weeks of age. Further expression analysis, siRNA analysis and association analysis demonstrated that chicken *FOXO3* is a candidate gene involvement in chicken growth. Our observations provide new clues to understand the molecular basis of chicken growth.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

All animal experiments were performed in accordance with and were approved by the Animal Care Committee of South China Agricultural University (Guangzhou, China) (approval ID: SCAU#0011). All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering.

Animals and tissues

Two chicken breeds WRR and XH were used for RNA-Seq in this study. WRR is a breed with a fast growth rate, while XH is a Chinese native breed with a slow growth rate. WRR and XH were provided by Guangdong Wens Foodstuff Company Ltd, Guangdong, China and Zhi-cheng Avian Breeding Company Ltd, Guangdong, China, respectively. Briefly, birds were fed *ad libitum* with 16.5% CP and 2800 kcal of ME/kg, with free access to water. BW was measured at seven weeks of age and three female chickens from each of the two-tail samples of WRR and XH were selected based on it. The four groups WRR with high weight (WRR_h), WRR with low weight (WRR_l), XH with high weight (XH_h) and XH with low weight (XH_l) were generated. Their average BW7 values were 1064.0 \pm 11.1, 695.0 \pm 24.4, 305.8 \pm 23.3 and 207.6 \pm 11.1 g, respectively. At 7 weeks of age, chickens were killed by stunning followed by exsanguination. Breast muscles were collected and stored at -80°C until RNA extraction.

Five XH chickens at 7 weeks of age were used for expression analysis of *FOXO3* and *FBXO32* in different tissues. A total of 19 tissues, cerebrum, cerebellum, pituitary, hypothalamus, heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, breast muscle, leg muscle, muscular stomach, glandular stomach, ovary, duodenum, subcutaneous fat, abdominal fat, thymus and bursa of Fabricius, were collected from each chicken.

Four XH and four WRR chickens with normal BW were used for the expression analysis between different breeds by qPCR. Breast muscle and leg muscle tissues were dissected from those 8 birds. All those birds were obtained from the Chicken Breeding Farm of South China Agricultural University, Guangdong, China.

An F2 full sibling hybrid population, from a WRR and XH cross as described previously, was used for association analysis between SNPs and chicken growth or carcass traits [51]. Genomic DNA was extracted from EDTA-anticoagulated blood and then used for genotyping.

RNA extraction and RNA-Seq

Total RNA from various tissues and DF-1 cells was isolated by TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and then treated with DNase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quality and concentration were evaluated by an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Subsequently, three breast muscle RNAs of the same group were mixed in equal amounts. In this way, four pooled samples (WRR_h, WRR_l, XH_h and XH_l) were generated and then were sent to Shanghai Majorbio Bio-pharm Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) for RNA-Seq. cDNA libraries were constructed according to Illumina's protocols and then each library was sequenced on a single line of Illumina Hiseq 2000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) to obtain paired-end 101-bp reads.

Bioinformatic analysis of RNA-Seq

For raw data from RNA-Seq, we first removed reads containing adaptors, unknown bases and low quality bases to obtain high quality reads. Then, the clean reads of the four samples were aligned to the chicken reference genome (<u>http://asia.ensembl.org/info/data/ftp/index.html</u>) by TopHat software, with no more than 2 bp mismatches. The mapped reads were used for further

transcriptome annotation and expression calculation with using FPKM (Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads). All expressed genes were subjected to GO analysis by AmiGO2 (http://amigo.geneontology.org/amigo), with Bonferroni-adjusted P value < 0.05. With the use of Cuffdiff (http://cufflinks.cbcb.umd.edu/), genes with greater than 2-fold changes between two samples and a q value < 0.05 were regarded as DEG. All those DEGs were subjected to GO analysis and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis with the DAVID Functional Annotation Tool (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/) using a 0.05 cutoff for the P value and Benjiamini adjusted P < 0.1 cutoff for the Benjamini adjusted p-value. Moreover, all DEGs underwent gene network analysis by IPA.

cDNA synthesis and qPCR

Primers P1 to P5 (S6 Table) were used for the validation of RNA-Seq data by qPCR. For the *FOXO3* expression analysis in different tissues and breeds, total RNA was reverse transcribed using a PrimeScript RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara Co., Japan) to synthesize the first-strand cDNAs. qPCR was conducted in a total volume of 20 µL: 10 µL Bestar Real time PCR Master Mix (SYBR Green) (DBI Bioscience, Germany), 0.5 µL of each primer (10 µM), 8.0 µL of RNase-free water and 1 µL of cDNA on a BIO-RAD CFX96 system (Bio-Rad, USA). P4 was used for qPCR of *FOXO3* and β-actin (P1) was used as the internal control (S6 Table). All reactions were run in triplicates. The relative expression level was calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. Where $\Delta\Delta$ Ct corresponded to the difference between Δ Ct measured for the mRNA level of the reference sample, Δ Ct = Ct_{target gene}-Ct_{reference gene}.

Cloning of the chicken FOXO3 gene

Total RNA was extracted from the breast muscle of an XH chicken. According to the predicted partial cDNA sequences of the chicken *FOXO3* gene (NCBI accession number: XM_001234495.3), a pair of primers (P6) was designed and primer-directed RT-PCR was used to amplify a partial cDNA sequence and part of the 3'UTR region (<u>S6 Table</u>). The products were subcloned into the pMD18-T vector (TaKaRa Biotechnology Co Ltd, Dalian, China) and then sequenced on Applied Biosystem model 3730 sequencer. Based on the obtained sequences, P7 and P8 (<u>S6 Table</u>) were designed for 3' RACE. With the use of the SMART RACE cDNA amplification kit (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA), a breast muscle cDNA library was prepared and the 3' ends of the cDNA were amplified by specific forward primers and random reverse primers. Sequence analysis was conducted using DNASTAR software (<u>http://www.dnastar.com</u>). The homology of FOXO3 among different species, including human (NP_001446.1), mouse (NP_062714.1), rat (NP_001099865.1), elephant (XP_003404353.1), whale (XP_004264796.1), pig (NP_001129431.1), dog (XP_003639448.1), sheep (NP_001254818.1), cattle (NP_001193012.1), chicken, Xenopus (NP_001086418.1) and fish (XP_003449931.1), was analyzed with MEGA 6 software (<u>http://www.megasoftware.net/</u>).

Polymorphism identification in *FOXO3* and *FBXO32* and association analysis with growth traits

With the use of P9, P10 and P11 (<u>S6 Table</u>), ten chickens from the WRR and XH F2 full sibling hybrid population were selected to identify polymorphisms in the coding region and intron region near the exon-intron boundaries of the *FOXO3* gene through direct sequencing. Primers P30 (<u>S6 Table</u>) were used for identify polymorphisms in the 5' flank region of the *FBXO32* gene. Another ten chickens from the WRR & XH F2 full sib hybrid population were selected to sequence. Sequence analyses were conducted with the software DNASTAR V 3.0 (<u>http://www.</u>

biologysoft.com/; Steve ShearDown, 1998–2001 version reserved by DNASTAR Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA). Only polymorphisms occurring more than twice were regarded as variations. P10 and P30 was also used for the following association analyses in this population by direct sequencing. Association analyses of polymorphisms with chicken growth and carcass traits were conducted using SAS 8.0 software (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA) using the following GLM model:

$$Y = \mu + G + D + H + S + \epsilon$$

where Y is an observation of the traits, μ is the overall population mean, G is the fixed effect of genotype, D is the random effect of dam, H is the fixed effect of hatch, S is the fixed effect of sex, and e is the residual error.

siRNA design, cell culture and transfection

siRNA molecules were synthesized by Shanghai GenePharma Co. Ltd (Shanghai, China) with commercial service. Three FOXO3 target sites were selected: siRNA-703 (sense: 5' gccggauggaagaauucaatt3', antisense: 5' uugaauucuuccauccggctt3'), siRNA-842 (sense: 5' gcgccguguccauggacaatt3', antisense: 5' uuguccaugga-cacggcgctt3') and siRNA-1082 (sense: 5' gcaccgagcuggaugaugutt3', antisense: 5' acaucauccagcucggugctt3'). A NC siRNA fragment was produced as the control. Three specific siRNAs targeting FBXO32 were synthesized.: siRNA-001 (sense: 5' ccuucaa-cagacuugacuu 3', antisense: 5' ggaaguugucugaacugaa 3'), siRNA-002 (sense: 5' gcaacugaacaacauucaa 3', antisense: 5' ccgauaguugu3'), siRNA-003 (sense: 5' gguaauccuaucugacaa 3', antisense: 5' ccgauaggauagacugu 3').

The chicken fibroblast cell line DF-1 was obtained from the Cell Bank of Committee on Type Culture Collection of Chinese Academy of Sciences and was cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagles Medium (DMEM) (GIBCO BRL, Life Technologies, Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Hyclone Laboratories, Logan, Utah), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. Cells were cultured and maintained in humidified air at 37°C with 5% CO₂. When the DF-1 cells were grown to approximately 50-80% confluence, independent transfections of the three siRNAs and the control NC siRNA were carried out using the transfection reagent Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA) following the manufacturer's protocol. For each siRNA targeting FOXO3, a plasmid concentration gradient (25 nM, 50 nM and 100 nM) was set to investigate which concentration ratio had the highest transfection efficiency. Here, experiments for each gradient were conducted in triplicates. For each siRNA targeting FBXO32, the recommended concentration of Lipofectamine 2000 (50 nM) was transfected into DF-1 cells. At 48 h posttransfection, RNA was extracted from cells to perform qPCR. For each siRNA, the expression of target genes at each concentration was compared to that in cells transfected with NC siRNA. P12 was used for qPCR for FOXO3, P14 was used for qPCR for FBXO32, and β -actin (P1) was used as the control (S6 Table). Eventually, we found that siRNA-1082 at 100 nM had the highest relative efficiency of transfection and it was selected for the following experiments. siRNA-002 for FBXO32 had the highest relative efficiency of transfection and was used for transfecting DF-1 cells. When cells were grown to 50-80% confluence, siRNA-1082 and NC siRNA at 100 nM and siRNA-002 and NC siRNA at 50 nM were transfected into DF-1 cells. RNA was collected at 48 h post-transfection. With the use of qPCR, the expression change was analyzed for growth-related genes including CEBPB, FBXO32, GH, GHR, IGF1R, IGF2R, IGFBP2, IGFBP3, IGF2BP1, IGF2BP3, INSR, MYF5, MYF6, MYOD, MYH10, PDK1 and PDK4 (primers are shown in <u>S6 Table</u>).

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Sequence length distribution of genes identified in WRR_h, WRR_l, XH_h and XH_l. (DOC)

S2 Fig. Directionality of DEGS. $WRR_h vs. WRR_l, XH_h vs. XH_l, WRR_h vs. XH_h and WRR_l vs. XH_l indicate the comparisons between <math>WRR_h$ and WRR_l , between XH_h and XH_l , between WRR_h and XH_h and $between WRR_l$ and XH_l , respectively. In each comparisons, up-regulated indicates that the expression in the second group was higher than that in the first group, while down-regulated indicates that the expression in the first group was higher than that in the second group.



S3 Fig. The cDNA sequences of the two transcripts of the chicken *FOXO3* **gene.** Sequences in the black square frame indicated the sequences absent in the second transcript. Sequences in the CDS are presented in uppercase, while those in the 3' UTR are presented in lowercase. (DOC)

S4 Fig. Cell proliferation pre-transfection, 24 h post-transfection and 48 h post-transfection. A: DF-1 cells. B: Skeletal muscle cells. (TIF)

S1 Table. Detailed information on DEGs in the four comparisons of WRR_h vs. WRR_l, XH_h vs. XH_l, WRR_h vs. XH_h and WRR_l vs. XH_l. (XLS)

S2 Table. DEGs overlapped in comparisons of WRR_h vs. WRR_l and XH_h vs. $XH_{l.}$ $(\rm XLS)$

S3 Table. DEGs overlapped in comparisons of WRR_h vs. XH_h and WRR_l vs. $XH_{\rm L}$ $(\rm XLS)$

S4 Table. DEGs that were uniquely expressed in one of the two samples in each comparisons.

(DOC)

S5 Table. The GO BP ALL category for DEGs in each comparisons of WRR_h vs. WRR_l, XH_h vs. XH_l, WRR_h vs. XH_h and WRR_l vs. XH_l. (XLS)

S6 Table. Descriptions of all primers used in this study. (DOC)

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Xinzheng Jia and Ouyang Hongjia in our lab for their technical assistance. This research was supported by the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-13-0803), the National Natural Scientific Foundation of China (31172200; 31472090), and the Foundation for High-level Talents in Higher Education of Guangdong, China.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: QN XZ HD. Performed the experiments: BC JX XH. Analyzed the data: BC JX XH HX. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: GL. Wrote the paper: BC HX QN.

References

- Claire DH, Paul W, Shen X, Jia X, Zhang R, Sun L, et al. Identification and characterization of genes that control fat deposition in chickens. J Anim Sci Biotechnol. 2013; 4:43. doi: <u>10.1186/2049-1891-4-43</u> PMID: <u>24206759</u>
- Flisar T, Malovrh S, Tercic D, Holcman A, Kovac M. Thirty-four generations of divergent selection for 8week body weight in chickens. Poult Sci. 2014; 93:16–23. doi: <u>10.3382/ps.2013-03464</u> PMID: <u>24570418</u>
- Darzi NM, Masoudi AA, Vaez TR. Association of single nucleotide polymorphism of GHSR and TGFB2 genes with growth and body composition traits in sire and dam lines of a broiler chicken. ANIM BIO-TECHNOL. 2014; 25:13–22. doi: 10.1080/10495398.2013.803478 PMID: 24299181
- Ahsan M, Li X, Lundberg AE, Kierczak M, Siegel PB, Carlborg O, et al. Identification of candidate genes and mutations in QTL regions for chicken growth using bioinformatic analysis of NGS and SNPchip data. Front Genet. 2013; 4:226. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2013.00226 PMID: 24204379
- Xie L, Luo C, Zhang C, Zhang R, Tang J, Nie Q, et al. Genome-wide association study identified a narrow chromosome 1 region associated with chicken growth traits. PLOS ONE. 2012; 7:e30910. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0030910</u> PMID: <u>22359555</u>
- Schmidt A, Schmid MW, Klostermeier UC, Qi W, Guthorl D, Sailer C, et al. Apomictic and sexual germline development differ with respect to cell cycle, transcriptional, hormonal and epigenetic regulation. PLOS GENET. 2014; 10:e1004476. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004476 PMID: 25010342
- Ayers KL, Davidson NM, Demiyah D, Roeszler KN, Grutzner F, Sinclair AH, et al. RNA sequencing reveals sexually dimorphic gene expression before gonadal differentiation in chicken and allows comprehensive annotation of the W-chromosome. GENOME BIOL. 2013; 14:R26. doi: <u>10.1186/gb-2013-</u> 14-3-r26 PMID: 23531366
- Mutz KO, Heilkenbrinker A, Lonne M, Walter JG, Stahl F. Transcriptome analysis using next-generation sequencing. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2013; 24:22–30. doi: <u>10.1016/j.copbio.2012.09.004</u> PMID: <u>23020966</u>
- Liang SS, Ouyang HJ, Liu J, Chen B, Nie QH, Zhang XQ. Expression of variant transcripts of the potassium channel tetramerization domain-containing 15 (KCTD15) gene and their association with fatness traits in chickens. Domest Anim Endocrinol. 2015; 50:65–71. doi: <u>10.1016/j.domaniend.2014.09.006</u> PMID: <u>25447881</u>
- Hu Y, Xu H, Li Z, Zheng X, Jia X, Nie Q, et al. Comparison of the genome-wide DNA methylation profiles between fast-growing and slow-growing broilers. PLOS ONE. 2013; 8:e56411. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0056411</u> PMID: <u>23441189</u>
- Jiang C, Shi P, Li S, Dong R, Tian J, Wei J, et al. Gene expression profiling of skeletal muscle of nursing piglets. INT J BIOL SCI. 2010; 6:627–38. PMID: 20975821
- Mammucari C, Milan G, Romanello V, Masiero E, Rudolf R, Del PP, et al. FoxO3 controls autophagy in skeletal muscle in vivo. CELL METAB. 2007; 6:458–71. PMID: <u>18054315</u>
- Zhao J, Brault JJ, Schild A, Cao P, Sandri M, Schiaffino S, et al. FoxO3 coordinately activates protein degradation by the autophagic/lysosomal and proteasomal pathways in atrophying muscle cells. CELL METAB. 2007; 6:472–83. PMID: 18054316
- Romer LH, Birukov KG, Garcia JG. Focal adhesions: paradigm for a signaling nexus. CIRC RES. 2006; 98:606–16. PMID: <u>16543511</u>
- Thomas K, Engler AJ, Meyer GA. Extracellular matrix regulation in the muscle satellite cell niche. CON-NECT TISSUE RES. 2015; 56:1–8. doi: <u>10.3109/03008207.2014.947369</u> PMID: <u>25047058</u>
- Serrano AL, Baeza-Raja B, Perdiguero E, Jardi M, Munoz-Canoves P. Interleukin-6 is an essential regulator of satellite cell-mediated skeletal muscle hypertrophy. CELL METAB. 2008; 7:33–44. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1016/j.cmet.2007.11.011</u> PMID: <u>18177723</u>
- 17. Pedersen BK. Muscles and their myokines. J EXP BIOL. 2011; 214:337–46. doi: <u>10.1242/jeb.048074</u> PMID: <u>21177953</u>
- Henriksen T, Green C, Pedersen BK. Myokines in myogenesis and health. Recent Pat Biotechnol. 2012; 6:167–71. PMID: <u>23092437</u>

- Cui TX, Lin G, LaPensee CR, Calinescu AA, Rathore M, Streeter C, et al. C/EBPbeta mediates growth hormone-regulated expression of multiple target genes. MOL ENDOCRINOL. 2011; 25:681–93. doi: <u>10.1210/me.2010-0232</u> PMID: <u>21292824</u>
- Marchildon F, Lala N, Li G, St-Louis C, Lamothe D, Keller C, et al. CCAAT/enhancer binding protein beta is expressed in satellite cells and controls myogenesis. STEM CELLS. 2012; 30:2619–30. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1002/stem.1248</u> PMID: <u>23034923</u>
- Wiper-Bergeron N, Salem HA, Tomlinson JJ, Wu D, Hache RJ. Glucocorticoid-stimulated preadipocyte differentiation is mediated through acetylation of C/EBPbeta by GCN5. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007; 104:2703–8. PMID: <u>17301242</u>
- Yin H, Zhang S, Gilbert ER, Siegel PB, Zhu Q, Wong EA. Expression profiles of muscle genes in postnatal skeletal muscle in lines of chickens divergently selected for high and low body weight. Poult Sci. 2014; 93:147–54. doi: <u>10.3382/ps.2013-03612</u> PMID: <u>24570434</u>
- Morosetti R, Mirabella M, Gliubizzi C, Broccolini A, De Angelis L, Tagliafico E, et al. MyoD expression restores defective myogenic differentiation of human mesoangioblasts from inclusion-body myositis muscle. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103:16995–7000. PMID: 17077152
- Berkes CA, Tapscott SJ. MyoD and the transcriptional control of myogenesis. SEMIN CELL DEV BIOL. 2005; 16:585–95. PMID: <u>16099183</u>
- Choi J, Costa ML, Mermelstein CS, Chagas C, Holtzer S, Holtzer H. MyoD converts primary dermal fibroblasts, chondroblasts, smooth muscle, and retinal pigmented epithelial cells into striated mononucleated myoblasts and multinucleated myotubes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1990; 87:7988–92. PMID: 2172969
- Blum R. Activation of muscle enhancers by MyoD and epigenetic modifiers. J CELL BIOCHEM. 2014; 115:1855–67. doi: <u>10.1002/jcb.24854</u> PMID: <u>24905980</u>
- Blum R, Vethantham V, Bowman C, Rudnicki M, Dynlacht BD. Genome-wide identification of enhancers in skeletal muscle: the role of MyoD1. Genes Dev. 2012; 26:2763–79. doi: <u>10.1101/gad.200113.</u> <u>112 PMID: 23249738</u>
- Lagirand-Cantaloube J, Cornille K, Csibi A, Batonnet-Pichon S, Leibovitch MP, Leibovitch SA. Inhibition of atrogin-1/MAFbx mediated MyoD proteolysis prevents skeletal muscle atrophy in vivo. PLOS ONE. 2009; 4:e4973. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0004973 PMID: 19319192
- Medema RH, Kops GJ, Bos JL, Burgering BM. AFX-like Forkhead transcription factors mediate cellcycle regulation by Ras and PKB through p27kip1. NATURE. 2000; 404:782–7. PMID: <u>10783894</u>
- Tran H, Brunet A, Grenier JM, Datta SR, Fornace AJ, DiStefano PS, et al. DNA repair pathway stimulated by the forkhead transcription factor FOXO3a through the Gadd45 protein. SCIENCE. 2002; 296:530–4. PMID: 11964479
- Kops GJ, Dansen TB, Polderman PE, Saarloos I, Wirtz KW, Coffer PJ, et al. Forkhead transcription factor FOXO3a protects quiescent cells from oxidative stress. NATURE. 2002; 419:316–21. PMID: 12239572
- 32. Warr MR, Binnewies M, Flach J, Reynaud D, Garg T, Malhotra R, et al. FOXO3A directs a protective autophagy program in haematopoietic stem cells. NATURE. 2013; 494:323–7. doi: <u>10.1038/</u>nature11895 PMID: 23389440
- Sanchez AM, Candau RB, Bernardi H. FoxO transcription factors: their roles in the maintenance of skeletal muscle homeostasis. CELL MOL LIFE SCI. 2014; 71:1657–71. PMID: <u>24232446</u>
- van der Vos KE, Coffer PJ. The extending network of FOXO transcriptional target genes. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2011; 14:579–92. doi: <u>10.1089/ars.2010.3419</u> PMID: <u>20673124</u>
- Hu P, Geles KG, Paik JH, DePinho RA, Tjian R. Codependent activators direct myoblast-specific MyoD transcription. DEV CELL. 2008; 15:534–46. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2008.08.018 PMID: 18854138
- Sandri M, Sandri C, Gilbert A, Skurk C, Calabria E, Picard A, et al. Foxo transcription factors induce the atrophy-related ubiquitin ligase atrogin-1 and cause skeletal muscle atrophy. CELL. 2004; 117:399–412. PMID: 15109499
- Sacheck JM, Ohtsuka A, McLary SC, Goldberg AL. IGF-I stimulates muscle growth by suppressing protein breakdown and expression of atrophy-related ubiquitin ligases, atrogin-1 and MuRF1. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2004; 287:E591–601. PMID: <u>15100091</u>
- Calnan DR, Brunet A. The FoxO code. ONCOGENE. 2008; 27:2276–88. doi: <u>10.1038/onc.2008.21</u> PMID: <u>18391970</u>
- Bodine SC, Latres E, Baumhueter S, Lai VK, Nunez L, Clarke BA, et al. Identification of ubiquitin ligases required for skeletal muscle atrophy. SCIENCE. 2001; 294:1704–8. PMID: <u>11679633</u>
- Cleveland BM, Evenhuis JP. Molecular characterization of atrogin-1/F-box protein-32 (FBXO32) and Fbox protein-25 (FBXO25) in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss): Expression across tissues in

response to feed deprivation. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol. 2010; 157:248–57. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1016/j.cbpb.2010.06.010</u> PMID: <u>20601059</u>

- Sandri M, Sandri C, Gilbert A, Skurk C, Calabria E, Picard A, et al. Foxo transcription factors induce the atrophy-related ubiquitin ligase atrogin-1 and cause skeletal muscle atrophy. CELL. 2004; 117:399–412. PMID: <u>15109499</u>
- Murphy BA, Wagner AL, McGlynn OF, Kharazyan F, Browne JA, Elliott JA. Exercise influences circadian gene expression in equine skeletal muscle. VET J. 2014; 201:39–45. doi: <u>10.1016/j.tvjl.2014.03.</u> <u>028</u> PMID: <u>24888677</u>
- 43. Mallinson JE, Constantin-Teodosiu D, Glaves PD, Martin EA, Davies WJ, Westwood FR, et al. Pharmacological activation of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex reduces statin-mediated upregulation of FOXO gene targets and protects against statin myopathy in rodents. J Physiol. 2012; 590:6389–402. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2012.238022 PMID: 23045346
- 44. Kim JR, Jung HS, Bae SW, Kim JH, Park BL, Choi YH, et al. Polymorphisms in FOXO gene family and association analysis with BMI. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2006; 14:188–93.
- 45. Wang M, Wang Q, Pan Y. From QTL to QTN: candidate gene set approach and a case study in porcine IGF1-FoxO pathway. PLOS ONE. 2013; 8:e53452. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0053452</u> PMID: <u>23341942</u>
- 46. Tzivion G, Dobson M, Ramakrishnan G. FoxO transcription factors; Regulation by AKT and 14-3-3 proteins. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2011; 1813:1938–45. doi: <u>10.1016/j.bbamcr.2011.06.002</u> PMID: <u>21708191</u>
- Hunt R, Sauna ZE, Ambudkar SV, Gottesman MM, Kimchi-Sarfaty C. Silent (synonymous) SNPs: should we care about them? Methods Mol Biol. 2009; 578:23–39. doi: <u>10.1007/978-1-60327-411-1_2</u> PMID: <u>19768585</u>
- Teng S, Michonova-Alexova E, Alexov E. Approaches and resources for prediction of the effects of non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphism on protein function and interactions. Curr Pharm Biotechnol. 2008; 9:123–33. PMID: <u>18393868</u>
- 49. Yates CM, Sternberg MJ. The effects of non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphisms (nsSNPs) on protein-protein interactions. J MOL BIOL. 2013; 425:3949–63. doi: <u>10.1016/j.jmb.2013.07.012</u> PMID: 23867278
- Yu L, Zhou H, Hu F, Xu Y. Two novel mutations of the GTP cyclohydrolase 1 gene and genotypephenotype correlation in Chinese Dopa-responsive dystonia patients. EUR J HUM GENET. 2013; 21:731–5. doi: <u>10.1038/ejhg.2012.239</u> PMID: <u>23211702</u>
- Lei MM, Nie QH, Peng X, Zhang DX, Zhang XQ. Single nucleotide polymorphisms of the chicken insulin-like factor binding protein 2 gene associated with chicken growth and carcass traits. Poult Sci. 2005; 84:1191–8. PMID: <u>16156202</u>