Cross-sectoral community and civic engagement after *Dobbs v. Jackson*



David T. Zhu

Yale School of Public Health, Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, 60 College Street, New Haven, CT, 06510, USA



The Lancet Regional

Health - Americas 2023:22: 100514

Published Online xxx

https://doi.org/10.

1016/j.lana.2023.

100514

On June 24, 2022, the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization* reversed the constitutional right to abortion set forth by *Roe v. Wade* nearly half a century ago. Although still nascent, significant disruptions to clinical services and healthcare systems have already been observed in more than a dozen states that enacted complete or partial abortion bans after *Dobbs*, with further restrictions looming. In the existing literature, such consequences have been predominantly described for three key stakeholders—patients, providers, and legal systems—but there is a fourth member whose critical role must not be overlooked: communities.

Community engagement, public activism, and social solidarity represent powerful tools to support people with the capacity for pregnancy in the aftermath of Roe v. Wade. These strategies have the potential to bridge disparities in access to the full spectrum of reproductive health services amidst the legal barriers newly imposed on clinicians in restrictive states, in a manner that is culturally-sensitive and tailored to specific local needs. Historically, myriad social movements and non-governmental organizations (NGOs), such as Trust Black Women and Planned Parenthood, have successfully safeguarded patients' access to essential resources such as abortifacients, contraception, support groups, and more.^{2,3} Such community-driven solutions help to not only preserve existing health services but may also play a vital role in delaying or avoiding further encroachments on abortion rights through protests and campaigns.

However, achieving this in practice may prove difficult due to the complex interplay of social, ethical, and policy challenges at the root of this issue. In recognition of this, I outline three practical opportunities for galvanizing greater community and civic engagement in the fight for reproductive health equity under *Dobbs*.

First, framing *Dobbs* as a public health crisis is imperative. This shift in narrative moves the focus beyond the four walls of a courtroom and towards mobilizing resources and public health infrastructure to support patients at the population level. Therein lies a formidable opportunity for community-based initiatives and NGOs to intervene. Even before *Dobbs*, people denied access to

abortions experienced disproportionately higher rates of maternal mortality, pregnancy and childbirth complications, and exposure to intimate partner violence (IPV).^{4,5} To forestall these health disparities from widening after *Dobbs*, NGOs can help to make contraception more widely accessible for people with the capacity for pregnancy, as well as expanding physical infrastructure (e.g., women's shelters to mitigate IPV) and transportation networks to clinics in abortion-protected states.

Second, community engagement should incorporate a health equity lens recognizing the unequal impact of Dobbs on historically marginalized and medicallyunderserved populations. A recent study estimated that a nationwide abortion ban in the United States would increase maternal mortality from childbirth or pregnancy complications by 21% in the general population and 33% among Black Americans.5 Further, more than 19 million women in the United States currently live in contraceptive deserts, which tend to coincide with the most abortion-restrictive states and predominantly consist of Black, Latinx, Indigenous, low-income, and uninsured populations.6 Demand for various forms of emergency contraception surged after Community-based activism and social movements should address these fundamental causes of reproductive health disparities to serve as a bulwark against the rising tides of intersectional oppression under Dobbs. This can be achieved by bridging gaps in access to providers, health insurance, mutual aid networks, emergency contraception, and birth control pills through strategic access points in historically marginalized communities.

Third, amidst the constantly evolving state laws governing abortion after Dobbs, the role of communities in public health education is crucial to help patients navigate abortion care, contraception, and other reproductive health services. In response to some states restricting access to misoprostol and mifepristone, NGOs such as Aid Access and Women on Web stepped in to comprehensively describe, and connect patients with, overseas providers who can mail abortifacients to their homes.8,9 Moving forwards, there is a need for centralized, live-time, community-based platforms to inform patients about their reproductive healthcare options, including where and how to book these services. In addition, public health education programs should enlist trusted community members, such as doulas and community health workers, 10 to improve uptake in communities that may be ambivalent or hostile to

E-mail address: davetzhu@gmail.com.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Comment

abortion. Building social capital, mitigating abortion stigma, and combatting misinformation will be necessary to overcome barriers to implementation in abortion-hostile communities.¹⁰

Leveraging communities, NGOs, and public activism is no silver bullet to the future of abortion care and rights after *Dobbs v. Jackson*. Nevertheless, it represents a promising solution to bridge gaps in reproductive healthcare access, resources, and infrastructure, warranting further research.

Contributors

DTZ conceptualized, wrote, and revised the article.

Declaration of interests

I declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

Funding: No funding was received for this work.

Pafarancas

 Guttmacher Institute. Interactive map: US abortion policies and access after Roe. https://states.guttmacher.org/policies/. Accessed April 4, 2023.

- Trust Black Women. Our history. https://trustblackwomen.org/ our-roots. Accessed April 4, 2023.
- Planned Parenthood. Our history. https://www.plannedparen thood.org/about-us/who-we-are/our-history. Accessed April 4, 2023.
- 4 Roberts SC, Biggs MA, Chibber KS, Gould H, Rocca CH, Foster DG. Risk of violence from the man involved in the pregnancy after receiving or being denied an abortion. BMC Med. 2014;12:144.
- 5 Stevenson A. The pregnancy-related mortality impact of a total abortion ban in the United States: a research note on increased deaths due to remaining pregnant. *Demography*. 2021;58(6):2019– 2028.
- 6 Kreitzer RJ, Smith CW, Kane KA, Saunders TM. Affordable but inaccessible? Contraception deserts in the US States. J Health Polit Policy Law. 2021;46(2):277–304.
- 7 The Harris Poll. A notable sum of women are considering permanent contraception. https://theharrispoll.com/briefs/womens-contraception/. Accessed April 4, 2023.
- 8 Aiken ARA, Starling JE, Scott JG, Gomperts R. Requests for self-managed medication abortion provided using online telemedicine in 30 US States before and after the Dobbs v Jackson Women's Health Organization decision. JAMA. 2022;328(17):1768–1770.
- Women on Web. Who we are. https://www.womenonweb.org/en/page/521/who-we-are. Accessed April 4, 2023.
- National Partnership for Women & Families. Our communities hold the solutions: the importance of full-spectrum doulas to reproductive health and justice. https://www.nationalpartnership. org/our-work/resources/health-care/maternity/our-communitieshold-the-solutions.pdf. Accessed April 4, 2023.