Genomic insights in ascending aortic size and distensibility

Jan Walter Benjamins,^{a,*} Ming Wai Yeung,^{a,b} Yordi J. van de Vegte,^a M. Abdullah Said,^a Thijs van der Linden,^a Daan Ties,^a Luis E. Juarez-Orozco,^{a,b} Niek Verweij,^a and Pim van der Harst^{a,b}

^aUniversity of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Cardiology, Groningen, the Netherlands ^bDepartment of Heart and Lungs, University Medical Center Utrecht, University of Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherland

Summary

Background Alterations in the anatomic and biomechanical properties of the ascending aorta (AAo) can give rise to various vascular pathologies. The aim of the current study is to gain additional insights in the biology of the AAo size and function.

Methods We developed an AI based analysis pipeline for the segmentation of the AAo, and the extraction of AAO parameters. We then performed genome-wide association studies of AAo maximum area, AAo minimum area and AAo distensibility in up to 37,910 individuals from the UK Biobank. Variants that were significantly associated with AAo phenotypes were used as instrumental variables in Mendelian randomization analyses to investigate potential causal relationships with coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, stroke and aneurysms.

Findings Genome-wide association studies revealed a total of 107 SNPs in 78 loci. We annotated 101 candidate genes involved in various biological processes, including connective tissue development (*THSD4* and *COL6A3*). Mendelian randomization analyses showed a causal association with aneurysm development, but not with other vascular diseases.

Interpretation We identified 78 loci that provide insights into mechanisms underlying AAo size and function in the general population and provide genetic evidence for their role in aortic aneurysm development.

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Keywords: Ascending aorta size; Ascending aorta distensibility; Artificial intelligence; Cardiovascular disease; Genome-wide association study; Mendelian randomization study

Introduction

The aorta is the largest elastic artery in the human body and functions as a conduit for blood pumped from the left ventricle as well as a dampener of the pulsatile pressure by distending and relaxing during systolic and diastolic phase, respectively.^{1,2} Alterations in the anatomic and biomechanical properties of the ascending aorta (AAo) can give rise to various vascular pathologies.

Anatomic properties of the AAo are essential for screening for AAo aneurysm and for AAo dissection, of which the latter is a major cause of sudden death.^{3,4} Genetic predisposition affects the risk of developing AAo aneurysm or dissection. Approximately 20% of aortic aneurysms is caused by single-gene disorders which show a highly penetrable familial pattern.^{5–8} A heritable component to the remaining 80% of AAo aneurysms has also been suggested, but little is known

*Corresponding author.



Biomechanical properties of the AAo, reflected by the distensibility or stiffness of the artery, are among the emerging biomarkers for monitoring vascular dysfunction, cardiovascular diseases and risk of all-cause mortality.^{11,12} However, it remains to be determined whether increasing AAo distensibility (AAo_{dist}) translates into cardiovascular event reduction.^{13,14}

New possibilities to directly capture AAo anatomy and distensibility have emerged due to the rise of largescale studies collecting cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) images and rapid advances of artificial intelligence (AI). The first aim of the present study is to perform segmentation of the AAo using our custom AI pipeline, and to generate an overview of normal values of AAo dimensional and functional features in 37,910 individuals in the UK Biobank.^{15,16} The second aim of the study is to expand current knowledge on the



EBioMedicine 2022;75: 103783 Published online xxx https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ebiom.2021.103783

1

E-mail address: j.w.benjamins@umcg.nl (J.W. Benjamins).

Research in context

Search strategy

We searched Google Scholar and PubMed for articles, published up to December 2020, related to aortic traits, genetic predisposition and the role of aortic traits in the development of cardiovascular disease, using the query ("aortic distensibility" OR "arterial stiffness") AND ("cardiovascular disease"[Title] OR "aortic aneurysm"[Title] OR "stroke"[Title] OR "myocardial infarction"[Title] OR "ischemia"[Title]). The search yielded 596 results, most of which are related to observational studies into blood pressure and arterial traits. These smaller-scale observational studies might be at risk of bias from confounding, reversed causation and collider bias.

Evidence before this study

- Multiple clinical /observational studies show that arterial stiffness and aortic distensibility are associated with aortic aneurysms and sudden death and can potentially be used for monitoring or early detection of cardiovascular diseases.
- There were limited studies in assessment of the utility of aortic biomarkers in large populationbased cohorts.
- Previous genome wide association studies have revealed a limited number of loci associated with aortic traits and have been carried out in relatively small cohorts.

Added value of this study

- We developed a convolutional deep neural network to obtain aortic traits, namely ascending aortic cross-sectional area and ascending aortic distensibility, from contouring of cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) data, which will be made available for download to facilitate future data-analyses and to fuel further research.
- We give an overview of ascending aortic traits in a large population-based cohort based on 3.8M cardiovascular CMR images from 37,910 individuals, which can be used as reference values for clinicians assessing aortic phenotypes.
- We find 107 genetic variants associated with AAo traits and identify 101 candidate genes, which increases our insights in AAo biology and might act as a starting point for drug development and precision medicine.
- Using a Mendelian randomization approach, we find a genetic association with aneurysms, highlighting the heritability of non-syndromic aneurysms in the general population. A genetic association with coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, and stroke was not established.

Implications of all the available evidence

The results show that certain cardiovascular traits can easily be extracted from CMR-images using automated image assessment. Further research can focus on adding features to the algorithm in order to improve diagnosis and early detection of cardiovascular disease. Invitro and in-vivo follow-up of the identified candidate genes for AAo size and function can be performed to validate their role and investigate possibilities for drug targeting. Randomized control trials will be necessary to confirm current Mendelian randomization analyses and to test whether treatment based on evaluation or targeting of AAo size and function improves cardiovascular disease outcomes.

genetics of AAo anatomy and function by performing genome wide association studies (GWASs) and downstream functional annotation. The third and final aim is to investigate the potential causal relation between AAo traits and vascular disease development, including aneurysms, coronary artery disease and subtypes of stroke, using a Two-Sample Mendelian randomization (MR) approach.

Methods

Study population

The UK Biobank study is a population-based cohortstudy in which in total approximately 500,000 participants have been included. Further details on the UK Biobank study have previously been described in detail.¹⁷ A total of 37,910 participants who had undergone cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) image acquisition were included in the current study. Figure I shows a flowchart of the study sample selection.

Imaging data

MRI acquisition was scheduled during a separate imaging visit at which also other variables, such as blood pressure measurements, were acquired. Briefly, CMR scans of participants were acquired using a clinical wide bore 1-5 Tesla scanner (MAGNETOM Aera, Syngo Platform VD13A, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) in the absence of a pharmacological stressor or contrast agent. To derive aortic distensibility, a loop of 100 cine images was acquired during a single cardiac cycle for each participant. The images were acquired as a cardiac cine in a transverse cut at the level of the pulmonary trunk and the right pulmonary artery. An example of a CMR image with a corresponding annotation as it was predicted by the AI pipeline is displayed in *Supplementary Fig.* 1. Further details on the CMR protocol of the



Figure 1. Study flowchart. Study flowchart depicting the exclusion criteria and number of individuals excluded for AAo_{max}, AAo_{min} and AAo_{dist}.

3

UK Biobank have previously been described.¹⁸ Images were made available as sets of DICOM files, each single cine-frame stored as a separate file.

Image analysis

Model training. For 37,910 participants from the UK Biobank, the available imaging data was downloaded. PyDicom python library (v1.2.2) was used to extract pixel data from the source DICOM files and store the images as portable network graphics (PNG) files. NOUS (Cosmonio software, Leeuwarden, the Netherlands) active learning software was used to make an optimal selection of informative data for training a model to segment the ascending aorta (AAo). Because of technical limitations on the maximum number of images to be used within the software, a subset was pseudo-randomly selected from all images. From a subsample of 12,325 participants, two random frames out of the loop of 100 images per participant were selected. NOUS is an active learning software tool that allows to train DL models on a minimal set of ground truth images and provides the user with a set of images that optimally helps to train the currently developed model. A subset of 464 images was selected by the software for optimal training of the model and segmented manually inside the software by a human observer.

The set of 464 images segmentations was exported from NOUS to PNG files, and then used outside the active learning software, to train an improved U-Net model using Pytorch machine learning platform (v1.2.0).¹⁹ The images were randomly divided into a training set of 369 images, a validation set of 71 images and test set of 24 images. Model parameters were randomly initialized and optimized during training through back-propagation using an adaptive stochastic gradient based optimization algorithm called Adam with a training schedule to gradually reduce learning rate when learning performance stopped improving.20 To improve model robustness, and to avoid overfitting of the model, translation, resizing, and rotation were applied as image augmentation techniques during training. Random translations in both horizontal and vertical directions were limited to +/-0.0625 of image width and height, random scaling was limited between 0.8 and 1.2 of the input data, random rotation between -45° and 45°. The model was trained on batches of data from the training set iteratively, and the model with the best performance in the validation set was selected. The mean performance of the selected model on the test set, measured by the f1 score, was 0.967 (SD = 0.015).

Post processing – **feature extractions.** Open-CV computer vision library (v3.4.3.18) was used to extract contours from the binary prediction masks, which was then

used to obtain the contour perimeter and the surface in pixel space. Also, from the contour data, the smallest bounding ellipse was obtained, using Open-CV. Two diameter values were obtained from the bounding ellipse. We calculated the average of the two diameters in pixel space to calculate a single AAo diameter. The Pixel Spacing DICOM tag was obtained from the original DICOM file using PyDicom python library (vI.2.2), to convert extracted values from pixel space to metric units, by multiplication of horizontal and vertical pixel dimensions with their respective pixel space values (mm/pixel).

We extracted AAo anatomy represented by cross-sectional area of the lumen during systole (AAo_{max}) and diastole (AAo_{min}) by converting the squared pixel areas to squared millimetres, using the DICOM Pixel spacing-

Post processing – **quality control steps.** From perimeter and surface, a relative roundness R_r of the contour was calculated, as described in Eq. (I), which can have a result value between 0, for an almost flat ellipse, and I, for a perfect circle:

$$R_r = 4\pi * \frac{area}{perimeter^2} \tag{1}$$

Inter pixel variance σ_n was calculated as proposed by Immerklr using Eq. (2).²²

$$\sigma_n = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left(\frac{I}{6(W-2)(H-2)} \right) \Sigma_{Image I} |I_{(x, y)} * N|$$
(2)

Where *I* is the collection of all brightness values in the image, *W* is the image width, *H* is the image height and *N* is the noise estimation matrix (Eq. (3)), used in the convolution each pixel and the eight pixels surrounding it.

We witnessed high inter pixel brightness variances in images with flow void artefacts, a type of motion artefact caused by blood flow that results in blurring of the vessel wall. We therefore investigated the option to use the average interpixel variance for selection of images containing this type of artefacts. To focus on high interpixel variance around the ascending aorta and the heart, an 80×80 centred crop of the image was used to determine inter pixel variance.

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} I & -2 & I \\ -2 & 4 & -2 \\ I & -2 & I \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

QC was performed after extraction of features from predicted segmentations of AAo. Thresholds for all criteria were determined after manual inspection of 7568 images by a panel of four human observers. Further details about exclusions have been described under Statistical analyses. **Automated pipeline.** We implemented a fully automated pipeline, consisting of all aforementioned components, being the trained 2D convolutional neural network for segmentation of the AAo lumen, multiple quality QC steps to assess image and segmentation quality, and post-processing feature extractions, allowing the automated image analysis of 3.8M images from 37,900 individuals. The imaging pipeline ran on a set of multiple machines, each responsible for loading and analysis of a part of the total set of images, and for an update of the shared analysis results.

Model validation. A set of 50 participants was selected for validation of the model, independently and randomly, from the 25,586 studies whose images were not selected to train the model. From the selected studies, each tenth frame (frame I, II, 2I, etc.) from the loop of 100 frames were segmented manually by two independent trained medical professionals using approved medical imaging software (CVI42, Circle Cardiovascular Imaging, Calgary, Alberta, Canada),). The same frames were exported from CVI42 to obtain images with the exact same zoom and pixel offset, to be automatically segmented by the model.

A total of 6 of the 50 selected studies were completely removed, because one or both human observers did not contour the aorta in the study due to poor image quality. One additional image from a single study was also removed because of poor image quality, resulting in 439 images from 44 studies, to be used for model validation.

Model performance was then estimated by the S½rensen-Dice similarity coefficient, also known as Dice coefficient or Dice metric (Eq. (4)), which divides twice the intersection of ground truth and prediction — in this data being manual and predicted contours in pixel-data — by the union of ground truth and prediction. A threshold of 0.90 was used for acceptance of the model.

$$DSC = \frac{2|X \cap Y|}{|X| + |Y|} \tag{4}$$

To assess the similarity between features extracted from manual contours, as reported by CVI42, and features extracted by the post-processing pipeline described above, we chose to use the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC). ICC was calculated between model and each observer, as well as between the two observers. A good (0.75-0.90) or excellent (> 0.90) ICC with twoway random-effects model was used for acceptance of values extracted from the model predictions.²³

Data preparation. The extracted diameter and area values were indexed to body surface area (BSA) to correct for overall body size before use in genetic analyses.²¹ AAo_{dist} (in 10⁻³ mmHg⁻¹) was calculated in

participants with registered blood pressure measurements during the imaging visit, as the relative enlargement of the aorta during the systolic phase, divided by the pulse pressure (PP), as defined in Eq. (5):

$$AAo \ distensibility = \frac{AAo_{max} - AAo_{min}}{AAo_{min} * PP}$$
(5)

Finally, correlation between traits was estimated using STATA 15 (StataCorp LP).

Genotyping and imputation

Genetic data of the participants were genotyped using custom arrays, Affymetrix UK BiLEVE Axiom array or Affymetrix UK Biobank Axiom array which share 95% of marker content. The genotyping methods, arrays and quality-control procedures have been extensively described previously.²⁴ SNPs with a minor allele frequency smaller than 0.5% or an INFO-score smaller than 0.3 were excluded from the association analysis.

Statistical analyses

The sample size for training the deep learning model was determined on active learning software, as described under Image Analysis. All image data available from UK Biobank at initiation of the study were used to acquire the best representation of AAo size and function estimates from the population and were taken forward in the GWAS. Mendelian randomization analyses were performed in all non-related individuals from UK Biobank with available genetic data that were not included in the GWAS.

Exclusion criteria. After manual inspection of 7568 images with the corresponding predicted segmentations and extracted features by a panel of four human observers, we defined predictions to be unreliable at the image-level when the relative roundness Rr of the contour was below 0.85, or when AAo area was below 3 cm^2 , or above 20 cm² which indicates a sub-optimal, non-perpendicular cross-sectional image acquisition of the ascending aorta, or the prediction of a physiological lumen or cavity other than the aorta. Furthermore, we decided to exclude all 100 frames from a single participant from subsequent analyses, when more than 25%-25 out of 100 frames - was excluded within that participant, or when the mean frame-to-frame difference between contour areas within one participant were above 0.3 cm², which showed to be an additional measure for independent AAo lumen segmentations. Participants were excluded for analyses of AAo maximum area (AAo_{max}) and derived AAo distensibility (AAo_{dist}), when one or more frames yielded an inter pixel variance σ_n above 4.1. Since flow void artefacts mainly appear during systolic phase, we decided, not to use the appearance of flow void artefacts as exclusion criterion for

analysis of AAo minimum area (AAo_{min}). Additionally, for AAo_{max} and AAo_{min}, additional individuals were excluded when BSA was missing. Finally, individuals were excluded for AAo_{dist}, when blood pressure values were missing.

GWAS. Genome-wide association analyses were performed on inverse rank normalized values of AAomax, AAo_{\min} and AAo_{dist} , using BOLT-LMM (version: 2.3beta2), employing a mixed linear model which can take into account several potential sources of bias such as population structure and cryptic relatedness.²⁵ All three AAo traits were corrected for age, sex, the first 30 principal components and the genotyping array. A total of 988 individuals were excluded based on failed genetic QC, defined by high heterozygosity and missingness as indicated by the Welcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, and based on gender discrepancies between the reported and inferred gender. Linkage disequilibrium (LD)-based clumping was performed for each AAo trait to obtain independent SNPs using linkage disequilibrium (LD) cut-off of R^2 < 0.005 within a five megabase window on variants passing the P-value threshold of $I \times 10^{-5}$ using PLINK 1.9.²⁶ To adjust for multiple testing, we applied Bonferroni correction using the genome-wide significance threshold of $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ for selection of independent SNPs that were considered significantly associated with AAo anatomy and distensibility. We scanned in a one megabase region at either side of the independent variant to define a genetic locus. Locus determination was repeated between AAo traits to obtain the strongest set of credible loci for the AAo traits combined (Online Table 1). Manhattan plots and QQ plots were generated using R V3.4.4. Genomic inflation was assessed by calculating lambda, LD score regression intercepts and attenuation ratios using LD score regression analyses.^{27,28} SNP heritability of AAo traits and the correlation between the traits were estimated using BOLT-REML.²⁹

Embedment in previous GWAS results. The LD Hub platform was used to test for genetic correlations with previously performed GWAS.^{27,30} A Bonferroni-corrected significance of $P < 0.05/855=5.85 \times 10^{-5}$ was adopted to determine whether genetic correlations were significant. In addition, we queried the GWAS Catalog to find established genetic variants in linkage disequilibrium (LD) ($R^2 > 0.1$) with AAo SNPs.³¹ GWAS Catalog summary statistics were downloaded from the NHGRI-EBI on 09/15/2020.³¹

Candidate causal genes. Three methods were applied to search for candidate causal genes for each AAo trait. First, we searched for the nearest gene or any gene within a 10kb distance of the lead SNP. Secondly, we

searched for coding variants in LD ($R^2 > 0.8$) with the lead SNPs. Thirdly, we used DEPICT (DEPICT.vi.beta version rel137, obtained from https://data.broadinsti tute.org/mpg/depict/) to identify candidate causal genes whilst taking gene-gene similarities and LD structures between loci into consideration.32 To identify variants with potential pathways to pathogenicity, we selected all lead SNPs for which coding variants in high LD were found with a CADD Phred score higher than 20. In the studied phenotypes in which the concerned coding variants were found, the identified variants were found in the top 1% of most deleterious variants. We then used these SNPs to compare prevalence of variants in individuals with or without coronary artery disease, any stroke, myocardial infarction, stroke, and aneurysms, to investigate potential pathogenicity, using a chi-square test.

Pathway and tissue enrichment analysis. DEPICT was also used to gain insights in enriched gene sets and tissues in which these genes are highly expressed.³² All lead SNPs at a *P*-value threshold of $P < I \times I0^{-5}$ were used to perform these analyses per AAo trait.

Mendelian randomization analysis. We aimed to investigate the potential causal relations between AAo traits and vascular diseases, including coronary artery disease (CAD), myocardial infarction, stroke and aneurysms. As exposure, we used all lead SNPs at a *P*-value threshold of $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ as instrumental variables per AAo trait.

Outcomes in UK Biobank

Disease outcomes were captured based on a composite source of data from interview with a trained nurse at the visit to assessment centres (self-reported) and linked electronic health records including hospital inpatient episode data. Hospital inpatient episode data was collected at the Assessment Centre in-patient Health Episode Statistics (HES) in combination with data on cause of death from the National Health Service (NHS) Information Centre. HES and NHS data were respectively up to 31-03-2017 and 31-01-2018 for English participants, up to 29-02-2016 and 30-11-2018 for Scottish participants.

Outcomes were assessed in the participants of the UK Biobank for which no AAo CMR images were available. From the full cohort, we excluded those individuals that withdrew informed consent (n = 171), those for which CMR images were available (n = 37,910), those who failed genetic quality control (n = 1251) and exclusions based on familial relatedness (n = 82,008). A total of 381,324 unrelated individuals that were not in any of the GWAS discovery cohorts remained available to test the SNP-outcome associations. Outcomes included coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, any stroke,

ischemic stroke, haemorrhagic stroke and aneurysms. Disease definitions are further detailed in the Supplementary File 3, *Tables 11 and 12*. Logistic regressions were performed to obtain SNP-outcome associations on ever having received the diagnosis in an individual's lifetime. All regression analyses were corrected for age on the date of the last follow-up, sex, the first 30 principal components and the genotyping array. Regression analyses were performed using statistical software STATA 15 (StataCorp LP).

Outcomes in external cohorts

Replication of the association between coronary artery disease and stroke was performed in the independent cohorts of the CARDIoGRAMplusC4D and MEGA-STROKE consortia, for which detailed descriptions have been published previously.^{33,34} Additional information on the consortia can be found in *Online Table 13*. Unfortunately, summary statistics of GWAS on thoracic aneurysms were not available to us. Proxies were searched in the scenario that variants were not available in the summary statistics of the CARDIoGRAMplusC4D and MEGASTROKE consortia.^{33,34} Only high LD proxies (LD >0.8) were taken forward in further analyses.

Data harmonization

Exposure and outcome summary statistics were harmonized using the TwoSample MR package.³⁵ We used the recommended settings in which forward strand alleles are inferred using allele frequency information, but, where possible, palindromic SNPs were removed if the minor allele frequency was above o.42.³⁵

Weak instrument bias

The first assumption of MR is that genetic variants should be reliably associated with the exposure. We used the *F*-statistic to test whether this assumption is statistically fulfilled.³⁶ The *F*-statistic was calculated per genetic variant as followed: $F = ((R^2 \times (n-2))/(1-R^2).^{37}$ Here, *n* is the exposure's sample size and R^2 is the amount of variance the SNP explains of the exposure, calculated using a previously established formula.^{37,38} We used a cut-off of *F*-statistic > 10 as indication of absence of weak instrument bias.³⁶

Reverse causation

The third assumption of MR studies is that genetic variants are only associated with the outcome through the risk factor. One scenario in which this assumption is violated is when the genetic variant is stronger associated with the outcome, i.e. reverse causation. To prevent this, we applied MR-Steiger filtering.³⁹ We assessed the R^2 for the exposure and outcome and removed any genetic variants that were significantly stronger associated with the outcome than with the exposure per exposure-outcome association. R² for linear and logistic traits were calculated based on the GWAS summary statistics according to previously established formulae.^{38,40}

Heterogeneity and sensitivity analyses

An inverse variance weighted random effects model (IVW-RE) was applied to estimate the causal effect of AAo size and function on all outcomes.41 The IVW model only holds a true causal estimate when the third assumption is satisfied, and all SNPs are valid. Inclusion of variants that are associated with the outcome through other risk factors than the assessed exposure, i.e. pleiotropic variants, would distort the causal estimates.41 We used the Rücker framework to gain insights in any pleiotropy within our MR estimates.42 Significant heterogeneity within the IVW estimate, as indicated by a significant Cochran's Q (P < 0.05) in combination with an I^2 index > 25% was used as statistical evidence for at least balanced horizontal pleiotropy.42,43 We assumed at least some horizontal pleiotropy in every IVW estimate and erred on the conservative side by choosing an IVW random effects model for our main MR analysis. Following the Rücker framework, we performed the MR-Egger test which does restrain estimates to a zero intercept and therefore allows for exertion of unbalanced horizontal pleiotropic effects.^{42,44} A significant difference between the heterogeneity estimates within the IVW and MR-Egger model, i.e. a Q-Q' with a P < 0.05, in combination with a significant non-zero intercept of the MR-Egger regression (P < 0.05) was considered to indicate unbalanced horizontal pleiotropy.42 Under this scenario, we used the MR-Egger test for our main MR analysis.44 Weak instrument bias in the MR-Egger regression analysis was assessed by I_{GX}^2 and, with an $I_{GX}^2 > 95\%$, indicating low risk of measurement error.45

There are also possible scenarios in which only a small or a large proportion of the included genetic variants exert pleiotropic effects and we therefore performed several sensitivity analyses. We used the MR-Lasso, MR-RAPS (both down-weight outliers) and MR-PRESSO approaches (removes outliers based on the residuals) to provide robust MR in the situation that a small proportion of the genetic variants exert pleiotropic effects.^{46–48} We used the weighted median (assumes the majority of the SNPs to be valid) and the weighted mode and MR-Mix approaches (assume the plurality of the SNPs to be valid) to provide robust MR in the situation that a large proportion of the genetic variants exert pleiotropic effects.^{49–51}

MR analyses were performed using R (version 3.6.3), the TwoSampleMR package (version 0.5.3), MR-PRESSO package (version 1.0), MR-Lasso source code and the MR-mix package.^{35,46,48,51,52} A Bonferroni corrected *P*-value of P < 0.05/10 outcomes = 0.005 was considered to be significant for the main IVW random effects analysis. A *P*-value cut-off of P < 0.05 was considered to be suggestively significant for the main analysis and to indicate a significant association for the generally weaker powered sensitivity analysis.

Ethics

All data provided by UK biobank was acquired after approval from North West - Haydock Research Ethics Committee (REC reference: 16/NW/0274). All participants of the UK biobank have provided written informed consent.

CARDIoGRAMplusC4D and MEGASTROKE consortia are ethically approved by local and institutional committees. For more information, see the original consortium papers.^{33,34}

Role of funding sources

In the present study, none of the funding sources played a role in study design, data collection, data analyses, interpretation, or writing the manuscript.

Results

Model validation results

The model yielded a Dice score of 0.93 (SD=0.03), compared to observer 1 and 0.95 (SD=0.02) compared to observer 2, thereby passing the desired threshold of 0.90, and we deemed the model's performance to be sufficient for assessment of aortic dimensions and function in the whole set of MRI images downloaded from the UK Biobank.

Model vs observer comparison of extracted areas yielded an ICC of 0.96 (P < 0.001, ICC with two-way)random -effects model) in comparison to observer 1 and 0.95 (P < 0.001, ICC with two-way random -effects model) compared to observer 2, where a comparison between both human observers yielded an ICC of 0.99 (P < 0.001, ICC with two-way random -effects model). For distensibility the comparison between model and observer 1 yielded an ICC of 0.90 (P < 0.001, two-way random effects model), compared to observer 2 0.85 (P < 0.001, ICC with two-way random -effects model),between both observers 0.85 (P < 0.001, ICC with twoway random -effects model). Overall, the ICC values for distensibility were lower than for area. However, in contrast to area, we observed a higher ICC between model and both individual observers than the ICC between observers for distensibility.

Exclusions

The U-Net model was deployed to segment the ascending aorta in 37,910 participants who underwent CMR imaging. After segmentation, a total of 950 participants were excluded on a per-phenotype basis, of which 440 exclusions were based on low roundness, 272 were excluded based on high area values, and 238 participants were excluded based on high average inter-frame area changes. No low values of AAo area were encountered after exclusion of predicted contours with a low roundness. Additionally, manual evaluation of values exceeding the low and high value thresholds revealed, that all these values were the results of model mispredictions, most of which occurred due to the presence of flow void artefacts in the source images.

During preparation of phenotype data, 988 individuals were dropped because of missing principal component values or information about the used genotyping chip. For AAo_{max} and AAo_{min}, 862 participants were excluded that did not contain information on BSA. For AAo_{dist}, 3170 participants were excluded because of missing blood pressure values. For AAo_{max}, 3202 cases were dropped because of high inter-pixel brightness variances, indicating flow artefacts. 3118 cases with high inter-pixel brightness were dropped for AAo_{dist}. A flowchart of the study sample selection can be found in Figure 1. After exclusion of these participants, we used estimated areas from the remaining 35,110 participants for subsequent analysis of AAo_{min}, 31,908 for analysis of AAo_{max} and 29,684 for analysis of AAo_{dist}.

Observational correlations between aortic traits

We estimated correlation between all three traits, measured by Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient. We calculated a correlation coefficient of 0.959 (CI 0.958–0.960, P < 0.001, Pearson's correlation) between AAo_{max} and AAo_{min}. Correlations between both Area traits and AAo_{dist} were substantially lower. Correlation between AAo_{max} and AAo_{dist} yielded a value of -0.142 (CI -0.153–0.131, P < 0.001, Pearson's correlation), versus correlation between AAo_{min} and AAo_{dist} of -0.365 (CI -0.375–0.355, P < 0.001, Pearson's correlation).

Population characteristics and normal values

Table I shows the characteristics of the study population for all individuals for which information on all three AAo traits was present after QC as well as per AAo trait. The mean age was $64 \cdot I$ years with $48 \cdot 4\%$ being males. The mean BMI was $26 \cdot 4$ kg/m² and $23 \cdot 8\%$ of the population had a history of hypertension. The mean AAomax was $4 \cdot 38$ cm²/m², mean AAo_{min} was $3 \cdot 89$ cm²/ m², and mean AAo_{dist} was $2 \cdot 25 \times 10^{-3}$ mmHg⁻¹. Reference values of the aortic phenotypes, stratified by age groups and sex, can be found in Table 2 and *Supplementary Fig. 2*.

Genome-wide analyses of ascending aorta traits

GWAS revealed a total of 107 variants in 78 loci across all three AAo traits (Supplementary 3, *Table 1*). We

Factor	All	AAo _{max}	AAo _{min}	AAo _{dist}
Sample size	29,381	31,908	35,110	29,684
Sex (% female)	52.6	52.7	51.5	52.6
Age (years)	64.1 ± 7.5	64.20 ± 7.54	64.10 ± 7.54	64.12 ± 7.54
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	133.6 ± 17.8	133.6 ± 17.8	133.8 ± 17.8	133.6 ± 17.8
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	$\textbf{78.9} \pm \textbf{8.5}$	$\textbf{78.9} \pm \textbf{8.5}$	$\textbf{79.1} \pm \textbf{8.5}$	78.9 ± 8.5
Pulse pressure (mmHg)	54.6 ± 13.7	$\textbf{54.6} \pm \textbf{13.7}$	54.7 ± 13.8	54.7 ± 13.8
Smoking behaviour (%)				
Never or <100 cigarettes	64.3	64.3	63.9	64.3
Stopped <=12 months	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Stopped > 12 months	31.9	32.0	32.4	32.0
Active occasionally	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.4
Active daily	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2
BMI (kg/m ²)	4.14	4.15	4.29	4.14
Hypertension (%)	23.2	23.2	23.8	23.2
Diabetes Mellitus (%)	2.9	2.9	3.2	2.9
Hyperlipidemia (%)	12.9	12.9	13.4	12.9
Coronary Artery Disease (%)	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.1
AAo _{max} , mean (cm ²)	8.1 ± 1.7	8.1 ± 1.7	8.1 ± 1.8	8.1 ± 1.7
AAo _{max} / BSA, mean (cm ² /m ²)	$\textbf{4.4} \pm \textbf{0.9}$	4.4 ± 0.9	4.4 ± 0.9	4.4 ± 0.9
AAo _{min} , mean (cm ²)	$\textbf{7.2} \pm \textbf{1.6}$	$\textbf{7.2} \pm \textbf{1.6}$	$\textbf{7.2} \pm \textbf{1.6}$	$\textbf{7.2} \pm \textbf{1.6}$
AAo _{min} / BSA, mean (cm ² /m ²)	$\textbf{3.9} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{3.9} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{3.9} \pm \textbf{0.8}$	$\textbf{3.9}\pm\textbf{0.8}$
AAD, mean $(10^{-3} \text{ mmHg}^{-1})$	2.3 ± 1.3	2.3 ± 1.3	2.3 ± 1.3	2.2 ± 1.3
BSA (m ²)	1.9 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.21	1.9 ± 0.2

Table 1: Baseline characteristics.

Baseline characteristics for those who had available data for all AAo traits, as well as those who had available data for AAo_{max}. AAo_{min} and AAo_{dist}. Continuous variables are presented as mean±SD and binary variables as percentages. AAo=ascending aorta; AAo_{max}=ascending aorta size during systole; AAo_{min}=ascending aorta size during diastole; AAo_{dist}=ascending aorta distensibility; BSA=Body Surface area.

found 65 SNPs in 59 loci for AAo_{max}, 71 SNPs in 64 loci for AAo_{min} and 4 SNPs in 4 loci for AAo_{dist} (Figure 2). In line with the high observational correlation between the phenotypes, we found 47 out of 76 loci shared between AAo_{\max} and $AAo_{\min}.$ In addition, AAo_{\max} and AAo_{min} showed an almost perfect genetic correlations (rg=0.994 \pm 0.002, LD score regression), while their respective correlation with AAodist was lower (rg=- 0.307 ± 0.054 ; r_g=- 0.381 ± 0.047 , LD score regression). BOLT-REML estimated high SNP-based heritability for both AAo_{max} ($h^2_g = 0.509 \pm 0.019$, Monte Carlo variance components analysis) and AAo_{min} (h^2_g =0.502 ± 0.019, Monte Carlo variance components analysis), but a lower heritability for AAo_{dist} ($h_{g}^{2}=0.151 \pm 0.020$, Monte Carlo variance components analysis). The identified variants explained 10.2% variance of AAo_{max}, 10.7% for AAo_{min} and 0.7% for AAodist.

Embedment in previous genetic studies

We found that the SNPs identified in the current study were in high LD ($R^2 > 0.8$) with previously established variants for aortic size or thoracic aneurysms (four SNPs), blood pressure traits (12 SNPs) and atherosclerosis and thrombosis (two SNPs) (*Online Table 2*). LDhub was queried to assess genetic correlations with other traits and showed that AAo traits were mainly correlated with blood pressure and anthropometric traits (*Online Table* 3).

Candidate causal genes

We searched for candidate causal genes using multiple strategies and identified a total of 101 genes for which we provide a framework for their possible involvement in AAo anatomy and function in Figure 4. We identified 72 genes for AAomax,(72 genes) 81 for AAomin and four for AAodist. The genes identified for AAomax and AAomin showed significant overlap with 53 shared genes. All three methods prioritized JMJD1C and ADAMTS₇ (Figure 4). ELN was shared between all AAo traits (Supplementary Fig. 5). Annotation and further information of all identified genes is provided in Online Table 6. FBN1, ELN, MYH10 and ULK4 showed overlap with genes that have previously been associated with syndromic, familial and sporadic aneurysms (Supplementary Table 1, Online Fig. 5).53-59 A total of six genetic variants was in high LD with coding variants of JMJD1C, SMG6, NOC3L, ULK4, and ADAMTS7. We assessed the likelihood of their pathogenicity according

Phenotype	Sex	Age <55		Age 55-65		Age 65-75		Age>75		
			N		Ν		N		Ν	
AAo _{min} , mean (cm ²)	All	6.46 (1.47)	5,467	7.00 (1.59)	13,550	7.53 (1.61)	15,436	7.75 (1.65)	2,507	
	Men	7.04 (1.46)	2,463	7.66 (1.61)	6022	8.11 (1.61)	7,864	8.23 (1.64)	1,497	
	Women	5.98 (1.30)	3,004	6.48 (1.36)	7528	6.93 (1.36)	7,572	7.05 (1.38)	1,010	
AAo _{min} / BSA, mean (cm ² /m ²)	All	3.42 (0.71)	5,349	3.76 (0.78)	13,264	4.08 (0.81)	15,051	4.23 (0.84)	2,414	
	Men	3.46 (0.69)	2,415	3.80 (0.77)	5908	4.11 (0.81)	7,680	4.26 (0.83)	1,452	
	Women	3.39 (0.71)	2,934	3.73 (0.78)	7356	4.06 (0.80)	7,371	4.19 (0.85)	962	
AAo _{max} , mean (cm ²)	All	7.55 (1.59)	4,854	7.91 (1.70)	12,254	8.37 (1.75)	14,130	8.59 (1.81)	2,366	
	Men	8.18 (1.59)	2,121	8.68 (1.71)	5286	9.07 (1.73)	7,029	9.17 (1.78)	1,391	
	Women	7.05 (1.41)	2,733	7.33 (1.44)	6968	7.67 (1.45)	7,101	7.77 (1.52)	975	
AAo _{max} / BSA, mean (cm ² /m ²)	All	4.01 (0.77)	4,747	4.27 (0.82)	11,999	4.55 (0.87)	13,768	4.70 (0.92)	2,277	
	Men	4.04 (0.76)	2,077	4.32 (0.82)	5188	4.60 (0.87)	6,858	4.76 (0.91)	1,348	
	Women	3.99 (0.77)	2,670	4.23 (0.82)	6811	4.49 (0.85)	6,910	4.61 (0.92)	929	
AAo_{dist} , mean (10 ⁻³ mmHg ⁻¹)	All	3.34 (1.41)	4,503	2.45 (1.21)	11,143	1.79 (0.97)	12,812	1.55 (0.96)	2,039	
	Men	2.86 (1.00)	1,976	2.28 (1.11)	4817	1.77 (0.99)	6,400	1.58 (1.03)	1,210	
	Women	3.72 (1.57)	2,527	2.58 (1.27)	6326	1.81 (0.95)	6,412	1.52 (0.85)	829	
AAo Diam _{min} , mean (mm)	All	17.34 (2.00)	5,467	18.09 (2.09)	13,550	18.80 (2.05)	15,436	19.09 (2.07)	2,507	
	Men	18.15 (1.91)	2,463	18.96 (2.04)	6022	19.55 (1.99)	7,864	19.70 (2.01)	1,497	
	Women	16.68 (1.82)	3,004	17.39 (1.86)	7528	18.02 (1.80)	7,572	18.19 (1.81)	1,010	
AAo Diam. _{min} / BSA, mean (mm/m ²)	All	9.23 (1.12)	5,349	9.76 (1.20)	13,264	10.23 (1.22)	15,051	10.46 (1.24)	2,414	
	Men	9.71 (1.05)	2,415	10.10 (1.10)	5908	10.52 (1.14)	7,680	10.84 (1.17)	1,452	
	Women	9.47 (1.15)	2,934	10.03 (1.22)	7356	10.57 (1.23)	7,371	10.82 (1.30)	962	
AAo Diam. _{max} , mean (mm)	All	18.86 (2.00)	4,854	19.33 (2.09)	12,254	19.89 (2.09)	14,130	20.17 (2.13)	2,366	
	Men	19.67 (1.92)	2,121	20.28 (2.02)	5286	20.74 (2.01)	7,029	20.87 (2.03)	1,391	
	Women	18.24 (1.83)	2,733	18.61 (1.83)	6968	19.05 (1.81)	7,101	19.16 (1.85)	975	
AAo Diam. _{max} / BSA, mean (mm/m ²)	All	10.09 (1.16)	4,747	10.48 (1.21)	11,999	10.87 (1.24)	13,768	11.07 (1.26)	2,277	
	Men	9.73 (1.05)	2,077	10.12 (1.10)	5188	10.55 (1.15)	6,858	10.85 (1.16)	1,348	
	Women	10.36 (1.16)	2,670	10.75 (1.21)	6,811	11.18 (1.24)	6,910	11.40 (1.31)	929	

to the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) guidelines. There was only evidence for differences in the prevalence of one variant in *SMG6* between case and control groups for coronary artery disease in the UK Biobank (*Online Table 13*). The relative CADD scores of coding variants of *ULK4* (rs3774372, in high LD with rs60248638) and *SMG6* (rs903160, in high LD with indel 17:2088848_CCAGA_C) were higher than 20 and therefore belong to the top 1% of most pathogenic coding variants.

Pathway and tissue enrichment analysis

Pathway enrichment analysis revealed 223 reconstituted gene sets in 30 gene clusters for AAo_{max}, 419 gene sets in 53 gene clusters for AAo_{min}, but none for AAo_{dist}. 178 gene sets were significantly enriched for both AAo_{max} and AAo_{min}. The central nodes of the top gene clusters for AAo_{max} were complete embryonic lethality during organogenesis, response to nutrient levels and circulatory system processes, for AAo_{min} the CREBBP PPI subnetwork, smooth muscle cell proliferation and anemia. (*Online Table 7*). Tissue enrichment analysis revealed six significantly associated tissues for AAo_{max} and 10 significantly associated tissues for AAo_{min}. We found no significantly enriched tissues for AAo_{dist}. Both AAo_{max} and AAo_{min} were strongest enriched in the arteries and all top five tissues were related to cardiovascular system. Full results of the tissue enrichment analysis can be found in *Online Table 8* and are shown in *Supplementary Fig. 4*.

MR analysis

A series of Two-sample MR analyses was performed to investigate the potential causal mechanisms between AAo size and function and cardiovascular diseases. Results of the heterogeneity and sensitivity analyses are described in more details in the supplement and in *Online Tables 9* and 10.

We found no evidence for a genetic association between AAo_{max}, AAo_{min}, or AAo_{dist} and coronary artery disease or myocardial infarction in the UK Biobank or in the CARDIoGRAMplusC4D cohort using an inverse variance weighted random-effects model (Figure 3). AAo_{max} and AAo_{min} were genetically associated with ischemic stroke at a suggestive *P*-value threshold of 0.05 (OR I·I09, CI I·03I–I·I92, $P = 5.30 \times 10^{-3}$; OR







Figure 2. Manhattan plot shows the results for the GWAS's of AAo anatomy and function. The Manhattan plots shows the results of the GWSA of A) AAo_{max} B) AAo_{min} and C) AAo_{dist}. Loci reaching genome-wide significance ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) are coloured red and annotated with the identified genes. The Y-axis shows the $-\log_{10}(P-value)$, the X-axis chromosome 1-22.

			UK Biobank as outcome cohort (subset not included in the discovery GWAS)					CARDIoGRAMplusC4D and MEGASTROKE as outcome cohort							
Exposure	Outcome	Method	Nsnp			OR (95% CI)	Pvalue	Ncases	Ncontrols	Nsnp		OR (95% CI)	Pvalue	Ncases	Ncontrols
AAo max	Coronary artery disease	Inverse variance weighted	63	HPH		0.983 (0.900 - 1.073)	0.70	32,422	348,902	63	141	0.977 (0.903 - 1.056)	0.55	60,801	123,504
		MR-Lasso	63	101		0.965 (0.920 - 1.012)	0.15	32,422	348,902	63	b#1	1.014 (0.963 - 1.068)	0.60	60,801	123,504
		Weighted median	63	н		0.983 (0.921 - 1.050)	0.62	32,422	348,902	63		1.043 (0.971 - 1.119)	0.25	60,801	123,504
	Myocardial infarction	Inverse variance weighted	63	- 141		0.993 (0.903 - 1.092)	0.89	16,352	364,972	63		0.993 (0.923 - 1.068)	0.85	43,676	128,199
		MR-Lasso	63			0.988 (0.931 - 1.047)	0.68	16,352	364,972	63		1.008 (0.958 - 1.060)	0.77	43,676	128,199
		Weighted median	63	+++		1.013 (0.931 - 1.102)	0.76	16,352	364,972	63	Heri	1.018 (0.945 - 1.096)	0.64	43,676	128,199
	Any stroke	Inverse variance weighted	63			1.059 (0.999 - 1.122)	0.05	15,163	366,161	61	1 +1	1.008 (0.954 - 1.065)	0.78	67,162	454,450
		MR-Lasso	63	-		1.059 (0.999 - 1.122)	0.05	15,163	366,161	61	-	1.034 (0.994 - 1.076)	0.09	67,162	454,450
		Weighted median	63	H	н	1.112 (1.023 - 1.209)	0.01	15,163	366,161	61	H#1	1.021 (0.960 - 1.085)	0.51	67,162	454,450
	Ischemic stroke	Inverse variance weighted	63		н	1.109 (1.031 - 1.192)	5.30 × 10 ⁻¹	¹³ 8,632	372,692	62	101	1.004 (0.949 - 1.062)	0.89	60,341	454,450
		MR-Lasso	63		-	1.109 (1.031 - 1.192)	5.30 × 10 ⁻¹	8,632	372,692	62		1.024 (0.981 - 1.069)	0.28	60,341	454,450
		Weighted median	63	н	•	1.167 (1.056 - 1.289)	2.49 × 10 ⁻¹	³ 8,632	372,692	62	H#1	1.013 (0.951 - 1.079)	0.69	60,341	454,450
	Aneurysm	Inverse variance weighted	63		→ →	1.557 (1.328 - 1.825)	4.67 × 10 ⁻¹	⁸ 3,313	378,011						
		MR-Lasso	63		H+H	1.545 (1.336 - 1.788)	4.92 × 10 ⁻¹	⁹ 3,313	378,011						
		Weighted median	63		⊷ ⊷	1.498 (1.244 - 1.804)	1.96 × 10 ⁻¹	⁶ 3,313	378,011						
AAo min	Coronary artery disease	Inverse variance weighted	69			1.008 (0.929 - 1.094)	0.85	32,422	348,902	68	Het	0.984 (0.915 - 1.059)	0.67	60,801	123,504
		MR-Lasso	69			1.003 (0.945 - 1.064)	0.93	32,422	348,902	68	-	1.020 (0.974 - 1.069)	0.40	60,801	123,504
		Weighted median	69			0.995 (0.926 - 1.069)	0.89	32,422	348,902	68	H#H	1.037 (0.966 - 1.114)	0.31	60,801	123,504
	Myocardial infarction	Inverse variance weighted	69	- ++		1.031 (0.939 - 1.133)	0.52	16,352	364,972	68	H#1	1.000 (0.933 - 1.072)	1.00	43,676	128,199
		MR-Lasso	69			1.026 (0.951 - 1.107)	0.51	16,352	364,972	68	101	1.003 (0.950 - 1.059)	0.91	43,676	128,199
		Weighted median	69			1.020 (0.931 - 1.117)	0.68	16,352	364,972	68	H#1	1.022 (0.944 - 1.106)	0.59	43,676	128,199
	Any stroke	Inverse variance weighted	69	-		1.071 (1.014 - 1.130)	0.01	15,163	366,161	67		1.041 (0.994 - 1.091)	0.09	67,162	454,450
		MR-Lasso	69			1.071 (1.014 - 1.130)	0.01	15,163	366,161	67	-	1.048 (1.007 - 1.090)	0.02	67,162	454,450
		Weighted median	69	. He	н	1.115 (1.024 - 1.214)	0.01	15,163	366,161	67	hei	1.024 (0.967 - 1.085)	0.41	67,162	454,450
	Ischemic stroke	Inverse variance weighted	69		н	1.107 (1.032 - 1.188)	4.35 × 10 ⁻¹	³ 8,632	372,692	67	-	1.042 (0.992 - 1.095)	0.10	60,341	454,450
		MR-Lasso	69		4	1.107 (1.032 - 1.188)	4.35 × 10 ⁻¹	³ 8,632	372,692	67	-	1.049 (1.006 - 1.094)	0.03	60,341	454,450
		Weighted median	69	н	н	1.149 (1.036 - 1.274)	8.33 × 10 ⁻¹	³ 8,632	372,692	67		1.039 (0.975 - 1.108)	0.24	60,341	454,450
	Aneurysm	Inverse variance weighted	69		⊢ ≠-1	1.500 (1.287 - 1.749)	2.24 × 10 ⁻⁴	7 3,313	378,011						
		MR-Lasso	69			1.488 (1.293 - 1.713)	3.21 × 10 ⁻¹	8 3,313	378,011						
		Weighted median	69			1.396 (1.150 - 1.696)	7.58 × 10 ⁻¹	4 3,313	378,011						
AAo distensibility	Coronary artery disease	Inverse variance weighted	4			0.771 (0.634 - 0.937)	8.91 × 10 ⁻¹	3 32,422	348,902	4		0.791 (0.533 - 1.173)	0.24	60,801	123,504
		MR-Lasso	4			0.771 (0.634 - 0.937)	8.91 × 10 ⁻¹	3 32,422	348,902	4	—	0.791 (0.533 - 1.173)	0.24	60,801	123,504
		Weighted median	4			0.794 (0.632 - 0.996)	0.05	32,422	348,902	4		0.907 (0.684 - 1.202)	0.50	60,801	123,504
	Myocardial infarction	Inverse variance weighted	4			0.875 (0.672 - 1.140)	0.32	16,352	364,972	4		0.755 (0.532 - 1.069)	0.11	43,676	128,199
		MR-Lasso	4			0.875 (0.672 - 1.140)	0.32	16,352	364,972	4	—	0.755 (0.532 - 1.069)	0.11	43,676	128,199
		Weighted median	4	<u>ь</u> ,	-	0.899 (0.665 - 1.215)	0.49	16,352	364,972	4		0.863 (0.637 - 1.170)	0.34	43,676	128,199
	Any stroke	Inverse variance weighted	4 ⊢		•	0.82 (0.573 - 1.1750)	0.28	15,163	366,161	3	·	0.900 (0.499 - 1.622)	0.73	67,162	454,450
		MR-Lasso	4 ⊨		•	0.82 (0.573 - 1.1750)	0.28	15,163	366,161	3	·	0.900 (0.499 - 1.622)	0.73	67,162	454,450
		Weighted median	4 +		-	0.874 (0.613 - 1.245)	0.46	15,163	366,161	3		1.172 (0.874 - 1.571)	0.29	67,162	454,450
	Ischemic stroke	Inverse variance weighted	4		-	0.722 (0.408 - 1.277)	0.26	8,632	372,692	3	·	0.899 (0.435 - 1.858)	0.77	60,341	454,450
		- MR-Lasso	4 ⊢		-	0.722 (0.408 - 1.277)	0.26	8,632	372,692	3	·	0.899 (0.435 - 1.858)	0.77	60,341	454,450
		Weighted median	4 ⊢		-	0.851 (0.549 - 1.317)	0.47	8,632	372,692	3		1.231 (0.902 - 1.680)	0.19	60,341	454,450
	Aneurysm	- Inverse variance weighted	4	_		0.444 (0.253 - 0.780)	4.70 × 10 ⁻⁴	ⁱ³ 3,313	378,011						
	,	MR-Lasso	4	_		0.444 (0.253 - 0.780)	4.70 × 10 ⁻¹	³ 3,313	378,011						
		Weighted median	4	_		0.421 (0.217 - 0.814)	0.01	3.313	378.011						
		5	·			¬			,	· _		-			

Figure 3. Forestplot of the two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) estimates of AAo anatomy and function on vascular diseases. Forestplot of the two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) estimates of AAo_{max} , AAo_{min} and AAo_{dist} on coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, stroke, ischemic stroke and aneurysm development. The outcomes were assessed in an independent subset of individuals in the UK Biobank (left panel) and in CARDIOGRAMplusC4D and MEGASTROKE consortia (right panel). We show the effect sizes of the main inverse variance weighted random effect model, as well as the MR-Lasso method and weighted median method (which are robust to the scenario in which respectively a small proportion or up to half of the genetic variants to exert pleiotropic effects). A Bonferroni corrected *P*-value of *P* < 0•05/10 outcomes=0•005 was considered significant for the main inverse variance weighted random effects analysis. A *P*-value cut-off of *P* < 0•05 was considered to be significant for sensitivity analysis. The *X*-axis show the odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval.



Figure 4. Conceptual biological framework of 101 genes implicated in AAo size and function. Biological framework of the candidate genes for AAo size and function as identified by the nearest, coding, DEPICT or a combination of these methods. Further information on gene function can be found in Online Table 6 and a list of genes that were previously implicated in AAo size, function or thoracic aneurysm development in Supplementary Table 1. (*) in the same region as previously identified genes for AAo size or sporadic thoracic aneurysms, (§) in the same region as previously identified genes known to cause syndromic thoracic aneurysms, (†) identified for AAo_{max}, AAo_{min} and AAo_{dist}, (‡) identified by all gene prioritization methods.

I·IO7, CI I·O32–I·I88, $P = 4.35 \times 10^{-3}$, respectively, IVW-RE)., but the results were not replicated in the MEGASTROKE consortium for both AAo_{max} (OR I·O04, CI 0·949–I·O62, $P = 8.93 \times 10^{-1}$, IVW-RE) and AAo_{min} (OR I·O42, CI 0·992–I·O95, $P = I \cdot O2 \times 10^{-1}$, IVW-RE) (Figure 3). We also found a suggestively significant association between AAo_{min} and any stroke in the UK Biobank, but again this result was not replicated. AAo_{dist} was not associated with any or ischemic stroke. We did not find a genetic association between AAo anatomy and AAo distensibility and other stroke subtypes, including subarachnoidal haemorrhage, intracerebral haemorrhage, cardio-embolic, large-artery and smallvessel stroke (*Online Table 10*).

Lastly, we studied the association between AAo size and function and aneurysms. We found strong evidence for a genetic association between AAo_{max}, AAo_{min}, AAo_{dist} and aneurysms (OR 1·557, CI 1·328–1·825, $P = 4.67 \times 10^{-8}$; OR 1·500, CI 1·287–1·748, $P = 2.24 \times 10^{-7}$; 0·453, CI 0·243–0·780, $P = 4.70 \times 10^{-3}$, respectively, IVW-RE). The results were robust to MR-Lasso and weighted median analyses (Figure 3). Considering the limited number of genetic variants associated with AAo_{dist}, we lowered the *P*-value threshold for inclusion of genetic variants to $P < I \times 10^{-6}$ and found genetic associations generally consistent with the main results for all exposure-outcome associations (*Online Table 10*).

Discussion

In the current study, we applied automated segmentation of the AAo lumen using an AI approach, to obtain its properties on 37,910 participants from the UK Biobank. GWAS from these derived phenotypes identified a total of 107 common genetic variants in 78 loci associated with AAo anatomy and function and *in silico* annotations provide insights in their biological background. The MR analyses provide evidence for a genetic association between AAo anatomy and development of aneurysms in a general population.

We provide normal values of AAo anatomy and function in the largest cross-sectional population-based cohort study to date. We additionally characterized agerelated changes in the aorta for women and men and show that there is an age-dependent increase of AAo size and decline of AAo_{dist} . The average AAo_{dist} was higher for women than men younger than 65 years, but this effect was attenuated within the older age categories. The reference values were similar to previous studies and provide a framework for physicians to evaluate AAo anatomy from CMR imaging.^{15,60–62}

We then aimed to gain additional insights in the biology of interindividual differences in AAo size and identified a total of 103 common genome-wide significant genetic variants. There was a high overlap between AAo_{max} and AAo_{min} as indicated by the strong

observational and genetic correlations, as well as the large number of shared loci. The SNP-based heritability estimates for AAomax and AAomin were approximately 50%, whereas a previous twin study reported a heritability between 0.67 and 0.82 for the diameter of various parts of the aorta.⁶³ This indicates a substantial proportion of missing heritability, analogous to previous studies of complex phenotypes.⁶⁴ Missing heritability may be caused by the analysis of common variants in the GWAS and the inclusion of individuals who are primarily from European ancestry. Furthermore, due to the nature of GWAS, assuming an additive effects model, potential epistatic effects and gene-environment interactions may be overlooked.⁶⁴ Finally, copy number variants could potentially play a role. However, considering genotype data used for the current GWAS only captures indels, but not copy number variants in general.⁶⁴ Nonetheless, the heritability of 50% emphasizes the importance of common genetic variants in inter-individual differences in AAo anatomy. The in-silico annotations revealed biologically likely gene sets that were involved in cardiac and muscle structure development and pointed to the arteries as highest enriched tissue. The gene set enrichment analysis also showed the importance of cardiac development, with the top metaclusters revolving around heart and muscle structure development. We found the strongest genetic overlap with blood pressure and anthropometric traits, although correlations were weak in general. We identified several genes that have been previously implicated to AAo anatomy or thoracic aneurysm development, including the FBN1, ELN, MYH10, ULK4 and SMG6 genes.^{9,53-59} We also replicated rs17470137, an intergenic variant annotated to CCDC100 in a previous study.¹⁰ We annotated this variant to PRDM6, which encodes a histone methyland transferase can alter epigenetic gene regulation.^{65,66} Alterations in PRDM6 might influence AAomax through regulation of vascular smooth muscle cells contractile proteins or through its association with patent ductus arteriosus.65,66

There was little overlap of the underlying biology between AAo function and anatomy as indicated by weak observational and genetic correlations, as well as the absence of overlap between the four SNPs associated with AAo function and those associated with AAo anatomy. The SNP-based heritability of AAodist was in line with previous GWASs, in which global arterial pressure measured by pulse wave velocity (PWV) was investigated, with estimates ranging between 0.06 and 0.28; all of which were lower than heritability estimated in twin studies (0.43-0.53).67-70 In-silico annotations only highlighted blood serum as a significantly enriched tissue, possibly pointing to the role of circulating inflammatory markers in arterial stiffening.71,72 Again, we found the most significant genetic overlap with blood pressure and anthropometric traits. There was no overlap between the four identified loci in the current study

and those highlighted in previous PWV GWASs.^{69,70,73} We note that the comparability with these previous studies might be limited considering they represent a different metric, as PWV reflects a global estimate rather than regional aortic stiffness. In fact, large ranges of SNP-based heritability and no replication of loci have been observed between PWV measurements.^{69,70}

We searched for genetic variants which could alter protein encoding and identified a total of six genetic variants for the AAo traits was in high LD with coding variants of IMID1C, SMG6, NOC3L, ULK4, and ADAMTS₇. We evaluated their potential pathogenicity according to the ACMG guidelines and found that high relative CADD scores for coding variants of ULK4 (rs3774372, in high LD with rs60248638) and SMG6 (rs903160, in high LD with indel 17:2088848_CCA-GA_C), providing one supportive argument for pathogenicity. In addition, we found that the prevalence of coronary artery disease was different between the alleles of 17:2088848_C_CCAGA, which provides evidence pathogenicity as well. However, the MR results did not support a causal relation between the investigated AAo traits and coronary artery disease development. Future research, such as functional studies and data on familial aggregation or de novo mutations in individuals with abnormal AAo traits, will be essential to provide definitive evidence on a potential causal role of these genes.

Multiple strategies were applied to search for candidate causal genes and we evaluated their molecular mechanisms of action to gain insights in their potential role in the biology underlying interindividual differences in AAo traits. We found several genes involved in connective tissue development (COL6A3, ELN, FBN1, and THSD4), which are of importance for the resistance of the aorta to stretching during the systolic phase. Both FBN1, which encodes fibrillin-1 and is associated with Marfan syndrome, and ELN, which encodes elastin and is associated with the cutis-laxa syndrome gene, are known for their importance in syndromic thoracic aneurysms.53-57 The COL6A3 gene encodes the alpha-3 chain of type VI collagen and to date there are no studies which describe a role in AAo anatomy or function. Especially the role of type I and type III fibrillar collagens have been described for their role in the tensile strength of the aortic wall to withstand the high pressure of blood pumped by the heart, as they account for 80-90% of the total collagen present in the aorta.74,75 However, collagen type VI has also been found in intima and subintima of the human aorta and therefore play a role in aorta tensile strength.⁷⁶ The THSD4 gene encodes a microfibril-associated protein which binds to fibrillin-1. In vitro and in vivo experiments in mice have shown a role of THSD4 in promoting fibrillin-1 its matrix assembly.⁷⁷ We also identified multiple genes (ADAMTS8, ANGPT1, ATF1, ECM1, FGD5, HAND2, HAS2, RNH1) involved directly in angiogenesis. In

cle cell contraction (ANO1, EDN1, EDN2, LPAR1) and development (ADAMTS7, PRDM6, MLK1), which might play a role in arterial vasoconstriction. Of these genes, the ADAMTS7 was identified by all gene-prioritization methods. The ADAMTS7 gene encodes a zincdependent protease and has been associated with coronary artery disease in numerous GWAS.78,79 Another study showed an accumulation of ADAMTS7 in smooth muscle cells in coronary and carotid atherosclerotic plaques.⁸⁰ We also prioritized several genes involved in cardiac development, including *IMID1C* which was identified by all gene-prioritization methods. [M]D1C encodes a histone demethylase, and mutations in this gene are associated with Rett Syndrome, a congenital neurological disorder.⁸¹ Rare deleterious single-nucleotide variations in IMID1C have previously been linked to conotruncal type of congenital heart diseases commonly seen in individuals with 22q11.2 deletion or DiGeorge syndrome.⁸² Other potential biological mechanisms through which the identified genes could influence AAo anatomy and function included blood pressure regulation, atherogenesis and global cellular functions such as cell proliferation, differentiation, and migration.

addition, we highlighted genes involved in smooth mus-

Finally, we aimed to gain additional insights in the clinical consequences of AAo anatomy and function. The two-sample Mendelian randomization and sensitivity analyses provided robust evidence that genetically increased AAomax and AAomin, as well as decreased AAodist, increases risk of developing aneurysms. These results functionally validate that we captured AAo size biology. In addition, the high SNP-based heritability of AAo anatomy and its genetic association with aneurysm development emphasizes the role of genetics in aneurysm development.^{5,83} The results of the current study could be considered as further support for screening AAo size in a clinical setting, which previous studies have suggested for both familial and sporadic thoracic aneurysms.^{8,84} We did not find evidence for genetic associations with other cardiovascular diseases. This is in contrast to previous observational studies, which described that AAo_{dist} is associated with coronary artery disease and stroke.^{12,85} A biological explanation is that elastic arteries differ from muscular arteries in their relative ratios of smooth muscle cells, elastic fibres, and collagen.⁸⁶ As reported before, smaller aorta diameters are correlated with stroke outcome. In a scenario where two individuals have equal compliance but different aortic size, the individual with the lower aortic size has a smaller reservoir volume and hence a higher pulse pressure. This could be the mechanism underlying the association between size and stroke since higher pulse pressure increases the risk of stroke.87,88 However, in the present study we did not find evidence for a causal relation between aortic size and stroke in the independent cohort. We did highlight some biological clusters

that have been implicated in vascular disease pathophysiology, but found only little overlap with previously established loci and the correlations with coronary artery disease and stroke were weak. A statistical explanation for the absence of a genetic association between AAo_{dist} and cardiovascular disease outcomes is that the analyses might have been hampered by the inclusion of a limited number of genetic variants. To further our understanding of the relationship between aortic traits and cardiovascular outcomes, we, therefore, aim to continue our research on aortic traits, and to investigate the discrepancies between previous studies and the results in this study, with prospective follow-up on UK Biobank data, which consists of comprehensive health outcome data.

The key strength of the current study is that we used an AI-approach to analyse large quantities of CMR-data, which subsequently allowed us to perform a GWAS on AAo size and function in the largest cohort to date. Furthermore, we used an MR approach to overcome traditional sources of bias as confounding and reversed causation in traditional observational studies and performed a multitude of sensitivity analysis to prevent pleiotropic bias in the MR.⁸⁹ Some limitations should be addressed as well.9° Firstly, we did not verify all images and predictions manually because of the large sample size and the large quantity of images. However, we carefully selected and verified the applied QC parameters by visual inspection of over 7500 images and corresponding segmentations, to prevent inclusion of incorrect images. Secondly, we were unable to differentiate between syndromic, familial and sporadic occurrences of AAo enlargement in the current analyses. We minimized the risk of false positives due to syndromic or familial cases through correction for familial structure in the GWAS and by excluding related individuals from the regression analyses that were performed to obtain the SNP-outcome associations that were used in the MR analyses.²⁸ Thirdly, we were unable to replicate the newly discovered genetic variants associated with AAo anatomy and function in external cohorts as there are no equally sized cohorts with the combination of CMR and genetic data. We, therefore, hope to replicate these findings on an independent cohort in the future, by applying transfer learning on our AI based pipeline.

In conclusion, we developed an automated pipeline for segmentation of the AAo using an AI approach and used this to provide an overview of normal values of its dimensional and functional features in the largest population to date. We report 107 common genetic variants in 78 loci associated with AAo anatomy and function and found a high contribution of common genetic variants to AAo size. The Mendelian randomization analysis indicated a genetic association with aneurysm development, but not with other vascular diseases.

Data sharing

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. The GWAS datasets summary statistics generated during the current study are available in the following repository (https://doi.org/10.17632/dw7syw7tt7.1). Source data from UK biobank, CARDIoGRAMplusC4D and MEGASTROKE may be requested from the concerned consortia.

Sources of funding

The work of J.W. Benjamins and M.W. Yeung was supported by the Research Project CVON-AI (2018B017), financed by the PPP Allowance made available by Top Sector Life Sciences & Health to the Dutch Heart Foundation to stimulate public-private partnerships. The work of N.Verweij was supported by NWO VENI grant 016.186.125.

Contributors

All authors contributed to the study conception and design.

Formal analyses were performed by J.W.B., M.W.Y, Y.J.V. and M.A.S.

J.W.B., M.W.Y, Y.J.V., M.A.S., D.T., T.L., N.V. and P. H. were involved in interpreting the data.

Writing of the first draft was performed by J.W.B., M.W.Y, Y.J.V. and M.A.S.

Supervision, funding, manuscript reviewing, and editing were performed by L.J.O., N.V. and P.H.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

All authors take full responsibility for all aspects of the reliability and freedom from bias of the data presented and their discussed interpretation.

Declaration of interests

Niek Verweij was employed by Regeneron Pharmaceuticals. Jan Walter Benjamins, Ming Wai Yeung, Yordi J. van de Vegte, M. Abdullah Said, Thijs van der Linden, Daan Ties, Luis E. Juarez-Orozco and Pim van der Harst declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under application number 12010. We thank Ruben N. Eppinga, MD, PhD, Tom Hendriks, MD, PhD. Yldau van der Ende, MD, PhD, Yanick Hagemeijer, MSc and Hilde Groot, MD, PhD (University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, and Department of Cardiology), for their contributions to the extraction and processing of data in the UK Biobank. None of the mentioned contributors received compensation, except for their employment at the University Medical Center Groningen. We thank the Center for Information Technology of the University of Groningen for their support and for providing access to the Peregrine high-performance computing cluster. In addition, we thank the "Medische en Informatie Technologie Systeembeheer" of the University Medical Center of Groningen for their support and maintenance of our own computing cluster.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/i. ebiom.2021.103783.

References

- O'Rourke MF, Hashimoto J. Mechanical factors in arterial aging. A clinical perspective. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;50:1-13.
- Secomb TW. Hemodynamics. Compr Physiol 2016;6:975-1003. McClure RS, Brogly SB, Lajkosz K, Payne D, Hall SF, Johnson AP. 3
- Epidemiology and management of thoracic aortic dissections and thoracic aortic aneurysms in Ontario, Canada: a population-based study. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2018;155:2254-64. e4.
- Harky A, Fan KS, Fan KH. The genetics and biomechanics of tho-4 racic aortic diseases. Vasc Biol 2019;1:R13-25.
- Coady MA, Davies RR, Roberts M, et al. Familial patterns of thoracic 5 aortic aneurysms. Arch. Surg. 1999: 361-7. American Medical Association.
- Renard M, Francis C, Ghosh R, et al. Clinical validity of genes for 6 heritable thoracic aortic aneurysm and dissection. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;72:605-1
- Kwartler CS, Gong L, Chen J, et al. Variants of unknown signifi-7 cance in genes associated with heritable thoracic aortic disease can be low penetrant "risk variants". Am J Hum Genet 2018;103:138-43.
- 8 Rauns¹/₂ J, Song RJ, Vasan RS, et al. Familial clustering of aortic size, aneurysms, and dissections in the community. Circulation 2020;**142**:920–8.
- Lemaire SA, McDonald MLN, Guo DC, et al. Genome-wide associa-0 tion study identifies a susceptibility locus for thoracic aortic aneurysms and aortic dissections spanning FBNI at 15q21.1. Nat Genet 2011;**43**:996–1002.
- Vasan RS, Glazer NL, Felix JF, et al. Genetic variants associated with 10 cardiac structure and function: a meta-analysis and replication of genome-wide association data. JAMA J Am Med Assoc
- Ben-Shlomo Y, Spears M, Boustred C, et al. Aortic pulse wave veloc-II ity improves cardiovascular event prediction: an individual participant meta-analysis of prospective observational data from 17,635 subjects. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63:636-46.
- 12 Redheuil A, Wu CO, Kachenoura N, et al. Proximal aortic distensibility is an independent predictor of all-cause mortality and incident CV events. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;64:2619-29.
- Fung K, Biasiolli L, Aung N, et al. Reference values for aortic disten-13 sibility derived from UK Biobank cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging cohort. Eur Hear J Cardiovasc Imaging 2019;20:2019.
- 14 Laurent S, Briet M, Boutouyrie P. Arterial stiffness as surrogate end point. Hypertension 2012;60:518-22.
- Bossone E, Yuriditsky E, Desale S, Ferrara F, Vriz O, Asch FM. Nor-15 mal values and differences in ascending aortic diameter in a healthy population of adults as Measured by the pediatric versus adult American society of echocardiography guidelines. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2016;29:166-72
- 16 Turkbey EB, Jain A, Johnson C, et al. Determinants and normal values of ascending aortic diameter by age, gender, and race/ethnicity in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA). J Magn Reson Imaging 2014;39:360-8.
- Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, et al. UK Biobank: an open access 17 resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex dis-eases of middle and old age. *PLOS Med* 2015;12:e1001779.

- 18 Petersen SE, Matthews PM, Francis JM, et al. UK Biobank's cardiovascular magnetic resonance protocol. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2016;18(8).
- Ronneberger O, Fischer P, Brox T. U-net: convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In: Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics). Springer Verlag, 2015: 234-41
- Kingma DP, Adam BJ.: A method for stochastic optimization. 2014; 20 published online Dec.
- Minners J, Gohlke-Baerwolf C, Kaufmann BA, et al. Adjusting parameters of aortic valve stenosis severity by body size. Heart 2014;**100**:1024-30.
- Immerklr J. Fast noise variance estimation. Comput Vis Image 2.2 Underst 1996;64:300–2
- Koo TK, Li MY. A guideline of selecting and reporting intraclass correlation coefficients for reliability research. J Chiropr Med 2016;15:155-63.
- Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, et al. Genome-wide genetic data 24 on ~500,000 UK Biobank participants. bioRxiv 2017;: 166298.
- Loh PR, Tucker G, Bulik-Sullivan BK, et al. Efficient Bayesian 25 mixed-model analysis increases association power in large cohorts. Nat Genet 2015;**47**:284–90.
- 26 Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, et al. PLINK: a tool set for wholegenome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet 2007;81:559-
- Bulik-Sullivan B, Loh PR, Finucane HK, et al. LD score regression 27 distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* 2015;47:291–5. 28 Loh PR, Kichaev G, Gazal S, Schoech AP, Price AL. Mixed-model
- association for Biobank-scale datasets. Nat Genet 2018;50:906-8.
- Loh PR, Bhatia G, Gusev A, et al. Contrasting genetic architectures 29 of schizophrenia and other complex diseases using fast variancecomponents analysis. *Nat Genet* 2015;47:1385–92. Zheng J, Erzurumluoglu AM, Elsworth BL, et al. LD hub: a central-
- 30 ized database and web interface to perform LD score regression that maximizes the potential of summary level GWAS data for SNP heritability and genetic correlation analysis. Bioinformatics 2017;33:272-
- Buniello A, MacArthur J, Cerezo M. The NHGRI-EBI GWAS cata-31 log of published genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. Nucleic Acids Res 2019;47:D1005-12.
- Pers TH, Karjalainen JM, Chan Y, et al. Biological interpretation of 32 genome-wide association studies using predicted gene functions. Nat Commun 2015;**6**:5890.
- Nikpay M, Goel A, Won HH, et al. A comprehensive 1000 genomes-based genome-wide association meta-analysis of coronary artery disease. Nat Genet 2015;47:1121–30.
- Malik R, Chauhan G, Traylor M, et al. Multiancestry genome-wide association study of 520,000 subjects identifies 32 loci associated with stroke and stroke subtypes. Nat Genet 2018;50:524-37
- Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, et al. The MR-base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. Elife 2018;7. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34408. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Avoiding bias from weak instruments in
- 36 Mendelian randomization studies. Int J Epidemiol 2011;40:755-64.
- Palmer TM, Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, et al. Using multiple genetic variants as instrumental variables for modifiable risk factors. Stat Methods Med Res 2012: 223–42.
- Teslovich TM, Musunuru K, Smith AV, et al. Biological, clinical and 38 population relevance of 95 loci for blood lipids. Nature 2010;**466**:707-13.
- Hemani G, Tilling K, Davey Smith G. Orienting the causal relationship between imprecisely measured traits using GWAS summary data. PLoS Genet 2017;13:e1007081.
- 40 Lee SH, Goddard ME, Wray NR, Visscher PM. A better coefficient of determination for genetic profile analysis. Genet Epidemiol 2012;**36**:214–24.
- Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data. Genet Epidemiol 2013;37:658-65.
- Bowden J, Del Greco MF, Minelli C, Davey Smith G, Sheehan N, Thompson J. A framework for the investigation of pleiotropy in twosample summary data Mendelian randomization. Stat Med 2017;36:1783-802.
- Del GMF, Minelli C, Sheehan NA, Thompson JR. Detecting pleiot-43 ropy in Mendelian randomisation studies with summary data and a continuous outcome. Stat Med 2015;34:2926-40.

- **44** Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *Int J Epidemiol* 2015;**44**:512–25.
- 45 Bowden J, Del Greco MF, Minelli C, Davey Smith G, Sheehan NA, Thompson JR. Assessing the suitability of summary data for twosample Mendelian randomization analyses using MR-Egger regression: the role of the I2 statistic. *Int J Epidemiol* 2016;45:dyw220.
- 46 Rees JMB, Wood AM, Dudbridge F, Burgess S. Robust methods in Mendelian randomization via penalization of heterogeneous causal estimates. PLoS One 2019;14:e0222362.
- 47 Zhao Q, Wang J, Hemani G, Bowden J, Small DS. Statistical inference in two-sample summary-data Mendelian randomization using robust adjusted profile score. 2018; published online Jan.
- 48 Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet* 2018;**50**:693–8.
- 49 Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in Mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. *Genet Epidemiol* 2016;40:304–14.
- 50 Hartwig FP, Davey Smith G, Bowden J. Robust inference in summary data Mendelian randomization via the zero modal pleiotropy assumption. Int J Epidemiol 2017;46:1985–98.
- Qi G, Chatterjee N. Mendelian randomization analysis using mixture models for robust and efficient estimation of causal effects. *Nat Commun* 2019;10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09432-2.
 Core Team R., R: a language and environment for statistical com-
- 52 Core Team R. R: a language and environment for statistical com puting. R foundation for statistical computing. 2020.
- 53 Callewaert B, Renard M, Hucthagowder V, et al. New insights into the pathogenesis of autosomal-dominant cutis laxa with report of five ELN mutations. *Hum Mutat* 2011;32:445-55.
- 54 Vodo D, Sarig O, Peled A, Frydman M, Greenberger S, Sprecher E. Autosomal-dominant cutis Laxa resulting from an intronic mutation in ELN. *Exp Dermatol* 2015;24:885–7.
- 55 Hadj-Rabia S, Callewaert BL, Bourrat E, et al. Twenty patients including 7 probands with autosomal dominant cutis Laxa confirm clinical and molecular homogeneity. Orphanet J Rare Dis 2013;8. https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1172-8-36.
- 56 Szabo Z, Crepeau MW, Mitchell AL, et al. Aortic aneurysmal disease and cutis Laxa caused by defects in the elastin gene. J Med Genet 2006;43:255–8.
- 57 Dietz HC, Cutting CR, Pyeritz RE, et al. Marfan syndrome caused by a recurrent de novo missense mutation in the fibrillin gene. *Nature* 1991;352:337–9.
- 58 chuan GD, MLL G, Prakash SKK, et al. Genetic variants in LRP1 and ULK4 are associated with acute aortic dissections. Am J Hum Genet 2016;99:762–9.
- 59 Xu H, Chen S, Zhang H, et al. Network-based analysis reveals novel gene signatures in the peripheral blood of patients with sporadic nonsyndromic thoracic aortic aneurysm. *J Cell Physiol* 2020;235:2478–91.
- 60 Nethononda RM, Lewandowski AJ, Stewart R, et al. Gender specific patterns of age-related decline in aortic stiffness: a cardiovascular magnetic resonance study including normal ranges. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2015;17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12968-015-0126-0.
- 61 Petersen SE, Khanji MY, Plein S, Lancellotti P, Bucciarelli-Ducci C. European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging expert consensus paper: a comprehensive review of cardiovascular magnetic resonance normal values of cardiac chamber size and aortic root in adults and recommendations for grading severity. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2019;20:1321–31.
- 62 Bai W, Suzuki H, Huang J, et al. A population-based phenome-wide association study of cardiac and aortic structure and function. Nat Med 2020;26:1654–62.
- 63 Celeng C, Kolossváry M, Kovács A, et al. Aortic root dimensions are predominantly determined by genetic factors: a classical twin study. *Eur Radiol* 2017;**27**:2419–25.
- 64 Manolio TA, Collins FS, Cox NJ, et al. Finding the missing heritability of complex diseases. *Nature* 2009;461:747–53.
 65 Lynch HT, Grissom RL, Magnuson CR, Krush A. Patent ductus
- 65 Lynch HT, Grissom RL, Magnuson CR, Krush A. Patent ductus arteriosus: study of two families. JAMA J Am Med Assoc 1965;194:135–8.
- 66 Li N, Subrahmanyan L, Smith E, et al. Mutations in the histone modifier PRDM6 are associated with isolated nonsyndromic patent ductus arteriosus. *Am J Hum Genet* 2016;98:1082–91.
- 67 Tarnoki AD, Tarnoki DL, Stazi MA, et al. Heritability of central blood pressure and arterial stiffness: a twin study. J Hypertens 2012;30:1564–71.

- 68 Ge D, Young TW, Wang X, Kapuku GK, Treiber FA, Snieder H. Heritability of arterial stiffness in Black and White American youth and young adults. *Am J Hypertens* 2007;20:1065–72.
- 69 Fung K, Ramírez J, Warren HR, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies loci for arterial stiffness index in 127,121 UK Biobank participants. *Sci Rep* 2019;9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45703-0.
- 70 Rode M, Teren A, Wirkner K, et al. Genome-wide association analysis of pulse wave velocity traits provide new insights into the causal relationship between arterial stiffness and blood pressure. PLoS One 2020;15:e0237237.
- 7I Leone O, Corsini A, Pacini D, et al. The complex interplay among atherosclerosis, inflammation, and degeneration in ascending thoracic aortic aneurysms. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2020;160:1434-43. e6.
- 72 Stone JR, Bruneval P, Angelini A, et al. Consensus statement on surgical pathology of the aorta from the society for cardiovascular pathology and the association for european cardiovascular pathology: I. Inflammatory diseases. *Cardiovasc Pathol* 2015;24:267-78.
- 73 Zekavat SM, Aragam K, Emdin C, et al. Genetic association of finger photoplethysmography-derived arterial stiffness index with blood pressure and coronary artery disease. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2019;39:1253-61.
- 74 Jana S, Hu M, Shen M, Kassiri Z. Extracellular matrix, regional heterogeneity of the aorta, and aortic aneurysm. *Exp Mol Med* 2019;51:1–15.
- 75 Wagenseil JE, Mecham RP. Vascular extracellular matrix and arterial mechanics. *Physiol Rev* 2009;89:957–89.
 76 Murata K, Motayama T, Kotake C. Collagen types in various layers
- 76 Murata K, Motayama T, Kotake C. Collagen types in various layers of the human aorta and their changes with the atherosclerotic process. Atherosclerosis 1986;60:251–62.
- 77 Tsutsui K, Manabe RI, Yamada T, et al. ADAMTSL-6 is a novel extracellular matrix protein that binds to fibrillin-1 and promotes fibrillin-1 fibril formation. J Biol Chem 2010;285:4870-82.
- 78 Schunkert H, König IR, Kathiresan S, et al. Large-scale association analysis identifies 13 new susceptibility loci for coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet* 2011;43:333–40.
 79 Reilly MP, Li M, He J, et al. Identification of ADAMTS7 as a novel
- 79 Reilly MP, Li M, He J, et al. Identification of ADAMTS7 as a novel locus for coronary atherosclerosis and association of ABO with myocardial infarction in the presence of coronary atherosclerosis: two genome-wide association studies. *Lancet* 2011;377:383–92.
- genome-wide association studies. Lancet 2011;377:383–92.
 80 Pu X, Xiao Q, Kiechl S, et al. ADAMTS7 cleavage and vascular smooth muscle cell migration is affected by a coronary-artery-disease-associated variant. Am J Hum Genet 2013;92:366–74.
 81 Sáez MA, Fernández-Rodríguez J, Moutinho C, et al. Mutations in
- 81 Sáez MA, Fernández-Rodríguez J, Moutinho C, et al. Mutations in JMJDIC are involved in Rett syndrome and intellectual disability. *Genet Med* 2016;18:378–85.
- 82 Guo T, Chung JH, Wang T, et al. Histone modifier genes alter conotruncal heart phenotypes in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. Am J Hum Genet 2015;97:869–77.
- 83 Pinard A, Jones GT, Milewicz DM. Genetics of thoracic and abdominal aortic diseases: aneurysms, dissections, and ruptures. *Circ Res* 2019;**124**:588–606.
- 84 Mariscalco G, Debiec R, Elefteriades JA, Samani NJ, Murphy GJ. Systematic review of studies that have evaluated screening tests in relatives of patients affected by nonsyndromic thoracic aortic disease. J Am Heart Assoc 2018;7. https://doi.org/10.1161/ JAHA.118.009302.
- 85 Maroules CD, Khera A, Ayers C, et al. Cardiovascular outcome associations among cardiovascular magnetic resonance measures of arterial stiffness: the Dallas heart study. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2014;16. https://doi.org/10.1186/1532-429X-16-33.
- 86 Pugsley MK, Tabrizchi R. The vascular system. An overview of structure and function. J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods 2000;44:333-40.
- 87 Domanski MJ, Davis BR, Pfeffer MA, Kastantin M, Mitchell GF. Isolated systolic hypertension. *Hypertension* 1999;34:375–80.
- 88 Sugioka K, Hozumi T, Sciacca RR, et al. Impact of aortic stiffness on ischemic stroke in elderly patients. *Stroke* 2002;33:2077–81.
- 89 Smith GD, Ebrahim S. Mendelian randomization': can genetic epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease? Int J Epidemiol 2003;32:1–22.
- 90 Bhuva AN, Bai W, Lau C, et al. A multicenter, scan-rescan, human and machine learning CMR study to test generalizability and precision in imaging biomarker analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2019;12. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.119.009214.