# Psychosocial measures in relation to smartwatch alerts for atrial fibrillation detection



Andreas Filippaios, MD, \*<sup>†</sup> Khanh-Van T. Tran, MD, PhD, \* Jordy Mehawej, MD, ScM, \*<sup>‡</sup> Eric Ding, MS,<sup>‡</sup> Tenes Paul, DO, \*<sup>†</sup> Darleen Lessard, MS,<sup>‡</sup> Bruce Barton, PhD,<sup>‡</sup> Honghuang Lin, PhD,<sup>†</sup> Syed Naeem, MD, \* Edith Mensah Otabil, BA, \* Kamran Noorishirazi, BA, \* Qiying Dai, MD,<sup>§</sup> Hammad Sadiq, MS, \* Ki H. Chon, PhD,<sup>¶</sup> Apurv Soni, MD, PhD,<sup>†‡</sup> Jane Saczynski, PhD,<sup>∥</sup> David D. McManus, MD, ScM, FHRS\*<sup>†‡</sup>

From the \*Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts, <sup>†</sup>Program in Digital Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts, <sup>‡</sup>Department of Population and Quantitative Health Sciences, University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts, <sup>§</sup>Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, Saint Vincent Hospital, Worcester, Massachusetts, <sup>¶</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut, and <sup>∥</sup>Department of Pharmacy and Health Systems Sciences, School of Pharmacy, Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts.

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common arrhythmia and is a common cause of mortality and cerebrovascular events.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, AF detection after a stroke is vital. Algorithms for analysis of pulse data from smartwatches have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for AF detection and are being increasingly recommended for AF screening.<sup>2</sup> At the same time, ownership of wearable devices has shown a steady annual increase among adults  $\geq$ 50 years of age, with approximately 42% reporting almost daily use of the technology, thus highlighting the potential of wearable devices for AF monitoring.<sup>3</sup> The impact of AF screening on older adults with regard to key outcomes, including psychological well-being, has not been well explored.<sup>4</sup> Historically, AF has been associated with worse health-related quality of life,<sup>5</sup> especially among older adults. Additionally, anxiety among smartwatch users, possibly driven by receipt of alerts for possible rhythm abnormalities, has been reported.<sup>6</sup>

To examine the associations between smartwatch alerts for possible AF and psychological health, we analyzed data from the Pulsewatch study,<sup>7</sup> a multiphase, randomized controlled trial (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03761394) of smartwatches for AF detection among survivors of stroke/transient ischemic attack (TIA). The study enrolled older adult (age >50 years) survivors of stroke/TIA with no contraindications to anticoagulation therapy. Phase I intended to assess the accuracy of the smartwatch system and phase II assessed the adherence to it. In phase I, participants were randomized 3:1 (intervention: control) to either receive a smartwatch/smartphone dyad capable of alerting the participant of possible AF and an FDA-approved mobile cardiac outpatient telemetry (MCOT) patch monitor (Cardiac Insight, Bellevue, WA) or receive only the MCOT patch (control) and monitor for AF for 14 days. In phase II, participants were re-randomized (1:1) following a permuted block randomization, to ensure adequate representation of phase I control group participants in the intervention group, who were offered continued use of the smartphone/smartwatch dyad for an additional 30 days. In this analysis, we included participants who received a smartwatch/smartphone dyad and grouped them into those receiving one or more alerts of possible AF detection vs those not receiving any alerts. The smartwatch would alert a participant to "hold still" to minimize motion artifact during the detection of a possible abnormal rhythm, followed by another alert of "abnormality detected" when AF was indeed captured (Figure 1). Trained research staff abstracted data from participants' medical records, including demographic, clinical, and psychosocial characteristics. The study protocol was approved by the University of Massachusetts Medical School Institutional Review Board (H00016067).

The GAD (Generalized Anxiety Disorder)-7 Scale, a standardized 7-item questionnaire (range 0–21), was used to assess anxiety.<sup>8</sup> Presence of anxiety was defined as GAD-7 score  $\geq$ 5. The Consumer Health Activation Index (CHAI), a validated 10-item scale (range 0–100), was used to evaluate patient activation,<sup>9</sup> with CHAI score  $\geq$ 95 indicative of high activation

**KEYWORDS** Alerts; Anxiety; Atrial fibrillation; Health-related quality of life; Patient activation; Randomized controlled trial; Smartwatches (Cardiovascular Digital Health Journal 2022;3:198–200)

Address reprint requests and correspondence: Dr Andreas Filippaios, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School, S7-750D, 55 Lake Avenue North, Worcester, MA, 01655. E-mail address: Andreas.Filippaios@umassmed. edu.

# **KEY FINDINGS**

- Receipt of atrial fibrillation (AF) alerts generated by smartwatches in older poststroke adults may be associated with a lower perception of physical health.
- AF smartwatch alerts in our poststroke cohort were not related to changes in self-reported anxiety, patient activation or mental health perception.

level. The Physical Component Summary and Mental Component Summary of the Short-Form Health Survey (SF-12), an established 12-item survey (range 0–100), were used to assess health-related quality of life, with higher scores indicative of higher-quality health status.<sup>10</sup> Questionnaires were delivered to all participants at baseline, 14 days, and 44 days.

Baseline participant characteristics were compared using Student *t* tests for continuous variables and  $\chi^2$  tests for categorical variables. Approximately one-third of participants demonstrated low anxiety levels at baseline in both groups (receiving alerts vs no alerts). Health status and patient activation were not different between the groups. Mixed-effects repeated measures linear regression models with anxiety, patient activation, and physical and mental health status as outcomes were used to examine their association with receiving alerts. Individual participants were included as the random effect to account for correlation among repeated measures from the same participant. Age, race, sex, baseline depression, cognitive impairment, as well as history of congestive heart failure, history of cardiac arrhythmias, and history of myocardial infarction were included as fixed effects.

A total of 94 participants (age  $64.6 \pm 9.1$  years; 44% female; 87 % non-Hispanic white) were included in the analysis. Among participants who received alerts, 12 received 1–3 alerts, 3 received 11–18 alerts, and 1 received 226 alerts. Adjusting for confounders, receiving alerts was not significantly associated with change in self-reported anxiety ( $\beta = -0.78$ ; P = .33), patient activation ( $\beta = -1.70$ ; P = .60), or mental health status ( $\beta 2.85$ ; P = .09), but receipt of an alert was associated with a statistically significant reduction in self-rated physical health status ( $\beta = -4.67$ ; P = .04), over the study period.

Clinicians are increasingly recommending the use of wearable devices approved for AF detection to patients at risk for the arrhythmia, including older adults with stroke. Our study findings suggest that AF alerts generated by wearable devices are unlikely to lead to significant anxiety among older adults, but an alert may be associated with a lower perception of physical health. Our observation may be explained by the fact that an individual's perception of his or her well-being may be changed because of an alert for AF or, conversely, because of the symptoms from AF. Generalizability of our findings is limited by the small sample size, short observation time, and inclusion of only poststroke adults. Further studies are needed to examine any potential harms of AF screening using wearable devices to assist with their optimal clinical integration and to better inform clinicians and public health guidelines.

#### Funding Sources

The Pulsewatch study is funded by R01HL137734 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Dr Mehawej's time is supported by 2T32HL120823 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Eric Ding's time is supported by F30HL149335 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.

### Disclosures

Dr McManus has received research support from Apple Computer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Fitbit, Pfizer, Samsung, Flexcon, Philips Healthcare, and Biotronik; consultancy fees from Bristol-Myers Squibb,



Figure 1 Smartwatch interface with different alerts that participants received.

Pfizer, Flexcon, Boston Biomedical Associates/Avania, Fitbit, and Heart Rhythm Society. All other authors have no conflicts to disclose.

# Authorship

All authors attest they meet the current ICMJE criteria for authorship.

## **Patient Consent**

All patients provided written informed consent.

## **Ethics Statement**

The authors designed the study, gathered, and analyzed the data according to the Helsinki Declaration guidelines on human research. The research protocol of the study was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board.

## Disclaimer

Given his role as Editor-in-Chief, Dr David McManus had no involvement in the peer review of this article and has no access to information regarding its peer review. Full responsibility for the editorial process for this article was delegated to Dr David Duncker. Given his role as Associate Editor, Dr Ki Chon had no involvement in the peer review of this article and had no access to information regarding its peer review. Given his role as Section Editor, Dr Honghuang Lin had no involvement in the peer review of this article and has no access to information regarding its peer review.

### References

- Kalantarian S, Ay H, Gollub RL, et al. Association between atrial fibrillation and silent cerebral infarctions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 2014;161:650–658.
- Perez MV, Mahaffey KW, Hedlin H, et al. Large-scale assessment of a smartwatch to identify atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2019;381:1909–1917.
- American Association of Retired Persons (AARP). 2022 Tech Trends and the 50-Plus. December 2021. Available at https://www.aarp.org/content/dam/aarp/ research/surveys\_statistics/technology/2021/2022-technology-trends-older-amer icans.doi.10.26419-2Fres.00493.001.pdf. Accessed March 16, 2022.
- Ding EY, Svennberg E, Wurster C, et al. Survey of current perspectives on consumer-available digital health devices for detecting atrial fibrillation. Cardiovasc Digit Health J 2020;1:21–29.
- Goren A, Liu X, Gupta S, Simon TA, Phatak H. Quality of life, activity impairment, and healthcare resource utilization associated with atrial fibrillation in the US National Health and Wellness Survey. PLoS One 2013;8:e71264.
- Rosman L, Gehi A, Lampert R. When smartwatches contribute to health anxiety in patients with atrial fibrillation. Cardiovasc Digit Health J 2020;1:9–10.
- Dickson EL, Ding EY, Saczynski JS, et al. Smartwatch monitoring for atrial fibrillation after stroke—the Pulsewatch study: protocol for a multiphase randomized controlled trial. Cardiovasc Digit Health J 2021;2:231–241.
- Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JBW, et al. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med 2016;166:1092–1097.
- Wolf MS, Smith SG, Pandit AU, et al. Development and validation of the Consumer Health Activation Index. Med Decis Making 2018;38:334–343.
- **10.** Brazier JE, Roberts J. The estimation of a preference-based measure of health from the SF-12. Med Care 2004;42:851–859.