**ASTR** 

pISSN 2288-6575 • eISSN 2288-6796 https://doi.org/10.4174/astr.2017.92.5.370 Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research

# Trauma surgery without proper compensation under the current Korean National Health Insurance System

Kyoungwon Jung, Yunjung Heo<sup>1</sup>, John Cook-Jong Lee, Mijin Lee<sup>1</sup>, Suni Son<sup>2</sup>, Hee Suk Park<sup>2</sup>, Joo-Ok Kim<sup>2</sup>, Jeong Hee Lee<sup>3</sup>

Department of Surgery, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon, <sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Humanities and Social Medicine, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon, <sup>2</sup>Department of Health Insurance, Ajou University Hospital, Suwon, <sup>3</sup>Gyeonggi South Regional Trauma Center, Ajou University Hospital, Suwon, Korea

**Purpose:** This study aimed to evaluate the situations and problems of the current health insurance fees for trauma surgeries.

Methods: We reviewed the medical records and billing data from trauma surgeries performed in the hospital from August 2012 to July 2014. The name and number of surgeries were investigated and the code and number of operations prescribed by surgeons were compared with the number of cases actually billed to insurance. In addition, the results returned by the Health Insurance Review & Assessment Services (HIRA) after deduction were investigated and compared to verify the insurance review findings.

Results: During the study period, total 1,534 trauma surgical procedures were performed for a total of 253 trauma patients. Based on names, 1,092 procedures were performed; however, 442 cases (28.8%) could not be prescribed because of lack of proper insurance codes for the procedures. A total of 1,046.5 surgical procedures were prescribed by surgeons, adjusted by the insurance team, and finally billed to the HIRA; 162 bills were returned from the HIRA after rate reductions, corresponding to a reduction rate of 15.5%. The major reason for reduction was "fee criteria and limited number". The compensation rate for billed surgical procedures was 84.5%.

Conclusion: The high reduction and low compensation rate for trauma surgery under the current Korean National Health Insurance System need to be reviewed and improved. Furthermore, it is necessary to establish new criteria for surgical procedures fees for latest ones such as damage control surgery performed on severe trauma patients.

[Ann Surg Treat Res 2017;92(5):370-375]

Key Words: Wounds and injuries, Health insurance, Operative surgical procedures, Korea

## INTRODUCTION

The latest preventable trauma death rate in Korea is 35.2%, a relatively high rate compared to the reported rate of 10%–20% in the advanced country such as United States and Japan [1-4]. A study reported reduced preventable mortality rates among trauma patients associated with establishment of a major trauma center [5]. Since 2012, the Ministry of Health and Welfare has pursued a plan for balanced deployment of

major trauma centers to enable proper treatment of patients with severe trauma anywhere in the country within one hour. However, various problems have plagued the early stages of operation of these major trauma centers, despite government support including installation and operation costs. Particularly, a deficiency of trauma specialists and establishment of the newly implemented trauma system remain significant challenges for both medical facilities and medical professionals.

The most common cause of death among trauma patients is

**Received** July 26, 2016, **Revised** December 23, 2016, **Accepted** January 16, 2017

### Corresponding Author: Kyoungwon Jung

Department of Surgery, Ajou University School of Medicine, 164 World cup-ro, Yeoungtong-gu, Suwon 16499, Korea

**Tel:** +82-31-219-7491, **Fax:** +82-31-219-7781

E-mail: jake98@daum.net

Copyright © 2017, the Korean Surgical Society

© Annals of Surgical Treatment and Research is an Open Access Journal. All articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

hemorrhage [6]. Based on the concept of trimodal distribution of trauma death [7], this kind of hemorrhage is mostly derived from the truncal area (torso). Effectively stopping hemorrhage is a vital step in reducing preventable mortality in trauma patients, and more rapid surgical approaches are necessary [8,9]. To address this need, the American trauma system assigns a general surgeon capable of responding to this kind of injury as the leader of a trauma team [10]. In addition, a recent study reported that 'damage control surgery' (DCS) in trauma patients with severe hemorrhage contributed to improved patient mortality [11], and the authors considered the surgical approach a basic concept of 'traumatology' [12,13]. However, the lack of consideration for the independency and professionalism of trauma surgeons in Korea, the specialization required for each organ system, and the base fee schedule are restrictive conditions for surgeons in trauma system; combined, these factors prevent these professionals from receiving legitimate compensation as well as proper treatment. If this situation is not improved, training of trauma specialists and the establishment of trauma centers will become a further challenge, thus preventing realization of the government policy to enable proper treatment and reduced preventable mortality in trauma patients. The hospital in which these authors were working have received a concept of 'the trauma surgeon' which was defined in a previous study [14] and is currently performing 'the department of trauma surgery' as a single department in a relatively early stage. The current study reviewed surgical cases performed in the department of trauma surgery in this hospital for the past 2 years in order to evaluate the situations and problems of the current health insurance fees for trauma surgeries and assess their effects.

# **METHODS**

The current study analyzed medical records, and billing data from trauma surgeries performed in this hospital from August 2012 to July 2014. First, the nurse in charge of trauma operation rooms investigated and statistically analyzed surgical records, surgical procedure prescription as prescribed by trauma surgeons, and prescription code. Second, a surgeon reviewed surgical records written by other surgeons. Finally, 3 nurses from an insurance team and a surgeon investigated surgical procedures by name, as billed by the insurance team, as well as the number of bills, billing codes, prescription codes, and treatment fees.

Based on the surgical and medical records, the name and number of surgical procedures performed by surgeons were investigated. In addition, based on surgical statistics and insurance team data, the code and number of surgeries prescribed by surgeons were compared with the number of cases actually billed to insurance, and the results returned by the Health Insurance Review & Assessment Services (HIRA) after deduction through February 2015 were investigated and compared to verify the insurance review findings, which usually takes up to 6 months.

The names of the surgical procedures performed were exclusively dependent on the surgeon records, which were reviewed and classified by a single surgeon for consistency. Even if they were classified as close to the codes and classifications of the current health insurance treatment fees, the conventional names of the procedures were used in case there was a more appropriate match. In addition, the names of surgical procedures broadly established in the field of traumatology, such as DCS, were separately classified and investigated, as these names are not typically found in the current classification system. A surgical procedure performed in a different body region was counted separately even if it was performed in the same operation. In other words, if a patient had 2 small bowel perforations and 1 mesenteric rupture in 2 different anatomical locations, and a surgical procedure was performed for each lesion, these surgical procedures were counted as 3 cases.

Decimal points (such as 0.5 surgical procedures) were often used for counting of the surgical procedures in the investigation. In general, there has been a rule that only admit 50%, or less value for additional surgical procedures except for main one under the current Korean health insurance system. When

Table 1. Number of surgical procedures based on surgeon operation records

Surgical procedure	No. (%)
Vessel ligation	335 (21.8)
Bowel and mesentery operation	222 (14.5)
Wound closure (including simple suture or debridement and suture)	118 (7.7)
TWC (110 in the abdomen, 6 in the neck, and 1 in the chest)	117 (7.6)
Suture of ligament, fascia, or muscle	88 (5.7)
Staged abdominal reconstruction	86 (5.6)
Closed thoracostomy (chest tube insertion)	71 (4.6)
Packing	67 (4.4)
Suture of liver laceration	66 (4.3)
Cholecystectomy	57 (3.7)
Tracheostomy	52 (3.4)
Splenectomy	44 (2.9)
Incidental appendectomy	37 (2.4)
Aseptic wound dressing (including irrigation, debridement and VAC dressing)	26 (1.7)
Repair of diaphragm	18 (1.2)
Open thoracotomy	11 (0.7)
Adhesiolysis	11 (0.7)
Other	108 (7.0)
Total	1,534 (100)

TWC, temporary wound closure; VAC, vacuum assisted closure.



 Table 2. Summary of surgical procedures billing

Surgical procedure	No. (%)	Prescription	Nonprescription	Prescription code pr	Number of prescription code	Number of billings	Number of bill reductions	Amount of bill reduction
Bleeder ligation	335 (21.8)	239	96	O2071 O2072 O2073	3	237	78	63.2
Bowel and mesentery operation	222 (14.5)	152	70	Q2341 Q2510 Q2540 Q2573 Q2640 Q2650 Q2671 Q2673 Q2679 Q2680 Q2771 Q2773 Q2774 Q2775 Q2791 Q2792 Q2793 Q2795	18	151	56	43.1
Wound closure	118 (7.7)	84	34	S0023 SA022 SA023 SA026 SB021 SB023 SB026 SC021 SC022 SC023 SC025 SC026 SC027	13	62	0	0.0
Temporary wound closure	117 (7.6)	0	117	None	0	0	0	0.0
Suture of ligament, fascia, or muscle	88 (5.7)	53	35	N0912 N0931	7	20	3	2.2
Staged abdominal reconstruction	86 (5.6)	92	10	Q2440 JJJJJ	7	33	16	11.5
Closed thoracotomy	71 (4.6)	57	14	01510	_	58	_	0.5
Packing	67 (4.4)	0	29	None	0	0	0	0.0
Suture of liver laceration	66 (4.3)	39	27	Q7240	_	38	8	8.5
Cholecystectomy	57 (3.7)	55	2	Q7380	_	22	21	12.2
Tracheostomy	52 (3.4)	51	_	O1300	_	51	_	0.5
Splenectomy	44 (2.9)	42	2	P2091	_	42	2	3.3
Incidental appendectomy	37 (2.4)	32	5	Q2861-3 Q2861001-29	_	3	3	2.0
Aseptic wound dressing	26 (1.7)	16	10	M0121 M0134 R4050 SA012 SA013 SA016	9	14	0	0.0
Diaphragm repair	18 (1.2)	16	2	O1600 O1610	2	16	2	1.0
Open thoracotomy	11 (0.7)	10	_	O1360 O1520 O1586 O1895	4	6	2	1.7
Adhesiolysis	11 (0.7)	_	4	Q2693 Q2810	2	_	3	3.0
Other	108 (7.0)	163	-55	C8534 HZ161 M0031 M0032 N0234 O1321 O1401 O1450 O1491 O1659 O1660 O2074 P2094 P4553 Q2450 Q2481 Q2732 Q2755 Q2881 Q2991 Q7221 Q7222 Q7352 Q7563 Q7565 Q7571 R3271 R3550	29	203.5	17	9.3
Total	1,534 (100)	1,092	442	1,000	87	1046.5	216	162.0

liver suture and cholecystectomy are performed for the patient with hepatic laceration and gall bladder rupture, for instance, the cost of procedures covered by Korean insurance paying is 100% coverage for liver suture and 50% for cholecystectomy. Therefore, we counted 1.5 surgical procedures for such a case unavoidably.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Ajou University Hospital (AJIRB-MED-MDB-16-200). Informed consent was waived by the board due to the observational nature of the study.

#### RESULTS

During the study period, 404 operation room entries (based on general anesthesia) were verified, corresponding to a total of 253 trauma patients. Investigation of the surgeries performed in the department of trauma surgery in this hospital for the past two years based on the surgical records written by the surgeons who participated in the operations revealed 1,534 surgical procedures, as shown in Table 1. For example, a single trauma patient who required surgery entered the operating room and underwent surgery under general anesthesia an average of 1.6 times (404 of 253), while an average of 3.8 (1,534 of 404) trauma surgical procedures were performed under a single instance of general anesthesia. Based on names, 1,092 procedures were performed; however, 442 cases (28.8%) could not be prescribed because of lack of proper insurance codes for the surgical procedures (Tables 2, 3). The top 10 surgery types that could not be billed are listed in Table 4. A total of 1,046.5 surgical procedures were prescribed by surgeons, adjusted by the insurance team, and finally billed to the HIRA; 162 bills were returned from the HIRA after rate reductions. corresponding to a reduction rate of 15.5% (162 of 1,046.5), based on review 6 months after ordering the billed operation fees. The breakdowns of the reductions are presented in Table 5. The major reason for reduction was "fee criteria and limited number." Except for these cases of reduction, operation codes were postoperatively prescribed by surgeons and adjusted by the insurance team in 4.2% of cases (45.5 cases). Thus, the compensation rate for billed surgeries was 84.5% ([1,046.5 - 162]/  $1046.5 \times 100$ ) (Table 3).

**Table 3.** Summary of surgical procedures bills

Class	Class item	August, 2012– July, 2014	Per year
Α	Number of surgical procedures included in the operation records	1,534	767.0
В	Number of surgical procedures prescribed by surgeons in the operating room	1,092	546.0
C	Self-adjustment by insurance team (net)	-45.5	-22.8
D	Number of surgical procedures billed by insurance team (B-C)	1,046.5	523.3
Е	Number of surgical procedures converted into by amount of curtailed refund	162	81.0
F	Reduction rate (E/D $\times$ 100, %)	15.5	15.5
G	Self-adjustment rate (C/B × 100, %)	4.2	4.2
Н	Number of surgical procedures compensated after billing (D–E)	84.5	442.3
I	Number of patients undergoing operations	253	126.5
J	Number of operating room entries	404	202.0

Table 4. Top 10 types of unclaimed surgical procedures in trauma patients

Surgical procedure	Number of reported surgical procedures (A)	Number of prescriptions based on current insurance code (B)	Number of nonprescription instances (A–B)
Temporary wound closure	117	0	117
Bleeder ligation	335	239	96
Bowel and mesentery operation	222	152	70
Packing	67	0	67
Suture of ligament, fascia, or muscle	88	53	35
Wound closure	118	84	34
Suture of liver laceration	66	39	27
Closed thoracostomy	71	57	14
Staged abdominal reconstruction	86	76	10
Aseptic wound dressing	26	16	10
Total	1,196	716	480



**Table 5.** Breakdown of reductions

Surgical procedure	Number of bills	Number of reductions	of
Bleeder ligation	237	78	63.2
Bowel and mesentery operation	151	56	43.1
Cholecystectomy	55	21	12.2
Staged abdominal reconstruction	33	16	11.5
Suture of liver laceration	38	8	8.5
Splenectomy	42	5	3.3
Suture of ligament, fascia or muscle	50	3	2.2
Incidental appendectomy	3	3	2.0
Adhesiolysis	7	3	3.0
Diaphragm repair	16	2	1.0
Open thoracotomy	9	2	1.7
Closed thoracotomy	58	1	0.5
Tracheostomy	51	1	0.5
Other	203.5	17	9.3
Total	1,046.5	216	162.0

#### DISCUSSION

The majority of surgical procedures performed in the department of trauma surgery in patients with severe trauma follow the principles of DCS related to hemorrhage and infection, primarily of the trunk (neck, chest, abdomen, and pelvis) [15]. Based on DCS, temporary closure is performed after applying damage control to address the most acute problems in hemodynamically unstable and severe trauma patients, including hemorrhage and contamination. Additional surgical procedures are performed after these patients have recovered in the intensive care unit [12,13,15,16]. As these processes are billed using the previous operation insurance code system, which is based on a single disease, many cases cannot be billed. The current study's review of data from this hospital revealed that only 72.2% (1,092/1,534  $\times$  100) of surgical procedures performed in the department of trauma surgery could be billed using current insurance codes. Of 442 surgical procedures performed in the 2-year study period, more than 200 surgical procedures annually could not be billed. Analysis of the number of cases among billed surgical procedures revealed that 19.8% (216/1092  $\times$  100) of cases were returned after reduction, and it was converted 162 cases into amount of money considering partial reduction. In other words, about 80 prescriptions per year were curtailed and thus could not be compensated. In order to prevent these events, the insurance team at this hospital adjusted and billed the surgical procedure prescriptions (45.5 cases) at risk of reduction, with a reduction rate of 15.5%.

For example, there currently is no operation code to describe

general hemostatic procedures such as ligation of major vessels during the initial surgery, or the process of bleeding control using electronic cautery, gauze packing, or hemostatic materials apply. Although 'vessel ligation' (O2071 O2072 O2073) is currently used for billing, this use is inappropriate and a portion of the cases (63.2 among 239 prescriptions) was cut. Simple hemostasis procedures were excluded from this study: the results were based only on hemostatic cases using surgical ligation, even if it was not a named vessel. Thus, operation codes need to be developed to bill individual surgical procedures ranging from simple hemostasis to ligation or repair of named vessels. In addition, compensation is also necessary for each effort value.

In addition, if only hemostasis is performed and the wound remains open, nothing can be billed under the current coding system. Prior to the study period, these surgical procedures were billed as "neck exploration" or "exploratory laparotomy." However, this billing code is typically used for exploratory surgery except in patients with cancer or other diseases, and is thus inappropriate. In addition, use of this billing code precludes use of any other operation codes. In hemostatic procedures, gauze packing and temporary abdominal closure are basic surgical procedures within DCS [11,15,16] and are widely used in trauma patients. However, in cases where only these procedures were performed and the surgery was over, there would be no compensation as there is no billing code in Korea. A total of 67 packing procedures and 118 temporary wound closures (110 in the abdomen, 6 in the neck, and 1 in the chest) were performed during the study period, but none of these cases could be billed; thus, these procedures were performed without compensation. Even though these operation fees are not high, it is necessary to establish a fee system.

Follow-up surgery is typically performed within 1–3 days after successful hemostasis in the initial surgery in severe trauma patients with severe hemorrhage. The follow-up surgery may end after only performing irrigation, identifying injuries, characterizing lesions, or replacing gauze or drainage tubes. Once a patient has recovered, staged abdominal wall closure is typically performed in order to minimize complications such as compartment syndrome in the patient's abdomen to reduce the risk of mortality. This is a surgery broadly considered to account for a chapter in surgery textbooks [17]. However, there is no appropriate fee for this procedure in Korea. Currently, it is billed as 'secondary suture of abdominal wall (Q2440),' but this coding is inappropriate. Moreover, even this is curtailed (11.5 cases of reduction), it is often not accepted if it is billed with other surgical procedures. After consultation with HIRA, 50% of Q2440-coded billing operation fees were accepted as of November 2013; it is billed as 'JJJJJJ', a kind of optional billing code made in the study hospital, but compensation is not smooth as there is no consistent criterion for billing this code.

In addition to the examples described above, the current insurance code established per single disease or cancer per organ is not a feasible model to bill for surgery in trauma patients, in which various surgical procedures are inevitable due to various injuries. As a result, proper compensation is often not provided, despite experienced surgeons providing these services. Thus, this situation may lead to surgeons avoiding performing surgery. Surgical procedures such packing or temporary wound closure that was mentioned previously were not necessarily needed to be adopted to the insurance fee respectively. Fee Items for such procedures should be newly estabilished, nevertheless, for recording or counting those. Although no fee is not appropriated for "incidental appendectomy," for example, the item has been used for a prescription code under the current health insurance system.

In conclusion, the high reduction and low compensation rate for trauma surgery in the current Korean National Health Insurance System need to be reviewed and improved. Furthermore, it is necessary to establish new criteria for surgical procedures fees for latest ones such as DCS performed on severe trauma patients. This effort will encourage surgeons to perform surgery in trauma patients despite unfavorable conditions, and may also become a foundation for improved treatment outcomes.

# CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Jung KY, Kim JS, Kim Y. Problems in trauma care and preventable deaths. J Korean Soc Emerg Med 2001;12:45-56.
- 2. Kang JH, Park KH, Kim WJ, Kang YJ, Park JO, Cha WC, et al. Problems of trauma care and rate of preventable trauma death in Jeju, South Korea. J Korean Soc Emerg Med 2011;22:438-45.
- 3. Kim H, Jung KY, Kim SP, Kim SH, Noh H, Jang HY, et al. Changes in preventable death rates and traumatic care systems in Korea. J Korean Soc Emerg Med 2012;23: 189-97.
- 4. Kim Y, Jung KY, Cho KH, Kim H, Ahn HC, Oh SH, et al. Preventable trauma deaths rates and management errors in emergency medical system in Korea. J Korean Soc Emerg Med 2006:17:385-94.
- 5. Kim Y. A study on validity of establishment and management model of regional trauma centers in Korea. Seoul: Ministry of Health and Welfare; 2010.
- 6. American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma. Advanced trauma life support students course manual. 9th ed. Chicago

- (IL): American College of Surgeons; 2012.
- 7. Trunkey DD. Trimodal distribution of trauma death. Trauma Sci Am 1983:249: 20-7
- 8. Copes WS, Staz CF, Konvolinka CW, Sacco WJ. American College of Surgeons audit filters: associations with patient outcome and resource utilization. J Trauma 1995; 38:432-8.
- 9. Yoo Y, Mun S. The advantages of early trauma team activation in the management of major trauma patients who underwent exploratory laparotomy. Ann Surg Treat Res 2014;87:319-24.
- 10. American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma. Resources for optimal care of the injured patient. Chicago (IL): American College of Surgeons; 2014.
- 11. Duchesne JC, Kimonis K, Marr AB, Rennie KV, Wahl G, Wells JE, et al. Damage control resuscitation in combination with damage control laparotomy: a survival advantage. J Trauma 2010;69:46-52.
- 12. Ball CG. Damage control surgery. Curr Opin Crit Care 2015;21:538-43.

- 13. Roberts DJ, Ball CG, Feliciano DV, Moore EE, Ivatury RR, Lucas CE, et al. History of the Innovation of Damage Control for Management of Trauma Patients: 1902-2016. Ann Surg 2017;265:1034-44.
- 14. Lee KJ. The necessity for a trauma surgeon and the trauma surgeon's role in the trauma care system. J Korean Soc Traumatol 2008;21:1-7.
- 15. Wyrzykowski AD, Feliciano DV. Trauma damage control. In: Mattox KL, Moore EE, Feliciano DV, editors. Trauma. 7th ed. New York: McGraw Hill; 2013. p. 725-46.
- 16. Hirshberg A. Trauma laparotomy: principles and techniques. In: Mattox KL, Moore EE, Feliciano DV, editors. Trauma. 7th ed. New York: McGraw Hill; 2013. p.
- 17. Diaz JJ, Dutton WD, Miller RS. The difficult abdominal wall. In: Townsend CM Jr, Beauchamp RD, Evers BM, Mattox KL, editors. Sabiston textbook of surgery: the biological basis of modern surgical practice. 19th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders; 2012. p. 471-9.