

Check for Original article

eISSN 2234-0742 Genomics Inform 2020;18(4):e38 https://doi.org/10.5808/GI.2020.18.4.e38

Received: October 6, 2020 Revised: November 6, 2020 Accepted: November 7, 2020

*Corresponding author: E-mail: jej1303@gmail.com

© 2020, Korea Genome Organization

C This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Investigation of gene–gene interactions of clock genes for chronotype in a healthy Korean population

Mira Park¹, Soon Ae Kim², Jieun Shin³, Eun-Jeong Joo^{4,5*}

¹Department of Preventive Medicine, Eulji University School of Medicine, Daejeon 34824, Korea

²Department of Pharmacology, Eulji University School of Medicine, Daejeon 34824, Korea ³Department of Liberal Arts, Woosuk University, Wanju 55338, Korea

⁴Department of Neuropsychiatry, Eulji University School of Medicine, Daejeon 34824, Korea ⁵Department of Psychiatry, Nowon Eulji Medical Center, Eulji University, Seoul 01830, Korea

Chronotype is an important moderator of psychiatric illnesses, which seems to be controlled in some part by genetic factors. Clock genes are the most relevant genes for chronotype. In addition to the roles of individual genes, gene-gene interactions of clock genes substantially contribute to chronotype. We investigated genetic associations and genegene interactions of the clock genes BHLHB2, CLOCK, CSNK1E, NR1D1, PER1, PER2, PER3, and TIMELESS for chronotype in 1,293 healthy Korean individuals. Regression analysis was conducted to find associations between single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and chronotype. For gene-gene interaction analyses, the quantitative multifactor dimensionality reduction (QMDR) method, a nonparametric model-free method for quantitative phenotypes, were performed. No individual SNP or haplotype showed a significant association with chronotype by both regression analysis and single-locus model of QMDR. QMDR analysis identified NR1D1 rs2314339 and TIMELESS rs4630333 as the best SNP pairs among two-locus interaction models associated with chronotype (cross-validation consistency [CVC] = 8/10, p = 0.041). For the three-locus interaction model, the SNP combination of NR1D1 rs2314339, TIMELESS rs4630333, and PER3 rs228669 showed the best results (CVC = 4/10, p < 0.001). However, because the mean differences between genotype combinations were minor, the clinical roles of clock gene interactions are unlikely to be critical.

Keywords: chronotype, circadian rhythm, clock genes, gene-gene interaction, quantitative multifactor dimensionality reduction

Introduction

Many biological processes have circadian rhythms with a cycle of approximately 24 hours. Such circadian rhythms appear at the cellular, molecular, tissue, and organ levels in humans. Both the suprachiasmatic nucleus, which is the internal master clock, and various environmental stimuli (e.g., the light-dark cycle) may play roles together in regulation of circadian rhythm. The sleep-wake cycle is one of the most distinct circadian rhythms. Humans have a preferred timing of sleeping and waking, the so-called chronotype. The degree of morning preference shows a continuum from morning type (with an advanced sleep phase) to evening type (with a delayed sleep phase) [1]. The chronotype is known to be determined in a complex manner by age, sex, and various environmental factors, including level of light exposure [2-4]. Developmental changes in chronotype occur; these include earlier preference during childhood, later preference in adolescence and early

adulthood, and gradually earlier preference with advancing age [2].

Chronotype has been studied extensively in a number of ways. For convenience in many studies, chronotype has been measured subjectively and arbitrarily classified as morning, intermediate, and evening types, according to the Composite Scale of Morningness (CS) [5,6]. Chronotype shows a normal or near-normal distribution in the population; the heritability of chronotype has been shown to range between 21% and 52% [7]. Therefore, chronotype is presumably a polygenic quantitative trait [8,9]. Circadian rhythms are regulated by a set of circadian genes in mammals [9,10]. These circadian genes are also known as clock genes; they include ADCYAP1, ARNTL, BHLHB2, BHLHB3, CLOCK, PER1, PER2, PER3, NR1D1, NPAS2, NR1D1, THRA, CSNK1D, CSNK1E, CRY2, RORA, RORB, TIMELESS, and VIP. Notably, the list continues to grow due to discoveries in this field. Recently, three genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have been performed in people of European ancestry [11-13]. The results indicated meaningful overlap of genes that exhibited significant associations with chronotype. All three GWASs supported the association of four genes—PER2, RGS16, FBXL13, and AK5—with chronotype [14]. A meta-analysis of these three GWASs identified 351 loci associated with chronotype, of which 327 loci were novel and 24 loci had been reported in other GWASs [15].

GWASs can identify only common genetic variants, with small or modest effects for complex traits. Chronotype is a complex trait, which may be influenced by polygenes. A recent GWAS found only common genetic variants with small individual genetic effects on chronotypes. Only a subset of the significant variants found in that GWAS were known clock genes or have been suggested to function as clock genes. There were many other genetic variants without known functions as clock genes. Genetic control of chronotype must occur in multiple ways, with individual genotypic associations of polygenes, haplotypic associations of more crucial genes, and gene-gene interactions of multiple biologically related functional genes. Here, we investigated possible roles for genegene interactions of clock genes in chronotype in a Korean population.

Methods

Participants

The study population consisted of 1,293 unrelated healthy Korean individuals. These were the same individuals included as controls in our previous study of bipolar disorder [16]. They consisted mostly of college students, nurses, and public officials, who were recruited after a brief psychiatric interview. Potential participants

2/9

were excluded if they reported a history of a psychotic disorder, mood disorder, anxiety disorder, substance use disorder, brain trauma, or intellectual disability. All participants were informed of the purpose and methods of the study and provided informed consent before enrollment. The Ethics Committee of Eulji General Hospital approved the study protocol (IRB No. 2016-08-009).

Measurement of chronotype

Chronotype was measured using a self-reported questionnaire. The CS is a 13-item questionnaire, which assesses individual differences in the time of day a person prefers to carry out various activities; it classifies people as morning, intermediate, or evening types [5]. Three items are scored on a five-point scale from 1 to 5; the other 10 items are scored on a four-point scale, from 1 to 4. Higher scores indicate morning preference. All participants completed the CS questionnaire.

Genotyping

The clock genes investigated in this study were *BHLHB2*, *CLOCK*, *CSNK1E*, *NR1D1*, *PER1*, *PER2*, *PER3*, and *TIMELESS*. These eight genes were analyzed for 19 different tag single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with minor allele frequencies exceeding 5% in Asian populations. DNA was extracted from blood and SNPs were genotyped using the TaqMan method (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Table 1 presents a summary of the minor allele frequencies and chromosomal locations of the SNPs.

Statistical analysis

Individual SNPs were examined for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; two SNPs violating Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium were removed. Each SNP association with CS score was analyzed by simple regression analysis. Haplotype association with CS was also analyzed by PLINK if more than two SNPs for each gene were included [17].

Gene-gene interactions were analyzed using the quantitative multifactor-dimensionality reduction (QMDR) method, an extension of the multifactor-dimensionality reduction (MDR) algorithm to work with quantitative or continuous phenotypes [18]. The MDR method is one a commonly used method for detection and characterization of high-order gene-gene or gene-environment interactions in case-control studies; this comprises a nonparametric combinatorial approach that reduces the number of dimensions [19]. For each multi-locus genotype combination, QMDR calculates the mean value of phenotype and compares it to the overall mean to determine the genotype combination is high risk or low risk. By pooling all the genotypes into either high-risk or low-risk groups, a new binary attribute is created. The t-test is used to com-

	-	-				
Gene	SNP ^a	Base	Chr	Position	Function	MAF
BHLHB2	rs6442925	CT	3	4972191	Intron	0.047
	rs2137947	CT	3	4989276	Noncoding transcript variant	0.323
CLOCK	rs1801260	CT	4	55435202	3'-UTR	0.099
	rs3805148	AC	4	55440643	Intron	0.349
	rs12504300	CG	4	55482360	Intron	0.379
	rs4864542	CG	4	55487920	Intron	0.351
	rs12649507	AG	4	55514317	Intron	0.352
CSNK1E	rs135745	CG	22	38287631	None	0.223
	rs1534891	CT	22	38299094	Intron	0.093
	rs2075984	AC	22	38294883	Intron	0.408
NR1D1	rs2314339	CT	17	40096959	Intron	0.459
	rs2269457	AG	17	40098436	Intron	0.505
PER2	rs2304672	CG	2	238277948	5'-UTR	0.063
	rs2304669	AG	2	238257022	Synonymous	0.116
PER3	rs228669	AG	1	7809988	Synonymous	0.257
TIMELESS	rs4630333	AG	12	56443632	Intron	0.452
	rs1082214	AG	12	56452706	Intron	0.095

Table 1. SNPs of clock genes and minor allele frequency

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; Chr, chromosome; MAF, minor allele frequency; UTR, untranslated region.

^aTotal of 17 SNPs are remained after Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test.

pare the means between high and low risk groups using a t-test and t-statistic is used as a training score to choose the best model. In QMDR, the training and testing score are defined by t-test statistic. The training score is used to determine the best K-order interaction model. QMDR use 10-fold cross-validation and cross-validation consistencies (CVCs) of each model chosen are recorded. The best overall QMDR model is selected as that with the maximum testing score and highest cross-validation consistency. To estimate the p-values of the chosen model, empirical null distribution is used [18].

In this study, interactions of up to three loci were tested using 10-fold cross-validation in a search considering all possible SNP combinations. SNP combination with maximum CVC was considered the best model. p-values were determined empirically by 1,000-fold permutations of case and control labels.

Results

The study population consisted of 481 male participants (37.2%) and 812 female participants (62.8%). Mean ages were 27.5 \pm 8.3 years for male participants and 23.7 \pm 3.5 years for female participants. Mean CS scores were 32.3 \pm 6.4 for male participants and 29.7 \pm 5.7 for female participants. The classification of chronotype using total CS score revealed 305 evening type participants (23.6%), 911 intermediate type participants (70.5%), and 77 morning type participants (6.0%) in the study population. The

distributions of total CS score according to age and chronotype are shown in Table 2.

Two SNPs of *PER1* were excluded from further analysis because they were found to deviate from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Ultimately, 17 SNPs of seven genes were analyzed. In regression analyses, no individual SNP showed a significant association with CS score (Table 3). There were no significant haplotype associations with CS score for any of the genes with more than two SNPs in this study (Table 4). On QMDR analyses, no single locus was found to be associated with chronotype, similar to the results of regression analysis. *NR1D1* rs2314339 and *TIMELESS* rs4630333 were significantly associated with chronotype in a two-locus model (CVC = 8/10, p = 0.041). In the three-locus models, *NR1D1* rs2314339, *TIMELESS* rs4630333, and *PER3* rs228669 showed the strongest association with chronotype (CVC = 4/10, p < 0.001). A summary of QMDR results with CVC > 1/10 is presented in Table 5.

Discussion

We hypothesized that circadian genes play an important role in chronotype regulation and that there are gene-gene interaction effects on chronotype. We identified the best interaction models for two and three loci, as well as statistical significances of the best interaction models for chronotype in a Korean population, using the QMDR method and corresponding permutation test. However, it

Variable	Male	Female	Total
Age (y)	481	812	1,293
0–9	1 (0.2)	0	1 (0.1)
10–19	9 (1.9)	35 (4.3)	44 (3.4)
20–29	147 (30.6)	361 (44.5)	508 (39.3)
30–39	261 (54.3)	377 (46.4)	638 (49.3)
40-49	61 (12.7)	39 (4.8)	100 (7.7)
50-59	2 (0.4)	0	2 (0.2)
CS score	32.3 ± 6.4	29.7 ± 5.7	30.7 ± 6.1
Chronotype			
Evening type (≤26)	86 (17.9)	219 (27.0)	305 (23.6)
Intermediate type (27–40)	346 (71.9)	565 (69.6)	911 (70.5)
Morning type (\geq 41)	49 (10.2)	28 (3.4)	77 (6.0)

Table 2. CS score by age and chronotype distribution

Values are presented as number (%) or mean \pm SD.

CS, Composite Scale of Morningness.

T I A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A 	CNID	• .•				
Table 3. Individual	SMP	association	analysis	using	simple	rearession
	5141	association	unurysis	asing	Junpi	. regression

		Mod	el 1			Mod	el 2	
	Coefficient	SE	t	p-value	Coefficient	SE	t	p-value
BHLHB2 rs6442925CT	0.332	0.618	0.538	0.590	0.598	0.588	1.016	0.310
BHLHB2 rs2137947CT	0.052	0.255	0.205	0.838	0.033	0.243	0.134	0.893
CLOCK rs1801260CT	0.096	0.401	0.24	0.811	0.220	0.382	0.576	0.565
CLOCK rs3805148AC	0.181	0.248	0.731	0.465	0.214	0.236	0.905	0.366
CLOCK rs12504300CG	0.160	0.247	0.646	0.518	0.192	0.235	0.816	0.414
CLOCK rs4864542CG	0.160	0.247	0.646	0.518	0.192	0.235	0.816	0.414
CLOCK rs12649507AG	0.121	0.247	0.491	0.623	0.159	0.235	0.679	0.497
CSNK1E rs135745CG	-0.262	0.319	-0.822	0.411	-0.307	0.304	-1.009	0.313
CSNK1E rs1534891CT	0.550	0.403	1.364	0.173	0.357	0.384	0.929	0.353
CSNK1E rs2075984AC	-0.159	0.238	-0.666	0.506	-0.121	0.227	-0.534	0.594
NR1D1 rs2314339CT	-0.229	0.238	-0.964	0.335	-0.259	0.226	-1.146	0.252
NR1D1 rs2269457AG	0.025	0.236	0.105	0.916	0.017	0.224	0.076	0.940
PER2 rs2304672CG	-0.158	0.512	-0.308	0.758	0.000	0.488	0.000	1.000
PER2 rs2304669AG	-0.311	0.371	-0.837	0.403	-0.172	0.353	-0.485	0.627
PER3 rs228669AG	0.482	0.266	1.809	0.071	0.4	0.254	1.577	0.115
TIMELESS rs4630333AG	-0.127	0.241	-0.527	0.598	-0.176	0.23	-0.768	0.443
TIMELESS rs1082214AG	-0.372	0.433	-0.859	0.390	-0.266	0.413	-0.645	0.519

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; SE, standard error; Model 1, model without adjustment for age and sex; Model 2, with adjustment for age and sex.

Table 4. Haplotype association analysis

Gene	No. of SNPs	No. of haplotypes	F(df)	p-value
BHLHB2	2	4	0.124(3)	0.946
CLOCK	5	3	0.196(2)	0.822
CSNK1E	3	5	2.080(4)	0.082
NRID	2	4	0.513(3)	0.674
PER2	2	3	0.423(2)	0.655
TIMELESS	2	3	0.378(2)	0.685

No. of haplotypes indicates the number of common haplotypes (minor haplotype frequency \geq 0.01).

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism.

was difficult to conclude that there were clinically significant genegene interaction effects on chronotype based on our results, since the mean differences in total CS score between genotype combinations were minor.

Human chronotype is a heritable polygenic trait, and many groups have searched for the genes involved in chronotype. Many clock genes have been considered as strong candidate genes for chronotype because of their biological functions in circadian networks. There have been many single-gene association studies to

Table 5. Summary of QMDR results having more than 1/10 of CVC

	CVC	Score ^a	p-value ^b
NR1D1 rs2314339CT	3/10	0.753	0.503
TIMELESS rs4630333AG	3/10	0.874	0.274
BHLHB2 rs2137947CT	2/10	0.760	0.492
PER3 rs228669AG	2/10	0.920	0.222
NR1D1 rs2314339, TIMELESS rs4630333	8/10	1.193	0.041
BHLHB2 rs2137947CT, TIMELESS rs4630333	1/10	0.919	0.272
NR1D1 rs2314339CT, PER3 rs228669AG	1/10	1.054	0.117
NR1D1 rs2314339, TIMELESS rs4630333, PER3 rs228669	4/10	1.741	< 0.001
CLOCK rs12504300CG, NR1D1 rs2314339CT, TIMELESS rs4630333AG	3/10	0.897	0.303
CSNK1E rs2075984AC, NR1D1 rs2314339CT, TIMELESS rs4630333AG	2/10	0.915	0.277
CSNK1E rs2075984AC, NR1D1 rs2314339CT, PER3 rs228669AG	1/10	0.792	0.495

QMDR, quantitative multifactor dimensionality reduction; CVC, cross-validation consistency.

^aAverage testing score.

^bEmpirical p-value.

identify genetic factors for chronotype. The first report of an association between chronotype and clock genes was related to the 3'-untranslated region of the CLOCK gene (rs1801260) [20]. This finding was not replicated in other populations [21-23], but was replicated in a Japanese population [24]. Multiple other clock genes have also been studied. The PER3 gene was shown to be associated with delayed sleep phase syndrome. The studies thus far have focused on a variable number of tandem repeats region located in exon 18 of PER3, but the results of association analysis differed among studies. Specifically, a shorter allele was associated with delayed sleep phase syndrome [25]; a longer allele was also reportedly associated with delayed sleep phase syndrome [26] and was reported to have predictive value in response to sleep loss [27-29]. PER1 and PER2 were reported to be associated with advanced sleep phase syndrome in a British population [27,28], but not in a Japanese family [30]. Overall, the results were inconsistent and sometimes contradictory. Table 6 presents a summary of genetic association findings between clock genes and chronotype.

There have been several GWASs regarding chronotype in European populations using data from 23andMe and the UK Biobank [11-13,15]. There was a great deal of consistency across these studies, with nine genes identified in two of the three GWASs. Among these nine genes, four showed significant hits and were found in all three GWASs. Thus far, GWASs have been conducted only in populations of European ancestry. Emmanuel and von Schantz reported that six of 41 alleles identified earlier by GWASs in European participants (in the genes *RGS16, PER2,* and *AK5,* as well as between the genes *APH1A* and *CA14*) were absent from some non-European populations. This study underscores the ancestral diversity of circadian genetics and suggests that future studies should be performed in populations of East Asian ancestry [31].

The proteins encoded by various clock genes cooperate physically with each other and act as transcription factors. Combinations of polymorphisms in these genes may affect phenotype. Therefore, combined analysis of the effects of different clock genes may be more accurate and more revealing, compared to analyses of single genes. A few previous studies examined the effects of gene-gene interactions on chronotype. One study in Korean college students reported a significant interaction for CS score between CLOCK gene 3111 C/T and GNB3 825 C/T, according to regression analysis [32]. Later, the same group reported a genetic interaction for eveningness among ARNTL, PER2, and GNB3, according to MDR analysis [33]. Another study by Pedrazzoli et al. reported that a specific combination of polymorphisms in four clock genes was associated with diurnal preferences in a Brazilian population [34]. They chose four polymorphisms in four clock genes: PER2, PER3, CLOCK, and BMAL1. To the best of our knowledge, other than these studies, there have been no further analyses of the epistatic effects among clock genes for chronotype. Therefore, we attempted to identify gene-gene interactions among clock genes for chronotype in this study. We used QMDR to investigate gene-gene interactions to improve statistical power. We avoided subgrouping based on total CS score because grouping into morning, intermediate, or evening types could be arbitrary; moreover, we could address the quantitative score directly in our analysis. Multifactor dimensionality reduction is a common approach for identification of gene-gene interactions in case-control studies [19]. QMDR is an extension of MDR to handle quantitative phenotypes. Instead of comparing the case-control ratio of each multi-locus genotype to a fixed threshold as in MDR, QMDR compares the mean value of each multi-locus genotype to the overall mean [18].

Gene	Polymorphism	Genetic region	Results	Population	Reference
CLOCK	rs1801260	3'-UTR	Positive association	American	[20]
			No association	British	[21]
			Positive association	Japanese	[24]
			No association	Brazilian	[23]
			No association	Korean	[32]
			No association	British	[39]
			No association	American	[44]
			No association	Italian	[45]
			No association	Korean	[33]
ARNTL	rs2278749	Intron	No association ^a	Korean	[33]
	rs7107287	Intron	No association	Poland	[38]
ARNTL2	rs922270	Intron	Positive association	British	[46]
PER1	rs2735611	Coding, synonymous	Positive association	British	[28]
PER2	rs2304672	5'-UTR	Positive association	Japanese	[30]
			No association	Italian	[48]
			No association	Korean	[47]
	rs934945	Missense	Positive association	Korean	[47]
			Positive association	Korean	[33]
	rs2304671	Coding, synonymous	Positive association	Japanese	[48]
	rs35333999	Missense	Positive association	British & American	[49]
PER3	rs57875989	Deletion/insertion	Positive association	British	[25]
	(VNTR)		Positive association	European ^b	[50]
			Positive association	British	[51]
			No association	British	[39]
			No association	Colombian	[41]
			No association	Norwegian	[40]
			No association	Han Chinese	[42]
			No association	Japanese	[43]
TIMELESS	rs2291738	Intron	Positive association	Poland	[38]
NR1D1	rs12941497	Intron	Positive association	Korean	[37]
MTNR1B	rs4753426	Promoter	Positive association	Brazilian	[52]
GNB3	rs5443	Coding, synonymous	Positive association	European ^c	[53]
			No association	Korean	[32]
			No association	Korean	[33]

Table 6. Summary of SNP association	n findings between	clock genes and chronotype
-------------------------------------	--------------------	----------------------------

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; UTR, untranslated region.

^aPositive interaction with rs5443 of GNB3.

^bEuropean population in South Africa.

^cEuropean population in Sweden.

In particular, gene-gene interactions among *NR1D1* rs2314339, *TIMELESS* rs4630333, and *PER3* rs228669 were significantly associated with chronotype in QMDR analyses in the present study. These SNPs did not show any associations as individual SNPs or haplotypes. *NR1D1* (nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group D, member 1) is the gene encoding REV-ERBa, located on chromosome 17q21.3. REV-ERBa suppresses the transcription of *BMAL1* mRNA [35,36], while BMAL1 activates REV-ERBa; this comprises a feedback loop of the mammalian circadian oscillator. *NR1D1* has been reported to show an association with chronotype in healthy Korean young adults [37]. Kang et al. [37] reported a significant association with rs12941497 of *NR1D1*, but no association with rs2314339, which showed significant gene-gene interactions with other SNPs in the present study. The *TIMELESS* gene was reportedly associated with morningness-eveningness in healthy university students [38]. The *PER3* gene showed conflicting results. Several positive associations for chronotype in European populations have been reported. In addition, negative findings were also reported for European populations [39-41] and Asian populations [42,43]. The circadian clock system consists mainly of transcription-translation feedback loops in the internal timekeeping clock. Heterodimers of *BMAL* (brain and muscle Arnt-like protein-1) and *CLOCK* (circadian locomotor output cycles kaput) activate the transcription of *PER* (period) and *CRY* (cryptochrome) genes. *CRY* and *PER* suppress transcriptional activity of *BMAL1/ CLOCK* [54,55]. Because *NR1D1* is related to BMAL1 and *PER3* was reported to show a strong genetic interaction with *BMAL1,* our main finding supports this hypothesis. Unfortunately, no biological mechanism has been reported for chronotype that includes positive gene-gene interactions of all three genes identified in this study. Further studies are needed to understand the complicated biological molecular network of circadian clocks in humans.

Consistent and clear phenotyping is critical when performing genetic studies. We used CS score as a proxy for actual human diurnal preference. However, it evaluates both diurnal preference and sleep homeostasis. There are many ways to determine the timing of the circadian system (e.g., core body temperature monitoring, dim light melatonin onset, and actigraphy- or diary-based midpoint of sleep); self-reported diurnal preference is only a proxy method. Most GWASs have assessed chronotype using only a single question, such as "Are you naturally a night person or a morning person?" Non-precise phenotyping can produce unreliable significant findings that are unlikely to be replicated in subsequent studies [56]. Therefore, future phenotyping should include standardized self-reporting, clinical interviews, or objective assessment of sleep-wake periodicity, such as actigraphy. It is important to validate commonly used self-reported items. Comparisons between self-reported items and biological markers of circadian rhythms are needed to determine which questions are most closely associated with endogenous processes [14].

This study had some limitations that must be considered when interpreting our results. First, this study included only ethnically Korean individuals. Therefore, caution is needed when generalizing our results to other populations. As suggested in previous studies, chronotype and genes for chronotype are likely to differ according to ethnicity and/or between populations. Therefore, this study in a Korean population was necessary. Second, our participants were relatively young, with a mean age of 25 years. Because chronotype is affected by age, our results cannot be applied to other age groups. Third, because of resource limitations, we could include only 17 SNPs of seven circadian genes. Therefore, our results represent only a subset of the real-world epistatic interactions among clock genes. There are likely to be more complicated genegene interactions among circadian genes, as well as epistatic interactions between circadian genes and genes of other biological sysWe could not conclude that clock genes play a critical role in determining chronotype, although QMDR suggested significant gene-gene interactions. Further studies are needed to investigate gene-gene interactions of additional clock genes, especially with respect to SNPs that repeatedly show significant associations in multiple GWASs and studies in populations other than those of European ancestry.

ORCID

Mira Park: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3827-9089 Soon Ae Kim: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9831-0511 Jieun Shin: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6192-2610 Eun-Jeong Joo: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8766-8713

Authors' Contribution

Conceptualization: EJJ. Data curation: JS, SAK. Formal analysis: JS. Funding acquisition: EJJ. Methodology: MP. Writing – original draft: MP, EJJ. Writing – review & amp; editing: EJ, SAK.

Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Bio & Medical Technology Development Program of the National Research Foundation (NRF) & funded by the Korean government (MSIP&MOHW) (No. 2016 M3A9B6904241, 2016M3A9B6904244).

References

- 1. Roenneberg T, Merrow M. Entrainment of the human circadian clock. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 2007;72:293-299.
- 2. Duffy JF, Czeisler CA. Age-related change in the relationship between circadian period, circadian phase, and diurnal preference in humans. Neurosci Lett 2002;318:117-120.
- **3.** Allebrandt KV, Teder-Laving M, Kantermann T, Peters A, Campbell H, Rudan I, et al. Chronotype and sleep duration: the influence of season of assessment. Chronobiol Int 2014;31:731-740.
- 4. Fischer D, Lombardi DA, Marucci-Wellman H, Roenneberg T. Chronotypes in the US: influence of age and sex. PLoS One 2017;12:e0178782.

- 5. Horne JA, Ostberg O. A self-assessment questionnaire to determine morningness-eveningness in human circadian rhythms. Int J Chronobiol 1976;4:97-110.
- **6.** Smith CS, Reilly C, Midkiff K. Evaluation of three circadian rhythm questionnaires with suggestions for an improved measure of morningness. J Appl Psychol 1989;74:728-738.
- 7. Barclay NL, Eley TC, Buysse DJ, Archer SN, Gregory AM. Diurnal preference and sleep quality: same genes? A study of young adult twins. Chronobiol Int 2010;27:278-296.
- **8.** McClung CA. Circadian genes, rhythms and the biology of mood disorders. Pharmacol Ther 2007;114:222-232.
- 9. Lowrey PL, Takahashi JS. Genetics of circadian rhythms in mammalian model organisms. Adv Genet 2011;74:175-230.
- **10.** Dunlap JC. Molecular bases for circadian clocks. Cell 1999; 96:271-290.
- 11. Hu Y, Shmygelska A, Tran D, Eriksson N, Tung JY, Hinds DA. GWAS of 89,283 individuals identifies genetic variants associated with self-reporting of being a morning person. Nat Commun 2016;7:10448.
- 12. Jones SE, Tyrrell J, Wood AR, Beaumont RN, Ruth KS, Tuke MA, et al. Genome-wide association analyses in 128,266 individuals identifies new morningness and sleep duration loci. PLoS Genet 2016;12:e1006125.
- 13. Lane JM, Vlasac I, Anderson SG, Kyle SD, Dixon WG, Bechtold DA, et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies novel loci for chronotype in 100,420 individuals from the UK Biobank. Nat Commun 2016;7:10889.
- 14. Kalmbach DA, Schneider LD, Cheung J, Bertrand SJ, Kariharan T, Pack AI, et al. Genetic basis of chronotype in humans: insights from three landmark GWAS. Sleep 2017;40:zsw048.
- 15. Jones SE, Lane JM, Wood AR, van Hees VT, Tyrrell J, Beaumont RN, et al. Genome-wide association analyses of chronotype in 697,828 individuals provides insights into circadian rhythms. Nat Commun 2019;10:343.
- 16. Park M, Kim SA, Yee J, Shin J, Lee KY, Joo EJ. Significant role of gene-gene interactions of clock genes in mood disorder. J Affect Disord 2019;257:510-517.
- Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet 2007; 81:559-575.
- 18. Gui J, Moore JH, Williams SM, Andrews P, Hillege HL, van der Harst P, et al. A simple and computationally efficient approach to multifactor dimensionality reduction analysis of gene-gene interactions for quantitative traits. PLoS One 2013;8:e66545.
- **19.** Ritchie MD, Hahn LW, Roodi N, Bailey LR, Dupont WD, Parl FF, et al. Multifactor-dimensionality reduction reveals high-order

interactions among estrogen-metabolism genes in sporadic breast cancer. Am J Hum Genet 2001;69:138-147.

- **20.** Katzenberg D, Young T, Finn L, Lin L, King DP, Takahashi JS, et al. A CLOCK polymorphism associated with human diurnal preference. Sleep 1998;21:569-576.
- 21. Robilliard DL, Archer SN, Arendt J, Lockley SW, Hack LM, English J, et al. The 3111 Clock gene polymorphism is not associated with sleep and circadian rhythmicity in phenotypically characterized human subjects. J Sleep Res 2002;11:305-312.
- 22. Adams CD, Jordahl KM, Copeland W, Mirick DK, Song X, Sather CL, et al. Nightshift work, chronotype, and genome-wide DNA methylation in blood. Epigenetics 2017;12:833-840.
- 23. Pedrazzoli M, Louzada FM, Pereira DS, Benedito-Silva AA, Lopez AR, Martynhak BJ, et al. Clock polymorphisms and circadian rhythms phenotypes in a sample of the Brazilian population. Chronobiol Int 2007;24:1-8.
- 24. Mishima K, Tozawa T, Satoh K, Saitoh H, Mishima Y. The 3111T/C polymorphism of hClock is associated with evening preference and delayed sleep timing in a Japanese population sample. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2005;133B: 101-104.
- 25. Archer SN, Robilliard DL, Skene DJ, Smits M, Williams A, Arendt J, et al. A length polymorphism in the circadian clock gene Per3 is linked to delayed sleep phase syndrome and extreme diurnal preference. Sleep 2003;26:413-415.
- **26.** Pereira DS, Tufik S, Louzada FM, Benedito-Silva AA, Lopez AR, Lemos NA, et al. Association of the length polymorphism in the human Per3 gene with the delayed sleep-phase syndrome: does latitude have an influence upon it? Sleep 2005;28:29-32.
- 27. Carpen JD, Archer SN, Skene DJ, Smits M, von Schantz M. A single-nucleotide polymorphism in the 5'-untranslated region of the *hPER2* gene is associated with diurnal preference. J Sleep Res 2005;14:293-297.
- 28. Carpen JD, von Schantz M, Smits M, Skene DJ, Archer SN. A silent polymorphism in the *PER1* gene associates with extreme diurnal preference in humans. J Hum Genet 2006;51:1122-1125.
- 29. Viola AU, Archer SN, Groeger JA, Skene DJ, Von Schantz M, Dijk DJ. Polymorphism in the clock gene *PER3* predicts sleep structure and EEG power spectra. J Sleep Res 2006;15:53.
- **30.** Satoh K, Mishima K, Inoue Y, Ebisawa T, Shimizu T. Two pedigrees of familial advanced sleep phase syndrome in Japan. Sleep 2003;26:416-417.
- **31.** Emmanuel P, von Schantz M. Absence of morningness alleles in non-European populations. Chronobiol Int 2018;35:1758-1761.
- **32.** Lee HJ, Paik JW, Kang SG, Lim SW, Kim L. Allelic variants interaction of *CLOCK* gene and G-protein beta3 subunit gene with diurnal preference. Chronobiol Int 2007;24:589-597.

- **33.** Song HM, Cho CH, Lee HJ, Moon JH, Kang SG, Yoon HK, et al. Association of *CLOCK*, *ARNTL*, *PER2*, and *GNB3* polymorphisms with diurnal preference in a Korean population. Chronobiol Int 2016;33:1455-1463.
- 34. Pedrazzoli M, Secolin R, Esteves LO, Pereira DS, Koike Bdel V, Louzada FM, et al. Interactions of polymorphisms in different clock genes associated with circadian phenotypes in humans. Genet Mol Biol 2010;33:627-632.
- 35. Liu AC, Tran HG, Zhang EE, Priest AA, Welsh DK, Kay SA. Redundant function of REV-ERBalpha and beta and non-essential role for Bmal1 cycling in transcriptional regulation of intracellular circadian rhythms. PLoS Genet 2008;4:e1000023.
- **36.** Cho H, Zhao X, Hatori M, Yu RT, Barish GD, Lam MT, et al. Regulation of circadian behaviour and metabolism by REV-ERBalpha and REV-ERB-beta. Nature 2012;485:123-127.
- **37.** Kang JI, Park CI, Namkoong K, Kim SJ. Associations between polymorphisms in the *NR1D1* gene encoding for nuclear receptor REV-ERBalpha and circadian typologies. Chronobiol Int 2015;32:568-572.
- 38. Jankowski KS, Dmitrzak-Weglarz M. ARNTL, CLOCK and PER3 polymorphisms: links with chronotype and affective dimensions. Chronobiol Int 2017;34:1105-1113.
- 39. Barclay NL, Eley TC, Mill J, Wong CC, Zavos HM, Archer SN, et al. Sleep quality and diurnal preference in a sample of young adults: associations with *5HTTLPR*, *PER3*, and *CLOCK* 3111. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2011;156B:681-690.
- **40.** Osland TM, Bjorvatn BR, Steen VM, Pallesen S. Association study of a variable-number tandem repeat polymorphism in the clock gene *PERIOD3* and chronotype in Norwegian university students. Chronobiol Int 2011;28:764-770.
- 41. Perea CS, Nino CL, Lopez-Leon S, Gutierrez R, Ojeda D, Arboleda H, et al. Study of a functional polymorphism in the *PER3* gene and diurnal preference in a Colombian sample. Open Neurol J 2014;8:7-10.
- 42. An H, Zhu Z, Zhou C, Geng P, Xu H, Wang H, et al. Chronotype and a PERIOD3 variable number tandem repeat polymorphism in Han Chinese pilots. Int J Clin Exp Med 2014;7:3770-3776.
- **43.** Hida A, Kitamura S, Kadotani H, Uchiyama M, Ebisawa T, Inoue Y, et al. Lack of association between *PER3* variable number tandem repeat and circadian rhythm sleep-wake disorders. Hum Genome Var 2018;5:17.
- 44. Chang AM, Buch AM, Bradstreet DS, Klements DJ, Duffy JF.

Human diurnal preference and circadian rhythmicity are not associated with the *CLOCK* 3111C/T gene polymorphism. J Biol Rhythms 2011;26:276-279.

- 45. Choub A, Mancuso M, Coppede F, LoGerfo A, Orsucci D, Petrozzi L, et al. *Clock* T3111C and *Per2* C111G SNPs do not influence circadian rhythmicity in healthy Italian population. Neurol Sci 2011;32:89-93.
- **46.** Parsons MJ, Lester KJ, Barclay NL, Archer SN, Nolan PM, Eley TC, et al. Polymorphisms in the circadian expressed genes *PER3* and *ARNTL2* are associated with diurnal preference and GNbe-ta3 with sleep measures. J Sleep Res 2014;23:595-604.
- 47. Lee HJ, Kim L, Kang SG, Yoon HK, Choi JE, Park YM, et al. *PER2* variation is associated with diurnal preference in a Korean young population. Behav Genet 2011;41:273-277.
- **48.** Matsuo I, Iijima N, Takumi K, Higo S, Aikawa S, Anzai M, et al. Characterization of sevoflurane effects on Per2 expression using ex vivo bioluminescence imaging of the suprachiasmatic nucleus in transgenic rats. Neurosci Res 2016;107:30-37.
- **49.** Chang AM, Duffy JF, Buxton OM, Lane JM, Aeschbach D, Anderson C, et al. Chronotype genetic variant in *PER2* is associated with intrinsic Circadian period in humans. Sci Rep 2019;9:5350.
- **50.** Kunorozva L, Stephenson KJ, Rae DE, Roden LC. Chronotype and *PERIOD3* variable number tandem repeat polymorphism in individual sports athletes. Chronobiol Int 2012;29:1004-1010.
- 51. Lazar AS, Slak A, Lo JC, Santhi N, von Schantz M, Archer SN, et al. Sleep, diurnal preference, health, and psychological well-being: a prospective single-allelic-variation study. Chronobiol Int 2012; 29:131-146.
- 52. Silva A, Santos MJ, Koike BD, Moreira MS, Gitai DL, de Miranda Coelho JA, et al. Melatonin receptor 1B -1193T > C polymorphism is associated with diurnal preference and sleep habits. Sleep Med 2019;53:106-114.
- 53. Johansson C, Willeit M, Aron L, Smedh C, Ekholm J, Paunio T, et al. Seasonal affective disorder and the G-protein beta-3-subunit C825T polymorphism. Biol Psychiatry 2004;55:317-319.
- **54.** Bass J, Takahashi JS. Circadian integration of metabolism and energetics. Science 2010;330:1349-1354.
- 55. Feng D, Lazar MA. Clocks, metabolism, and the epigenome. Mol Cell 2012;47:158-167.
- 56. Ioannidis JP, Thomas G, Daly MJ. Validating, augmenting and refining genome-wide association signals. Nat Rev Genet 2009; 10:318-329.