

See corresponding editorial on page 772.

# Maternal BMI is positively associated with human milk fat: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis

Allison I Daniel,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Sara Shama,<sup>2,3</sup> Samantha Ismail,<sup>2,3</sup> Celine Bourdon,<sup>2,4</sup> Alex Kiss,<sup>5</sup> Martha Mwangome,<sup>4,6</sup> Robert HJ Bandsma,<sup>1,2,3,4,7</sup> and Deborah L O'Connor<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Global Child Health, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; <sup>2</sup>Translational Medicine Program, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; <sup>3</sup>Department of Nutritional Sciences, Temerty Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; <sup>4</sup>The Childhood Acute Illness & Nutrition Network (CHAIN), Nairobi, Kenya; <sup>5</sup>Department of Research Design and Biostatistics, Sunnybrook Research Institute, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; <sup>6</sup>Kenya Medical Research Institute (KEMRI)/Wellcome Trust Research Program, Kilifi, Kenya; and <sup>7</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences, College of Medicine, University of Malawi, Blantyre, Malawi

# ABSTRACT

**Background:** Lack of robust estimates of human-milk nutrient composition and influential maternal factors, such as body composition, are barriers to informing nutrition policies and programs.

**Objective:** The objective was to understand the relation between maternal BMI and human-milk energy, fat, and/or total protein.

**Methods:** Four electronic databases (MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL, and Web of Science) were searched. Outcomes assessed were human-milk energy (kcal/L), fat (g/L), and total protein (g/L) from mothers 1 to 6 mo postpartum. Studies with data on maternal BMI or weight and height that quantified human-milk energy, fat, or protein between 1 and 6 mo postpartum were eligible. Random-effects meta-regression weighted by the inverse of the study-level SE was completed for each of the 3 outcomes. The certainty of evidence for each outcome was assessed using the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation) approach.

**Results:** A total of 11,373 titles and abstracts were identified, and after full-text screening, 69 articles of 66 studies were included. Meta-regression results showed a positive association between maternal BMI and human-milk fat ( $\beta$ : 0.56 g/L; 95% CI: 0.034, 1.1; P = 0.04;  $I^2 = 93.7\%$ , n = 63 datapoints). There was no significant association between maternal BMI and human-milk energy ( $\beta$ : 3.9 kcal/L; 95% CI: -1.6, 9.5; P = 0.16,  $I^2 = 93.3\%$ , n = 40 datapoints) or total protein ( $\beta$ : 0.13 g/L; 95% CI: -0.16, 0.41; P = 0.37,  $I^2 = 99.1\%$ , n = 40 datapoints). The certainty of evidence for human-milk energy was low and the certainty of evidence for fat and total protein was very low.

**Conclusions:** Meta-regression analysis of available literature suggested an association between maternal BMI and human-milk fat

between 1 and 6 mo postpartum. Future studies are needed to confirm the relation between maternal BMI; variation in humanmilk energy, fat, and protein content; and the implications for child growth and development. This review is registered with International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018098808) at https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/. Am J Clin Nutr 2021;113:1009–1022.

**Keywords:** meta-analysis, BMI, maternal nutritional status, breast milk, breastmilk, macronutrient

# Introduction

There are strongly supported benefits of breastfeeding, both for mothers and for their infants, and thus exclusive breastfeeding

Received May 24, 2020. Accepted for publication December 8, 2020.

First published online March 1, 2021; doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqaa410.

Trainee support for this project was awarded from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (AID) and an endowed Vitamin Chair fund at the University of Toronto (SI). The sources of support had no role in the design or conduct of this review, statistical analyses, data interpretation, or writing of the manuscript.

Supplemental Figures 1–5 and Supplemental Tables 1–7 are available from the "Supplementary data" link in the online posting of the article and from the same link in the online table of contents at https://academic.oup.com/ajcn/.

Address correspondence to DLO (e-mail: deborah.oconnor@utoronto.ca).

Abbreviations used: GRADE, Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation; PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; REDCap, Research Electronic Data Capture.

*Am J Clin Nutr* 2021;113:1009–1022. Printed in USA. © The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the American Society for Nutrition. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (http://creativecommon s.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com 1009

is recommended for the first 6 mo of life (1, 2). For infants, benefits include lower risk of morbidity and mortality as well as improved neurodevelopment (1). Human milk is believed to provide all required nutrients for healthy term infants up to 6 mo of age, with the exception of vitamin D (2, 3). However, the concentration of individual nutrients may vary due to a number of maternal factors including body composition (4, 5).

Bravi et al. (4) performed a systematic review and qualitative assessment of the association between maternal diet and a range of human-milk macronutrients and, from 32 studies (1977–2014), concluded that evidence on this topic is both limited and conflicting. Only studies of well-nourished women were included in their review and associations between maternal body composition and human-milk composition were not assessed. A recent meta-analysis by Leghi et al. (6) found that mothers with overweight or obesity have higher human milk fat compared with normal-weight mothers (n = 6 studies). No significant associations were observed with human-milk protein (n = 5 studies). Human-milk energy was not investigated in this review, and underweight women and low- and middle-income countries were not represented.

To our knowledge, there has never been a contemporary systematic review that has quantitively evaluated all available data in the published literature on human-milk energy and macronutrient composition in relation to maternal body size from underweight to obese using BMI as a continuous variable. We reasoned that meta-regression of datapoints from all available studies would be a novel approach to accomplishing this goal. The lack of robust data on the composition of human milk and factors that influence it has recently been highlighted by several international agencies and researchers (7). Data on milk composition and associated health outcomes are required to facilitate evidencebased nutrition and health programs and policies. Given that a significant number of women of reproductive age globally are underweight and the prevalence of overweight and obesity continues to rise (8, 9), clarification of the degree to which maternal body composition is related to human-milk energy and macronutrient composition is required.

Therefore, the main question that this systematic review aimed to answer was, "In mothers of infants 1 to 6 months of age, is maternal body composition, as assessed by BMI, associated with human-milk energy, fat, and/or total protein?" A secondary aim was to examine the influence of quantification techniques for the determination of human-milk energy, fat, and total protein on these estimates. An additional aim was to explore sources of heterogeneity in human-milk composition related to breastfeeding practices, technique of human-milk collection, prepregnancy or postpartum BMI, and income level of the country where research was conducted.

# Methods

A protocol for this systematic review was prospectively registered on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO 2018 CRD42018098808). The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were followed in the completion of this systematic review (10).

### Literature search and eligibility

The electronic databases MEDLINE, Embase, CINAHL, and Web of Science were searched by a research librarian at the Hospital for Sick Children on 4 July 2019 and the search was updated on 19 May 2020 (**Supplemental Tables 1** and **2**). Search terms related to maternal BMI and human-milk energy and macronutrients. There were no restrictions (e.g., language) used for the search apart from the restriction to human research studies.

Studies that included mothers of infants between 1 and 6 mo of age were eligible for inclusion. This age range was selected to ensure that the milk-composition analyses were conducted on mature milk samples and not colostrum or transitional milk. All studies where mothers provided any amount of human milk were considered; in other words, mothers were not always exclusively breastfeeding. Articles including preterm infants born before 37 wk of gestation were to be considered in the review but quantitatively analyzed separately from term-born infants. Studies were excluded if authors did not provide data on maternal BMI or weight and height, did not collect human milk, or did not directly assess (i.e., quantify) human-milk energy, fat, or protein concentration.

Study types that were eligible for inclusion were observational studies and intervention trials; reviews, commentaries, and abstracts of conference proceedings were excluded. Research performed in low-, middle-, and high-income countries was considered. Upon completion of the initial screening process, references of eligible articles were examined to identify additional publications that met the inclusion criteria for this systematic review.

### Maternal BMI and main outcomes

Maternal BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) prepregnancy or during lactation was examined as a continuous variable. BMI is a simple and inexpensive proxy indicator of body composition, with a BMI <18.5 representing underweight, a BMI of at least 25.0 representing overweight, and a BMI of at least 30.0 representing obesity (11). The 3 primary outcomes of interest were humanmilk energy (kcal/L), fat (g/L), and total protein (g/L) from mothers of infants between 1 and 6 mo postpartum. Based on reviewer feedback during the peer review process, human-milk lactose (g/L) was also evaluated in post hoc analyses. Total protein reflects the total amount of nitrogen in a sample and includes both protein and nonprotein nitrogen components in human milk. If human-milk samples were collected at multiple time points in a study, measures closest to 3 mo postpartum were used. We considered all studies, regardless of the techniques (e.g., methods) used to quantitate energy, fat, and total protein; the 3 main outcomes were determined a priori for this systematic review (Supplemental Table 3). Unlike with the dairy industry, there is no national or international body that recommends a specific technique for human-milk analysis. However, the following methods are described by experts in the field as the "gold standard" or "preferred" methodology: bomb calorimetry (human-milk energy), gravimetric method (human-milk fat), and nitrogen or amino acid analysis (human-milk total protein) (12-15). As described below, in our secondary analyses, we examined associations between maternal BMI and human-milk energy, fat, and total protein using these preferred methods alone or in combination with other commonly utilized techniques.

Maternal BMI and human-milk macronutrients



FIGURE 1 Study flow diagram of included and excluded studies in this systematic review.

#### Study selection and data extraction

Using Covidence online software, articles were independently screened in duplicate to determine eligibility by 2 of 3 reviewers involved in this systematic review (AID, SS, and SI) (16). This first step involved reviewing titles and abstracts followed by full-text review. The third reviewer was involved in the case of any discrepancies. Reasons for excluding full-text articles were documented. Results from this screening process are summarized in **Figure 1** following the PRISMA guidelines (10).

A data-extraction sheet in Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) was used separately by 2 of the reviewers and data were subsequently combined (17). If any studies had missing data on maternal BMI or human-milk energy, fat, or protein, the authors were contacted for this information, although this was required for only 1 study in the review (18). Data extracted were publication year; country and income classification

(low-, middle-, or high-income) according to the World Bank Group (19); study design (experimental or observational); maternal age; maternal prepregnancy and/or postpartum BMI; human-milk collection methods (exclusive breastfeeding, complete breast expression, frequency of collection within a 24-h study period); human-milk energy, fat, and total protein concentration; and the respective quantification techniques used (20).

Data were pooled during the data-extraction process for studies with multiple experimental groups or cohorts. However, for all studies that examined human-milk composition separated by BMI categories, these data were disaggregated and included in the analysis as discrete datapoints. Units were converted to kilocalories per liter for energy and to grams per liter for fat and protein upon data extraction. Protein data that were presented as nitrogen content were multiplied by a protein conversion factor of 6.25 (21). All quantitative data, including ranges, IQRs, variance, SEs, and CIs, were converted to SDs at this step of the review by 2 reviewers independently for each article.

# Certainty of the evidence across studies

The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) approach was used to assess the certainty or quality of the evidence across studies, rather than for individual studies (20). This assessment was done for each of the 3 outcomes examined by meta-regression-specifically, human-milk energy, fat, and total protein, respectively. Evidence started at moderate quality because of the observational nature of this systematic review, and was downgraded based on the following 4 GRADE criteria: 1) risk of bias of individual studies included in the meta-regression (study design or execution problems that could impact the outcome), 2) inconsistency (unexplained statistical heterogeneity in meta-regression results), 3) indirectness (whether included studies assessed mothers across the BMI continuum or human-milk quantification collection methods and techniques employed, many of which were proxy measures such as calculated energy for human-milk energy), and 4) imprecision (wide CIs around the estimate of effect for the meta-regression). An overall score for each outcome (high, moderate, low, or very low) was determined by considering certainty across all GRADE criteria.

### Statistical analysis

All analyses were completed in Stata version 14 (StataCorp LP) after data were exported from REDCap version 9.3.8 (Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN) (22). Random-effects metaregression using the metareg macro was performed to examine the association between maternal BMI as a continuous predictor of human-milk energy, fat, and total protein, respectively.

Again, individual studies were usually included as single datapoints in the meta-regression. For studies that also disaggregated groups by BMI and reported on human-milk composition across groups, results were included as separate datapoints in the metaregression. Means were weighted by the inverse of the studylevel SE for each of human-milk energy, fat, total protein, and lactose. The meta-regression analyses were fitted using restricted maximum likelihood. The residual variation due to betweenstudy variation ( $I^2$  residual) was computed (23). This type of analysis assumes that the relations between variables in the meta-regression are linear or follow a normal distribution. The assumption of normality for each outcome was confirmed using Q–Q plots (**Supplemental Figures 1–4**).

To address our second aim, additional meta-regression analyses were performed with inclusion of only those studies that used higher-quality human-milk quantification techniques described by experts in the field as "gold standard" or "preferred" methodology for human-milk energy (bomb calorimetry), fat (gravimetric method), and total protein (nitrogen or amino acid analysis). We also completed meta-regression with these techniques in combination with other commonly used techniques to quantitate human-milk fat and total protein. Further subgroup analyses were conducted to explore potential reasons for heterogeneity around human-milk energy, fat, and total protein. These subgroup analyses included exclusive breastfeeding (yes/no), collection of a complete breast expression (yes/no), human milk collected over 24 h (yes/no), BMI measured prepregnancy or postpartum, income level of the country from which milk samples were collected (low- and middle-income vs. high-income), and observational or experimental study design. Separate meta-regression analyses were completed for each respective subgroup comparison and  $l^2$  values were assessed.

# Results

The search of the 4 electronic databases and reference lists of included studies identified 11,373 abstracts after duplicates were removed (Figure 1). After title and abstract screening, there were 277 articles that were potentially eligible for inclusion. There were 11 titles and abstracts for which the full text could not be located, potentially because they were published in print only and we were unable to secure a hard copy (Supplemental Table 4). Available articles were examined in full and 69 articles reporting on 66 studies were included in the systematic review (Table 1) (18, 24-91). These articles included a total of 4674 mothers with human-milk samples. Sixty-two studies included term-born infants, while just 6 studies included a total of 216 preterm infants (26, 35, 48-50, 63), which was deemed insufficient for meta-regression based on the Cochrane Handbook (section 9.6.4), which specifies that meta-regression analysis should be considered only when there are a minimum of 10 studies (Supplemental Table 5) (92). Therefore, only studies with term-born infants were included in the statistical analyses.

The mean BMI of the included studies of term-born infants ranged from 17.8 to 35.3. Of the studies that included termborn infants, there was 1 datapoint (1.4%) with a mean maternal BMI within the underweight category, 46 datapoints (66.7%) within the normal-weight category, 19 datapoints (27.5%) within the obese category. For the studies that evaluated preterm infants, the mean maternal BMI was between 20.7 and 26.4, with 4 studies having a mean BMI in the normal-weight category (33.3%), and none with a BMI in the underweight or obese categories.

Forty datapoints (33 studies) were included in the metaregression of maternal BMI and human-milk energy, 63 datapoints (56 studies) in the analysis of maternal BMI and humanmilk fat, 40 datapoints (37 studies) in the analysis of maternal BMI and human-milk total protein, and 20 datapoints (17 studies) in the analysis of maternal BMI and human-milk lactose (Figure 1). Five studies were included as multiple datapoints for the meta-regression analyses as they included data disaggregated by maternal BMI category (18, 38, 51, 85, 89). Four of these studies separated mothers into 2 BMI categories, whereas 1 included 4 different categories that were each discrete datapoints (51).

# Associations between maternal BMI and human-milk energy, fat, and total protein: meta-regression results *Human-milk energy*.

The meta-regression of the relation of maternal BMI and human-milk energy from 40 datapoints (33 studies) showed no significant association between these variables

First author, year (ref)	Country (classification)	Study design	Sample size, <sup>2</sup> n	Maternal age, <sup>3</sup> y	Maternal BMI. <sup>3,4</sup> kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Human-milk energy quantification	Human-milk fat quantification	Human-milk protein quantification
Abdul Basir, 2019	Malaysia (LMIC)	Observational	32	$30.6 \pm 4.4$	$23.5\pm4.8$	Calculated energy	Creamatocrit	Colorimetry
(86) Agostoni, 2003 (24)	Italy (HIC)	Observational	50	$30.3 \pm 5.4$	(prepregnancy) $20.7 \pm 3.4$	I	Gravimetric	I
Alvarez de Acosta,	Venezuela (LMIC)	Observational	52	$20.8 \pm 5.0$	(postpartum) $23.9 \pm 0.8$	Calculated energy	method Gerber method	Nitrogen analysis
2013 (36) Anastácio, 2004 (46)	Brazil (LMIC)	Observational	38	27.7 ± 6.5	(postpartum) $23.8 \pm 4.3$	3	Creamatocrit	Colorimetry
Antonakou, 2011 (57)/Antonakou,	Greece (HIC)	Observational	39	$32.5 \pm 3.1$	(postpartum) 22.2 土 4.1 (prepregnancy)	I	Creamatocrit	I
2013 ( <b>68</b> ) Ayah, 2007 ( <b>79</b> )	Kenya (LMIC)	Experimental	422	$24.7 \pm 6.6$	22.2 ± 5.6	I	Creamatocrit	I
Barbosa, 1997 (89)	Mexico (LMIC)	Observational	21 normal-weight, 19 overweight	21.3 ± 4.3 normal-weight, 22.4 ± 3.0	(prepregnancy) $21.4 \pm 0.9$ normal-weight (postpartum),	Bomb calorimetry	Jeejeebhoy method	Nitrogen analysis <sup>5</sup>
				overweight	$25.7 \pm 1.9$ overweight (postpartum)			
Be'er, 2020 (88)	Israel (HIC)	Observational	31	$33.5 \pm 3.3$	$22.8 \pm 3.2$ (nostnartium)	Calculated energy	IR spectropho- tometry	IR spectropho- tometry
Boniglia, 2003 (90)	Italy (HIC)	Observational	117	$31.2 \pm 4.5$	$24.2 \pm 3.3$			Nitrogen analysis
Brown, 1986 (91)	Bangladesh	Observational	33	$19.6 \pm 6.3$	(postpartum) $17.8 \pm 1.6$	Calculated energy	Gravimetric	Nitrogen analysis
Bumrungpert, 2018	(LIMIC) Thailand (LMIC)	Experimental	50	$24.9 \pm 4.7$	(postpartum) 22.5 $\pm$ 3.1	Bomb calorimetry	Gravimetric mathod	Nitrogen analysis
Butte, 1984 (27)	United States	Observational	13	$26.6\pm5.0$	$20.8 \pm 2.6$	Bomb calorimetry	Gravimetric	Nitrogen analysis
Butte, 1984 (26)	(HIC) United States	Observational	37	$28.0 \pm 3.1$	(prepregnancy) $22.7 \pm 3.9$	Bomb calorimetry	method Gravimetric	Nitrogen analysis
Butts, 2018 (28)	(HIC) New Zealand	Observational	78	$30.8 \pm 0.9$	(postpartum) 27.2 ± 0.8		method Gravimetric	Nitrogen analysis
Bzikowska-Jura,	(HIC) Poland (HIC)	Observational	22	$31.1 \pm 4.4$	(postpartum) $23.3 \pm 4.0$	Calculated energy	method IR spectropho-	IR spectropho-
2018 (29) Cannon, 2015 (30)	Australia (HIC)	Observational	19	$32 \pm 3$	(postpartum) $25 \pm 4$	I	tometry Creamatocrit	tometry Colorimetry
Carratù, 2003 ( <b>30</b> )	Italy (HIC)	Observational	195	$31 \pm 4$	(postpartum) $23.7 \pm 3.2$	I	I	Nitrogen analysis
Chang, 2015 ( <b>32</b> )	South Korea	Observational	230	$32.0 \pm 3.3$	(postpartum) 22.9 $\pm$ 3.0	Calculated energy	IR spectropho-	IR spectropho-
Cissé, 2002 (33)	(HIC) Senegal (LMIC)	Experimental	133	$27 \pm 6$	(postpartum) $22.6 \pm 3.7$	I	tometry Other	tometry Nitrogen analysis
					(postpartum)			

TABLE 1 Characteristics of studies of term-born infants included in this systematic review<sup>1</sup>

(Continued)

1013

						Human-milk		Human-milk
First author, year	Country		Sample size, <sup>2</sup>	Maternal age, <sup>3</sup>	Maternal BMI, <sup>3,4</sup>	energy	Human-milk fat	protein
(ref)	(classification)	Study design	u	у	kg/m <sup>∠</sup>	quantification	quantification	quantification
Dagnelie, 1992 (34)	The Netherlands (HIC)	Observational	19	$31.5 \pm 4.7$	$21.1 \pm 2.0$ (nostnartium)	Calculated energy	Gravimetric method	Nitrogen analysis
De Luca, 2016 ( <b>38</b> )	France (HIC)	Observational	50 normal-weight, 47 obese	30.6 ± 4.5 normal-weight, 30.8 ± 4.9 obese	24.0 ± 3.4 normal-weight (postpartum),	Calculated energy	IR spectropho- tometry	IR spectropho- tometry
					$35.3 \pm 3.1$ obese (mostroartium)			
de Pee, 1997 (39)	Indonesia (LMIC)	Experimental	64		$21.0 \pm 2.6$		Creamatocrit	
Del Prado, 2001 (37)	Mexico (LMIC)	Experimental	10	$21.4 \pm 3.1$	(postpartum) $22.8 \pm 2.4$	I	Gravimetric	Ι
Dewey, 1994 (41)	United States	Experimental	33	$30.5 \pm 5.1$	(postpartum) $24.3 \pm 3.6$	Calculated energy	method Gravimetric	Nitrogen analysis <sup>5</sup>
Du, 2017 (42)	(HIC) Australia (HIC)	Observational	40	$31.8 \pm 4.3$	(postpartum) $26.3 \pm 5.7$	I	method Creamatocrit	I
Dusdieker, 1994 (43)	United States	Experimental	33	$31.7 \pm 3.7$	(postpartum) $25.2 \pm 2.9$	I	Creamatocrit	Nitrogen analysis
Fornes, 1995 (44)	(HIC) Brazil (LMIC)	Observational	14	$24.9 \pm 5.8$	(postpartum) $22.8 \pm 2.8$	I	Creamatocrit	I
Gridneva, 2018 (45)	Australia (HIC)	Observational	15	$33.3 \pm 4.7$	(postpartum) $27.2 \pm 5.5$	I	I	Colorimetry
Hampel. 2017 (47)	Bangladesh	Experimental	81	20 + 3	(postpartum) 22 + 4		Creamatocrit	
	(LMIC)		2		(postpartum)	Letter Letter Letter	с <del>Чтото</del> СС	ш.
Hsu, 2014 ( <b>50</b> )	Tarwan (HIC)	Observational	cI	$32.1 \pm 5.2$	$24.2 \pm 2.4$	Calculated energy	IK spectropho-	IK spectropho-
Jans, 2018 (51)	Belgium (HIC)	Observational	16 normal-weight,	$29 \pm 6$	(postpartum) $22 \pm 2$	Calculated energy	tomeuy IR spectropho-	tometry IR spectropho-
			24 overweight, 9 obese. 6 mixed	normal-weight, 29 ± 5 overweight.	normal-weight (prepregnancv).		tometry	tometry <sup>o</sup>
			group	$29 \pm 5$ obese, 30	$27 \pm 2$			
				$\pm$ 4 mixed group	overweight			
					(prepregnancy), $35 \pm 6$ obese			
					(prepregnancy), $28 \pm 4 \text{ mixed}$			
					group			
Kugananthan, 2017	Australia (HIC)	Observational	23	33.4 ± 4.2	(prepregnancy) $27.0 \pm 7.3$		I	Colorimetry
(52) Kurniati, 2016 (53)	Indonesia (LMIC)	Observational	48	27.3 土 4.4	(postpartum) $25.9 \pm 5.9$	I	Creamatocrit	I
Ley, 2012 (54)	Canada (HIC)	Observational	116	$34.8 \pm 4.3$	(postpartum) $24.8 \pm 5.1$		Creamatocrit	Colorimetry
1			22		(prepregnancy)		Caromotonait	·
Liyanage, 2000 (JJ)	DII LAIIKA (LIMIC)	Observational	00	1.0 ± 1.12	20.0 ± 3.4 (postpartum)		Cleanatoch	

Daniel et al.

1014

 TABLE 1 (Continued)

(Continued)

 TABLE 1
 (Continued)

						Human-milk		Human-milk
First author, year (ref)	Country (classification)	Study design	Sample size, <sup>2</sup> $n$	Maternal age, <sup>3</sup> y	Maternal BMI, <sup>3,4</sup> kg/m <sup>2</sup>	energy quantification	Human-milk fat quantification	protein quantification
Lovelady, 1990 (56)	United States	Observational	16	28.7 ± 4.4	$20.9 \pm 1.7$	Bomb calorimetry	Gravimetric	Colorimetry
Mandel, 2005 (58)	(HIC) Israel (HIC)	Observational	27	$30.7 \pm 2.9$	(postpartum) $24.5 \pm 3.9$	Bomb calorimetry	method Creamatocrit	I
Marangoni, 2000 (59)	Italy (HIC)	Observational	L	$33.5 \pm 1.8$	(postpartum) $25.5 \pm 2.5$		Gravimetric	I
MoC 1000 (60)	I Inited States	Dynamimantal	L'9	21 5 + 5 2	(postpartum)	Colonloted anotati	method	Mitmoren analusio5
MCCI019, 1222 (00)	(HIC) (HIC)	БАРСИЛІСИЦАІ	10	C:C H C:TC	$23.2 \pm 4.2$ (postpartum)	Calculated effetgy	method	muugen anarysis
Michaelsen, 1994	Denmark (HIC)	Observational	88	$29 \pm 5$	$21.3 \pm 3.1$	Calculated energy	IR spectropho-	IR spectropho-
(61) Minato, 2019 (87)	Japan (HIC)	Observational	56		(postpartum) $21.3 \pm 2.8$	Calculated energy	tometry IR spectropho-	tometry <sup>5</sup> IR spectropho-
1100 Poundable	II	[	ſ	C F - 770	(postpartum)		tometry	tometry
MOIIAIIIIIAU, 2011	(HIC)	БАРЫШІЛІЦІА	-	CT H 0.07	(nostnartium)	Calculated ellergy	Outer	COLOTINIEUS
Motil, 1995 (64)	United States	Experimental	16	$29.0 \pm 2.6$	$23.6 \pm 3.3$		I	Nitrogen analysis
Nikniaz, 2009 (65)	Iran (LMIC)	Observational	182	$26.5 \pm 6$	$26.8 \pm 4$		Gerber method	
	~				(postpartum)			
Nommsen, 1991 (66)/Dewey 1991	United States	Observational	58	$30.4 \pm 4.6$	$23.7 \pm 2.7$ (nostnartum)	Calculated energy	Gravimetric method	Colorimetry
(40)					(prospartinity)			
Pérez-Escamilla, 1995 (67)	Honduras (LMIC)	Experimental	141	$20.2 \pm 3.5$	$22.7 \pm 3.3$ (postpartum)	Calculated energy	Gravimetric method	Nitrogen analysis <sup>5</sup>
Perrella, 2016 (69)	Australia (HIC)	Observational	1	28	29.7 (postpartum)	Calculated energy	Creamatocrit	Colorimetry
Pines, 2016 (70)	Israel (HIC)	Observational	45	$31.7 \pm 3.8$	$22.2 \pm 2.7$	Calculated energy	IR spectropho-	IR spectropho-
Prentice, 2016 (71)	England (HIC)	Observational	614	$33.9 \pm 4.0$	(prepregnancy) $22.8 \pm 3.2$	Calculated energy	tometry Chromatography	tometry Nitrogen analysis
Rakicioğlu, 2006 (72)	Turkey (LMIC)	Observational	21	$27.3 \pm 5.4$	(prepregnancy) $25.6 \pm 4.8$		Gravimetric	Nitrogen analysis <sup>5</sup>
Rice, 2000 (73)	Bangladesh	Experimental	147	$26.6 \pm 5.7$	(postpartum) $18.9 \pm 1.7$		method Creamatocrit	I
Rocquelin, 1998 (74)	(LMIC) Congo (LMIC)	Observational	102	$27.0 \pm 6.8$	(postpartum) 22.3 土 4.5	I	Gravimetric	I
Ruel. 1997 (75)	Guatemala	Observational	52	$25 \pm 6$	(postpartum) $24 \pm 3$		method Other	I
Sadurskie 1088 (76)	(LMIC) Sweden (HIC)	Observational	50	8 C + 9 8C	(postpartum) $22 \ 2 + 3 \ 3$	Romh calorimetry	I	I
			1		(prepregnancy)			
Shehadeh, 2006 (77)	Israel (HIC)	Observational	41	$30.8 \pm 5.0$	$25.9 \pm 3.9$		Gerber method	Nitrogen analysıs
					(mmmadiend)			

# Maternal BMI and human-milk macronutrients

1015

(Continued)

First author, year (ref)	Country (classification)	Study design	Sample size, <sup>2</sup> n	Maternal age, <sup>3</sup> y	Maternal BMI, <sup>3,4</sup> kg/m <sup>2</sup>	Human-milk energy quantification	Human-milk fat quantification	Human-milk protein quantification
Sims, 2020 (18) <sup>7</sup>	United States (HIC)	Observational	63 normal-weight, 68 overweight	$30.4 \pm 0.4$ normal-weight, $30.5 \pm 0.4$ overweight	<ul> <li>22.3 ± 0.2 normal-weight (prepregnancy),</li> <li>29.0 ± 0.3 overweight (prepregnancy)</li> </ul>	Calculated energy	IR spectropho- tometry	IR spectropho- tometry
Thakkar, 2013 (80)/Thakkar, 2016 (78)	Singapore (HIC)	Observational	50	$31 \pm 4$	$21 \pm 6$ (postpartum)	Calculated energy	IR spectropho- tometry	Colorimetry
Urteaga, 2018 (81)	Bolivia (LMIC)	Observational	18	$28.8 \pm 5.9$	$25.6 \pm 4.5$ (postpartum)	Calculated energy	Creamatocrit	
van Steenbergen, 1983 ( <b>82</b> )	Kenya (LMIC)	Observational	75	$27.5 \pm 7.5$	$21.3 \pm 3.3$ (postpartum)	I	Gravimetric method	Nitrogen analysis
Villalpando, 1992 (83)	Mexico (LMIC)	Observational	30	$26.0 \pm 6.1$	$23.8 \pm 3.2$ (postpartum)	Bomb calorimetry	Jeejeebhoy method	Nitrogen analysis
Yang, 2014 (84)	China (HIC)	Observational	06	$27.2 \pm 3.9$	$23.3 \pm 3.1$ (postpartum)	Calculated energy	IR spectropho- tometry	IR spectropho- tometry
Young, 2017 (85)	United States (HIC)	Observational	33 normal-weight, 23 overweight	31.1 $\pm$ 4.4 normal-weight, 32.5 $\pm$ 4.6 overweight	<ul> <li>22.1 ± 1.4</li> <li>normal-weight</li> <li>(postpartum),</li> <li>27.8 ± 3.0</li> <li>overweight</li> <li>(postpartum)</li> </ul>	Calculated energy	Creamatocrit	Colorimetry
	1 I J . E		ς Γ		11, 1, 1		1.0,000	

HIC, high-income country; IR, infrared; LMIC, low- and middle-income country; Ref, reference; ----, indicates that human-milk energy, fat, or protein were not quantified.

<sup>2</sup>Human-milk samples at time point closest to 3 mo postpartum.

<sup>3</sup>Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SDs for maternal age and BMI.

<sup>4</sup>BMI categories are underweight (kg/m<sup>2</sup>; <18.5), normal weight (between 18.5 and 24.9), overweight (between 25.0 and 29.9), and obese ( $\geq$ 30.0).

<sup>5</sup>Only human-milk true protein, as opposed to total protein, was presented. These data were not included in the meta-regression.  $^{6}$ Unknown whether human-milk total protein or true protein was assessed. <sup>7</sup>Data for the Sims (2020) paper were provided directly from the authors (not from the published paper).

 TABLE 1 (Continued)



**FIGURE 2** Meta-regression of the relation between maternal BMI and human-milk energy. The bubble sizes in this meta-regression are proportional to the inverse of the study-level SE for human-milk energy. The solid line represents the linear prediction for the means of human-milk energy as a function of the mean BMI observed at the study level ( $\beta$ : 3.9 kcal/L; 95% CI: -1.6, 9.5; P = 0.16,  $l^2 = 93.3\%$ , n = 40 datapoints).

( $\beta$ : 3.9 kcal/L; 95% CI: -1.6, 9.5; P = 0.16,  $I^2 = 93.3\%$ ) (**Figure 2**). These data met the assumptions for normality based on the Q–Q plot (Supplemental Figure 1). Subgroup analyses to ascertain whether quantification techniques (bomb calorimetry or calculated), exclusive breastfeeding, complete breast expression, 24-h collection, BMI measured prepregnancy or postpartum, study design, and country income level did not provide insight into the source of the large heterogeneity (**Supplemental Table 6**).

The subgroup meta-regression of the 9 datapoints (8 studies) using bomb calorimetry showed no significant relation ( $\beta$ : 7.6 kcal/L; 95% CI: -21.3, 36.5; P = 0.55,  $l^2 = 91.6\%$ ) nor did the meta-regression of the 31 datapoints (25 studies) using calculated energy ( $\beta$ : 4.1 kcal/L; 95% CI: -2.1, 10.2; P = 0.19,  $l^2 = 93.9\%$ ).

### Human-milk fat.

The meta-regression of maternal BMI and human-milk fat from 63 datapoints (56 studies) showed that for each 1-kg/m<sup>2</sup> higher BMI, human-milk fat was 0.56 g/L higher (95% CI: 0.034, 1.1; P = 0.04,  $l^2 = 93.7\%$ ). Visual examination of the metaregression and the Q–Q plot suggests that the relation was linear and met assumptions of normality, respectively (**Figure 3** and Supplemental Figure 2).

When including only the gravimetric method to quantitate fat and excluding other techniques, there were 17 datapoints (16 studies) in this meta-regression. These showed a similar trend between maternal BMI and human-milk fat, but the relation was not significant ( $\beta$ : 0.84 g/L; 95% CI: -0.45, 2.1; P = 0.19,  $I^2 = 93.6\%$ ). The subgroup analysis of 46 datapoints (40 studies) using all other techniques also did not show a significant relation between maternal BMI and human-milk fat ( $\beta$ : 0.44 g/L; 95% CI: -0.17, 1.1; P = 0.15,  $I^2 = 93.6\%$ ). There was a significant positive relation between maternal BMI and human-milk fat for the 34 datapoints (30 studies) that used gravimetric or infrared spectrophotometry ( $\beta$ : 0.58 g/L; 95% CI: 0.016, 1.2; P = 0.04,  $I^2 = 90.0\%$ ). Other commonly used human-milk-fat techniques were examined in meta-regression subgroup analyses and showed



**FIGURE 3** Meta-regression of the relation between maternal BMI and human-milk fat. The bubble sizes in this meta-regression are proportional to the inverse of the study-level SE for human-milk fat. The solid line represents the linear prediction for the means of human-milk fat as a function of the mean BMI observed at the study level ( $\beta$ : 0.56 g/L; 95% CI: 0.034, 1.1; P = 0.04,  $l^2 = 93.7\%$ , n = 63 datapoints).

similar trends to the primary meta-regression (Supplemental Table 6). Additional subgroup analyses did not reveal any specific source for the high  $l^2$  values in the meta-regression analyses.

### Human-milk total protein.

There was no significant association between maternal BMI and human-milk total protein based on the meta-regression of 40 datapoints (37 studies) ( $\beta$ : 0.13 g/L; 95% CI: -0.16, 0.41; P = 0.37,  $I^2 = 99.1\%$ ) (Figure 4). Examination of the Q–Q plot suggests that the data met the assumptions of normality (Supplemental Figure 3).

In subgroup analyses, including studies with only nitrogen or amino acid analysis used to quantitate protein in the metaregression (16 datapoints, 15 studies), there was again no significant association ( $\beta$ : 0.21 g/L; 95% CI: -0.31, 0.73; P



**FIGURE 4** Meta-regression of the relation between maternal BMI and human-milk total protein. The bubble sizes in this meta-regression are proportional to the inverse of the study-level SE for human-milk total protein. The solid line represents the linear prediction for the means of human-milk total protein as a function of the mean BMI observed at the study level ( $\beta$ : 0.13 g/L; 95% CI: -0.16, 0.41; P = 0.37,  $I^2 = 99.1\%$ , n = 40 datapoints).

= 0.40,  $I^2$  = 97.7%). The meta-regression of 24 datapoints (22 studies) using other techniques also showed no significant association ( $\beta$ : 0.20 g/L; 95% CI: -0.18, 0.58; P = 0.28,  $I^2$  = 99.0%). Colorimetry and infrared spectrophotometry, 2 commonly used techniques to quantitate human-milk total protein, were assessed in meta-regression subgroup analyses one at a time in combination with nitrogen and amino acid analysis (Supplemental Table 6). The association between maternal BMI and total protein was not statistically significant in either of these meta-regression analyses, although there was a trend towards a positive relation when examining the 29 datapoints that used nitrogen and amino acid analysis and colorimetry ( $\beta$ : 0.28 g/L; 95% CI: -0.041, 0.61; P = 0.084,  $I^2$  = 97.5%).

As with the subgroup analyses for maternal BMI and humanmilk energy and fat, respectively, exploration of subgroups did not give insight into the sources of the high statistical heterogeneity based on the  $l^2$  values.

# Human-milk lactose.

An additional meta-regression of human-milk lactose, which included 20 datapoints (17 studies), did not show a significant relation between maternal BMI and lactose ( $\beta$ : -0.45 g/L; 95% CI: -1.8, 0.88; P = 0.49,  $l^2 = 99.6\%$ ) (**Supplemental Figure 5**).

# Certainty of the evidence

The GRADE assessment indicated that the certainty of the evidence for human-milk energy was low, whereas the certainty of evidence for human-milk fat and total protein were very low (Supplemental Table 7). The risk of bias was low for all 3 of the outcomes examined. However, the quality of the evidence scores for all 3 outcomes was downgraded as there were limited studies including mothers with BMI in the underweight and obese categories. Second, the evidence quality score for all outcomes was downgraded due to high unexplained heterogeneity in the meta-regression analyses for all 3 outcomes. Finally, the certainty of the 3 outcomes was downgraded due to differences in exclusivity of breastfeeding across studies, the range of strategies used to collect human-milk samples, including whether or not a complete breast expression was performed, and different techniques used to quantitate humanmilk fat and total protein. Specifically, 36 studies out of 62 studies (58.1%) including term-born infants stated that mothers were exclusively breastfeeding. Thirty-four studies (54.8%) specified that they collected fully expressed human milk. Sixteen studies (25.8%) collected human milk over 24 h, which is the ideal method for collecting human milk, particularly for human-milk energy and fat analysis. However, subgroup analyses did not show differences in statistical heterogeneity in relation to these factors.

### Discussion

Results from this systematic review showed a positive association between maternal BMI and human-milk fat in termborn infants between 1 and 6 mo postpartum. Specifically, the meta-regression analysis of maternal BMI and human-milk fat in the present systematic review (63 datapoints) suggests that every 1-kg/m<sup>2</sup> increment in maternal BMI is associated with a 0.56-g/L higher milk-fat concentration. Across a modest BMI difference of 5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> this translates to  $\sim$ 7.2% higher human-milk fat. No significant association was observed between maternal BMI and human-milk energy or total protein.

Evidence for the significant association between maternal BMI and human-milk fat was of very low quality, as assessed using the GRADE approach, meaning that the certainty in these results is highly limited. The certainty of the evidence for human-milk energy and total protein was low and very low, respectively, using the GRADE assessment (20). One of the reasons was that several human-milk quantification techniques were used, particularly for fat and total protein. However, subgroup analyses for each of the 3 outcomes did not indicate that these methods explained the considerable statistical heterogeneity.

Several years ago, Prentice et al. (93) in their summative review examined the relation between average reported maternal BMI and human-milk volume, energy, and fat composition in the literature between 1980 and 1992 from countries of all income levels. They reported that a number of original studies in the literature found positive associations between maternal BMI and human-milk fat but not energy, albeit acknowledged that other studies reported no significant association or a negative association between maternal BMI and human-milk fat. This review did not consider the relation between maternal BMI and humanmilk protein. It is noteworthy that the highest mean BMI of studies in the Prentice et al. review was below the BMI category cutoff for overweight. In their recent systematic review, Leghi et al. (6) examined original studies that tested the association between maternal BMI and human-milk macronutrient content and reported that mothers with overweight or obesity have higher human-milk fat than normal-weight mothers based on a metaanalysis of 6 studies; no difference was found in the association between maternal adiposity and human-milk protein (n = 5)studies).

There was no significant relation between maternal BMI and human-milk energy based on the meta-regression, similar to the earlier findings by Prentice et al. Based on the positive relation between maternal BMI and human-milk fat, it could be hypothesized that energy would also be greater from mothers with overweight or obesity since fat is the most energy dense of the macronutrients and contributes  $\sim$ 50% of milk energy content (94–96). Additional original research studies are needed to elucidate the simultaneous relations between maternal BMI and human-milk energy and macronutrients.

Under normal circumstances, infants modify their consumption to some degree based on the energy and macronutrient composition of their enteral feeds (97). Regulation of volume intake was first supported by the early controlled study by Fomon et al (98). where term-born infants exhibited an early capacity to regulate intake in response to the energy density of formula provided. The association between macronutrient concentration and volume intake has been reported by numerous others in both formula-fed and breastfed infants subsequently (97, 99–101). Whether infants are able to adjust the volume of intake over the range of fat concentrations observed in the present systematic review is unknown and is worthy of future research. Understanding these associations in mothers across the BMI spectrum is important as mothers with obesity often have delayed lactogenesis and reduced exclusivity and duration of breastfeeding (97, 102). Beyond infancy, data pooled from 37 cohorts have shown that higher maternal prepregnancy BMI is associated with overweight and obesity in children (103), although it is unclear how human-milk energy and macronutrients might mediate this relation. Other milk components, including human-milk oligosaccharides and other bioactives, may also be related to growth and require further exploration in relation to maternal factors (104).

Limitations of this systematic review include the fact that BMI does not differentiate between lean body mass and fat mass and may not represent metabolic status precisely. BMI was considered in mothers either before pregnancy or during lactation, depending on available data, which may reduce the precision of results. Only 6 studies reporting on human-milk macronutrient composition from mothers of preterm infants met the inclusion criteria and none of these had a mean maternal BMI in the underweight or obese categories. We are therefore unable to make conclusions about the associations between maternal BMI and human-milk energy, fat, and/or total protein in this population. Even among mothers of term-born infants, there was a limited number of studies with women across the spectrum of BMI, with just 1 study with a mean BMI in the underweight category and 3 studies with a mean BMI in the obese category. Furthermore, only the human-milk collection time point closest to 3 mo was considered for each of the 21 studies that collected human milk at multiple times. While there is variability in human-milk composition for some nutrients over the first 6 mo postpartum, and this conceivably may be impacted by maternal BMI, a previous systematic review reported comparable humanmilk energy, protein, and fat between 1 and 6 mo postpartum (105).

With regard to the statistical analysis, the variance in maternal BMI as the predictor variable was not reflected in the metaregression. To account for this, for studies that categorized mothers by >1 BMI category, these were included as separate datapoints in the analyses; only 5 studies disaggregated the data by BMI category. Further, given the limited number of studies at the low and high end of the maternal BMI distribution, we cannot be certain the relations between maternal BMI and humanmilk fat and total protein are linear; however, visual inspection of the meta-regression suggests this is the case. That said, further studies are needed to confirm the pattern of association between maternal BMI and human-milk composition.

In conclusion, this systematic review showed a statistically significant positive association between maternal BMI and human-milk fat in term-born infants between 1 and 6 mo. No significant associations were found between maternal BMI and human-milk energy or total protein. This systematic review further found the certainty of evidence for these findings was low to very low. Future studies investigating the relation between maternal nutritional status, variation in human-milk fat content, and the implications for child growth and development are required.

The authors' responsibilities were as follows—AID, SS, CB, MM, RHB, and DLO: designed the research; AID, SS, SI, and DLO: conducted research; AID and SS: analyzed data with support from AK; AID: wrote the manuscript; DLO: has primary responsibility for the final content; and all authors: read and approved the final manuscript. The authors report no conflicts of interest.

# **Data Availability**

Data described in the manuscript, code book, and analytic code will be made available upon request pending application and approval.

# References

- Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJD, França GVA, Horton S, Krasevec J, Murch S, Sankar MJ, Walker N, Rollins NC, et al. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. Lancet North Am Ed 2016;387:475–90.
- World Health Organization. Global strategy on infant and young child feeding. Geneva (Switzerland): World Health Organization; 2002.
- Otten JJ, Hellwig JP, Meyers LD, Linda DM, editors. Dietary Reference Intakes: the essential guide to nutrient requirements. National Academy of Sciences. Washington (DC): National Academies Press; 2006.
- Bravi F, Wiens F, Decarli A, Dal Pont A, Agostoni C, Ferraroni M. Impact of maternal nutrition on breast-milk composition: a systematic review. Am J Clin Nutr 2016;104:646–62.
- Dror DK, Allen LH. Overview of nutrients in human milk. Adv Nutr 2018;9:278S–294S.
- Leghi GE, Netting MJ, Middleton PF, Wlodek ME, Geddes DT, Muhlhausler BS. The impact of maternal obesity on human milk macronutrient composition: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutrients 2020;12:934.
- Casavale KO, Ahuja JKC, Wu X, Li Y, Quam J, Olson R, Pehrsson P, Allen L, Balentine D, Hanspal M. NIH workshop on human milk composition: summary and visions. Am J Clin Nutr 2019;110: 769–79.
- Penman AD, Johnson WD. The changing shape of the body mass index distribution curve in the population: implications for public health policy to reduce the prevalence of adult obesity. Prev Chronic Dis 2006;3:A74.
- NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Trends in adult bodymass index in 200 countries from 1975 to 2014: a pooled analysis of 1698 population-based measurement studies with 19.2 million participants. Lancet 2016;387:1377–96.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG; PRISMA Group. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: the PRISMA statement. Ann Intern Med 2009;339:b2535 [Internet]. Available from: https://www.bmj.com/content/339/bmj.b2 535 (accessed 23 March 2020).
- World Health Organization. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Geneva (Switzerland): World Health Organization; 2000.
- Du J, Gay MCL, Lai CT, Trengove RD, Hartmann PE, Geddes DT. Comparison of gravimetric, creamatocrit and esterified fatty acid methods for determination of total fat content in human milk. Food Chem 2017;217:505–10.
- Choi A, Fusch G, Rochow N, Sheikh N, Fusch C. Establishment of micromethods for macronutrient contents analysis in breast milk. Matern Child Nutr 2015;11:761–72.
- Boyce C, Watson M, Lazidis G, Reeve S, Dods K, Simmer K, McLeod G. Preterm human milk composition: a systematic literature review. Br J Nutr 2016;116(6):1033–45.
- McGuire MK, O'Connor DL, editors. Human milk: sampling and measurement of energy-yielding nutrients and other macromolecules. 1st edition. London (United Kingdom): Academic Press; 2021.
- Veritas Health Innovation. Covidence systematic review software [Internet]. Melbourne (Australia); 2018. Version current 23 March 2020. Available from: www.covidence.org (accessed 23 March 2020).
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377–81.
- Sims C, Lipsmeyer M, Turner D, Andres A. Human milk composition differs by maternal BMI in the first 9 months postpartum. Am J Clin Nutr 2020;112(3):548–57.
- The World Bank. World Bank Country and Lending Groups [Internet]. The World Bank Group; 2020. Version current 23 March 2020.

Available from: https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/a rticles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups (accessed 23 March 2020).

- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, Schünemann HJ. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ 2008;336:924–6.
- Lonnerdal B, Erdmann P, Thakkar SK, Sauser J, Destaillats F. Longitudinal evolution of true protein, amino acids and bioactive proteins in breast milk: a developmental perspective. J Nutr Biochem 2017;41:1–11.
- StataCorp. Stata statistical software: release 14. College Station (TX): StataCorp LP; 2015.
- Knapp G, Hartung J. Improved tests for a random effects metaregression with a single covariate. Stat Med 2003;22:2693–710.
- 24. Agostoni C, Marangoni F, Grandi F, Lammardo AM, Giovannini M, Riva E, Galli C. Earlier smoking habits are associated with higher serum lipids and lower milk fat and polyunsaturated fatty acid content in the first 6 months of lactation. Eur J Clin Nutr 2003;57:1466–72.
- Bumrungpert A, Somboonpanyakul P, Pavadhgul P, Thaninthranon S. Effects of fenugreek, ginger, and turmeric supplementation on human milk volume and nutrient content in breastfeeding mothers: A randomized double-blind controlled trial. Breastfeed Med 2018;13:645–50.
- Butte NF, Garza C, Stuff JE, Smith EO, Nichols BL. Effect of maternal diet and body composition on lactational performance. Am J Clin Nutr 1984;39:296–306.
- Butte NF, Garza C, Johnson CA, Smith EO, Nichols BL. Longitudinal changes in milk composition of mothers delivering preterm and term infants. Early Hum Dev 1984;9:153–62.
- Butts CA, Hedderley DI, Herath TD, Paturi G, Glyn-Jones S, Wiens F, Stahl B, Gopal P, Paturi G, Glyn-Jones S, et al. Human milk composition and dietary intakes of breastfeeding women of different ethnicity from the Manawatu-Wanganui region of New Zealand. Nutrients 2018;10:1231.
- Bzikowska-Jura A, Czerwonogrodzka-Senczyna A, Olędzka G, Szostak-Węgierek D, Weker H, Wesołowska A, et al. Maternal nutrition and body composition during breastfeeding: association with human milk composition. Nutrients 2018;10:1379.
- Cannon AM, Kakulas F, Hepworth AR, Lai CT, Hartmann PE, Geddes DT. The effects of leptin on breastfeeding behaviour. IJERPH 2015;12:12340–55.
- Carratù B, Boniglia C, Scalise F, Ambruzzi AM, Sanzini E. Nitrogenous components of human milk: non-protein nitrogen, true protein and free amino acids. Food Chem 2003;81:357–62.
- 32. Chang N, Jung JA, Kim H, Jo A, Kang S, Lee S-WW, Yi H, Kim J, Yim J-GG, Jung B-MM. Macronutrient composition of human milk from Korean mothers of full term infants born at 37–42 gestational weeks. Nutr Res Pract 2015;9:433–8.
- 33. Cissé AS, Dossou N, Ndiaye M, Guèye AL, Diop EHI, Diaham B, Guiro AT, Cissé D, Sarr CSB, Wade S, et al. Stable isotope aided evaluation of community nutrition program: effect of food supplementation schemes on maternal and infant nutritional status. Food Nutr Bull 2002;23:169–73.
- Dagnelie PC, Vanstaveren WA, Roos AH, Tuinstra L, Burema J. Nutrients and contaminants in human-milk from mothers on macrobiotic and omnivorous diets. Eur J Clin Nutr 1992;46: 355–66.
- Aleali F, Fallahi M, Kazemian M, Fakhraee SH, Afjeh A. Longitudinal changes in the macronutrient contents of breast milk in the mothers with preterm delivery. Iran J Neonatol 2018;9:29–36.
- Alvarez de Acosta T, Cluet de Rodríguez I, Rossell Pineda M, Valbuena E, Ugueto E, Acosta L. Macronutrients in mature milk of teenagers and adult mothers. Arch Latinoam Nutr 2013;63: 46–52.
- Del Prado M, Villalpando S, Elizondo A, Rodríguez M, Demmelmair H, Koletzko B. Contribution of dietary and newly formed arachidonic acid to human milk lipids in women eating a low-fat diet. Am J Clin Nutr 2001;74:242–7.
- De Luca A, Frasquet-Darrieux M, Gaud MA, Christin P, Boquien CY, Millet C, Herviou M, Darmaun D, Robins RJ, Ingrand P, et al. Higher leptin but not human milk macronutrient concentration distinguishes normal-weight from obese mothers at 1-month postpartum. PLoS One 2016;11:e0168568.

- de Pee S, Yuniar Y, West CE, Muhilal. Evaluation of biochemical indicators of vitamin A status in breast-feeding and non-breast-feeding Indonesian women. Am J Clin Nutr 1997;66:160–7.
- Dewey KG, Heinig MJ, Nommsen LA, Lonnerdal B. Maternal versus infant factors related to breast milk intake and residual milk volume: the DARLING study. Pediatrics 1991;87:829–37.
- Dewey KG, Lovelady CA, Nommsen-Rivers LA, Mccrory MA, Lonnerdal B. A randomized study of the effects of aerobic exercise by lactating women on breast-milk volume and composition. N Engl J Med 1994;330:449–53.
- 42. Du J, Gridneva Z, Gay MCL, Trengove RD, Hartmann PE, Geddes DT. Pesticides in human milk of Western Australian women and their influence on infant growth outcomes: a cross-sectional study. Chemosphere 2017;167:247–54.
- Dusdieker LB, Hemingway DL, Stumbo PJ. Is milk production impaired by dieting during lactation? Am J Clin Nutr 1994;59:833– 40.
- Fornes NS, Dorea JG. Subcutaneous fat changes in low-income lactating mothers and growth of breast-fed infants. J Am Coll Nutr 1995;14:61–5.
- 45. Gridneva Z, Tie WJ, Rea A, Lai CT, Ward LC, Murray K, Hartmann PE, Geddes DT, Rea A, Ward LC, et al. Human milk casein and whey protein and infant body composition over the first 12 months of lactation. Nutrients 2018;10:1332.
- 46. Anastácio AdS, da Silveira CLP, Miekeley N, Donangelo CM, et al. Distribution of lead in human milk fractions—relationship with essential minerals and maternal blood lead. BTER 2004;102:027.
- Hampel D, Shahab-Ferdows S, Islam MM, Peerson JM, Allen LH. Vitamin concentrations in human milk vary with time within feed, circadian rhythm, and single-dose supplementation. J Nutr 2017;147:603–11.
- Hascoët J-M, Chauvin M, Pierret C, Skweres S, Van Egroo L-D, Rougé C, Franck P, et al. Impact of maternal nutrition and perinatal factors on breast milk composition after premature delivery. Nutrients 2019;11:366.
- 49. Hopkinson JM, Schanler RJ, Fraley JK, Garza C. Milk production by mothers of premature infants: Influence of cigarette smoking. Pediatrics 1992;90:934–8.
- Hsu Y-CC, Chen C-HH, Lin M-CC, Tsai C-RR, Liang J-TT, Wang T-MM. Changes in preterm breast milk nutrient content in the first month. Pediatr Neonatol 2014;55:449–54.
- Jans G, Devlieger R, De Preter V, Ameye L, Roelens K, Lannoo M, Van der Schueren B, Verhaeghe J, Matthys C. Bariatric surgery does not appear to affect women's breast-milk composition. J Nutr 2018;148:1096–102.
- Kugananthan S, Gridneva Z, Lai C, Hepworth A, Mark P, Kakulas F, Geddes D. Associations between maternal body composition and appetite hormones and macronutrients in human milk. Nutrients 2017;9:252.
- Kurniati AM, Sunardi D, Sungkar A, Bardosono S, Kartinah NT. Associations of maternal body composition and nutritional intake with fat content of Indonesian mothers' breast milk. Paediatr Indones 2016;56:297–303.
- Ley S, Hanley A, Sermer M, Zinman B, O'Connor DL. Associations of prenatal metabolic abnormalities with insulin and adiponectin concentrations in human milk. Am J Clin Nutr 2012;95: 867–74.
- 55. Liyanage C, Hettiarachchi M, Mangalajeewa P, Malawipathirana S. Adequacy of vitamin A and fat in the breast milk of lactating women in south Sri Lanka. Public Health Nutr 2008;11:747–50.
- Lovelady CA, Lonnerdal B, Dewey KG. Lactation performance of exercising women. Am J Clin Nutr 1990;52:103–9.
- Antonakou A, Chiou A, Andrikopoulos NK, Bakoula C, Matalas A-LL. Breast milk tocopherol content during the first six months in exclusively breastfeeding Greek women. Eur J Nutr 2011;50: 195–202.
- Mandel D, Lubetzky R, Dollberg S, Barak S, Mimouni FB. Fat and energy contents of expressed human breast milk in prolonged lactation. Pediatrics 2005;116:e432–5.
- 59. Marangoni F, Agostoni C, Lammardo AM, Giovannini M, Galli C, Riva E. Polyunsaturated fatty acid concentrations in human hindmilk are stable throughout 12-months of lactation and provide a sustained intake to the infant during exclusive breastfeeding: an Italian study. Br J Nutr 2000;84:103–9.

- McCrory MA, Nommsen-Rivers LA, Molé PA, Lönnerdal B, Dewey KG. Randomized trial of the short-term effects of dieting compared with dieting plus aerobic exercise on lactation performance. Am J Clin Nutr 1999;69:959–67.
- Michaelsen KF, Larsen PS, Thomsen BL, Samuelson G. The Copenhagen Cohort Study on infant nutrition and growth: breast-milk intake, human milk macronutrient content, and influencing factors. Am J Clin Nutr 1994;59:600–11.
- Mohammad MA, Sunehag AL, Rodriguez LA, Haymond MW. Galactose promotes fat mobilization in obese lactating and nonlactating women. Am J Clin Nutr 2011;93:374–81.
- Moran-Lev H, Mimouni FB, Ovental A, Mangel L, Mandel D, Lubetzky R. Circadian macronutrients variations over the first 7 weeks of human milk feeding of preterm infants. Breastfeed Med 2015;10:366–70.
- Motil KJ, Thotathuchery M, Bahar A, Montandon CM. Marginal dietary protein restriction reduced nonprotein nitrogen, but not protein nitrogen, components of human milk. J Am Coll Nutr 1995;14:184– 91.
- Nikniaz L Jr, Mahdavi R, Arefhoesseini SR, Sowti Khiabani M. Association between fat content of breast milk and maternal nutritional status and infants' weight in Tabriz, Iran. Malays J Nutr 2009;15:37– 44.
- 66. Nommsen LA, Lovelady CA, Heinig MJ, Lönnerdal B, Dewey KG. Determinants of energy, protein, lipid, and lactose concentrations in human milk during the first 12 mo of lactation: the DARLING study. Am J Clin Nutr 1991;53:457–65.
- Pérez-Escamilla R, Cohen RJ, Brown KH, Landa Rivera L, Canahuati J, Dewey KG. Maternal anthropometric status and lactation performance in a low-income Honduran population: evidence for the role of infants. Am J Clin Nutr 1995;61:528–34.
- Antonakou A, Skenderi KP, Chiou A, Anastasiou CA, Bakoula C, Matalas A-LL. Breast milk fat concentration and fatty acid pattern during the first six months in exclusively breastfeeding Greek women. Eur J Nutr 2013;52:963–73.
- 69. Perrella SL, Geddes DT. A case report of a breastfed infant's excessive weight gains over 14 months. J Hum Lact 2016;32:364–8.
- Pines N, Mandel D, Mimouni FB, Moran-Lev HM, Mangel L, Lubetzky R. The effect of between-breast differences on human milk macronutrients content. J Perinatol 2016;36:549–51.
- Prentice P, Ong KK, Schoemaker MH, Van Tol EAF, Vervoort J, Hughes IA, Acerini CL, Dunger DB. Breast milk nutrient content and infancy growth. Acta Paediatr 2016;105:641–7.
- Rakicioğlu N, Samur G, Topcu A, Topcu AA. The effect of Ramadan on maternal nutrition and composition of breast milk. Pediatr Int 2006;48:278–83.
- 73. Rice AL, Stoltzfus RJ, De Francisco A, Kjolhede CL. Evaluation of serum retinol, the modified-relative-dose-response ratio, and breast-milk vitamin A as indicators of response to postpartum maternal vitamin A supplementation. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;71: 799.
- Rocquelin G, Tapsoba S, Dop MC, Mbemba F, Traissac P, Martin-Prével Y. Lipid content and essential fatty acid (EFA) composition of mature Congolese breast milk are influenced by mothers' nutritional status: impact on infants' EFA supply. Eur J Clin Nutr 1998;52:164– 71.
- Ruel MT, Dewey KG, Martinez C, Flores R, Brown KH. Validation of single daytime samples of human milk to estimate the 24-h concentration of lipids in urban Guatemalan mothers. Am J Clin Nutr 1997;65:439–44.
- Sadurskis A, Kabir N, Wager J, Forsum E. Energy metabolism, body composition, and milk production in healthy Swedish women during lactation. Am J Clin Nutr 1988;48:44–9.
- Shehadeh N, Aslih N, Shihab S, Werman MJ, Sheinman R, Shamir R. Human milk beyond one year post-partum: lower content of protein, calcium, and saturated very long-chain fatty acids. J Pediatr 2006;148:122–4.
- Thakkar SK, Giuffrida F, Bertschy E, De Castro A, Destaillats F, Lee Y. Protein evolution of human milk. Nestle Nutr Inst Workshop Ser 2016;86:77–85.
- Ayah RA, Mwaniki DL, Magnussen P, Tedstone AE, Marshall T, Alusala D, Luoba A, Kaestel P, Michaelsen KF, Friis H. The effects of maternal and infant vitamin A supplementation on vitamin A status: a randomised trial in Kenya. Br J Nutr 2007;98:422–30.

- Thakkar SK, Giuffrida F, Cristina C-HH, De Castro CA, Mukherjee R, Tran L-A, Steenhout P, Lee LY, Destaillats FF. Dynamics of human milk nutrient composition of women from Singapore with a special focus on lipids. Am J Hum Biol 2013;25:770–9.
- Urteaga N, San Miguel JL, Aguilar AM, Muñoz M, Slater C. Nutritional status and human milk intake of exclusively breast-fed infants at high altitude in La Paz, Bolivia. Br J Nutr 2018;120:158– 63.
- van Steenbergen WM, Kusin JA, de With C, Lacko E, Jansen AA. Lactation performance of mothers with contrasting nutritional status in rural Kenya. Acta Paediatr 1983;72:805–10.
- Villalpando SF, Butte NF, Wong WW, Flores-Huerta S, De Jesus Hernandez-Beltran M, Smith EO, Garza C. Lactation performance of rural Mesoamerindians. Eur J Clin Nutr 1992;46:337–48.
- Yang TT, Zhang YM, Ning YB, You LL, Ma DF, Zheng YD, Yang XG, Li WJ, Wang JK, Wang PY. Breast milk macronutrient composition and the associated factors in urban Chinese mothers. Chin Med J 2014;127:1721–5.
- 85. Young BE, Patinkin ZW, Pyle L, de la Houssaye B, Davidson BS, Geraghty S, Morrow AL, Krebs N. Markers of oxidative stress in human milk do not differ by maternal BMI but are related to infant growth trajectories. Matern Child Health J 2017;21:1367–76.
- Abdul Basir SM, Ghani RA, Ibrahim M, Khattak M, Omar MN, Shukri NAM. Maternal diet and its association with human milk energy and macronutrient composition among exclusively breastfeeding Malaysian Malay mothers. Mal J Nutr 2019;25:309–20.
- Minato T, Nomura K, Asakura H, Aihara A, Hiraike H, Hino Y, Isojima T, Kodama H. Maternal undernutrition and breast milk macronutrient content are not associated with weight in breastfed infants at 1 and 3 months after delivery. IJERPH 2019;16:3315.
- Be'er M, Mandel D, Yelak A, Gal DL, Mangel L, Lubetzky R. The effect of physical activity on human milk macronutrient content and its volume. Breastfeed Med 2020;15:1–5.
- Barbosa L, Butte NF, Villalpando S, Wong WW, Smith EO. Maternal energy balance and lactation performance of Mesoamerindians as a function of body mass index. Am J Clin Nutr 1997;66:575–83.
- Boniglia C, Carratu B, Chiarotti F, Giammarioli S, Sanzini E. Influence of maternal protein intake on nitrogen fractions of human milk. Int J Vitam Nutr Res 2003;73:447–52.
- Brown KH, Akhtar NA, Robertson AD, Ahmed MG. Lactational capacity of marginally nourished mothers: relationships between maternal nutritional status and quantity and proximate composition of milk. Pediatrics 1986;78:909–19.
- Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA,editors. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Version 6.0 [Internet] [updated July 2019]. Cochrane Collaboration; 2019. Available from: www.training.cochrane.org/ha ndbook.
- Prentice AM, Goldberg GR, Prentice A. Body mass index and lactation performance. Eur J Clin Nutr 1994;48(Suppl 3):S78–86; discussion S86–9.
- Meier PP, Engstrom JL, Murtaugh MA, Vasan U, Meier WA, Schanler RJ. Mothers' milk feedings in the neonatal intensive care unit: accuracy of the creamatocrit technique. J Perinatol 2002;22: 646–9.
- Barbas KH, O'Brien K, Forbes PW, Belfort MB, Connor JA, Thiagarajan RR, Huh SY. Macronutrient analysis of modified-fat breast milk produced by 3 methods of fat removal. J Parenter Enter Nutr 2019;44:895–902.
- Lucas A, Gibbs JAH, Baum JD, Lyster RLJ. Creamatocrit: simple clinical technique for estimating fat concentration and energy value of human milk. BMJ 1978;1:1018–20.
- Diana A, Haszard JJ, Houghton LA, Gibson RS. Breastmilk intake among exclusively breastfed Indonesian infants is negatively associated with maternal fat mass. Eur J Clin Nutr 2019;73: 1206.
- Fomon SJ, Filmer LJ, Jr, Thomas LN, Anderson TA, Nelson SE. Influence of formula concentration on caloric intake and growth of normal infants. Acta Paediatr Scand 1975;64(2):172–181.
- O'Connor DL, Khan S, Weishuhn K, Vaughan J, Jefferies A, Campbell DM, Asztalos E, Feldman M, Rovet J, Westall C, et al. Growth and nutrient intakes of human milk-fed preterm infants provided with extra energy and nutrients after hospital discharge. Pediatrics 2008;121:766–76.

- Fleddermann M, Demmelmair H, Grote V, Nikolic T, Trisic B, Koletzko B. Infant formula composition affects energetic efficiency for growth: the BeMIM study, a randomized controlled trial. Clin Nutr 2014;33:588–95.
- 101. Timby N, Domellof E, Hernell O, Lonnerdal B, Domellof M. Neurodevelopment, nutrition, and growth until 12 mo of age in infants fed a low-energy, low-protein formula supplemented with bovine milk fat globule membranes: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;99:860–8.
- 102. O'Sullivan EJ, Perrine CG, Rasmussen KM. Early breastfeeding problems mediate the negative association between maternal obesity and exclusive breastfeeding at 1 and 2 months postpartum. J Nutr 2015;145:2369–78.
- 103. Voerman E, Santos S, Patro Golab B, Amiano P, Ballester F, Barros H, Bergström A, Charles M-A, Chatzi L, Chevrier C, et al. Maternal body mass index, gestational weight gain, and the risk of overweight and obesity across childhood: an individual participant data meta-analysis. PLoS Med 2019;16:e1002744.
- Ballard O, Morrow AL. Human milk composition: nutrients and bioactive factors. Pediatr Clin North Am 2013;60: 49–74.
- 105. Gidrewicz DA, Fenton TR, Kramer M, Kakuma R, Agostoni C, Buonocore G, Carnielli V, Curtis M De, Darmaun D, Decsi T, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the nutrient content of preterm and term breast milk. BMC Pediatr 2014;14: 216.