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#### Abstract

Overexpression of ubiquitin ligase MDM2 causes depletion of the p53 tumour-suppressor and thus leads to cancer progression. In recent years, anthraquinone analogs have received significant attention due to their ability to downregulate MDM2, thereby promoting p53-induced apoptosis. Previously, we have developed potent anthraquinone compounds having the ability to upregulate p53 via inhibition of MDM2 in both cell culture and animal models of acute lymphocytic leukaemia. Earlier work was focussed on mechanistic work, pharmacological validation of this class of compounds in animal models, and mapping out structural space that allows for further modification and optimisation. Herein, we describe our work in optimising the substituents on the two phenol hydroxyl groups. It was found that the introduction of an alkylketone moiety led to a potent series of analogs with BW-AQ-350 being the most potent compound yet $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.19 \pm 0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ which exerts cytotoxicity by inducing MDM2 degradation and p53 upregulation.
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## 1. Introduction

Acute lymphocytic leukaemia (ALL) is the most prevalent cancer among children and adolescents worldwide ${ }^{1}$. ALL cells are immature malignant lymphoblasts with unsuppressed proliferation ability. If untreated, ALL normally leads to death within a few months. One well-characterized genomic factor is the ubiquitously adoption of a malfunctioned p53/MDM2 signalling pathway ${ }^{2-5}$. While p53 is a tumour suppressor, controlling apoptosis and thus serving as a brake in cellular growth and replication ${ }^{4}$, MDM2 is an E3 ligase that targets p53 to proteolysis ${ }^{5,6}$. In normal cells, there is a well-maintained feedback control of the p53-MDM2 axis. However, in cancerous cells, the function of p53 is commonly inactivated by either mutation to the TP53 gene or through overexpression of MDM2, leading to the progression of cancer ${ }^{7}$. In some leukaemia phenotypes, both p53 mutation and MDM2 overexpression can be found, which correlates with their aggressive malignancy ${ }^{8,9}$. In ALL patients, about $30 \%$ have over-expression of MDM2, leading to unrestrained proliferation ${ }^{10,11}$. From a therapeutic point of view, overexpression of MDM2 has also been implicated in chemoresistance and relapse after treatment ${ }^{5,10,12}$. Restoring p53 function through intercepting the p53-MDM2 axis by inducing MDM2 degradation or inhibiting its E3 ligase activity has proven to be an effective therapeutic approach for ALL $^{10,11,13,14}$. For example, nutlins ${ }^{10,15,16}, \mathrm{MK}-8242^{17}, \mathrm{RG} 7112^{18}$, and some stapled peptides ${ }^{19,20}$ bind to the p 53 binding domain of MDM2 and thereby prevent ubiquitination of p53. Further, a small molecule Nilotinib downregulates MDM2 by promoting its self-ubiquitination ${ }^{21}$. Another small molecule, triptolide inhibits mRNA expression of MDM2 in cancer cells ${ }^{22}$. PROTAC molecules that target p53-MDM2 have been reported to downregulate MDM2 through recruiting other

E3 ligase ${ }^{23}$ or $\mathrm{MDM2}^{24}$ itself to induce polyubiquitination of MDM2 and the subsequent proteasomal degradation.

In targeting MDM2 for degradation, we discovered a series of anthraquinones with anticancer activity ${ }^{18,25-27}$. Previous studies on the mechanism of action showed the ability of the lead compound BW-AQ-101 (Figure 1) to bind to the RING domain of MDM2, thus disrupting the interaction between MDM2 and MDM4 and preventing the formation of the MDM2/MDM4 heterodimer complex which can stabilise MDM2 ${ }^{28}$. As a result, BW-AQ-101 induces self-ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of MDM2, leading to the upregulation of p53 and apoptosis ${ }^{28}$. In EU1 ALL cells (an in-house WT-p53 ALL cell line separated from a paediatric ALL patients), BW-AQ-101 showed an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of about $0.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$. In-vivo studies in mouse ALL models with EU-1 cell xenograft have demonstrated pharmacological efficacy of BW-AQ-101. Specifically, treatment with BW-AQ-101 ( $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day, i.v. 3 times per week) led to complete remission without relapse for 150 days, the duration of the study. In comparison, mice in the vehicle control group died within 45 days. Further, mechanistic studies using the p53-null EU-8 cell line also support the role of p53 in the mechanism of this class of compounds. With success in demonstrating the pharmacological efficacy of this class of compounds and a detailed understanding of the mechanism of action, we systematically studied the SAR of BW-AQ-101 analogs ${ }^{18,26}$ and identified BW-AQ-238 ${ }^{25}$ as a compound with improved water solubility while maintaining similar cytotoxicity (Table 1, Entry 1). Water solubility was further improved substantially by making amino acid prodrugs of BW-AQ-238 without compromising its in-vitro activity ${ }^{25}$. Herein, we describe our continuous efforts in optimising this class of compounds seeking improved potency.
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## 2. Results and discussion

Anthraquinone derivatives, such as doxorubicin and mitoxantrone analogs are potent anticancer drugs owing to their ability to intercalate DNA to induce damage ${ }^{29}$. However, our earlier studies ${ }^{28}$ have shown the ability of our lead compound BW-AQ-101 (Figure 1) derived from rhein to down-regulated MDM2 without the general cytotoxicity by inducing DNA damage. Moreover, BW-AQ-101 did not show cardiotoxicity at the effective dosage in animal models ${ }^{28}$ as usually seen with doxorubicin ${ }^{30}$ and mitoxantrone ${ }^{31}$. It has been reported that the cardiotoxicity of doxorubicin is attributed to the redox activity of the anthracycline core ${ }^{32-34}$. The lack of cardiotoxicity of our lead compounds is consistent with the significant difference in the cyclo-voltammetry data between an anthraquinone core and doxorubicin ${ }^{35,36}$. Such differences are easy to understand because of the lack of a fused dihydroquinone ring to the quinone core in our analogs when compared with the presence of such a fused structure in doxorubicin as shown in Figure 1. Henceforth, on understanding the importance of 1,8-hydroxyl or 1,8-alkoxyl substituted anthraquinone core for MDM2 downregulation, we


BW-AQ-101


Doxorubicin
decided to conduct further SAR studies by modifying the $R^{1} / R^{1^{\prime}}$ and $R^{2}$ positions while keeping the anthraquinone core intact. In this study, we optimised this class of compounds by introducing an aliphatic ketone group at the $R^{1} / R^{1^{\prime}}$ positions. This decision was made for two reasons: our understanding of the sidechain's ability to tolerate modification and our plan to introduce a polar hydrogen bond acceptor group. Of note, an aliphatic ketone group is present in several FDA-approved drugs, such as nabumetone ${ }^{37}$, methadone ${ }^{38}$, and warfarin ${ }^{37}$.

### 2.1. Chemistry

The synthesis of the designed compounds (Table 1) employed a similar approach as that of the previously published analogs ${ }^{18,26}$. Specifically, the synthesis started with either rhein or its methyl ester (Scheme 1). Alkylation with the corresponding alkenyl halide at the phenol hydroxyl groups of the anthraquinone core allowed for the introduction of the alkenyl group as a latent ketone moiety. Then the ester group of intermediates $\mathbf{1}$ was hydrolysed before introducing an azido group on the free carboxylic acid 2, setting up a Curtis rearrangement for the subsequent formation of the arylamino group on 4. The introduction of various acyl or sulphonyl groups led to the installation of different $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ in the target compounds. The conversion of the alkene moiety to a ketone group was conducted as the last step through Wacker oxidation for the synthesis of compounds with the chloroacetyl moiety (BW-AQ-260, -295, -345). However, for analogs with functional groups that are sensitive to Wacker oxidation, including BW-AQ-350, -353, and -354, the oxidative conversion of the alkenyl moiety to a ketone group was conducted as the penultimate step.

Table 1. Analogs design.

Entry

| Control compounds |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Propiolamide $\left(\mathrm{CHCCONH}_{2}\right)$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| 11 | Doxorubicin |  |



Scheme 1. Synthesis of the AQ analogs. (i) Bromo-1-alkene, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 100-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) DPPA, $\mathrm{Et} 3 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (iv) (a) dioxane, reflux, 2 h ; (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) chloroacetyl chloride, 1,4-dioxane, rt, 15 min ; (vi) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CuCl}^{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt, overnight; (vii) PdCl $2, \mathrm{CuCl}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, \mathrm{overnight;}$ (viii) BW-AQ-350: propiolic acid, EDC, DMAP, DCM; BW-AQ-353: acryloyl chloride, 1,4-dioxane, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{rt}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$; BW-AQ-349: acetyl chloride, 1,4-dioxane, Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{rt}$, $15 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{BW}-\mathrm{AQ}-354$ : (a) 2-chloro-1-ethanesulfonyl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 1,4$-dioxane, $\mathrm{rt}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$; (b) TBAF, THF, rt, 3 h .


Scheme 2. Synthesis of the BW-AQ-336 analog. (i) 5-bromo-1-pentene, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 90-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) $\mathrm{DPPA}, \mathrm{Et} \mathrm{T}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (iv) (a) dioxane, reflux, 2 h ; (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CuCl}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt, overnight; (vi) chloroacetyl chloride, 1,4-dioxane, rt, 15 min .

In addition to the dialkylated analogs, a mono-substituted anthraquinone analog (BW-AQ-336) was synthesised by carefully controlling the alkylation conditions. This compound allows for probing the effect of mono-substitution vs. di-substitution of the
hydroxyl groups present on the anthraquinone core (Scheme 2). Using HMBC 2 D-NMR (Figure 2, Figure S4), it was found the methylene proton of the alkenyl side-chain correlated with the aromatic carbon at position 2, which can be validated by the net


Figure 2. Detailed correlation for structure determination of BW-AQ-336 by HMBC 2 D-NMR.



Figure 3. Western blot showed the downregulation of MDM2 and upregulation p53 by BW-AQ-295 in time- (A) and dosage-dependent fashions (B) in EU-1 leukaemia cells. GAPDH was probed as the loading control. (C) Relative quantification analysis of the dose-dependency of the Western-blot results of BW-AQ-295 ( $n=3$, mean $\pm S D$, data is shown as fold changes compared to the vehicle control group after normalisation by GAPDH, ${ }^{*} p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.01,{ }^{* * *} p<0.001$ ).
correlation shown in Figure 2. Thus, the alkenyl substitution position was confirmed to be at the $\mathrm{R}^{1^{\prime}}$ position. We reasoned that the preferential formation of this regioisomer could potentially be due to the presence of an electron-withdrawing carboxyl group on the right phenyl ring of the anthraquinone moiety, which makes the hydroxyl group at the $\mathrm{R}^{1^{\prime}}$ position (general structure in Table 1) slightly more acidic than the one on the left side and thus easier to deprotonate.

### 2.2. In-vitro structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies

### 2.2.1. Modification at the $R^{1} / R^{1^{\prime}}$ position

In designing our new analogs, we considered prior SAR information in our earlier studies ${ }^{18,26}$. Specifically, the anthraquinone core was found to be essential for activity and the $R^{1} / R^{1^{\prime}}$ positions were found to tolerate some degree of variations. We also found an ethyl or a hydroxyethyl (BW-AQ-238) (Table 1, Entry 1) substitution at the $R^{1} / R^{1^{\prime}}$ position allowed for the retention of their ability to lead to MDM2 degradation and p53 activation. At the $R^{2}$ position, a chloroacetyl group was found to be important to activity in downregulating MDM2 in the ALL cells ${ }^{18}$. Bearing these SAR findings in mind, we initially focussed on modifying the side-chain ( $R^{1} / R^{1^{\prime}}$ ) positions while keeping $R^{2}$ as the chloroacetyl group (Table 1, Entries 2-5). We were especially interested in incorporating a ketone group as a hydrogenbond acceptor. To explore the SAR of the chain length, we studied C4 to C6 in the chain length. Table 1 shows the analogs designed.

With the installation of alkyl ketone groups at the $R^{1}$ and $R^{1 \prime}$ positions, we evaluated the cytotoxicity of these analogs in EU1 cells using BW-AQ-238 as the positive control. It was found that the C4 and C5 ketone derivatives BW-AQ-260 and BW-AQ295 were about 2-fold more potent than BW-AQ-238 (Table 1). Further increasing the chain length to C6 (BW-AQ-345) increased the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ by about 1-fold compared to BW-AQ-295. Further, treatment of EU-1 cells with BW-AQ-336 (mono pen-tan-2-one substitution) resulted in a significant decrease in potency in comparison to BW-AQ-295. Such results indicate that the substitution of both phenol hydroxyl groups is of benefit for preserving the potency. With BW-AQ-295 being the most potent, we conducted experiments to confirm its degradation of MDM2 using EU-1 cells. Western-blot studies were performed accordingly (Figure 3 and Figure S2). The initial time dependency experiment showed $0.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ BW-AQ-295 decreased MDM2 level after 4 h incubation and the effect of increasing p53 level was the most pronounced at the 6 h time point. Therefore, the dose-response assessment was conducted at the 6 h time point. A significant increase in p53 expression level was seen at concentrations higher than $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$, reaching a maximum at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 3(C)). Correspondingly, a significant decrease in the MDM2 level was also seen at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. At $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration, BW-AQ-295 decreased MDM2 to an almost undetectable level. Such results are consistent with that of earlier analogs (e.g. BW-AQ-101), with which we had conducted extensive studies in pharmacological validation and mechanistic understanding ${ }^{28}$.
A. BW-AQ-350 time dependency test ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ )



Figure 4. Western blot showed the downregulation of MDM2 and upregulation p53 by BW-AQ-350 in time- (A) and dosage-dependent fashion (B) in EU-1 leukaemia cells. GAPDH was probed as the loading control. (C) Relative quantification analysis of the dose-dependency of the Western-blot results of BW-AQ-350 ( $n=3$, mean $\pm S D$, data is shown as fold changes compared to the vehicle control group after normalisation by GAPDH, ${ }^{*} p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.01,{ }^{* * *} p<0.001$ ).

### 2.2.2. Modification at the $R^{2}$ position

Our previous SAR studies ${ }^{18,26}$ suggest some essential features at the $R^{2}$ position. Replacement of the chloroacetyl group with other alkylating moieties, such as bromoacetyl does not significantly improve potency, while substitution with the azidoacetyl group retains the activity ${ }^{18}$. In addition, modification of the chloroacetamide group to chloromethyl carbamate or hydroxyacetamide led to the loss of cytotoxicity ${ }^{26}$. Further, introducing steric hindrance to the alkylating moiety through $\alpha$-methylation resulted in decreased activity ${ }^{26}$. Therefore, having BW-AQ-295 with the introduction of terminal alkyl ketone groups at the $R^{1}$ and $R^{1^{\prime}}$ positions, we further studied if the chloroacetamide group of BW-AQ295 ( $R^{2}$ substitution) can be replaced by other commonly used electrophiles ${ }^{39-43}$ including acrylamide ${ }^{44,45}$, propynamide ${ }^{46,47}$, and ethensulfonamide ${ }^{48}$ (Table 1). Compared to BW-AQ-295, the acrylamide analog BW-AQ-353 was about 15 -fold less potent. A similar trend was also seen in our previous studies ${ }^{18}$, in which the acrylamide analog showed an $\sim 20$-fold decrease in cytotoxic activity. The sulphonamide analog BW-AQ-354 was found to be more potent than BW-AQ-353. To our delight, the propynamide analog BW-AQ-350 showed a 2 -fold increase in potency compared to BW-AQ-295, to a level that is comparable to that of doxorubicin (Table 1, Entry 11). The lack of activity in the acetamide analog BW-AQ-349 (Table 1, Entry 9) and the propiolamide analog (Table 1, Entry 10) suggests a role for the electrophilic moiety and the anthraquinone core. At least, such results suggest the need to explore the effect of their chemical reactivity as an electrophile on their cytotoxicity.

Under physiological conditions, the electrophilic moiety of these anthraquinone analogs is capable of reacting with intracellular nucleophiles, such as a protein thiol group to various degrees ${ }^{49}$. Therefore, we investigated using HPLC the general reactivity of our AQ-analogs (Table 1, Entries 3, 6-9) towards a commonly used model thiol, N -acetyl cysteine ( NAC$)^{50}$. A comparative analysis of the pseudo-first-order kinetics of the AQanalogs with NAC at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution indicated the order of reactivity being BW-AQ-350 $>$ BW-AQ$353>$ BW-AQ-295 $\approx$ BW-AQ-354 $>$ BW-AQ-349 (propynamide $>$ acrylamide $>$ chloroacetamide $\approx$ sulphonamide $\gg$ acetamide) (Figure S5). BW-AQ- $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ showed the highest reactivity towards NAC among the tested analogs. As expected, BW-AQ-349 remained unchanged during the study duration ( $>400 \mathrm{~min}$ ) because of its lack of an electrophilic group. Our results are in accordance with literature precedence showing a faster thiol reaction with the Michael acceptor with an alkynyl moiety than that with an alkenyl electrophile ${ }^{49,51}$. Interestingly, the reactivity of the electrophilic moiety is not in the same order as the observed cytotoxicity. For example, BW-AQ-353 was found to be more reactive to NAC than BW-AQ-295 and yet showed much lower potency. Therefore, the chemical reactivity of

Table 2. Cytotoxicity in different cell lines.

|  |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Cell lines | BW-AQ-295 | BW-AQ-350 |
| 1 | RS4;11 | $0.69 \pm 0.10$ | $0.52 \pm 0.07$ |
| 2 | MCF7 | $0.95 \pm 0.17$ | $4.93 \pm 0.45$ |
| 3 | HeLa | $2.31 \pm 0.08$ | $4.6 \pm 0.25$ |
| 4 | H9c2 | $1.12 \pm 0.20$ | C. $0.30 \pm 0.08$ |
| 5 | HEK-293 | $1.16 \pm 0.34$ | $2.35 \pm 0.69$ |

the electrophile does not seem to be the determining factor for potency. This is understandable since we have no information to indicate that an electrophile is an absolute requirement for activity. Further, even if a reaction involving an electrophile is involved, there are other factors that help shape both potency and selectivity ${ }^{52,53}$. Otherwise, we would be simply dealing with general cytotoxicity. One will need much more work including extensive structural studies to truly assess the contribution of each factor.

With its high potency, the propynamide analog BW-AQ-350 was selected as a representative to test its effect on downregulating MDM2 by Western-blot studies in EU-1 cells. Initial timedependency studies showed a decreased MDM2 levels after 4 h incubation (Figure S3). Further dose-dependency studies at 5 h showed significantly decreased MDM2 levels at concentrations higher than $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. A dose-dependent increase in p53 expression levels was also observed at concentrations higher than $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 4). To this end, BW-AQ-350 was found to be the most potent candidate in inhibiting the proliferation of EU-1 cells by downregulating MDM2, thus upregulating the p53 level. With BW-AQ-295 and BW-AQ-350 in hand, we sought to test their cytotoxicity in other cell lines to study their general activity. Table 2 shows the cytotoxicity of BW-AQ-295 and BW-AQ-350 in cell lines harbouring WT-p53, including MCF7 ${ }^{54}$, RS4; $11^{55}$, as well as HeLa cells with aberrant-p $53^{56}$. A non-cancerous embryonic rat cardiomyoblasts cell line H9c2 ${ }^{57,58}$ and human embryonic kidney cell line HEK-293 ${ }^{59}$ were also included. These two compounds retained their high potency against RS4;11 ALL cells which further support their cytotoxicity against leukaemia cells harbouring WTp53 (Table 2, Entry 1). Further, in the cancerous epithelial cells, BW-AQ-295 showed over 2-fold less potency in HeLa cells (Table 2, Entry 3) when compared to MCF7 cells (Table 2, Entry 2), indicating the importance of WT-p53 for the higher cytotoxicity of BW-AQ-295. These results are in accordance with the previous results of analogs of BW-AQ-101 ${ }^{18,28}$ In both HeLa and MCF7 cancer cell lines, BW-AQ-350 showed significantly lower potency than BW-AQ-295. This trend can also be seen in HEK-293 cells, though to a less extent (Table 2, Entry 5). In embryonic H9c2 cells, BW-AQ-350 showed much higher potency than BW-AQ-295 (Table 2, Entry 4), similar to the trend seen in EU-1 cells. The difference in the order of potency of these two compounds shown
in different cell lines warrants further in-depth mechanistic studies. However, we understand the need to exercise caution in interpreting results from different cell lines, especially in inferring mechanistic implications. This is because of the widely observed differences in potency for most anticancer compounds among different cell lines, including those that are general toxins, such as nitrogen mustards ${ }^{60-62}$. Detailed mechanistic studies need to use cell lines with carefully controlled genetic phenotypes, which are beyond the scope of this optimisation effort. Our earlier studies using BW-AQ-101 provide more information on the pathway implications of this class of compounds ${ }^{28}$. Furthermore, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in the micromolar range indicates aside from activation of p53 through MDM2 down-regulation, BW-AQ-295 and BW-AQ-350 may also induce cell death through other p53-independent pathways.

## 3. Conclusion

In summary, based on our previous SAR studies of anthraquinone analogs with antileukemia activity, we conducted further structural modifications aimed at improving potency while maintaining its ability to downregulate MDM2. At first, we modified a hydroxyl group of the lead compound (BW-AQ-238) to a hydrogen bond acceptor ketone group at the terminal position of the alkyl sidechain. With such modification, BW-AQ-260 exhibited significant improvement in potency ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.45 \pm 0.02 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) against EU-1 cells. By changing the alkyl chain length of BW-AQ-260, the C5 ketone side-chain analog BW-AQ-295 was found to possess improved cytotoxicity, while further extending the sidechain length or removing one side-chain was found to lead to inferior activity. Further, the $R^{2}$ substituent of the BW-AQ-295 was examined. Replacing the chloroacetamide group with a propynamide group resulted in the most potent compound, BW-AQ-350 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.19 \pm 0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, in this series of anthraquinone analogs. The activity was comparable to that of doxorubicin in the same ALL cell line. Further, Western-blot experiments showed the ability of BW-AQ-295 and BW-AQ-350 to downregulate MDM2 and upregulate p53 in EU-1 cells in a time- and dose-dependent fashion. Satisfying the key features of Lipinski's Rule of Five ${ }^{63}$, BW-AQ-350 and BW-AQ-295 hold potential as potent candidates for treating ALL. Our future efforts are directed towards the assessment of their efficacy in animal models, addressing any potential pharmaceutical issues through either structural modification or prodrug design, and understanding the pharmacokinetic profiles of these compounds.

## 4. Materials and methods

### 4.1. Cell culture and cytotoxicity test

Cell viability was assessed by using Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo, Japan). EU-1 and RS4;11 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Corning, USA); MCF7 and HEK-293 cells were cultured in MEM (Corning, USA); and HeLa and H9c2 cells were cultured in high glucose DMEM (Corning, USA). All the culture mediums were supplemented with $10 \%$ foetal bovine serum (Corning, USA) and $100 \mathrm{unit} / \mathrm{ml}$ penicillin, and $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ streptomycin. For cytotoxicity assays, cells were seeded into 96 -well plates at a density of $3 \times 10^{5}$ cells per well ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). Compounds were dissolved in DMSO (molecular biology grade, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) to make 10 mM stock solutions, which were serially diluted with the culture medium to various concentrations (final DMSO concentration = $0.5 \%$ ). A culture medium ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) containing the anthraquinone
analog was added directly to the cell suspension in each well immediately after seeding. After incubation for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of CCK-8 solution was added to each well, and the plate was incubated for an additional $2-4 \mathrm{~h}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before measuring the optical density at 450 nm with a microplate reader (PerkinElmer Victor 2, USA). The cell viability of each well was calculated as the percentage of the untreated control according to the manufacturer's manual. All tests were performed in triplicates, and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined with six concentrations by non-linear regression using GraphPad Prism 9.

### 4.2. Western-blot

$5 \times 10^{6}$ cells were seeded in a 6 -well plate and incubated for 12 h before the drug-loaded medium was added. The cells were harvested at designated time points, washed with cold PBS, and centrifuged at $1500 \mathrm{rpm} \times 3 \mathrm{~min}$. Then the cells were lysed by adding $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cold NP-40 buffer (supplied with cOmplete ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ protease Inhibitor tablet (Roche, USA) and 1 mM PMSF (Thermo-Fisher, USA) on ice for 30 min . The cell lysates were centrifuged at $12,500 \times \mathrm{g}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , and the total protein concentration in the supernatant was measured with the BCA assay (Thermo-Fisher, USA). Thirty microlitres of the cell lysate was mixed with $10 \mu \mathrm{~L} 4 \times$ Laemmli sample buffer and denatured at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . The total protein concentration was adjusted with $1 \times$ Laemmli sample buffer. Equal amounts of protein sample were loaded onto a 4-15\% gradient SDS-PAGE gel (Bio-rad, USA). After electrophoresis, the protein was transferred to the PTFE membrane (Bio-rad, USA) with the Trans-Blot Turbo system (Bio-rad, USA). The target protein was probed with the corresponding antibody using iBind Western Systems (Thermo-Fisher, USA), according to the manufacturer's manual. Antibody and dilution profile: MDM2 [(SMP14), 1:500 Santa Cruz, USA]; p53 [(DO-1), 1:800, Santa Cruz, USA]; GAPDH [(0411), 1:2000, Santa Cruz, USA] and HRP conjugated goat antimouse secondary antibody (1:2000, Bio-rad, USA). After incubation with Pierce ECL Plus Substrate (Thermo Scientific), chemiluminescent was detected and imaged with LSA4000 (GE Healthcare, Fairfield, USA).

### 4.3. Reactivity study by HPLC

HPLC was performed on Shimadzu LC-20AT HPLC system. Condition: mobile phase A: water with $0.05 \%$ TFA; B: acetonitrile with $0.05 \%$ TFA; gradient: $5-95 \%$ B, $0-10 \mathrm{~min} ; 5-95 \%$ B 10-12 min; $5 \%$ B $12.1-15 \mathrm{~min}$. Column: C18, $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 4.6 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$; UV detector monitored at 254 nm . For the thiol reactivity assay, a 0.3 mM solution of AQ-analogs was prepared in 0.5 ml PBS: MeOH (80:20) and warmed at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Next, $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of NAC (from 30 mM stock solution in PBS) was added to the above solution. The resultant solution had final concentrations of 0.3 mM AQ -analogs and 1.5 mM NAC. $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture was injected into the HPLC at the designated time intervals. The area percentage values were determined via the integration of the area under the curve (AUC) of the chromatogram.

### 4.4. Synthesis and characterisation of the compounds

### 4.4.1. General information

Rhein was purchased from Nanjing Zelang Medical Technology Co. Ltd. (P.R. China) and used without further purification. All other starting materials were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA)
or Oakwood Chemical (USA), and analytical-grade solvents were purchased from Fisher Scientific (USA). Anhydrous chemical solvents were used for all moisture-sensitive reactions. High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) analyses were conducted by the Georgia State University mass spectrometry facilities using ABI API 3200 (ESI-Triple Quadruple) instrument. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 MHz NMR spectrometer. Deuterated solvents were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc (USA). Chemical shifts were reported as $\delta$ values ( ppm ). TMS ( $\delta=0.00 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) or residual peaks of the deuterated solvent were used as the internal reference.
4.4.1.1. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 1 and 7. Rhein (for compounds $\mathbf{1 c}$ and 7) or its methyl ester (for compounds $1 \mathbf{a - b}$ ) ( 1.676 mmol ) was suspended in DMF ( 40 ml ) in a dry round bottom flask. Next, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(8.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the mixture, and the mixture was stirred gently for 5 min till the complete dissolution of all components. Further, an alkylating agent (for disubstituted- 8.38 mmol ; for mono-substituted 4.19 mmol ) was added gradually. The solution was stirred for $4-5 \mathrm{~h}$ at $90-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After consumption of the starting material, according to TLC (DCM: methanol= 10:1), the reaction mixture was transferred to a conical flask having 200 ml of water. The compound was extracted by DCM, washed by 1 M HCl and brine, and dried in-vacuo to yield a yellow solid product in good yield and purity.
4.4.1.1.1. Methyl 4,5-bis(but-3-en-1-yloxy)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydroan-thracene-2-carboxylate (1a). Isolated yield: $86 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.87-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.02-6.07 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.24-5.30 (m, 2H), 5.14-5.20 (m, 2H), 4.26 $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR} \quad(C h l o r o f o r m-d) \quad \delta \quad 183.3,181.5,165.5$, 158.7, 134.9, 134.6, 134.5, 134.1, 134.0, 133.9, 127.4, 124.6, 119.9, 119.9, 119.8, 119.6, 119.2, 117.5, 117.4, 69.4, 69.4, 69.2, 52.7, 33.6, 33.5. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$407.1496; Found 407.1495.
4.4.1.1.2. Methyl 9,10-dioxo-4,5-bis(pent-4-en-1-yloxy)-9,10-dihydroan-thracene-2-carboxylate (1b). Isolated yield: $91 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.63(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99-5.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.16-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.17(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.7,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.04(\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 183.4, 181.6, 165.6, 158.8, 137.8, 137.7, 134.9, 134.7, 134.4, 133.8, 127.4, 124.5, 119.7, 119.6, 119.4, 119.0, 115.3, 115.3, 69.1, 68.8, 52.7, 29.9, 29.9, 28.2, 28.2. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 457.1611; Found 457.1627.
4.4.1.1.3. Hex-5-en-1-yl 4,5-bis(hex-5-en-1-yloxy)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihy-droanthracene-2-carboxylate (1c). Isolated yield: 78\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.61(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94-5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 5.02 (dd, J=31.0, $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=13.0,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=14.4,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 183.7, 181.7, 165.3, 159, 138.7, 138.7, 138.3, 135, 134.9, 134.8, 134, 127.4, 124.6, 119.7, 119.6, 119.5, 119, 115.1, 114.9, 114.9, 69.9, 69.6, 65.9, 33.5, 33.5,
33.4, 28.6, 28.5, 28.2, 25.3, 25.3, 25.2. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$531.27; Found 531.2749.
4.4.1.1.4. Pent-4-en-1-yl 5-hydroxy-9,10-dioxo-4-(pent-4-en-1-yloxy)-9,10-dihydroanthracene-2-carboxylate (7). Isolated yield: $41 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (Chloroform-d) $\delta 12.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48$ (d, J=1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, $J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96-5.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24$ ( $\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 188.1, 182.1, 164.9, 162.6, 160.5, 137.5, 137.3, 136.5, 136.1, 135.9, 132.6, 125, 123.4, 120.4, 119.5, 119.1, 117.1, 115.7, 115.7, 69.2, 65.6, 30.2, 30, 28.2, 27.8. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$421.17; Found 421.1661.
4.4.1.2. General procedure for the synthesis of compound 2 and 8. Compound 1 (for compound 2) or 7 (for compound 8) $(0.257 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a mixture of THF and KOH ( 0.309 mmol ) in water, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature. After, the completion of the reaction, monitored by TLC (hexane: ethyl acetate $=3: 1$ ), THF was removed in-vacuo, and residue was neutralised with $1 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and extracted by DCM. The solvent was reduced completely in-vacuo to afford the shinyyellow product.
4.4.1.2.1. 4,5-Bis(but-3-en-1-yloxy)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydroanthracene-2-carboxylic acid (2a). Isolated yield: $93 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ $8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57$ (dt, J=8.1, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.02-6.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-5.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.08-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.54-2.60 (m, 4H). ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 183.1, 181.0, 166.3, 158.5, 158.5, 135.8, 135.2, 135.1, 134.9, 134.7, 134.4, 126.8, 124.1, 120.8, 119.9, 119.1, 118.8, 117.7, 117.6, 69.1, 68.9, 33.5, 33.4. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$391.1195; Found 391.1182.
4.4.1.2.2. 9,10-Dioxo-4,5-bis(pent-4-en-1-yloxy)-9,10-dihydroanthra-cene-2-carboxylic acid (2b). Isolated yield: $97 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.22-8.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55$ (dd, $J=8.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87-5.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{q}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06$ (p, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{dt}, J=21.9$, $6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 183.3,181.1,166.8,158.5,138.5,134.6,134.4,134.4,126.0$, 124.0, 120.3, 119.7, 119.0, 118.6, 115.5, 68.7, 68.6, 29.9, 29.9, 28.2, 28.2. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$419.1489; Found 419.1495.
4.4.1.2.3. 4,5-Bis(hex-5-en-1-yloxy)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydroanthracene-2-carboxylic acid (2c). Isolated yield: 91\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ $8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.85 (tddd, $J=13.9,10.6,6.9,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.22(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{p}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{dq}, J=15.2,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 183.4, 181.8, 169.9, 159, 159, 138.6, 138.6, 135.1, 134.7, 134.1, 133.8, 127.9, 124.4, 120.4, 119.7, 119.6, 119.1, 114.9, 114.9, 100.1, 69.9, 69.6, 33.4, 33.4, 28.5, 28.5, 25.2, 25.2. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$447.515; Found 447.0411.
4.4.1.2.4. 5-Hydroxy-9,10-dioxo-4-(pent-4-en-1-yloxy)-9,10-dihydroan-thracene-2-carboxylic acid (8). Isolated yield: 97\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 12.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}$,
$J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92$ (d, J=6.4 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (dd, $J=27.6,13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42$ (d, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.07 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta$ 187.6, 181.6, 165.7, 161.4, 160, 138, 137.4, 136.4, 135.2, 132.3, 124.4, 122.4, 119.3, 119.2, 118.4, 116.9, 115.3, 68.6, 40.1, 39.9, 39.1, 38.8, 29.5, 27.6. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 353.10; Found 353.1014.
4.4.1.3. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 3 and 9. Compound 2 (for compound 3) or 8 (for compound 9) $(0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in DMF $(4 \mathrm{ml})$. Further, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 0.118 mmol ) was added to the reaction solution. The solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . Next, DPPA ( 0.113 mmol ) was added, and the solution was stirred continuously at room temperature till starting material was consumed. After completion of the reaction, according to TLC (DCM: methanol= 10:1), about 10 ml of water was added to the mixture, and the light-yellow precipitate was obtained. The precipitate was filtered and washed generously with water.
4.4.1.3.1. 4,5-Bis(but-3-en-1-yloxy)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydroanthracene-2-carbonyl azide (3a). Isolated yield: $89 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ $8.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.04-6.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.35-5.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.23-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.73 (p, J=7.3 Hz, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 183.2,181.5$, 168.8, 158.7, 158.7, 135.1, 134.6, 134.1, 134.0, 133.4, 128.0, 124.6, 120.6, 119.9, 119.8, 119.2, 117.6, 117.5, 69.5, 69.2, 33.6, 33.5. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$418.1300; Found 418.1300.
4.4.1.3.2. 9,10-Dioxo-4,5-bis(pent-4-en-1-yloxy)-9,10-dihydroanthra-cene-2-carbonyl azide (3b). Isolated yield: 95\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.41$ (d, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.88 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.83 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 5.98-5.83 (m, 2H), 5.07-5.15 (m, 2H), 4.99-5.04 (m, 2H), 4.19 (dt, $J=21.8,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{p}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{dp}, J=14.2$, $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 183.1, 181.3, 171.3, 158.9, 158.8, 137.7, 137.6, 135.1, 134.6, 134.5, 134.0, 128.2, 124.4, 119.6, 119.6, 119.0, 118.6, 115.4, 115.3, 69.1, 68.8, 29.9, 29.9, 28.2, 28.1. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 468.1530$; Found 468.1535.
4.4.1.3.3. 4,5-Bis(hex-5-en-1-yloxy)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydroanthracene-2-carbonyl azide (3c). Isolated yield: $98 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ $8.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92-5.78(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.87(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H), 1.75-1.63 (m, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 183.2, 181.4, 171.4, 159.1, 159, 138.6, 138.6, 135.2, 134.7, 134.6, 134.1, 128.2, 124.4, 119.7, 119.6, 119, 118.6, 114.9, 114.9, 69.9, 69.6, 33.4, 33.4, 28.5, 28.4, 25.2, 25.2. HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$474.20; Found 474.2030.
4.4.1.3.4. 5-Hydroxy-9,10-dioxo-4-(pent-4-en-1-yloxy)-9,10-dihydroan-thracene-2-carbonyl azide (9). Isolated yield: 99\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 12.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 5.90 (ddt, $J=17.0,10.2,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.08 (dd, $J=25.9,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08$ (dd, $J=13.9,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR (Chloroform-d) $\delta 188,1,171.2,162.7$,
160.7, 137.5, 136.3, 136.2, 132.5, 125.2, 124.4, 120.4, 120.1, 119.3, 118.7, 117.2, 115.8, 69.4, 30, 28.2. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$378.11; Found 378.1095.
4.4.1.4. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 4 and 10. Compound 3 (for compound 4) or 9 (for compound 10) ( 0.05 mmol ) was refluxed in dry dioxane ( 3.5 ml ) for 1 h under an argon atmosphere at a temperature of $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TLC analysis TLC (hexane: ethylacetate $=2: 1$ ) showed completion of the reaction. Next, the reaction mixture was diluted with water ( 10 ml ) and heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 30 min , and then cooled to room temperature. The precipitate was filtered off and dried in-vacuo to afford a deep-red solid product.
4.4.1.4.1. 3-Amino-1,8-bis(but-3-en-1-yloxy)anthracene-9,10-dione (4a). Isolated yield: $59 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.78$ (dt, $J=7.7$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{td}, J=8.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07$ (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94-6.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17-5.25$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 184.5, 180.9, 161.2, 158.7, 151.3, 136.2, 134.8, 134.3, 134.3, 134.3, 133.0, 132.9, 129.4, 124.8, 120.4, 119.2, 117.2, 117.2, 115.9, 105.1, 104.5, 69.4, 69.4, 69.0, 33.6, 33.6, 33.5. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$386.1352; Found 386.1368.

### 4.4.1.4.2. 3-Amino-1,8-bis(pent-4-en-1-yloxy)anthracene-9,10-dione

 (4b). Isolated yield: 77\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.79$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85-5.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=17.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00$ (dd, $J=10.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.14$ ( q, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.96(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H). ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR (Chloroform-d) $\delta 184.7,180.8,161.3,158.9,151.3$, 138.0, 137.9, 136.3, 134.8, 132.8, 124.8, 120.1, 118.9, 116.0, 115.1, 104.6, 104.0, 77.3, 77.0, 76.7, 69.1, 68.7, 30.0, 30.0, 28.3, 28.2. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:$ Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$414.1700; Found 414.1681.4.4.1.4.3. 3-Amino-1,8-bis(hex-5-en-1-yloxy)anthracene-9,10-dione (4c). Isolated yield: 64\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.80$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87$ (ddt, $J=16.9,10.1$, $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{q}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \quad 2.02-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \quad 1.75-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 184.9, 181, 161.5, 159, 151.4, 138.8, 136.4, 134.9, 132.9, 124.8, 120.1, 119, 116.1, 114.8, 104.6, 104.1, 69.8, 69.4, 33.5, 33.5, 28.7, 28.6, 25.3. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$420.22; Found 420.2177.

### 4.4.1.4.4. 3-Amino-8-hydroxy-1-(pent-4-en-1-yloxy)anthracene-9,10-

 dione (10). Isolated yield: $56 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 13.55$ ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25$ (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89$ (ddt, $J=13.2,10.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=28.4,13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11$ (t, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38$ (dd, $J=14.1,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR (Chloroform-d) $\delta 187,183.5,163.2,162.5,153.1,151.5$, 137.7, 137.5, 134.8, 132.8, 124.8, 118.5, 117.3, 115.6, 112.4, 106.5, 102.5, 68.7, 30, 29.8, 28.2. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$324.12; Found 324.1226.4.4.1.5. General procedure for the synthesis of compound 5 and BW-AQ-336. Compound 4 (for compound 5) or compound 11 (for BW-AQ-336) ( 0.094 mmol ) was dissolved in dry dioxane along with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.141 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Further, chloroacetyl chloride ( 0.141 mmol ) was added dropwise and stirred till the completion of the reaction, TLC (Hexane: ethyl acetate $=2: 1$ ). Then, the reaction mixture was diluted with DCM $(20 \mathrm{ml})$, washed with water ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ), brine ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Further, the solvent was reduced completely in-vacuo and purified by silica gel column chromatography, yielding desired yellow solid product.
4.4.1.5.1. $\quad N$-(4,5-bis(but-3-en-1-yloxy)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydroanthra-cen-2-yl)-2-chloroacetamide (5a). Isolated yield: 92\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85$ (dd, $J=7.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62$ (dd, $J=8.3,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57$ (d, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ (dd, $J=8.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01-6.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.32-5.21(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-5.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 183.6, 180.9, 164.4, 160.3, 158.8, 141.5, 135.4, 134.6, 134.2, 134.1, 133.5, 124.5, 121.0, 120.2, 119.2, 117.5, 117.4, 109.8, 109.0, 69.3, 69.3, 42.9, 33.6, 33.5. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NClO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$462.1079; Found 462.1084.
4.4.1.5.2. 2-Chloro-N-(9,10-dioxo-4,5-bis(pent-4-en-1-yloxy)-9,10-dihy-droanthracen-2-yl)acetamide (5b). Isolated yield: 96\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84$ (dd, $J=7.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.31 (dd, $J=8.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{q}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.15-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18$ (dt, $J=12.5,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.40, J=8.0,6.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.05 (dtd, $J=15.1,6.5,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR (Chloroform-d) $\delta 183.7,180.9$, 164.2, 160.4, 159.0, 141.4, 137.8, 137.8, 135.5, 134.6, 133.5, 124.5, 121.0, 119.9, 119.0, 115.2, 109.6, 108.8, 69.0, 68.9, 42.8, 30.0, 29.9, 28.3, 28.1. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NClO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$490.1421; Found 490.1397.
4.4.1.5.3. $\quad N$-(4,5-bis(hex-5-en-1-yloxy)-9,10-dioxo-9,10-dihydroanthra-cen-2-yl)-2-chloroacetamide (5c). Isolated yield: 86\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.68$ (s, 1H), 8.11 (d, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.79 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84$ (ddt, $J=16.9,10.2,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.04 (dd, $J=17.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.96$ (d, $J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{q}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 183.9, 181.1, 164.5, 160.6, 159.1, 141.7, 138.7, 135.5, 134.7, 133.6, 124.4, 120.9, 119.9, 119, 114.9, 114.8, 109.7, 108.9, 69.7, 69.7, 43, 33.5, 33.4, 28.6, 28.5, 25.2, 25.2. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{CINO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$496.19; Found 496.1891.
4.4.1.5.4. 2-Chloro-N-(5-hydroxy-9,10-dioxo-4-((4-oxopentyl)oxy)-9,10-dihydroanthracen-2-yl)acetamide (BW-AQ-336). Isolated yield: 77\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 13.17$ (s, 1H), 8.58 (s, 1H), 8.20 (s, 1H), 7.77 (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.62 (dd, $J=15.3,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.30 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22$ (d, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 208.5, 187.5, 182.4, 164.6, 162.6, 162, 143.3, 136.6, 135.7, 132.6, 125.1, 77, 119, 117.4, 117.1, 110.3, 109, 68.7, 42.9, 39.7, 30.3, 29.8, 23, 22.8. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClNO}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$416.09; Found 416.0887.
4.4.1.6. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 6, 11, BW-AQ-260, 295, and 345 . Into a 20 ml vial, compound 4 (for compound 6) or 5 (for BW-AQ-260, -295, and -345) or 10 (for
compound 11) ( 0.03 mmol ) was added followed by 3 ml DMF/ Water (1:1). Then $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(0.002 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CuCl}(0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added quickly, and a balloon of oxygen was plugged into the reaction mixture. Then the reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature. After TLC showed consumption of the starting material, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with DCM $(5 \mathrm{ml}, \times 3)$. The combined organic layer was washed with water ( 50 ml ) and brine ( 50 ml ) successively and dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate. Then the solvent was removed in-vacuo, and the crude product was purified by silicagel column chromatography (DCM/MeOH, 200/1). The product was isolated as a yellow solid.
4.4.1.6.1. 3-Amino-1,8-bis((4-oxopentyl)oxy)anthracene-9,10-dione (6). Isolated yield: $56 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Methanol-d $)_{4} \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61$ (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.07(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H). ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR (Dichloromethane- $d_{2}$ ) $\delta$ 208.7, 208.6, 184.7, 181, 161.4, 158.9, 152.3, 136.6, 135.2, 133.2, 124.9, 120.1, 119, 115.6, 104.6, 103.9, 68.8, 68.3, 39.9, 39.8, 30.2, 23.7, 23.6. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$424.465; Found 424.1769.
4.4.1.6.2. 3-Amino-8-hydroxy-1-((4-oxopentyl)oxy)anthracene-9,10dione (11). Isolated yield: $58 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 13.56$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.12(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H). ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 208.9, 187, 183.4, 163, 162.5, 153.3, 137.5, 134.8, 132.8, 124.8, 118.5, 117.3, 112.3, 106.5, 102.4, 68.1, 39.5, 30.3, 23. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 340.12; Found 340.1175.
4.4.1.6.3. 2-Chloro-N-(9,10-dioxo-4,5-bis(3-oxobutoxy)-9,10-dihydroan-thracen-2-yl)acetamide (BW-AQ-260). Isolated yield: $90 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7$, $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07$ (td, $J=6.2,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 206.8, 206.6, 183.3, 164.3, 159.9, 158.5, 141.6, $137.4,135.5,135.4,134.5,133.7,129.0,120.3,119.7,109.9,109.4,65.3$, 65.2, 42.8, 42.7, 42.5, 31.0. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NClO}_{7} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$494.0988; Found 494.0982 .
4.4.1.6.4. 2-Chloro-N-(9,10-dioxo-4,5-bis((4-oxopentyl)oxy)-9,10-dihy-droanthracen-2-yl)acetamide (BW-AQ-295). Isolated yield: $87 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.53$ (s, 1H), 8.11 (d, J=2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (dd, $J=7.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.27-4.15$ (m, 6H), 2.91 (td, $J=6.9,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.11$ (m, 10H). ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR (Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 208.6, 208.4, 183.5, 181.0, 164.3, 160.2, 158.7, 141.5, 135.5, 134.6, 133.7, 124.2, 120.7, 119.7, 119.2, 109.5, 109.0, 77.3, 77.0, 76.7, 68.4, 68.2, 42.8, 39.7, 39.5, 30.1, 30.1, 23.2, 23.1. HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NClO}_{7} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 522.1287; Found 522.1295.
4.4.1.6.5. 2-Chloro-N-(9,10-dioxo-4,5-bis((5-oxohexyl)oxy)-9,10-dihy-droanthracen-2-yl)acetamide (BW-AQ-345). Isolated yield: $39 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.68$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.08 (d, J=1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.13(\mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{tt}$, $J=6.3,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 209.3,209.2,183.6$, 181.1, 164.8, 160.3, 158.9, 142, 135.4, 134.6, 133.6, 124.1, 120.5, 119.8, 119.1, 109.6, 109.1, 69.4, 69.3, 43.2, 43.1, 29.9, 29.9, 28.5,
28.3, 20.4. HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{CINO}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 528.18; Found 528.1793.
4.4.1.7. Procedure for the synthesis of BW-AQ-350. Compound 6 ( 0.025 mmol ), propiolic acid ( 0.031 ), and DMAP ( 0.038 ) were mixed in dry DCM and stirred at an ice-bath temperature under argon protection. After 5 min , EDC ( 0.038 ) was added to the above reaction mixture. The reaction was monitored by TLC (DCM: MeOH = 20:1). After the completion of the reaction, DCM ( 10 ml ) was added to the reaction solution and washed with water and brine. Next, the organic layer was dried over dry $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in-vacuo. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography to yield a yellow product.
4.4.1.7.1. $\quad N$-(9,10-dioxo-4,5-bis((4-oxopentyl)oxy)-9,10-dihydroanthra-cen-2-yl)propiolamide (BW-AQ-350). Isolated yield: $82 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.57 (dd, $J=17.1,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17$ (t, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}$, 6 H ), 2.17 (td, $J=6.3,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 208.9$, 208.9, 208.8, 208.5, 183.6, 181.5, 181.1, 179, 160.3, 160, 158.8, $156.2,149.9,149.5,142,136.7,135.5,134.7,134.6,133.9,133.8$, $131.8,129.2,124.2,122.3,120.6,120,119.8,119.5,119.3,118.6$, 110, 109.7, 108.9, 103.5, 100.1, 75.4, 68.5, 68.3, 39.9, 39.7, 30.3, 30.2, 29.8, 23.3, 23.2. HRMS (ESI) m/z: Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$498.1535; Found 498.1543.
4.4.1.8. Procedure for the synthesis of $B W-A Q-349$ and $B W-A Q-$ 353. Compound $6(0.094 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry dioxane along with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 0.141 mmol ). The solution was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Acetyl chloride (for BW-AQ-349)/acryloyl chloride (for BW-AQ-353) ( 0.141 mmol ) was added dropwise and stirred till the completion of the reaction, TLC (Hexane: ethyl acetate= 2:1). After completion of the reaction, the reaction was diluted with water $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and extracted by DCM $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic phase was washed by brine ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Next, the solvent was completely removed in-vacuo and purified by silica gel column chromatography, yielding desired yellow solid product.
4.4.1.8.1. $\quad N$-(9,10-dioxo-4,5-bis((4-oxopentyl)oxy)-9,10-dihydroanthra-cen-2-yl)acetamide (BW-AQ-349). Isolated yield: 73\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Methanol-d ${ }_{4}$ ) $\delta 7.84$ (dd, $\left.J=14.1,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.73(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.8$, $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.61 (dd, $J=16.3,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39$ (dd, $J=17.5,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{td}, J=7.0,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.21$ (d, $J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.12(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.5,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroformd) $\delta 209,209,183.9,181.1,169.2,160.3,158.8,143.3,135.2,134.7$, 133.6, 124.2, 119.7, 119.1, 109.5, 108.7, 77.4, 77.3, 77.1, 76.8, 68.4, 68.3, 40, 39.7, 30.3, 30.2, 24.9, 23.3. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$464.1788; Found 464.1036.
4.4.1.8.2. $\quad N$-(9,10-dioxo-4,5-bis((4-oxopentyl)oxy)-9,10-dihydroanthra-cen-2-yl)acrylamide (BW-AQ-353). Isolated yield: $44 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.53 (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39$ (dt, $J=16.8,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15$ (dd, $J=11.1,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.87$ (dd, $J=12.6,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 2.16 (dd, $J=11.3,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 209.1$, 209, 183.8, 181.1, 164.1, 160.3, 158.8, 143.1, 135.2, 134.6, 133.7, 130.8, 129.2, 124.2, 119.8, 119.7, 119.1, 109.6, 108.9, 68.4, 68.3, 40, 39.8, 30.3, 30.2, 29.8, 23.3. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}=478.5130$; Found 478.188.
4.4.1.9. Procedure for the synthesis of BW-AQ-354. Compound 6 ( 0.118 mmol ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 0.354 mmol ) was stirred in dry DCM at room temperature. Next, 2-chloroethanesulfonyl chloride ( 0.014 mmol ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture and stirred till the completion of the reaction. Further, the reaction mixture was diluted with water $(10 \mathrm{ml})$, extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ), dried over dry sodium sulphate, and concentrated invacuo. After purification by silica gel column chromatography, the intermediate compound ( 0.103 mmol ) was further treated with TBAF ( 0.113 mmol ) in THF ( 3 ml ) and monitored by TLC till completion of the reaction. Next, the reaction was quenched by adding water ( 10 ml ), extracted with DCM $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$, and the combined organic phase was further dried over dry sodium sulphate. After complete removal of the solvent in-vacuo, the crude product was purified by silica-gel column chromatography to yield desired yellow-solid product.
4.4.1.9.1. $\quad N$-(9,10-dioxo-4,5-bis((4-oxopentyl)oxy)-9,10-dihydroanthra-cen-2-yl)ethenesulfonamide (BW-AQ-354). Isolated yield: $77 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.22 (d, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62$ (dd, $J=16.5,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.7,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Chloroform-d) $\delta 208.8,208.7,183.8,181.1,160.4,158.8,141.7,136,135.1,134.5$, 133.9, 129.6, 124.1, 120.6, 119.9, 119.4, 108.8, 108.5, 68.5, 68.4, 39.7, 39.6, 30.2, 29.8, 23.3, 23.1. HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$536.5610; Found 536.134.
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