

doi: 10.1093/femspd/ftaa078 Advance Access Publication Date: 29 January 2021 Minireview

# MINIREVIEW

# Got mutants? How advances in chlamydial genetics have furthered the study of effector proteins

# Shelby E. Andersen, Lanci M. Bulman, Brianna Steiert, Robert Faris and Mary M. Weber^{\*,\dagger}

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

\*Corresponding author: Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA. Tel: 319-335-7705; E-mail: mary-weber@uiowa.edu

**One sentence summary:** In this article, the authors review the function of *Chlamydia trachomatis* secreted effector proteins and Incs, with special emphasis on how the advancement of chlamydial genetics has enabled functional characterization of these important proteins.

Editor: Joern Coers <sup>†</sup>Mary M. Weber, http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5126-2164

# ABSTRACT

*Chlamydia trachomatis* is the leading cause of infectious blindness and a sexually transmitted infection. All chlamydiae are obligate intracellular bacteria that replicate within a membrane-bound vacuole termed the inclusion. From the confines of the inclusion, the bacteria must interact with many host organelles to acquire key nutrients necessary for replication, all while promoting host cell viability and subverting host defense mechanisms. To achieve these feats, *C. trachomatis* delivers an arsenal of virulence factors into the eukaryotic cell via a type 3 secretion system (T3SS) that facilitates invasion, manipulation of host vesicular trafficking, subversion of host defense mechanisms and promotes bacteria egress at the conclusion of the developmental cycle. A subset of these proteins intercalate into the inclusion and are thus referred to as inclusion membrane proteins. Whereas others, referred to as conventional T3SS effectors, are released into the host cell where they localize to various eukaryotic organelles or remain in the cytosol. Here, we discuss the functions of T3SS effector proteins with a focus on how advances in chlamydial genetics have facilitated the identification and molecular characterization of these important factors.

Keywords: Chlamydia; type III secretion; effector; inclusion; inclusion membrane protein; genetics

## **INTRODUCTION**

The family *Chlamydiaceae* consists of eleven species of obligate intracellular pathogens that are of human and veterinary importance (Bachmann, Polkinghorne and Timms 2014). *Chlamydia trachomatis* is of particular significance to human health and consists of 15 serovars that can cause multiple disease states of differing severity and associated comorbidities (Elwell, Mirrashidi and Engel 2016). Infection of the conjunctival epithelium with serovars A–C can cause blinding trachoma, the leading cause of non-congenital blindness in the world (Hu, Holland and Burton 2013), while serovars D–K infect a variety of cells belonging to the stratified squamous epithelium of the genital tract and cause the most common sexually transmitted infection in the world. Serovars L1–L3 can initially infect mucosal epithelia of the rectum, external sex organs or even the pharynx manifesting as a type of abscess or ulcer. L1–L3 strains are the etiological agent of lymphogranuloma venereum and have a unique ability to survive within mononuclear phagocytes where they can be trafficked to the draining lymph nodes and proliferate causing disease characterized by lymphadenitis, lymphangitis and formation of buboes (Lausen *et al.* 2018). Due to the large spectrum

Received: 8 October 2020; Accepted: 17 December 2020

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of FEMS. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1. The intracellular life cycle of *Chlamydia*. Contact between the EB and the host cell triggers delivery of pre-packaged effector proteins that trigger cytoskeletal and membrane remodeling events to promote invasion. The nascent inclusion avoids fusion with lysosomes and traffics along microtubules to the MTOC. EBs convert to RBs and replication ensues via polarized cell division. Throughout the infection cycle, additional effector proteins are delivered into the host cell or the inclusion membrane to mediate interactions with various host organelles. RBs undergo asynchronous conversion to EBs and at the conclusion of the developmental cycle, EBs are released by extrusion or lysis.

of diseases caused by the many serovars of *C*. *trachomatis*, and their substantial impact on human health, understanding the mechanisms of pathogenesis is important for improving global health outcomes.

All chlamydiae share a biphasic developmental cycle in which the bacteria alternate between two distinct forms: the infectious, non-replicative elementary body (EB) and the noninfectious, replicative reticulate body (RB) (Abdelrahman and Belland 2005). Following contact with the host cell, pre-packaged type 3 secretion system (T3SS) effector proteins are delivered into the eukaryotic cell (Saka et al. 2011), triggering endocytosis of the EB (Fig. 1). The EB is internalized into a membrane-bound compartment, termed the inclusion, that avoids fusion with lysosomes and instead traffics along microtubules using dynein to the peri-Golgi region (Grieshaber, Grieshaber and Hackstadt 2003; Scidmore, Fischer and Hackstadt 2003). Here, the EBs differentiate into RBs and replicate by polarized cell division (Abdelrahman et al. 2016). From the confines of the inclusion, the bacteria will interact with many host organelles to acquire key nutrients necessary for replication all while promoting host cell viability (Scidmore, Fischer and Hackstadt 1996; Derré et al. 2007; Pokorzynski, Thompson and Carabeo 2017; Stanhope et al. 2017). Following multiple rounds of replication, RBs will undergo asynchronous conversion to EBs, which are released via extrusion or host cell lysis (Hybiske and Stephens 2007).

Throughout the infection cycle, *C. trachomatis* is predicted to deliver over 100 effector proteins into the host cell via its T3SS

(Table 1) (Bugalhão and Mota 2019). Among these are the inclusion membrane (Inc) proteins and conventional T3SS (cT3SS) effector proteins. Inc proteins possess a bi-lobed hydrophobic domain of  $\sim$ 40 amino acids (Bannantine et al. 2000), which allows for incorporation into the inclusion membrane in such a way that their N- and C-termini are exposed to the eukaryotic host cell cytosol (Scidmore-Carlson et al. 1999). While 58 Incs have been predicted based on the presence of a bi-lobed hydrophobic domain, only 38 have been verified to localize to the inclusion membrane (Table 1) (Weber et al. 2015). Conversely, cT3SS effectors are secreted into the host cell where they have been detected at the plasma membrane, nucleus or within the cytosol and are predicted to play critical roles in host cell invasion, nutrient acquisition and immune evasion (Elwell, Mirrashidi and Engel 2016; Bugalhão and Mota 2019). Importantly, a subset of these cT3SS effectors are preloaded into the type III apparatus and presumably play important roles during cellular invasion and early stages of infection. Bioinformatic analysis looking for C. trachomatis proteins that possess eukaryotic-like domains or a T3SS signal has identified a large list of candidate effector proteins (Subtil et al. 2005; Muschiol et al. 2011; Da Cunha et al. 2014) (Table 1), many of which are strong secretion candidates based on studies in surrogate hosts. Undoubtedly, both classes of effector proteins, Incs and cT3SSs, are important for C. trachomatis pathogenesis, and understanding their form and function gives insight into chlamydial disease.

 Table 1. Chlamydia trachomatis Incs and cT3SS effector proteins and their functions.

D/UW-3CX	L2/434/Bu	Gene name	Identification method/localization	Mutant/phenotype	Host cell target	Function	Reference
CT005	CTL0260	incV	IFA/inclusion membrane	TargeTron/reduced VAP recruitment	VAPA/B	Formation of ER-inclusion MCS	(Shaw et al. 2000; Weber et al. 2015; Stanhope et al. 2017; Wang, Hybiske and Stephens 2018)
CT006	CTL0261		IFA/inclusion	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Kokes et al. 2015; Weber et al. 2015)
CT042	CTL0298	glgX	Shigella T3S assay/inclusion	Transposon/unknown	Unknown	glycogen hydrolase	(Gehre et al. 2016)
CT053	CTL0309		Yersinia T3S assav/unknown	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Da Cunha et al. 2014)
CT082	CTL0338		Yersinia T3S assav/unknown	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Pais et al. 2013)
CT083	CTL0338A		Shigella T3S assav/unknown	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Subtil et al. 2005)
CT105	CTL0360	cteG	IFA/plasma membrane and Golgi	TargeTron/smaller inclusions	Unknown	Unknown	(Pais et al. 2019)
CT101	CTL0356	mrcA	IFA/inclusion membrane	TargeTron/reduced extrusion	ITPR3	Promotes Chlamydia extrusion	(Shaw et al. 2000; Mital et al. 2010; Nguyen, Lutter and Hackstadt 2018)
CT115	CTL0370	incD	IFA/inclusion membrane	None	CERT	Formation of ER-inclusion MCS, non-vesicular lipid acquisition	(Scidmore-Carlson et al. 1999; Li et al. 2008; Derré, Swiss and Agaisse 2011; Agaisse and Derré 2014; Kokes et al. 2015; Weber et al. 2015; Kumagai et al. 2019)
CT116	CTL0371	incE	IFA/inclusion membrane	None	SNX5/6	Manipulates retromer- mediated transport	(Scidmore-Carlson et al. 1999; Li et al. 2008; Mirrashidi et al. 2015; Weber et al. 2015; Elwell et al. 2017; Paul et al. 2017; Sun et al. 2017)
CT117	CTL0372	incF	IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Scidmore-Carlson et al. 1999; Li et al. 2008; Weber et al. 2015)
CT118	CTL0373	incG	IFA/inclusion membrane	None	14-3-3 <i>β</i>	Unknown	(Scidmore-Carlson et al. 1999; Scidmore and Hackstadt 2001: Li et al. 2008)
CT119	CTL0374	incA	IFA/inclusion membrane	TargeTron/defects in homotypic inclusion fusion	VAMP3/7/8	Homotypic inclusion fusion, regulation of host vesicular trafficking	(Scidmore-Carlson et al. 1999; Bannantine et al. 2000; Suchland et al. 2000; Delevoye et al. 2008; Li et al. 2008; Paumet et al. 2009; Johnson and Fisher 2013; Ronzone and Paumet 2013; Ronzone et al. 2014; Weber et al. 2016; Wang, Hybiske and Stephens 2018; Cingolani et al. 2019)
CT134	CTL0389		IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Weber <i>et al.</i> 2015)
CT135	CTL0390		IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Weber et al. 2015)
CT142	CTL0397		Yersinia T3S assay/inclusion lumen	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Da Cunha et al. 2014, 2017)
CT143	CTL0398		Yersinia T3S assay/inclusion	Transposon/unknown	Unknown	Unknown	(Da Cunha et al. 2014, 2017; LaBrie et al. 2019)
CT144	CTL0399		Yersinia T3S assay/inclusion lumen	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Da Cunha et al. 2014, 2017)

### Table 1. Continued

		Gene	Identification		Host cell		
D/UW-3CX	L2/434/Bu	name	method/localization	Mutant/phenotype	target	Function	Reference
CT147	CTL0402		IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Belland et al. 2003; Li et al. 2008; Weber et al. 2015)
CT156	absent	lda1	IFA/lipid droplets	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Kumar et al. 2006)
CT161	CTL0417		Yersinia T3S assay/unknown	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Da Cunha et al. 2014)
CT163	CTL0419	lda2	IFA/lipid droplets	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Kumar et al. 2006)
CT179	CTL0431		IFA/inclusion membrane	TargeTron/none	Unknown	Unknown	(Weber et al. 2015, 2017)
CT192	CTL0444		IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Weber et al. 2015)
CT203	CTL0455		Shigella T3S assay/unknown	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Subtil et al. 2005)
CT222	CTL0475		IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Bannantine et al. 2000; Shaw et al. 2000; Li et al. 2008; Weber et al. 2015)
CT223	CTL0476	іраМ	IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Cep170	Hijacks microtubule organizing functions and controls microtubule assembly	(Bannantine et al. 2000; Shaw et al. 2000; Li et al. 2008; Alzhanov et al. 2009; Dumoux et al. 2015; Weber et al. 2015)
CT224	CTL0477		IFA/inclusion membrane	TargeTron/none	Unknown	Unknown	(Shaw et al. 2000; Alzhanov et al. 2009; Weber et al. 2015)
CT225	CTL0477A		IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Shaw et al. 2000; Li et al. 2008; Weber et al. 2015)
CT226	CTL0478		IFA/inclusion membrane	None	LRRF1	Unknown	(Shaw et al. 2000; Li et al. 2008; Weber et al. 2015; Olson et al. 2019)
CT227	CTL0479		IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Shaw et al. 2000; Weber et al. 2015)
CT228	CTL0480		IFA/inclusion membrane	TargeTron	MYP1	Inhibits chlamydial extrusion	(Shaw et al. 2000, 2018; Li et al. 2008; Lutter et al. 2013)
CT229	CTL0481	cpoS	IFA/inclusion membrane	TargeTron, chemical/growth defect and premature inclusion lysis	Rab1/4/6/8/10/ 14/18/33/34/35	Manipulation of host vesicular trafficking	(Bannantine et al. 2000; Shaw et al. 2000; Rzomp, Moorhead and Scidmore 2006; Li et al. 2008; Weber et al. 2015, 2017; Sixt et al. 2017; Faris et al. 2019)
CT232	CTL0484	incB	IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Bannantine et al. 2000; Li et al. 2008; Mital et al. 2010; Weber et al. 2015)
CT233	CTL0485	incC	IFA/inclusion membrane	TargeTron/growth defect and premature inclusion lysis	Unknown	Unknown	(Bannantine et al. 2000; Li et al. 2008; Weber et al. 2015, 2017)
CT249	CTL0500A		IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Shaw et al. 2000; Jia et al. 2007; Li et al. 2008)
CT288	CTL0540		IFA/inclusion membrane	TargeTron/growth defect	CCDC146	Unknown	(Bannantine et al. 2000; Li et al. 2008; Weber et al. 2015, 2017; Almeida et al. 2018)
CT345	CTL0599		IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Weber et al. 2015)
CT358	CTL0612		IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Li et al. 2008)
CT383	CTL0639		IFA/inclusion membrane	TargeTron/growth defect and premature inclusion lysis	Unknown	Unknown	(Weber et al. 2015, 2017)

#### Table 1. Continued

D/UW-3CX	L2/434/Bu	Gene name	Identification method/localization	Mutant/phenotype	Host cell target	Function	Reference
CT429	CTL0688		Yersinia T3S	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Da Cunha et al. 2014)
CT440	CTL0699		IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Li et al. 2008)
CT442	CTL0701	crpA	IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Bannantine et al. 2000; Li et al. 2008; Weber et al. 2015)
CT449	CTL0709		IFA/inclusion membrane	TargeTron/growth defect	Unknown	Unknown	(Weber et al. 2015, 2017)
CT456	CTL0716	tarP	Chlamydia BlaM assay/T3S dependent/cytosol near inclusion	FRAEM, TargeTron/invasion defect	Vinculin, FAK, Rac GEFs (Sos1, Vav2)	Host cell invasion	(Clifton et al. 2004; Jewett et al. 2006, 2008; Lane et al. 2008; Mehlitz et al. 2010; Jiwani et al. 2012, 2013; Mueller and Fields 2015; Thwaites et al. 2015; Parrett et al. 2016; Ghosh et al. 2018, 2020; Whitewood et al. 2018; Faris et al. 2020)
CT473 CT483	CTL0734 CTL0744	lda3	IFA/lipid droplets IFA/inclusion	None None	Unknown Unknown	Unknown Unknown	(Kumar et al. 2006) (Shaw et al. 2000)
CT529	CTL0791		IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Li et al. 2008)
CT550	CTL0812		Shigella T3S assay/unknown	Transposon/unknown	Unknown	Unknown	(Subtil et al. 2005; LaBrie et al. 2019)
CT565	CTL0828		IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Shaw et al. 2000)
CT606.1	CTL0870		Shigella T3S assay/unknown	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Subtil et al. 2005)
CT610	CTL0874	cadD	Shigella T3S assay/unknown	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Subtil et al. 2005; Kokes et al. 2015)
CT618	CTL0882		IFA/inclusion membrane	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Li et al. 2008)
CT619	CTL0883		Shigella T3S assay/unknown	None	Hrs, tsg101	Unknown	(Muschiol et al. 2011; Vromman et al. 2016)
CT620	CTL0884		Shigella T3S assay/cytosol	None	Hrs	Unknown	(Muschiol et al. 2011; Vromman et al. 2016)
CT621	CTL0885		Shigella T3S assay/cytosol	None	Hrs	Unknown	(Hobolt-Pedersen et al. 2009; Muschiol et al. 2011; Vromman et al. 2016)
CT622	CTL0886		Shigella T3S assay/cytosol	TargeTron/growth and inclusion defect	Unknown	Unknown	(Gong et al. 2017; Cossé et al. 2018)
CT652.1	CTL0021		Shigella T3S assay/unknown	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Subtil et al. 2005)
CT656	CTL0025		Yersinia T3S assay/unknown	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Da Cunha et al. 2014)
CT694	CTL0063	tmeA	Chlamydia BlaM assay/inclusion and plasma membrane	Transposon, FRAEM/invasion defect	AHNAK, N-WASP	Host cell invasion	(Hower, Wolf and Fields 2009; Bullock, Hower and Fields 2012; Mueller and Fields 2015; McKeun <i>et al.</i> 2017; Wang, Hybiske and Stephens 2018; LaBrie <i>et al.</i> 2019; Faris <i>et al.</i> 2020)
CT695	CTL0064	tmeB	Chlamydia BlaM assay/inclusion and plasma membrane	FRAEM/no defect	Unknown	Unknown	(Hower, Wolf and Fields 2009; Mueller and Fields 2015)
CT711	CTL0080		Shigella T3S assay/nucleus	None	Hrs	Unknown	(Muschiol et al. 2011; Vromman et al. 2016)

#### Table 1. Continued

D/UW-3CX	L2/434/Bu	Gene name	Identification method/localization	Mutant/phenotype	Host cell target	Function	Reference
CT712	CTL0081		Shigella T3S assav/unknown	None	Hrs	Unknown	(Muschiol et al. 2011; Vromman et al. 2016)
CT718	CTL0087		Shigella T3S assay/unknown	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Subtil et al. 2005)
CT737	CTL0106	nue	Shigella T3S assay/nucleus	None	H2B, H3 and H4	Histone methyl- transferase	(Pennini et al. 2010)
CT738	CTL0107		Shigella T3S assay/unknown	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Subtil et al. 2005)
CT798	CTL0167	glgA	IFA/inclusion lumen and cytosol	None	Unknown	Glycogen synthase	(Lu et al. 2013)
CT813	CTL0184	inaC	IFA/inclusion membrane	TargeTron, chemical/F-actin recruitment defect	14-3-3 proteins, ARF1/4, VAMP7/8	Modulates post- translational modification of microtubules, controls Golgi complex positioning at the inclusion,	(Shaw et al. 2000; Chen et al. 2006; Delevoye et al. 2008; Li et al. 2008; Kokes et al. 2015; Wesolowski et al. 2017) Wesolowski, Chen C, Kokes, Li, Shaw, Delevoye
CT847	CTL0219		Yersinia T3S assay/unknown	None	GCIP	Unknown	(Chellas-Géry, Linton and Fields 2007)
CT848	CTL0220		Shigella T3S assay/unknown	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Subtil et al. 2005)
CT849	CTL0221		Yersinia T3S assay/unknown	None	Unknown	Unknown	(Da Cunha et al. 2014)
CT850	CTL0223		IFA/inclusion membrane	TargeTron/none	DYNLT1	Positioning of inclusion at centrosomal region	(Shaw et al. 2000; Mital et al. 2010, 2015)
CT875	CTL0255	tepP	C.t. chaperone IP/cytosol near inclusion	Chemical, TargeTron/growth defect	CRK, CRKL, GSK3B, PI3K,	Regulates innate immune signaling early in infection	(Chen et al. 2014; Carpenter et al. 2017; Dolat and Valdivia 2020)

Historically, chlamydiae have been recalcitrant to genetic manipulation owing to its obligate intracellular lifestyle, biphasic developmental cycle and limited metabolic activity of EBs (Bastidas and Valdivia 2016; Hooppaw and Fisher 2016; Rahnama and Fields 2019). While the first publication reporting transient transformation of Chlamydia using electroporation occurred in 1994 (Tam, Davis and Wyrick 1994), it would be another 17 years before Chlamydia was stably transformed with a shuttle vector (Fig. 2A and B). In 2011, Wang et al. reported an Escherichia coli–C. trachomatis shuttle vector that could be stably introduced into C. trachomatis serovar L2 using CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and transformants could be selected for using penicillin G (Wang et al. 2011). Since that landmark study, the C. trachomatis shuttle vector has been modified to include additional fluorescent markers, inducible promoters and various epitope tags, providing a practical tool for the rapid identification of secreted effectors and Incs (Agaisse and Derré 2013; Wickstrum et al. 2013; Bauler and Hackstadt 2014; Mueller and Fields 2015; Weber et al. 2015). Soon thereafter, the TargeTron (Millipore Sigma St. Louis, MO), a mobile group II intron system, was used to generate site-specific mutations in C. trachomatis (Johnson and Fisher 2013) (Fig. 2C) and was also used to create the first site-specific double mutants (Lowden et al. 2015). Gene deletion by fluorescence-reported allelic exchange mutagenesis (FRAEM) was developed as an alternative method to generate site-specific C. trachomatis mutants (Mueller, Wolf and Fields 2016) (Fig. 2D). Recent adaptions to FRAEM allow for the generation of markerless gene deletions (Keb, Hayman and Fields 2018) that, importantly, can overcome potential polar effects associated with other genetic disruption systems. Additionally, systems for random mutagenesis using the Himar transposon have been described and are now being used to generate C. trachomatis mutant libraries (LaBrie et al. 2019) (Fig. 2E). Complementation of C. trachomatis mutants can also be achieved using two vector systems, pSU6 and pBomb3, and has been used to link effector functions to mutant phenotypes (Mueller, Wolf and Fields 2016; Weber et al. 2016; Faris et al. 2019) (Fig. 2F). The ability to express epitope-tagged proteins in Chlamydia, as well as the development of systems for site-specific or random chemical mutagenesis, (Kari et al. 2011; Nguyen and Valdivia 2012; Kokes et al. 2015), has revolutionized what we know about how C. trachomatis manipulates the host cell. In this review, we will discuss the function of select Incs and cT3SS effector proteins with emphasis on how newly developed genetic tools have enabled functional characterization of these important factors.

# HOST CELL INVASION

As an obligate intracellular bacterium, invasion of a host cell is paramount to chlamydial replication and initiation of human disease. Contact between the susceptible host cell and the EB triggers delivery of pre-packaged T3SS effector proteins that induce cytoskeletal rearrangements and plasma membrane remodeling events that promote EB internalization via filopodial capture and macropinocytosis-like pathways (Ford *et al.* 2018).

Successful infiltration of a host cell by C. trachomatis EBs induces protein tyrosine phosphorylation of numerous host proteins at the EB invasion site (Birkelund, Johnsen and Christiansen 1994; Fawaz et al. 1997). Immunoprecipitation of infected host cell lysates using an anti-phosphotyrosine antibody coupled with mass spectrometry identified the presence of a bacterial effector protein, CT456, now designated translocated actinrecruiting phosphoprotein (TarP) (Clifton et al. 2004). Depending on the serovar, the N-terminal region of TarP contains 1-12 copies of a tyrosine phosphodomain that is phosphorylated by the eukaryotic kinases p60-src, Yes, Fyn and Abl (Carlson et al. 2005; Clifton et al. 2005; Elwell et al. 2008; Jewett et al. 2008; Lutter et al. 2010). N-terminal tyrosine phosphorylation of TarP enables interactions with numerous host proteins, including two guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), Vav2 and Sos1, which mediate GTP exchange on the small GTPase Rac (Lane et al. 2008) (Fig. 3). Intriguingly, Rac was shown to be important for host cell invasion through activation of the nucleation promoting factor (NPF) WAVE2 and subsequent recruitment of the ARP2/3 complex (Carabeo et al. 2002, 2007; Lane et al. 2008).

TarP is also able to recruit F-actin to the invasion site in the absence of tyrosine phosphorylation (Clifton *et al.* 2005) (Fig. 3). Detailed biochemical analysis of TarP revealed it possesses C-terminal filamentous (F)-actin and globular (G)-actin binding sites (Jewett *et al.* 2006, 2010; Jiwani *et al.* 2013; Ghosh *et al.* 2018). These regions allow TarP to bind and bundle actin in the absence of host factors. However, it can cooperate with the ARP2/3 complex to increase the rate of actin polymerization (Jewett *et al.* 2006; Jiwani *et al.* 2012).

In aggregate, these studies suggest that TarP's actin binding domain may promote extension of the initial actin filament while TarP's N-terminal phosphodomains bind Rac regulatory proteins for ARP2/3 complex recruitment required for actin branching. Recent advances in chlamydial genetics allowed for generation of a TarP mutant and subsequent confirmation of its role in host cell invasion (Ghosh *et al.* 2020). Interestingly, complementation of the mutant with domain mutants revealed that the F-actin binding domains are necessary for host cell invasion, whereas lack of tyrosine phosphodomains only minimally impaired invasion (Ghosh *et al.* 2020), suggesting *C. trachomatis* may have alternative methods to induce ARP2/3-mediated actin branching events needed for host cell invasion.

TarP has also been shown to play an important role in recruiting focal adhesion kinase (FAK) to the site of chlamydial invasion by mimicking the leucine–aspartate (LD) motifs (LDXLLXXL) found in the host protein paxillin (Thwaites *et al.* 2014) (Fig. 3). Using an EPEC-based heterologous system in which TarP or TarP deletion constructs were fused to translocated intimin receptor (Tir), Thwaites *et al.* demonstrated that the LD motif of TarP binds FAK to the same degree as the LD2 motif of paxillin (Thwaites *et al.* 2015). Genetic elimination of FAK or conservative mutation of the leucine residues of TarP's LD motif abolishes actin recruitment and cell signaling.

RNA interference screens have shown that vinculin is necessary for *C. trachomatis* replication (Elwell *et al.* 2008; Gurumurthy *et al.* 2010), and recent molecular studies revealed vinculin is also important for F-actin assembly at the plasma membrane to promote invasion (Thwaites *et al.* 2015). Vinculin contains two domains, Vh1 and Vt, that when bound maintain vinculin in a closed, inactive conformation. Binding of specific proteins, such as talin, relieves vinculin autoinhibition, resulting in activation. Talin activation of vinculin at the site of focal adhesions serves to link actin filaments of the cytoskeleton with membrane-bound extracellular facing integrins to mediate interactions with the extracellular matrix (DeMali, Jue and Burridge 2006). Notably, TarP contains three vinculin binding domains (VBDs) in its C-terminus with VBD1 being necessary for binding and recruitment of vinculin (Thwaites *et al.* 2015). Using the EPEC-Tir system, this motif alone was shown to be sufficient to induce actin recruitment to the plasma membrane in a vinculin-dependent and FAK-independent manner (Fig. 3).

Collectively, these studies indicate that TarP may mediate the formation of pseudo-focal adhesion structures at the invasion site via recruitment of FAK and vinculin, which modulate the cytoskeletal network. Indeed, infection of host cells with multiple chlamydial species and serovars increases the number of focal adhesions, which has recently been linked to TarP and its interaction with vinculin (Pedrosa *et al.* 2020). Presumably, this interaction acts to stabilize focal adhesions, increasing adhesion to the extracellular matrix to maintain *Chlamydia* infection in a high-turnover environment (Pedrosa *et al.* 2020). Importantly, the recent generation of a TarP mutant will now allow researchers to directly connect TarP-vinculin interactions to stabilizing focal adhesions.

Delivery of TarP into host cells does not require *de novo* bacterial protein synthesis (Clifton *et al.* 2004), suggesting proteins produced by RBs could be retained and primed for type III secretion by EBs. This critical observation gave rise to the idea that genes transcribed late in the *C. trachomatis* infection cycle could be effector proteins that promote invasion or early events in the developmental cycle (Valdivia 2008). Transcriptomic (Belland *et al.* 2003) and proteomic (Saka *et al.* 2011) studies revealed that CT694 and CT695 are expressed late in the developmental cycle and are strictly present in EBs. Both CT694 and CT695 are secreted in a T3SS-dependent manner and associate with host membranes, earning their designation translocated membraneassociated effector <u>A</u> (TmeA) and <u>B</u> (TmeB), respectively (Sisko *et al.* 2006; Hower, Wolf and Fields 2009; Pais *et al.* 2013; Mueller and Fields 2015; Keb, Hayman and Fields 2018).

Recent advances in chlamydial genetics confirmed that TmeA plays an important role in host cell invasion, whereas TmeB appears to be dispensable for pathogen uptake (McKeun et al. 2017; Keb, Hayman and Fields 2018). Using a yeast twohybrid (Y2H) screen, TmeA was shown to bind AHNAK, a large scaffolding protein involved in cytoskeletal organization and cell signaling (Hower, Wolf and Fields 2009). While TmeA is necessary for host cell invasion, AHNAK is dispensable. Furthermore, AHNAK is still recruited to the invasion site in the absence of TmeA (McKeun et al. 2017). Thus, the role TmeA plays in promoting host cell invasion is independent of its interaction with AHNAK (Fig. 3).

New research indicates that TmeA possesses a GTPase binding domain (GBD) ligand motif that binds to the NPF N-WASP (Faris *et al.* 2020). Interactions between N-WASP and TmeA promote recruitment of the ARP2/3 complex to the invasion site, presumably driving actin branching events necessary for filopodia capture and internalization of EBs (Faris *et al.* 2020) (Fig. 3). Importantly, complementation of the TmeA mutant with a mutant GBD ligand motif did not restore invasion, confirming that it is TmeA's interaction with N-WASP that promotes host cell invasion. It is compelling to speculate that TmeA may serve two distinct functions. First, during invasion, TmeA activates N-WASP, leading to ARP2/3-dependent cytoskeletal remodeling necessary for filopodia capture of *C. trachomatis* EBs. Second, following invasion, TmeA could interact with AHNAK to undo

#### (A) Electroporation



#### (B) Shuttle Vector



Electroporation has been successfully used to transform *Chlamydia trachomatis* serovar E/UW-5/CX with the pPBW100 or pEJL430 plasmids, containing a fusion between a chlamydial promoter and the promoterless *cat* (chloramphenicol acetyltransferase). EBs were transformed via eloctroporation at a fixed resistance of 400Ω and capacitance of 25µF using a GenePulser (BioRad). The electrical field pulls DNA, which is naturally negatively charged, into the EBs. Positive transformations were selected by addition of chloramphenicol (Tam, Davis and Wyrick 1994).

To transform chlamydial EBs with a shuttle vector, the EBs are mixed with calcium chloride and plasmid DNA and allowed to grow in cell culture. Many plasmids have been used for the transformation of *Chlamydia trachomatis*, however the plasmid must contain a method for selection. This is often in the form of resistance to non-therapeutic antibiotics, such as penicillin (Wang et al. 2011).

# (C) TargeTron



TargeTron utilizes a mobile group II (GrpII) intron to perform site-specific insertional inactivation of a target gene. FIrst, the GrpII intron is transcribed and the reverse transcriptase (RT) is translated. The RT binds the precursor RNA molecule, activating it. The activated intron catalyzes RNA splicing that yields an intron lariat RNA through two transesterification reactions. This RNA lariat contains the EBS1 and EBS2 regions that are important for reprogramming for target recognition. Binding of the RT causes DNA melting, which allows the intron RNA to bind to its target sequences, forming a DNA-RNA complex. Concurrently, the RT utilizes its endonuclease activity to cut the opposite DNA strand, forming a 3' overhang that serves as the primer for synthesis of the complementary strand (Johnson and Fisher 2013).

#### (D)Fluorescence-reported allelic exchange mutagenesis (FRAEM)



FRAEM uses the suicide vector pSUmC to target the gene of interest, and is constructed such that a bla-gfp cassette is flanked by the sequences upstream and downstream of the target gene, allowing for homologous recombination with the chlamydial chromosome. This process requires two rounds of recombination to completely delete the gene. The first inserts the plasmid into the genome at the site of the target gene. Due to the plasmid tetracycline inducible promoter, pgp6, in the presence of anhydrotetracycline (aTc), the plasmid is maintained in the chlamydia genome. Removal of aTc results in the second recombination event and loss of the pSUMC plasmid. Successful transformants are detected by green fluorescence and are isolated with the use of penicillin, as the bla-gfp cassette will have replaced the target gene (Mueller, Wolf, and Fields, 2016).

#### (E) Himar1 Transposon



The Himar1 transposon is part of the Mariner system and has a hyperactive transposase, resulting in high transposition efficiencies using a cut-and-paste mechanism. This transposon inserts between T/A nucleobases, allowing for non-specific, single-gene insertion. The use of Himar1 transposon mutagenesis allows for random, relatively unbiased mutations and has been used to create mutant libraries. Himar1 contains an antibiotic marker to allow for selection of mutants. LaBrie et al. developed pCMA (-plasmid Chlamydia Mariner) to encode C9 Himar1 transposase with a chlamydial promoter, as well as bla, so beta-lactams could be used for selection (LaBrie et al., 2019).

#### (F) Complementation



Complementation of a mutant chlamydial strain can be achieved via the use of a plasmid expressing the gene of interest and an antibiotic resistance gene to confer resistance to a second non-therapeutic antibiotic, often spectinomycin or chloramphenicol. The chlamydiae are transformed as described for a shuttle vector. This technique can be used to ensure mutations are not conferring polar effects, by rescue to the wild-type phenotype. This method has also been implemented to determine the necessary functional domains to fully rescue to wild-type (Weber et al. 2016).

Figure 2. Advances in chlamydial genetics. (A) Initial experiments to transform chlamydiae used electroporation; however, (B) *C. trachomatis* servar L2 is routinely transformed via chemical transformation with calcium chloride. Using the endogenous L2 plasmid fused to an *E. coli* plasmid, a *C. trachomatis* L2 shuttle vector was developed. This plasmid possesses a GFP fluorescent marker, antibiotic selection marker (*bla*), an *E. coli* origin of replication and a multiple cloning site (MCS). The shuttle vector is routinely used to express epitope-tagged effector proteins in *C. trachomatis* L2. (C) The group II intron (TargeTron) approach enables site-specific gene disruption via LtrA. LtrA reverse transcribes and splices the intron into the target site in the recipient's DNA, resulting in insertional inactivation of the target. (D) Site-specific mutagenesis via fluorescence-reported allelic exchange mutagenesis (FRAEM) uses the shuttle vector pSU6 to disrupt the target gene of interest. In the absence of tetracycline, pSU6 behaves as a suicide vector. (E) The Himar1 transposase randomly inserts between T/A nucleotides, resulting in non-specific gene inactivation. (F) Mutants generated via any of the aforementioned techniques can be complemented using pBomb3, pBomb4 or pSU6.



Figure 3. TarP and TmeA, mediators of host cell invasion. TmeA and TarP are prepackaged in EBs and are delivered into the host cell to promote invasion. TarP is phosphorylated upon entry into the host cell where it binds to Rac GEFs (SOS and VAV2) to activate the small GTPase Rac, which results in ARP2/3-dependent actin branching via complex activation by the NPF WAVE2. TarP can also directly bundle and polymerize actin. Focal adhesion proteins, FAK and vinculin, are also bound by TarP and may serve to promote cell adherence to the extracellular matrix. TmeA binds to the NPF N-WASP to promote ARP2/3-dependent actin branching and filopodia capture of EBs. TmeA also binds AHNAK, which may serve a post-invasion role.

the actin-bundling effects induced during the invasion process (Caven and Carabeo 2020).

While TmeA was shown to be necessary for N-WASP recruitment to the invading EB, the ARP2/3 complex was still recruited in the absence of TmeA and N-WASP, albeit to a significantly lower degree (Faris et al. 2020). This suggests that C. trachomatis employs multiple methods to recruit the ARP2/3 complex to the EB invasion site. Indeed, a TarP mutant was similarly impaired in ARP2/3 recruitment, whereas recruitment to a TmeA/TarP double mutant was completely abolished (Faris et al. 2020). TarP was previously shown to bind Rac GEFs and it was hypothesized that this leads to activation of WAVE2 and the ARP2/3 complex (Carabeo et al. 2007; Lane et al. 2008). In aggregate, these studies suggest that C. trachomatis employs two distinct effector proteins, TarP and TmeA, that target distinct NPFs that ultimately converge on activation of the ARP2/3 complex to drive actin branching events required for host cell invasion. Curiously, the TmeA/TarP double mutant was significantly impaired in host cell invasion, yet a small percentage of EBs still gained access to host cells. This highlights a crucial role for TarP and TmeA in invasion via manipulation of the ARP2/3 complex, while indicating that additional host factors and bacterial effectors may be involved in host cell invasion. Given the recent advances in chlamydial genetics, it will be interesting to determine whether other pre-packaged effector proteins play a role in host cell invasion. Furthermore, it will be of great interest to determine whether these different effectors and invasion pathways contribute to C. trachomatis cellular/tissue tropism.

# MOVEMENT TO THE MICROTUBULE-ORGANIZING CENTER AND ASSOCIATION WITH CENTROSOMES

At  $\sim$ 2 h post-infection, the nascent inclusion is transported in a dynein-dependent manner to the microtubule-organizing center (MTOC) (Clausen *et al.* 1997; Grieshaber, Grieshaber and Hackstadt 2003). This process requires an intact microtubule network and chlamydial protein synthesis (Grieshaber, Grieshaber and Hackstadt 2003), suggesting bacterial effector proteins could tether the inclusion to dynein or centrosomes. Several C. trachomatis Inc proteins, including CT101, CT222, CT223, CT224, CT228, IncB, IncC, CT228 and CT850, are concentrated in areas on the inclusion membrane referred to as microdomains (Mital *et al.* 2010; Weber *et al.* 2015). These areas are enriched in cholesterol, active Src-family kinases, and are focal points for microtubules and association with centrosomes (Mital *et al.* 2010). Thus, these Inc proteins could play a role in forming stable interactions between the inclusion and microtubules or centrosomes.

When ectopically expressed in HeLa cells, CT850 aggregates were found to associate with centrosomes (Mital *et al.* 2010), suggesting this Inc protein could play a role in inclusion positioning at the MTOC. Using a Y2H screen, CT850 was shown to interact with dynein light-chain DYNLT1 via a conserved (R/K-R/K-X-X-R/K) DYNLT1 binding domain (Mital *et al.* 2015). DYNLT1 localizes to the inclusion membrane and disruption of DYNLT1 expression interferes with inclusion positioning at the MTOC. The CT850-DYNLT1 interaction suggests that *C. trachomatis* may subvert the dynein motor to move the inclusion along microtubules in the absence of an intact dynactin complex (Fig. 4). While lack of CT850 does not impair growth (Weber *et al.* 2017), whether insertional inactivation of CT850 impairs inclusion trafficking to the MTOC remains unknown.

As inclusion microdomains localize near the host centrosome (Mital et al. 2010), it is not surprising that multiple microdomain-localized Incs interact with the centrosome. A Y2H screen revealed the microdomain-localized Inc CT288 binds the centrosome protein CCDC146 (Almeida et al. 2018). CCDC146 localized proximal to the inclusion; however, this was only partially dependent on CT288, as CCDC146 was still recruited to a CT288 mutant (Almeida et al. 2018) (Fig. 4). Many questions remain unanswered regarding whether CT288 and its interaction with CCDC146 has any impact on inclusion positioning at the centrosome. While the relevance of CCDC146 to Chlamydia infection remains unknown, one study noted a slight in vitro and in vivo growth defect when CT288 is absent (Weber et al. 2017), suggesting this Inc may play a role in Chlamydia infection.

# **REGULATION OF FUSION AND MANIPULATION OF HOST VESICLE TRAFFICKING**

From the confines of the inclusion, chlamydiae modulate specific aspects of host intracellular trafficking and fusogenicity with the inclusion in order to acquire membrane for the growing vacuole and essential nutrients. Given their positioning at the host-pathogen interface, it is not surprising that Inc proteins have risen to prominence as key regulators of host vesicular trafficking and fusion. Additionally, a few secreted effector proteins have been implicated in manipulating vesicular trafficking pathways.

In 1994, the observation was made that protein was released from chlamydiae and localized at the inclusion membrane (Rockey and Rosquist 1994). Screening of a C. *psittaci* expression library with convalescent sera resulted in the identification of this protein as <u>inclusion</u> membrane protein <u>A</u> (IncA) (Rockey, Heinzen and Hackstadt 1995), which was subsequently shown to also be present in C. *trachomatis* (Bannantine *et al.* 1998). Microinjection of antibodies against IncA into cells infected with C. *trachomatis* significantly altered inclusion morphology, resulting in



Figure 4. Interactions with centrosomes and subversion of the cytoskeleton by Inc proteins. The Inc protein CT850 interacts with dynein light-chain DYNLT1 to facilitate inclusion positioning at the MTOC. CT288 binds to the centrosomal protein CCDC146. InaC is a multifunctional Inc that interacts with ARF GTPases to control Golgi positioning at the inclusion. InaC is also important for F-actin recruitment to the inclusion. CT228 and MraC inhibit or promote extrusion, respectively, through regulation of MLC2 phosphorylation state.

multiple inclusions per cell (Hackstadt *et al.* 1999). This suggested that IncA could be involved in homotypic fusion of inclusions. Further support for this notion came via screening clinical isolates, of which  $\sim$ 1.5% exhibited multiple inclusions per cell. Immunofluorescent microscopy and western blotting of these isolates revealed they lacked IncA (Suchland *et al.* 2000). A role for IncA in mediating homotypic fusion of inclusions was later confirmed through generation of an IncA mutant using the TargeTron approach (Johnson and Fisher 2013).

Observations that regions of IncA are exposed to the host cytoplasmic space suggested that Inc proteins could mediate crucial interactions between the host and the bacteria confined within the inclusion (Rockey et al. 1997). Modeling of IncA revealed it possesses soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor (SNARE)-like domain (SLD) composed of heptad repeat sequences consisting mostly of hydrophobic residues with a conserved glutamine (Q-SNARE) or arginine (R-SNARE) residue defining the zero layer (Delevoye et al. 2004, 2008). In eukaryotes, SNARE proteins in opposing lipid bilayers associate to form a complex that can promote or inhibit fusion between compartments (Cai, Reinisch and Ferro-Novick 2007). As key regulators of membrane fusion, it is not surprising that intracellular pathogens have effector proteins that possess SNARE-like domains (Arasaki, Toomre and Roy 2012; Singh et al. 2018). Intriguingly, IncA possesses two SLDs: SLD1 and SLD2. Biochemical analysis revealed that a functional core composed of SLD1 and part of SLD2 is required to promote homotypic inclusion fusion, whereas either SLD is able to block membrane fusion (Ronzone and Paumet 2013; Ronzone et al. 2014) (Fig. 5). The necessity of the IncA core in mediating homotypic inclusion fusion was confirmed using an IncA mutant complemented with IncA lacking a functional core domain (Weber et al. 2016). Structural analysis of IncA indicates that it folds differently than the canonical four-helix bundle associated with SNAREs and instead resembles the THATCH domain of the Huntingtin-interacting protein 12, a component of clathrin-coated pits. Thus, IncA may serve to link endocytic components with the actin cytoskeleton (Cingolani *et al.* 2019).

It is well established that the chlamydial inclusion avoids fusion with endocytic and lysosomal compartments (Heinzen et al. 1996; Scidmore, Fischer and Hackstadt 2003). Early endocytic/lysosomal avoidance occurs before IncA expression, which is not expressed until ~10–12 h post-infection. Thus, IncA may not be involved in initial avoidance, but could inhibit fusion with these compartments later in the infection cycle. With the advances in chlamydial genetics and methods to complement mutants with domain mutants, it will now be feasible to determine whether SLD1 or SLD2 is important for avoiding fusion with endocytic compartments. IncA's important role in infection is distinct, as infection with non-fusogenic isolates results in milder disease (Geisler et al. 2001; Pannekoek et al. 2005).

Fusion between vesicles requires the formation of a fourhelix bundle formed through associations of vesicular SNAREs (v-SNAREs) and target SNAREs (t-SNAREs), which provides the necessary energy to drive fusion of the membrane bilayers (Cai, Reinisch and Ferro-Novick 2007). A number of eukaryotic SNAREs have been shown to localize to the inclusion membrane and disruption of these SNAREs impairs sphingomyelin and lipid droplet recruitment to the inclusion, coinciding with impaired bacterial replication (Delevoye et al. 2008; Moore et al. 2011; Kabeiseman et al. 2013; Monteiro-Brás, Wesolowski and Paumet 2020). IncA was shown to bind VAMP3, VAMP7 and VAMP8 (Delevoye et al. 2008), and furthermore was shown to inhibit fusion with liposomes harboring VAMP8, Syntaxin 7, Syntaxin 8 and Vti1b (Paumet et al. 2009) (Fig. 5). Other SNARE proteins, including SNAP23, Syntaxin 4 and Syntaxin 6, are recruited to the inclusion (Moore et al. 2011; Kabeiseman et al. 2013; Monteiro-Brás, Wesolowski and Paumet 2020). However,



Figure 5. Manipulation of host vesicular trafficking by Inc and cT3SS effector proteins. CpoS interacts with Rab GTPases to recruit the transferrin receptor to the inclusion. IncV, through interactions with VAPs, functions to tether the inclusion to the ER. CteG localizes to the Golgi apparatus and plasma membrane and may be involved in regulating trafficking. IncA is involved with homotypic inclusion fusion and interacts with several VAMPs.

whether this is through interactions with IncA or another Inc protein remains unknown. With the isolation of an IncA mutant (Johnson and Fisher 2013; Weber *et al.* 2016), it will now be possible to test the requirement of IncA for recruitment of eukaryotic SNAREs, as well as the functional consequences of IncA loss. While IncA appears to play an important role in inhibiting fusions with vesicles containing select SNAREs, it is likely that C. *trachomatis* has compensatory mechanisms for avoiding fusion with these compartments, as loss of IncA does not negatively impact growth (Johnson and Fisher 2013; Weber *et al.* 2016).

Movement of vesicular cargo from one region of the cell to another is a tightly regulated process that is controlled by SNAREs and small guanosine triphosphate (GTP) binding proteins including Rab GTPases and ADP-ribosylating factors (ARFs) (Weber and Faris 2018). Rab GTPases associated with trafficking from the Golgi apparatus (Rab1, 6 and 10) and early endosomes (Rab4 and 11) are recruited to the inclusion membrane (Rzomp et al. 2003). Using a Y2H screen and pulldowns, Rzomp et al. demonstrated that the Inc protein CT229 binds to Rab4 (Rzomp, Moorhead and Scidmore 2006). Subsequent studies using C. trachomatis overexpressing Flag-tagged CT229 (Sixt et al. 2017; Faris et al. 2019) or cells transfected with CT229 (Mirrashidi et al. 2015) revealed it binds and recruits a plethora of Rab GTPases, including Rab1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 18, 33, 34 and 35 to the inclusion membrane or vicinity of the inclusion. Furthermore, CT229, through binding to Rab GTPases, was shown to recruit the transferrin receptor and cation-independent mannose-6-phosphate receptor to the periphery of the inclusion (Faris et al. 2019) (Fig. 5). In aggregate, these studies implicate CT229 as an important regulator of host vesicular trafficking and suggest it might play a role in nutrient and/or membrane acquisition for the growing inclusion

The isolation of a CT229 mutant using chemical mutagenesis and TargeTron revealed that CT229 plays an important role in chlamydial pathogenesis (Kokes *et al.* 2015; Sixt *et al.* 2017; Weber et al. 2017). The absence of this Inc protein results in decreased bacterial replication, smaller inclusions and faster clearance in vivo (Sixt et al. 2017; Weber et al. 2017). Strikingly, the absence of CT229 resulted in premature host cell death, resulting in its designation as Chlamydia promoter of survival (CpoS). Descriptive studies aimed at characterizing the mode of host cell death elicited in the absence of CpoS revealed host cells displayed hallmarks of apoptosis, characterized by membrane blebbing and activation of effector caspases (Sixt et al. 2017; Weber et al. 2017). Surprisingly, some of the cells also displayed characteristics of necrosis in which plasma membrane rupture was not preceded by apoptotic features (Sixt et al. 2017). Infection of host cells with a CpoS mutant elicited a STING-dependent cytokine response associated with TNF- $\alpha$  and type-1 interferon (IFN) production, in addition to up-regulation of IFN-stimulated genes (Sixt et al. 2017). Knockdown of STING partially protected the cells from premature host cell death induced in response to infection with the CpoS mutant (Sixt et al. 2017; Weber et al. 2017). Thus, while this pathway is involved in recognizing CpoSdeficient chlamydiae, it is not the sole factor that elicits host cell death in response to the mutant bacteria. While the absence of CpoS triggers premature host cell death, the underlying reason for this remains unclear. Recent studies indicate CpoS is necessary for recruiting Rab GTPases to the inclusion (Faris et al. 2019). STING activation requires translocation via post-ER vesicles (Sixt, Valdivia and Kroemer 2017). Given that CpoS interacts with Rab GTPases, it is possible that CpoS-mediated manipulation of host vesicular trafficking pathways counters STING activation during chlamydial infection. The absence of CpoS was shown to result in premature inclusion lysis, which would result in the release of the bacteria and their components into the cytosol where they can be sensed by host surveillance pathways. Chlamydia trachomatis synthesizes cyclic di-AMP, which can be sensed by STING, resulting in production of type I IFNs (Barker et al. 2013). We speculate that the manipulation of host vesicular trafficking via CpoS-Rab GTPases interactions could supply crucial membrane and lipids for incorporation into the expanding inclusion membrane, the absence of which could lead to premature inclusion lysis and release of cyclic di-AMP into the host cytosol where it is sensed by STING. Other Inc mutants exhibit similar phenotypes characterized by destabilization of the inclusion (Weber *et al.* 2017; Giebel *et al.* 2019), and laser ablation of the inclusion results in induction of premature host cell death (Kerr *et al.* 2017). Thus, while it is likely that some chlamydial effectors play a key role in counteracting host cytokine production, the fact that premature host cell death and STING activation could be a general consequence of chlamydiae in the cytosol is also possible.

CT105 is a cT3SS effector protein that localizes to the Golgi apparatus early in infection (16-30hr) and the plasma membrane later in infection (30-40 hr), earning its designation C. trachomatis effector associated with the Golgi (CteG) (Pais et  $\overline{al}$ . 2019) (Fig. 5). While a CteG mutant was not impaired in intracellular replication, smaller inclusions were noted (Pais et al. 2019). Currently, the host targets of CteG remain unknown; however, ectopic expression of CteG in yeast induces a vacuolar protein sorting defect, indicating CteG could modulate host vesicular trafficking (Pais et al. 2019). Intriguingly, CteG from non-LGV chlamydial isolates (serovars A-K) lack 74 nucleotides upstream from the putative -10 region resulting in lack of expression. Hence, CteG is only expressed by LGV isolates (L1-L3). Future studies are needed to determine whether CteG carries out distinct functions early in infection when associated with the Golgi, versus late in infection when associated with the plasma membrane.

#### FORMATION OF MEMBRANE CONTACT SITES

Chlamydia spp. must hijack host lipids, including cholesterol, sphingomyelin, phosphatidylcholine and phosphatidylinositol for incorporation into the bacterial membrane (Hackstadt, Scidmore and Rockey 1995; Hackstadt *et al.* 1996; Wylie, Hatch and Mcclarty 1997; Hatch and Mcclarty 1998; Carabeo, Mead and Hackstadt 2003). While *C. trachomatis* can acquire lipids from Golgi mini-stacks or multivesicular bodies, it is apparent that non-vesicular transport pathways can also be manipulated through the formation of membrane contact sites (MCS) between the membrane of the parasitophorous vacuole and the host organelle (Derré 2017).

Vesicle-associated membrane protein associated-protein (VAP) A and B (VAPA and VAPB) participate in the formation of MCS between the ER and other organelles (Derré 2017). VAPs bind to proteins that possess a 7 amino acid FFAT motif. As the chlamydial inclusion forms MCS with the ER, it is not surprising that VAPs localize to the inclusion membrane (Derré, Swiss and Agaisse 2011). A large-scale proteomic screen detected an interaction between VAPA/B and the inclusion membrane protein CT005 (Mirrashidi et al. 2015) (Fig. 5). Due to its interaction with VAPs, CT005 is now designated as IncV for Inc interaction with VAP (Stanhope et al. 2017). The C-terminus of IncV possesses two FFAT motifs, which were shown to be necessary for binding to VAPs (Stanhope et al. 2017). While an IncV null mutant is not impaired in intracellular replication, it does exhibit reduced VAP recruitment, and siRNA knockdown of VAPA and VAPB reduces inclusion size and infectious progeny production (Derré, Swiss and Agaisse 2011; Stanhope et al. 2017; Weber et al. 2017). Given that IncV intercalates into the inclusion membrane where it can interact with VAPs at ER-inclusion MCS and overexpression of IncV enhances VAP recruitment suggests that IncV functions as a tether (Stanhope *et al.* 2017) (Fig. 5). While IncV clearly plays a role in ER-inclusion tethering, ER-inclusion MCS and VAP recruitment are not abolished in the absence of IncV, suggesting other chlamydial factors could also function as tethers.

#### **REORGANIZATION OF THE CYTOSKELETON**

In order to maintain the stability and structure of the chlamydial inclusion, *C. trachomatis* co-opts the function of all four cytoskeletal elements: microtubules (MT), actin, intermediate filaments (IF) and septins (Kumar and Valdivia 2008; Al-Zeer *et al.* 2014; Volceanov *et al.* 2014). IFs have been implicated in providing stability to the expanding inclusion, whereas MTs promote movement of the inclusion to the MTOC (Grieshaber, Grieshaber and Hackstadt 2003; Kumar and Valdivia 2008). In contrast, actin polymerization has been implicated in invasion and release of chlamydial EBs.

Screening of a C. trachomatis chemical mutant library using a microscopy-based approach revealed that a CT813 mutant is deficient in recruiting F-actin to the inclusion (Kokes et al. 2015). As the loss of CT813 results in a loss of F-actin recruitment to the inclusion, it was renamed InaC for inclusion membrane protein for actin assembly (Kokes et al. 2015). By immunoprecipitating GFP-tagged InaC from transfected cells, it was determined that InaC interacts with members from two different protein families: ADP-ribosylation factors (ARF1, 4 and 5) and 14-3-3 proteins (Kokes et al. 2015; Wesolowski et al. 2017). Intriguingly, another study demonstrated that only ARF1 and 4 binds to InaC when it is overexpressed in Chlamydia. Furthermore, only ARF1 and 4 were recruited to the inclusion in an InaC-dependent manner (Wesolowski et al. 2017). These experimental differences could be due to differences in tags (GFP vs. Flag) or other experimental factors. The absence of InaC or knockdown of ARF1 or 4 impaired Golgi distribution around the inclusion in a manner that required intact F-actin filaments (Kokes et al. 2015; Wesolowski et al. 2017) (Fig. 4). While the total amount and structure of MT cages was not effected by loss of InaC, the amount of post-translationally modified MTs was strikingly decreased (Wesolowski et al. 2017). This observation corroborates previous studies that demonstrated that the amount of detyrosinated and acetylated alpha-tubulin increases during Chlamydia infection (Al-Zeer et al. 2014). While InaC obviously plays an important role in controlling Golgipositioning via binding to ARFs, the mechanistic underpinnings of this interaction remain unknown. Interestingly, InaC does not function as a guanine-nucleotide exchange factor, implying it must be able to activate ARFs via a unique mechanism (Wesolowski et al. 2017). ARFs clearly play a role in formation of the MT nest that encases the inclusion; however, other host factors have been implicated in formation of actin scaffolds (Kumar and Valdivia 2008; Paumet and Wesolowski 2017). Future work is needed to understand how InaC coordinates recruitment and formation of both F-actin and MT scaffolds at the inclusion.

# MANIPULATION OF THE HOST CELL DEATH AND THE IMMUNE RESPONSE

Chaperones often associate with T3SS effector proteins to promote effector translocation, a feature that has been exploited to identify candidate effector proteins (Pais et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2014). Chlamydia trachomatis possesses at least six putative

candidate effector proteins, including CT875 (Chen et al. 2014). Similar to TarP, CT875 is tyrosine phosphorylated by host Src family kinases following delivery into the eukaryotic cell, earning its designation translocated early phosphoprotein (TepP) (Chen et al. 2014). Tyrosine phosphorylation of TepP provides a docking site for SH2 and SH3 proteins, such as the signaling adaptor proteins Crk (Crk-I and Crk-II), Crk-like proto-oncogene adaptor protein (CrkL), glycogen synthase kinase  $3\beta$  (GSK3B) and class I phosphoinositide 3-kinases (PI3K) (Chen et al. 2014; Carpenter et al. 2017). Both CrkL and PI3K participate in immune signaling via interaction with STAT5, resulting in a type I interferon response. While TepP is dispensable for host cell invasion, it appears to play a key role in modulating host gene expression early in infection. Global transcriptomic profiling of endocervical epithelial cells infected with a TepP mutant identified 33 genes, many with immunity-related functions, that are differentially expressed compared with a wild-type infection. Notably, this included reduced expression of IFN-induced peptides with the tetratricopeptide repeat (IFIT1 and IFIT2) genes, which play an integral role in the anti-viral response. Induction of IFIT expression following Chlamydia infection was later linked to PI3K activity in the vicinity of the nascent inclusion (Carpenter et al. 2017). TepP was also shown to dampen the expression of chemokines (IL-6 and CXCL3), which promotes recruitment of neutrophils (Chen et al. 2014). Using an endometrial organoid model infected with a TepP mutant, Dolat and Valdivia demonstrated that TepP serves to dampen the immune response to C. trachomatis infection by limiting the influx of neutrophils (Dolat and Valdivia 2020).

Slc1 and McsC from EB lysates, Chen et al. identified several novel

#### **EXITING THE HOST CELL**

At the conclusion of the developmental cycle, bacteria are released by host cell lysis or extrusion (Hybiske and Stephens 2007). Given that the inclusion is encased in a complex cytoskeletal meshwork composed of MTs, IFs, actin and septins, it is not surprising that release requires manipulation of the cytoskeleton to extradite the inclusion from its cage. The extrusion route is dependent upon the myosin light chain 2 (MLC2) phosphorylation state, which is regulated by myosin kinase (MLCK) and myosin phosphatase (MYPT1) (Bugalhão and Mota 2019). Lysis is favored when MLC2 is phosphorylated, whereas extrusion is favored when MLC2 is phosphorylated (Lutter *et al.* 2013).

Using a Y2H screen, Lutter *et al.* demonstrated that the Inc protein CT228 binds to MYPT1 (Lutter *et al.* 2013). Phosphorylated MYPT1 was recruited to the periphery of the inclusion and both CT228 and MYPT1 were enriched in inclusion microdomains (Mital *et al.* 2010; Lutter *et al.* 2013). Phosphorylation of MYPT1 at T696 and T853 induces MYPT1 folding such that it can no longer interact with MLC2. As this would prevent dephosphorylation, MLC2 is still able to interact with myosin IIA and IIB, promoting extrusion (Lutter *et al.* 2013) (Fig. 4). Phosphorylated MLC2 and MLCK, the kinase that regulates the phosphorylation state of MLC2, was also observed at microdomains. Microdomains are areas on the inclusion that serve as focal points for the recruitment of Src-family tyrosine kinases, which are known to phosphorylate MLCK. Thus, microdomains could serve as signaling platforms that controls bacterial egress from the cell. A CT228 mutant was unable to recruit MYPT1, correlating with increased extrusion (Shaw *et al.* 2018). Surprisingly, CT228 disruption did not affect MLC2 recruitment, implying that *C. trachomatis* may possess other factors that mediate MLC2 recruitment and host cell egress. Intriguingly, clearance of a CT228 mutant was delayed during murine intravaginal infection, suggesting host cell escape via extrusion impacts the duration of *in vivo* infection (Shaw *et al.* 2018).

Another Inc, MrcA (CT101), recruits the  $Ca^{2+}$  channel inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor type 3 (ITPR3) to microdomains, where it localizes with active Src-family tyrosine kinases and STIM1, a  $Ca^{2+}$  sensor situated on the ER (Nguyen, Lutter and Hackstadt 2018). An MrcA mutant was unable to recruit ITPR3 and exhibited reduced extrusion (Fig. 4). Extrusion was also inhibited by siRNA knockdown of ITPR3 or STIM1, as well as by the calcium chelator BAPTA-AM. Decreased extrusion correlated with decreased MLC2 phosphorylation and depletion of myosin motor activity. Ultimately, this highlights the critical role of  $Ca^{2+}$  signaling pathways in the activation of chlamydial extrusion, as well as confirming that microdomains serve as hubs for cytoskeletal interactions.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Chlamydia trachomatis is presumed to deliver over 100 proteins through its T3SS that interfere with normal host cell processes to promote invasion, intracellular replication, inclusion formation and dissemination. The development of genetic tools to express epitope-tagged proteins and to make site-specific or random mutants in Chlamydia has substantially enhanced our understanding of how this important pathogen forms and maintains its niche within host cells. While the ability to make mutants has allowed us to link effector function to bacterial pathogenesis, the ability to manipulate chlamydiae remains challenging. For several important Incs, including IncD and IncE, the molecular function has been addressed using biochemical and molecular techniques (Derré, Swiss and Agaisse 2011; Mirrashidi et al. 2015), while the generation of a mutant in these effector proteins has been a major hurdle. These important effectors, along with many others, may be essential to chlamydial development. The ability to make conditional mutants using CRISPRi (Ouellette 2018) may be very beneficial to address these issues. Regardless, a detailed understanding of how this important pathogen subverts the host to establish its privileged niche is important for the development of improved therapeutics to combat infection.

#### **FUNDING**

This work is supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (1R01AI150812 and 1R01AI155434-01) to MMW.

Conflict of Interest. None declared.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdelrahman YM, Belland RJ. The chlamydial developmental cycle. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2005;29:949–59.
- Abdelrahman YM, Ouellette SP, Belland RJ et al. Polarized cell division of Chlamydia trachomatis. PLoS Pathog 2016;12:1–20.
- Agaisse H, Derré I. A C. trachomatis cloning vector and the generation of C. trachomatis strains expressing fluorescent proteins under the control of a C. trachomatis promoter. PLoS One 2013;8:e57090, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0057090.

- Agaisse H, Derré I. Expression of the effector protein IncD in Chlamydia trachomatis mediates recruitment of the lipid transfer protein CERT and the endoplasmic reticulumresident protein VAPB to the inclusion membrane. Infect Immun 2014;**82**:2037–47.
- Al-Zeer MA, Al-Younes HM, Kerr M et al. Chlamydia trachomatis remodels stable microtubules to coordinate Golgi stack recruitment to the chlamydial inclusion surface. Mol Microbiol 2014;94:1285–97.
- Almeida F, Luís MP, Pereira IS et al. The human centrosomal protein CCDC146 binds Chlamydia trachomatis inclusion membrane protein CT288 and is recruited to the periphery of the Chlamydia-containing vacuole. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2018;8:254, DOI: 10.3389/fcimb.2018.00254.
- Alzhanov DT, Weeks SK, Burnett JR et al. Cytokinesis is blocked in mammalian cells transfected with Chlamydia trachomatis gene CT223. BMC Microbiol 2009;**9**:1–10.
- Arasaki K, Toomre DK, Roy CR. The Legionella pneumophila effector DrrA is sufficient to stimulate SNARE-dependent membrane fusion. Cell Host Microbe 2012;**11**:46–57.
- Bachmann NL, Polkinghorne A, Timms P. Chlamydia genomics: providing novel insights into chlamydial biology. Trends Microbiol 2014;22:464–72.
- Bannantine JP, Griffiths RS, Viratyosin W et al. A secondary structure motif predictive of protein localization to the chlamydial inclusion membrane. *Cell Microbiol* 2000;**2**:35–47.
- Bannantine JP, Stamm WE, Suchland RJ et al. Chlamydia trachomatis IncA is localized to the inclusion membrane and is recognized by antisera from infected humans and primates? Infect Immun 1998;66:6017–21.
- Barker JR, Koestler BJ, Carpenter VK et al. STING-dependent recognition of cyclic di-AMP mediates type I interferon responses during Chlamydia trachomatis infection. mBio 2013;4:1–11.
- Bastidas RJ, Valdivia RH. Emancipating *Chlamydia*: advances in the genetic manipulation of a recalcitrant intracellular pathogen. 2016;**80**:411–27.
- Bauler LD, Hackstadt T. Expression and targeting of secreted proteins from Chlamydia trachomatis. J Bacteriol 2014;196:1325–34.
- Belland RJ, Zhong G, Crane DD et al. Genomic transcriptional profiling of the developmental cycle of Chlamydia trachomatis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;100:8478–83.
- Birkelund S, Johnsen H, Christiansen G. Chlamydia trachomatis serovar L2 induces protein tyrosine phosphorylation during uptake by HeLa cells. Infect Immun 1994;**62**:4900–8.
- Bugalhão JN, Mota LJ. The multiple functions of the numerous Chlamydia trachomatis secreted proteins: the tip of the iceberg. 2019;**6**:414–49.
- Bullock HD, Hower S, Fields KA. Domain analyses reveal that Chlamydia trachomatis CT694 protein belongs to the membrane-localized family of type III effector proteins. J Biol Chem 2012;**287**:28078–86.
- Cai H, Reinisch K, Ferro-Novick S. Coats, tethers, Rabs, and SNAREs work together to mediate the intracellular destination of a transport vesicle. *Dev Cell* 2007;**12**:671–82.
- Carabeo RA, Dooley CA, Grieshaber SS et al. Rac interacts with Abi-1 and WAVE2 to promote an Arp2/3-dependent actin recruitment during chlamydial invasion. *Cell Microbiol* 2007;**9**:2278–88.
- Carabeo RA, Grieshaber SS, Fischer E et al. Chlamydia trachomatis induces remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton during attachment and entry into HeLa cells. Infect Immun 2002;**70**: 3793–803.

- Carabeo RA, Mead DJ, Hackstadt T. Golgi-dependent transport of cholesterol to the Chlamydia trachomatis inclusion. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2003;**100**:6771–6.
- Carlson JH, Porcella SF, Mcclarty G et al. Comparative genomic analysis of Chlamydia trachomatis oculotropic and genitotropic strains. Infect Immun 2005;**73**:6407–18.
- Carpenter V, Chen Y-S, Dolat L et al. The effector TepP mediates recruitment and activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase on early Chlamydia trachomatis Vacuoles. mSphere 2017;2:1–15.
- Caven L, Carabeo RA. Pathogenic puppetry: manipulation of the host actin cytoskeleton by *Chlamydia trachomatis*. Int J Mol Sci 2020;**21**:1–21.
- Chellas-Géry B, Linton CN, Fields KA. Human GCIP interacts with CT847, a novel Chlamydia trachomatis type III secretion substrate, and is degraded in a tissue-culture infection model. *Cell Microbiol* 2007;**9**:2417–30.
- Chen C, Chen D, Sharma J et al. The hypothetical protein CT813 is localized in the *Chlamydia trachomatis* inclusion membrane and is immunogenic in women urogenitally infected with *C. trachomatis*. *Infect Immun* 2006;**74**:4826–40.
- Chen YS, Bastidas RJ, Saka HA et al. The Chlamydia trachomatis Type III secretion chaperone Slc1 engages multiple early effectors, including TepP, a tyrosine-phosphorylated protein required for the recruitment of CrkI-II to nascent inclusions and innate immune signaling. PLoS Pathog 2014;10:e1003954, DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003954.
- Cingolani G, McCauley M, Lobley A *et al*. Structural basis for the homotypic fusion of chlamydial inclusions by the SNARE-like protein IncA. Nat Commun 2019;**10**:1–12.
- Clausen JD, Christiansen G, Holst HU et al. Chlamydia trachomatis utilizes the host cell microtubule network during early events of infection. Mol Microbiol 1997;**25**:441–9.
- Clifton DR, Dooley CA, Grieshaber SS et al. Tyrosine phosphorylation of the chlamydial effector protein TarP is species specific and not required for recruitment of actin. *Infect Immun* 2005;**73**:3860–8.
- Clifton DR, Fields KA, Grieshaber SS et al. A chlamydial type III translocated protein is tyrosine-phosphorylated at the site of entry and associated with recruitment of actin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004;**101**:10166–71.
- Cossé MM, Barta ML, Fisher DJ et al. The loss of expression of a single type 3 effector (CT622) strongly reduces Chlamydia trachomatis infectivity and growth. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2018;8:1–19.
- Da Cunha M, Milho C, Almeida F et al. Identification of type III secretion substrates of Chlamydia trachomatis using Yersinia enterocolitica as a heterologous system. BMC Microbiol 2014;14:1–14.
- Da Cunha M, Pais SV, Bugalhão JN et al. The Chlamydia trachomatis type III secretion substrates CT142, CT143, and CT144 are secreted into the lumen of the inclusion. PLoS One 2017;12: 1–24.
- Delevoye C, Nilges M, Dautry-Varsat A et al. Conservation of the biochemical properties of IncA from Chlamydia trachomatis and Chlamydia caviae: oligomerization of IncA mediates interaction between facing membranes. J Biol Chem 2004;279:46896–906.
- Delevoye C, Nilges M, Dehoux P *et al*. SNARE protein mimicry by an intracellular bacterium. PLoS Pathog 2008;4:e1000022, DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000022.
- DeMali KA, Jue AL, Burridge K. IpaA targets  $\beta$ 1 integrins and rho to promote actin cytoskeleton rearrangements necessary for Shigella entry. J Biol Chem 2006;**281**:39534–41.

- Derré I, Pypaert M, Dautry-Varsat A et al. RNAi screen in Drosophila cells reveals the involvement of the tom complex in *Chlamydia* infection. PLoS Pathog 2007;**3**:1446–58.
- Derré I, Swiss R, Agaisse H. The lipid transfer protein CERT interacts with the *Chlamydia* inclusion protein IncD and participates to ER-*Chlamydia* inclusion membrane contact sites. *PLoS Pathog* 2011;7:e1002092, DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002092.
- Derré I. Hijacking of membrane contact sites by intracellular bacterial pathogens. Adv Exp Med Biol 2017;997:211–23.
- Dolat L, Valdivia RH. An endometrial organoid model of *Chlamydia*-epithelial and immune cell interactions. *bioRxiv* 2020,DOI: 10.1101/2020.07.29.226969.
- Dumoux M, Menny A, Delacour D et al. A Chlamydia effector recruits CEP170 to reprogram host microtubule organization. *J Cell Sci* 2015;**128**:3420–34.
- Elwell CA, Ceesay A, Jung HK *et al*. RNA interference screen identifies Abl kinase and PDGFR signaling in *Chlamydia trachoma*tis entry. PLoS Pathog 2008;**4**:1–15.
- Elwell CA, Czudnochowski N, Dollen J V et al. Chlamydia interfere with an interaction between the mannose-6-phosphate receptor and sorting nexins to counteract host restriction. *eLife* 2017;6:1–17.
- Elwell CA, Mirrashidi K, Engel J. Chlamydia cell biology and pathogenesis. Nat Rev Microbiol 2016;14:385–400.
- Faris R, McCullough A, Andersen SE et al. The Chlamydia trachomatis secreted effector TmeA hijacks the N-WASP-ARP2/3 actin remodeling axis to facilitate cellular invasion. PLoS Pathog 2020;**16**:e1008878.
- Faris R, Merling M, Andersen SE et al. Chlamydia trachomatis CT229 subverts Rab GTPase-dependent CCV trafficking pathways to promote chlamydial infection. *Cell Rep* 2019;**26**: 3380–90.
- Fawaz FS, Van Ooij C, Homola E et al. Infection with Chlamydia trachomatis alters the tyrosine phosphorylation and/or localization of several host cell proteins including cortactin. Infect Immun 1997;**65**:5301–8.
- Ford C, Nans A, Boucrot E et al. Chlamydia exploits filopodial capture and a macropinocytosis-like pathway for host cell entry. PLoS Pathog 2018;14:e1007051.
- Gehre L, Olivier G, Perrinet S et al. Sequestration of host metabolism by an intracellular pathogen. eLife 2016;5:e12552.
- Geisler WM, Suchland RJ, Rockey DD et al. Epidemiology and clinical manifestations of unique Chlamydia trachomatis isolates that occupy nonfusogenic inclusions. J Infect Dis 2001;**184**:879–84.
- Ghosh S, Park J, Thomas M et al. Biophysical characterization of actin bundles generated by the Chlamydia trachomatis TarP effector. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2018;**2**:423–8.
- Ghosh S, Ruelkea EA, Ferrell JC et al. FRAEM-mediated gene deletion indicates a requirement for Chlamydia trachomatis Tarp during in vivo infectivity and reveals a specific role for the C-terminus during cellular invasion. Infect Immun 2020, DOI: 10.1128/IAI.00841-19.
- Giebel AM, Hu S, Rajaram K et al. Genetic screen in Chlamydia muridarum reveals role for an interferon-induced host cell death program in antimicrobial inclusion rupture. *mBio* 2019;**10**:1–16.
- Gong S, Lei L, Chang X et al. Chlamydia trachomatis secretion of hypothetical protein CT622 into host cell cytoplasm via a secretion pathway that can be inhibited by the type III secretion system inhibitor compound 1. Microbiology 2017;157:1134–44.

- Grieshaber SS, Grieshaber NA, Hackstadt T. Chlamydia trachomatis uses host cell dynein to traffic to the microtubuleorganizing center in a p50 dynamitin-independent process. J Cell Sci 2003;**116**:3793–.
- Gurumurthy RK, Mäurer AP, Machuy N et al. A loss-of-function screen reveals Ras- and Raf-independent MEK-ERK signaling during Chlamydia trachomatis infection. Sci Signal 2010;**3**:DOI: 10.1126/scisignal.2000651.
- Hackstadt T, Rockey DD, Heinzen RA et al. Chlamydia trachomatis interrupts an exocytic pathway to acquire endogenously synthesized sphingomyelin in transit from the Golgi apparatus to the plasma membrane. EMBO J 1996;**15**:964–77.
- Hackstadt T, Scidmore-Carlson MA, Shaw EI et al. The Chlamydia trachomatis IncA protein is required for homotypic vesicle fusion. Cell Microbiol 1999;1:119–30.
- Hackstadt T, Scidmore MA, Rockey DD. Lipid metabolism in Chlamydia trachomatis-infected cells: directed trafficking of Golgi-derived sphingolipids to the chlamydial inclusion. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1995;**92**:4877–81.
- Hatch GM, Mcclarty G. Phospholipid composition of purified Chlamydia trachomatis mimics that of the eucaryotic host cell. Infect Immun 1998;66:3727–35.
- Heinzen RA, Scidmore MA, Rockey DD et al. Differential interaction with endocytic and exocytic pathways distinguish parasitophorous vacuoles of Coxiella burnetii and Chlamydia trachomatis. Infect Immun 1996;**64**:796–809.
- Hobolt-Pedersen AS, Christiansen G, Timmerman E et al. Identification of Chlamydia trachomatis CT621, a protein delivered through the type III secretion system to the host cell cytoplasm and nucleus. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 2009;57: 46–58.
- Hooppaw AJ, Fisher DJ. A coming of age story: Chlamydia in the post-genetic era. 2016;84:612–21.
- Hower S, Wolf K, Fields KA. Evidence that CT694 is a novel Chlamydia trachomatis T3S substrate capable of functioning during invasion or early cycle development. Mol Microbiol 2009;72:1423–37.
- Hu VH, Holland MJ, Burton MJ. Trachoma: protective and pathogenic ocular immune responses to Chlamydia trachomatis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2013;7, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0002020.
- Hybiske K, Stephens RS. Mechanisms of host cell exit by the intracellular bacterium Chlamydia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007;104:11430–5.
- Jewett TJ, Dooley CA, Mead DJ et al. Chlamydia trachomatis tarp is phosphorylated by src family tyrosine kinases. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2008;**371**:339–44.
- Jewett TJ, Fischer ER, Mead DJ et al. Chlamydial TARP is a bacterial nucleator of actin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006;**2006**: 15599–604.
- Jewett TJ, Miller NJ, Dooley CA *et al*. The Conserved Tarp Actin Binding Domain Is Important for Chlamydial Invasion. PLoS Pathog 2010;**6**:e1000997.
- Jia T, Liu D, Luo J et al. Localization of the hypothetical protein CT249 in the Chlamydia trachomatis inclusion membrane. Wei Sheng Wu Xue Bao 2007;47:645–8.
- Jiwani S, Alvarado S, Ohr RJ et al. Chlamydia trachomatis tarp harbors distinct G and F actin binding domains that bundle actin filaments. J Bacteriol 2013;**195**:708–16.
- Jiwani S, Ohr RJ, Fischer ER et al. Chlamydia trachomatis Tarp cooperates with the Arp2/3 complex to increase the rate of actin polymerization. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2012;**420**: 816–21.

- Johnson CM, Fisher DJ. Site-specific, insertional inactivation of incA in Chlamydia trachomatis using a group II intron. PLoS One 2013;8:e83989, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083989.
- Kabeiseman EJ, Cichos K, Hackstadt T et al. Vesicleassociated membrane protein 4 and syntaxin 6 interactions at the chlamydial inclusion. Infect Immun 2013;81: 3326–37.
- Kari L, Goheen MM, Randall LB et al. Generation of targeted Chlamydia trachomatis null mutants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011;108:7189–93.
- Keb G, Hayman R, Fields KA. Floxed-cassette allelic exchange mutagenesis enables markerless gene deletion in Chlamydia trachomatis and can reverse cassette-induced polar effects. J Bacteriol 2018;200:e00479–18, DOI: 10.1128/JB.00479-18.
- Kerr MC, Gomez GA, Ferguson C et al. Laser-mediated rupture of chlamydial inclusions triggers pathogen egress and host cell necrosis. Nat Commun 2017;8:1–12.
- Kokes M, Dunn JD, Granek JA et al. Integrating chemical mutagenesis and whole-genome sequencing as a platform for forward and reverse genetic analysis of Chlamydia. Cell Host Microbe 2015;17:716–25.
- Kumagai K, Cherilyn EA, Ando S et al. Both the N- and C- terminal regions of the Chlamydial inclusion protein D are required for interaction with the pleckstrin homology domain of the ceramide transport protein CERT. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2019;505:1070–6.
- Kumar Y, Cocchiaro J, Valdivia RH et al. The obligate intracellular pathogen Chlamydia trachomatis targets host lipid droplets. *Curr Biol* 2006;**16**:1646–51.
- Kumar Y, Valdivia RH. Actin and intermediate filaments stabilize the Chlamydia trachomatis vacuole by forming dynamic structural scaffolds. Cell Host Microbe 2008;4: 159–69.
- LaBrie SD, Dimond ZE, Harrison KS et al. Transposon mutagenesis in Chlamydia trachomatis identifies CT339 as a ComEC homolog important for DNA uptake and lateral gene transfer. mBio 2019;**10**:e01343–19.
- Lane BJ, Mutchler C, Al Khodor S et al. Chlamydial entry involves TARP binding of guanine nucleotide exchange factors. PLoS Pathog 2008;4, DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000014.
- Lausen M, Christiansen G, Bouet T et al. Immunobiology of monocytes and macrophages during Chlamydia trachomatis infection. Microbes Infect 2018, DOI: 10.1016/j.micinf.2018.10.007.
- Li Z, Chen C, Chen D et al. Characterization of fifty putative inclusion membrane proteins encoded in the *Chlamydia trachoma*tis genome. Infect Immun 2008;**76**:2746–57.
- Lowden NM, Yeruva L, Johnson CM et al. Use of aminoglycoside 3' adenyltransferase as a selection marker for Chlamydia trachomatis intron-mutagenesis and in vivo intron stability. BMC Res Notes 2015;8:1–10.
- Lu C, Lei L, Peng B et al. Chlamydia trachomatis GlgA is secreted into host cell cytoplasm. PLoS One 2013;8:1–12.
- Lutter EI, Barger AC, Nair V et al. Chlamydia trachomatis inclusion membrane protein CT228 recruits elements of the myosin phosphatase pathway to regulate release mechanisms. Cell Rep 2013;**3**:1921–31.
- Lutter EI, Bonner C, Holland MJ et al. Phylogenetic analysis of Chlamydia trachomatis Tarp and Correlation with Clinical phenotype. Infect Immun 2010;**78**:3678–88.
- McKeun MJ, Mueller KE, Bae YS et al. Fluorescence-reported allelic exchange mutagenesis reveals a role for Chlamydia trachomatis TmeA in invasion that is independent of host AHNAK. Infect Immun 2017;85:1–18.

- Mehlitz A, Banhart S, Mäurer AP et al. Tarp regulates early Chlamydia-induced host cell survival through interactions with the human adaptor protein SHC1. J Cell Biol 2010;**190**:143–57.
- Mirrashidi KM, Elwell CA, Verschueren E et al. Global mapping of the inc-human interactome reveals that retromer restricts *Chlamydia* infection. *Cell Host Microbe* 2015;**18**:109–21.
- Mital J, Lutter EI, Barger AC et al. Biochemical and biophysical research communications Chlamydia trachomatis inclusion membrane protein CT850 interacts with the dynein light chain DYNLT1 (Tctex1). Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2015;462:165–70.
- Mital J, Miller NJ, Fischer ER *et al*. Specific chlamydial inclusion membrane proteins associate with active Src family kinases in microdomains that interact with the host microtubule network. *Cell Microbiol* 2010;**12**:1235–49.
- Monteiro-Brás T, Wesolowski J, Paumet F. Depletion of SNAP-23 and Syntaxin 4 alters lipid droplet homeostasis during *Chlamydia* infection. Microb Cell 2020;7:46–58.
- Moore ER, Mead DJ, Dooley CA et al. The trans-Golgi SNARE syntaxin 6 is recruited to the chlamydial inclusion membrane. *Microbiology* 2011;**157**:830–8.
- Mueller KE, Fields KA. Application of  $\beta$  -lactamase reporter fusions as an indicator of effector protein secretion during infections with the obligate intracellular pathogen Chlamydia trachomatis. PLoS One 2015;**10**:e0135295.
- Mueller KE, Wolf K, Fields KA. Gene deletion by fluorescencereported allelic exchange mutagenesis in *Chlamydia trachomatis. mBio* 2016;7:1–9.
- Muschiol S, Boncompain G, Vromman F et al. Identification of a family of effectors secreted by the type III secretion system that are conserved in pathogenic chlamydiae. *Infect Immun* 2011;**79**:571–80.
- Nguyen BD, Valdivia RH. Virulence determinants in the obligate intracellular pathogen Chlamydia trachomatis revealed by forward genetic approaches. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012;109:1263–8.
- Nguyen PH, Lutter EI, Hackstadt T. Chlamydia trachomatis inclusion membrane protein MrcA interacts with the inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor type 3 (ITPR3) to regulate extrusion formation. PLoS Pathog 2018;**14**:1–19.
- Olson MG, Widner RE, Jorgenson LM et al. Proximity labeling to map host-pathogen interactions at the membrane of a bacteria containing vacuole in Chlamydia trachomatis Infected Human Cells. Infect Immun 2019, DOI: 10.1128/iai.00537-19.
- Ouellette SP. Feasibility of a conditional knockout system for Chlamydia based on CRISPR interference. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2018;8: DOI: 10.3389/fcimb.2018.00059.
- Pais S V, Key CE, Vítor B et al. CteG is a Chlamydia trachomatis effector protein that associates with the Golgi complex of infected host cells. Sci Rep 2019;9: DOI: 10.1038/s41598-019-42647-3.
- Pais S V., Milho C, Almeida F et al. Identification of novel type III secretion chaperone-substrate complexes of Chlamydia trachomatis. PLoS One 2013;8: DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0056292.
- Pannekoek Y, Spaargaren J, Langerak AAJ et al. Interrelationship between polymorphisms of incA, fusogenic properties of Chlamydia trachomatis strains, and clinical manifestations in patients in The Netherlands. J Clin Microbiol 2005;43: 2441–3.
- Parrett CJ, Lenoci RV, Nguyen B et al. Targeted disruption of Chlamydia trachomatis invasion by in trans expression of dominant negative TarP effectors. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2016;6:1–12.

- Paul B, Kim HS, Kerr MC et al. Structural basis for the hijacking of endosomal sorting nexin proteins by Chlamydia trachomatis. eLife 2017;6:1–23.
- Paumet F, Wesolowski J, Garcia-diaz A et al. Intracellular bacteria encode inhibitory SNARE-like proteins. PLoS One 2009;4:e7375.
- Paumet F, Wesolowski J. Taking control: reorganization of the host cytoskeleton by Chlamydia. F1000Research 2017;6:4–11.
- Pedrosa AT, Murphy KN, Nogueira AT et al. A post-invasion role for Chlamydia type III effector TarP in modulating the dynamics and organization of host cell focal adhesions. J Biol Chem 2020;295:14763–79.
- Pennini ME, Perrinet S, Dautry-Varsat A et al. Histone methylation by NUE, a novel nuclear effector of the intracellular pathogen Chlamydia trachomatis. PLoS Pathog 2010;6:1–12.
- Pokorzynski ND, Thompson CC, Carabeo RA. Ironing out the unconventional mechanisms of iron acquisition and gene regulation in Chlamydia. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2017;7:1–19.
- Rahnama M, Fields KA. Transformation of *Chlamydia*: current approaches and impact on our understanding of chlamydial infection biology. 2019;**20**:445–50.
- Rockey DD, Grosenbach D, Hruby DE et al. Chlamydia psittaci IncA is phosphorylated by the host cell and is exposed on the cytoplasmic face of the developing inclusion. Mol Microbiol 1997;**24**:217–28.
- Rockey DD, Heinzen RA, Hackstadt T. Cloning and characterization of a *Chlamydia psittaci* gene coding for a protein localized in the inclusion membrane of infected cells. *Mol Microbiol* 1995;**15**:617–26.
- Rockey DD, Rosquist JL. Protein antigens of Chlamydia psittaci present in infected cells but not detected in the infectious elementary body. Infect Immun 1994;**62**:106–12.
- Ronzone E, Paumet F. Two coiled-coil domains of *Chlamydia trachomatis* IncA affect membrane fusion events during infection. PLoS One 2013;8:e69769.
- Ronzone E, Wesolowski J, Bauler LD et al. An  $\alpha$ -helical core encodes the dual functions of the chlamydial protein IncA. J Biol Chem 2014;**289**:33469–80.
- Rzomp KA, Moorhead AR, Scidmore MA. The GTPase Rab4 interacts with Chlamydia trachomatis inclusion membrane protein CT229. Infect Immun 2006;**74**:5362–73.
- Rzomp KA, Scholtes LD, Briggs BJ et al. Rab GTPases are recruited to chlamydial inclusions in both a species-dependent and species-independent manner. Infect Immun 2003;71:5855–70.
- Saka HA, Thompson JW, Chen Y et al. Quantitative proteomics reveals metabolic and pathogenic properties of Chlamydia trachomatis developmental forms. Mol Microbiol 2011;82: 1185–203.
- Scidmore-Carlson MA, Shaw EI, Dooley CA et al. Identification and characterization of a Chlamydia trachomatis early operon encoding four novel inclusion membrane proteins. Mol Microbiol 1999;**33**:753–65.
- Scidmore MA, Fischer ER, Hackstadt T. Restricted fusion of Chlamydia trachomatis vesicles with endocytic compartments during the initial stages of infection. Infect Immun 2003;71:973–84.
- Scidmore MA, Fischer ER, Hackstadt T. Sphingolipids and glycoproteins are differentially trafficked to the *Chlamydia trachomatis* inclusion. *J Cell Biol* 1996;**134**:363–74.
- Scidmore MA, Hackstadt T. Mammalian 14-3-3 b associates with the Chlamydia trachomatis inclusion membrane via its interaction with IncG. Mol Microbiol 2001;**39**:1638–50.

- Shaw EI, Dooley CA, Fischer ER et al. Three temporal classes of gene expression during the *Chlamydia trachomatis* developmental cycle. Mol Microbiol 2000;**37**:913–25.
- Shaw JH, Key CE, Snider TA et al. Genetic inactivation of Chlamydia trachomatis inclusion membrane protein CT228 alters MYPT1 recruitment, extrusion production, and longevity of infection. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2018;8, DOI: 10.3389/fcimb.2018.00415.
- Singh PK, Kapoor A, Lomash RM et al. Salmonella SipA mimics a cognate SNARE for host Syntaxin 8 to promote fusion with early endosomes. J Cell Biol 2018;**217**:4199–214.
- Sisko JL, Spaeth K, Kumar Y et al. Multifunctional analysis of Chlamydia-specific genes in a yeast expression system. Mol Microbiol 2006;**60**:51–66.
- Sixt BS, Bastidas RJ, Finethy R et al. The Chlamydia trachomatis inclusion membrane protein CpoS counteracts STINGmediated cellular surveillance and suicide programs. Cell Host Microbe 2017;21:113–21.
- Sixt BS, Valdivia RH, Kroemer G. Chlamydia trachomatis' struggle to keep its host alive. Microb Cell 2017;4:101–4.
- Stanhope R, Flora E, Bayne C et al. IncV, a FFAT motif-containing Chlamydia protein, tethers the endoplasmic reticulum to the pathogen-containing vacuole. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2017;114:12039–44.
- Subtil A, Delevoye C, Balañá ME et al. A directed screen for chlamydial proteins secreted by a type III mechanism identifies a translocated protein and numerous other new candidates. Mol Microbiol 2005;56:1636–47.
- Suchland RJ, Rockey DD, Bannantine JP et al. Isolates of Chlamydia trachomatis that occupy nonfusogenic inclusions lack IncA, a protein localized to the inclusion membrane. *Infect Immun* 2000;**68**:360–7.
- Sun Q, Yong X, Sun X *et al*. Structural and functional insights into sorting nexin 5/6 interaction with bacterial effector IncE. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2017;**2**:17030.
- Tam JE, Davis CH, Wyrick PB. Expression of recombinant DNA introduced into Chlamydia trachomatis by electroporation. Can J Microbiol 1994;40:583–91.
- Thwaites T, Nogueira AT, Campeotto I et al. The Chlamydia effector TarP mimics the mammalian leucine–aspartic acid motif of paxillin to subvert the focal adhesion kinase during invasion. 2014;**289**:30426–42.
- Thwaites TR, Pedrosa AT, Peacock TP et al. Vinculin interacts with the Chlamydia effector TarP via a tripartite vinculin binding domain to mediate actin recruitment and assembly at the plasma membrane. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2015;5, DOI: 10.3389/fcimb.2015.00088.
- Valdivia RH. Chlamydia effector proteins and new insights into chlamydial cellular microbiology. Curr Opin Microbiol 2008;11:53–9.
- Volceanov L, Herbst K, Biniossek M et al. Septins arrange F-actincontaining fibers on the *Chlamydia trachomatis* inclusion and are required for normal release of the inclusion by extrusion. *m*Bio 2014;5:e01802–14.
- Vromman F, Perrinet S, Gehre L et al. The DUF582 proteins of Chlamydia trachomatis bind to components of the ESCRT machinery, which is dispensable for bacterial growth in vitro. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2016;6:123, DOI: 10.3389/fcimb.2016.00123.
- Wang X, Hybiske K, Stephens RS. Direct visualization of the expression and localization of chlamydial effector proteins within infected host cells. *Pathog Dis* 2018;**76**:1–9.

- Wang Y, Kahane S, Cutcliffe LT *et al.* Development of a transformation system for Chlamydia trachomatis: restoration of glycogen biosynthesis by acquisition of a plasmid shuttle vector. PLoS Pathog 2011;7:e1002258, DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002258.
- Weber MM, Bauler LD, Lam J et al. Expression and localization of predicted inclusion membrane proteins in Chlamydia trachomatis. Infect Immun 2015;83: 4710–8.
- Weber MM, Faris R. Subversion of the endocytic and secretory pathways by bacterial effector proteins. Front Cell Dev Biol 2018;6:1–17.
- Weber MM, Lam JL, Dooley CA et al. Absence of specific Chlamydia trachomatis inclusion membrane proteins triggers premature inclusion membrane lysis and host cell death. *Cell Rep* 2017;**19**:1406–17.

- Weber MM, Noriea NF, Bauler LD et al. A functional core of IncA is required for Chlamydia trachomatis inclusion fusion, J Bacteriol 2016;**198**:1347–55, DOI: 10.1128/JB.00933-15.
- Wesolowski J, Weber MM, Nawrotek A et al. Chlamydia hijacks ARF GTPases to coordinate microtubule posttranslational modifications and Golgi complex positioning. *mBio* 2017;8: 1–14.
- Whitewood AJ, Singh AK, Brown DG et al. Chlamydial virulence factor TarP mimics talin to disrupt the talin–vinculin complex. FEBS J 2018;**592**:1751–60.
- Wickstrum J, Sammons LR, Restivo KN et al. Conditional gene expression in Chlamydia trachomatis using the Tet system. PLoS One 2013;8:e76743, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0076743.
- Wylie JL, Hatch GM, Mcclarty G. Host cell phospholipids are trafficked to and then modified by Chlamydia trachomatis. J Bacteriol 1997;**179**:7233–42.