



## Impact of a Bacterial Volatile 2,3-Butanediol on *Bacillus subtilis* Rhizosphere Robustness

Hwe-Su Yi<sup>1,2</sup>, Yeo-Rim Ahn<sup>1,3</sup>, Geun C. Song<sup>1</sup>, Sa-Youl Ghim<sup>2</sup>, Soohyun Lee<sup>1</sup>, Gahyung Lee<sup>1</sup> and Choong-Min Ryu<sup>1,4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Molecular Phytobacteriology Laboratory, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Daejeon, South Korea, <sup>2</sup> School of Life Science, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, South Korea, <sup>3</sup> Department of Biological Science, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejeon, South Korea, <sup>4</sup> Biosystems and Bioengineering Program, School of Science, University of Science and Technology, Daejeon, South Korea

**OPEN ACCESS** 

#### Edited by:

Corné M. J. Pieterse, Utrecht University, Netherlands

#### Reviewed by:

Jurriaan Ton, University of Sheffield, UK Harsh Bais, University of Delaware, USA

> \*Correspondence: Choong-Min Ryu cmryu@kribb.re.kr

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Biotic Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 01 December 2015 Accepted: 09 June 2016 Published: 28 June 2016

#### Citation:

Yi H-S, Ahn Y-R, Song GC, Ghim S-Y, Lee S, Lee G and Ryu C-M (2016) Impact of a Bacterial Volatile 2,3-Butanediol on Bacillus subtilis Rhizosphere Robustness. Front. Microbiol. 7:993. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00993 Volatile compounds, such as short chain alcohols, acetoin, and 2,3-butanediol, produced by certain strains of root-associated bacteria (rhizobacteria) elicit induced systemic resistance in plants. The effects of bacterial volatile compounds (BVCs) on plant and fungal growth have been extensively studied; however, the impact of bacterial BVCs on bacterial growth remains poorly understood. In this study the effects of a well-characterized bacterial volatile, 2,3-butanediol, produced by the rhizobacterium Bacillus subtilis, were examined in the rhizosphere. The nature of 2,3-butanediol on bacterial cells was assessed, and the effect of the molecule on root colonization was also determined. Pepper roots were inoculated with three B. subtilis strains: the wild type, a 2,3-butanediol overexpressor, and a 2,3-butanediol null mutant. The B. subtilis null strain was the first to be eliminated in the rhizosphere, followed by the wildtype strain. The overexpressor mutant was maintained at roots for the duration of the experiment. Rhizosphere colonization by a saprophytic fungus declined from 14 days post-inoculation in roots treated with the B. subtilis overexpressor strain. Next, exudates from roots exposed to 2,3-butanediol were assessed for their impact on fungal and bacterial growth in vitro. Exudates from plant roots pre-treated with the 2,3-butanediol overexpressor were used to challenge various microorganisms. Growth was inhibited in a saprophytic fungus (Trichoderma sp.), the 2,3-butanediol null B. subtilis strain, and a soil-borne pathogen, Ralstonia solanacearum. Direct application of 2,3-butanediol to pepper roots, followed by exposure to R. solanacearum, induced expression of Pathogenesis-Related (PR) genes such as CaPR2, CaSAR8.2, and CaPAL. These results indicate that 2,3-butanediol triggers the secretion of root exudates that modulate soil fungi and rhizosphere bacteria. These data broaden our knowledge regarding bacterial volatiles in the rhizosphere and their roles in bacterial fitness and as important inducers of plant defenses.

Keywords: PGPR, ISR, volatile, 2,3-butanediol, bacteria robustness

#### INTRODUCTION

The rhizosphere is defined as the narrow area surrounding the plant root system. Root exudates within the rhizosphere act as a food source for other organisms (Bowen and Rovira, 1999). As a result, the rhizosphere is an important habitat for many different microbes, and acts as a competitive arena for roots and soil-borne-pathogenic and rhizosphere bacteria (rhizobacteria; Vespermann et al., 2007). Among the rhizobacteria, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) have been the subject of much research in recent decades. PGPR colonization of roots promotes plant growth and enhances crop yields (biostimulants), and can help protect against plant pathogens (bioprotectants; Kloepper and Metting, 1992; Kloepper et al., 2004; Ryu et al., 2004; Calvo et al., 2014; Chung et al., 2016). PGPRs act as biostimulants and bioprotectants by (1) acting antagonistically to target pathogens, (2) producing plant hormone mimics, and (3) inducing systemic resistance (Kloepper and Ryu, 2006).

Volatile compounds such as isoprene, terpenes, alkanes, alkenes, alcohols, esters, carbonyls, and acids can influence communication between organisms, including between bacteria and plants (Kesselmeier and Staudt, 1999; Ryu et al., 2005a; Kai et al., 2007). Previous research reported that PGPR bacilli emitted volatiles that triggered plant growth promotion and induced systemic resistance (ISR; Ryu et al., 2003, 2004; Chung et al., 2016). After this discovery, numerous studies identified further bacterial volatiles and determined their effects on plant responses. Some volatiles are now available for field applications (Cortes-Barco et al., 2010a,b; Farag et al., 2013; Chung et al., 2016). One well-characterized volatile is 2,3-butanediol, which was examined in multiple Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacterial species such as Bacillus spp., Aerobacter spp., Serratia spp., Enterobacter spp., and Klebsiella spp. (Barrett et al., 1983; Voloch et al., 1985; Ryu et al., 2004; Han et al., 2006). Acetoin is the last synthesis intermediate for 2,3-butanediol, and metabolic conversion of acetoin to 2,3-butanediol is reversible in most bacteria but irreversible in fungi such as yeast (Syu, 2001). Acetoin and 2,3butanediol also mediate plant-beneficial effects such as growth promotion and ISR in model plants and crops under in vitro and in situ conditions (Ryu et al., 2003, 2004; Han et al., 2006; Hahm et al., 2012). Recent metabolic engineering approaches facilitated increased production of 2,3-butanediol in non-producer or lowproducer bacterial species such as Klebsiella oxytoca, Escherichia coli, and Paenibacillus polymyxa by introduction of new genes and modification of biosynthetic pathways (Ji et al., 2011, 2014; Yang et al., 2013; Bai et al., 2015). However, the benefit to bacteria of producing 2,3-butanediol in the anaerobic conditions of the rhizosphere remains unknown.

The role of 2,3-butanediol in bacterial fitness has not been intensively studied. Early research in a mouse model revealed that 2,3-butanediol synthesis in *Vibrio cholerae* conferred a survival advantage *in vivo* during infection of intestines (Yoon and Mekalanos, 2006; Xiao and Xu, 2007). It is thought that 2,3-butanediol acts as a neutralizer in the acidic conditions of the intestinal cells. A null mutant that was unable to produce 2,3-butanediol was unable to colonize or maintain the bacterial populations during infection (Xiao and Xu, 2007; Pradhan et al.,

2010; Bari et al., 2011). We hypothesized that 2,3-butanediol might play a similar bacterial fitness role in the rhizosphere. In this study, the effects of 2,3-butanediol on rhizosphere colonization were examined using three strains: *Bacillus subtilis* 168, BSIP1174 [a 2,3-butanediol null mutant referred to as "2,3-B(-)"], and BSIP1171 [an overexpression strain referred to as "2,3-B(+++)"]. In addition, the indirect effects of 2,3-butanediol on secretion of root exudates were examined in pepper roots. Finally, the antimicrobial capacity of root exudates elicited by 2,3-butanediol treatment was assessed. Exudates exhibited selective antagonism against pathogenic bacteria such as *Ralstonia solanacearum*. To our knowledge, this is the first report to characterize a bacterial volatile under *in situ* conditions in plants and to validate *in vitro*.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Plant Materials and Bacterial Preparation**

Plants were grown were carried out as previously described (Kang et al., 2007). Briefly, seeds of *Capsicum annuum* were surface-sterilized with 6% sodium hypochlorite, washed four times with sterile distilled water (SDW), and then maintained at 25°C for 3 days until germination on Murashige and Skoog medium (Duchefa, Haarlem, the Netherlands). Germinated seeds were then transplanted to soilless media (Punong Horticulture Nursery Media LOW, Punong, Co. Ltd., Gyeongju, South Korea). Plants were grown at  $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C under fluorescent light (12 h/12 h day/night cycle, 7000 lx light intensity) in a controlled-environment growth room. After establishment of seedlings, plants were transferred to the KRIBB greenhouse facility in Daejeon, South Korea.

Three B. subtilis strains were used to assess the role of 2,3butanediol on bacterial rhizosphere competence: 168, BSIP1174 [2,3-butanediol null mutant referred to as 2,3-B(-)], and BSIP1171 [2,3-butanediol overexpression mutant referred to as 2,3-B(++); Cruz et al., 2000]. Bacterial suspension (5 ml at  $10^8$ colony forming units/ml) was used to inoculate pepper roots, as described previously (Lee et al., 2012, 2013). A spontaneous rifampicin resistance mutant of wild-type B. subtilis 168 was isolated previously (Ryu et al., 2005b). Bacterial strains were isolated from plant roots using specific antibiotics in the tryptic soy broth agar growth medium (TSA, BactoTM, BD, Sparks, MD, USA): 50 µg/ml rifampicin for strain 168, 10 µg/ml spectinomycin for 2,3-B(-), and 10 µg/ml spectinomycin plus 5  $\mu$ g/ml chloramphenicol for 2,3-B(++). The experiment was repeated three times with five replications (one plant per replication).

The naturally occurring soil fungus was isolated from dilution plating method of pepper root system when we attempted to assess *B. subtilis* population described above.

## Disease Assay of Ralstonia solanacearum

Spontaneous rifampicin resistant *R. solanacearum*, was grown on solid Casamino acid-Peptone-Glucose [CPG, 1 g casamino acid (casein hydrolysate), 10 g peptone, 5 g glucose, and 18 g agar per 1 L water] medium containing 100  $\mu$ g/ml rifampicin at 30°C for 2 days, scraped off the plates, re-suspended in sterilized distilled water and adjusted to 10<sup>8</sup> cfu/ml concentration for further experiments (Lee et al., 2012). The plants pretreated with 1 mM BTH was used a positive control. The 10 ml suspension of *R. solanacearum* was drenched on 3 weeks-old pepper seedlings at 1 week after 1 mM and 10  $\mu$ M 2,3-butanediol and BTH drench-application as describe previously (Lee et al., 2012). To assess pathogen multiplication, the root sample at 0 and 3 days after pathogen challenge collected, macerated with sterile mortar and pestle, and plating on CPG agar medium containing 100  $\mu$ g/ml rifampicin. The number of CFU was counted at 2–3 days after incaution of the plates.

# Assessment of Bacterial Populations Isolated from Pepper Roots

Bacterial colonization on roots was determined at 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28 days after treatment, as described previously (Ryu et al., 2005b). Briefly, root samples were collected and, after removal of soil particles, roots were agitated in 20 ml of SDW in a flask. Samples of 10-fold serial dilutions were plated onto TSA containing appropriate antibiotics, as above. CFUs were counted after 1–3 days.

# Assessing the Antifungal Capacity of Root Exudates

An *in vitro* assay was developed to test the antifungal capacity of root exudates (Figure 2A). Pepper seeds (cv. Bukwang) were prepared as described above. After 7 days of germination at  $25^{\circ}$ C, seeds were transferred to Petri dishes (diameter = 20 cm) and allowed to grow vertically. Plates were sealed with Saran wrap to retain moisture, and were half covered with aluminum foil (Daihan Eunpakgy Ind. Co., Ltd., Suwon, South Korea) to reduce exposure of roots to light from growth cabinets, which were set at 24 h light, 25°C (Vision Bio Tech., Seoul, South Korea). Four days after transplanting, 5 ml of 2,3-butanediol  $(1 \text{ mM or } 1 \mu \text{M})$  was drench-applied to the root system. Drenches with 1 mM benzothiadizole (BTH), which was commercialized SAR trigger by Syngenta as Actigard in the USA and BION in Europe and water were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. After cultivation for 2 days on potato dextrose broth agar (PDA, Becton, Dickinson and Company, Sparks, MD, USA) at 30°C, fungal spores were collected and their concentration was estimated using a hemocytometer. A sterilized cotton swab was used to inoculate the pepper root system with 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml fungus, avoiding direct contact with the root surface. To determine any inhibitory effects of the root exudate on fungal growth, growth of fungal mycelium was imaged daily for a week after spore inoculation using a digital camera (Nikon Coolpix 4500, Japan). Fungus-free zones around the pepper roots were measured, and the mean was calculated (n = 20). The experiments were repeated three times.

#### **ITS-Based Fungus Identification**

Fungus was isolated from pepper rhizosphere during assessing population density of *B. subtilis.* The fungus was cultured on

the Poate Dextrose Broth agar (TSA, BactoTM, BD, Sparks, MD, USA) Total genomic DNA was extracted from the purified isolates using AccuPrep<sup>®</sup> Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (Bioneer, Daejeon, South Korea). The nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of genomic DNA was amplified with ITS1 (5'-TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3') and ITS4 (5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3') primers using Quick PCR Premix containing Taq DNA polymerase, dNTPs, reaction buffer, and tracking dye (Genenmed, Daejeon, South Korea). PCR analyses were conducted in a PTC100 Thermal Cycler (MJ Research, Watertown, MA, USA) using an initial denaturation step of 95°C for 5 min; followed by 29 cycles of denaturation for 1 min at 94°C, primer annealing for 30 s at 52°C, and extension for 30 s at 72°C; with a final extension for 10 min at 72°C. Amplified PCR products were detected by electrophoresis on a 0.75% agarose gel, and purified with AccuPrep<sup>®</sup> PCR Purification Kit (Bioneer, Daejeon, South Korea). The ITS region of the yeast isolates was sequenced using the same PCR primers and the ABI3700 automated DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The obtained sequence was submitted to NCBI<sup>1</sup> for identification of the fungus.

## Collection of Root Exudates after Induction by 2,3-Butanediol Treatment

A new protocol was developed to collect root exudates from pepper seedlings. Seeds were germinated as described above, then positioned between two sterile filter papers (diameter = 120 mm) in a Petri dish (diameter = 150 mm and height = 20 mm). MS broth (10 ml) was applied to the Petri dish, which was then positioned vertically in an incubator at 25°C. After 4 days of incubation, excess MS broth was removed. A further 15 ml of MS broth containing 1 mM 2,3-butanediol, 1 µM 2,3-butanediol, or 1 mM BTH was drench-applied to filter papers. Treated Petri dishes were sealed with Saran wrap and partially covered with foil, as described above. Petri dishes were incubated at 25°C for a further 7 days before collection of root exudates. To collect root exudate in the hydroponic system, we modified our system previously described (Figures 2D,F inset; Song et al., 2015). Pepper seeds were surface-sterilized and germinated, as described above. Four-days-old seedlings were transferred to plates (60 mm  $\times$  15 mm, SPL) containing 26 ml of 0.5X MS liquid media. Plates were placed in the plastic container (phytohealth, 103 mm  $\times$  78.6 mm, SPL). 2 mM 2,3-but anediol, BTH and water control treatments were applied to plants as described above. The root exudates were collected at 7 and 14 days after treatments. For each replicate, containing 16 plants, 80 ml of root exudate was collected from plates. No media contamination was observed in the entire experiment.

# Assessment of Root Exudates on Bacterial Growth

A 96-well based assay was used to assess the effects of root exudates on bacterial growth. *B. subtilis* strains 168, 2,3-B(-), and 2,3-B(++) were cultured in TSB containing antibiotics

 $<sup>\</sup>label{eq:particular} ^1 http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PAGE_TYPE=BlastSearch&BLAST_SPEC=TargLociBlast$ 

as detailed above, then washed three times in 0.8% NaCl solution. Bacterial growth was monitored in 150  $\mu$ l volumes containing TSB and root exudate (1:1 ratio). Optical density was determined every 15 min using a Bioscreen C system (Fluoroskan; Labsystems, Helsinki, Finland) at 30°C with continuous shaking.

#### Bacillus Growth on the Different pH

The phenotype analysis for different pH was carried out by using a new tool, Phenotype MicroArrays (PMs). The 2,3-butanediol over-producer [2,3-B(++)] and non-producer [2,3-B(-)] were assayed on PM (Biolog) lane A1 to A12 of microplates PM10, testing different pH range from 3.5 to 10. PM technology uses the irreversible reduction of tetrazolium violet to formazan as a reporter of active metabolism. All procedures were performed as indicated by the manufacturer and previous study (Zhang and Biswas, 2009). Strains were grown at 30°C on BUG agar (Biolog), and then, each strain was picked with a sterile cotton swab from the agar surface and suspended in 15 ml of inoculation fluid (IF-0; Biolog) until a cell density of 85% transmittance was reached on a Biolog turbidimeter. In order to inoculate microplates PM10, 1% tetrazolium violet (vol/vol) was added to the suspension and the mixture was inoculated (100 µl per well). The photo was taken at 24 h after bacterial inoculation.

## **Quantitative RT-PCR**

Expression analysis of 2,3-butanediol-elicited defense genes was performed using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR), as described previously (Yang et al., 2011). Expression of C. annum basic β-1,3-glucanase (CaPR 2), 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (CaACC), Systemic Acquired Resistance 8.2 (CaSAR8.2), phenylalanine ammonia (CaPAL), lipid transfer protein (CaLTP 1), glutathione S-transferases (CaGST), and basic class II chitinase (CaChi2) was reported previously during the defense response (Marrs, 1996; Garcia-Pineda and Lozoya-Gloria, 1999; Jung and Hwang, 2000; Lee et al., 2001; Hong and Hwang, 2002; Park et al., 2002; Mateos et al., 2009; Mazourek et al., 2009). The following primers were used: 5'-TAGTGAGACTAAGAAAGTTGGACG-3' (CaS AR8.2 Forward; GenBank accession no. AF327570.1), 5'-AA GAGTGCATGCAGTATCACAAAG-3' (CaSAR8.2 Reverse), 5'-ATTGGACGATGGAAGCCATCACCAG-3' (CaChi2 Forward; GenBank accession no. AF091235.1), 5'-ATATTCCGAATGT CTAAAGTGGTAC-3' (CaChi2 Reverse), 5'-TTTTAGCTATG CTGGTAATCCGCG-3' (CaPR2 Forward; GenBank accession no. AF227953.1), 5'-AAACCATGAGGACCAACAAAAGCG-3' (CaPR2 Reverse), 5'-CTCTAGGAAGGTGCTGTGGTGT C-3' (CaLTP1 Forward; GenBank accession no. AF118131.1), 5'-ACGGAAGGGCTGATTTCGGATG-3' (CaLTP1 Reverse), 5' -TCCACAAAGGGTCATGGTTT-3' (CaGST Forward; Gen-Bank accession no. HQ010689.1), 5'-GCCCTCTTCAATGA CAGGAA-3' (CaGST Reverse), 5'-ATTCGCGCTGCAACTAAG AT-3' (CaPAL Forward; GenBank accession no. EU61657-5.1), 5'-CACCGTGTAAGGCCTTGTTT-3' (CaPAL Reverse), 5'-AGTGGCCTTCAACTCCTCAA-3' (CaACC Forward; Gen-Bank accession no. AJ011109.1), and 5'-TTCCGTTTGTGATCA CCTCA-3' (CaACC Reverse). Relative mRNA levels were calibrated and normalized to the level of CaActin mRNA (Gen-Bank accession no. AY572427). As a control, to ensure that equal amounts of RNA were used in each experiment, CaActin was analyzed using the primers 5'-CACTGAAGCACC CTTGAACCC-3' and 5'-GAGACAACACCGCCTGAATAGC-3'. Candidate priming genes were amplified from 100 ng of cDNA by PCR using an annealing temperature of 55°C. A Chromo4 real-time PCR system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA) was used to carry out qRT-PCR. Reaction mixtures (20 µl) contained 10 µl of 2× Bril-liant SYBR Green QPCR master mix (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA), cDNA, and 10 pmol of each primer. The thermocycle parameters were as follows: 10 min at 95°C, followed by 45 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 60 s at 55°C, and 30 s at 72°C. Conditions were determined by comparing threshold values in a series of dilutions of the RT product, followed by a non-RT template control and a non-template control for each primer pair. Relative RNA levels were calibrated and normalized to the level of CaACT1 mRNA (GenBank accession no. AY572427).

## **Statistical Analysis**

Data were subjected to ANOVA using JMP software version 4.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Significance of biological or chemical treatment effects was determined by the magnitude of the *F*-value at P = 0.05. When a significant *F*-value was obtained for treatments, separation of means was accomplished using Fisher's protected LSD at P = 0.05. Results of repeated trials of each experiment outlined above were similar, and one representative trial of each experiment is reported.

## RESULTS

# Effect of 2,3-Butanediol Production on Rhizosphere Competence of *Bacillus subtilis*

The role of bacterial volatile 2,3-butanediol in situ was examined in wild-type B. subtilis 168 and wild-type-derived null and overexpression strains. Wild-type B. subtilis 168 was previously shown to produce 2,3-butanediol (Ryu et al., 2004). A pta-als double mutant, 2,3-B(-), was unable to produce 2,3-butanediol, and the *pta* mutant 2,3-B(++) was an overproducer of 2,3butanediol. Rifampicin resistance was generated in the three strains to allow selection from the pepper roots (data not shown). We hypothesized that the population densities of the three strains in the pepper rhizosphere at different time points (7 days intervals from inoculation) would differ. Total bacterial populations on pepper roots at inoculation were 107-108 cfu/g root and did not significantly differ between treatments (Figure 1C). Initial populations of each strain were  $\sim 10^7$  cfu/g root [7.0, 7.2, and 7.0] log cfu/g root for 2,3-B(++), 2,3-B(-), and 168, respectively].After 7 days, populations were 7.3, 6.1, and 5.3 log cfu/g root for 2,3-B(++), 2,3-B(-), and 168, respectively. After 14 days, the population densities of 2,3-B(++) and 168 were 2.8- and 3-fold higher than that of 2,3-B(-), respectively (Figure 1A). Populations of strains 168 and 2,3-B(++) remained at pepper



roots 21 days after inoculation, at 2.8 and 4.8 log cfu/g root, respectively (**Figure 1A**). Strain 2,3-B(–) was not found at pepper roots 21 days after inoculation. After 28 days, only strain 2,3-B(++) was present at pepper roots, at  $10^2$  cfu/g root (**Figure 1A**). These results indicated that 2,3-butanediol facilitated maintenance of bacterial populations in the pepper rhizosphere. Unexpectedly, fungal colonies developed on the TSA plates used for isolation of *B. subtilis* from roots. The fungus

was identified 98% as Trichoderma sp. from morphological characteristics and sequencing of the 18S ribosomal RNA ITS (data not shown). No fungal colonies were observed on isolation plates for 2,3-B(++) at 14, 21, and 28 days after inoculation (Figure 1B). Larger fungal populations were isolated from roots treated with strains 168 and 2,3-B(-) (Figure 1B). Fungal populations were 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4 log cfu/g root in the 2,3-B(-)treatment at days 14, 21, and 28, respectively (Figure 1B). For treatment with strain 168, fungal populations gradually decreased with time, at 6.1, 5.8, and 4.2 log cfu/g root at days 14, 21, and 28 respectively (Figure 1B). The number of Trichoderma sp. showed similar pattern when the repeated experiment was conducted. These results suggested that fungal growth could be directly inhibited by 2,3-butanediol. To test this, the fungus was challenged by pharmacological applications of 2,3-butanediol at different concentrations (10 µM-1 mM); however, no inhibition was observed, indicating that 2,3-butanediol did not directly affect fungal growth (Figure 1B). These results suggested that exposure of pepper roots to 2,3-butanediol might trigger the production of root exudates antagonistic to fungal growth.

## Indirect Effect of 2,3-Butanediol on Inhibition of Soil Fungus

To determine whether exudates of pepper roots treated with 2,3-butanediol contained antifungal agents, a novel protocol was devised in which seedlings were cultivated on Petri dishes, drenched with 2,3-butanediol, and inoculated with fungus (Figure 2A). Fungal growth was inhibited with all treatments (1 mM 2,3-butanediol, 1 mM BTH, and water; Figures 2B-D), with clear root inhibition zones of 2.45, 1.41, and 0.875 mm, respectively (Figure 2E). These results indicated that root exudates elicited by treatment with 2,3-butanediol and BTH inhibited the growth of soil fungus. We therefore wished to test whether root exudates elicited by 2,3-butanediol could also inhibit the growth of other microorganisms such as saprophytic and pathogenic soil bacteria. To obtain the clear evidence of antifungal capacity in the root exudate from pepper plant treated with 2,3-butanediol, the hydroponic system was set-up and successfully obtained enough root exudates. The three time inoculation of root exudate from 2,3-butanediol pre-treated root only showed clear zone (No. 4 in Figures 2E,F) while one time root or chemical alone treatments did not show any inhibitory effect (Figures 2E,F).

#### Growth Kinetics of *B. subtilis* after Exposure to 2,3-Butanediol and Root Exudates

To understand the role of root exudates (**Figure 3A**), and their effects on growth of *B. subtilis* strains 168, 2,3-B(++) (strain BSIP1171), and 2,3-B(-) (strain BSIP1174) and other soil-borne bacterial species, the growth kinetics of each treatment were assessed. *B. subtilis* strains 168, 2,3-B(++), and 2,3-B(-) had similar growth patterns on TSB medium, with maximum optical density of  $OD_{600} = 1$  indicating that the mutation of the garget genes did not affect bacterial robustness under ideal growth condition (**Figure 3B**).



1 mM B1H, 3 = one time inoculation of root exudate treated with water, 4 = two time inoculation of root exudate treated with 2 mM 2.3-butanediol, 5 = two time inoculation of root exudate treated with a mM BTH, 6 = two time inoculation of root exudate treated with water, 7 = 2 mM 2.3-butanediol alone, 8 = 1 mM BTH alone, 9 = 50  $\mu$ g/ml kanamycin as positive control (**F**). Quantification of clear fungal inhibition zones by root exudates from root treated by 2,3-butanediol, BTH, and water treatments. Different letters above bars indicate significant differences between treatments as determined using LSD at *P* = 0.05.

When exposed to root exudate from pepper root elicited by 2,3-butanediol treatment, growth of strains 168 (the wild type) and 2,3-B(++) (overexpression mutant) was less inhibited than that of 2,3-B(-) (null mutant; **Figure 3C**). After 2 days (48 h), growth of overexpresser strain 2,3-B(++) was higher when treated directly with 1 mM 2,3-butanediol (control) than when treated with exudates of 2,3-butanedioltreated pepper root (**Figure 3C**). Until log phase, the growth curve of strain 168 was similar between bacteria exposed to control and exudates (**Figure 3B**); nevertheless, after log phase, growth of control-treated 168 exceeded that of exudate-treated 168 (**Figure 3B**). Conversely, growth of exudate-treated 2,3-B(++) slightly exceeded that of control-treated 2,3-B(++) (**Figure 3C**). Furthermore, 2,3-B(-) was more sensitive to 2,3-butanediol itself while 2,3-B(++) was more resistant compared to wild type indicating that 2,3-butanediol non-producer can be less fitness than 2,3-butanediol producer (**Figure 3C**). The data suggested that 2,3-butanediol played an important role in protecting *B. subtilis* cells against harmful plant root exudates.

We next examined the effect of 2,3-butanediol on other soil bacteria, namely, the non-pathogenic biological control



FIGURE 3 | Growth kinetics of B. subtilis wild-type and mutant strains after exposure to root exudates elicited by 2,3-butanediol. Initial cell culture concentrations were  $OD_{600} = 0.02$  and data are shown as log-normal plots. Tryptic soy broth supplemented with root exudate at a 1:1 ratio was applied to pepper roots. Aliquots (150 µl) from each culture were transferred to 100 wells of a Bioscreen plate. Plates were incubated in a Bioscreen C (Fluoroskan; Labsystems, Helsinki, Finland) with shaking at 30°C for ~4 days. The  $OD_{600}$  of each well was measured every 15 min. 2.3B = 2,3-butanediol; 23BE = root exudate collected from 2.3-butanediol-treated root system: 168 = B. subtilis 168; BSIP 1174 = B. subtilis BSIP 1174 (non-producer); BSIP 1171 = B. subtilis BSIP 1174 (overproducer); Pf-5 = Pseudomonas protegens Pf-5; GMI1000 = Ralstonia solanacearum GMI1000; M = MS broth; ME = MS broth amended with root exudate without treatment. (A) Schematic of protocol to extract root exudates after 2,3-butanediol application. (B) Growth kinetics of the three strains in control TSB medium. The figure indicate background expression of three strains 168, 2,3-B(++), and 2,3-B(-). (C) Growth of bacterial strains 168, 2,3-B(++), and 2,3-B(-) after treatment with 2,3-butanedol alone (referred to as 2,3B) or 2,3-butanediol-elicited root exudate (referred to as 2,3BE). (D) Growth kinetics of P. protegens Pf-5, Ralstonia solanacearum GMI1000, and E. coli. P. protegens Pf-5 is a non-pathogenic saprophyte that inhabits soil, water, and plant surface environments. Growth of P. protegens Pf-5 was not inhibited by 2.3-butanediol-elicited exudate. Growth of GMI1000, a soil-borne bacterial wilt pathogen, was inhibited by root exudates. E. coli was included as a bacterial control. Data shown are mean  $\pm$  SEM of triplicate experiments.



agent Pseudomonas protegens Pf-5, the bacterial wilt pathogen R. solanacearum GMI1000, and E. coli. Growth of the nonpathogenic saprophyte Pf-5 did not much affected by amendment of 2,3-butanediol-elicited root exudate (M + Pf-5, ME +Pf5, 2,3B +Pf5, and 2,3BE + Pf-5; Figure 3D). However, bacterial growth upon 2,3BE + Pf-5 gradually decreased after 60 h. In contrast growth of the pathogen GMI1000 was inhibited by exudates from pepper roots treated with 2,3-butanediol at 42 h after root exudate treatment (2,3B + GMI1000 vs. 2,3-BE + GMI1000). Although, the treatment ME (MS media solution plus root exudate without 2,3-butanediol treatment) also showed inhibitory effect on growth of strain GMI1000, the inhibition by root exudate collected from 2,3-butanediol treatment was greater (ME + GMI1000 vs. 2,3-BE + GMI1000). Growth of E. coli was totally inhibited by all treatment including control 2,3-butanediol treatments, TSB, MS, and root exudate mixtures (Figure 3D). These results indicate that 2,3-butanediol-elicited root exudate contains compounds that allow selective inhibition of bacterial growth depending on bacterial species. The bacterial growth upon different pH condition using by Phenotype Microarray system showed that the growth of 2,3-B(++) and 2,3-B(-) was indicated at pH 5 and pH 7 respectively (Figure 4). This results clearly showed that 2,3-butanediol production acts an important role on bacterial fitness under the acidic pH condition.

# Expression of Defense Genes in 2,3-Butanediol-Treated Pepper Roots

Induction of plant defense genes by 2,3-butanediol was assessed in pepper roots using qRT-PCR. At 3, 6, and 12 h after treatment, transcription of *basic*  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase (*CaPR 2*) was higher in pepper roots treated with 1 mM 2,3-butanediol than in those treated with water (**Figure 5**). The effects of 2,3-butanediol on pathogen populations and gene expression in pathogenchallenged pepper roots were also assessed. Roots were exposed to the wilt pathogen *R. solanacearum* GMI1000 for 3 days, and bacterial populations were then determined. Fewer GMI1000 bacteria were recovered from roots treated with 1 mM and 10  $\mu$ M 2,3-butanediol than from roots treated with water (**Table 1**). Root exudates of 2,3-butanediol-treated pepper were therefore able to inhibit growth of the bacterial pathogen GMI1000. Three days after pathogen challenge, expression levels of *Basic pathogenesis systemic acquired resistance gene 8.2* (*CaSAR8.2*) and



phenylalanine ammonia (CaPAL) were higher in roots treated with 1 mM 2,3-butanediol than in positive control roots treated with 1 mM BTH (**Figure 5**). Conversely, expression levels of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (CaACC), lipid transfer protein (CaLTP 1), and basic class II chitinase (CaChi 2) were lower in roots treated with 1 mM and 10  $\mu$ M 2,3-butanediol than in positive control roots, but higher than in negative control roots treated with water (**Figure 5**). Transcription of basic  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase (CaPR 2) was similar in roots treated with 1 mM and 10  $\mu$ M 2,3-butanediol and roots treated with 1 mM BTH (**Figure 5**).

#### DISCUSSION

The first examinations of bacterial volatile-mediated plant growth and ISR, which used *B. subtilis* and *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Ryu et al., 2003, 2004), were followed by numerous studies examining the effects of bacterial volatiles on plants. Of the many volatile compounds identified from bacteria, 2,3-butanediol generated particular interest due to its broad spectrum effects on bacterial cells and induction of host responses (Ryu et al., 2004; Xiao and Xu, 2007; Rudrappa et al., 2010; Moons et al., 2011; Hahm et al., 2012). However, the impact of 2,3-butanediol on bacterial cells is yet to be elucidated. This prompted us to ask why bacteria, and soil bacteria in particular, might secrete 2,3-butanediol. Our results suggest that 2,3-butanediol promotes bacterial cell robustness against the effects of harmful compounds, such as root exudates (**Figures 1A** and **3C**). Both 2,3-butanediol and its precursor acetoin were shown to trigger ISR in plants (Han et al., 2006; Cortes-Barco et al., 2010a,b; Rudrappa et al., 2010; Hahm et al., 2012). Our study provides new information regarding the roles of 2,3-butanediol in root-associated bacteria *in situ*.

The volatile compound 2,3-butanediol is produced by many bacterial species as a result of a synthetic cascade, termed butanediol fermentation (Xiao and Xu, 2007). The exact role that butanediol fermentation plays in bacterial fitness is largely unknown. Classic literature suggested that 2,3-butanediol was formed to divert the cellular metabolism away from production of acidic compounds (Johansen et al., 1975). It was later discovered that 2,3-butanediol provided an alkaline environment during cell multiplication and protected bacterial cells against unfavorable acidic conditions, such as are found in eukaryotic hosts (Yoon and Mekalanos, 2006; Pradhan et al., 2010; Bari et al., 2011). Our results showed that a 2,3-butanediol null *B. subtilis* mutant was eliminated from the rhizosphere by 21 days

TABLE 1 | Effect of 2,3-butanediol on elicitation of plant immunity against *Ralstonia solanacearum.* 

Treatments	Pathogen population (x 10 <sup>7</sup> cfu/g root )	
	Day 0	Day 3
1 mM 2,3-butanediol	8.10 <sup>a</sup>	8.35 <sup>a</sup>
10 µM 2,3-butanediol	8.32 <sup>a</sup>	8.26 <sup>a</sup>
1 mM BTH	8.45 <sup>a</sup>	7.92 <sup>a</sup>
Control	7.96 <sup>a</sup>	8.62 <sup>b</sup>

The bacterial cell count was measured at 14 days after pathogen inoculation. Different letters indicate significant differences between treatments (P = 0.05 according to least significant difference). The experiment was repeated three times with similar results (sample size, n = 5 replications per treatment).

after inoculation; however, corresponding wild-type and 2,3butanediol overexpressing strains persisted for 21 and 28 days, respectively (Figure 1A). This can be hypothesized that 2,3butanediol production by B. subtilis increased robustness of the acidic rhizosphere environment similar with rhizosphere microorganism (Huang and Chen, 2003; Hinsinger et al., 2003). Root exudates, which include acidic root secretion products, contribute to lowering the rhizosphere pH by releasing H<sup>+</sup> or OH<sup>-</sup> to compensate for unbalanced cation-anion uptake at the root surface (Hinsinger et al., 2003). In our system, the pH of root exudate was changed to 4.5 at 2 weeks after treatments compared to pH 5.8 at the beginning of experiment (data not shown). However, there are no difference upon pH between pretreatment of 2,3-butaendiol and water control. In addition to the proposed protective role of 2,3-butanediol in bacteria, 2,3butanediol was recently shown to be critical for virulence of soft-rot plant pathogenic Pectobacterium spp. and Dicheya spp. Cell wall-degrading enzymes produced by the bacteria, such as protease, pectinase, and cellulose, require neutral pH for optimal function (Kwan et al., 2013). Bacterial robustness under acidic conditions and on normal artificial medium was compromised in a Serratia plymuthica budB mutant (Wevers et al., 2009).

In addition to the role of 2,3-butanediol as a bacterial protectant, 2,3-butanediol directly affects plant physiology and immunity (Han et al., 2006; Cortes-Barco et al., 2010a,b; Rudrappa et al., 2010; Hahm et al., 2012). In this study, the 2,3-butanediol null mutant could not stimulate plant defenses; however, wild-type B. subtilis successfully elicited a plant defense response against pathogens, indicating that bacterial 2,3-butanediol production played an important role in plant protection (Ryu et al., 2004; Rudrappa et al., 2010). No direct inhibition was observed when pathogenic bacteria and fungi were exposed to 2,3-butanediol, indicating that plant immunity rather than the direct effect of 2,3-butanediol provided inhibition (Figure 1C). Root application of 2,3butanediol triggered root exudation and secretion of unknown compounds that differentially affected different species of bacteria in the rhizosphere. Exudate from roots treated with 2,3-butanediol suppressed growth of the soil-borne pathogen R. solanacearum, but enhanced growth of the saprophytic biocontrol bacterium P. protegens Pf-5 (Figure 3D). Our extra bioinformatics analyses revealed support the role of microbial production of 2,3-butanediol upon its robustness in rhizosphere:

the P. protegens Pf-5 genome contains three major genes, acetolactate synthase (alsS), alpha-acetolactate decarboxylase (alsD), and 2,3-butanediol dehydrogenase (bdhA), needed for 2,3-butanediol production but R. solanacearum contains only the alsS gene with the result that less or no 2,3-butanediol can be produced (data not shown). Similarly, 2,3-butanediol null mutant of B. subtilis became more sensitive to pepper root exudates while the overexpressor of 2,3-butanediol was more resistance compared to wild type strain (Figure 3C). Moreover, 2,3-butanediol production help bacterial cells tolerate against acidic pH such as pH5 (Figure 4). Interestingly, the root exudate pH was stabilized as pH 4.5 in our hydroponic system indicating that B. subtilis may optimize the robustness using 2,3-butanediol production to acidification around root. Another possible explanation is that the two species may have different sensitivities to unknown compounds within the 2,3-butanediol-elicited root exudate. In our previous research, aboveground infestation of sucking insects like whitefly and aphids modulated the secretion of plant root exudates, leading to the recruitment of specific microbiota such as Gram-positive Bacillus spp. (Yang et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2012). Further research revealed that whitefly-infested tobacco plants secreted salicylic acid, which repressed Agrobacterium tumefaciens virulence genes and resulted in the suppression of crown gall formation (Song et al., 2015). We propose that plant defenses were induced by soil application of 2,3-butanediol, and that this induced the secretion of unknown compounds that targeted bacteria in a species-dependent manner. Detailed profiling of root exudates is required to characterize the compounds involved. The root exudate profiling was failed due to limitation to obtain large scale root exudates following 2,3-butanediol application.

Finally, one additional explanation is that different species may have different utilization capacities for root exudates. *P. protegens* Pf-5 is a saprophyte and encodes numerous enzymes that degrade organic materials, while *R. solanacearum* is a plant pathogen that primarily obtains nutrition from specific plant materials within xylem sap during the infection process (Salanoubat et al., 2002; Loper et al., 2007, 2012; Remenant et al., 2010).

The direct effect of 2,3-butanediol on pepper roots was demonstrated by changes in gene expression. Transcription of CaPAL, CaSAR8.2, CaACC, and CaPR2 was affected when roots were drenched with 2,3-butanediol (Figure 5). This suggested that bacterial secretion of 2,3-butanediol activated plant defenses in the roots, mainly via salicylic acid and ethylene signaling pathways. This is supported by recent research in which direct soil application of 2,3-butanediol stimulated defense responses against foliar pathogenic anthracnose fungus and Pseudomonas syringae (Han et al., 2006; Cortes-Barco et al., 2010a,b). Previous studies showed 2,3-butanediol to be a signaling molecule involved in activation of immune responses in animal hosts. In mammals, 2,3-butanediol produced by pathogenic bacteria was closely associated with lung infections, including those caused by Klebsiella pneumonia, Staphylococcus aureus, and Serratia marcescens. Under these conditions, 2,3butanediol produced an anti-inflammatory effect via inhibition of NF-KB signaling (Hsieh et al., 2007). Taken together, these data suggest that 2,3-butanediol is highly important for host colonization.

In summary, this study demonstrates that the bacterial volatile 2,3-butanediol has two key roles in the rhizosphere. First, 2,3-butanediol-elicited root exudates selectively affect different bacterial species, and, secondly, 2,3-butanediol protects bacterial cells against putative harmful plant root exudates and low pH. To our knowledge, this study is the first to demonstrate the significance of 2,3-butanediol on bacterial robustness *in planta*.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

H-SY, Y-RA, S-YG, and C-MR conceived and designed research. H-SY, Y-RA, GCS, GL, and C-MR conducted all experiments. The

#### REFERENCES

- Bai, F., Dai, L., Fan, J., Truong, N., Rao, B., Zhang, L., et al. (2015). Engineered Serratia marcescens for efficient (3R)-acetoin and (2R,3R)-2,3-butanediol production. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 42, 779–786. doi: 10.1007/s10295-015-1598-5
- Bari, W., Song, Y. J., and Yoon, S. S. (2011). Suppressed induction of proinflammatory cytokines by a unique metabolite produced by *Vibrio cholerae* O1 EI Tor biotype in cultured host cell. *Infect. Immun.* 79, 3149–3158. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01237-10
- Barrett, E., Collins, E., Hall, B., and Matoi, S. (1983). Production of 2, 3-butylene glycol from whey by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Enterobacter aerogenes. J. Dairy Sci.* 66, 2507–2514. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(83)82119-5
- Bowen, G. D., and Rovira, A. D. (1999). The rhizosphere and its management to improve plant. *Adv. Agron.* 66, 1–102. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2113(08)60425-3
- Calvo, P., Nelson, L., and Kloepper, J. W. (2014). Agricultural uses of plant biostimulants. *Plant Soil* 383, 3-41. doi: 10.1007/s11104-014-2131-8
- Chung, J. H., Song, G. C., and Ryu, C. M. (2016). Sweet scents from good bacteria: case studies on bacterial volatile compounds for plant growth and immunity. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 90, 677–687. doi: 10.1007/s11103-015-0344-8
- Cortes-Barco, A. M., Goodwin, P. H., and Hsiang, T. (2010a). Comparison of induced resistance activated by benzothiadiazole, (2R,3R)-butanediol and an isoparaffin mixture against anthracnose of *Nicotiana benthamiana*. *Plant Pathol.* 59, 643–653. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3059.2010.02283
- Cortes-Barco, A. M., Hsiang, T., and Goodwin, P. H. (2010b). Induced systemic resistance against three foliar diseases of Agrostis stolonifera by (2R,3R)butanediol or an isoparaffin mixture. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 157, 179–189. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7348.2010.00417
- Cruz, R. H., Hoffmann, T., Marino, M., Nedjari, H., Presecan-Siedel, E., Dreesen, O., et al. (2000). Fermentative metabolism of *Bacillus subtilis*: physiology and regulation of gene expression. *J. Bacteriol.* 182, 3072–3080. doi: 10.1128/JB.182.11.3072-3080.2000
- Farag, M. A., Zhang, H. M., and Ryu, C. M. (2013). Dynamic chemical communication between plants and bacteria through airborne signals: induced resistance by bacterial volatiles. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 39, 1007–1018. doi: 10.1007/s10886-013-0317-9
- Garcia-Pineda, E., and Lozoya-Gloria, E. (1999). Induced gene expression of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC oxidase) in pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) by arachidonic acid. *Plant Sci.* 145, 11–21. doi: 10.1016/S0168-9452(99)00065-5
- Hahm, M. S., Sumayo, M., Hwang, Y. J., Jeon, S. A., Park, S. J., Lee, J. Y., et al. (2012). Biological control and plant growth promoting capacity of rhizobacteria on pepper under greenhouse and field conditions. *J. Micobiol.* 50, 380–385. doi: 10.1007/s12275-012-1477-y
- Han, S. H., Lee, S. J., Moon, J. H., Park, K. H., Yang, K. Y., Cho, B. H., et al. (2006). GacS-dependent production of 2R,3R-butanediol by *Pseudomonas chloroaphis* O6 is a majou determinant for eliciting systemic resistance against *Erwinia*

manuscript was written by H-SY, Y-RA, and C-MR and approved by all other authors. SL conducted the pH sensitivity and provide **Figure 4**. The **Figure 4** was input during revising the manuscript.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by grants from grants from BioNano Health-Guard Research Center funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT, and Future Planning of Korea as a Global Frontier Project (Grant H-GUARD 2013M3A6B2078953), the Next-Generation BioGreen 21 Program (SSAC grant #PJ009524), Rural Development Administration, South Korea, and the KRIBB initiative program, South Korea.

carotovora but against Pseudomonas syringae pv. tabaci in tobacco. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 19, 924–930. doi: 10.1094/mpmi-19-0924

- Hinsinger, P., Plassard, C., Tang, C., and Jaillard, B. (2003). Origins of root-mediated pH changes in the rhizosphere and their responses to environmental constraints. *Plant Soil* 248, 43–59. doi: 10.1023/A:1022371 130939
- Hong, J. K., and Hwang, B. K. (2002). Induction by pathogen, salt and drought of a basic class II chitinase mRNA and its in situ localization in pepper (*Capsicum* annuum). Physiol. Plant. 114, 549–558. doi: 10.1034/j.1399-3054.2002.1140407
- Hsieh, S. C., Lu, C. C., Horng, Y. T., Soo, P. C., Chang, Y. L., Tsai, Y. H., et al. (2007). The bacterial metabolite 2, 3-butanediol ameliorates endotoxininduced acute lung injury in rats. *Microbes Infect.* 9, 1402–1409. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2007.07.004
- Huang, J. W., and Chen, J. (2003). "Role of pH in phytoremediation of contaminated soils," in *Handbook of Soil Acidity*, ed. Z. Rengel (New York, NY: Marcel Dekker), 449–472. doi: 10.1201/9780203912317.ch17
- Ji, X. J., Liu, L. G., Shen, M. Q., Nie, Z. K., Tong, Y. J., and Huang, H. (2014). Constructing a synthetic metabolic pathway in *Escherichia coli* to produce the enantiomerically pure (R,R)-2,3-butanediol. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 112, 1056– 1059. doi: 10.1002/bit.25512
- Ji, X. J., Nie, Z. K., Huang, H., Ren, L. J., Peng, C., and Ouyang, P. K. (2011). Elimination of carbon catabolite repression in *Klebsiella oxytoca* for efficient 2,3-butanediol production from glucose-xylose mixtures. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 89, 1119–1125. doi: 10.1007/s00253-010-2940-5
- Johansen, L., Bryn, K., and Stormer, F. C. (1975). Physiological and biochemical role of the butanediol pathway in Aerobacter(*Enterobacter*) aerogenes. *J. Bacteriol.* 123, 1124–1130.
- Jung, H. W., and Hwang, B. K. (2000). Pepper gene encoding a basic beta-1,3glucanase is differentially expressed in pepper tissues upon pathogen infection and ethephon or methyl jasmonate treatment. *Plant Sci.* 159, 97–106. doi: 10.1016/S0168-9452(00)00334-4
- Kai, M., Effmert, U., Berg, G., and Piechulla, B. (2007). Volatiles of bacterial antagonists inhibit mycelial growth of the plant pathogen Rhizoctonia solani. *Arch. Microbiol.* 187, 351–360. doi: 10.1007/s00203-006-0199-0
- Kang, S. H., Cho, H. S., Cheong, H., Ryu, C. M., Kim, J. F., and Park, S. H. (2007). Two bacterial endophytes eliciting both plant growth promotion and plant defense on pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 17, 96–103.
- Kesselmeier, J., and Staudt, M. (1999). Biogenic volatile organic compounds (VOC): an overview on emission, physiology and ecology. J. Atmos. Chem. 33, 23–88. doi: 10.1023/A:1006127516791
- Kloepper, J., and Metting, F. Jr. (1992). "Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria as biological control agents," in *Soil Microbial Ecology: Applications in Agricultural* and Environmental Management, ed. F. B. Metting Jr. (New York, NY: Marcel Dekker), 255–274.
- Kloepper, J. W., and Ryu, C. M. (2006). "Bacterial endophytes as elicitors of induced systemic resistance," in *Microbial Root Endophytes*, Vol. 9, eds B. J. E. Schulz, C. J. C. Boyle, and T. N. Sieber (Heidelberg: Springer Berlin), 33–52. doi: 10.1007/3-540-33526-9-3

- Kloepper, J. W., Ryu, C.-M., and Zhang, S. (2004). Induced systemic resistance and promotion of plant growth by *Bacillus* spp. *Phytopathology* 94, 1259–1266. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO.2004.94.11.1259
- Kwan, G., Charkowski, A. O., and Barak, J. D. (2013). Salmonella enterica suppresses Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. carotovorum population and soft rot progression by acidifying the microaerophilic environment. MBio 4: e00557-12. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00557-12
- Lee, B., Lee, S., and Ryu, C. M. (2012). Foliar aphid feeding recruits rhizosphere bacteria and primes plant immunity against pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacteria in pepper. Ann. Bot. 110, 281–290. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcs055
- Lee, B., Park, Y. S., Yi, H. S., and Ryu, C. M. (2013). Systemic induction of the small antibacterial compound in the leaf exudate during benzothiadiazoleelicited systemic acquired resistance in pepper. *Plant Pathol. J.* 29, 350–355. doi: 10.5423/PPJ.NT.02.2013.0018
- Lee, G. J., Shin, R., Park, C. J., Yoo, T. H., and Paek, K. H. (2001). Induction of a pepper cDNA encoding SAR8.2 protein during the resistance response to tobacco mosaic virus. *Mol. Cells* 12, 250–256. doi: 10.1007/s10059-013-3068-0
- Loper, J. E., Hassan, K. A., Mavrodi, D. V., Davis, E. W. II, Lim, C. K., Shaffer, B. T., et al. (2012). Comparative genomics of plant-associated *Pseudomonas* spp. : insights into diversity and inheritance of traits involved in multitrophic interactions. *PLoS Genet.* 8:e1002784. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002784
- Loper, J. E., Kobayashi, D. Y., and Paulsen, I. T. (2007). The genomic sequence of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf-5: insights into biological control. *Phytopathology* 97, 233–238. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-97-2-0233
- Marrs, K. (1996). The functions and regulation of glutathione S-transferases in plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 47, 127–158. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.47.1.127
- Mateos, R. M., Bonilla Valverde, D., Del Rio, L. A., Palma, J. M., and Corpas, F. J. (2009). NADP dehydrogenases from pepper fruits: effect of maturation. *Physiol. Plant.* 135, 130–139. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2008.01179
- Mazourek, M., Pujar, A., Borovsky, Y., Paran, I., Mueller, L., and Jahn, M. M. (2009). A dynamic interface for capsaicinoid systems biology. *Plant Physiol.* 150, 1806–1821. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.136549
- Moons, P., van Houdt, R., Vivijs, B., Michiels, C. W., and Aertsen, A. (2011). Integrated regulation of acetoin fermentation by quorum sensing and pH in Serratia plymuthica RVH1. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77, 3422–3427. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02763-10
- Park, C. J., Shin, R., Park, J. M., Lee, G. J., You, J. S., and Paek, K. H. (2002). Induction of pepper cDNA encoding a lipid transfer protein during the resistance response to tobacco mosaic virus. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 48, 243–254. doi: 10.1023/A:1013383329361
- Pradhan, S., Baidya, A. K., Ghosh, A., Paul, K., and Chowdhury, R. (2010). The EI Tor biotype of *Vibrio cholerae* exhibits a growth advantage in the stationary phase in mixed cultures with the classical biotype. *J. Bacteriol.* 192, 955–963. doi: 10.1128/JB.01180-09
- Remenant, B., Coupat-Goutaland, B., Guidot, A., Cellier, G., Wicker, E., Allen, C., et al. (2010). Genomes of three tomato pathogens within the *Ralstonia solanacearum* species complex reveal significant evolutionary divergence. *BMC Genomics* 11:379. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-11-379
- Rudrappa, T., Biedrzycki, M. L., Kunjeti, S. G., Donofrio, N. M., Czymmek, K. J., Pare, P. W., et al. (2010). The rhizobacterial elicitor acetoin induces systemic resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Commun. Integr. Biol.* 3, 130–138. doi: 10.4161/cib.3.2.10584
- Ryu, C. M., Farag, M. A., Hu, C. H., Reddy, M. S., Kloepper, J. W., and Pareì, P. W. (2004). Bacterial volatiles induce systemic resistance in *Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol.* 134, 1017–1026. doi: 10.1104/pp.103.026583

- Ryu, C. M., Faragt, M. A., Hu, C. H., Reddy, M. S., Wei, H. X., Parei, P. W., et al. (2003). Bacterial volatiles promote growth in *Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 100, 4927–4932. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0730845100
- Ryu, C. M., Hu, C. H., Locy, R. D., and Kloepper, J. W. (2005a). Study of mechanisms for plant growth promotion elicited by rhizobacteria in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Soil* 268, 285–292. doi: 10.1007/s11104-004-0301-9
- Ryu, C. M., Kim, J., Choi, O., Park, S. Y., Park, S. H., and Park, C. S. (2005b). Nature of a root-associated *Paenibacillus polymyxa* from field-grown winter barley in Korea. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 15, 984–991.
- Salanoubat, M., Genin, S., Artiguenave, F., Gouzy, J., Mangenot, S., Arlat, M., et al. (2002). Genome sequence of the plant pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *Nature* 415, 497–502. doi: 10.1038/415497a
- Song, G. C., Lee, S., Hong, J., Choi, H. K., Hong, G. H., Bae, D. W., et al. (2015). Aboveground insect infestation attenuates belowground *Agrobacterium*-mediated genetic transformation. *New Phytol.* 207, 148–158. doi: 10.1111/nph.13324
- Syu, M. (2001). Biological production of 2, 3-butanediol. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 55, 10–18. doi: 10.1007/s002530000486
- Vespermann, A., Kai, M., and Piechulla, B. (2007). Rhizobacterial volatiles affect the growth of fungi and Arabidopsis thaliana. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73, 5639–5641. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01078-07
- Voloch, M., Jansen, N. B., Ladisch, M. R., Tsao, G. T., Narayan, R., and Rodwell, V. W. (1985). "2,3-butanediol," in *Comprehensive Biotechnology: The Principles, Applications and Regulations of Biotechnology in Industry, Agriculture and Medicine*, eds H. W. Blanch, S. Drew, and D. C. Wang (Oxford: Pergamon Press).
- Wevers, E., Moons, P., van Houdt, R., Lurquin, I., Aertsen, A., and Michiels, C. W. (2009). Quorum sensing and butanediol fermentation affect colonization and spoilage of carrot slices by *Serratia plymuthica. Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 134, 63–69. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.12.017
- Xiao, Z., and Xu, P. (2007). Acetoin metabolism in bacteria. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 33, 127–140. doi: 10.1080/10408410701364604
- Yang, J. W., Yi, H. S., Kim, H., Lee, B., Lee, S., Ghim, S. Y., et al. (2011). Whitefly infestation elicits defense responses against bacterial pathogens on the leaf and root and belowground dynamic change of microflora in pepper. *J. Ecol.* 99, 46–56. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2010.01756
- Yang, T., Rao, Z., Zhang, X., Xu, M., Xu, Z., and Yang, S. T. (2013). Improved production of 2,3-butanediol in *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* by overexpression of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase and 2,3-butanediol dehydrogenase. *PLoS ONE* 8:e76149. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0076149
- Yoon, S. S., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2006). 2,3-Butanediol synthesis and the emergence of the Vibrio cholerae El Tor biotype. Infect. Immun. 74, 6547–6556. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00695-06
- Zhang, J., and Biswas, I. (2009). A phenotypic microarray analysis of a *Streptococcus mutans* liaS mutant. *Microbiology* 155, 61–68. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.023077-0

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Yi, Ahn, Song, Ghim, Lee, Lee and Ryu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.