INVESTIGATION



Genome-Wide Characterization and Comparative Analysis of MYB Transcription Factors in *Ganoderma* Species

Lining Wang,* Qinghua Huang,* Liulian Zhang,* Qingfu Wang,* Lei Liang,*^{,1} **and Baosheng Liao**^{+,1} *Guangdong Provincial Engineering Laboratory of Biomass High Value Utilization, Guangzhou Key Laboratory of Biomass

Comprehensive Utilization, Guangdong Bioengineering Institute (Guangzhou Sugarcane Industry Research Institute), Guangdong Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, 510316, Guangdong Province, China and [‡]Institute of Chinese Materia Medica, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, 100700, China

ORCID IDs: 0000-0002-0684-7857 (L.W.); 0000-0002-9426-1724 (Q.H.); 0000-0002-3633-8412 (L.Z.); 0000-0001-9989-1066 (Q.W.); 0000-0001-9930-4028 (L.L.); 0000-0001-5384-4790 (B.L.)

ABSTRACT Numerous studies in plants have shown the vital roles of MYB transcription factors in signal transduction, developmental regulation, biotic/abiotic stress responses and secondary metabolism regulation. However, less is known about the functions of *MYBs* in *Ganoderma*. In this study, five medicinal macrofungi of genus *Ganoderma* were subjected to a genome-wide comparative analysis of *MYB* genes. A total of 75 *MYB* genes were identified and classified into four types: 1R-MYBs (52), 2R-MYBs (19), 3R-MYBs (2) and 4R-MYBs (2). Gene structure analysis revealed varying exon numbers (3-14) and intron lengths (7-1058 bp), and noncanonical GC-AG introns were detected in *G. lucidum* and *G. sinense*. In a phylogenetic analysis, 69 out of 75 *MYB* genes were clustered into 15 subgroups, and both single-copy orthologous genes and duplicated genes were identified. The promoters of the *MYB* genes harbored multiple *cis*-elements, and specific genes were co-expressed with the *G. lucidum* MYB genes, indicating the potential roles of these *MYB* genes in stress response, development and metabolism. This comprehensive and systematic study of MYB family members provides a reference and solid foundation for further functional analysis of *MYB* genes in *Ganoderma* species.

KEYWORDS

Ganoderma MYB gene family genome-wide analysis comparative analysis

Ganoderma species, which are widely distributed around the world, are important macrofungi belonging to Ganodermataceae (Polyporales, Basidiomycota). The most common pharmacological activities of *Ganoderma* species are antitumour, antioxidant, and antimicrobial activities, and at least 19 *Ganoderma* species have been studied for their medicinal functions in China to date (Dai *et al.* 2009; Yi *et al.* 2015; Basnet *et al.* 2017; Wu *et al.* 2019a). Polysaccharides (Cör *et al.*

2018; Ma *et al.* 2018) and triterpenes (Liang *et al.* 2019; Wahba *et al.* 2019) with vital medicinal functions have drawn the attention of researchers. In addition, great progress has been made in the classification/identification (Liao *et al.* 2015), genetic diversity (Midot *et al.* 2019), and fruiting body development (Sudheer *et al.* 2018) of *Ganoderma* species.

The MYB (<u>my</u>elo<u>b</u>lastosis) transcription factor family is one of the largest transcription factor families and is widely distributed in eukaryotic organisms (Riechmann *et al.* 2000). The MYB domain contains 1 to 4 imperfect repeats that consist of approximately 50 amino acids (aa), and the repeat is characterized by conserved and regularly spaced tryptophans, which form a hydrophobic core to maintain the helix-turn-helix (HTH) secondary structure of the repeat (Sakura *et al.* 1989; Ogata *et al.* 1994). Accordingly, the MYB gene family is divided into four subfamilies, including 1R-MYB, 2R-MYB, 3R-MYB, and 4R-MYB (Ogata *et al.* 1994). Since the first identification of *MYB* genes from avian myeloblastosis virus (Klempnauer *et al.* 1982) and from plants (Paz-Ares *et al.* 1987), the function of *MYB* genes has been widely studied. Abundant evidence in plants has confirmed the diverse roles of *MYB* genes in signal

Copyright © 2020 Wang *et al.*

doi: https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.120.401372

Manuscript received April 2, 2020; accepted for publication May 28, 2020; published Early Online May 29, 2020.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Supplemental material available at figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/ g3.12286277.

¹Corresponding authors: No. 10 Shiliugang street, Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, 510316, China. E-mail: lianglei214@126.com. No. 16 Nanxiaojie, Dongzhimennei Ave, Beijing, 100700, China, E-mail: swjs082lbs@126.com.

transduction, developmental regulation, biotic and abiotic stress responses, disease resistance, and secondary metabolism regulation (An *et al.* 2019; Lee & Seo 2019; Qing *et al.* 2019; Wu *et al.* 2019b; He *et al.* 2020; Ohno *et al.* 2020). Similarly, a few studies have shown that *MYB* genes participate in stress response and developmental regulation in fungi (Valsecchi *et al.* 2017; Wang *et al.* 2018; Li *et al.* 2019).

Taking advantage of the numerous available genomes, comprehensive information regarding number, classification, gene structure, evolution, and expression pattern of *MYB* genes has been widely analyzed, especially in plants and fungi, such as *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Chen *et al.* 2006), *Gossypium hirsutum* (Salih *et al.* 2016), *Phyllostachys edulis* (Yang *et al.* 2019), *Pleurotus ostreatus* (Wang *et al.* 2018), and *Ophiocordyceps sinensis* (Li *et al.* 2019). Several genomes of *Ganoderma* species are currently available (Chen *et al.* 2012; Zhu *et al.* 2015); nevertheless, little is known about the *MYB* genes of *Ganoderma* species. Given the critical roles of *MYB* genes in eukaryotic organisms, exploring *MYB* genes among *Ganoderma* species will contribute to a better understanding of the specific structural and functional characteristics of macrofungi.

In this study, the *MYB* gene family members in *Ganoderma* species, including *G. australe*, *G. boninense*, *G. lucidum*, *G. sinense* and *G. tsugae*, were extensively characterized. Gene numbers, sequence features, gene structures, genetic relationships and promoter *cis*-elements were analyzed and compared among these five species. An additional co-expression analysis of *MYB* genes was performed in *G. lucidum*. These investigations provide fundamental information about the *Ganoderma MYB* gene family and will facilitate gene function elucidation and molecular assisted breeding of *Ganoderma*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Genome sequences and gene sets acquisition

The genome sequences of five *Ganoderma* species downloaded from NCBI BioProjects were used in this study: *G. australe* (PRJNA476322), *G. boninense* (PRJNA421251), *G. lucidum* (PRJNA71455), *G. sinense* (PRJNA42807) and *G. tsugae* (PRJNA445345). Gene annotation results of *G. sinense* were downloaded from GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.-nih.gov/assembly/GCA_002760635.1/). For other genomes, gene models were predicted using Maker pipeline (Campbell *et al.* 2014) with *G. sinense* CDS sequences as EST evidence and UniProt protein sequences as protein evidence.

Identification and classification of MYB genes in Ganoderma species

All the annotated proteins in the five Ganoderma genomes were searched against to PFAM database (Pfam 32.0) with PfamScan (evalue \leq 1e-5) (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/pfa/pfamscan). Genes with hits to PFAM ID PF00249.30, PF08914.10, PF11831.7, PF12776.6, PF13921.5, PF15963.4 and PF16282.4, and with out PF04433.16 and PF16495.4 (SWIRM/SWIRM-associated) were considered as candidate MYB genes. Then, MYB genes were viewed and corrected on Apollo (Dunn et al. 2019) browser: 1) each of the candidate MYB genes of G. lucidum, G. sinense and G. boninense was manually corrected based on the alignments between genome sequences and corresponding transcriptome data (PRJNA269646, PRJNA514399, PRJNA71455, PRJNA374969, PRJNA574544, PRJNA42807) with HISAT2 (Pertea et al. 2016); 2) the candidate MYB genes of G. australe and G. tsugae were corrected based on the alignments with their homologous MYB genes in the other three species with BLASTP (protein sequences) and BLASTN (coding sequences) (Camacho et al. 2009). All the above corrected sequences were searched against the five *Ganoderma* genomes and gene sets using both BLASTN and TBLASTN (Camacho *et al.* 2009) (query length coverage \geq 60%, sequence identity \geq 60%) to avoid missing false-negative identification. Finally, all the *MYB* genes were reconfirmed by PfamScan to rule out false-positive results. The positions and numbers of the MYB domains and other domains were detected by PfamScan, and the *MYB* genes were classified into 1R-, 2R-, 3Rand 4R-MYB groups. A synteny analysis of the *MYB* genes was conducted between the two most complete genomes, *G. lucidum* and *G. sinense*, using MCSCAN (Tang *et al.* 2008).

Sequence information, gene structure, and phylogenetic analysis of MYB genes

The theoretical isoelectric point (pI) and molecular weight (MW) were computed using the online Compute pI/Mw tool (http://web.ex-pasy.org/compute_pi/). The subcellular localization of each MYB protein was predicted by the online WoLF PSORT tool (https://wolfpsort.hgc.jp/). Conserved MYB domain sequences were analyzed and displayed by WebLogo (http://weblogo.berkeley.edu/logo.cgi). The gene structures of the *MYB* genes were investigated using TBtools based on the genomic features defined in the gff file (Chen *et al.* 2018). The distribution of intron length was drawn by ggplot2 (Wickham 2016) in R.

A multiple sequence alignment of the *Ganoderma MYB* genes was performed using ClustalOmega based on full-length protein sequences (Sievers *et al.* 2011), and a maximal likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree was constructed using RAxML (Stamatakis 2014) with 1000 bootstrap replicates and plotted by Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) (Letunic & Bork 2019). In addition, an ML tree containing an additional 124 MYB protein sequences (including fungi, plants and animals) was built using the same method (Supplementary Table S1). The orthologous relationships of *MYB* genes in *Ganoderma* were determined using OrthoMCL v2.0.9 (Li *et al.* 2003). The single copy orthologous *MYB* genes were defined as follows: clustered in phylogenetic tree and in ortho-groups from OrthoMCL, and only one gene in each species.

Analysis of promoter regions of Ganoderma species

The promoter regions of the *MYB* genes, which were defined as the 2000 bp upstream of the transcript start, were extracted and used in the following analysis. *GtMYB14* was not included in this analysis, as this gene starts at the beginning of the genome sequence. The *cis*-elements in the promoter regions were detected using PlantCARE (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/). A hierarchical clustering analysis of *cis*-elements in *Ganoderma MYB* genes was performed using the pheatmap package (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/) in R.

Co-expression of MYB genes in G. lucidum

Sixteen RNA-seq datasets of *G. lucidum* were downloaded from NCBI: SRR364264, SRR10199514, SRR10199515, SRR10199516, SRR10199517, SRR10199518, SRR10199523, SRR10199520, SRR10199521, SRR10199519, SRR10199522, SRR364265, SRR364266, SRR5261648, SRR5261647, SRR5261649. A co-expression network was built for the *MYB* genes of *G. lucidum* (*GlMYBs*) by the Weighted Gene Co-expression Network Analysis (WGCNA) package (Langfelder & Horvath 2008) in R. The genes in modules containing *GlMYBs* were extracted and subjected to GO classification using eggnog-mapper (Huerta-Cepas *et al.* 2019).

Data availability

The necessary information of public data used in this study are present within the article. Data S1 shows sequences of 75 *Ganoderma*



Figure 1 Synteny analysis of MYB genes in *G. lucidum* and *G. sinense* (A) and distribution of MYB genes in five *Ganoderma* species (B). Numbers 1-20 represent the 20 largest scaffolds of *G. sinense*, and I-XIII represent the 13 chromosomes of *G. lucidum*. The gray lines in the background represent collinear blocks between *G. lucidum* and *G. sinense*, while the red lines represent syntenic MYB gene pairs. Ga, *G. australe*; Gb, *G. boninense*; GI, *G. lucidum*; Gs, *G. sinense*; Gt, *G. tsugae*.

MYB genes. Data S2 shows GO classifications of genes related with GlMYBs. Table S1 shows accession numbers of 124 MYB proteins of other organisms used in the phylogenetic study. Table S2 shows comprehensive information of MYB genes identified in five Ganoderma species. Table S3 shows orthologous groups identified by OrthoMCL. Table S4 shows distribution of intron lengths. Table S5 shows length of MYB domains. Table S6 shows PfamScan results of 75 MYB genes in Ganoderma species. Figure S1 shows protein sequence alignment between GaMYB10 and GaMYB12. Figure S2 shows protein sequence alignment between GaMYB05 and GaMYB11. Figure S3 shows protein sequence alignment among GtMYB07, GtMYB10, GtMYB11 and GtMYB09. Figure S4 shows phylogenetic relationships of MYB genes among Ganoderma species and other organisms. Figure S5 shows sequence logos of MYB domains in different subgroups. Figure S6 shows co-expression modules in G. lucidum. Supplemental material available at figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.12286277.

RESULTS

Identification, classification and sequence information of MYB genes in Ganoderma species

Overall, 75 MYB genes were identified in *G. australe* (*GaMYB01-12*), *G. boninense* (*GbMYB01-23*), *G. lucidum* (*GlMYB01-12*), *G. sinense* (*GsMYB01-13*) and *G. tsugae* (*GtMYB01-15*) (Supplementary Data S1). *G. boninense* clearly had more *MYB* genes than the other four species; this may be explained by its genome sequencing method, which used diploid material (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/biosample?LinkName=bioproject_biosample_all&from_

uid=421251). In *G. lucidum*, the 12 *MYB* genes were situated on seven chromosomes, with chromosome 3 containing the maximal number of three. Synteny analysis showed that 12 of the 13 *GsMYBs* distributed on the 9 scaffolds of *G. sinense* had a strong linear relationship with *GlMYB01-12* (Figure 1A). According to their numbers of repeat units, the 75 *Ganoderma MYB* genes were classified into four types: 1R-MYBs (52), 2R-MYBs (19), 3R-MYBs (2) and 4R-MYBs (2) (Supplementary Table S2). 1R-MYBs and 2R-MYBs existed in all five species, while 3R-MYBs were present in only *G. australe* (*GaMYB01*) and *G. lucidum* (*GlMYB07*), and 4R-MYBs were found in only *G. sinense* (*GsMYB01*) and *G. tsugae* (*GtMYB13*) (Figure 1B).

The lengths of the proteins encoded by these 75 *MYB* genes ranged from 192 (*GbMYB20*) to 1597 aa (*GaMYB07*) with an average of 705.24 aa. The calculated pI ranged from 4.59 (*GbMYB20*) to 11.01 (*GaMYB12*) with an average of 7.27, and the MW ranged from 21.42 (*GbMYB20*) to 171.92 (*GbMYB16*) kDa with an average of 77.00 kD. The GC content of the coding sequences ranged from 50.59 to 67.26%, with an average of 59.17%. A total of 56 *MYB* genes were localized to the nucleus, 11 to the cytosol and 19 to the mitochondria (Supplementary Table S2).

Phylogenetic analysis of Ganoderma MYB genes

To determine the phylogenetic and orthologous relationships among the MYB proteins, an ML phylogenetic tree was constructed using the full-length MYB protein sequences of the *Ganoderma* species, and orthologous groups were determined by OrthoMCL analysis (Supplementary Table S3). Sixty-nine *MYB* genes were divided into 15 subgroups (designated as subgroup 1 to subgroup 15), while the other 6 *MYB* genes (*GbMYB11*, *GbMYB14*, *GsMYB11*, *GaMYB04*, *GlMYB12* and *GtMYB14*) were designated as outer clade (Figure 2A). Different types of *MYB* genes tended to be classified into separate subgroups. Most subgroups (subgroups 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12 and 14) contained 1R-MYBs only, subgroups 8 and 15 contained 2R-MYBs only, and subgroup 9 contained 2R-MYBs as well as all the 3R-MYBs and 4R-MYBs.

The *MYB* genes in subgroups 1, 4, 9, 10 and 15 were single-copy orthologous genes, because each of the *MYB* genes in a given subgroup had only one copy in each species. These *MYB* genes represented conserved sequences in *Ganoderma*, and may have originated from a common ancestor. On the other hand, intraspecific duplication events were found in *G. australe* and *G. tsugae*. In *G. australe*, the whole protein sequences of *GaMYB10* and *GaMYB12* showed an identity of 64.5%, and both the N-termini and C-termini had identical protein sequence patterns (Supplementary Figure S1); *GaMYB05* and *GaMYB11* showed a higher identity of 88.0%, and the difference was mainly in the extended N-terminus of 48 aa in *GaMYB11* (Supplementary Figure S2). In *G. tsugae*, *GtMYB10*, *GtMYB09*, *GtMYB11* and *GtMYB07* showed high mutual similarity and were grouped into



Figure 2 Phylogenetic relationships and gene structures of *Ganoderma MYB* genes. (A) The ML phylogenetic tree was based on full-length protein sequences with 1000 bootstrap resamplings; the dots on the nodes represent bootstrap values; Ga, *G. australe*; Gb, *G. boninense*; Gl, *G. lucidum*; Gs, *G. sinense*; Gt, *G. tsugae*; and the numbers behind the *MYB* genes represent the different subgroups. (B) Red rectangles and black lines represent exons and introns, respectively; the number above the black line represent the intron phase; the yellow rectangles represent MYB domains; and the black rectangles represent GC-AG introns.

subgroup 7, accompanied by a homolog, *GlMYB01* (Figure 2; Supplementary Figure S3).

In the phylogenetic analysis including *Ganoderma* and other organisms, the 75 *Ganoderma MYB* genes and 124 *MYB* genes from the other organisms formed multiple clades (Supplementary Table S1; Supplementary Figure S4). Clades consisting of *MYB* genes from different taxa may have evolved from the same ancestor and may have similar biological functions. Clades unique to *Ganoderma* also existed.

Gene structure and MYB domain of Ganoderma MYB genes

A rich diversity of *MYB* gene structure was observed in *Ganoderma*. Varying numbers of exons, from 3 to 14, and introns, from 2 to 13, were detected in the 75 MYB genes; intronless MYB genes were not found. In total, 489 exons and 414 introns were identified, and all the MYB genes started with 0-phase-introns. On average, the 1R-MYBs contained 5.48 introns, the 2R-MYBs contained 4.96 introns, the 3R-MYBs contained 9 introns, and the 4R-MYBs contained 8 introns. Two MYB genes had intron lengths shorter than 10 bp (GaMYB02, 7 bp; GaMYB01, 8 bp), while three had intron lengths of approximately 1000 bp (GsMYB04, 1058 bp; GbMYB04, 921 bp; GlMYB11, 944 bp). The average intron length was 74 bp across all five species, 71 bp in G. australe, 75 bp in G. boninense, 76 bp in G. lucidum, 81 bp in G. sinense, and 65 bp in G. tsugae. In general, most introns (90%) were 48-112 bp in length, and G. tsugae had most narrowest length distribution pattern, with all intron lengths between 46 and 280 bp (Figure 3; Supplementary Table S4).

Five GC-AG introns (noncanonical introns) in *G. lucidum* and six in *G. sinense* were detected, while most introns were classical GT-AG introns (Figure 2B). In *G. lucidum*, *GlMYB05* (1R-MYB), *GlMYB06* (1R-MYB), *GlMYB07* (3R-MYB), *GlMYB08* (1R-MYB) and *GlMYB09* (1R-MYB) each contained a single GC-AG intron (Figure 2B). In *G. sinense*, *GsMYB12* (1R-MYB) contained two GC-AG introns; *GsMYB01* (4R-MYB), *GsMYB02* (1R-MYB), *GsMYB03* (1R-MYB) and *GsMYB13* (2R-MYB) each contained one GC-AG intron (Figure 2B). The four gene pairs *GlMYB05/GsMYB03*, *GlMYB07/GsMYB01*, *GlMYB08/GsMYB12* and *GlMYB09/GsMYB13* each had identical gene structures (including exon number and intron phase) and the same GC-AG intron location, suggesting that the *MYB* genes containing GC-AG introns were conserved in *G. lucidum* and *G. sinense*.

In total, 104 MYB domains were identified in the 75 *MYB* genes, and most MYB domains were distributed in or near the N-terminus (Figure 2B). The MYB domains had a broad range of lengths, from 37 to 226 aa, with an average of 64.3 (Supplementary Table S5). The *MYB* genes in subgroups 12, 13 and 14 had clearly shorter MYB domains than those of other subgroups. Most MYB domains spanned the intron regions, indicating that they might be affected by alternative splicing. The regularly spaced tryptophans of the *MYB* domain were conserved within each subgroup yet diverse among subgroups (Supplementary Figure S5). In addition, each of the four *MYB* genes in subgroup 12 contained an HSA domain and *GbMYB15* contained a FAD_binding and a FAD-oxidase_C domain (Supplementary Table S6).

MYB genes in the same subgroup shared similar gene structures, including gene length, intron phase, number/location of exons and number/location/length of MYB domains. However, MYB genes in



Figure 3 Distribution of intron length in *Ganoderma* species. The number represent log₁₀(intron length); Ga, *G. australe*; Gb, *G. boninense*; Gl, *G. lucidum*; Gs, *G. sinense*; Gt, *G. tsugae*.

different subgroups showed significant differences. Subgroups 2, 12 and 13 contained obviously longer genes than the other groups, while subgroup 8 had an obviously longer MYB domain. These results show that the *MYB* gene structures were consistent with the phylogenetic topology.

Promoter analysis of Ganoderma MYB genes

The promoter regions of the Ganoderma MYB genes had GC contents of 34.35–63.4%, with an average of 55.03% (Supplementary Table S2). The CTCC motif, CAAT box, G box and TATA box were the most frequently occurring cis-elements in the promoter regions. The CTCC motif and CAAT box occurred in all the promoters, with the CTCC motif having an average occurrence of 25.2 and the CAAT box having an average occurrence of 17.6 (Figure 4). Cis-elements related to light response (SP1, GATA motif, TCCC motif, GT1, and ATCT motif), stress response (STRE, MYC, LTR, and GC motif), hormone response (CGTCA motif, TGA, TCA element, and GARE motif) and developmental process (CAT box) (Skriver et al. 1991; Terzaghi & Cashmore 1995; Treger et al. 1998; Laloum et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2016) existed in the promoters of some MYB genes, suggesting that these MYB genes may participate in multiple biological processes. Similar cis-element patterns were detected in the promoter regions of some duplicated gene pairs, such as GaMYB10/ 12 and GtMYB09/10 (Figure 4). However, different patterns were observed in other gene pairs, such as GaMYB05/11 and GtMYB07/11, implying a diversity of potential functions among the duplicated MYB genes. Notably, MYB binding sites were identified in the promoters of all the detected MYB genes except GtMYB07, indicating extensive cooperation among MYB genes.

Co-expression analysis of G. lucidum MYB genes

Based on the WGCNA analysis using 16 *G. lucidum* RNA-seq datasets from the NCBI database, 35 modules were identified, and 12 *GlMYBs* were clustered into 4 modules (Supplementary Figure S6). The genes that had the most similar expression patterns to the *GlMYBs* in the target module were classified into three main categories: biological process, cellular component and molecular function (Supplementary Data S2). The most enriched biological process (GO: 0008150) was metabolism (GO: 0008152). In the cellular component (GO: 0005575) category, cell (GO: 0005623), intracellular (GO:



Figure 4 The 34 most frequent *cis*-elements in the MYB promoters. The color scale shown at the top represent log_2 (number of occurrences).

0005622) and nucleic acid metabolism (GO: 0006139) were enriched. In the molecular function (GO: 0003674) category, biosynthesis (GO: 0009058), cell organization and biogenesis (GO: 0016043), binding (GO: 0005488), cell cycle (GO: 0007049), and catalytic activity (GO: 0003824) were the top five classes (Figure 5). These highly enriched GO terms were mainly relevant to cell metabolism, development, and regulation of gene expression, indicating functions for *GlMYBs* in related processes.

DISCUSSION

A genome-wide comprehensive analysis of Ganoderma MYB genes revealed both similarities and differences among Ganoderma species. The similarities are mainly reflected in three aspects: (1) 1R-MYBs and 2R-MYBs are general MYB types in Ganoderma species; (2) MYB orthologs that showed high similarity in the phylogenetic analysis might possess similar functions; and (3) CTCC motifs and CAAT boxes occur in all promoter regions with high frequency, indicating their conserved functions in the regulation of MYB genes. The differences are mainly reflected in four aspects: (1) the MYB number varies among different species, indicating gene gain and loss during speciation; (2) 3R-MYBs exist exclusively in G. australe and G. lucidum, and 4R-MYBs exist exclusively in G. sinense and G. tsugae; (3) GC-AG introns are observed in only G. lucidum and G. sinense; and (4) only some of the five species underwent MYB gene duplications. The divergence of MYB genes among species might contribute to species evolution and adaptability. Hence, we speculated that some MYB genes may be developed as markers for species identification.



Figure 5 GO classifications of genes most related to *GIMYBs*. BP, biological process; CC, cellular component; MF, molecular function.

The number of MYB gene family members varies greatly among species. The MYB gene number is dramatically expanded to 100-500 in plants (Chen et al. 2006; Salih et al. 2016; Yang et al. 2019; Li et al. 2020), with a reduced number of 4-5 in animals (Davidson et al. 2005) and a moderate number of 10-40 in fungi (Verma et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019). In this study, the Ganoderma species had 12 to 23 MYB genes, similar to other fungi. In addition, the dominant MYB type differs between fungi and plants. In plants, 2R-MYBs (R2R3) are the dominant MYB type, especially in P. edulis, which contains 96.47% 2R-MYBs (Yang et al. 2019). In addition, 2R-MYBs in plants tend to have conserved splicing patterns. For example, A. thaliana and rice have a 2R-MYB gene structure of three exons and two introns (Katiyar et al. 2012). However, in this study, the Ganoderma species had mostly 1R-MYBs, with no clearly conserved gene structure found. As the dominant 2R-MYBs play central roles in plant-specific processes (Lee & Seo 2019; He et al. 2020), it is likely that the dominant 1R-MYBs might play core roles in relevant processes in Ganoderma species.

Fungal introns are typically short, with mean intron lengths ranging from 69 bp in *Cryptococcus neoformans* to 256 bp in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Kupfer *et al.* 2004). The average intron lengths in the whole gene sets of *G. lucidum* and *G. sinense* are 87 and 82 bp, respectively (Chen *et al.* 2012; Zhu *et al.* 2015). In this study, the average intron length of the *Ganoderma MYB* genes was 74 bp, consistent with the typical short introns of fungal species. However, three long introns with lengths of approximately 1000 bp were also identified. Studies have shown that gene expression can be impacted by intron length due to the increased time required to transcribe genes containing long introns (Swinburne *et al.* 2008). Intron length also determines the effect of the exon junction complex (Ashton-Beaucage *et al.* 2010), and genes containing long introns tend to have alternative splicing (Roy *et al.* 2008). However, the possible functions of the long introns in the *Ganoderma MYB* genes need to be elucidated further.

In total, 10 of the 75 *MYB* genes were found to contain GC-AG introns, and these *MYB* genes may recruit different splicing mechanisms during mRNA maturation. Studies have shown that 98% or more of introns are canonically spliced (GT-AG) in fungi, and GC-AG introns usually have an occurrence of approximately 1% (Kupfer *et al.* 2004). In *G. lucidum* and *G. sinense*, 41.7% and 38.5% of the *MYB* genes contained GC-AG introns, indicating a high proportion of noncanonical introns in *Ganoderma MYB* genes. More sophisticated splicing mechanisms are

active in *G. lucidum* and *G. sinense*, and the significance of these GC-AG introns needs further study. Moreover, this reminds us that the traditional gene prediction method could be unconvinced without consideration of noncanonical splicing.

The analysis of the *cis*-elements in the promoter regions of *Ganoderma MYB* genes and the co-expressed target genes of the *GlMYBs* help us understand the potential functions of *MYB* genes in *Ganoderma*. Similar to the roles *MYB* genes play in plants, *Ganoderma MYB* genes are widely involved in various biological processes, including stress response, development, and metabolism. Given their important regulatory roles, *MYB* genes should be included in future studies of macrofungal biological processes.

CONCLUSION

In this study, a total of 75 *MYB* genes were identified in five *Ganoderma* species, of which 69 were clustered into 15 subgroups. Both single-copy orthologous genes and duplicated genes were identified in subgroups. Varying sequence characteristics were observed among subgroups. Multiple regulatory *cis*-elements existed in the promoters of *Ganoderma MYB* genes, and some genes related to stress response, development and metabolism co-expressed with *GlMYBs*. Our results suggest that *MYB* genes participate in multiple biological processes in *Ganoderma*. However, further function validation is required in the future. Given the increasing interest in *Ganoderma* species, the fundamental information of *MYB* genes from this study will facilitate the biological studies in this genus.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was funded by GDAS' Project of Science and Technology Development (2020GDASYL-20200103071), National Natural Science Foundation of China (51678174), the Program of Guangdong Academy of Sciences (2017GDASCX-0105), and Technical System Innovation Team for Sugarcane Sisal Industry of Guangdong Province (2019KJ104-12).

LITERATURE CITED

An, J. P., X. F. Wang, X. W. Zhang, H. F. Xu, S. Q. Bi *et al.*, 2019 An apple MYB transcription factor regulates cold tolerance and anthocyanin accumulation and undergoes MIEL1-mediated degradation. Plant Biotechnol. J. 17: 1–17. Ashton-Beaucage, D., C. M. Udell, H. Lavoie, C. Baril, M. Lefrançois *et al.*, 2010 The exon junction complex controls the splicing of *mapk* and other long intron-containing transcripts in *Drosophila*. Cell 143: 251–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.09.014

Basnet, B. B., L. Liu, L. Bao, and H. Liu, 2017 Current and future perspective on antimicrobial and anti-parasitic activities of *Ganoderma* sp.: an update. Mycology 8: 111–124. https://doi.org/10.1080/21501203.2017.1324529

Camacho, C., G. Coulouris, V. Avagyan, N. Ma, J. Papadopoulos *et al.*,
2009 BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:
421. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421

Campbell, M. S., C. Holt, B. Moore, and M. Yandell, 2014 Genome annotation and curation using MAKER and MAKER-P. Curr. Protoc. Bioinformatics 48: 4.11.11–39. https://doi.org/10.1002/ 0471250953.bi0411s48

Chen, C., R. Xia, H. Chen, and Y. He, 2018 TBtools, a toolkit for biologists integrating various biological data handling tools with a user-friendly interface. bioRxiv. doi: 10.1101/289660 (Preprint posted October 31, 2018)

Chen, S. L., J. Xu, C. Liu, Y. J. Zhu, D. R. Nelson *et al.*, 2012 Genome sequence of the model medicinal mushroom *Ganoderma lucidum*. Nat. Commun. 3: 913. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1923

Chen, Y. H., X. Y. Yang, K. He, M. H. Liu, J. G. Li *et al.*, 2006 The MYB transcription factor superfamily of *Arabidopsis*: expression analysis and phylogenetic comparison with the rice MYB family. Plant Mol. Biol. 60: 107–124. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-005-2910-y

Cör, D., Ž. Knez, and M. Knez Hrnčič, 2018 Antitumour, antimicrobial, antioxidant and antiacetylcholinesterase effect of *Ganoderma Lucidum* terpenoids and polysaccharides: A review. Molecules 23: 649. https:// doi.org/10.3390/molecules23030649

Dai, Y. C., Z. L. Yang, B. K. Cui, C. J. Yu, and L. W. Zhou, 2009 Species diversity and utilization of medicinal mushrooms and fungi in China. (Review) Int. J. Med. Mushrooms 11: 287–302. https://doi.org/10.1615/ IntJMedMushr.v11.i3.80

Davidson, C. J., R. Tirouvanziam, L. A. Herzenberg, and J. S. Lipsick,
2005 Functional evolution of the vertebrate *Myb* gene family: B-*Myb*, but neither A-*Myb* nor c-*Myb*, complements Drosophila *Myb* in hemocytes.
Genetics 169: 215–229. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.104.034132

Dunn, N. A., D. R. Unni, C. Diesh, M. Munoz-Torres, N. L. Harris *et al.*,
2019 Apollo: Democratizing genome annotation. PLOS Comput. Biol.
15: e1006790. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006790

He, J., Y. Q. Liu, D. Y. Yuan, M. J. Duan, Y. L. Liu *et al.*, 2020 An R2R3 MYB transcription factor confers brown planthopper resistance by regulating the phenylalanine ammonia-lyase pathway in rice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 117: 271–277. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1902771116

Huerta-Cepas, J., D. Szklarczyk, D. Heller, A. Hernández-Plaza, S. K. Forslund et al., 2019 eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. Nucleic Acids Res. 47: D309–D314. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1085

Katiyar, A., S. Smita, S. K. Lenka, R. Rajwanshi, V. Chinnusamy *et al.*,
2012 Genome-wide classification and expression analysis of *MYB* transcription factor families in rice and Arabidopsis. BMC Genomics 13: 544. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-544

Klempnauer, K.-H., T. J. Gonda, and J. M. Bishop, 1982 Nucleotide sequence of the retroviral leukemia gene *v-myb* and its cellular progenitor *c-myb*: the architecture of a transduced oncogene. Cell 31: 453–463. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0092-8674(82)90138-6

Kupfer, D. M., S. D. Drabenstot, K. L. Buchanan, H. Lai, H. Zhu *et al.*, 2004 Introns and splicing elements of five diverse fungi. Eukaryot. Cell 3: 1088–1100. https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.3.5.1088-1100.2004

Laloum, T., S. De Mita, P. Gamas, M. Baudin, and A. Niebel, 2013 CCAATbox binding transcription factors in plants: Y so many? Trends Plant Sci. 18: 157–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.07.004

Langfelder, P., and S. Horvath, 2008 WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinformatics 9: 559. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1471-2105-9-559

Lee, H. G., and P. J. Seo, 2019 MYB96 recruits the HDA15 protein to suppress negative regulators of ABA signaling in *Arabidopsis*. Nat. Commun. 10: 1713. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09417-1 Letunic, I., and P. Bork, 2019 Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments. Nucleic Acids Res. 47: W256–W259. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz239

Li, J. J., H. Liu, C. Yang, J. Wang, G. J. Yan et al., 2020 Genome-wide identification of MYB genes and expression analysis under different biotic and abiotic stresses in *Helianthus annuus* L. Ind. Crops Prod. 143: 111924. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111924

Li, L., C. J. Stoeckert, and D. S. Roos, 2003 OrthoMCL: identification of ortholog groups for eukaryotic genomes. Genome Res. 13: 2178–2189. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1224503

Li, X., W. Li, F. Wang, L. Tang, Z. Qian *et al.*, 2019 Identification of the MYB family of transcription factors and gene expression analysis during the growth and development of Chinese cordyceps. Junwu Xuebao 38: 2174– 2182.

Liang, C. Y., D. N. Tian, Y. Z. Liu, H. Li, J. L. Zhu *et al.*, 2019 Review of the molecular mechanisms of *Ganoderma lucidum* triterpenoids: Ganoderic acids A, C2, D, F, DM, X and Y. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 174: 130–141. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.04.039

Liao, B. S., X. C. Chen, J. P. Han, Y. Dan, L. L. Wang *et al.*, 2015 Identification of commercial *Ganoderma* (Lingzhi) species by ITS2 sequences. Chin. Med. 10: 22. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13020-015-0056-7

Ma, Y. H., H. Q. He, J. Z. Wu, C. Y. Wang, K. L. Chao et al., 2018 Assessment of polysaccharides from mycelia of genus *Ganoderma* by mid-infrared and near-infrared spectroscopy. Sci. Rep. 8: 1–10.

Midot, F., S. Y. L. Lau, W. C. Wong, H. J. Tung, M. L. Yap et al., 2019 Genetic diversity and demographic history of *Ganoderma boninense* in oil palm plantations of Sarawak, Malaysia inferred from ITS regions. Microorganisms 7: 464. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7100464

Ogata, K., S. Morikawa, H. Nakamura, A. Sekikawa, T. Inoue *et al.*, 1994 Solution structure of a specific DNA complex of the Myb DNAbinding domain with cooperative recognition helices. Cell 79: 639–648. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(94)90549-5

Ohno, S., M. Ueno, and M. Doi, 2020 Differences in the *CaMYBA* genome between anthocyanin-pigmented cultivars and non-pigmented cultivars in pepper (*Capsicum annuum*). Horticult. J.: UTD-097.

Paz-Ares, J., D. Ghosal, U. Wienand, P. A. Peterson, and H. Saedler, 1987 The regulatory *c1* locus of *Zea mays* encodes a protein with homology to *myb* proto-oncogene products and with structural similarities to transcriptional activators. EMBO J. 6: 3553–3558. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/j.1460-2075.1987.tb02684.x

Pertea, M., D. Kim, G. M. Pertea, J. T. Leek, and S. L. Salzberg, 2016 Transcript-level expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with HISAT, StringTie and Ballgown. Nat. Protoc. 11: 1650–1667. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2016.095

Qing, J., W. Dawei, Z. Jun, X. Yulan, S. Bingqi et al., 2019 Genome-wide characterization and expression analyses of the MYB superfamily genes during developmental stages in Chinese jujube. PeerJ 7: e6353. https:// doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6353

Riechmann, J. L., J. Heard, G. Martin, L. Reuber, C. Z. Jiang et al., 2000 Arabidopsis transcription factors: genome-wide comparative analysis among eukaryotes. Science 290: 2105–2110. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.290.5499.2105

Roy, M., N. Kim, Y. Xing, and C. Lee, 2008 The effect of intron length on exon creation ratios during the evolution of mammalian genomes. RNA 14: 2261–2273. https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.1024908

Sakura, H., C. Kanei-Ishii, T. Nagase, H. Nakagoshi, T. J. Gonda *et al.*, 1989 Delineation of three functional domains of the transcriptional activator encoded by the *c-myb* protooncogene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86: 5758–5762. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.86.15.5758

Salih, H., W. Gong, S. He, G. Sun, J. Sun et al., 2016 Genome-wide characterization and expression analysis of MYB transcription factors in *Gossypium hirsutum*. BMC Genet. 17: 129. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12863-016-0436-8

Sievers, F., A. Wilm, D. Dineen, T. J. Gibson, K. Karplus et al., 2011 Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol. Syst. Biol. 7: 539. https://doi.org/10.1038/ msb.2011.75 Skriver, K., F. L. Olsen, J. C. Rogers, and J. Mundy, 1991 cis-acting DNA elements responsive to gibberellin and its antagonist abscisic acid. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88: 7266–7270. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.88.16.7266

Stamatakis, A., 2014 RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30: 1312–1313. https:// doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033

Sudheer, S., Z. Taha, S. Manickam, A. Ali, and P. G. Cheng,
2018 Development of antler-type fruiting bodies of *Ganoderma lucidum* and determination of its biochemical properties. Fungal Biol. 122: 293–301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2018.01.007

Swinburne, I. A., D. G. Miguez, D. Landgraf, and P. A. Silver, 2008 Intron length increases oscillatory periods of gene expression in animal cells. Genes Dev. 22: 2342–2346. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1696108

Tang, H., X. Wang, J. E. Bowers, R. Ming, M. Alam et al., 2008 Unraveling ancient hexaploidy through multiply-aligned angiosperm gene maps. Genome Res. 18: 1944–1954. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.080978.108

Terzaghi, W. B., and A. R. Cashmore, 1995 Light-regulated transcription. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 46: 445–474. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.pp.46.060195.002305

Treger, J. M., T. R. Magee, and K. McEntee, 1998 Functional analysis of the stress response element and its role in the multistress response of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 243: 13–19. https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.1997.8061

Valsecchi, I., Ö. Sarikaya Bayram, J. Wong Sak Hoi, L. Muszkieta, J. Gibbons et al., 2017 MybA, a transcription factor involved in conidiation and conidial viability of the human pathogen Aspergillus fumigatus. Mol. Microbiol. 105: 880–900. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13744

Verma, S., R. K. Gazara, and P. K. Verma, 2017 Transcription factor repertoire of necrotrophic fungal phytopathogen Ascochyta rabiei: predominance of MYB transcription factors as potential regulators of secretome. Front. Plant Sci. 8: 1037. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01037

Wahba, A. E., A. K. A. El-Sayed, A. A. El-Falal, and E. M. Soliman, 2019 New antimalarial lanostane triterpenes from a new isolate of Egyptian

Ganoderma species. Med. Chem. Res. 28: 2246-2251. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00044-019-02450-1

- Wang, L. N., W. Gao, X. L. Wu, M. R. Zhao, J. B. Qu et al., 2018 Genomewide characterization and expression analyses of *Pleurotus ostreatus* MYB transcription factors during developmental stages and under heat stress based on de novo sequenced genome. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19: 2052. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms19072052
- Wickham, H., 2016 *Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis.* Springer, Berlin, Germany.

Wu, F., L. W. Zhou, Z. L. Yang, T. Bau, T. H. Li *et al.*, 2019a Resource diversity of Chinese macrofungi: edible, medicinal and poisonous species. Fungal Divers. 98: 1–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-019-00432-7

Wu, J. D., Y. L. Jiang, Y. N. Liang, L. Chen, W. J. Chen *et al.*, 2019b Expression of the maize MYB transcription factor ZmMYB3R enhances drought and salt stress tolerance in transgenic plants. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 137: 179–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.plaphy.2019.02.010

Yang, K. B., Y. Li, S. N. Wang, X. R. Xu, H. Y. Sun *et al.*, 2019 Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of the MYB transcription factor in moso bamboo (*Phyllostachys edulis*). PeerJ 6: e6242. https://doi.org/ 10.7717/peerj.6242

Yi, P., N. S. Li, J. B. Wan, D. Z. Zhang, M. Y. Li et al., 2015 Structural characterization and antioxidant activity of a heteropolysaccharide from *Ganoderma capense*. Carbohydr. Polym. 121: 183–189. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.11.034

Zhang, Y., T. Sun, S. Liu, L. Dong, C. Liu et al., 2016 MYC cis-elements in PsMPT promoter is involved in chilling response of *Paeonia suffruticosa*. PLoS One 11: e0155780. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155780

Zhu, Y. J., J. Xu, C. Sun, S. G. Zhou, H. B. Xu et al., 2015 Chromosome-level genome map provides insights into diverse defense mechanisms in the medicinal fungus Ganoderma sinense. Sci. Rep. 5: 11087. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/srep11087

Communicating editor: P. Ingvarsson