OPEN



SARS-CoV-2 Vaccination in Kidney Transplant Recipients—Stratified Analysis of the Humoral Immune Response

Alexander Lammert, MD,^{1,2} Peter Schnuelle, MD,^{2,3} Holger F. Rabenau, MD,⁴ Sandra Ciesek, MD,^{4,5,6} Bernhard K. Krämer, MD,^{2,7,8} Uwe Göttmann, MD,^{2,9} Felix Drüschler, MD,¹⁰ Christine Keller, MD,¹ Daniela Rose, MD,¹ Carsten Blume, MD,¹ Michael Thomas, MD,¹ Niko Kohmer, MD,⁴ and Anne Lammert, MD¹¹

Background. Kidney transplant recipients are at increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection and a more severe course of COVID-19. **Methods.** We conducted a quantitative serologic testing of antibodies specific for the wild type of SARS-CoV-2 and the Omicron variant of concern before and after a third-dose vaccination, either mRNA-1273 (Moderna) or BNT162b2 (Pfizer-BioNTech) in a cohort of 103 stable kidney transplant recipients (median [range] age, 58 [22–84] y, 57 men [55.3%]). **Results.** Third-dose vaccination increased the seroconversion rate from 57.3% to 71.8%. However, despite a marked rise of the antibody concentrations after the booster, 55.4% and 11.6% only formed neutralizing antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 wild type and Omicron, respectively. Treatment with mycophenolic acid/mycophenolate mofetil (in strata of the dose quartiles), advanced age, and, above all, impaired renal function (eGFR <60mL/min) adversely influenced the humoral immunity regarding seroconversion and inhibition of the wild type of SARS-CoV-2. **Conclusions.** Apart from immunosuppressive therapy, the humoral vaccination response is largely affected by nonmodifiable factors in kidney transplant recipients. With the currently leading and clinically easier Omicron variant, this puts into perspective the strategy to significantly enhance the protective efficacy of the available vaccines by reducing or temporarily stopping proliferation inhibitors, not least considering the inherent rejection risk with a possible deterioration of graft function.

(Transplantation Direct 2022;8: e1384; doi: 10.1097/TXD.00000000001384).

INTRODUCTION

Variants of concern (VOC) of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) endanger people

Received 6 July 2022. Revision received 3 August 2022. Accepted 5 August 2022.

¹NEDD*Grünstadt, Grünstadt, Germany.

² Department of Medicine V, University Medical Center Mannheim, Medical Faculty Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany.

³Nierenzentrum Weinheim, Weinheim, Germany.

⁴ Institute for Medical Virology, University Hospital, Goethe University Frankfurt am Main, Frankfurt, Germany.

⁵ German Centre for Infection Research, External Partner Site, Frankfurt, Germany.

⁶ Fraunhofer Institute for Molecular Biology and Applied Ecology (IME), Branch Translational Medicine and Pharmacology, Frankfurt, Germany.

⁷ Mannheim Institute for Innate Immunoscience, Medical Faculty Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany.

⁸ Center for Preventive Medicine and Digital Health Baden-Württemberg (CPDBW), Medical Faculty Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany.

⁹Nierenzentrum Worms, Worms, Germany.

¹⁰ Nierenzentrum Heppenheim, Heppenheim, Germany.

¹¹ Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University Medical Center Mannheim, Medical Faculty Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany.

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

A.L. and P.S. have contributed equally as first authors.

N.K. and A.L. have contributed equally as senior authors.

through immune-escape, increased fitness, infectivity, and the lack of protective vaccines because of the delay in developing adapted versions. Nevertheless, vaccination in

This work was supported by Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany, provided SARS-CoV-2 antibody ECLIAs for this study. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

All persons who meet authorship criteria are listed as authors, and all authors certify that they have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for the content, including participation in the concept, design, analysis, writing, or revision of the article. A.L. did conception of the study, data acquisition, analysis of data, drafting of the article, and final approval. P.S. did conception of the study, data acquisition, analysis of data, drafting of the article, and final approval. H.F.R. and S.C. participated in providing of laboratory resources, analysis of data, revising of the article, and final approval. B.K.K. did analysis of data, revising the article, and final approval. U.G., F.D., C.K., D.R., C.B., and M.T. did recruitment, data acquisition, analysis of data, revising of the article, and final approval. N.K. and A.L. did conception of the study, data acquisition, analysis of data, drafting of the article, and final approval.

Correspondence: Alexander Lammert, MD, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University Medical Center Mannheim, Medical Faculty Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany. (dr.alex.lammert@gmail.com).

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s). Transplantation Direct. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

ISSN: 2373-8731 DOI: 10.1097/TXD.000000000001384

Transplantation DIRECT 2022

immunocompetent people, especially after a booster with the currently available mRNA vaccines, leads to an adequate immune response with reasonable breadth of reactive antibodies, protecting against a severe course of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), even with emerging VOC.^{1,2} Neutralizing antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 after active and passive immunization have shown a clear association with protection against severe courses of COVID-19.^{3,4} The reduced humoral vaccination response in transplant recipients is in contrast to the strong immune response in healthy individuals ⁵ Cellular immunity, which is another

The reduced humoral vaccination response in transplant recipients is in contrast to the strong immune response in healthy individuals.⁵ Cellular immunity, which is another barrier against severe disease, has only been demonstrated outside of routine clinical practice.⁶ Quantification of neutralizing antispike SARS-CoV-2 antibodies is widely and readily available.⁷ At bedside, the presence or absence of these antibodies may be used as a surrogate for immunity and guide clinical decision for the allocation of scarce therapies. We prospectively examined the humoral immune response against the wild type and the omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2 after a third mRNA vaccine dose as a booster dose in 103 stable kidney transplant recipients (KTRs). We also sought to identify factors influencing the humoral vaccine response besides immunosuppressive treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We initially enrolled 116 KTRs, who were under regular follow-up care at 4 German outpatient centers (Weinheim, Grünstadt, Worms, Heppenheim) (Figure 1). We excluded 6 patients with a history of a previous SARS-CoV-2 infection and positive for SARS-CoV-2-nucleocapsid antibodies (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), another 7 individuals who went back to hemodialysis. All patients had completed a 2-dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccination between February 16 and July 21, 2021. The majority (n=80 [77.7%]) were vaccinated twice with an mRNA vaccine, n=8 (7.8%) solely received a vector vaccine (AstraZeneca ChAdOx1-S), and n=15 (14.6%) a combination of both. A third-dose vaccination exclusively with a mRNA vaccine, either Moderna mRNA-1273 or Pfizer-BioNTech BNT162b2, was administered between September 9 and October 21, 2021, 2-8 mo (median, 5 mo) after the second vaccination. Immediately prior and 4-8 wk after the booster, a blood sample was taken to prospectively examine the progress of the humoral vaccine response. All patients were seen every 4–6 wk on an outpatient basis as part of the transplantation follow-up care. They were instructed to present themselves in the event of possible symptoms of infection, in particular cold symptoms, with and without fever. Additionally, they were asked about symptoms of infection in the previous interval at each presentation. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee II of the University of Heidelberg at the Medical Faculty of Mannheim, Germany (Registration No. 2020-590N). All patients gave written informed consent for study participation.

Serological SARS-CoV-2 Antibody Assays

Immunoassays—electrochemiluminescence double-antigen sandwich immunoassays—for antibodies against the nucleocapsid (Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2) or spike protein (Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2-S) were performed according to the manufacturer's specifications on a Cobas e 411 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim Germany). The anti–SARS-CoV-2-S antibody electrochemiluminescence double-antigen sandwich immunoassays, further named SARS-CoV-2 spike antibodie (SARS-CoV-2-S-Ab) assay, detects all subclasses of immunoglobulins directed against the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2. The measurement range is from 0.4 to 250 U/mL. Higher levels of antibodies were determined by dilution according to the manufacturer's instructions. According to the manufacturer's specifications, the cutoff for a reactive test result is defined at \geq 0.8 U/mL. In all samples, we also measured SARS-CoV-2– nucleocapsid antibodies to detect asymptomatic infection.

Virus Neutralization Surrogate Enzyme-linked Immunoassay

The enzyme-linked immunoassay-based GenScript SARS-CoV-2 Surrogate Virus Neutralization Test Kit (GenScript Biotech, Piscataway Township, NJ) was used according to the manufacturer's protocol. The microtiter plates are coated with the "host cell receptor" angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2). The amount of neutralizing antibodies, further named SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies (SARS-CoV-2-NT-Abs), is measured by the degree of inhibition by using the spike protein RBD-horseradish peroxidase conjugate as binding partner. The RBD represents the wild-type SARS-CoV-2. Optical density was measured at 450 nm using the microplate reader of a VIRCLIA automation system (Vircell Spain S.L.U., Granada, Spain). The signal-to-cutoff ratio was calculated, and values were expressed and interpreted according to the manufacturer's specifications. The manufacturer given inhibition of least 30% determined by the virus neutralization test was considered as a positive result, indicating the presence of neutralizing antibodies.

Virus Neutralization Omicron

CaCo-2 cells (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany, no: ACC 169) were cultured in Minimum Essential Medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 4 mmol/L L-glutamine, 100 IU/mL of penicillin, and 100 µg/mL of streptomycin at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. All culture reagents were obtained from Sigma (St Louis, MO). For the experimental procedure of the neutralization assay, fetal calf serum supplementation of the culture medium was reduced to 1% and serum samples were inactivated for 30 min at 56 °C. Inactivated sera were diluted 1:10 in media and thereafter serially diluted (1:2) and incubated with 4000 TCID₅₀/mL of the Omicron variant (B.1.1.529; BA.1) of SARS-CoV-2 for 1h before infecting CaCo-2 cells. Seventy-two hours after inoculation, infected CaCo-2 cells were analyzed for cytopathic effect formation by light microscopy to define the neutralization titer. Each serum sample was tested in duplicate, in case of discrepancies the lowest observed titer was chosen.

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as medians (range) or n% using descriptive statistics. Categorical data were analyzed by 2-sided Fisher's exact tests. We used ANOVA for the comparison of parametric and Wilcoxon signed rank tests of nonparametric data. We performed logistic regression to evaluate putative influencing variables of the vaccine response. These modulating factors were derived from previously published studies of KTRs after dual and third-dose vaccination.⁸⁻¹⁰ We estimated the glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) according to the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation and



3



FIGURE 1. Study flow. Ab, antibody; ACE-2, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2; AZ, AstraZeneca ChAdOx1-S; BNT162b2, BioNTech BNT162b2; GS, Centre of Grünstadt; HP, Heppenheim; mRNA, mRNA vaccine; mRNA-1273, Moderna mRNA-1273; PRNT, plaque reduction neutralization test; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; WH, Weinheim; WO, worms.

used eGFR \geq 60 mL/min as cutoff of a normal kidney function (10). For a stratified analysis, we created quartiles of age and of the dose of mycophenolic acid (MPA) per kg body weight. Odds ratios (ORs) are displayed with 95% confidence intervals

(95% CIs). We also created cumulative incidence curves of symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection after booster vaccination according to the Kaplan-Meier method and built up a Cox regression model using age, kidney function (eGFR $\ge 60 \text{ mL/}$

min), MPA dose, the postvaccination titer, and the presence of neutralizing Abs as explanatory variable. Univariable and multivariable hazard ratios (HRs) are given with 95% CI. These statistical analyses were performed with Stata Statistical Software for MS Windows (Stata Corp, College Station, TX). Receiver operating curve analysis, sensitivity/specificity for prediction of SARS-CoV-2-S-Ab concentration with respect to inhibition of wild-type binding or virus neutralization of Omicron in plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT) was calculated using Statsdirect (Birkenhead, United Kingdom). An inhibition of $\geq 30\%$ (cutoff to positivity according to the manufacturer's specifications) within the SARS-CoV-2-NT-Ab assay and any neutralizing activity against Omicron was used for these analyses. Unpaired 2-tailed t tests were performed using GraphPad Prism 9 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). A P < 0.05 was considered significant in all analyses.

RESULTS

We evaluated the SARS-CoV-2 antibody responses in 103 stable KTRs, 46 females (44.7%) and 57 males (55.3%) with a median age of 58 y (range, 22–84 y). Of these, 5 patients received a combined transplantation, 2 with a pancreas, 2 with a liver, and 1 with a heart transplant. Median time after transplantation was 8.9 y (range, 0.6–33.6 y). Median serum creatinine was 1.44 mg/dL (range, 0.6–5.1 mg/dL), 41 (39.8%) had an excellent kidney function with eGFR ≥60 mL/ min. Sixty-seven (65.1%) were on a triple immunosuppressive regimen, including a calcineurin inhibitor, either tacrolimus or cyclosporin, and mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) or MPA, and low-dose steroids. Twenty-seven (26.2%) were off MMF/ MPA. Three individual patients were on belatacept; of these, one in combination with MMF/MPA (Table 1).

The third vaccine dose increased the SARS-CoV-2-S-Ab positivity in our cohort from 59/103 (57.3%) to 74/103 (71.8%) and was associated with a marked rise of the antibody concentration in the majority of the patients (Figure 2). However, seroconversion occurred only in 34.1% of patients who were antibody negative before the booster (15/44). Fifty-six (55.4%) formed only SARS-CoV-2-NT-Abs that inhibited the binding of the wild-type spike protein to the ACE-2 receptor. Neutralization against Omicron was detectable only in 12 of 103 patients (11.6%). Receiver operating curve analyses identified a cutoff for SARS-CoV-2-S-Ab concentration at 166 U/mL for 30% wild-type neutralization and at 8782 U/mL for Omicron neutralization with low inhibitory efficacy in Omicron-specific PRNT assay (Figure 3).

Logistic regression of SARS-CoV-2-S-Ab positivity before and of SARS-CoV-2-NT-Abs for wild-type inhibition after the booster identified age (in strata of the age quartiles), MPA treatment (in strata of the dose quartiles), and kidney function (eGFR \geq 60 mL/min) as independent factors influencing the humoral vaccination response. However, the effect of an advanced age on the formation of neutralizing Abs was less pronounced and lost statistical significance. The respective ORs of the univariable and multivariable analyses are displayed in Table 2. The time since transplantation was not an explanatory variable.

After receiving the third vaccination dose, all patients were clinically observed for the occurrence of infection symptoms until March 31, 2022, as part of regular outpatient transplantation follow-up care (Figure 1). A SARS-CoV-2 infection was

confirmed using a rapid antigen test, polymerase chain reaction, or a combination of both from a nasopharynx swab. A total of 24 patients became diseased with SARS-CoV-2 after third-dose vaccination with a median follow-up of 4.6 mo, with a range of 1.4-6.2 mo (Figure 4). By January 11, 2022, 3 patients fell ill with the Delta variant, all of whom were hospitalized and 1 of whom died. At that time, all were treated with casirivimab/imdevimab, 2 in combination with molnupiravir. Hereafter, a further 21 patients fell ill with the Omicron variant. All had mild to moderate cold symptoms only, some with elevated temperatures. Three were hospitalized, none required oxygen therapy. Eleven (52.4%) received a passive immunization with sotrovimab, and 4 (19.1%) received molnupiravir. Patients with a symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection were younger (median [range]: 54 [22-75] versus 60 [26-84] y, P = 0.003) and more frequently had eGFR <60 mL/min (19/24 [79.7%] versus 43/79 [54.4%], P = 0.03). Kidney function remained an independent explanatory variable in the multivariable Cox regression analysis, whereas treatment with MPA and the humoral immune response after third-dose vaccination did not affect the incidence of a symptomatic infection with SARS-CoV-2 (Table 3 and Figure 4).

DISCUSSION

The use of a third vaccine dose as a booster dose, either mRNA-1273 or BNT162b2, resulted in an overall seroconversion rate of 71.8% assessed with the Anti-SARS-CoV-2-S-Ab immunoassay. The majority of the transplant patients had also a marked increase of the antibody concentrations by >2 powers of 10 (Figure 2). The observed seroconversion rates and increases in antibody concentrations are comparable to those reported by others.^{5,6,8-12} Around 50% only had functional activity against the wild type of SARS-CoV-2 even after the booster vaccination. By correlating the SARS-CoV-2 antibody concentrations with the inhibitory power for binding of the wild-type spike protein to the ACE-2 receptor, we derived a cutoff value for a 30% virus neutralization that may be clinically meaningful for patients after kidney transplantation (Figure 3). The cutoff value largely corresponds to that in a recently examined dialysis cohort¹³ and in the normal population as provided by the manufacturer.¹⁴ However, not entirely unexpectedly and as far as comparable because 2 different test methods were used, the respective cutoff on basis of the live virus neutralization test for inactivation of the Omicron variant was 53 times higher. Only 11.6% of our patients had neutralizing antibodies against omicron, which is in line with 2 recent smaller vaccination studies in KTRs from Toronto, Ontario, and from Boston, MA.^{15,16} This is also why patients after kidney transplantation are still considered a subgroup at high risk in the COVID-19 pandemic. Many of them have a high level of comorbidity, which predisposes them to a potentially more severe course after SARS-CoV-2 infection.

There is broad evidence for antibodies as a protective correlate for COVID-19 vaccine.¹⁷ Antibody titers might be good biomarkers for the protective efficacy of neutralizing antibodies and a successful vaccine response.¹⁸ In the present study, we identified various influencing factors that were independent from one another associated with the humoral immune response after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. Unlike a more advanced age or an impaired graft function,^{8,10,19} treatment

TABLE 1.

Baseline characteristics and serological vaccination results before and after third-dose vaccination

	Median	Range	n (%)
Age, y	58	22–84	
Sex			
Female			46 (447)
Male			57 (55.3)
Serum creatinine, mg/dL	1.44	0.6-5.1	
eGFR, mL/min	52	12-131	
Transplanted organs			
Kidney only			98 (95.1)
Kidney and pancreas			2 (1.9)
Kidney and liver			2 (1.9)
Kidney and heart			1 (1.0)
Immunosuppressive therapy			
MMF/MPA			76 (73.8)
Tacrolimus			69 (67.0)
Cyclosporine			31 (30.1)
mTOR-inhibitor			9 (8.7)
Belatacept			3 (2,9)
Azathioprine			6 (5.8)
Steroids			97 (94.2)
Time since transplantation. v	8.9	0.6-33.6	··· (· ··-)
SARS-CoV-2-S-Ab before third vaccination. U/mL	16.6	1.0-2737	
SARS-Cov-2-S-Ab before third vaccination, seroconversion			59 (57.3)
SARS-Cov-2-S-Ab after	1307	1.0-117.375	()
third vaccination. U/mL			
SARS-Cov-2-S-Ab after			74 (71.8)
third vaccination, seroconversion			(-7
SARS-CoV-2-NT-Ab before third vaccination, % inhibition	47	28–96	
SARS-CoV-2-NT-Ab before third vaccination. ≥30%			16 (15.5)
SARS-CoV-2-NT-Ab before third vaccination. ≥70%			8 (7.8)
SARS-CoV-2-NT-Ab after	94	30-97	- (- /
third vaccination. % inhibition			
SARS-CoV-2-NT-Ab after			56 (55.4)
third vaccination, \geq 30%			()
SARS-CoV-2-NT-Ab after			50 (48.5)
third vaccination, \geq 70%			()
Omicron-PRNT after	10	10-40	
third vaccination, titer (NT50)			
Omicron-PRNT after			12 (11.6)
third vaccination, seroconversion			

Baseline characteristics of the study population and serological vaccination results before and after third-dose vaccination.

eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; MPA, mycophenolic acid; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; NT50, 50% virus neutralization; NT-Ab, neutralizing antibody; PRNT, plaque reduction neutralization test; RBD, receptor-binding domain; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; S-Ab, spike protein antibody.

with MMF/MPA is basically a modifiable risk factor for seroconversion failure. It seems plausible to reduce or pause therapy with MMF/MPA for stable patients.²⁰ On the other hand, patients with impaired renal graft function, in whom the response rate could theoretically be increased the most, probably also had a more complicated immunologic course after transplantation. An alloimmune reaction against a predamaged graft would likely impair its function more severely in the further course or even cause a graft loss.^{21,22} Furthermore, our study impressively shows that despite detectable antibodies against the spike protein in the immunoassay, only a limited number of patients produced sufficiently neutralizing antibodies. In contrast to patients with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases, in whom immunosuppressive therapy can be temporarily stopped completely,23,24 KTRs off MMF/MPA usually have to remain on calcineurin inhibitors \pm steroids. This will per se limit the vaccination response.^{19,25,26} In our cohort, any antibody formation after booster vaccination in patients off MMF/MPA was 78%, which is still below the virus specific seropositivity of >90% that is achieved in the normal population after 2 doses of BNT 162b2.27 Regardless of this, our data in no way challenge the usefulness of the COVID-19 vaccination in KTRs. However, taking in account the risk-benefit ratio speaks against a strategy of reducing immunosuppressive therapy,^{20,28} because the vaccine response is also largely influenced by nonmodifiable factors other than MMF/MPA treatment. Another argument comes from the todate lack of a specific vaccine directed against the Omicron variant. Furthermore, the clinical course after infection with Omicron is seemingly less severe also in solid organ transplant recipients²⁹ and can be attenuated by passive immunization without major side effects.⁴ This point of view might



FIGURE 2. Dot plot after the third immunization in KTRs of (A) anti-SARS-CoV-2-S-Ab (ECLIA, Elecsys, Roche Mannheim), (B) inhibition of binding of SARS-CoV-2–spike wild-type protein (SARS-CoV-2-WT-NT-Abs) (GenScript Biotech) to the ACE-2 receptor, and (C) live virus neutralization against omicron (PRNT-O) (Wilhelm et al). Values represent reciprocal dilutions of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron microneutralization titers resulting in 50% virus neutralization (NT50). ACE-2, angiotensin-converting-enzyme 2; ECLIA, electrochemiluminescence double-antigen sandwich immunoassays NT-Ab, neutralizing antibody; PRNT, plaque reduction neutralization test; RBD, receptor-binding domain; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; S-Ab, spike protein antibody; WT, wild type.



FIGURE 3. ROC analysis of anti-SARS-CoV-2-S-Ab level indicating the presence of neutralizing antibodies. (A) The presence of neutralizing antibodies against wild-type SARS-CoV-2-S-Ab level indicating the presence of neutralizing antibodies. (A) The presence of neutralizing antibodies against wild-type SARS-CoV-2 was defined as an inhibition of ≥30% within the SARS-CoV-2-NT-Ab assay. (B) Prediction of anti-SARS-CoV-2-S-Ab for virus neutralization against Omicron (PRNT-O). AUC, area under the curve; CI, confidence interval; NT-Ab, neutralizing antibody; ROC, receiver operating curve; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; S-Ab, spike protein antibody.

be supported by our observation that 21 individual patients infected with the Omicron variant had a symptom-poor clinical course. Although we cannot exclude to have missed asymptomatic infections, it is unlikely that a considerable number of patients with symptomatic disease remained undetected, due to a close monitoring of our patients with regard to fever and cold symptoms as described previously. Notably, impaired kidney function appeared to affect also the incidence of symptomatic infection with COVID-19 after third-dose vaccination (Figure 4B), which, however, requires further confirmation in a larger study base. Treatment with MMF/ MPA was not a significant explanatory variable of symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection with the Omicron variant in our study cohort. These considerations however would need to be reweighed in a future, more clinically aggressive VOC, such as Delta was. With expected severe COVID-19 from future VOCs and availability of an already adapted vaccine, perhaps a reduction in MMF/MMA could be beneficial if no passive immunization option is available. On the other hand, seroconversion failure is usually found precisely in those patients

ТΔ	R	F	2	
	_	_	_	

Logistic regression of SARS-CoV-2 antibody production before and after booster vaccination

	SARS-CoV-2-S-Abs (\geq 0.8 U/mL), before booster			SARS-CoV-2-NT-Abs (≥30%), after booster				
	Univariable analysis		Multivariable analysis		Univariable analysis		Multivariable analysis	
Variable	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р	OR (95% CI)	Р
Age quartiles	0.64 (0.44-0.93)	0.02	0.60 (0.39-0.91)	0.02	0.72 (0.51-1.03)	0.07	071 (0.49-1.05)	0.09
Q1, median 40 y (22–49)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q2, median 56 y (51–58)	0.40 (0.12-1.30)	0.13	0.54 (0.14-2.09)	0.37	0.51 (0.17-1.57)	0.24	0.70 (0.21-2.36)	0.57
Q3, median 63 y (59–66)	0.30 (0.09-1.00)	0.05	0.20 (0.05-0.83)	0.03	0.44 (0.14-1.41)	0.17	0.38 (0.11-1.31)	0.13
Q4, median 73 y (67–84)	0.24 (0.07-0.79)	0.02	0.20 (0.05-0.84)	0.03	0.35 (0.11-1.10)	0.07	0.39 (0.11-1.35)	0.14
eGFRª ≥60 mL/min	3.76 (1.58-8.99)	0.003	5.96 (2.12-16.8)	0.001	2.52 (1.07-5.57)	0.02	3.25 (1.31-8.04)	0.01
Dose quartiles of MPA	0.60 (0.41-0.87)	0.007	0.45 (0.28-0.71)	0.001	0.68 (0.47-0.97)	0.03	0.58 (0.39-0.87)	0.008
Q1, median 0 mg/kg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Q2, median 7.2 mg/kg (3.3–8.8)	0.74 (0.22-2.48)	0.63	0.89 (0.23-3.39)	0.87	0.63 (0.20-1.99)	0.43	0.68 (0.20-2.30)	0.54
Q3, median 10.5 mg/kg (9.0–12.2)	0.28 (0.09-0.88)	0.03	0.20 (0.05-0.78)	0.02	0.29 (0.09-0.89)	0.03	0.25 (0.07-0.85)	0.03
Q4, median 16.5 mg/kg (12.6–33.5)	0.25 (0.08-0.82)	0.02	0.10 (0.02-0.45)	0.002	0.36 (0.11-1.13)	0.08	0.24 (0.07-0.84)	0.03

^aAccording to the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation.

Logistic regression of SARS-CoV-2 antibody production before and after third SARS-CoV-2 vaccination.

CI, confidence interval; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MPA, mycophenolic acid; NT-Ab, neutralizing antibody; OR, odds ratio; S-Ab, spike protein antibody.



FIGURE 4. Cumulative incidence of symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection after third-dose vaccination (A) overall and (B) according to kidney function (eGFR \geq 60 mL/min vs <60 mL/min). CI, confidence interval; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HR, hazard ratio.

at high risk of rejection who require a more intense immunosuppressive therapy. It is in this group that controlled clinical trials will be needed to weigh the benefit of an adjusted or reduced immunosuppression before vaccination against the risk of graft loss. Another strategy, which was recently pursued especially for risk groups, is based on the administration of a fourth vaccination. However, a fourth vaccination generated an adequate humoral immune response in a very limited number of Ab-negative patient only³⁰ and failed to induce neutralization against the Omicron variant.³¹

Our study has several limitations, the relatively small sample size and the lacking data on T-cell immunity following vaccination. Furthermore, we assessed only the peak levels of the SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, which usually occur between 4 and 8 wk after vaccination and tend to fall during the ongoing follow-up.³² The latter and observational nature risking unbalanced groups for comparisons, limited to some extent the ability of our study collecting truly robust data on the vaccine effectiveness during the further clinical course. Hence, that our study failed to show a clinical correlate of protection should be considered with some caution. Although the determination of antibodies targeting the S1 RBD of the spike protein is technically simple and highly standardized, they seem to be less apt in predicting clinical immunity to omicron. Therefore, the here-presented and highly reliable cutoff of 166 U/mL capable to inhibit the interaction of the wild-type SARS-CoV-2-spike protein with ACE-2 may have lost some of its meaningfulness. The 53 times higher cutoff indicating the presence of neutralizing antibodies against Omicron may indicate how far this variant is serologically distant from the wild type and Delta variant (B.1.617.2).^{15,31,33,34} Furthermore, the breadth of vaccine induced antibodies may be narrowed in organ transplant recipients.35 Nevertheless, a very high antibody titer may reflect an immune system that is not relevantly impaired despite immunosuppressive medication and may guide the clinician to dispense with scarce treatment options for COVID-19. At the other end of the spectrum, there is agreement that seronegative patients need a maximum effort in the prophylaxis and treatment to avoid a complicated course in case of infection with SARS-CoV-2, including passive immunization.7

In conclusion, KTRs show a significantly reduced humoral immune response after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination compared with the general population. This refers both to the formation of antibodies in general and to the formation of neutralizing antibodies. In addition to immunosuppressive therapy, the humoral vaccination response in KTRs is significantly influenced by nonmodifiable factors such as advanced age and a

TABLE 3.

Cox regression of COVID-19 infection after third-dose vaccination

Variable	Univariable an	alysis	Multivariable analysis		
	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р	
Age (y)	0.96 (0.94-0.99)	0.006	0.95 (0.92-0.98)	0.001	
eGFR ^a ≥60 mL/min	0.37 (0.14-0.99)	0.05	0.36 (0.13-0.99)	0.05	
Dose quartiles of MPA	0.91 (0.64-1.31)	0.63	0.81 (0.55-1.19)	0.28	
Antibody concentration, U/mL	1.00 (0.99-1.00)	0.36	1.00 (0.99-1.00)	0.51	
Neutralizing SARS-CoV-2-S-Ab (≥30%)	0.68 (0.31-1.52)	0.35	0.63 (0.26-1.52)	0.30	

^aAccording to the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation.

Cox regression of a symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection after third-dose vaccination.

Cl, confidence interval; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HR, hazard ratio; MPA, mycophenolic acid; S-Ab, spike protein antibody; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

compromised kidney function. This puts into perspective the possibilities to significantly enhance the vaccination response just by reducing or temporarily discontinuing proliferation inhibitors. It appears questionable that such a strategy under the leading Omicron variant can significantly enhance the protective efficacy of the currently available vaccines without the inherent risks of a late rejection with subsequent decrease in graft function.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to especially thank our laboratory staff, namely Conny Rühl, Barbara Schenk, and Sabine Reiser for their excellent work.

REFERENCES

- Bar-On YM, Goldberg Y, Mandel M, et al. Protection of BNT162b2 vaccine booster against covid-19 in Israel. N Engl J Med. 2021;385:1393–1400.
- Benning L, Morath C, Bartenschlager M, et al. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern in kidney transplant recipients after standard COVID-19 vaccination. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2022;17:98–106.
- Bergwerk M, Gonen T, Lustig Y, et al. Covid-19 breakthrough infections in vaccinated health care workers. N Engl J Med. 2021;385:1474–1484.
- Gupta A, Gonzalez-Rojas Y, Juarez E, et al; COMET-ICE Investigators. Effect of sotrovimab on hospitalization or death among high-risk patients with mild to moderate COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2022;327:1236–1246.
- Alejo JL, Mitchell J, Chiang TP, et al. Antibody response to a fourth dose of a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in solid organ transplant recipients: a case series. *Transplantation.* 2021;105:e280–e281.
- Schrezenmeier E, Rincon-Arevalo H, Stefanski AL, et al. B and T cell responses after a third dose of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in kidney transplant recipients. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2021;32:3027–3033.
- Werbel WA, Segev DL. SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing for transplant recipients: A tool to personalize protection versus COVID-19. Am J Transplant. 2022;22:1316–1320.
- Boyarsky BJ, Werbel WA, Avery RK, et al. Antibody response to 2-dose SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine series in solid organ transplant recipients. *JAMA*. 2021;325:2204–2206.
- Benotmane I, Gautier G, Perrin P, et al. Antibody response after a third dose of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in kidney transplant recipients with minimal serologic response to 2 doses. *JAMA*. 2021;326:1063–1065.
- Kamar N, Abravanel F, Marion O, et al. Three doses of an mRNA covid-19 vaccine in solid-organ transplant recipients. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;385:661–662.
- Reindl-Schwaighofer R, Heinzel A, Mayrdorfer M, et al. Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 antibody response 4 weeks after homologous vs heterologous third vaccine dose in kidney transplant recipients: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2022;182:165–171.

- Balsby D, Nilsson AC, Möller S, et al. Determinants of antibody response to a third SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine dose in solid organ transplant recipients: results from the prospective cohort study COVAC-Tx. Vaccines (Basel). 2022;10:565.
- Kohmer N, Rabenau HF, Ciesek S, et al. Heterologous immunization with BNT162b2 followed by mRNA-1273 in dialysis patients: seroconversion and presence of neutralizing antibodies. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2022;37:1132–1139.
- Resman Rus K, Korva M, Knap N, et al. Performance of the rapid high-throughput automated electrochemiluminescence immunoassay targeting total antibodies to the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein receptor binding domain in comparison to the neutralization assay. *J Clin Virol.* 2021;139:104820.
- Kumar D, Hu Q, Samson R, et al. Neutralization against Omicron variant in transplant recipients after three doses of mRNA vaccine. *Am J Transplant*. 2022;22:2089–2093.
- Al Jurdi A, Gassen RB, Borges TJ, et al. Suboptimal antibody response against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant after third dose of mRNA vaccine in kidney transplant recipients. *Kidney Int.* 2022;101:1282–1286.
- Earle KA, Ambrosino DM, Fiore-Gartland A, et al. Evidence for antibody as a protective correlate for COVID-19 vaccines. *Vaccine*. 2021;39:4423–4428.
- Cromer D, Steain M, Reynaldi A, et al. Neutralising antibody titres as predictors of protection against SARS-CoV-2 variants and the impact of boosting: a meta-analysis. *Lancet Microbe*. 2022;3:e52–e61.
- Manothummetha K, Chuleerarux N, Sanguankeo A, et al. Immunogenicity and risk factors associated with poor humoral immune response of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in recipients of solid organ transplant: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Netw Open. 2022;5:e226822.
- Kantauskaite M, Müller L, Kolb T, et al. Intensity of mycophenolate mofetil treatment is associated with an impaired immune response to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in kidney transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant*. 2022;22:634–639.
- Gaston RS, Fieberg A, Hunsicker L, et al. Late graft failure after kidney transplantation as the consequence of late versus early events. *Am J Transplant.* 2018;18:1158–1167.
- Gaston RS. Our evolving understanding of late kidney allograft failure. Curr Opin Organ Transplant. 2011;16:594–599.
- Connolly CM, Chiang TP, Boyarsky BJ, et al. Temporary hold of mycophenolate augments humoral response to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in patients with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases: a case series. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2022;81:293–295.
- Schnuelle P, Kölling S, Müller A, et al. Short-time interruption of second-line mycophenolate treatment in a patient with renal sarcoidosis enabled a marked antibody response to SARS-CoV-2 messenger RNA vaccine. *Clin Kidney J.* 2022;15:584–586.
- Bertrand D, Hamzaoui M, Lemée V, et al. Antibody and T cell response to SARS-CoV-2 messenger RNA BNT162b2 vaccine in kidney transplant recipients and hemodialysis patients. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2021;32:2147–2152.
- 26. Stumpf J, Siepmann T, Lindner T, et al. Humoral and cellular immunity to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in renal transplant versus dialysis patients: A prospective, multicenter observational study using mRNA-1273 or BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine. *Lancet Reg Health Eur.* 2021;9:100178.
- Wei J, Stoesser N, Matthews PC, et al; COVID-19 Infection Survey team. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in 45,965 adults

from the general population of the United Kingdom. *Nat Microbiol.* 2021;6:1140–1149.

- 28. Yahav D, Rozen-Zvi B, Mashraki T, et al. Immunosuppression reduction when administering a booster dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in kidney transplant recipients without adequate humoral response following two vaccine doses: protocol for a randomised controlled trial (BECAME study). *BMJ Open.* 2021;11:e055611.
- 29. Cochran W, Shah P, Barker L, et al. COVID-19 clinical outcomes in solid organ transplant recipients during the Omicron Surge. *Transplantation*. 2022;106:e346–e347.
- Caillard S, Thaunat O, Benotmane I, et al. Antibody response to a fourth messenger RNA COVID-19 vaccine dose in kidney transplant recipients: a case series. *Ann Intern Med.* 2022;175:455–456.
- Karaba AH, Johnston TS, Aytenfisu TY, et al. A fourth dose of COVID-19 vaccine does not induce neutralization of the Omicron variant

among solid organ transplant recipients with suboptimal vaccine response. *Transplantation*. 2022;106:1440–1444.

- Huang AT, Garcia-Carreras B, Hitchings MDT, et al. A systematic review of antibody mediated immunity to coronaviruses: kinetics, correlates of protection, and association with severity. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11:4704.
- Karaba AH, Zhu X, Liang T, et al. A third dose of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine increases neutralizing antibodies against variants of concern in solid organ transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant*. 2022;22:1253–1260.
- Sheward DJ, Kim C, Ehling RA, et al. Neutralisation sensitivity of the SARS-CoV-2 omicron (B.1.1.529) variant: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2022;22:813–820.
- 35. Saharia KK, Husson JS, Niederhaus SV, et al. Humoral immunity against SARS-CoV-2 variants including omicron in solid organ transplant recipients after three doses of a COVID-19 mRNA vaccine. *Clin Transl Immunology.* 2022;11:e1391.