RESEARCH ARTICLE

The transcription factor Spalt and human homologue SALL4 induce cell invasion via the dMyc-JNK pathway in *Drosophila*

Jie Sun, Junzheng Zhang, Dan Wang* and Jie Shen*

ABSTRACT

Cancer cell metastasis is a leading cause of mortality in cancer patients. Therefore, revealing the molecular mechanism of cancer cell invasion is of great significance for the treatment of cancer. In human patients, the hyperactivity of transcription factor Spalt-like 4 (SALL4) is sufficient to induce malignant tumorigenesis and metastasis. Here, we found that when ectopically expressing the Drosophila homologue spalt (sal) or human SALL4 in Drosophila, epithelial cells delaminated basally with penetration of the basal lamina and degradation of the extracellular matrix, which are essential properties of cell invasion. Further assay found that sal/SALL4 promoted cell invasion via dMyc-JNK signaling. Inhibition of the c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) signaling pathway through suppressing matrix metalloprotease 1, or basket can achieve suppression of cell invasion. Moreover, expression of dMyc, a suppressor of JNK signaling, dramatically blocked cell invasion induced by sal/SALL4 in the wing disc. These findings reveal a conserved role of sal/SALL4 in invasive cell movement and link the crucial mediator of tumor invasion, the JNK pathway, to SALL4-mediated cancer progression.

This article has an associated First Person interview with the first author of the paper.

KEY WORDS: Spalt, SALL4, JNK pathway, dMyc, Cell invasion

INTRODUCTION

Spalt-like (Sall) gene family proteins are zinc finger transcription factors evolutionarily conserved in many organisms from *Caenorhabditis elegans* to human beings. These proteins can act as both transcriptional repressors and activators in different contexts (de Celis and Barrio, 2009; Sánchez et al., 2011). They play instrumental roles in stem cell development, cell specification and morphogenesis, cancer progression and inherited disorders (Sweetman and Münsterberg, 2006; de Celis and Barrio, 2009). Understanding the regulation of *Sall* genes is vital to decipher their biological functions.

The first member of the *Sall* gene family, *spalt* (*sal*), was identified as a homeotic gene during *Drosophila* embryonic development (Frei et al., 1988; Kühnlein et al., 1994). There are two *Drosophila spalt* homologues, *spalt major* (*salm*) and *spalt-related* (*salr*), which have complementary functions (Barrio et al., 1996, 1999). Numerous studies have been devoted to the role of *sal* in patterning and growth

Department of Entomology and MOA Key Laboratory for Monitory and Green Control of Crop Pest, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China.

*Authors for correspondence (shenjie@cau.edu.cn; dwang@cau.edu.cn)

D J.S., 0000-0001-5210-6673; D.W., 0000-0003-2881-2273

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

Received 18 October 2019; Accepted 22 January 2020

control of the Drosophila wing imaginal disc, an epithelial tissue that proliferates during larval development. In the wing disc, the expression of *sal* is activated by Decapentaplegic (Dpp) signaling in specific regions and leads to tissue growth (de Celis et al., 1996; Barrio and de Celis, 2004; Doumpas et al., 2013; Akiyama and Gibson, 2015). Loss of sal shows abnormal vein formation and reduction in wing size (de Celis et al., 1996; Grieder et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2017). At the cellular level, mitotic cells are strongly reduced in sal mutant wing discs (Organista and De Celis, 2013). Cell death pathways and the JNK signaling are activated in *sal* knockdown cells, but these two processes only have a minor role in generating the sal mutant phenotypes (Organista and De Celis, 2013; Organista et al., 2015). Conversely, ectopic sal expression promotes cell proliferation (Skottheim Honn et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017) via positive regulation of the microRNA bantam (Wang et al., 2017). These results suggest that *sal* is vital in organ size control by accelerating cell proliferation, but the relation of Drosophila sal to tumorigenesis is not yet known.

In vertebrates, there are four Sall paralogues, named Sall1 to Sall4. All four vertebrate Sall members are involved in embryonic development and their mutations lead to severe genetic disorders (Sweetman and Münsterberg, 2006; de Celis and Barrio, 2009). Particularly, SALL4, a mutation that causes Okihiro syndrome (Al-Baradie et al., 2002; Kohlhase et al., 2002), is highly expressed during embryonic development and plays a crucial role in maintaining pluripotency and self-renewal of embryonic stem cells (Wu et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2008a). As tissues and organs mature, the expression of SALL4 is gradually decreased. By contrast, there is substantial evidence that SALL4 is highly upregulated in numerous human cancers and regulates multiple cellular processes responsible for cancer progression (Zhang et al., 2015). First, SALL4 regulates the self-renewal of cancer stem cells by targeting a variety of genes, such as upregulation of *Bmi-1*, *Wnt/\beta-catenin* and *HoxA9* and repression of *PTEN*, a tumor suppressor gene (Ma et al., 2006; Lu et al., 2009; Li et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014). Second, SALL4 regulates cell proliferation and apoptosis. Overexpressing SALL4 in liver cancer cell lines enhances cell proliferation through Cyclin D expression (Oikawa et al., 2013). In addition, SALL4 negatively regulates the transcription of apoptotic genes (Yang et al., 2008b; Li et al., 2015) through activating the oncogene Bmi-1 (Yang et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2011). Correspondingly, silencing of SALL4 results in less proliferation and differentiation (Elling et al., 2006; Sakaki-Yumoto et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2006), which is significantly correlated with cell cycle arrest (Böhm et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2011; Oikawa et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2017) and/or increased apoptosis (Li et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017). Third, SALL4 regulates cell migration and invasion. SALL4 improves epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), as indicated by increasing Twist1 and N-cad expression and decreasing expression of E-cad (Zhang et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2015). The EMT activator ZEB1 (Itou et al., 2013) and oncogene *cMyc* (Yang et al., 2008a; Li et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2015)



are positively regulated by SALL4, therefore leads to EMT. Transplantation of SALL4-expressing cells into immunodeficient mice gives rise to subcutaneous tumor growth and tumefaction of many organs (Ma et al., 2006; Oikawa et al., 2013). Lastly, SALL4 is associated with drug resistance, which, in turn, hampers treatment of tumor cell growth (Oikawa et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015). Thus, SALL4 plays an essential role in regulating tumorigenesis, tumor growth and tumor progression. Yet, how SALL4 regulates invasive cell movement at the molecular level needs to be elucidated.

In this article, we make use of a Drosophila genetic model for epithelial tumor invasion to explore the molecular mechanism of SALL4 in cancer cell invasion and metastasis. Overexpressing the Drosophila sal or human SALL4 generated migrating cells with invasive behavior in the Drosophila larval tissues. The additional cellular and genetic

data revealed that sal/SALL4-induced cell invasion depended on dMyc-JNK signaling and was independent of the apoptosis pathway. These results provide new insights into the molecular mechanisms of sal/SALL4-induced cancer invasion and metastasis.

RESULTS

sal/SALL4 hyperactivation stimulates cell invasion

Given the expression level of SALL4 is increased in many types of tumors, to uncover whether SALL4 is capable of inducing cell migration and invasion in vivo, we increased Sal levels in a central region within the *spalt* expression domain by expressing *salm*, *salr* or human SALL4. In the wing disc, when GFP was expressed in the *dpp-Gal4* domain in the wild-type background, the boundary (indicated by dotted lines in Fig. 1A) was relatively linear and no



the larval body and wing disc. (A) GFP signal driven by the dpp-Gal4 was expressed in a stripe in the anterior wing disc. A indicates the anterior compartment and P is posterior compartment. Dashed lines in A-D contour the rough dpp-Gal4 region. In this and subsequent figures, wing imaginal discs were oriented anterior left and dorsal up. The developmental stages were late third-instar and the x-y images were focused on the middle section of the wing pouch and hinge region, unless indicated elsewhere. (B-D) Cells expressing salm (B), salr (C), or SALL4 (D) in the dpp-Gal4 domain invaded into both A and P compartments. In most cases, there was a groove in the pouch region due to sal discontinuity regulated cell sorting. The red arrowheads indicate the single migrating cells and the yellow arrowheads indicate the cell mass in B-G. (E-G) GFP-labeled clone cells. Compared with the control (E), cells overexpressing salm (F) or SALL4 (G) tended to disperse into the single cell level (red arrowheads). The yellow arrowheads represent the hyperproliferative tumor cells. (H) Control clones that expressing the membrane CD8-GFP. (I) The filopodia-like structure appeared in the moving cells shown by CD8-GFP. I' was the magnification of the box in I. The arrowhead shows the membrane protrusion. Scale bars: 50 µm.

GFP-positive cells could be found in the P compartment. In contrast, a significant number of GFP-labeled cells were present both in anterior and posterior regions far away from the dpp-Gal4 domain when sal/SALL4 was overexpressed (Fig. 1B-D). These cells were largely two types. One was grouped cells extruding into the posterior region, which had connections to the major dpp expression region (Fig. 1B-D, yellow arrowheads) and may be either proliferated (Wang et al., 2017) or migrated from the main part. The other was single cells, which were separated from the *dpp* expression region (Fig. 1B-D, red arrowheads) and probably migrated from the main part. Because the *dpp* region is anterior cell fate, if these anterior GFP cells emerge in the posterior region, it means they could go across the compartment boundary and invade into the posterior region (Fig. S1). Hence, we considered the GFP signals in the P compartment of the pouch region as invasive cells. To verify that the GFP-tagged cells represent the sal/SALL4overexpressing cells, Sal and SALL4 were labeled with anti-Sal and anti-HA tag antibodies, respectively. Cell migration occurred exactly in the Sal/HA positive regions (Fig. 1C",D"). These data demonstrate that Drosophila salm, salr and human SALL4 are highly conserved. For convenient genetic manipulation, we used human SALL4 and one of the *Drosophila* homologues (either salm or salr) for the following experiments.

Next, clones were performed to further confirm that sal/SALL4 regulates cell movement. In control clones, cells descending from one progenitor tended to remain clustered and the rugged clone outlines (GFP positive cells) showed similar adhesive properties with their unmarked neighbors (GFP negative cells) (Fig. 1E). When sal/SALL4 was overexpressed, some clone cells were dispersed to the single cell level (Fig. 1F,G, red arrowheads), which is similar to expressing another Dpp target gene optomotorblind (Shen et al., 2014), indicating increased mobility of sal/ SALL4-expressing cells. Tumor-like proliferating cell clusters were seen in the hinge region (Fig. 1F,G, yellow arrowheads), a tumor hotspot where tumors often originate (Tamori et al., 2016). Coexpression of the membrane marker CD8-GFP with sal showed that the migrating cells had filopodia-like structures (Fig. 11), which is a property of migratory and invasive cells (Shen et al., 2014). Taken together, our results demonstrate that the Drosophila salm, salr and human SALL4 are highly conserved in stimulating cell proliferation and cell motility in the wing disc.

To examine whether *sal/SALL4* is able to modulate cell movement in other tissues, we turned to the salivary gland, where *sal* was endogenously expressed at a moderate level (Fig. S2A). Overexpressing *sal/SALL4* by *AB1-Gal4* triggered cell invasion throughout the body (Fig. S2C,D). After dissecting the body wall of third-instar larvae, invading cells (GFP positive) were detected and completely co-localized with the HA antibody staining (Fig. S2E'), confirming that the GFP-labeled invading cells showed high *sal/SALL4* expression. Collectively, our data suggest that ectopic *sal/SALL4* expression is sufficient to trigger cell invasion into other tissues.

sal/*SALL4*-hyperactive cells give rise to disruption of cell polarity

The invasive behavior of transformed cells is commonly associated with EMT, whose characteristics include increased cell motility, destabilization of adhesion junctions and loss of cell polarity. In order to better visualize the property of *sal/SALL4*-overexpressing cells, we performed cryosectioning in the wing discs. At the late third-instar stage, the basal membrane of wing disc epithelia was marked by α -integrin (Fig. 2A). In contrast, the *salr*-overexpressing cells, which were extruded toward the basal side of epithelia, were deficient in α -integrin expression and substantially lost contact with the epithelia (Fig. 2B, arrowheads). These observations suggest that the *salr*-hyperactive cells were penetrating the extracellular matrix (ECM) during invasive migration. The apical DE-cadherin (DE-cad) protein level did not change significantly, but its localization in cytoplasm and basal distribution were increased (Fig. 2C–E). Cytoplasmic distribution of soluble E-cad, which is generated from extracellular cleavage by matrix metalloprotease (Mmp), is known to promote epithelial cell extrusion (Grieve and Rabouille, 2014). Interestingly, hyperactivation of *salr/SALL4* resulted in upregulation of the mesenchymal fate marker DN-cadherin (DN-cad) (Fig. 2G,H), indicating that *sal/SALL4* overexpression induces some consequence related to EMT.

As the large size of salivary gland cells makes it easier to observe the cell morphology and cellular protein localization, we used this tissue to further observe the changes of cell polarity. The apical markers DE-cad and β -catenin/Armadillo (Arm), which were expressed on the cell membrane (Fig. S3A,C), were both mislocalized cytoplasmically in *sal*-expressing cells (Fig. S3B,D). We further marked the apical membrane by antibody against Discs large (Dlg). Dlg was apparently disorganized in *sal*-expressing cells (Fig. S3F). A severe disruption of the actin and microtubule cytoskeleton may have contributed to the disruption of apical polarity due to the morphological changes of *sal*-expressing cells (Fig. S3H) (Tang et al., 2016). The above data suggest that *sal* activation promotes cell invasion by disruption of the apico-basal polarity.

JNK signaling is essential for *sal/SALL4* activation-induced cell invasion

Because the JNK pathway is an essential pathway driving tumor growth and invasion, we investigated whether the JNK pathway mediates *sal/SALL4* overexpression-induced cell invasion. Degradation of the ECM components and basement membrane requires the activity of Mmp1, a transcriptional target of JNK signaling (Uhlirova and Bohmann, 2006). We first examined the Mmp1 level. salr/SALL4 overexpression by dpp-Gal4 or in clone cells within the wing discs led to a strong increase in Mmp1 protein level (Fig. 3B–D). The deposition of Mmp1 was also found in the salivary gland (Fig. S4B, dotted lines). Then, the JNK signaling level was probed by a specific antibody against the activated JNK isoform pJNK. The pJNK level was elevated when salr was overexpressed (Fig. 3F). The JNK pathway target *puckered* (*puc*) was transcriptionally upregulated (Fig. 3H). Besides in the sal/SALL4-expressing regions, the location of Mmp1, pJNK and puc usually occurred at or close to the edge of salr/SALL4overexpressing domains (arrowheads in Fig. 3). The nonautonomous activation of JNK pathway in neighboring wild-type cells may also contribute to invasive cell migration, such as in mutant clones for the tumor-suppressor scrib (Ohsawa et al., 2011).

To examine whether JNK is required for *sal/SALL4*-induced cell invasion, we blocked JNK signaling by expressing several JNK pathway inhibitors. As *puc* is a JNK-specific inhibitor (Martin-Blanco et al., 1998), increasing *puc* expression is thought to inhibit the JNK activity. As a result, the invasive migration in *sal/SALL4*-overexpressing wing discs was repressed by expressing *puc* (Fig. 3I,J). The Mmp1 level, both in *sal/SALL4*-expressing regions and adjacent wild-type cells, was rescued (Fig. 3I,J), indicating that the non-autonomous activation of JNK pathway depends on JNK signals from the *sal/SALL4*-expressing cells. A dominant-negative form of the *Drosophila JNK* homologue *basket* (*bsk^{DN}*) also greatly



Fig. 2. The apico-basal polarity is disrupted in sal/SALL4-overexpressing wing discs. (A) α -integrin was specifically concentrated at the basement membrane. Wing discs as shown in Fig. 1 were sectioned along the x-z axis and images here showed the side view. In all x-z scans apical cells were up and anterior cells were left. (B) Expressing salr induced cell extrusion and ECM degradation. Arrowheads show the degradation of integrin in extrusion cells. (C) DE-cad was rearranged in cells overexpressing salr. The apical DE-cad was comparable in salr-overexpressing and nonoverexpressing cells, but the lateral localization was increased in salroverexpressing cells (GFP expressing regions). Dashed lines in C-E mark the boundary of GFP-expressing and nonexpressing cells. (D) The lateral DE-cad was increased in cells overexpressing salm. (E) The profile of DE-cad fluorescence intensity. (F-H) The EMT marker DN-cad occurred in salr/SALL4-overexpressing cells. Arrowheads indicate the ectopic DN-cad. Scale bars: 50 µm.

repressed *salr/SALL4*-induced cell invasion (Fig. 3L,M). Consistently, downregulation of *Mmp1* by expressing *tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloprotease* (*Timp*) (Visse and Nagase, 2003) compromised *salr*-induced cell invasion (Fig. 3O). In cryosectioning discs, the restoration of basal membrane integrity by *Timp* was apparent (as indicated by anti- α -integrin staining, Fig. 3P). Statistically, the GFP area in the P compartment was significantly reduced when JNK signaling was repressed. The area of invading cells was reduced more than 60% compared with that of *salm*, *salr*, or *SALL4* (Fig. 3Q). The above data suggest that inhibition of the JNK pathway largely reduces *sal/SALL4*-induced cell invasion and epithelial disruption.

As the activation of JNK signaling is often accompanied by the appearance of apoptosis and apoptosis can cause delamination and/or migration of epithelial cells (Rudrapatna et al., 2013; Gorelick-Ashkenazi et al., 2018), we assessed the function of apoptosis in *sal/SALL4*-overexpressing cells. Caspase-3 (Cas3) was activated in and close to the *salr/SALL4*-overexpressing domain

(Fig. S5B,C, yellow arrowheads), as well as non-autonomously activated elsewhere (Fig. S5B,C, red arrowheads). Further TUNEL assay showed that the migrating cells were not dead cells (Fig. S5D,E). When apoptosis was inhibited by overexpression of p35, an inhibitor of the caspase drICE, *salr/SALL4*-expressing cells still maintained the ability of horizontal invasion (Fig. S5G,H). To avoid the fact that expressing p35 induces 'undead' cells to produce migration signals (Martin et al., 2009), we used *Diap1* (Fan and Bergmann, 2008) to suppress caspase Dronc-mediated cell death. Co-expression of *Diap1* and *salr/SALL4* still induced a large number of invading cells (Fig. S5J,K). Thus, co-expression of p35/Diap1 and *salr/SALL4* cannot rescue *sal/SALL4*-induced cell invasion. Apoptosis does not play a major role in this process.

dMyc is repressed by sal/SALL4

The human MYC is an oncogene that contributes to tumorigenesis and metastasis. So does the single *Drosophila* homologue dMyc(Dang, 2012). Previous reports also showed that loss of dMyc



Fig. 3. *sallSALL4* promotes cell invasion through the JNK signaling. (A) Wild-type cells had no obvious JNK activation as indicated by the Mmp1 staining. (B,C) The Mmp1 level was upregulated in *salr/SALL4*-overexpressing wing discs. Arrowheads in B–H indicate the increased JNK signaling. (D) Mmp1 was activated in clone cells overexpressing *salr*. (E) pJNK expression was slightly activated in the central stripe of wild-type wing discs. (F) Overexpression of *salr* promoted JNK phosphorylation. (G) *puc* was not activated in the control wing disc. (H) *puc* was activated in the *salm*-overexpressing cells. Arrowheads show the autonomously increased JNK signaling and non-autonomous increase in the surrounding cells. (I,J) Co-expression of *salm* and *puc* suppressed *salm*-induced cell invasion as well as the Mmp1 level. (K–M) Cell invasion induced by *salr/SALL4* was significantly inhibited by *bsk^{DN}*. (N,O) Co-expression of *salr* and *Timp* suppressed *salr*-induced cell invasion. (P) Co-expression of *salr* and *Timp* suppressed *salr*-induced cell extrusion. (Q) Quantification of the area of invading cells into the P compartment. Each genotype was quantified for 30 wing discs. *** represents *P*<0.001 (two-tailed one-way ANOVA tests for each genetic interaction with *salm*, *salr* and *SALL4* overexpression). Error bars indicate s.e.m. Scale bars are the same except in P. Scale bars: 50 μm.

promotes cell migration by activating JNK signaling (Ma et al., 2017a; Tavares et al., 2017). Here, overexpression of *salr/SALL4* led to a downregulation of the dMyc level in the *dpp-Gal4* domain (Fig. 4B',C', arrowheads). To confirm the regulation by *sal/SALL4*, we produced *salr/SALL4*-overexpressing clones in which the dMyc level was consistently downregulated (Fig. 4E,F, arrowheads). Higher-resolution images illustrated that dMyc was reduced in clone cells (Fig. 4E",F", arrowheads). Consistently, dMyc was reduced in the salivary gland (Fig. S4D, dotted lines). Therefore, dMyc was cell-autonomously repressed by *sal/SALL4*.

dMyc suppresses cell invasion induced by *sal*/SALL4 overexpression

Although overexpression of dMyc showed weak cell migration in the wing disc (Fig. 5A), we attempted to rescue *sal/SALL4*-induced cell invasion by expressing *dMyc*. Co-expression of *dMyc* and *salr/ SALL4* significantly reduced the cell invasion rates (Fig. 5B,C). Statistical results indicate that more than 70% of the GFP cells in the P compartment was lost (Fig. 5I). At the same time, the JNK signal activated by *salr/SALL4* ectopic expression was repressed by *dMyc* expression as indicated by the Mmp1 staining (Fig. 5D,E). In turn, knock-down of *dMyc* by *dMyc-RNAi* showed obvious single cell movement (arrowheads in Fig. 5F'). Reducing *dMyc* also induced activation of the JNK pathway, which was more obviously seen in the x-z view (Fig. 5G). Thus, we deduce that concurrently expressing *dMyc-RNAi* and *sal/SALL4* will enhance *sal/SALL4*-induced cell invasion and the results were as expected (Fig. 5H,I). These findings demonstrate that *dMyc* inhibits the JNK signaling and the *Drosophila* epithelial cell invasion induced by *sal/SALL4* depends on dMyc-JNK signaling.

DISCUSSION

Human *SALL4* has been reported to be significantly elevated in metastatic cancer cells. Here, we provide genetic evidence for a model in which *sal/SALL4* regulates cell invasiveness by dMyc-JNK signaling. The JNK pathway is an important cellular signaling pathway that regulates a variety of cellular activities relevant to tumorigenesis, such as cell migration, apoptosis and proliferation. JNK promotes the expression of Mmp1, which acts as an enzyme to degrade basement membrane and ECM components to promote



Fig. 4. *sallSALL4* **inhibits dMyc expression.** (A) dMyc was expressed in the wing discs. (B,C) dMyc was downregulated in *salr/SALL4*-overexpressing cells. Arrowheads in B' and C' indicate the areas that dMyc was obviously repressed. (D–F) dMyc was reduced in *salr/SALL4*-overexpressing clone cells. The arrowheads mark the clone cells. E'' and F'' are higher resolution images for box areas in E and F. Scale bars: 50 µm except in the higher resolution images where scale bars are 25 µm.



Fig. 5. *sallSALL4*-induced cell invasion depends on dMyc expression. (A) Expressing *dMyc* showed subtle migration phenotype. The outline of GFP at the A/P compartment boundary was not as smooth as that in previous *dpp>GFP* controls. (B,C) Overexpression of *dMyc* greatly repressed *salr/SALL4*-induced cell invasion. (D) Mmp1 was not activated in the wing discs co-expressing *salr* and *dMyc*. (E) Mmp1 level was not increased in the wing discs co-expressing *SALL4* and *dMyc*. (F) Downregulation of *dMyc* alone induces cell migration. Arrowheads indicate single cell migration into the P compartment. (G) The Mmp1 level was upregulated in *dMyc*-knockdown wing discs. Arrowheads show the high Mmp1 expression in the *dMyc*-knockdown cells. (H) Co-expression of *salr* and *dMyc-RNAi* (*dMyc-i*) exacerbated *salr*-induced cell invasion. (I) Quantification of invading cell areas. Each genotype was quantified for 30 wing discs. *** represents *P*<0.001 (two-tailed pairwise comparison of *t*-tests). Error bars indicate s.e.m. Scale bars are the same except in G. Scale bars: 50 µm.

tumor cell motility (Uhlirova and Bohmann, 2006). Manipulation of expression of many genes can lead to cell death, cell extrusion and invasive cell migration through activation of JNK signaling (Petzoldt et al., 2013; Rudrapatna et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2017a,b; Sun et al., 2019). *sal/SALL4* overexpression activates *Mmp1* and reducing JNK can suppress cell invasion and Mmp1 level (Fig. 3; Fig. S4). In addition to Mmp1, some other markers in the JNK pathway such as pJNK (activated *bsk*) and *puc* showed a significant increase in expression (Fig. 3). Promotion of cell invasion by *sal/SALL4* induction was accompanied by activation of the apoptotic pathway, but it was not dependent on apoptosis because caspase inhibition did not prevent cell invasion upon *sal/SALL4* expression (Fig. S5). Therefore, the JNK pathway probably mediates the role of *sal/SALL4* overexpression to regulate cell invasion through an apoptosis-independent mechanism.

The *MYC* gene is one of the most highly amplified oncogenes among many human cancers (Dang, 2012). For instance, in some certain cancer cells, Myc is upregulated through directly transcriptional activation by SALL4 (Yang et al., 2008a; Li et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2015). Besides promoting cancer progression and metastasis, MYC has a bivalent role in regulating tumorigenesis and cell invasion. MYC restrains breast cancer cell motility and invasion through transcriptional silencing of integrin subunits (Liu et al., 2012). In Drosophila, dMyc inhibits JNK signaling in retinal progenitors to block non-autonomous glia over-migration (Tavares et al., 2017). The Drosophila puc gene, encoding the sole JNKspecific MAPK phosphatase and inhibitor (Martin-Blanco et al., 1998), and its mammalian homologue Dusp10 are directly bound by Myc as shown in ChIP-sequencing data (Yang et al., 2013; Sabò et al., 2014). In Drosophila tissues, direct evidence illustrates that dMyc and cMyc activate puc transcription through binding to the Myc binding-motif EB3, and consequently inhibit JNK signaling to suppress cell invasion (Ma et al., 2017a). We found that *dMyc* is repressed in sal/SALL4-expressing regions and introducing dMyc

partially rescues cell invasion (Figs 4 and 5), indicating a repressive role of dMyc in tumor cell migration. As Sal is a transcriptional repressor in both *Drosophila* and human cells (Sánchez et al., 2011), it is possible that Sal/SALL4 binds to Myc and suppresses its expression because the *cMyc* promoter has putative binding sites that are available to Zinc finger binding (Wu et al., 2015). Sall2, another emerging cancer player in the Sall family, binds to the *cMyc* promoter region and represses *cMyc* expression (Sung et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2015). Thereby, *sallSALL4* may activate JNK signaling through the repression of *puc*, which is activated by dMyc in *Drosophila*.

Cell competition occurs when Myc is unevenly distributed between cells. Clones expressing high levels of Myc expand and eliminate the surrounding cells by apoptosis. On the contrary, downregulation of *Myc* in clones leads to their elimination (de la Cova et al., 2004; Moreno and Basler, 2004). Given sal/SALL4-expressing cells are relatively lower Myc expression, it is possible that the surrounding cells with higher Myc expression become competitors and eliminate those lower Myc expression cells. Intriguingly, sal/SALL4-induced migrating cells are not dead and inhibiting cell death cannot repress sal/SALL4-induced cell invasion (Fig. S5), so the mechanism may not be apoptosis-driven cell elimination (Levayer and Moreno, 2013; Levayer et al., 2015). Previous studies found that JNK activation in surrounding wild-type cells promotes elimination of their neighboring scrib mutants by activating the PVR-ELMO/Mbcmediated engulfment pathway, and the surrounding JNK is independent of JNK activation in mutant clones (Ohsawa et al., 2011; Nagata and Igaki, 2018). Distinct from this, sal/SALL4activated non-autonomous activation of JNK is dependent on JNK activation in sal/SALL4-expressing cells (Fig. 3J,K). Whether JNKdependent engulfment plays a major role in sal/SALL4-mediated extrusion needs to be addressed in the future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drosophila strains and rearing conditions

Fly lines were cultured at 25°C on standard fly food unless otherwise noted. The transgenes used were as follows: *UAS-salr* (de Celis et al., 1996), *UAS-salm* (from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center #29716, short for BL#29716), *UAS-SALL4-HA* (BL#65835), *UAS-Timp* (BL#58708), *UAS-bsk^{DN}* (Weber et al., 2000), *UAS-p35* (BL#5073), *UAS-Diap1* (BL#6657), *UAS-GFP* (nuclear expression, BL#4775), *UAS-CD8-GFP* (membrane expression) (Lee and Luo, 1999), *UAS-dMyc* (BL#9674), *dMyc-RNAi* (BL#36123), *puc-lacZ* (Martin-Blanco et al., 1998), *UAS-puc* (Dobens et al., 2001), *dpp-Gal4* (Shen and Mardon, 1997), *actin5c>CD2>Gal4* (Pignoni and Zipursky, 1997), and *AB1-Gal4* (BL#1824). To promote the GFP phenotype in a larval body, *salm*, *salr*, or *SALL4*-overexpressing larvae were raised at 29°C after egg laying. Clones in the larval wing imaginal discs were generated with the genotypes y w¹¹¹⁸ hs-Flp; actin5c>CD2>Gal4 UAS-GFP/CyO; UAS-salr/UAS-SALL4-HA by heat shock at 35.5°C for 30 min. Then, late third-instar larvae were dissected after a recovery period of 3 days at 25°C.

Antibody staining

Dissected imaginal discs from third-instar larvae were fixed and immunostained using standard procedures for confocal microscopy. Appropriate primary antibodies and staining reagents include rhodaminephalloidin (1:50, Invitrogen A12380, Waltham, USA), DAPI (1:500, Sigma-Aldrich 32670, Shanghai, China), rabbit anti-HA [1:500, Cell Signaling Technology (CST) #3724S, Danvers, USA], rat anti-Ci [1:200, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB) 2A1, IA, USA], mouse anti- α -integrin (1:20, DSHB DK.1A4), rat anti-DE-cadherin (1:100, DSHB DCAD2), mouse anti-DN-cadherin (1:10, DSHB DN-EX #8), mouse anti-Dlg (1:10, DSHB 4F3), mouse anti-Arm (1:100, DSHB N2 7A1), mouse anti-Mmp1 (1:20, DSHB 5H7B11), rabbit anti-pJNK (1:200, CST #4668), rabbit anti-dMyc (1:400, Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-28207, CA, USA), rabbit anti- β -galactosidase (1:2000, Promega Z378B, Madison, USA), rabbit anti-cleaved caspase-3 (1:200, CST #9661), and rabbit anti-p35 (1:500, Novus Biologicals NB100-56153, Centennial, USA). Rabbit anti-Sal antibody (1:500) was a gift from Professor Rosa Barrio at CIC bioGUNE, Spain. Secondary antibodies (1:200, Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, USA) were anti-mouse Cy2 (115-225-146), Cy3 (115-165-146) and Cy5 (115-175-146); anti-rabbit Cy2 (111-225-144), Cy3 (111-165-144), and Cy5 (111-175-144); and anti-rat Cy3 (112-165-143). The samples were mounted in 50% glycerin before imaging.

Wing disc cryosectioning

After secondary antibody staining, discs were re-fixed in freshly made 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min and washed three times with $1 \times$ PBS, then stored in 30% sucrose solution at 4°C overnight. Wing discs were oriented in Tissue-Tek (Sakura Finetek, Japan), frozen and cut into 20 µm sections on a cryostat (YD-1900, YIDI, China). All samples were mounted in 50% glycerin before imaging.

Imaging and statistics of invasive cell area

Imaging of prepared samples was collected by a Leica SP8 confocal microscope. Adult wing images were collected using an inverted microscope (AMG EVOS, USA). To recognize the P compartment boundary before statistical analysis of the invasive cell area, Ci was stained as the A compartment marker (Fig. S1). The invasive cell area in the P compartment of wing discs was calculated by the ImageJ program (National Institutes of Health). Statistical figures were generated by the GraphPad Prism 5 project.

TUNEL assay

The wing discs were dissected from wandering third-instar larvae in PBS. The discs were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min and washed with PBST (0.2% Triton100) three times for 45 min at room temperature. TUNEL (TdT-mediated dUTP Nick-End Labeling) staining was performed using the *in situ* Cell Death Detection Kit (TMR red) produced by Sigma-Aldrich (Cat No. 12156792910).

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Rosa Barrio for the anti-Sal antibody, Dr Gert O. Pflugfelder for critical reading the manuscript, the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center for fly stocks, and Dr Na Jiang and Dr Linlu Qi for the confocal facility.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: J. Shen; Methodology: J. Sun; Formal analysis: D.W., J. Sun, J. Shen; Investigation: J. Sun; Data curation: D.W., J. Sun, J.Z., J. Shen; Writing - original draft: D.W., J. Sun, J.Z.; Writing - review & editing: D.W., J. Shen; Funding acquisition: D.W., J. Shen.

Funding

This research was financially supported by the Beijing Municipal Natural Science Foundation [5192010 and 6182020] and the National Natural Science Foundation of China [31872295 and 31872293].

Supplementary information

Supplementary information available online at http://bio.biologists.org/lookup/doi/10.1242/bio.048850.supplemental

References

- Akiyama, T. and Gibson, M. C. (2015). Decapentaplegic and growth control in the developing Drosophila wing. *Nature* 527, 375-378. doi:10.1038/nature15730
- Al-Baradie, R., Yamada, K., St. Hilaire, C., Chan, W.-M., Andrews, C., McIntosh, N., Nakano, M., Martonyi, E. J., Raymond, W. R., Okumura, S. et al. (2002). Duane radial ray syndrome (Okihiro syndrome) maps to 20q13 and results from mutations in SALL4, a new member of the SAL family. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **71**, 1195-1199. doi:10.1086/343821
- Barrio, R. and de Celis, J. F. (2004). Regulation of spalt expression in the Drosophila wing blade in response to the Decapentaplegic signaling pathway. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 6021-6026. doi:10.1073/pnas.0401590101

- Barrio, R., Shea, M. J., Carulli, J., Lipkow, K., Gaul, U., Frommer, G., Schuh, R., Jäckle, H. and Kafatos, F. C. (1996). The spalt-related gene of Drosophila melanogaster is a member of an ancient gene family, defined by the adjacent, region-specific homeotic gene spalt. *Dev. Genes Evol.* 206, 315-325. doi:10. 1007/s004270050058
- Barrio, R., de Celis, J. F., Bolshakov, S. and Kafatos, F. C. (1999). Identification of regulatory regions driving the expression of the Drosophila spalt complex at different developmental stages. *Dev. Biol.* **215**, 33-47. doi:10.1006/dbio.1999. 9434
- Böhm, J., Kaiser, F. J., Borozdin, W., Depping, R. and Kohlhase, J. (2007). Synergistic cooperation of Sall4 and Cyclin D1 in transcriptional repression. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **356**, 773-779. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2007.03.050
 Dang, C. V. (2012). MYC on the path to cancer. *Cell* **149**, 22-35. doi:10.1016/j.cell. 2012.03.003
- de Celis, J. F. and Barrio, R. (2009). Regulation and function of Spalt proteins during animal development. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 53, 1385-1398. doi:10.1387/ijdb. 072408jd
- de Celis, J. F., Barrio, R. and Kafatos, F. C. (1996). A gene complex acting downstream of dpp in Drosophila wing morphogenesis. *Nature* 381, 421-424. doi:10.1038/381421a0
- de la Cova, C., Abril, M., Bellosta, P., Gallant, P. and Johnston, L. A. (2004). Drosophila myc regulates organ size by inducing cell competition. *Cell* **117**, 107-116. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(04)00214-4
- Dobens, L. L., Martin-Blanco, E., Martinez-Arias, A., Kafatos, F. C. and Raftery, L. A. (2001). Drosophila puckered regulates Fos/Jun levels during follicle cell morphogenesis. *Development* **128**, 1845-1856.
- Doumpas, N., Ruiz-Romero, M., Blanco, E., Edgar, B., Corominas, M. and Teleman, A. A. (2013). Brk regulates wing disc growth in part via repression of Myc expression. *EMBO Rep.* 14, 261-268. doi:10.1038/embor.2013.1
- Elling, U., Klasen, C., Eisenberger, T., Anlag, K. and Treier, M. (2006). Murine inner cell mass-derived lineages depend on Sall4 function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 16319-16324. doi:10.1073/pnas.0607884103
- Fan, Y. and Bergmann, A. (2008). Distinct mechanisms of apoptosis-induced compensatory proliferation in proliferating and differentiating tissues in the Drosophila eye. *Dev. Cell* 14, 399-410. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2008.01.003
- Frei, E., Schuh, R., Baumgartner, S., Burri, M., Noll, M., Jürgens, G., Seifert, E., Nauber, U. and Jäckle, H. (1988). Molecular characterization of spalt, a homeotic gene required for head and tail development in the Drosophila embryo. *EMBO J.* 7, 197-204. doi:10.1002/j.1460-2075.1988.tb02800.x
- Gorelick-Ashkenazi, A., Weiss, R., Sapozhnikov, L., Florentin, A., Tarayrah-Ibraheim, L., Dweik, D., Yacobi-Sharon, K. and Arama, E. (2018). Caspases maintain tissue integrity by an apoptosis-independent inhibition of cell migration and invasion. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2806. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05204-6
- Grieder, N. C., Morata, G., Affolter, M. and Gehring, W. J. (2009). Spalt major controls the development of the notum and of wing hinge primordia of the Drosophila melanogaster wing imaginal disc. *Dev. Biol.* **329**, 315-326. doi:10. 1016/j.ydbio.2009.03.006
- Grieve, A. G. and Rabouille, C. (2014). Extracellular cleavage of E-cadherin promotes epithelial cell extrusion. J. Cell Sci. 127, 3331-3346. doi:10.1242/jcs. 147926
- Itou, J., Matsumoto, Y., Yoshikawa, K. and Toi, M. (2013). Sal-like 4 (SALL4) suppresses CDH1 expression and maintains cell dispersion in basal-like breast cancer. *FEBS Lett.* 587, 3115-3121. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2013.07.049
- Kohlhase, J., Heinrich, M., Schubert, L., Liebers, M., Kispert, A., Laccone, F., Turnpenny, P., Winter, R. M. and Reardon, W. (2002). Okihiro syndrome is caused by SALL4 mutations. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 11, 2979-2987. doi:10.1093/hmg/ 11.23.2979
- Kühnlein, R. P., Frommer, G., Friedrich, M., Gonzalez-Gaitan, M., Weber, A., Wagner-Bernholz, J. F., Gehring, W. J., Jäckle, H. and Schuh, R. (1994). spalt encodes an evolutionarily conserved zinc finger protein of novel structure which provides homeotic gene function in the head and tail region of the Drosophila embryo. *EMBO J.* **13**, 168-179. doi:10.1002/j.1460-2075.1994.tb06246.x
- Lee, T. and Luo, L. (1999). Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker for studies of gene function in neuronal morphogenesis. *Neuron* 22, 451-461. doi:10.1016/ S0896-6273(00)80701-1
- Levayer, R. and Moreno, E. (2013). Mechanisms of cell competition: themes and variations. J. Cell Biol. 200, 689-698. doi:10.1083/jcb.201301051
- Levayer, R., Hauert, B. and Moreno, E. (2015). Cell mixing induced by myc is required for competitive tissue invasion and destruction. *Nature* **524**, 476-480. doi:10.1038/nature14684
- Li, A., Yang, Y., Gao, C., Lu, J., Jeong, H.-W., Liu, B. H., Tang, P., Yao, X., Neuberg, D., Huang, G. et al. (2013). A SALL4/MLL/HOXA9 pathway in murine and human myeloid leukemogenesis. J. Clin. Invest. **123**, 4195-4207. doi:10. 1172/JCI62891
- Li, A., Jiao, Y., Yong, K. J., Wang, F., Gao, C., Yan, B., Srivastava, S., Lim, G. S. D., Tang, P., Yang, H. et al. (2015). SALL4 is a new target in endometrial cancer. *Oncogene* 34, 63-72. doi:10.1038/onc.2013.529
- Liu, H., Radisky, D. C., Yang, D., Xu, R., Radisky, E. S., Bissell, M. J. and Bishop, J. M. (2012). MYC suppresses cancer metastasis by direct transcriptional

silencing of αv and $\beta 3$ integrin subunits. Nat. Cell Biol. 14, 567-574. doi:10.1038/ ncb2491

- Liu, L., Zhang, J., Yang, X., Fang, C., Xu, H. and Xi, X. (2015). SALL4 as an epithelial-mesenchymal transition and drug resistance inducer through the regulation of c-Myc in endometrial cancer. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0138515. doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0138515
- Lu, J., Jeong, H., Kong, N., Yang, Y., Carroll, J., Luo, H. R., Silberstein, L. E., Yupoma, and Chai, L. (2009). Stem cell factor SALL4 represses the transcriptions of PTEN and SALL1 through an epigenetic repressor complex. *PLoS ONE* **4**, e5577. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005577
- Lu, J., Ma, Y., Kong, N., Alipio, Z., Gao, C., Krause, D. S., Silberstein, L. E. and Chai, L. (2011). Dissecting the role of SALL4, a newly identified stem cell factor, in chronic myelogenous leukemia. *Leukemia* 25, 1211-1213. doi:10.1038/leu.2011.65
- Ma, Y., Cui, W., Yang, J., Qu, J., Di, C., Amin, H. M., Lai, R., Ritz, J., Krause, D. S. and Chai, L. (2006). SALL4, a novel oncogene, is constitutively expressed in human acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and induces AML in transgenic mice. *Blood* 108, 2726-2735. doi:10.1182/blood-2006-02-001594
- Ma, X., Huang, J., Tian, Y., Chen, Y., Yang, Y., Zhang, X., Zhang, F. and Xue, L. (2017a). Myc suppresses tumor invasion and cell migration by inhibiting JNK signaling. *Oncogene* **36**, 3159-3167. doi:10.1038/onc.2016.463
- Ma, X., Wang, H., Ji, J., Xu, W., Sun, Y., Li, W., Zhang, X., Chen, J. and Xue, L. (2017b). Hippo signaling promotes JNK-dependent cell migration. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **114**, 1934-1939. doi:10.1073/pnas.1621359114
- Martin, F. A., Perez-Garijo, A. and Morata, G. (2009). Apoptosis in Drosophila: compensatory proliferation and undead cells. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* 53, 1341-1347. doi:10.1387/ijdb.072447fm
- Martin-Blanco, E., Gampel, A., Ring, J., Virdee, K., Kirov, N., Tolkovsky, A. M. and Martinez-Arias, A. (1998). puckered encodes a phosphatase that mediates a feedback loop regulating JNK activity during dorsal closure in Drosophila. *Genes Dev.* 12, 557-570. doi:10.1101/gad.12.4.557
- Moreno, E. and Basler, K. (2004). dMyc transforms cells into super-competitors. *Cell* **117**, 117-129. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(04)00262-4
- Nagata, R. and Igaki, T. (2018). Cell competition: emerging mechanisms to eliminate neighbors. Dev. Growth Differ. 60, 522-530. doi:10.1111/dgd.12575
- Ohsawa, S., Sugimura, K., Takino, K., Xu, T., Miyawaki, A. and Igaki, T. (2011). Elimination of oncogenic neighbors by JNK-mediated engulfment in Drosophila. *Dev. Cell* 20, 315-328. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2011.02.007
- Oikawa, T., Kamiya, A., Zeniya, M., Chikada, H., Hyuck, A. D., Yamazaki, Y., Wauthier, E., Tajiri, H., Miller, L. D., Wang, X. W. et al. (2013). Sal-like protein 4 (SALL4), a stem cell biomarker in liver cancers. *Hepatology* 57, 1469-1483. doi:10.1002/hep.26159
- Organista, M. F. and De Celis, J. F. (2013). The Spalt transcription factors regulate cell proliferation, survival and epithelial integrity downstream of the Decapentaplegic signalling pathway. *Biol. Open* **2**, 37-48. doi:10.1242/bio. 20123038
- Organista, M. F., Martín, M., de Celis, J. M., Barrio, R., López-Varea, A., Esteban, N., Casado, M. and de Celis, J. F. (2015). The spalt transcription factors generate the transcriptional landscape of the Drosophila melanogaster wing pouch central region. *PLoS Genet.* **11**, e1005370. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005370
- Petzoldt, A. G., Gleixner, E. M., Fumagalli, A., Vaccari, T. and Simons, M. (2013). Elevated expression of the V-ATPase C subunit triggers JNK-dependent cell invasion and overgrowth in a Drosophila epithelium. *Dis. Model. Mech.* 6, 689-700. doi:10.1242/dmm.010660
- Pignoni, F. and Zipursky, S. L. (1997). Induction of Drosophila eye development by decapentaplegic. *Development* 124, 271-278.
- Rudrapatna, V. A., Bangi, E. and Cagan, R. L. (2013). Caspase signalling in the absence of apoptosis drives Jnk-dependent invasion. *EMBO Rep.* 14, 172-177. doi:10.1038/embor.2012.217
- Rudrapatna, V. A., Bangi, E. and Cagan, R. L. (2014). A Jnk-Rho-Actin remodeling positive feedback network directs Src-driven invasion. *Oncogene* **33**, 2801-2806. doi:10.1038/onc.2013.232
- Sabò, A., Kress, T. R., Pelizzola, M., de Pretis, S., Gorski, M. M., Tesi, A., Morelli, M. J., Bora, P., Doni, M., Verrecchia, A. et al. (2014). Selective transcriptional regulation by Myc in cellular growth control and lymphomagenesis. *Nature* 511, 488-492. doi:10.1038/nature13537
- Sakaki-Yumoto, M., Kobayashi, C., Sato, A., Fujimura, S., Matsumoto, Y., Takasato, M., Kodama, T., Aburatani, H., Asashima, M., Yoshida, N. et al. (2006). The murine homolog of SALL4, a causative gene in Okihiro syndrome, is essential for embryonic stem cell proliferation, and cooperates with Sall1 in anorectal, heart, brain and kidney development. *Development* 133, 3005-3013. doi:10.1242/dev.02457

Sánchez, J., Talamillo, A., González, M., Sánchez-Pulido, L., Jiménez, S., Pirone, L., Sutherland, J. D. and Barrio, R. (2011). Drosophila Sal and Salr are transcriptional repressors. *Biochem. J.* 438, 437-445. doi:10.1042/BJ20110229

- Shen, W. and Mardon, G. (1997). Ectopic eye development in Drosophila induced by directed dachshund expression. *Development* 124, 45-52.
- Shen, J., Lu, J., Sui, L., Wang, D., Yin, M., Hoffmann, I., Legler, A. and Pflugfelder, G. O. (2014). The orthologous Tbx transcription factors Omb and TBX2 induce epithelial cell migration and extrusion in vivo without involvement of matrix metalloproteinases. *Oncotarget* 5, 11998-12015. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.2426

- Skottheim Honn, J., Johansson, L. and Rasmuson Lestander, Å. (2016). Regulation of twin of eyeless during Drosophila development. *Gene Expr. Patterns* 20, 120-129. doi:10.1016/j.gep.2016.03.002
- Sun, Y., Zhang, D., Guo, X., Li, W., Li, C., Luo, J., Zhou, M. and Xue, L. (2019). MKK3 modulates JNK-dependent cell migration and invasion. *Cell Death Dis.* 10, 149. doi:10.1038/s41419-019-1350-6
- Sung, C. K., Yim, H., Gu, H., Li, D., Andrews, E., Duraisamy, S., Li, C., Drapkin, R. and Benjamin, T. (2012). The polyoma virus large T binding protein p150 is a transcriptional repressor of c-MYC. *PLoS ONE* 7, e46486. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0046486
- Sweetman, D. and Münsterberg, A. (2006). The vertebrate spalt genes in development and disease. *Dev. Biol.* 293, 285-293. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2006. 02.009
- Tamori, Y., Suzuki, E. and Deng, W.-M. (2016). Epithelial tumors originate in tumor hotspots, a tissue-intrinsic microenvironment. *PLoS Biol.* 14, e1002537. doi:10. 1371/journal.pbio.1002537
- Tang, W., Wang, D. and Shen, J. (2016). Asymmetric distribution of Spalt in Drosophila wing squamous and columnar epithelia ensures correct cell morphogenesis. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 30236. doi:10.1038/srep30236
- Tavares, L., Correia, A., Santos, M. A., Relvas, J. B. and Pereira, P. S. (2017). dMyc is required in retinal progenitors to prevent JNK-mediated retinal glial activation. *PLoS Genet.* **13**, e1006647. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006647
- Uhlirova, M. and Bohmann, D. (2006). JNK- and Fos-regulated Mmp1 expression cooperates with Ras to induce invasive tumors in Drosophila. *EMBO J.* 25, 5294-5304. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601401
- Visse, R. and Nagase, H. (2003). Matrix metalloproteinases and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases: structure, function, and biochemistry. *Circ. Res.* 92, 827-839. doi:10.1161/01.RES.0000070112.80711.3D
- Wang, D., Li, J., Liu, S., Zhou, H., Zhang, L., Shi, W. and Shen, J. (2017). spalt is functionally conserved in Locusta and Drosophila to promote wing growth. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 44393. doi:10.1038/srep44393
- Weber, U., Paricio, N. and Mlodzik, M. (2000). Jun mediates Frizzled-induced R3/ R4 cell fate distinction and planar polarity determination in the Drosophila eye. *Development* 127, 3619-3629.
- Wu, Q., Chen, X., Zhang, J., Loh, Y.-H., Low, T.-Y., Zhang, W., Sze, S.-K., Lim, B. and Ng, H.-H. (2006). Sall4 interacts with Nanog and co-occupies Nanog

genomic sites in embryonic stem cells. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 24090-24094. doi:10. 1074/jbc.C600122200

- Wu, Z., Cheng, K., Shi, L., Li, Z., Negi, H., Gao, G., Kamle, S. and Li, D. (2015). Sal-like protein 2 upregulates p16 expression through a proximal promoter element. *Cancer Sci.* **106**, 253-261. doi:10.1111/cas.12606
- Yang, J., Chai, L., Liu, F., Fink, L. M., Lin, P., Silberstein, L. E., Amin, H. M., Ward, D. C. and Ma, Y. (2007). Bmi-1 is a target gene for SALL4 in hematopoietic and leukemic cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 10494-10499. doi:10.1073/pnas. 0704001104
- Yang, J., Chai, L., Fowles, T. C., Alipio, Z., Xu, D., Fink, L. M., Ward, D. C. and Ma, Y. (2008a). Genome-wide analysis reveals Sall4 to be a major regulator of pluripotency in murine-embryonic stem cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 105, 19756-19761. doi:10.1073/pnas.0809321105
- Yang, J., Chai, L., Gao, C., Fowles, T. C., Alipio, Z., Dang, H., Xu, D., Fink, L. M., Ward, D. C. and Ma, Y. (2008b). SALL4 is a key regulator of survival and apoptosis in human leukemic cells. *Blood* **112**, 805-813. doi:10.1182/blood-2007-11-126326
- Yang, J., Sung, E., Donlin-Asp, P. G. and Corces, V. G. (2013). A subset of Drosophila Myc sites remain associated with mitotic chromosomes colocalized with insulator proteins. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 1464. doi:10.1038/ncomms2469
- Zhang, J., Tam, W.-L., Tong, G. Q., Wu, Q., Chan, H.-Y., Soh, B.-S., Lou, Y., Yang, J., Ma, Y., Chai, L. et al. (2006). Sall4 modulates embryonic stem cell pluripotency and early embryonic development by the transcriptional regulation of Pou5f1. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 8, 1114-1123. doi:10.1038/ncb1481
- Zhang, L., Xu, Z., Xu, X., Zhang, B., Wu, H., Wang, M., Zhang, X., Yang, T., Cai, J., Yan, Y. et al. (2014). SALL4, a novel marker for human gastric carcinogenesis and metastasis. *Oncogene* 33, 5491-5500. doi:10.1038/onc.2013.495
- Zhang, X., Yuan, X., Zhu, W., Qian, H. and Xu, W. (2015). SALL4: an emerging cancer biomarker and target. *Cancer Lett.* 357, 55-62. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2014. 11.037
- Zhang, L., Yan, Y., Jiang, Y., Qian, J., Jiang, L., Hu, G., Lu, Y. and Luo, C. (2017). Knockdown of SALL4 expression using RNA interference induces cell cycle arrest, enhances early apoptosis, inhibits invasion and increases chemosensitivity to temozolomide in U251 glioma cells. *Oncol. Lett.* 14, 4263-4269. doi:10.3892/ol. 2017.6722