# Updates in version 2020 of CSCO guidelines for colorectal cancer from version 2019

Shanshan Weng<sup>1\*</sup>, Ying Yuan<sup>1\*</sup>, Xicheng Wang<sup>2\*</sup>, Gong Chen<sup>3</sup>, Yi Wang<sup>4</sup>, Weiqi Sheng<sup>5</sup>, Xinxiang Li<sup>6</sup>, Aiping Zhou<sup>7</sup>, Zhen Zhang<sup>8</sup>, Guichao Li<sup>8</sup>, Sanjun Cai<sup>6</sup>, Ruihua Xu<sup>9</sup>, Jin Li<sup>10</sup>, Suzhan Zhang<sup>11</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou 310009, China; <sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Department of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute, Beijing 100142, China; <sup>3</sup>Department of Colorectal Surgery, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, China; <sup>4</sup>Department of Radiology, Peking University People's Hospital, Beijing 100044, China; <sup>5</sup>Department of Pathology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Hospital, Shanghai 200032, China; <sup>6</sup>Department of Colorectal Surgery, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Hospital, Shanghai 200032, China; <sup>7</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100021, China; <sup>8</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Hospital, Shanghai 200032, China; <sup>9</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou 510060, China; <sup>10</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Shanghai East Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200123, China; <sup>11</sup>Department of Surgical Oncology, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou 310009, China

\*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to: Ying Yuan. Department of Medical Oncology, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou 310009, China. Email: yuanying1999@zju.edu.cn; Suzhan Zhang. Department of Surgical Oncology, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou 310009, China. Email: zuci@zju.edu.cn.

Submitted Apr 15, 2020. Accepted for publication May 06, 2020. doi: 10.21147/j.issn.1000-9604.2020.03.11

View this article at: https://doi.org/10.21147/j.issn.1000-9604.2020.03.11

According to the latest data released by the National Cancer Center, colorectal cancer (CRC) had the third highest incidence and the fifth highest mortality of all malignancies, with 388,000 new cases and 187,000 cancer deaths in China (1). The Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology (CSCO) originally published the English version of 2018 guideline concerning CRC and updated them in 2019 (2,3). According to the latest progress, clinical guidelines have been updated. Here, we present the main updates of the 2020 version compared to 2019 version.

### Updates related with molecular pathological section

Recommendation of "tumor budding" was added as class I in the microscopic examination of specimens after adenoma local excision and carcinoma radical resection. The recommendation of mismatch repair (MMR) protein expression is modified from class II to class I. Recommendations of "detection of microsatellite instability (MSI) status" and "detection of RAS+BRAF gene mutation" were added for the specimens after radical resection as class

I and class II, respectively. Recommendation of "detection of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status and NTRK gene fusion" was added as class III for surgery/biopsy specimens of metastatic CRC after failure of standard treatment or before enrollment in clinical trials. World Health Organization (WHO) histological classification of CRC and the relationship between histological classification and histological grade were updated.

## Updates related with postoperative adjuvant therapy

Last June, the International Duration Evaluation of Adjuvant Chemotherapy (IDEA) collaboration presented a prospective pooled analysis of four randomized trials investigating duration of adjuvant oxaliplatin-based therapy (3 vs. 6 months) for patients with high-risk stage II CRC. High-risk stage II disease was defined as T4, poorly differentiated, invasion (vascular/lymphatic/perineural), inadequate nodal harvest, obstruction or perforation before operation. A total of 3,273 patients from Italy, UK,

Denmark Spain, Australia, Sweden, Greece and Japan, were involved (TOSCA 1,268 cases, SCOT 1,078 cases, HORG 413 cases, ACHIEVE-2 514 cases). The primary diseasefree survival (DFS) analysis for intent-to-treat (ITT) population showed a non-inferiority P value of 0.3851 (5year DFS for 3-month group and 6-month group, 80.7% vs. 83.9%). While in the subgroup of patients with CapeOX regimen, a non-inferiority for 3-month CapeOX vs. 6-month CapeOX was reached, as 5-year DFS was 81.7% vs. 82.0% respectively. Due to significantly less toxicity of 3-month treatment, recommendation of 3month CapeOX adjuvant chemotherapy was added for stage II CRC patients with high risk (4). Furthermore, according to the ACHIEVE-2 study based on the Asian, 3month CapeOX is inferior to 6-month treatment for T4 patients, thus this recommendation is for stage II patients with high risk (except T4).

### Updates related with treatment of metastatic colon cancer

The CRC patients with potentially resectable metastases should be treated with higher intensity to achieve conversion. At present, bevacizumab and cetuximab have been covered by medical insurance in China, as a result, combination of two-drug chemotherapy and targeted therapy (bevacizumab or cetuximab) was recommended as class I and recommendation of two-drug chemotherapy alone was modified from class I to class II.

In the second-line and third-line palliative treatment for patients with RAS wild type and  $BRAF^{V600E}$  mutation, several clinical trials have shown that BRAFV600E-mt CRC patients could benefit from triplet drug combination targeting BRAF, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and MEK. The BEACON study is a randomized controlled phase III clinical trial, which was designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of cetuximab and encorafenib (a selective BRAF kinase inhibitor) with or without binimetinib (a MEK inhibitor) compared with traditional second-line or third-line chemotherapy. The enrolled participants were divided into three groups (threedrug group, dual-drug group and chemotherapy control group) at a ratio of 1:1:1. The median overall survival (OS) of patients receiving three-drug treatment was significantly prolonged than that of control group (9.0 vs. 5.4 months, P<0.001), which nearly doubled the OS and reduced the risk of disease death by 48%. The median OS of two-drug group was 8.4 months, which also reduced the risk of disease death by 40% compared with the control group. The objective response rate (ORR) of the three-drug group and the two-drug group were 26% and 20%, which were much higher than the 2% of control group. The incidences of grade 3/4 adverse effects in the three-drug group, the two-drug group and the control group were 58%, 50% and 61%, respectively, all in line with expectations (5-7). For the first time, it was confirmed that the combination of multiple targeted drugs without chemotherapy can bring significant survival benefits to metastatic CRC patients with BRAF mutation. In addition, Corcoran et al. suggested that 43 patients receiving dabrafenib 150 mg bid plus trametinib 2 mg qd showed a 12% ORR, including one complete response (CR) (8). Another phase I/II trial reported that combination of dabrafenib, trametinib and panitumumab achieved 21% ORR and median progression-free survival (PFS) was 4.2 months (9). The main grade 3/4 adverse events were diarrhea, dermatitis acneiform, fatigue, pyrexia and rash. The above three clinical trials have all evaluated MEK inhibitors + BRAF inhibitors + anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies. Although the specific drugs used are different, they still prove the efficacy of the combination of these three targeted drugs. Therefore, considering the drug availability, recommendation of dabrafenib + trametinib + cetuximab was added as class III (Level 2B evidence) in the second line and above treatment for patients with RAS wild type and BRAFV600E mutation.

In the third-line palliative treatment, recommendation of oral trifluridine/tipiracil (TAS-102) is added as class I recommendation (Level 1A evidence). TAS-102 is a combination of two active pharmaceutical ingredients: trifluridine (FTD), a nucleoside analog, and tipiracil, a thymidine phosphorylase inhibitor (TPI). Tipiracil prevents rapid metabolism of trifluridine, increasing the bioavailability of trifluridine. In the RECOURSE study, a phase III clinical trial, a total of 800 previously treated mCRC patients were enrolled and 534 participants received TAS-102 monotherapy. Comparing with placebo, the median PFS (2.0 vs. 1.7 months) and OS (7.1 vs. 5.3 months) of TAS-102 group were both significantly prolonged (10). Therefore, TAS-102 was approved in the United States, Europe and Japan, and was recommended by relevant guidelines. Based on the TERRA randomized clinical trial, oral TAS-102 monotherapy compared with placebo, resulted in significantly prolonged PFS (2.0 vs. 1.8) months) and OS (7.8 vs. 7.1 months) for Asian patients with metastatic CRC. And the main adverse event is hematological toxicity (11). Currently TAS-102 has been

proved by the National Medical Products Administration (NMPA) and will be available in China this year. Hence, regardless of *RAS* and *BRAF* gene status, TAS-102, along with regorafenib and fruquintinib, is positioned as the recommended class I drugs for the third-line treatment of metastatic CRC. TAS-102, 35 mg/m² (the maximum amount of 80 mg in a single dose) orally, twice a day, 1–5 and 8–12 d, 28 d per cycle.

There are 5% CRC harboring HER2 variation, including amplification, point mutation and gene fusion. The HERACLES trial showed that KRAS codon 12/13 wild-type, HER2-positive metastatic CRC patients receiving trastuzumab and lapatinib revealed 30% ORR and mPFS reached 21 weeks (12). Moreover, 57 HER2amplified CRC patients enrolled in the MyPathway basket study, were treated with pertuzumab and trastuzumab as third-line therapy. And 18 (32%) patients achieved objective response, including one CR. Median PFS and OS were 2.9 months and 11.5 months, respectively (13). In 2019, the preliminary results of three single-arm studies of HER2-amplified mCRC were reported at the European Society for Medical Oncology (EMSO) conference. According to HERACLES-B study, 30 patients receiving pertuzumab and T-DM1 showed a 10% ORR and 80% disease control rate (DCR). The median PFS was 4.9 months, especially the patients with HER2 3+ (5.7 months) (14). In the TRIUMPH study, 18 participants were treated with the combination of pertuzumab and trastuzumab. And 35.3% of patients received objective response and 64.7% of patients had disease under control (15). Additionally, the MOUNTAINEER trial was designed to evaluate the efficacy of trastuzumab and tucatinib, a small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) that is highly selective for HER2. Surprisingly, ORR and DCR reached 52.2% and 91%, which is the highest in current clinical trials. The survival data also showed that the median PFS and OS were 8.1 months and 18.7 months, respectively (16). Based on the results of the above clinical trials, coupled with the availability of most anti-HER2 agents in China, recommendation of anti-HER2 therapy was added as class III for patients with HER2 amplification (Level 2B) evidence) in the third-line palliative treatment.

#### Updates related with treatment of rectal cancer

Except for clinical trials, it is not recommended to use oxaliplatin, irinotecan, bevacizumab, cetuximab or panitumumab in combination with radiotherapy for rectal cancer. However, for cT3/cT4N+ patients suffering technical difficulties for anal preservation, but with a strong desire to preserve the anus, recommendation of several high intensity treatments before the surgery was added. In the FOWARC study, a total of 495 patients with locally advanced rectal cancer undergoing neoadjuvant were randomly divided into three groups (De Gramont + radiotherapy, mFOLFOX6 + radiotherapy, mFOLFOX6 alone group). The pathologic complete response (pCR) rates of these three groups were 14.0%, 27.5% and 6.6%, respectively (17). Similarly, CinClare study showed that comparing to capecitabine with concurrent radiotherapy followed by XELOX, under the guide of UGT1A1, the addition of irinotecan into capecitabine-based neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy could also potently increase the pCR rate (33.8% vs. 17.5%) (18). And with the increase in the number of irinotecan administered weekly, pCR rate was gradually increased. In addition, total neoadjuvant therapy (TNT) also enables to achieve a 21.8% CR [pCR or sustained clinical CR (cCR)] rate (19,20).

#### **Acknowledgements**

None.

#### **Footnote**

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### References

- Zheng RS, Sun KX, Zhang SW, et al. Report of cancer epidemiology in China, 2015. Zhonghua Zhong Liu Za Zhi (in Chinese) 2019;41:19-28.
- Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology (CSCO) diagnosis and treatment guidelines for colorectal cancer working group. Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology (CSCO) diagnosis and treatment guidelines for colorectal cancer 2018 (English version). Chin J Cancer Res 2019;31:117-34.
- 3. Yuan Y, Wang X, Chen G, et al. Updates in version 2019 of CSCO guidelines for colorectal cancer from version 2018. Chin J Cancer Res 2019;31:423-5.
- 4. Iveson T, Sobrero A, Yoshino T, et al. Prospective pooled analysis of four randomized trials investigating duration of adjuvant (adj) oxaliplatin-based therapy (3

- vs 6 months {m}) for patients (pts) with high-risk stage II colorectal cancer (CC). J Clin Oncol 2019;37(15 suppl): 3501.
- 5. Van Cutsem E, Huijberts S, Grothey A, et al. Binimetinib, encorafenib, and cetuximab triplet therapy for patients with BRAF V600E-mutant metastatic colorectal cancer: Safety lead-in results from the phase III BEACON colorectal cancer study. J Clin Oncol 2019;37:1460-9.
- Kopetz S, Grothey A, Yaeger R, et al. Encorafenib, binimetinib, and cetuximab in BRAF V600E-mutated colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2019;381:1632-43.
- 7. Kopetz S, Grothey A, Cutsem EV, et al. Encorafenib plus cetuximab with or without binimetinib for BRAFV600E-mutant metastatic colorectal cancer: Quality-of-life results from a randomized, three-arm, phase III study versus the choice of either irinotecan or FOLFIRI plus cetuximab (BEACON CRC). J Clin Oncol 2020;38(4 suppl):8.
- 8. Corcoran RB, Atreya CE, Falchook GS, et al. Combined BRAF and MEK inhibition with dabrafenib and trametinib in BRAF V600-mutant colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:4023-31.
- 9. Atreya CE, Van Cutsem E, Bendell JC, et al. Updated efficacy of the MEK inhibitor trametinib (T), BRAF inhibitor dabrafenib (D), and anti-EGFR antibody panitumumab (P) in patients (pts) with BRAF V600E mutated (BRAFm) metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC). J Clin Oncol 2015;33(15 suppl):103.
- Mayer RJ, Van Cutsem E, Falcone A, et al. Randomized trial of TAS-102 for refractory metastatic colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2015; 372:1909-19.
- 11. Xu J, Kim TW, Shen L, et al. Results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial of trifluridine/tipiracil (TAS-102) monotherapy in Asian patients with previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer: The TERRA Study. J Clin Oncol 2018;36:350-8.
- 12. Sartore-Bianchi A, Trusolino L, Martino C, et al. Dual-targeted therapy with trastuzumab and lapatinib in treatment-refractory, KRAS codon 12/13 wild-type, HER2-positive metastatic colorectal cancer (HERACLES): a proof-of-concept, multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 2016;17:

- 738-46.
- 13. Meric-Bernstam F, Hurwitz H, Raghav KPS, et al. Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab for HER2-amplified metastatic colorectal cancer (MyPathway): an updated report from a multicentre, open-label, phase 2a, multiple basket study. Lancet Oncol 2019;20:518-30.
- 14. Sartore-Bianchi A, Martino C, Lonard S, et al. Phase II study of pertuzumab and trastuzumab-emtansine (T-DM1) in patients with HER2-positive metastatic colorectal cancer: The HERACLES-B (HER2 Amplification for Colo-rectal cancer Enhanced Stratification, cohort B) trial. Ann Oncol 2019;30(suppl 5):v869-v870.
- 15. Nakamura Y, Okamoto W, Kato T, et al. TRIUMPH: Primary efficacy of a phase II trial of trastuzumab (T) and pertuzumab (P) in patients (pts) with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) with HER2 (ERBB2) amplification (amp) in tumour tissue or circulating tumour DNA (ctDNA): A GOZILA substudy. Ann Oncol 2019;30(5 suppl):v199-v200.
- 16. Strickler JH, Zemla T, Ou F-S, et al. Trastuzumab and tucatinib for the treatment of HER2 amplified metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC): Initial results from the MOUNTAINEER trial. Ann Oncol 2019;30(5 suppl):v200.
- 17. Deng Y, Chi P, Lan P, et al. Modified FOLFOX6 with or without radiation versus fluorouracil and leucovorin with radiation in neoadjuvant treatment of locally advanced rectal cancer: Initial results of the Chinese FOWARC multicenter, open-label, randomized three-arm phase III trial. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:3300-7.
- 18. Zhang Z, Sun XC, Liu AW, et al. A multicenter randomized phase III trial of capecitabine with or without irinotecan driven by UGT1A1 in neoadjuvant chemoradiation of locally advanced rectal cancer (CinClare). J Clin Oncol 2019;37(15 suppl):3510.
- Cercek A, Roxburgh CSD, Strombom P, et al. Adoption of total neoadjuvant therapy for locally advanced rectal cancer. JAMA Oncol 2018;4:e180071.
- 20. Fokas E, Allgäuer M, Polat B, et al. Randomized phase II trial of chemoradiotherapy plus induction or consolidation chemotherapy as total neoadjuvant therapy for locally advanced rectal cancer: CAO/ARO/AIO-12. J Clin Oncol 2019;37:3212-22.

Cite this article as: Weng S, Yuan Y, Wang X, Chen G, Wang Y, Sheng W, Li X, Zhou A, Zhang Z, Li G, Cai S, Xu R, Li J, Zhang S. Updates in version 2020 of CSCO guidelines for colorectal cancer from version 2019. Chin J Cancer Res 2020;32(3):403-407. doi: 10.21147/j.issn.1000-9604. 2020.03.11