



Stimulation of Adenosine A_{2B} Receptor Inhibits Endothelin-1-Induced Cardiac Fibroblast Proliferation and α-Smooth Muscle Actin Synthesis Through the cAMP/Epac/PI3K/Akt-Signaling Pathway

Sarawuth Phosri¹, Ajaree Arieyawong¹, Kwanchai Bunrukchai¹, Warisara Parichatikanond¹, Akiyuki Nishimura², Motohiro Nishida^{2,3,4} and Supachoke Mangmool¹*

¹ Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand, ² Division of Cardiocirculatory Signaling, Okazaki Institute for Integrative Bioscience (National Institute for Physiological Sciences), National Institutes of Natural Sciences, Aichi, Japan, ³ Department of Translational Pharmaceutical Sciences, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan, ⁴ Precursory Research for Embryonic Science and Technology, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Kawaguchi, Japan

Background and Purpose: Cardiac fibrosis is characterized by an increase in fibroblast proliferation, overproduction of extracellular matrix proteins, and the formation of myofibroblast that express α -smooth muscle actin (α -SMA). Endothelin-1 (ET-1) is involved in the pathogenesis of cardiac fibrosis. Overstimulation of endothelin receptors induced cell proliferation, collagen synthesis, and α -SMA expression in cardiac fibroblasts. Although adenosine was shown to have cardioprotective effects, the molecular mechanisms by which adenosine A₂ receptor inhibit ET-1-induced fibroblast proliferation and α -SMA expression in cardiac fibroblasts are not clearly identified.

Experimental Approach: This study aimed at evaluating the mechanisms of cardioprotective effects of adenosine receptor agonist in rat cardiac fibroblast by measurement of cell proliferation, and mRNA and protein levels of α -SMA.

Key results: Stimulation of adenosine subtype 2B (A_{2B}) receptor resulted in the inhibition of ET-1-induced fibroblast proliferation, and a reduction of ET-1-induced α -SMA expression that is dependent on cAMP/Epac/PI3K/Akt signaling pathways in cardiac fibroblasts. The data in this study confirm a critical role for Epac signaling on A_{2B} receptor-mediated inhibition of ET-1-induced cardiac fibrosis via PI3K and Akt activation.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Paulo Correia-de-Sá, ICBAS-Universidade do Porto, Portugal

Reviewed by:

Ana Patrícia Fontes-Sousa, ICBAS-Universidade do Porto, Portugal Klara Komici, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italy

*Correspondence:

Supachoke Mangmool supachoke.man@mahidol.ac.th

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cardiovascular and Smooth Muscle Pharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

> **Received:** 05 April 2017 **Accepted:** 15 June 2017 **Published:** 30 June 2017

Citation:

Phosri S, Arieyawong A, Bunrukchai K, Parichatikanond W, Nishimura A, Nishida M and Mangmool S (2017) Stimulation of Adenosine A_{2B} Receptor Inhibits Endothelin-1-Induced Cardiac Fibroblast Proliferation and α-Smooth Muscle Actin Synthesis Through the cAMP/Epac/PI3K/Akt-Signaling Pathway. Front. Pharmacol. 8:428. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2017.00428

1

Conclusion and Implications: This is the first work reporting a novel signaling pathway for the inhibition of ET-1-induced cardiac fibrosis mediated through the A_{2B} receptor. Thus, A_{2B} receptor agonists represent a promising perspective as therapeutic targets for the prevention of cardiac fibrosis.

Keywords: A_{2B} receptor, Akt, cAMP, cardiac fibroblast, Endothelin-1, Epac, PI3K, α-SMA

INTRODUCTION

Cardiac fibrosis is one of the major causes of heart failure and contributes to the abnormality of cardiac functions. After cardiac injury, a number of paracrine and neuronal hormone systems including angiotensin II (Ang II), ET-1, and transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ) promote cardiac fibroblast activation, leading to fibroblast proliferation, ECM overproduction, and myofibroblast differentiation (Porter and Turner, 2009; Kong et al., 2014). Myofibroblast is an active cell which characterized by overproduction of α -SMA (Tomasek et al., 2002). The accumulation of ECM proteins and the differentiation of fibroblast into myofibroblast lead to the replacement of cardiac myocytes with fibrotic scar tissue, resulting in cardiac fibrosis (Brown et al., 2005; Kong et al., 2014). Cardiac fibrosis disrupts the communicational and functional of cells in the heart, making the abnormality of contractility and heart rhythm and also accelerates the cardiac remodeling process which elicits the detrimental effects of the heart and increases the risk of heart diseases (Brown et al., 2005; Porter and Turner, 2009; Kong et al., 2014).

The blood and tissue levels of ET-1 have been found to be increased in patients with heart failure. In addition, overstimulation of ETA receptors induced cardiac fibrosis associated with cardiac dysfunction and heart failure (Mueller et al., 2011). In contrast, development of cardiac fibrosis and hypertrophy in mouse models is impaired in mice with vascular endothelial cell-specific ET-1 deficiency (Adiarto et al., 2012). Hence, ET-1 contributes to the pathogenesis of heart diseases. In cardiac fibroblasts, treatment with ET-1 induced cell proliferation, collagen synthesis, and α -SMA expression as a hallmark of cardiac fibrosis (Nishida et al., 2007). Myocardial fibrosis in turn is important in cardiac remodeling. In this present study, we used ET-1 as a profibrotic agent for a model of cardiac fibrosis studying in the cells. It is crucial to discover the new therapeutic approaches to prevent and reverse underlying cardiac fibrosis induced by ET-1.

Inhibition of fibroblast proliferation, fibroblast differentiation, and collagen synthesis might be the therapeutic target for prevention of cardiac remodeling and fibrosis. The functions of cardiac fibroblasts are regulated by several autocrine/paracrine factors including adenosine. Several studies demonstrated that adenosine could attenuate the adverse impact of cardiac remodeling probably due to the fact that adenosine inhibits several cardiac fibroblast functions For instance, the beneficial effects of adenosine on cardiac fibroblasts consist of decreased collagen synthesis, cell proliferation and differentiation (Dubey et al., 1998; Villarreal et al., 2009). In addition, adenosine decreased collagen deposition in the infarcted area and attenuated an increase in collagen volume and collagen I expression in non-infarcted area in animal model of myocardial infarction (MI) (Villarreal et al., 2003). Furthermore, stimulation of adenosine receptors leads to myocardial protection after ischemia (Baxter et al., 1994) and cardiac remodeling (Villarreal et al., 2003). Adenosine receptors belong to the G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) superfamily, denoted A1, A2A, A2B, and A3 receptors (Fredholm et al., 2000). All subtypes of adenosine receptors are endogenously expressed in rat cardiac fibroblasts. A_1 and A_3 receptors are coupled to $G\alpha_{i/o}$ to inhibit AC activity, whereas A_{2A} and A_{2B} receptors are coupled to $G\alpha_s$ to activate AC activity (Klinger et al., 2002).

The actions of adenosine on inhibition of collagen synthesis are not mediated through A₁ and A₃ receptors signaling but likely through A2 receptors. For instance, stimulation of adenosine A2B receptor inhibited PDGF-BB-induced DNA synthesis, cellular proliferation and collagen synthesis in adult rat cardiac fibroblasts (Dubey et al., 2001). In addition, stimulation of A2 receptors also inhibited Ang II-induced collagen synthesis in adult rat cardiac fibroblast (Villarreal et al., 2009). Moreover, an in vivo study showed that stimulation of A_{2B} receptor attenuated fibrosis and remodeling in rat hearts after MI (Wakeno et al., 2006). Thus, A₂ receptors may play an important role in regulation of cardiac fibrosis. Although many studies have demonstrated the antifibrotic effects of adenosine, it is still unclear which adenosine receptor subtypes are involved in the inhibition of ET-1induced cell proliferation and α -SMA expression in cardiac fibroblasts.

After agonist binding, A_2 receptors couple to $G\alpha_s$ proteins, which results in an activation of AC and subsequently elevates the cAMP levels. The pathways associated with adenosine-induced cAMP elevation include the activation of PKA and Epac pathways (Klinger et al., 2002). In adult rat cardiac fibroblasts, stimulation of A_2 receptors leads to the elevation of cAMP and that the resultant cAMP inhibits Ang II-induced collagen synthesis via a PKA-independent, Epac-dependent pathway (Villarreal et al., 2009). Moreover, activation of Epac by the A_{2B} receptor induced phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) (Fang and Olah, 2007). In addition, stimulation of A_{2B} receptor can stimulate ERK1/2 activity in human mast cell line (MHC-1 cells), suggesting that activation of ERK1/2 pathways are important

Abbreviations: AC, adenylate cyclase; 6-Bnz-cAMP, N⁶-Benzoyladenosine-3'-5'cyclic monophosphate; cAMP, cyclic adenosine monophosphate; DDA, 2', 5'dideoxyadenosine; ECM, extracellular matrix; Epac, exchange protein directly activated by cAMP; ESCA-AM, Epac-selective cAMP analog acetoxymethyl ester; ET-1, endothelin-1; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; PKA, protein kinase A; PKI, myristoylated protein kinase A inhibitor amide 14-22; α -SMA, α -smooth muscle actin.

steps in adenosine A_{2B} receptor-dependent stimulation of IL-8 synthesis (Feoktistov et al., 1999). Furthermore, stimulation of A_2 receptors inhibited Ang II-induced collagen synthesis via Epac/PI3K signaling pathway in cardiac fibroblasts (Villarreal et al., 2009).

However, the exact molecular mechanisms by which adenosine inhibited ET-1-induced cardiac fibrosis remains unclear. Therefore, we investigated the signaling pathways of A_2 receptors on the inhibition of ET-1-induced fibroblast proliferation and α -SMA production in neonatal rat cardiac fibroblasts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and Plasmid Construction

7-(2-phenylethyl)-5-amino-2-(2-furyl)-pyrazolo-[4,3-e]-1,2,4triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine (SCH58261), 2-Phenylaminoadenosine (CV1808), ET-1, ESI-09, and LY294002 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, United States). Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), fetal bovine serum (FBS), 0.25% trypsin-EDTA solution, and penicillin/streptomycin (P/S) solution were purchased from Gibco (Grand Island, NY, United States). Collagenase A was purchased from Roche Diagnostics (Indianapolis, IN, United States). Akt inhibitor IV, Forskolin, PKA inhibitor 14-22 amide (PKI), DDA, 6-Bnz-cAMP, and 8-[4-[((4-Cyanophenyl)carbamoylmethyl)oxy]phenyl]-1,3-di(npropyl)xanthine hydrate (MRS1754) were purchased from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA, United States). 8-pCPT-2-O-MecAMP-AM (ESCA-AM) was purchased from Tocris Biosciences (Bristol, United Kingdom). SCH58261, Akt inhibitor IV, ESI-09, LY294002, MRS1754, and ESCA-AM were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) whereas ET-1, forskolin, PKI dissolved in distilled water when preparing the stock solutions. CV1808 was dissolved in 50% ethanol solution. Aliquots of stock solutions were stored at the correct temperature.

Neonatal Rat Cardiac Fibroblasts Isolation and Culture

Animal studies were approved by the Committee for Animal Care and Use of the Faculty of Pharmacy, Mahidol University (Protocol No. PYR004/2556 and PYR003/2560) and conducted in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the United States National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85-23, revised 1996). Isolation of cardiac fibroblasts was prepared as previously described (Nishida et al., 2007). Briefly, neonatal Sprague-Dawley rats were euthanized by decapitation and the hearts were removed and placed on ice. The atria of the hearts are quickly removed and the remaining hearts were cut into small pieces and digested by collagenase type A (Roche Diagnostics). The digested cells were plated on 10-cm dishes at 37°C for 2-3 h. Cardiac fibroblasts were attached to the bottom of the dishes during incubation time, whereas non-adherent myocytes were removed by changing the cultured media. Cardiac fibroblasts were cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS, and 1% (v/v) P/S solution (Gibco). The purity of acquired cardiac fibroblast

was then confirmed by both cellular morphology (thin and triangular cells) and immunostaining. Cardiac fibroblasts in the first and second passages were used for all experiments. The medium was changed to serum-free DMEM overnight before stimulation.

Cell Proliferation Assay

Proliferation of cardiac fibroblasts was assessed by MTT (3-(4, 5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-Diphenyltetrazolium Bromide) assay, which is based on the transformation of yellow tetrazolium salt of MTT by mitochondria of living cells to an insoluble formazan salt. Cells were plated in 96-well plates (5,000 cells/well) in DMEM containing 1% FBS and 1% P/S solution and incubated for 24 h. The cells were pretreated without or with DDA (10 μ M), PKI (10 μ M) or ESI-09 (10 μ M) for 30 min. Cells were treated with 10 µM CV1808 for 1 h, and then added 20 nM ET-1 for 24 h. After stimulation, cells were added 100 µl of MTT solution (1 mg/ml) to each well and incubated for 3 h at 37°C. Formazan crystals were dissolved with DMSO and plates were read using an Infinite M200 microplate reader (Tecan) at wavelength of 570 nm. The absorption value directly represents relative cell numbers. The percentage of cell viability was calculated according to this equation:

The % of cell viability = [(Absorbance of treated cells)

/(Absorbance of control cells)] \times 100

Western Blotting

Cells were plated in 6-well plates (2 \times 10⁵ cells/well) in DMEM containing 10% FBS and 1% P/S solution. Following stimulation, cells were washed with PBS and solubilized in Triton X-100 lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 0.8% Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 100 μ M PMSF, 5 μ g/ml aprotinin and 5 μ g/ml leupeptin). Protein concentration of cell lysates was determined using a Bio-Rad protein assay kit with bovine serum albumin (BSA) as standard. Samples were mixed with loading buffer and denatured by heating at 95°C before separation by SDS-PAGE. Separated proteins were transferred to PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad) and subjected to immunoblotting with primary antibodies to α-SMA (1:2000; Sigma-Aldrich), phospho-Akt (1:2000; Cell signaling), Akt (1:2000; Cell signaling) and GAPDH (1:2000; Cell signaling). Blotts were visualized with an HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies and a chemiluminescence detection system (GE Healthcare).

Quantitative Real-Time PCR

The extraction of RNA from cardiac fibroblasts was performed by using GeneJET RNA purification kits (Thermo scientific). Quantitative mRNA analysis was performed by the Mx 3005p Real Time PCR system (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) using the KAPA SYBR FAST One-step RT-qPCR kits (KAPA biosystems, Wilmington, MA, United States) following the manufacturer's instructions. Primers sequences are shown in Supplementary Table S1. Relative mRNA expression levels were calculated by the comparative cycle threshold (C_T) method and normalized to the GAPDH level. Comparison of mRNA expression in each sample was calculated based on the differences in ΔC_T of individual samples ($\Delta \Delta C_T$).

Detection of α-SMA by Immunofluorescence Microscopy

Cardiac fibroblasts (1 × 10⁴ cells/well) were plated in 35-mm glass dishes in DMEM containing 10% FBS and 1% P/S solution. After treatment, cells were washed with PBS and then fixed cell with 4% paraformaldehyde and kept at 4°C for overnight. Cell were washed with PBS and then added 0.1% Triton-X in PBS for 5 min. Cell were washed again with PBS and then added 1% BSA in PBS and stored at room temperature for 30 min. After that, cells were added with anti- α -SMA antibody (1:250) and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Cells were washed twice with PBS, added goat anti-mouse antibody (Alexa Fluor 488), and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. After 1 h, cells were treated with 5 µg/ml DAPI for 10 min and washed twice with PBS. The α -SMA protein in the cells was visualized by fluorescence microscope (Inverted microscope, Olympus IX 81).

Measurement of cAMP Level by ELISA

Cardiac fibroblasts (2 × 10⁵ cells) were plated in 6 well plates in DMEM containing 10% FBS and 1% P/S solution, and then starved in serum-free DMEM for 2 days. Cells were pretreated with 0.5 mM 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX) for 1 h, and then stimulated with 10 μ M CV1808 for 30 min. After treatment, cells were washed with PBS and then lysed with 0.1 M HCl containing 0.15% Triton X-100. The cAMP level of cell lysate was measured using cyclic AMP ELISA kit (Cayman), following the manufacturer's instructions.

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean \pm SEM. The statistical analysis was determined using Student's *t*-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's test. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Stimulation of A_2 Receptors by CV1808 Inhibits ET-1-Induced Cell Proliferation and α -SMA Expression

We first assessed the effects of CV1808 (2-Phenylaminoadenosine; a selective adenosine A_2 receptor agonist) on inhibition of ET-1-induced cell proliferation. Cardiac fibroblasts were pretreated with various concentrations of CV1808 (1–50 μ M) before treatment with ET-1 (20 nM) for 24 h. Stimulation of endothelin (ET) receptors with ET-1 resulted in a significant increase in the number of cells compared to that of control (vehicle) group (**Figure 1A**). Pretreatment with CV1808 inhibited ET-1-induced cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner that shown the maximal effect at the concentration of 50 μ M (Figure 1A), demonstrating that stimulation of A2 receptors inhibits ET-1-induced cardiac fibroblast proliferation. The α -SMA expression is the hallmark of myofibroblast differentiation. We next determined the effects of CV1808 on ET-1-induced mRNA and protein expressions of α-SMA in cardiac fibroblast. We found that treatment with ET-1 significantly increased α-SMA mRNA expression compared with the expression observed in the control (vehicle) group (Figure 1B). Moreover, ET-1 treatment caused a substantially increase in α-SMA protein expression, detected by either western blotting (Figure 1C) or fluorescent microscopy (Figure 1D). Interestingly, pretreatment with CV1808 significantly decreased ET-1-induced α-SMA mRNA and protein levels (Figures 1B,C). These data indicate that an antifibrotic effect of CV1808 is one of the potential mechanisms for treatment of cardiac fibrosis under sustained ET receptor stimulation.

cAMP Is Required for Antifibrotic Effects of CV1808

Stimulation of A₂ receptors by CV1808, which couples with $G\alpha_s$ protein, leads to the elevation of cAMP levels via activation of AC activity. Because A2 receptor agonists (e.g., NECA, and adenosine) were also shown to increase cAMP levels in the heart and cAMP is a regulator of fibroblast functions (Insel et al., 2012), we investigated whether the inhibition of ET-1-induced cardiac fibrosis by CV1808 is dependent on cAMP. We demonstrated that stimulation of A₂ receptors with CV1808 significantly increased the cAMP levels, which had effects similar to those of forskolin (an AC activator) (Figure 2E). Pretreatment with DDA (an AC inhibitor) completely blocked CV1808-mediated cAMP elevation in cardiac fibroblasts (Figure 2E). The inhibitory effects of CV1808 on ET-1-induced cell proliferation and the expression of α-SMA was blocked by DDA (Figures 2A-D). In addition, treatment with forskolin at 10 µM was able to inhibit ET-1-induced cell proliferation and the expression of α-SMA as shown by the similar results to those of CV1808 (Figures 2A-D). Thus, stimulation of A2 receptors delivers potent antifibrotic effects through cAMP signaling by decreasing the cell proliferation and inhibiting α-SMA production induced by ET-1.

Stimulation of A₂ Receptors Inhibits ET-1-Induced Cardiac Fibrosis in a PKA-Independent, Epac-Dependent Manner

To examine the antifibrotic effects following A_2 receptor stimulation, we measured the cell proliferation and the mRNA and protein expression levels of α -SMA after treatment with CV1808. At least 2 downstream effectors are activated by cAMP, PKA and Epac. As we shown that cAMP is necessary for antifibrotic effects of CV1808, we next investigated whether the PKA-dependent pathway, the Epac-dependent pathway, or both, plays a role in A_2 receptor-mediated antifibrotic actions in cardiac fibroblast. As shown in **Figure 3A**, treatment with PKI (a specific PKA inhibitor) did not block the effects of CV1808 on inhibition of ET-1-induced cell proliferation.



In addition, treatment with 6-Bnz-cAMP (a selective PKA activator) had no effect on ET-1-induced cell proliferation (**Figure 3A**). Moreover, blockade of PKA activity by PKI did not alter the inhibitory effects of CV1808 on the mRNA and protein expressions of α -SMA (**Figures 3B-D**). In contrast, treatment with 6-Bnz-cAMP alone did not inhibit ET-1-induced α -SMA mRNA and protein expressions in cardiac fibroblasts. Taken together, these data indicated A₂ receptor-mediated antifibrotic effect is independent of PKA.

Epac acts as an essential effector of cAMP signaling. We next used either ESCA-AM (a specific Epac activator) or ESI-09 (a specific Epac inhibitor) to examine whether Epac is necessary for A₂ receptor-mediated antifibrotic effect. We found that blockade of Epac activity by ESI-09 significantly abolished the inhibitory effects of CV1808 on ET-1-induced cell proliferation and α -SMA

mRNA and protein expressions (**Figures 4A–D**). Moreover, activation of Epac by 8-pCPT-2-O-Me-cAMP (Epac selective cAMP analog; ESCA-AM) significantly inhibited ET-1-induced cell proliferation and α -SMA production which had effects similar to those of CV1808 (**Figures 4A–D**). Collectively these data suggested that Epac is required for A₂ receptor-mediated inhibition of cardiac fibrosis induced by ET-1.

Stimulation of A₂ Receptors Exhibits Antifibrotic Effects through PI3K-Akt Signaling Pathway

Previous studies have been reported that treatment with NECA (adenosine receptor agonist) can induce the phosphorylation of Akt (Villarreal et al., 2009) and Akt serves as an important downstream effector of PI3K and Epac; hence, we next further



forskolin, or vehicle for 30 min. (Right) Cells were pretreated without or with 10 μ M DDA for 1 h. After 1 h, cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO), or 10 μ M CV1808 for 30 min. The cAMP levels were measured using cyclic AMP ELISA kit and shown as [pmol/ mg protein] (n = 4). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle; $^{\#}P < 0.05$ vs. CV1808.

investigate whether PI3K and Akt plays a role on A₂ receptormediated inhibition of ET-1-induced cell proliferation and α -SMA expression. We found that inhibition of PI3K by using LY294002 and inhibition of Akt by using Akt inhibitor IV were able to block the inhibitory effects of CV1808 on ET-1-induced cell proliferation (Figure 5A) and α -SMA mRNA and protein synthesis (Figures 5B–D).

As we known that Akt is the downstream effector of Epac signaling, we next investigate whether blockade of PKA, Epac, PI3K activities are able to inhibit CV1808-induced



nuclear staining of nucleus with DAPI (blue). Bar, $10 \,\mu$ m.

Akt phosphorylation. After stimulation of A_2 receptors with CV1808, the levels of phosphorylated Akt (p-Akt) markedly increased in cardiac fibroblasts, whereas CV1808-mediated Akt phosphorylation was significantly inhibited by either ESI-09 (Epac inhibitor) or LY294002 (PI3K inhibitor) (**Figure 5E**). In contrast, blockade of PKA activity by PKI had no effect on CV1808-mediated Akt phosphorylation.

In addition, treatment with ESCA-AM (Epac activator) resulted in a significant increase in p-Akt level as similar with CV1808 (**Figure 5F**). In contrast, both CV1808 and ESCA-AM were unable to increase the Akt phosphorylation when blockade of PI3K activity using LY94002, suggesting that Akt activation by A_2 receptor agonist reflects an Epac-dependent that occurs

through PI3K activity. Taken together, these results suggested that both PI3K and Akt are involved in the Epac-dependent A_2 receptors signaling pathway.

Stimulation of the A_{2B} Receptor Subtype Is Responsible for Inhibition of ET-1-Induced Cell Proliferation and α-SMA Synthesis

We next used a selective A_{2A} receptor antagonist (SCH58261), and a selective A_{2B} receptor antagonist (MRS1754) to determine which A₂ receptor subtypes are associated in the inhibition of ET-1-induced cell proliferation, and α -SMA



Pretreated without or with 10 μM ESI-09 (Epac inhibitor) for 1 h. After 1 h, cells were treated with vehicle (control), 10 μM ESCA-AM (Epac activator), or 10 μM CV1808 for 1 h and further stimulated with 20 nM ET-1 for 12 h (**B**) or 24 h (**A**,**C**,**D**) at 37°C. (**A**) Cell proliferation was quantified by MTT assay. The data were expressed as the percentage relative to the non-treated group, and shown as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle; *P < 0.05 vs. ET-1; *P < 0.05 vs. CV1808+ET-1. (**B**,**C**) Relative α -SMA mRNA (**B**) and protein (**C**) levels were quantified and shown as the mean \pm SEM (n = 4). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle; *P < 0.05 vs. ET-1; *P < 0.05 vs. ET-1; *P < 0.05 vs. CV1808+ET-1. (**D**) Cells were incubated with anti- α -SMA antibody followed by goat anti-mouse antibody (Alexa Fluor 488). The α -SMA was visualized by fluorescent microscope. Cells were stained for α -SMA (green) and nuclear staining of nucleus with DAPI (blue). Bar, 10 µm.

synthesis. Both SCH58261 and MRS1754 are able to inhibit A₂ receptors-mediated cAMP elevation, suggesting that these antagonists effectively blunt the receptor signaling (**Figure 6F**). We found that MRS1754 significantly antagonized the inhibitory effect of CV1808 on ET-1-induced cell proliferation, whereas SCH58261 had no effect (**Figure 6A**). In addition, MRS1754, but not SCH58261, also reduced the inhibitory effect of CV1808 on ET-1-induced α -SMA mRNA and protein expressions (**Figures 6B–D**). Furthermore, treatment with MRS1754 significantly inhibited CV1808-mediated Akt phosphorylation, confirming that stimulation of A_{2B} receptor plays an important role on Akt activation (**Figure 6E**). Taken together, these data demonstrated that stimulation and α -SMA expression through the Akt signaling pathway.

DISCUSSION

In this present study, our findings provide an essential role for cAMP/Epac/PI3K/Akt signaling on A₂ receptor-mediated antifibrotic effects in cardiac fibroblasts. Stimulation of A₂ receptors inhibits ET-1-induced cell proliferation and myofibroblast differentiation by suppressing α -SMA expression. PI3K and Akt, the downstream effectors of Epac signaling, are necessary for these effects. Moreover, A₂ receptor-mediated antifibrotic action appears to be predominantly mediated by the A_{2B} receptor subtype.

Cardiac fibroblasts are not only involved in the normal myocardial functions, but also in the cardiac remodeling that responds to cardiac injury and pathological conditions, including MI and heart failure (Kong et al., 2014). In pathophysiology



FIGURE 5 | Stimulation of A₂ receptors exhibits antifibrotic effects through PI3K/Akt-dependent pathway. (**A–D**) Cardiac fibroblasts were pretreated without or with either 10 μ M LY294002 (PI3K inhibitor) or 1 μ M Akt inh (Akt inhibitor IV) for 1 h. After 1 h, cells were treated with vehicle (control), or 10 μ M CV1808 for 1 h and further stimulated with 20 nM ET-1 for 12 h (**B**) or 24 h (**A**,C,**D**) at 37°C. (**A**) Cell proliferation was quantified by MTT assay. The data were expressed as the percentage relative to the non-treated group, and shown as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle; #P < 0.05 vs. ET-1; *P < 0.05 vs. ET-1+CV1808. (**B**,C) Relative α -SMA mRNA (**B**) and protein (**C**) levels were quantified and shown as the mean \pm SEM (n = 4). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle; #P < 0.05 vs. ET-1; *P < 0.05 vs. ET-1+CV1808. (**B**,C) Relative α -SMA mRNA (**B**) and protein (**C**) levels were quantified and shown as the mean \pm SEM (n = 4). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle; #P < 0.05 vs. ET-1; *P < 0.05 vs. ET-1+CV1808. (**D**) Cells were incubated with anti- α -SMA antibody followed by goat anti-mouse antibody (Alexa Fluor 488). The α -SMA was visualized by fluorescent microscope. Cells were stained for α -SMA (green) and nuclear staining of nucleus with DAPI (blue). Bar, 10 μ m. (**E**) Cells were pretreated without or with 10 μ M PKI, 10 μ M ESI-09, 10 μ M LY294002 for 1 μ M Akt inhibitor for 1 h before treatment with vehicle (control), or 10 μ M CV1808 for 30 min at 37°C. (**F**) Cell swere immunoblotted with anti- α -SMA and anti-Akt antibodies. The Akt activation was quantified, expressed as fold increase over vehicle, and shown as the mean \pm SEM (n = 4). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle; #P < 0.05 vs. CV1808; *P < 0.05 vs. ESCA-AM.



FIGURE 6 | Stimulation of the A_{2B} receptor inhibits ET-1-induced cell proliferation and α -SMA synthesis. (**A–D**) Cardiac fibroblasts were pretreated without or with either 0.5 μ M SCH58261 (SCH; A_{2A} receptor antagonist), 0.5 μ M MRS1754 (MRS; A_{2B} receptor antagonist), or both for 1 h before treatment with vehicle (control), or 10 μ M CV1808 for 1 h and further stimulated with 20 nM ET-1 for 12 h (**B**) or 24 h (**A,C,D**) at 37°C. (**A**) Cell proliferation was quantified by MTT assay. The data were expressed as the percentage relative to the non-treated group, and shown as mean \pm SEM (n = 4). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle; #P < 0.05 vs. ET-1; *P < 0.05 vs. ET-1+CV1808. (**B,C**) Relative α -SMA mRNA (**B**) and protein (**C**) levels were quantified and shown as the mean \pm SEM (n = 4). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle; #P < 0.05 vs. vehicle; #P < 0.05 vs. ET-1; *P < 0.05 vs. ET-1+CV1808. (**D**) Cells were incubated with anti- α -SMA antibody followed by goat anti-mouse antibody (Alexa Fluor 488). The α -SMA was visualized by fluorescent microscope. Cells were stained for α -SMA (green) and nuclear staining of nucleus with DAPI (blue). Bar, 10 μ m. (**E**) Cells were pretreated with vehicle (DMSO), or 10 μ M CV1808 for 30 min. Cell lysates were immunoblotted with anti-phospho-Akt and anti-Akt antibodies. The Akt activation was quantified, expressed as fold increase over vehicle, and shown as the mean \pm SEM (n = 4). *P < 0.05 vs. etimuloble with 0μ M CV1808 for 30 min. The cAMP levels were measured using cyclic AMP ELISA kit and shown as [pmol/ mg protein] (n = 4). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle.

of cardiac fibrosis, cardiac fibroblasts play the important role in multiple processes, which comprising of cardiac fibroblast proliferation, migration, myofibroblast differentiation, and deposition of ECM proteins (Baudino et al., 2006). Differentiating fibroblasts (or myofibroblasts) are highly active cells that overexpress of α -SMA, and increase proliferation and secretory properties (Tomasek et al., 2002). Inhibition of cell proliferation and myofibroblast differentiation might represent the potential therapeutic targets for prevention of cardiac remodeling and fibrosis.

ET-1 plays a central role in heart diseases and is involved in the pathogenesis of cardiac fibrosis. ET-1 is upregulated in animal model of cardiac fibrosis (Yamamoto et al., 2000). ET-1 can stimulate cell proliferation, ECM deposition and production, and expression of markers of myofibroblast phenotype such as α -SMA (Kuruvilla et al., 2007; Nishida et al., 2007). In addition, treatment with ET receptor antagonist reduced cardiac remodeling in models of infarctive and hypertensive cardiac fibrosis (Mulder et al., 1997; Ammarguellat et al., 2001). Thus, in this study, we utilized ET-1 treatment of cardiac fibroblasts as the means to stimulate proliferation and α -SMA synthesis to examine the molecular mechanisms by which A₂ receptor stimulation may counteract ET-1-induced cardiac fibrosis.

The growth of cardiac fibroblasts is controlled by several paracrine factors, including adenosine, where it exhibits cardioprotective effects. The biological actions of adenosine and adenosine receptor agonists are receptor-dependent manners (Fredholm et al., 2000). Stimulation of A₁ and A₃ receptors inhibits AC activity through activation of Gai proteins (pertussis toxin-sensitive G protein) and subsequently activates PLC activity, which hydrolyses phosphatidylinositol 4,5 bisphosphate (PIP₂) into inositol triphosphate (IP₃) and diacylglycerol. Stimulation of A2A and A2B receptors increases AC activity through $G\alpha_s$ proteins, resulting in the elevation of cAMP and activates its downstream effectors, including PKA and Epac (Schulte and Fredholm, 2003; Epperson et al., 2009; Insel et al., 2012). Previous studies suggested that the antifibrotic effects of adenosine are mediated via A₂ receptors. For instance, pertussis toxin did not alter the inhibitory effects of adenosine agonist (NECA; 5'-N-ethylcarboxamidoadenosine) on Ang II-induced collagen synthesis in adult rat cardiac fibroblast (Villarreal et al., 2009). Data derived from using of several adenosine receptor agonists and antagonists demonstrated that the inhibitory effects of adenosine agonists (2-chloroadenosine, 5'-N-methylcarboxamidoadenosine) on PDGF-BB-induced DNA synthesis, cell proliferation, and collagen synthesis were significantly blocked by selective A2 receptor antagonist, but not selective A1 receptor antagonist in adult rat cardiac fibroblasts (Dubey et al., 1997, 1998, 2001). Our data also demonstrated that stimulation of A2 receptors with CV1808 (selective A2 receptor agonist) also inhibited ET-1-induced fibroblast proliferation and α -SMA expression. Thus, A₂ receptors, but not A₁ and A3 receptors, is considered as an adenosine receptor subtype that elicits antifibrotic effects via inhibition of ET-1-induced fibroblast proliferation and α-SMA expression. It should be noted that the antifibrotic effects of CV1808 has come from in vitro experiment using cardiac fibroblasts. This may not completely

apply for clinical use due to lacking of *in vivo* and clinical studies. Therefore more research is necessary for investigating antifibrotic effects and underlying mechanisms of CV108 on prevention of ET-1-induced cardiac fibrosis in animal studies.

Furthermore, our present study has demonstrated only the preventive effects of the A_{2B} receptor on ET-1-induced fibroblast proliferation and α -SMA expression. The restorative roles of A_{2B} receptor following ET-1 administration have not been determined. In addition, in this study we used MTT assay for evaluation of fibroblast proliferation. Even though MTT is a routine cell viability assay for cytotoxicity and cell proliferation, MTT may not completely apply for investigation of antiproliferative effect of CV1808. Thus, it will require additional methods to confirm the antiproliferative action of the A_{2B} receptor.

Although A_2 receptors play an important role on inhibition of cardiac fibrosis in fibroblasts, a few studies have demonstrated that stimulation of A_1 receptors exhibits antihypertrophic effect in cardiac myocytes. For instance, *N*-cyclopentyl adenosine (CPA; a selective A_1 receptor agonist) inhibited the hypertrophic response to ET-1, Ang II, or isoproterenol (Liao et al., 2003). In addition, stimulation of A_1 receptor inhibited cardiac hypertrophy and prevented heart failure in animal model of pressure-overload (Liao et al., 2003). Thus, adenosine has cardioprotective effects mediated by both A_1 and A_2 receptors in the heart.

While many studies have identified an antifibrotic effect of A_{2B} receptor in cardiac fibroblast, *in vivo* studies have demonstrated that inhibition of A_{2B} receptor exhibits the beneficial effects in animal models of cardiac remodeling and fibrosis [reviewed by Vecchio et al. (2017)]. For example, administration of GS-6201 (a selective A_{2B} receptor antagonist) attenuated cardiac enlargement and dysfunction in mouse model of MI (Toldo et al., 2012). In addition, blockade of A_{2B} receptor improved ventricular dysfunction and decreased fibrosis in rat myocardial ischaemia-reperfusion model (Zhang et al., 2014). Thus, further investigations on the precise mechanism underlying the profibrotic effects of the A_{2B} receptor in the heart are still needed.

Adenosine A2A and A2B receptors are expressed in the heart (Epperson et al., 2009). Although A2A and A2B receptors can couple with $G\alpha_s$ proteins and stimulate AC activity, considerable differences exist in their actions and signaling pathways. For instance, stimulation of A2A and A2B receptors enhanced endothelial cell proliferation and stimulation of A2A receptor leads to tube formation. Stimulation of A_{2B} receptor induced VEGF, FGF, and IL-8 production in human endothelial cells via $G\alpha_q$ and activation of PLC, while stimulation of A2A receptor upregulated VEGF in macrophages in a PKAindependent manner (Feoktistov et al., 2002; Leibovich et al., 2002). In addition, adenosine attenuates collagen and protein synthesis through the A_{2B} receptor (Dubey et al., 1998; Chen et al., 2004). Moreover, blockade of A2B receptor and extracellular adenosine deamination enhances pro-fibrotic ATP signaling pathway demonstrated by increasing of α -SMA, TGF- β and collagen synthesis (Lu and Insel, 2013). In concordance with these previous studies, our data demonstrated that stimulation



of A_{2B} receptor, but not A_{2A} receptor, inhibited ET-1-induced cell proliferation and α -SMA expression in neonatal rat cardiac fibroblasts. Taken together, A_{2B} receptor is considered an adenosine subtype that exhibit antifibrotic effects in the heart. A_{2A} and A_{2B} receptors share 56% sequence identity and the sequence similarity is relatively low (Sherbiny et al., 2009). It remains unknown whether the C-terminal tails of these two receptor influence subtype specificity and further study is required to determine the divergent cellular response and whether any effectors are assembled within receptor compartmentalization.

Upon binding of its agonist to adenosine receptor, A2 receptors couple with $G\alpha_s$ proteins, which results in an activation of AC, followed by cAMP elevation (Shaikh and Cronstein, 2016). Stimulation of adenosine receptor with agonist increased cAMP levels in cardiac fibroblasts (Chen et al., 2004) and cAMP-elevating agents might be useful as antifibrotic treatments. For example, AC activators (e.g., forskolin and cAMP analogs) blocked TGF-B- and Ang II- stimulated collagen synthesis and α -SMA expression by cardiac fibroblasts (Swaney et al., 2005). Forskolin and isoproterenol inhibited serum- and TGF-B stimulated collagen synthesis by cardiac fibroblasts (Liu et al., 2006). In addition, cAMP-elevating agents inhibited fetal calf serum-induced cardiac fibroblast proliferation (Dubey et al., 1997). Moreover, the cAMP-enhancing agents such as PGE₂ and forskolin reduced epidermal growth factor (EGF)-induced proliferation of human airway muscle cells (Kassel et al., 2008). Interestingly, overexpression of AC type VI (AC6) increased the production of cAMP and enhanced FSK- and PGE2-mediated

inhibition of FBS-induced cell proliferation (Liu et al., 2004). Consistent with previous studies, we showed that treatment with CV1808 (A₂ receptor agonist) leads to an elevation of cAMP levels that showed the similar effect with forskolin (AC activator). Moreover, treatment with forskolin resulted in the inhibition of ET-1-induced cell proliferation and a-SMA synthesis, while blockade of AC abolished the inhibitory effects of CV1808 on the ET-1-induced these effects. Interestingly activation of A_{2B} receptor inhibits ET-1 production and secretion in guinea-pig tracheal epithelial cells through a cAMP dependent pathway (Pelletier et al., 2000). The relationship between the generation of cAMP and the inhibition of ET-1 production and secretion was also reported in other cells such as rat mesangial cells (Sakamoto et al., 1992). Therefore, further investigations are needed to determine the role for A2B receptor on inhibition of ET-1 production and secretion in cardiac fibroblasts.

Data from our study and others provide important evidences that cAMP appears to be an important effector of A_{2B} receptor-mediated antifibrotic effects in the heart. Even though stimulation of A_{2B} receptor increased cAMP level through $G\alpha_s$ proteins, A_{2B} receptor can couple to the $G\alpha_q$ proteins to activate PLC and increase intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration (Feoktistov et al., 1999; Linden et al., 1999). It will be required further study to investigate whether $G\alpha_q$ is required for A_{2B} receptor-mediated inhibition of ET-1-induced cell proliferation and α -SMA synthesis in the heart.

There are at least two pathways mediated through cAMP such as the PKA-dependent and the Epac-dependent

pathway. The pathways associated with downstream actions of adenosine-induced elevation of levels include the stimulation of PKA and Epac activities. Treatment with PKA activator (Sp isomer of 8-bromoadenosine 3', 5'-cyclic monophosphothioate; Sp-8-Br-cAMP) did not inhibit Ang II-induced collagen synthesis (Villarreal et al., 2009). In addition, blockade of PKA activity by H89 or PKI did not alter the inhibitory effects of NECA on Ang II-induced collagen synthesis (Villarreal et al., 2009), suggesting that PKA activation by cAMP did not involve in A2 receptors signaling pathway in cardiac fibroblast. Moreover, A_{2B} receptor-mediated ERK1/2 phosphorylation occurred in a cAMP-dependent, PKA-independent pathway in HUVEC (Fang and Olah, 2007). Our data are consistent with previous studies that the inhibitory effects of CV1808 on ET-1-induced cardiac fibrosis were not affected by inhibition of PKA activity. However, these previous studies and our study are not unlike the study by others in which PKA is necessary for the inhibition of cell proliferation and α-SMA in several types of fibroblasts. For example, stimulation of C2C12 myoblasts with growth factors which control cell proliferation and differentiation is disrupted by cAMP through PKA (Pearson et al., 2006). In addition, TGF-β-induced collagen synthesis and myofibroblast formation is mediated through cAMP/PKA signaling pathway in cardiac and pulmonary fibroblasts (Liu et al., 2004; Swaney et al., 2005). Further evaluation of the divergent cellular response induced by PKA and its compartmentalization remained to be defined.

There are two subtypes of Epac, Epac1 and Epac2 and both are found in the heart. Treatment with Ang II and TGF-B significantly inhibited Epac1 expression, but not Epac2 in cardiac fibroblasts (Yokoyama et al., 2008). This previous study also reported that expression of Epac1 reduced in model of MI rats. Inversely, Epac1 overexpression inhibited TGF-β-induced collagen synthesis (Yokoyama et al., 2008). Moreover, Epac1 expression significantly decreased after treatment with TGF-B in cardiac fibroblasts (Olmedo et al., 2013). In HUVEC, overexpression of Epac1 enhanced A2B receptor-mediated ERK1/2 activation whereas inhibition of Epac1 expression with siRNA reduced this effect (Fang and Olah, 2007), indicating that A2B receptor-mediated Epac activation in HUVEC results in ERK1/2 activation. Moreover, adenosine activates the A_{2B} receptor and the resultant cAMP reduced collagen synthesis through the PKA-independent, Epac-dependent pathway (Villarreal et al., 2009). In lung fibroblasts, treatment with agents that increase cAMP levels inhibited collagen synthesis and cell proliferation that were mediated by Epac (Liu et al., 2004; Racke et al., 2008). Therefore, Epac1 is an important effector of cAMP signaling pathway that elicits the antifibrotic effects in the heart. Moreover, stimulation of A2B receptor can stimulate ERK1/2 activity in human mast cell line (MHC-1 cells), suggesting that activation of ERK1/2 is essential steps in the A2B receptor-mediated IL-8 production in HMC-1 (Feoktistov et al., 1999). The data from previous studies and our study indicated that A2B receptor stimulation can activate cAMP/Epac signaling pathway, leading to ERK1/2 activation in fibroblast cells. Interestingly, our present study demonstrated the new signaling pathway that stimulation of A2B receptor activates cAMP/Epac/PI3K/Akt signaling pathway for inhibition of cell

proliferation and α-SMA synthesis induced by ET-1. Our data are in concordance with previous study showing stimulation of A₂ receptors leads to inhibit Ang II-induced collagen synthesis through Epac/PI3K/Akt signaling pathway in cardiac fibroblast (Villarreal et al., 2009). Moreover, both PKA and Epac play an important role in regulation of neuronal functions, including cell differentiation, proliferation and survival (Nijholt et al., 2008). In cortical neurons, activation of PKA leads to an inhibition of Akt phosphorylation. In contrast, Epac activation increases Akt phosphorylation that is mediated through Rap activation, indicating cAMP/Epac/Akt signaling pathway in the brain (Nijholt et al., 2008). Furthermore, stimulation of Epac activity leads to the PI3K-dependent Akt activation, while PKA stimulation suppresses Akt activity in HEK-293 cells (Mei et al., 2002). Our study also demonstrated cAMP/Epac/PI3K/Akt signaling pathway for A_{2B} receptor-mediated antifibrotic effects by attenuating ET-1-induced fibroblast proliferation and α-SMA expression in cardiac fibroblast. From previous study and our present study, it is likely that Akt is an important downstream effector of Epac in several tissues.

Interestingly, despite A_{2B} receptor mediates Epac/PI3K/Akt signaling pathway in cardiac fibroblast, stimulation of A_3 receptors also activates PI3K-dependent Akt phosphorylation, leading to the reduction of ERK1/2 phosphorylation, which in turn suppresses cell proliferation in human melanoma cells (A375 cells) (Merighi et al., 2005). Although, it is possible that both PI3K and Akt play a role on antiproliferation after stimulation of different receptor subtypes, further study will be required to determine the precise mechanistic of this pathway and its compartmentation after adenosine receptor stimulation.

Based on the findings of this study, we have identified a new signaling pathway for an inhibition of ET-1-induced cell proliferation and α -SMA expression in cardiac fibroblasts mediated through the A_{2B} receptor (**Figure 7**). Agonist binding to A_{2B} receptor leads to cAMP elevation through G α_s activation. cAMP binds to and activates Epac activity, leading to activation of PI3K and Akt, thereby elicits the antifibrotic effects.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SP performed the experiments and data analysis, participated in the study planning and wrote the manuscript; AA performed the experiments, data analysis, and participated in the study planning; KB performed the experiments and data analysis; WP performed the experiments and data analysis; AN performed the experiments and data analysis; MN contributed to the discussion and reviewed/edited the manuscript; and SM carried out the experiments and data analysis, participated in the study planning and wrote the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by Thailand Research Fund (TRF) through the Royal Golden Jubilee Ph.D. Program, the Office of the Higher Education Commission, Ministry of Education [Grant PHD/0179/2558] (to SP), TRF Grant [Grant RSA6080061] (to

SM), and in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 25293018 and 16776376 to MN). This study was supported by the International Collaborative Research Program of National Institute for Physiological Sciences (NIPS) and Okazaki Institute for Integrative Bioscience (OIIB).

REFERENCES

- Adiarto, S., Heiden, S., Vignon-Zellweger, N., Kakayama, K., Yagi, K., Yanagisawa, M., et al. (2012). ET-1 from endothelial cells is required for complete angiotensin II-induced cardiac fibrosis and hypertrophy. *Life Sci.* 91, 651–657. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2012.02.006
- Ammarguellat, F., Larouche, I., and Schiffrin, E. L. (2001). Myocardial fibrosis in DOCA-salt hypertensive rats: effect of endothelin ETA receptor antagonism. *Circulation* 103, 319–324. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.103.2.319
- Baudino, T. A., Carver, W., Giles, W., and Borg, T. K. (2006). Cardiac fibroblasts: Friend or foe? Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol. 291, H1015–H1026. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00023.2006
- Baxter, G. F., Marber, M. S., Patel, V. C., and Yellon, D. M. (1994). Adenosine receptor involvement in a delayed phase of myocardial protection 24 hours after ischemic preconditioning. *Circulation* 90, 2993–3000. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.90.6. 2993
- Brown, R. D., Ambler, S. K., Mitchell, M. D., and Long, C. S. (2005). The cardiac fibroblast: therapeutic target in myocardial remodeling and failure. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 45, 657–687. doi: 10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.45.120403. 095802
- Chen, Y., Epperson, S., Makhsudova, L., Ito, B., Suarez, J., Dillmann, W., et al. (2004). Functional effects of enhancing or silencing adenosine A2b receptors in cardiac fibroblasts. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 287, H2478–H2486. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00217.2004
- Dubey, R. K., Gillespie, D. G., and Jackson, E. K. (1998). Adenosine inhibits collagen and protein synthesis in cardiac fibroblasts: role of A2B receptors. *Hypertension* 31, 943–948. doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.31.4.943
- Dubey, R. K., Gillespie, D. G., Mi, Z., and Jackson, E. K. (1997). Exogenous and endogenous adenosine inhibits fetal calf serum-induced growth of rat cardiac fibroblasts: role of A2B receptors. *Circulation* 96, 2656–2666. doi: 10.1161/01. CIR.96.8.2656
- Dubey, R. K., Gillespie, D. G., Zacharia, L. C., Mi, Z., and Jackson, E. K. (2001). A2b receptors mediate the antimitogenic effects of adenosine in cardiac fibroblasts. *Hypertension* 37, 716–721. doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.37.2.716
- Epperson, S. A., Brunton, L. L., Ramirez-Sanchez, I., and Villarreal, F. (2009). Adenosine receptors and second messenger signaling pathways in rat cardiac fibroblasts. Am. J. Physiol. Cell. Physiol. 296, C1171–C1177. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell. 00290.2008
- Fang, Y., and Olah, M. E. (2007). Cyclic AMP-dependent, protein kinase A-independent activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 following adenosine receptor stimulation in human umbilical vein endothelial cells: role of exchange protein activated by cAMP 1 (Epac1). J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 322, 1189–1200. doi: 10.1124/jpet.107.119933
- Feoktistov, I., Goldstein, A. E., and Biaggioni, I. (1999). Role of p38 mitogenactivated protein kinase and extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase kinase in adenosine A2B receptor-mediated interleukin-8 production in human mast cells. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 55, 726–734.
- Feoktistov, I., Goldstein, A. E., Ryzhov, S., Zeng, D., Belardinelli, L., Voyno-Yasenetskaya, T., et al. (2002). Differential expression of adenosine receptors in human endothelial cells: role of A2B receptors in angiogenic factor regulation. *Circ. Res.* 90, 531–538. doi: 10.1161/01.RES.0000012203.214 16.14
- Fredholm, B. B., Arslan, G., Halldner, L., Kull, B., Schulte, G., and Wasserman, W. (2000). Structure and function of adenosine receptors and their genes. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch. Pharmacol.* 362, 364–374.
- Insel, P. A., Murray, F., Yokoyama, U., Romano, S., Yun, H., Brown, L., et al. (2012). cAMP and Epac in the regulation of tissue fibrosis. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 166, 447–456. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2012.01847.x
- Kassel, K. M., Wyatt, T. A., Panettieri, R. A. Jr., and Toews, M. L. (2008). Inhibition of human airway smooth muscle cell proliferation by beta 2-adrenergic

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fphar. 2017.00428/full#supplementary-material

receptors and cAMP is PKA independent: evidence for EPAC involvement. *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol.* 294, L131–L138.

- Klinger, M., Freissmuth, M., and Nanoff, C. (2002). Adenosine receptors: G protein-mediated signalling and the role of accessory proteins. *Cell. Signal.* 14, 99–108. doi: 10.1016/S0898-6568(01)00235-2
- Kong, P., Christia, P., and Frangogiannis, N. G. (2014). The pathogenesis of cardiac fibrosis. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 71, 549–574. doi: 10.1007/s00018-013-1349-6
- Kuruvilla, L., Nair, R. R., Umashankar, P. R., Lal, A. V., and Kartha, C. C. (2007). Endocardial endothelial cells stimulate proliferation and collagen synthesis of cardiac fibroblasts. *Cell Biochem. Biophys.* 47, 65–72. doi: 10.1385/CBB:47:1:65
- Leibovich, S. J., Chen, J. F., Pinhal-Enfield, G., Belem, P. C., Elson, G., Rosania, A., et al. (2002). Synergistic up-regulation of vascular endothelial growth factor expression in murine macrophages by adenosine A2A receptor agonists and endotoxin. Am. J. Pathol. 160, 2231–2244. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9440(10)61170-4
- Liao, Y., Takashima, S., Asano, Y., Asakura, M., Ogai, A., Shintani, Y., et al. (2003). Activation of adenosine A1 receptor attenuates cardiac hypertrophy and prevents heart failure in murine left ventricular pressure-overload model. *Circ. Res.* 93, 759–766. doi: 10.1161/01.RES.0000094744.88220.62
- Linden, J., Thai, T., Figler, H., Jin, X., and Robeva, A. S. (1999). Characterization of human A_{2B} adenosine receptors: radioligand binding, western blotting, and coupling to G(q) in human embryonic kidney 293 cells and HMC-1 mast cells. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 56, 705–713.
- Liu, X., Ostrom, R. S., and Insel, P. A. (2004). cAMP-elevating agents and adenylyl cyclase overexpression promote an antifibrotic phenotype in pulmonary fibroblasts. Am. J. Physiol. Cell. Physiol. 286, C1089–C1099.
- Liu, X., Sun, S. Q., Hassid, A., and Ostrom, R. S. (2006). cAMP inhibits transforming growth factor-beta-stimulated collagen synthesis via inhibition of extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 and Smad signaling in cardiac fibroblasts. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 70, 1992–2003. doi: 10.1124/mol.106.028951
- Lu, D., and Insel, P. A. (2013). Hydrolysis of extracellular ATP by ectonucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolase (ENTPD) establishes the set point for fibrotic activity of cardiac fibroblasts. J. Biol. Chem. 288, 19040–19049. doi: 10.1074/jbc. M113.466102
- Mei, F. C., Qiao, J., Tsygankova, O. M., Meinkoth, J. L., Quilliam, L. A., and Cheng, X. (2002). Differential signaling of cyclic AMP: opposing effects of exchange protein directly activated by cyclic AMP and cAMP-dependent protein kinase on protein kinase B activation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 11497–11504. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110856200
- Merighi, S., Benini, A., Mirandola, P., Gessi, S., Varani, K., Leung, E., et al. (2005). A3 adenosine receptor activation inhibits cell proliferation via phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt-dependent inhibition of the extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 phosphorylation in A375 human melanoma cells. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 19516–19526. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M413772200
- Mueller, E. E., Momen, A., Masse, S., Zhou, Y. Q., Liu, J., Backx, P. H., et al. (2011). Electrical remodelling precedes heart failure in an endothelin-1-induced model of cardiomyopathy. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 89, 623–633. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvq351
- Mulder, P., Richard, V., Derumeaux, G., Hogie, M., Henry, J. P., Lallemand, F., et al. (1997). Role of endogenous endothelin in chronic heart failure: effect of long-term treatment with an endothelin antagonist on survival, hemodynamics, and cardiac remodeling. *Circulation* 96, 1976–1982. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.96.6. 1976
- Nijholt, I. M., Dolga, A. M., Ostroveanu, A., Luiten, P. G., Schmidt, M., and Eisel, U. L. (2008). Neuronal AKAP150 coordinates PKA and Epac-mediated PKB/Akt phosphorylation. *Cell. Signal.* 20, 1715–1724. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig. 2008.05.001
- Nishida, M., Onohara, N., Sato, Y., Suda, R., Ogushi, M., Tanabe, S., et al. (2007). Galpha12/13-mediated up-regulation of TRPC6 negatively regulates endothelin-1-induced cardiac myofibroblast formation and collagen synthesis through nuclear factor of activated T cells activation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 23117–23128. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M611780200

- Olmedo, I., Munoz, C., Guzman, N., Catalan, M., Vivar, R., Ayala, P., et al. (2013). EPAC expression and function in cardiac fibroblasts and myofibroblasts. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 272, 414–422. doi: 10.1016/j.taap.2013. 06.022
- Pearson, G. W., Earnest, S., and Cobb, M. H. (2006). Cyclic AMP selectively uncouples mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades from activating signals. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 26, 3039–3047. doi: 10.1128/MCB.26.8.3039-3047.2006
- Pelletier, S., Dube, J., Villeneuve, A., Gobeil, F. Jr., Bernier, S. G., Battistini, B., et al. (2000). Adenosine induces cyclic-AMP formation and inhibits endothelin-1 production/secretion in guinea-pig tracheal epithelial cells through A2B adenosine receptors. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 129, 243–250. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjp. 0702999
- Porter, K. E., and Turner, N. A. (2009). Cardiac fibroblasts: at the heart of myocardial remodeling. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 123, 255–278. doi: 10.1016/j. pharmthera.2009.05.002
- Racke, K., Haag, S., Bahulayan, A., and Warnken, M. (2008). Pulmonary fibroblasts, an emerging target for anti-obstructive drugs. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch. Pharmacol.* 378, 193–201. doi: 10.1007/s00210-008-0264-0
- Sakamoto, H., Sasaki, S., Nakamura, Y., Fushimi, K., and Marumo, F. (1992). Regulation of endothelin-1 production in cultured rat mesangial cells. *Kidney Int.* 41, 350–355. doi: 10.1038/ki.1992.48
- Schulte, G., and Fredholm, B. B. (2003). Signalling from adenosine receptors to mitogen-activated protein kinases. *Cell. Signal.* 15, 813–827. doi: 10.1016/ S0898-6568(03)00058-5
- Shaikh, G., and Cronstein, B. (2016). Signaling pathways involving adenosine A2A and A2B receptors in wound healing and fibrosis. *Purinergic Signal.* 12, 191–197. doi: 10.1007/s11302-016-9498-3
- Sherbiny, F. F., Schiedel, A. C., Maass, A., and Muller, C. E. (2009). Homology modelling of the human adenosine A2B receptor based on X-ray structures of bovine rhodopsin, the beta2-adrenergic receptor and the human adenosine A2A receptor. J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des. 23, 807–828. doi: 10.1007/s10822-009-9299-7
- Swaney, J. S., Roth, D. M., Olson, E. R., Naugle, J. E., Meszaros, J. G., and Insel, P. A. (2005). Inhibition of cardiac myofibroblast formation and collagen synthesis by activation and overexpression of adenylyl cyclase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 437–442. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0408704102
- Toldo, S., Zhong, H., Mezzaroma, E., van Tassell, B. W., Kannan, H., Zeng, D., et al. (2012). GS-6201, a selective blocker of the A2B adenosine receptor, attenuates cardiac remodeling after acute myocardial infarction in the mouse. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 343, 587–595. doi: 10.1124/jpet.111.191288
- Tomasek, J. J., Gabbiani, G., Hinz, B., Chaponnier, C., and Brown, R. A. (2002). Myofibroblasts and mechano-regulation of connective tissue remodelling. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 3, 349–363. doi: 10.1038/nrm809

- Vecchio, E. A., White, P. J., and May, L. T. (2017). Targeting adenosine receptors for the treatment of cardiac fibrosis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 8:243. doi: 10.3389/fphar. 2017.00243
- Villarreal, F., Epperson, S. A., Ramirez-Sanchez, I., Yamazaki, K. G., and Brunton, L. L. (2009). Regulation of cardiac fibroblast collagen synthesis by adenosine: roles for Epac and PI3K. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 296, C1178–C1184. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00291.2008
- Villarreal, F., Zimmermann, S., Makhsudova, L., Montag, A. C., Erion, M. D., Bullough, D. A., et al. (2003). Modulation of cardiac remodeling by adenosine: in vitro and in vivo effects. *Mol. Cell Biochem.* 251, 17–26. doi: 10.1023/A: 1025401026441
- Wakeno, M., Minamino, T., Seguchi, O., Okazaki, H., Tsukamoto, O., Okada, K., et al. (2006). Long-term stimulation of adenosine A2b receptors begun after myocardial infarction prevents cardiac remodeling in rats. *Circulation* 114, 1923–1932. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.630087
- Yamamoto, K., Masuyama, T., Sakata, Y., Mano, T., Nishikawa, N., Kondo, H., et al. (2000). Roles of renin-angiotensin and endothelin systems in development of diastolic heart failure in hypertensive hearts. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 47, 274–283. doi: 10.1016/S0008-6363(00)00101-2
- Yokoyama, U., Patel, H. H., Lai, N. C., Aroonsakool, N., Roth, D. M., and Insel, P. A. (2008). The cyclic AMP effector Epac integrates pro- and antifibrotic signals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 6386–6391. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0801490105
- Zhang, H., Zhong, H., Everette, T. H., Wilson, E., Chang, R., Zeng, D., et al. (2014). Blockade of A2B adenosine receptor reduces left ventricular dysfunction and ventricular arrhythmias 1 week after myocardial infarction in the rat model. *Heart Rhythm* 11, 101–109. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2013.10.023

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer APF-S and handling Editor declared their shared affiliation, and the handling Editor states that the process nevertheless met the standards of a fair and objective review.

Copyright © 2017 Phosri, Arieyawong, Bunrukchai, Parichatikanond, Nishimura, Nishida and Mangmool. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.