

Letter to the editor

Rapid tests for HIV type discrimination in West Africa may perform differently

Bo L Hønge^{§,1,2,3}, Sanne Jespersen^{1,2}, Jens S Olesen^{1,2}, Christian Erikstrup³ and Christian Wejse^{1,2,4}

§Corresponding author: Bo L Hønge, Brendstrupgaardsvej 100, DK-8200 Aarhus, Denmark. Tel: +0045 23718870. (bohonge@gmail.com)

Received 20 September 2014; Revised 14 November 2014; Accepted 16 December 2014; Published 20 January 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Hønge BL et al; licensee International AIDS Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported (CC BY 3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In the short report by Tchounga *et al.* [1], the performance of two rapid tests (Genie II HIV-1/HIV-2 (BioRad) and SD Bioline HIV-1/2 3.0 (Standard Diagnostics)) for HIV type discrimination was evaluated. The authors confirmed 85.7% of the initial HIV-2 and 23.0% of the HIV-1/2 dually reactive patients' HIV type. We appreciate the authors' efforts to illuminate difficulties in HIV type discrimination — this is important in West Africa, where HIV-1, HIV-2 and HIV-1/2 dual infections are prevalent. Yet, we find that certain aspects of their study need clarification.

First, the authors combined results from the two rapid tests to compare initial type diagnosis with the confirmatory tests. But as presented in a study from Guinea-Conakry by Chaillet et al. [2], the two rapid tests have different HIV type discriminatory capabilities. When compared with a diagnostic algorithm, Genie II HIV-1/HIV-2 identified 99.5% of HIV-1 samples, 95.2% of HIV-2-infected samples and 100% of HIV-1/2 dually reactive samples whereas SD Bioline HIV-1/2 3.0 identified 65% HIV-1, 69% HIV-2 and 100% of HIV-1/2 dually reactive samples. In Guinea-Bissau, SD Bioline HIV-1/2 3.0 has been used for HIV type discrimination for several years [3,4]. There we have described that SD Bioline HIV-1/2 3.0 was much less accurate than the next generation Genie test, the Genie III HIV-1/HIV-2 [5]. The reason may be that the test is hard to interpret, and we have described a high inter-observer variation (agreement 92.9%) of SD Bioline HIV-1/2 3.0 results, particularly among HIV-1/2 dual reactive results (agreement 62.2%) [6]. Because of different HIV type discriminatory capabilities of the tests, it would have been interesting to see the results of each test separately, and we hope the authors will share this information.

Second, the authors were only aware of the initial test type in 68.2% of the patients. Apparently, Genie II HIV-1/HIV-2 was used for 66.8% patients in Côte d'Ivoire and 50.0% in Mali while SD Bioline HIV-1/2 3.0 was used for 63.8% in Burkino Faso. When a high proportion of tests were of unknown type, it is uncertain which tests the poor performance refers to. We therefore propose a sensitivity analysis in which it is presumed that the 31.8% unknown tests were all either Genie II HIV-1/HIV-2 or SD Bioline HIV-1/2 3.0.

Third, the authors included patients who had initial HIV type determined during 2009–2012. Due to discolouration of the test window where results are read in the SD Bioline

HIV-1/2 3.0 test, WHO recommended in January 2012 that national authorities should discontinue all affected lots of the product and any pending procurements should be cancelled [7]. Later SD Bioline HIV-1/2 3.0 was, however, reapproved by WHO [8], but invalid tests may have been used in the study and, if so, the authors should state that.

In conclusion, the paper by Tchounga *et al.* describes an important problem in West Africa that HIV discriminatory rapid tests may be inaccurate, but there are large differences between the performance of different rapid tests, and tests should preferably be evaluated separately.

Authors' affiliations

¹Bandim Health Project, INDEPTH Network, Bissau, Guinea-Bissau; ²Department of Infectious Diseases, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark; ³Department of Clinical Immunology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark; ⁴GloHAU, Center of Global Health, School of Public Health, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interest.

Authors' contributions

BLH, CE and CW took initiative to write the manuscript. BLH wrote the first draft of the manuscript. SJ and JSO contributed to writing the manuscript. All authors contributed to the critical review of the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors are all involved in research at the HIV clinic at Hospital Nacional Simão Mendes, Bissau, Guinea-Bissau. The authors are grateful for the work of Dr. Candida Medina, Dr. David da Silva Té, Dr. Faustino Gomes Correira and the rest of the team working at the clinic.

Funding: Evaluation of HIV type discriminatory tests at the HIV clinic at Hospital Nacional Simão Mendes has been supported by the Danish AIDS Foundation and the National Institute of Public Health (INASA) in Guinea-Bissau. The HIV cohort in Bissau is receiving support from the SNLS, WAPHIR and IeDEA.

References

- 1. Tchounga BK, Inwoley A, Coffie PA, Minta D, Messou E, Bado G, et al. Re-testing and misclassification of HIV-2 and HIV-1&2 dually reactive patients among the HIV-2 cohort of the West African Database to evaluate AIDS collaboration. J Int AIDS Soc. 2014;17:19064.
- 2. Chaillet P, Tayler-Smith K, Zachariah R, Duclos N, Moctar D, Beelaert G, et al. Evaluation of four rapid tests for diagnosis and differentiation of HIV-1 and HIV-2 infections in Guinea-Conakry, West Africa. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2014:104:571–6.

1

- 3. Hønge BL, Jespersen S, Nordentoft PB, Medina C, da Silva D, da Silva ZJ, et al. Loss to follow-up occurs at all stages in the diagnostic and follow-up period among HIV-infected patients in Guinea-Bissau: a 7-year retrospective cohort study. BMJ Open. 2013;3(10):e003499.
- 4. Jespersen S, Hønge BL, Oliveira I, Medina C, da Silva Té D, Correira FG, et al. Cohort profile: the Bissau HIV Cohort-a cohort of HIV-1, HIV-2 and co-infected patients. Int J Epidemiol. 2014 Oct 22. [Epub ahead of print].
- 5. Hønge BL, Bjarnason Obinah MP, Jespersen S, Medina C, Té Dda S, da Silva ZJ, et al. Performance of 3 rapid tests for discrimination between HIV-1 and HIV-2 in Guinea-Bissau, West Africa. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014;65: 87–90.
- 6. Hønge BL, Jespersen S, Obinah MPB, Medina C, Té D, da Silva ZJ, et al. Inter-observer variation of the rapid test SD Bioline 1/2 3.0 for HIV type discrimination: experiences from Guinea-Bissau. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014 Nov 19. [Epub ahead of print].
- 7. WHO Notice of Concern, January 6, 2012. [cited 2014 Oct 31]. Available from: http://www.who.int/diagnostics_laboratory/procurement/120106_final_update_info_sd_bioline_hiv_rtd.pdf
- 8. WHO Notice of Concern, May 20, 2013. [cited 2014 Oct 31]. Available from: http://www.who.int/diagnostics_laboratory/procurement/130520_4th_update_on_SD_Bioline_HIV_1_2_3.0.pdf