Supplementary Material

PRISMA-SCR Checklist

Section	Subsection	Item	PRISMA-SCR checklist item	Reported on page #
Title	Title	1	Identify the report as a scoping review.	1
Abstract	Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary that includes (as applicable): background, objectives, eligibility criteria, sources of evidence, charting methods, results, and conclusions that relate to the review questions and objectives.	2-3
Introduction	Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. Explain why the review questions/objectives lend themselves to a scoping review approach.	4-6
	Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the questions and objectives being addressed with reference to their key elements (e.g., population or participants, concepts, and context) or other relevant key elements used to conceptualize the review questions and/or objectives.	6
Methods	Protocol and registration	5	Indicate whether a review protocol exists; state if and where it can be accessed (e.g., a Web address); and if available, provide registration information, including the registration number.	N/A
	Eligibility criteria	6	Specify characteristics of the sources of evidence used as eligibility criteria (e.g., years considered, language, and publication status), and provide a rationale.	6
	Information sources	7	Describe all information sources in the search (e.g., databases with dates of coverage and contact with authors to identify additional sources), as well as the date the most recent search was executed.	7
	Search	8	Present the full electronic search strategy for at least 1 database,	7

			including any limits used, such that it	
			could be repeated.	
	Selection of	9	State the process for selecting sources	7
	sources of		of evidence (i.e., screening and	
	evidence		eligibility) included in the scoping	
			review.	
	Data charting	10	Describe the methods of charting data	7
	process		from the included sources of evidence	
			(e.g., calibrated forms or forms that	
			have been tested by the team before	
			their use, and whether data charting	
			was done independently or in	
			duplicate) and any processes for	
			obtaining and confirming data from	
	Data itama	11	investigators. List and define all variables for which	7
	Data items	11		/
			data were sought and any assumptions and simplifications made.	
	Critical	12	If done, provide a rationale for	7
	appraisal of	12	conducting a critical appraisal of	/
	individual		included sources of evidence; describe	
	sources of		the methods used and how this	
	evidence		information was used in any data	
			synthesis (if appropriate).	
	Synthesis of	13	Describe the methods of handling and	7-9
	results		summarizing the data that were	
			charted.	
Results	Selection of	14	Give numbers of sources of evidence	7-9
	sources of		screened, assessed for eligibility, and	
	evidence		included in the review, with reasons	
			for exclusions at each stage, ideally	
			using a flow diagram.	
	Characteristics	15	For each source of evidence, present	9-34
	of sources of		characteristics for which data were	
	evidence	1.0	charted and provide the citations.	9-34
	Critical	16	If done, present data on critical	9-34
	appraisal within sources		appraisal of included sources of	
	of evidence		evidence (see item 12).	
	Results of	17	For each included source of evidence,	9-34
	individual	1 /	present the relevant data that were) Ja
	sources of		charted that relate to the review	
	evidence		questions and objectives.	
	Synthesis of	18	Summarize and/or present the charting	9-34
	results		results as they relate to the review	
			questions and objectives.	
		18	results as they relate to the review	7-34

Discussion	Summary of evidence	19	Summarize the main results (including an overview of concepts, themes, and types of evidence available), link to the review questions and objectives, and consider the relevance to key groups.	35-41
	Limitations	20	Discuss the limitations of the scoping review process.	41-42
	Conclusions	21	Provide a general interpretation of the results with respect to the review questions and objectives, as well as potential implications and/or next steps.	42-45
Funding	Funding	22	Describe sources of funding for the included sources of evidence, as well as sources of funding for the scoping review. Describe the role of the funders of the scoping review.	N/A