

Identification of novel, clonally stable, somatic mutations targeting transcription factors PAX5 and NKX2-3, the epigenetic regulator LRIF1, and BRAF in a case of atypical B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia harboring a t(14;18)(q32;q21)

Bénédicte Burlet, ^{1,2,12} Selim Ramla, ^{1,3,12} Cyril Fournier, ^{1,2} Maria Jimena Abrey-Recalde, ¹ Camille Sauter, ¹ Marie-Lorraine Chrétien, ^{1,4} Cédric Rossi, ^{1,5} Yannis Duffourd, ¹ Sylviane Ragot, ² Céline Buriller, ⁶ Benjamin Tournier, ^{1,2,3} Caroline Chapusot, ³ Nathalie Nadal, ⁶ Jessica Racine, ⁴ Julien Guy, ⁴ François Bailly, ⁴ Laurent Martin, ^{1,3} Olivier Casasnovas, ^{1,5} Jean-Noël Bastie, ^{1,5} Denis Caillot, ^{1,5} Juliette Albuisson, ⁷ Cyril Broccardo, ⁸ Catherine Thieblemont, ^{9,10} Laurent Delva, ¹ Marc Maynadié, ^{1,4,11} Romain Aucagne, ^{1,2} and Mary B. Callanan^{1,2}

¹University of Burgundy—ISITE-BFC—Institut national de la santé et de la recherche médicale (Inserm) UMR1231, Faculty of Medicine, 21079 Dijon, France; ²Unit for innovation in genetics and epigenetics in oncology, ³Department of Pathology, ⁴Hematology Laboratory, ⁵Department of Clinical Hematology, ⁶Genetics Laboratory, Dijon University Hospital, 21079 Dijon, France; ⁷Oncogenetics laboratory, Centre George François Leclerc, 21079 Dijon, France; ⁸Centre de Recherches en Cancérologie de Toulouse, Inserm UMR1037, Université de Toulouse III - Paul Sabatier, 31037 Toulouse, France; ⁹Department of Hematooncology, Hôpital Saint-Louis, AP-HP, 75010 Paris, France; ¹⁰Université de Paris, NF-kappaB, Différenciation et Cancer, 75006 Paris, France; ¹¹Registre des hémopathies malignes de Côte d'Or, University of Burgundy, Faculty of Medicine, 21079 Dijon, France

Abstract Diagnosis of B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia (B-CLL) is usually straightforward, involving clinical, immunophenotypic (Matutes score), and (immuno)genetic analyses (to refine patient prognosis for treatment). CLL cases with atypical presentation (e.g., Matutes \leq 3) are also encountered, and for these diseases, biology and prognostic impact are less clear. Here we report the genomic characterization of a case of atypical B-CLL in a 70-yr-old male patient; B-CLL cells showed a Matutes score of 3, chromosomal translocation t(14;18)(q32;q21) (*BCL2/IGH*), mutated *IGHV*, deletion 17p, and mutations in *BCL2*, *NOTCH1* (subclonal), and *TP53* (subclonal). Quite strikingly, a novel *PAX5* mutation that was predicted to be loss of function was also seen. Exome sequencing identified, in addition, a potentially actionable *BRAF* mutation, together with novel somatic mutations affecting the homeobox transcription factor *NKX2-3*, known to control B-lymphocyte development and homing, and the epigenetic regulator *LRIF1*, which is implicated in chromatin compaction and gene silencing. Neither *NKX2-3* nor *LRIF1* mutations, predicted to be loss of function.

¹²Co-first authors

Cite this article as Burlet et al. 2021 Cold Spring Harb Mol Case Stud 7: a005934

Corresponding authors: romain.aucagne@u-bourgogne.fr; mary.callanan@chu-dijon.fr

© 2021 Burlet et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits reuse and redistribution, except for commercial purposes, provided that the original author and source are credited.

Ontology term: hematological neoplasm

Published by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press

doi:10.1101/mcs.a005934



presence of these mutations together with *BCL2*, *NOTCH1*, and *BRAF* mutations, with the t(14;18)(q32;q21) translocation, in the initial diagnostic sample obtained 12 yr prior. This is suggestive of a role for these novel mutations in B-CLL initiation and stable clonal evolution, including upon treatment withdrawal. This case extends the spectrum of atypical B-CLL with t(14;18)(q32;q21) and highlights the value of more global precision genomics for patient follow-up and treatment in these patients.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

CASE PRESENTATION

A male patient was diagnosed with Binet stage A B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia (B-CLL) at the age of 58. At diagnosis, the lymphocyte count was 30×10^{9} /l and the following atypical immunophenotype was found: CD5+, CD23-, CD22+ (weak), FMC7+ (weak), and immunoglobulin lambda light chain positive (weak). CD79B was negative (Matutes score of 3). CD43 was positive. Cytogenetics (conventional and fluorescence in situ hybridization [FISH]) revealed a karyotype as follows; 46,XY[18].nuc ish(ATM × 2)[200],(D12Z1 × 3)[97/200], [D13S319 × 0][10/200],(TP53 × 2)[200], thus revealing presence of trisomy 12 in 50% of interphase nuclei, deletion 13q14.3 in 5% of nuclei, and absence of ATM (11q22) or TP53 loss. The patient presented a clonal FR1 rearrangement with mutated IGHV gene (91% identity to nearest germline VH gene), at a CDR3 junction as follows: VH4-34*01-DH5-24*01-JH3*02 (Supplemental Fig. S1A,B). At that time, the patient obtained a very good partial response to six cycles of fludarabine (70 mg per day on days 1, 2, and 3, respectively, per treatment cycle) and cyclophosphamide (400 mg per day on days 1, 2, and 3, respectively, per treatment cycle) (in 2007) and remained in stable response for 8 yr when he presented with adenopathy, splenomegaly, a lymphocyte count of 47.1×10^{9} /l, and thrombocytopenia at 122×10^{7} /l (Binet stage B). Immunochemotherapy with rituximab and bendamustine was commenced (one cycle) and then stopped because the patient showed non-treatment-related acute coronary syndrome that was subsequently successfully treated by coronary artery bypass. Against medical advice, the patient refused any further treatment for CLL and was proposed monthly laboratory-based surveillance with clinical consultation every 6 mo. Three years later, at the age of 70 yr (12 yr after initial diagnosis of stage A B-CLL), blood work showed progression to Binet stage C with a lymphocytosis at 30.5×10^{9} /l, anemia (Hb 116×10^{9} /l), and thrombocytopenia at 97×10^{9} /l. Immunophenotyping, cytogenetics and CLL/lymphoma gene panel sequencing were performed for restaging. Clonal B cells presented an identical atypical immunophenotype, IGH rearrangement and IGHV somatic mutation status, to that seen at initial diagnosis 12 yr earlier. Morphology was typical. Cytogenetics revealed clonal evolution with the following karyotype: 47,XY,+12,t(14;18) (q32;q21)[17]/47,idem,dic(3;4)(p10;q10),add(17)(p11)[2]/46,XY[1].nuc ish(TP53 × 1,D17Z1 \times 2)[9/200]; thus showing acquisition of deletion 17p and detection of a t(14;18)(q32;q21) (IGH/BCL2) that was found by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to have been present in the initial diagnostic sample 12 yr prior (Supplemental Fig. S1C). Gene panel sequencing revealed mutations in BCL2, NOTCH1 (subclonal), TP53 mutation (subclonal), and unexpectedly PAX5 (Supplemental Table S1). Rescreening of the initial diagnostic DNA sample by gene panel next-generation sequencing (NGS) showed that these variants were already present at diagnosis 12 yr earlier, except for the subclonal TP53 variant (Supplemental Table S1). In view of the clinical and genetic (TP53 alteration) evidence of progression to high-risk CLL, in the setting of an atypical presentation, exploratory theranostic exome sequencing was performed. This led to discovery of a class II BRAF somatic variant (Table 1).

Table 1	. Variants	of inte	rrest by exome	sequencing	g in an atypi	cal case o	B-cell chro	nic lymph	ocytic le	ukemia	(B-CLL) wi	th t(14;1	8)(q32;q	[1]				
Gene	Chromo- some	Exon	Accession ID	mRNA change	Predicted protein effect	Variant type	COSMIC	sequenc- ing depth	Ref depth o	Alt depth fi	Variant allele requency	GERP ++ RS score ^a	CADD phred score ^b	PolyPhen- 2 HDIV score ^c	SIFT score ^d	ExAC pLI score ^e	Metadome tolerance score ^f	ClinVar signifi- cance ^g
BCL2	18q21.33	2/3	NM_000633.2 c	.17G > C	p.A6T	Missense	COSV61 374585	ц.	28 7	2	%0.0	3.61	16.37).534 (possibly damaging)	0.015 (damaging)	0.2965	0.51 (intolerant)	
BRAF	7q34	11/18	NM_004333.4 c	.1406G > C	p.G469A	Missense	COSV56 3 061424	4	24 1	0 2	9.4%	5.62	27.50		0.000 (damaging)	0.9968 (intolerant)	0.48 (intolerant)	Patho- genic
LRIF1	1p13.3	2/4	NM_018372.3 c	.793dupA	p.T265fs	Frameshift	4 A/N	6	30 1	9 3	8.8%	/	/		~	0.0906 (tolerant)	0.63 (slightly intolerant)	
NKX2-3	10q24.2	2/2	NM_145285.2 c	.547A > G	p.S183G	Missense	COSV10 6 0755073	-	33 2	8	5.9%	5.45	27.10	0.217 (benign)	0.159 (tolerated)	0.9505 (intolerant)	0.32 (intolerant)	
PAX5	9p13.2	5/10	NM_016734.2 c	.570_582 delCAGCGC CGACACC	p.S191fs	Frameshift	E A/N	9	27 9	5	5.0%	~				0.6463	0.37 (intolerant)	
See text (COSMIC Combine determir ⁵ From – ^b <20: va ⁶ Predicts ^e Describ, ⁹ https://v	for referen () Catalog ed. Annotat ed. 2.3 to 6.1 iant is in th whether a ss the prok aDome tol	ce publi Of Sor Of Sor 7. The h ne top 1 ne top 1 ne mino sability c erance ii Im.nih.g	cations on path- matic Mutations andent Depleti igher the score, % to 0.1% most e score, the high acid substitution acid substitution s based on the t jov/clinvar/	ogenicity prec in Cancer, on, (PolyPhen, on, (PolyPhen damaging va ner the proba ner the proba ner the proba ner the cord in offects prot to lerance cold	diction scores. (GERP++RS) -2) Polymorp -2) Polymorp iserved the sit intations; <30: bility for the n bility for the n intolerant. on intolerant.	Genomic hism Phenc :e. variant is ir uutation to nutation to server Met	Evolutionary Styping v2, (the top 0,1 be damagin nely intoleral aDome (http	Rate Profili SIFT) Sortin to 0.01% m g for the pro rt; <0.1: tol	ing (GER g Intolerr iost dama otein. erant. dboudum	P)—Rejec ant From ging vari c.nl/meta	ted Substit Tolerant, (I ations. adome/).	(Futions (F V/A) not	(S) score, applicabl	(CADD)				



The patient unfortunately died from complications related to his previous heart surgery before new treatment options could be started.

TECHNICAL ANALYSIS

Morphology, Immunophenotyping, and Conventional Cytogenetics

Blood examinations, immunophenotyping, and conventional cytogenetic analysis were performed according to guidelines established in French National Cooperative networks on hemato-immunology and cytogenetics, respectively (Emadali et al. 2016).

Targeted Gene Panel, Exome Sequencing, and Bioinformatics

Targeted and whole-exome capture sequencing was performed on blood-derived DNA obtained at progression, 12 yr after initial diagnosis of B-CLL. Targeted gene panel sequencing was performed, in both the initial diagnostic and progression samples, by custom capture sequencing of a consensus 51 gene panel (IDT probes and reagent kits) on an Illumina NextSeq 500 sequencer, according to manufacturer's instructions. Paired-end exome sequencing was performed by using the TWIST exome capture protocol, according to the manufacturer's protocol. Bioinformatics for alignment, somatic variant calling and annotation in targeted panel and exome sequencing were performed according to the "Best Practices Workflow" from GATK (see supplemental materials, for details). Insufficient material was available for RNA-seq. Germline DNA was not available.

VARIANT INTERPRETATION

Variants discovered in the above pipeline were curated for biological relevance and for somatic origin in cancer (COSMIC). In first line filtering, common and rare variants with annotations in dbSNP, gnomAD were removed from the patient variant list, except for exceptionally rare variants that were also listed in the COSMIC database (Tate et al. 2018). The latter were manually checked. Variants outside of exons and splice sites were removed, as were synonymous variants. Further filtering was performed using functional impact scores (see Table 1 for details and results) and manual curation based on potential clinical (therapy) and/or biological relevance (focus on known CLL variants and on B-cell transcription factors and epigenetic regulators with potential to drive atypical or "extreme" phenotypes in blood cancers) in this atypical case of B-CLL. To enter the final short list of novel variants for this work, absence from control gnomAD and ExAC databases was a prerequisite, because germline DNA was not available for further validation. These were validated by Sanger resequencing in both the sample obtained at progression (and used for exome sequencing) and the initial diagnostic sample obtained 12 yr prior.

RESULTS

After filtering as described above, we retained a total of 238 candidate somatic variants (195 genes) from the exome analysis of the DNA sample obtained at progression 12 yr after the initial diagnosis of B-CLL, in our patient (Table 1). Our objective was to first screen for variants of potential direct therapeutic value for our patient and then to look for additional known B-CLL variants. In a second step we scored for novel clonal, somatic variants that target transcription factors and/or epigenetic factors of potential relevance to B-CLL pathogenesis,

particularly in view of the atypical clinicobiological presentation of this case. Following this logic, one BRAF somatic variant was retained for its theranostic interest (p.G469A; variant allele frequency [VAF] = 29.4%) (Table 1). This variant has previously been reported in B-CLL, but not in the setting of IGH/BCL2 translocation-positive B-CLL cases. BRAF p.G469A is a known class II BRAF mutation (characterized by homodimeric signaling) (Yaeger and Corcoran 2019). Although our patient died before therapy could be started, our analysis indicates the utility of deploying clinical exome sequencing in selected B-CLL cases, as described here. No other known B-CLL somatic variants were uncovered, aside from the BCL2 variant already identified by targeted gene panel sequencing (Table 1; Supplemental Table S1). It can be noted that the subclonal mutations affecting NOTCH1 and TP53 were not detected by exome analysis, because of insufficient sequencing depth (Table 1; Supplemental Table S1). Our next step was to search for novel variants affecting B-cell transcription factors or epigenetic regulatory pathways of potential relevance to B-CLL and that could underpin the unusual features of our case. This led us to focus on three variants affecting the PAX5, NKX2-3, and LRIF1 genes, respectively. None of these variants had previously been linked to B-CLL (in either published series or COSMIC submissions) (Table 1; Fig. 1A). Their absence from control databases was an indicator that they were bona fide novel somatic variants. We thus proceeded to assessment of pathogenicity (Table 1). The PAX5 variant (initially seen in gene panel sequencing, Supplemental Table S1) consisted of a frame shift deletion [c.570_582delCAGCGC CGACACC (VAF = 25%)] in exon 5 that was predicted to lead to a premature stop codon (p.S191fs*) downstream from the paired box DNA binding domain and upstream of the nuclear localization signal (NLS) (Table 1; Fig. 1B), predictive of possible haploinsufficiency for PAX5 function in this case. Interestingly, the Ser191 amino acid is not conserved in PAX2 and PAX8, the closest paralogues of PAX5. Moreover, the NM_016734: c.570_582delCAGCGCCGACACC (p.S191fs*) is not described in COSMIC database (Tate et al. 2018).

The NKX2-3 gene underwent a single-nucleotide substitution (c.547A > G; VAF = 45.9%) leading to a missense mutation (p.S183G) in the highly conserved homeobox domain (Table 1; Fig. 1B). Although the NKX2-3 gene is not constrained for missense variation by PolyPhen22 (Adzhubei et al. 2010) and SIFT (Kumar et al. 2009) algorithms, this variant had GERP++RS (Cooper et al. 2005) and CADD (Kircher et al. 2014) scores of 5.45 and 27.1, respectively, indicative of a high degree of conservation and potential deleterious impact, respectively (Table 1). Additionally, MetaDome analysis predicted this missense mutation to be intolerant (MetaDome quantifies genetic tolerance by calculating a missense-over-synonymous ratio based on the variations reported in gnomAD database) (Table 1; Supplemental Fig. S2A; Wiel et al. 2019). We identified a second case of somatic mutation at this site (COSV100755073), reported in a patient with gastric cancer. Pathogenicity prediction by FATHMM performed at COSMIC scores this variant (identical to ours) at 0.97 (pathogenic). Thus, by FATHMM and MetaDome, the NKX2-3 gene at the nucleotide position identified in our study is constrained for missense mutation. Based on these pathogenicity assessments, the NKX2-3 p.S183G variant is predicted to be somatic and functionally deleterious, in particular for interaction of NKX2-3 with cognate binding sites. For LRIF1, a duplication (c.793dupA; VAF = 38.8%) in exon 2 was seen that was predicted to lead to a frameshift mutation and premature stop codon (p.T265fs*), possibly leading to expression of a truncated variant (potentially mislocalized because the NLS is lost) and thus haploinsufficiency for this factor, at least in the nucleus (Table 1; Fig. 1B). Alternatively, this premature stop codon might lead to degradation of the mutant transcript by nonsense-mediated decay. Although not constrained for loss of function by pLI score (0.09) (Table 1), MetaDome analysis predicts LRIF1 p.T265 to be slightly intolerant to missense variants (Supplemental Fig. S2B). Targeted NGS and Sanger sequencing in the initial



Figure 1. (*A*) Integrated Genomic Viewer (IGV) display (at progression) and Sanger validation (at diagnosis [DIAG] and progression [PROG]) of the PAX5, NKX2-3, LRIF1, and BRAF mutations identified in the patient's blood. (*B*) Representation of PAX5, NKX2-3, LRIF1, and BRAF proteins with annotated domains and amino acids numbered underneath. Plots were generated (https://www.cbioportal.org/mutation_mapper) with recurrent mutations (green, missense; blue, truncating; red, other) identified in COSMIC (hematopoietic and lymphoid tissues). Mutations seen in the patient are shown beneath the protein map. (*C*) Gene expression of *NKX2-3* and *LRIF1* in hematopoietic populations isolated from cord blood, bone marrow, and peripheral blood of healthy donors (nBM, normal bone marrow; PB, peripheral blood). RNA-seq data (GSE51984 and GSE48846) were downloaded from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO).



diagnostic sample obtained 12 yr prior revealed the presence of these PAX5, LRIF1, and NKX2-3 likely somatic variants, indicating that they occurred early in clonal evolution and that they were stably propagated thereafter. By VAF, it is likely that the PAX5 variant occurred later that the LRIF1 and NKX2-3 variants (Table 1). Data mining confirmed that RNA expression levels of NKX2-3 and LRIF1 are highest in the hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) and mature B-lymphocyte compartments, respectively (Fig. 1C).

SUMMARY

This case extends our knowledge on atypical B-CLL presenting t(14;18)(q32;q21), a relatively rare entity for which disease mechanisms and prognostic significance remain unclear (Nguyen-Khac et al. 2011; Fang et al. 2019; Pérez-Carretero et al. 2020). Indeed, only nine cases have been examined thus far by WGS/exome and RNA-seq with a major conclusion being that *BCL2* mutations are frequent and that these occur on the translocated *BCL2* allele, thus leading to overexpression on the translocated allele (Puente et al. 2015).

A further study (gene panel sequencing) of 46 B-CLL cases with *IGH* translocation revealed a lower mutation frequency and enrichment for *BCL2* and *IGLL5* mutations in *IGH*/*BCL2*-translocated compared to non-*IGH/BCL2*-rearranged cases. The latter were seen to present mutations in genes related to poor prognosis (*NOTCH1*, *SF3B1*, and *TP53*) and to have a shorter time to first treatment (Pérez-Carretero et al. 2020). The present case, however, falls outside of this dichotomy; even if *BCL2* and subclonal *NOTCH1* and *TP53* mutations were seen, unique molecular features were also present. For example, a non-V600E BRAF mutation (p.G469A) that was present 12 yr prior to progression to high-risk B-CLL, was observed. CLL with mutations in the RAS-BRAF-MAPK-ERK pathway is proposed to define a specific subgroup of patients with adverse clinical features (Giménez et al. 2018). Preclinical testing has shown sensitivity to BVD-523 (Giménez et al. 2018), a pan-ERK inhibitor that shows activity in non-V600E BRAF mutant cancers (Yaeger and Corcoran 2019), thus highlighting the clinical interest of precision genomics in atypical B-CLL, particularly with *IGH/BCL2* translocation.

Our case also displays novel mutations likely to impede B-cell development and homing (*PAX5* and *NKX2-3*, respectively) (Choukrallah and Matthias 2014; Nagel and Drexler 2019). *PAX5* encodes a key factor in B-cell differentiation with a dual role in that it enables the expression of B-cell-specific genes while repressing inappropriate expression of genes involved in the commitment to other lineages (Cobaleda et al. 2007). *PAX5* loss-of-function mutations affecting a noncoding regulatory element have been described in CLL, but not *PAX5*-coding mutations (Puente et al. 2015). As such, our case is unique and raises the possibility that *PAX5*-coding regions may be a direct target for mutation in rare entities such as *IGH/BCL2*-translocated B-CLL. Although the consequences of this variant for PAX5 locus-regulatory sequence mutations (Puente et al. 2015), may occur.

In sporadic BCP-ALL (B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia), PAX5 is the target of a wide diversity of alterations both in children and adult cases (Mullighan et al. 2007; Familiades et al. 2009). The impact of these alterations is not equivalent in disease progression, whereas loss of function by non-sense mutations or deletions is considered as a secondary event, translocation and some point mutations are described as primary events in the disease (Coyaud et al. 2010). PAX5 loss of function is known to be a predisposition to BCP-ALL (Dang et al. 2015; Duployez et al. 2020).

The presence in our case of a potentially damaging mutation in the homeobox-encoding region of the *NKX2-3* gene is of interest for disease pathogenesis in B-CLL, particularly in light of the role of NKX2-3 in B-cell homing, B-cell development (marginal zone B cells),

B-cell receptor signaling, and lymphomagenesis (at least in mouse models of overexpression) (Robles et al. 2016). High expression of *NKX2-3* in CD34⁺ HSC is also worth highlighting in our case, because this is a compartment known to harbor somatic mutations in B-CLL (Damm et al. 2014). Judging by VAF frequency (45.9%) for this variant, a concern was that it might be of germline origin. However, pathogenicity assessments tend to rule this out. We suspect that *NKX2-3* mutation is a comparatively early event in the natural history of CLL development in our case. Whether it might be present in a preneoplastic clone remains to be determined and will require sequencing and functional analyses in additional B-CLL cases.

LRIF1 (also known as HBiX1 and encoding ligand-dependent nuclear receptor-interacting factor 1), was a novel target for mutation (truncating) in our case. LRIF1 has been established as a key factor mediating interaction of the chromatin protein SMCHD1 with HP1y at trimethylated histone H3 lysine 9 (H3K9me3)-modified chromatin sites on chromosome arms, a process which is essential for X-chromosome inactivation (Nozawa et al. 2013; Brideau et al. 2015). Other studies implicate LRIF1 in the shutdown of nuclear hormone receptor signaling (Li et al. 2007), specifically retinoic acid, and in as-yet-undefined functions at centromeric repeats (Buxton et al. 2017) and at telomeres (Grolimund et al. 2013), respectively. The predicted consequence of the mutation observed in our B-CLL (premature stop codon upstream of the chromatin and SMCHD1-interacting domain and NLS) case is haploinsufficiency for LRIF1 chromatin compaction functions in the nucleus (Brideau et al. 2015). Whether a mutant LRIF1 protein is expressed or whether mutant transcripts are targeted for nonsense-mediated decay remains to be established. In favor of this hypothesis, functional analysis of a similar (germline) homozygous LRIF1 variant (p.T291*) to ours (p.T265fs*), reported in a patient with a clinical phenotype consistent with FSHD (fascioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy), has shown that homozygous mutation in this region leads to absence of the long isoform of LRIF1 protein, whereas a short isoform of unknown function and that retains the carboxy-terminal chromatin/SMCHD1interacting domain and NLS remains expressed (Hamanaka et al. 2020). The functional consequence of loss of the LRIF1 long-form expression was found to be chromatin relaxation (depletion of DNA methylation and H3K9me3) at D4Z4 repeats, which is an epigenetic hallmark of FSHD.

Regarding clonal evolution in this atypical B-CLL case, we can only speculate. We propose that the t(14;18)(g32;g21) was present in a stem line clone that also harbored at least the NKX2-3 and LRIF1 mutations (VAFs of 45.9% and 38.8%, respectively at progression and equivalent peak height at variant nucleotide positions between diagnosis and progression at 12 yr) (Fig. 1A). It is then reasonable to assume that further evolution occurred with acquisition of the BRAF variant followed by PAX5, and BCL2 mutations, possibly in the same subclone. Indeed, the PAX5 variant was detected at a VAF of 17.1% in the progression sample compared to a VAF of 8.4% in the initial diagnostic sample (Supplemental Table S1), suggestive of slow clonal expansion over time (immunophenotyping and molecular clonality analysis at the FR1 locus indicated similar B-CLL clone infiltration in both samples [Supplemental Fig. S1]). The BCL2 mutation showed similar kinetics with a VAF of 21.3% observed at progression compared to 10.7% at diagnosis, 12 yr prior. The NOTCH1 mutant subclone remained relatively stable over time, detectable at 1.1% VAF compared to 3.9% VAF between the time of diagnosis and progression, respectively. A TP53 mutant clone detected at 0.7% at the time of progression was not detectable at diagnosis, suggestive of late acquisition possibly concomitant to deletion 17(p) in a subclone.

Taken together this case extends our knowledge of atypical CLL with *BCL2/IGH* translocation and highlights the clinical and cognitive value of performing global genomics characterization of these cases early in disease evolution.



ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Database Deposition and Access

All interpreted variants have been deposited in COSMIC (submission number COSP48664).

Ethics Statement

All testing was done as part of routine clinical laboratory workup. Consent was thus implicit.

Acknowledgments

M.B.C. wishes to acknowledge institutional support.

Author Contributions

M.B.C. designed and coordinated the study and wrote the paper. R.A. coordinated genetic analyses, interpreted data, and co-wrote the paper. B.B. and S. Ram. collected and interpreted samples and data and co-wrote the paper. B.B., S.Rag., and C.Bu. performed sequencing analyses. C.F. performed bioinformatics analysis. Diagnostic findings were reviewed by M.B. C., M.-L.C., F.B., J.R., J.G., N.N., L.M., and M.M. J.A. performed cardiogenetic analysis and interpretation. Clinical findings were provided and reviewed by J.-N.B., C.R., O.C., C.T., and D.C. C.C. supervised panel sequencing and interpreted data. B.B., B.T., C.F., Y.D., R.A., and M.B.C. analyzed and interpreted exome data. M.J.A.-R., C.S., and L.D. contributed scientific discussion of novel variants in hematopoiesis and epigenetic control. C.Br. provided expert analysis on the PAX5 variant. All authors reviewed the paper. M.B.C. and R.A. were responsible for final approval of the paper.

Funding

Grants from the Bourgogne-Franche-Comté region, the FEDER programme, the Foundation ARC, and INCa (epigenetics and cancer program).

REFERENCES

- Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P, Kondrashov AS, Sunyaev SR. 2010. A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. *Nat Methods* **7:** 248–249. doi:10.1038/nmeth0410-248
- Brideau NJ, Coker H, Gendrel A-V, Siebert CA, Bezstarosti K, Demmers J, Poot RA, Nesterova TB, Brockdorff N. 2015. Independent mechanisms target SMCHD1 to trimethylated histone H3 lysine 9-modified chromatin and the inactive X chromosome. *Mol Cell Biol* **35**: 4053–4068. doi:10.1128/MCB.00432-15
- Buxton KE, Kennedy-Darling J, Shortreed MR, Zaidan NZ, Olivier M, Scalf M, Sridharan R, Smith LM. 2017. Elucidating protein–DNA interactions in human alphoid chromatin via hybridization capture and mass spectrometry. J Proteome Res 16: 3433–3442. doi:10.1021/acs.jproteome.7b00448
- Choukrallah MA, Matthias P. 2014. The interplay between chromatin and transcription factor networks during B cell development: who pulls the trigger first? *Front Immunol* **5:** 156. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2014.00156

Cobaleda C, Schebesta A, Delogu A, Busslinger M. 2007. Pax5: the guardian of B cell identity and function. Nat Immunol **8:** 463–470. doi:10.1038/ni1454

- Cooper GM, Stone EA, Asimenos G, Green ED, Batzoglou S, Sidow A. 2005. Distribution and intensity of constraint in mammalian genomic sequence. *Genome Res* **15:** 901–913. doi:10.1101/gr.3577405
- Coyaud E, Struski S, Prade N, Familiades J, Eichner R, Quelen C, Bousquet M, Mugneret F, Talmant P, Pages M-P, et al. 2010. Wide diversity of PAX5 alterations in B-ALL: a Groupe Francophone de Cytogénétique Hématologique study. Blood 115: 3089–3097. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-07-234229
- Damm F, Mylonas E, Cosson A, Yoshida K, Valle VD, Mouly E, Diop M, Scourzic L, Shiraishi Y, Chiba K, et al. 2014. Acquired initiating mutations in early hematopoietic cells of CLL patients. *Cancer Discov* 4: 1088– 1101. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-14-0104

Competing Interest Statement The authors have declared no competing interest.

Received October 21, 2020; accepted in revised form January 6, 2021.

- Dang J, Wei L, de Ridder J, Su X, Rust AG, Roberts KG, Payne-Turner D, Cheng J, Ma J, Qu C, et al. 2015. PAX5 is a tumor suppressor in mouse mutagenesis models of acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* **125**: 3609–3617. doi:10.1182/blood-2015-02-626127
- Duployez N, Jamrog LA, Fregona V, Hamelle C, Fenwarth L, Lejeune S, Helevaut N, Geffroy S, Venet AC, Marceau-Renaut A, et al. 2020. Germline PAX5 mutation predisposes to familial B acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* doi:10.1182/blood.2020005756.
- Emadali A, Hoghoughi N, Duley S, Hajmirza A, Verhoeyen E, Cosset F-L, Bertrand P, Roumier C, Roggy A, Suchaud-Martin C, et al. 2016. Haploinsufficiency for NR3C1, the gene encoding the glucocorticoid receptor, in blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasms. *Blood* **127**: 3040–3053. doi:10.1182/blood-2015-09-671040
- Familiades J, Bousquet M, Lafage-Pochitaloff M, Béné M-C, Beldjord K, De Vos J, Dastugue N, Coyaud E, Struski S, Quelen C, et al. 2009. PAX5 mutations occur frequently in adult B-cell progenitor acute lymphoblastic leukemia and PAX5 haploinsufficiency is associated with BCR-ABL1 and TCF3-PBX1 fusion genes: a GRAALL study. Leukemia 23: 1989–1998. doi:10.1038/leu.2009.135
- Fang H, Reichard KK, Rabe KG, Hanson CA, Call TG, Ding W, Kenderian SS, Muchtar E, Schwager SM, Leis JF, et al. 2019. IGH translocations in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: clinicopathologic features and clinical outcomes. Am J Hematol 94: 338–345. doi:10.1002/ajh.25385
- Giménez N, Martínez-Trillos A, Montraveta A, Lopez-Guerra M, Rosich L, Nadeu F, Valero JG, Aymerich M, Magnano L, Rozman M, et al. 2018. Mutations in RAS-BRAF-MAPK-ERK pathway define a specific subgroup of patients with adverse clinical features and provide new therapeutic options in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Haematologica* 104: haematol.2018.196931. doi:10.3324/haematol.2018.196931
- Grolimund L, Aeby E, Hamelin R, Armand F, Chiappe D, Moniatte M, Lingner J. 2013. A quantitative telomeric chromatin isolation protocol identifies different telomeric states. *Nat Commun* **4:** 2848. doi:10.1038/ncomms3848
- Hamanaka K, Šikrová D, Mitsuhashi S, Masuda H, Sekiguchi Y, Sugiyama A, Shibuya K, Lemmers RJLF, Goossens R, Ogawa M, et al. 2020. Homozygous nonsense variant in *LRIF1* associated with facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy. *Neurology* 94: e2441–e2447. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000009617
- Kircher M, Witten DM, Jain P, O'Roak BJ, Cooper GM, Shendure J. 2014. A general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of human genetic variants. Nat Genet 46: 310–315. doi:10.1038/ng.2892
- Kumar P, Henikoff S, Ng PC. 2009. Predicting the effects of coding non-synonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm. Nat Protoc 4: 1073–1081. doi:10.1038/nprot.2009.86
- Li HJ, Haque ZK, Chen A, Mendelsohn M. 2007. RIF-1, a novel nuclear receptor corepressor that associates with the nuclear matrix. *J Cell Biochem* **102**: 1021–1035. doi:10.1002/jcb.21340
- Mullighan CG, Goorha S, Radtke I, Miller CB, Coustan-Smith E, Dalton JD, Girtman K, Mathew S, Ma J, Pounds SB, et al. 2007. Genome-wide analysis of genetic alterations in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Nature* **446**: 758–764. doi:10.1038/nature05690
- Nagel S, Drexler HG. 2019. Deregulated NKL homeobox genes in B-cell lymphoma. *Cancers (Basel)* **11:** 1874. doi:10.3390/cancers11121874
- Nguyen-Khac F, Chapiro E, Lesty C, Grelier A, Luquet I, Radford-Weiss I, Lefebvre C, Fert-Ferrer S, Callet-Bauchu E, Lippert E, et al. 2011. Specific chromosomal IG translocations have different prognoses in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Am J Blood Res* **1:** 13–21.
- Nozawa R-S, Nagao K, Igami K-T, Shibata S, Shirai N, Nozaki N, Sado T, Kimura H, Obuse C. 2013. Human inactive X chromosome is compacted through a PRC2-independent SMCHD1-HBiX1 pathway. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **20**: 566–573. doi:10.1038/nsmb.2532
- Pérez-Carretero C, Hernández-Sánchez M, González T, Quijada-Álamo M, Martín-Izquierdo M, Hernández-Sánchez J, Vidal M, Coca AG, Aguilar C, Vargas-Pabón M, et al. 2020. Chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients with *IGH* translocations are characterized by a distinct genetic landscape with prognostic implications. Int J Cancer 147: 2780–2792. doi:10.1002/ijc.33235
- Puente XS, Beà S, Valdés-Mas R, Villamor N, Gutiérrez-Abril J, Martín-Subero JI, Munar M, Rubio-Pérez C, Jares P, Aymerich M, et al. 2015. Non-coding recurrent mutations in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia. *Nature* 526: 519–524. doi:10.1038/nature14666
- Robles EF, Mena-Varas M, Barrio L, Merino-Cortes SV, Balogh P, Du M-Q, Akasaka T, Parker A, Roa S, Panizo C, et al. 2016. Homeobox NKX2-3 promotes marginal-zone lymphomagenesis by activating B-cell receptor signalling and shaping lymphocyte dynamics. *Nat Commun* **7**: 11889. doi:10.1038/ncomms11889
- Tate JG, Bamford S, Jubb HC, Sondka Z, Beare DM, Bindal N, Boutselakis H, Cole CG, Creatore C, Dawson E, et al. 2018. COSMIC: the catalogue of somatic mutations in cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47: gky1015. doi:10 .1093/nar/gky1015
- Wiel L, Baakman C, Gilissen D, Veltman JA, Vriend G, Gilissen C. 2019. MetaDome: pathogenicity analysis of genetic variants through aggregation of homologous human protein domains. *Hum Mutat* 40: 1030–1038. doi:10.1002/humu.23892
- Yaeger R, Corcoran RB. 2019. Targeting alterations in the RAF–MEK pathway. Cancer Discov 9: 329–341.