OPEN ACCESS nanomaterials ISSN 2079-4991 www.mdpi.com/journal/nanomaterials

Article

# Metal Organic Framework Micro/Nanopillars of Cu(BTC)·3H<sub>2</sub>O and Zn(ADC)·DMSO

Arben Kojtari and Hai-Feng Ji \*

Department of Chemistry, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA; E-Mail: ak865@drexel.edu

\* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: hj56@drexel.edu; Tel.: +1-215-895-2562; Fax: +1-215-895-1265.

Academic Editor: Jiye Fang

Received: 3 March 2015 / Accepted: 30 March 2015 / Published: 9 April 2015

**Abstract:** In this work, we report the optical and thermal properties of  $Cu(BTC) \cdot 3H_2O$ (BTC = 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylic acid) and  $Zn(ADC) \cdot DMSO$  (ADC = 9,10anthracenedicarboxylic acid, DMSO = dimethyl sulfoxide) metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) micro/nanopillars. The morphologies of MOFs on surfaces are most in the form of micro/nanopillars that were vertically oriented on the surface. The size and morphology of the pillars depend on the evaporation time, concentration, solvent, substrate, and starting volume of solutions. The crystal structures of the nanopillars and micropillars are the same, confirmed by powder XRD.  $Zn(ADC) \cdot DMSO$  pillars have a strong blue fluorescence. Most of ADC in the pillars are in the form of monomers, which is different from ADC in the solid powder.

Keywords: nanopillars; micropillars; nanowire; metal-organic frameworks (MOFs)

#### 1. Introduction

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), a porous, three-dimensionally linked coordination network materials [1,2] have been used for storage, purification, and separations of gases [3,4] as well as for drug release/delivery [5] heterogeneous catalysis [6,7] and sensing [8]. Due to their unique inorganic–organic hybrid nature and nanometer porous structures, MOFs are rich in fundamental properties which promise revolutionary new device concepts. Most of the studies in the past focused on the rational design, synthesis, characterization, and applications of MOFs in their micro-sized cubic

crystals obtained from traditional MOF synthesis reaction. Recently, 2D coatings of MOFs and 1D micro/nanostructures, and MOF-based devices are gaining interests because they can be processed into integrated devices. The 2D coatings include polycrystalline thin films [9,10], SURMOF crystalline nanofilms [11], MOF-coated silicon nanowires [12], patterned growth [13], and single crystal arrays [14]. 1D nanowire structures of MOFs have also emerged [15], but a key barrier to wide-scale integration of functional 1D nanostructures into devices is the difficulty of reproducibly forming programmed contacts between nanowires and substrates.

Recently, we developed a surface-assisted approach for mass-production of 1D MOF micro/nanowire arrays that are vertically oriented on substrates [16]. Research in vertically oriented micro/nanowires, which are also called micro/nanopillar [17], is a rapidly growing area in the last decade because of the unique orientation of pillars and their easy-of-use for wide applications, such as photonic devices, cell growth and imaging [18], antireflection [19], light trap [20], battery [21], laser [22], photodetector [23], photovoltaics [24], light-emitting diodes [25], surface-enhance Raman spectroscopy (SERS) signal enhancing [26], drug delivery [27], sensors [28], and enhanced selective catalysis [29]. The uniqueness and advantage of the micro/nanopillar is that one end of each micro/nanopillar is mechanically connected to the surface when the micro/nanopillars are fabricated. In our preliminary work [30], we reported the fabrication procedure and single crystal structures of the first examples of the MOF micro/nanopillars on surfaces. In this work, we report the characterizations of these pillars with powder crystal diffraction pattern, thermal analysis, and fluorescence of the pillars.

## 2. Results and Discussion

#### 2.1. SEM Images of the Nanopillars

Figure 1 shows the Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) images of  $Cu(BTC) \cdot 3H_2O$  and  $Zn(ADC) \cdot DMSO$  micro/nanopillar arrays on gold surfaces of substrates. The fabrication procedure has been reported in our previous work [30].



**Figure 1.** Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) images of (**A**) dense Cu(BTC)·3H<sub>2</sub>O nanopillar array and (**B**) dense Zn(ADC)·DMSO nanopillars grown on gold substrates. Samples were prepared by mixing a saturated BTC in water or ADC in DMSO solutions along with 30 mM CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O or 30 mM Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively, in equal volume quantities.

In general, the arrays were prepared by immersing gold coated silicon substrates in solutions that are used for synthesizing MOFs. MOFs form in both solutions and on the surfaces. The morphologies of MOFs on surfaces are most in the form of micro/nanopillars that were vertically oriented on the surface. Typical lengths ranged from 10 to 40  $\mu$ m and diameters in the nanometer range. As evaporation time is extended to weeks both the diameter and the length of the micropillars increase. A similar result can be achieved by increasing the starting volume of both metal ion and ligand solutions used.

Both MOF systems efficiently produced epitaxial growth of micro/nanopillars on gold substrates. Most Cu(BTC)·3H<sub>2</sub>O pillars were oriented perpendicular to the plane of the substrate, but most of the Zn(ADC)·DMSO pillars have variable tilt angles.

#### 2.2. Effect of Solvent and Substrate on the Formation of Nanopillars

Choices of solvent and substrates for both MOF systems were crucial in controlling pillared growth on the substrates. For Cu(BTC)·3H<sub>2</sub>O, methanol was initially used as a solvent to dissolve CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O and BTC ligand in millimolar to micromolar concentrations to facilitate crystallization. However, mixing of equivalent amounts of both metal ion and ligand at millimolar concentrations yielded mostly square sheets on substrate surfaces (Figure 2A) while micromolar concentrations resulted in amorphous or mesh structures on gold and silicon substrates (Figure 2B,C). Distilled water supplanted methanol as a solvent choice for CuBTC systems, which yielded cubic structures at 10  $\mu$ M concentrations on glass or silicon (Figure 2D) and nanopillared systems on gold (Figure 1).



**Figure 2.** Formation of metal-organic frameworks (MOF) structures of Cu(BTC)·3H<sub>2</sub>O. (A) 100  $\mu$ L of both 30 mM CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O and 30 mM BTC in methanolic solutions mixed and completely dried onto gold substrate; (B) 0.3 mM of CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O and 0.3 mM BTC in methanolic solutions mixed and completely dried onto gold substrate; (C) 100  $\mu$ L of both 30 mM CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O and 30 mM BTC in methanolic solutions mixed and completely dried onto gold substrate; (C) 100  $\mu$ L of both 30 mM CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O and 30 mM BTC in methanolic solutions mixed and completely dried onto silicon substrate; (D) 100  $\mu$ L of both 10  $\mu$ M CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O and 10  $\mu$ M BTC in aqueous solutions mixed and completely dried onto glass substrate. For the ZnADC system, it was first thought to deprotonate both carboxylic acids of the ADC ligand using 1% NaOH to increase the its solubility in distilled water and mixing equimolar and equivalent amounts of both Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O and ADC solutions on gold, glass, and silicon substrates. However, precipitation immediately occurs after mixing and non-uniform particles are visible on the surface using SEM with both high and low concentration mixing, which indicates that nucleation did not initiate on the surface but rather in solution (Figure 3A,B). This method was abandoned and a co-solvent system was utilized by dissolving the ADC ligand in DMSO and Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O in distilled water. Results showed the growth of nanopillars on gold substrates (Figure 1B), but not on silicon surfaces. Control experiments showed that ADC alone did not form ordered structures in the mixed solvents.



Figure 3. (A) 200  $\mu$ L of 30 mM ADC aqueous solution with 1% NaOH and 30 mM Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O aqueous solution mixed and dried completely on gold substrate; (B) 200  $\mu$ L of 0.3 mM ADC aqueous solution with 1% NaOH and 0.3 mM Zn(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O aqueous solution mixed and dried completely on gold substrate.

## 2.3. XRD of the Micropillars and Nanopillars

Single-crystal data and refinement parameters for both Cu(BTC)·3H<sub>2</sub>O and Zn(ADC)·DMSO systems are summarized in Table 1.

<b>Condition and Parameter</b>	Cu(BTC)·3H <sub>2</sub> O	Zn(ADC) · DMSO
Empirical formula	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>9</sub> Cu	$C_{19}H_{17}SO_5Zn$
Formula weight	325.71	422.76
Temperature (K)	143(1)	143(1)
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073	0.71073
Crystal system	monoclinic	orthorhombic
Space group	$P2_1/n$	Pnma
<i>a</i> (Å)	6.7778(7)	7.3053(7)
<i>b</i> (Å)	18.8206(18)	17.5056(14)
<i>c</i> (Å)	8.5384(8)	12.6731(12)
β (°)	92.471(4)	-

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for the two metal coordination polymers.

<b>Condition and Parameter</b>	Cu(BTC)·3H <sub>2</sub> O	Zn(ADC) · DMSO
Volume (Å <sup>3)</sup>	1088.16(18)	1620.7(3)
Ζ	4	4
Density (calculated, Mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1.988	1.733
μ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	2.052	1.674
$F(0 \ 0 \ 0)$	660	868
Crystal size	$0.30\times0.22\times0.12~mm^3$	$0.25\times0.02\times0.01~mm^3$
θ range (°)	2.16 to 27.53	1.98 to 27.53
Index ranges	$-8 \le h \le 8, -24 \le k \le 24, -11 \le 1 \le 11$	$-9 \le h \le 9, -22 \le k \le 22, -15 \le l \le 16$
Reflections collected	37602	22496
Independent reflections	2488 ( $R(int) = 0.0170$ )	1929 ( $R(int) = 0.0557$ )
Completeness to $\theta = 27.53^{\circ}$	99.7%	99.9%
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.7456 and 0.6410	0.7456 and 0.6511
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$	Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$
Data/restraints/parameters	2488/0/173	1929/108/128
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.112	1.090
Final <i>R</i> indices (I > 2sigma(I))	R1 = 0.0194, w $R2 = 0.0526$	R1 = 0.0366, wR2 = 0.0894
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0196, w $R2 = 0.0526$	R1 = 0.0578, w $R2 = 0.0996$
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.442 and $-0.383 \text{ e} \cdot \text{Å}^{-3}$	0.970 and –0.660 $e^{\cdot} {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Table 1. Cont.

The single crystal XRD study revealed the crystallographic structure of the Cu(BTC)· $3H_2O$  micropillars. However, the crystals of nanopillars are too small to be determined by using single crystal XRD. In order to confirm the structure and crystallinity of the fabricated nanopillars, we performed powder XRD to compare the diffraction patterns of the micropillars and nanopillars, as well as to the calculated pattern of Cu(BTC)· $3H_2O$ . For these experiments, both micro- and nanopillars were prepared in bulk and carefully removed from the gold surfaces for the analyses. Our powder XRD pattern results show strong similarities between the two experimental conditions described in this paper (Figure 4). In addition, the diffractograms for both crystal sizes are agreeable with the calculated pattern using the structure previously published [16].



**Figure 4.** XRD diffraction patterns of Cu(BTC)·3H<sub>2</sub>O micropillars and nanopillars, compared to the calculated pattern obtained via Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (CCDC).

### 2.4. Thermal Analysis of the MOF Pillars

The thermal properties of the metal-coordination polymer nanopillars are shown in Figure 5. Three weight losses were observed in the thermalgravimetric analysis of Cu(BTC)·3H<sub>2</sub>O crystals (Figure 5A). The onset of the first weight loss occurs at 75 °C, which can be attributed to the release of two out of the three Cu<sup>2+</sup> coordinated water molecules. The loss of the third coordinated water molecule within the structure is removed with an observed weight loss at 205 °C. The observed total weight loss of all three coordinated water molecules is 17.2%, which is agreeable with the calculated percent of water weight loss of 16.5%. The 62.7% weight loss observed at the onset of 270 °C corresponds to the loss of the BTC ligand, which is close to the calculated value of 64.1%. The remaining mass can be attributed to copper metal (calculated 19.4%) and the degraded sample, with an observed percent mass of 20.1% at 800 °C. The weight losses observed correspond to a 3:1:1 ratio between the water molecules, copper metal, and the BTC ligand, respectively. These results are highly agreeable with the crystal structure of the network.

To understand thermal stability of the crystals for future gas absorption studies, thermal analysis was studied. For the Zn(ADC)·DMSO crystals, the thermalgravimetric analysis showed three weight losses (Figure 5B). The onset of the first mass loss was observed at 120 °C, which would correspond to the loss of DMSO solvent absorbed within the pores of the crystals. The mass loss associated with the loss of absorbed DMSO was found to be approximately 10.0%. The onset of the second loss in mass occurred shortly afterwards with a total mass loss of 14.9%. It is thought that is associated with the loss of DMSO coordinated to Zn<sup>2+</sup> within the coordination network. The onset of the third mass loss occurs at 310 °C, which corresponds to the loss of the ADC ligand (50.4%). The remaining 24.7% mass is mostly due to Zinc metal and degraded carbon in the sample. The calculated ratio of 1:1 for DMSO and ADC, respectively, is agreeable with the crystal structure.



**Figure 5.** Thermal gravimetric (TG) analyses of (A)  $Cu(HBTC) \cdot 3H_2O$  and (B)  $Zn(ADC) \cdot DMSO$  crystals harvested from gold surfaces after pillared growth.

#### 2.5. Fluorescence of Zn(ADC) DMSO Pillars

Zn(ADC)·DMSO pillars have a strong blue fluorescence. Figure 6 shows fluorescence spectra ( $\lambda_{ex} = 320 \text{ nm}$ ) of Zn(ADC)·DMSO micro/nanopillars, ADC powder, and a 30 mM DMSO solution of ADC. The ADC solid exhibited a far red-shift with an emission maximum at 494 nm, compared to the maxima of the ADC DMSO solution at 448 nm. The peak in the solution is from the ADC monomer. The emission profiles observed in the powder are attributed to the excimer of ADC, which is common for solid state of polyaromatic hydrocarbons [30–32]. This 46 nm red-shift from solution to the powder state is attributed to J-aggregation of the anthracene molecules due to  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking [31].

The emission peaks of Zn(ADC)·DMSO micro/nanowires are at 434 nm and 457 nm. These peaks also belong to the monomer of ADC [30]; however, with a slight blue-shift. The blue shift is more often due an increase in energy between S<sub>0</sub> and S<sub>1</sub> energy states, which likely arises from the change of the carbonyl resonance by the Zn<sup>2+</sup> ion [33]. This result is consistent with the observation in XRD, *i.e.*, no  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction between ADC molecules in ZnADC nanopillars. The aforementioned luminescence results were expected for a MOF system using a fluorescent PAH-based ligand.

Figure 7 shows a fluorescence microscope image of Zn(ADC)·DMSO micro/nanopillars. Due to the light propagation along the long direction of nano/microwires, the fluorescence of the Zn/ADC nano/microwires is significantly brighter at the end of the wires, which is the outcoupling light

resulting from highly oriented molecular arrangement of the crystal [34], suggesting the Zn/ADC nano/micropillars may be used as a waveguide material for optical applications. The MOF nanopillars prepared in this work can be made in large quantities at a low cost due to the facile self-assembling method.



**Figure 6.** Fluorescence emission spectra of Zn(ADC) DMSO and 9,10-anthracenedicarboxylic acid using solid-state and solution ( $\lambda_{ex} = 320 \text{ nm}$ ).



Figure 7. Fluorescence microscope image of Zn(ADC) DMSO micro/nanopillars.

## 3. Experimental Section

CuSO4·5H2O (Fisher Scientific), Zn(NO3)2·6H2O (Baker Chemical), 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylic acid (BTC, Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA, USA), 9,10-anthracenedicarboxylic acid (ADC, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and solvents methanol and dimethyl sulfoxide (Reagent/ACS grade, Pharmco-AAPER, Brookfield, CT, USA) were used as received. Thin-film gold substrates were prepared by e-beam evaporation (BOC Edwards, Crawley, UK). 150 mm-diameter test silicon wafers (Wacker Silicone, Munich, Germany) were coated with a 3 nm thin film of chromium, followed by a

20 nm-thick deposition of gold. Prior to use, substrates were gently rinsed with deionized water and methanol, followed by treatment with UV/ozone (Bioforce ProCleaner, Ames, IA, USA) for 20 min.

Solid state and solution fluorescence spectra were obtained by using a Hitachi F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer using an excitation wavelength ( $\lambda_{ex}$ ) of 320 nm with both the excitation and emission slit width at 5.0 nm. Fluorescence and optical microscopy images were taken using an Olympus BX51 microscope with an Olympus U-RFL-T Mercury burner. ATR infrared spectra were recorded using a Smiths IlluminatIR microscope. Thermal analyses were conducted using a thermogravimeter/differential thermal analyzer (TG/DTA 6300, SII Nanotechnology, Tokyo, Japan) using a heating rate of 10 °C·min<sup>-1</sup> with a regulated nitrogen gas flow of 50 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup>. Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) were obtained using a Hitachi Tabletop Microscope (TM-1000) and Zeiss Supra 50VP with Oxford energy-dispersive X-ray detection (EDX). Micrographs obtained using the Zeiss Supra 50VP were obtained using the SE2 Inlens. Crystallographic data was collected using a Bruker Kappa APEX II Duo CCD diffractometer. Structure solution and refinement was performed using Bruker's SHELXTL package. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained using a Rigaku Smartlab diffractometer using CuKα<sub>1</sub> radiation in Bragg-Brentano focusing and Debye-Scherrer geometry. Calculated XRD patterns were obtained using the Mercury crystal visualization software package. Crystal structure files were obtained from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (CCDC).

### 4. Conclusions

Crystalline micro/nanowires of Cu(BTC)·3H<sub>2</sub>O and Zn(ADC)·DMSO were characterized in this work. The facile approach for micro/nanopillar synthesis allows rapid pillared growth with high crystallinity of the MOF systems presented. The MOF micro/nanopillars may have controllable interconnection of the electronic and optoelectronic devices through a vertical integration process using no lithography. This process is low cost and can be high throughput, and offers significant advantages over other methods to prepare nanowire based devices.

#### Acknowledge

This work was supported from the National Science Foundation (No. DMR-1104835) and the Drexel-SARI Grant.

#### **Author Contributions**

Arben Kojtari did all the experiments and wrote the paper draft. Hai-Feng Ji advised the work and modified the MS.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Delgado-Friedrichs, O.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O.M. Taxonomy of periodic nets and the design of materials. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *9*, 1035–1043.
- Kuppler, R.J.; Timmons, D.J.; Fang, Q.-R.; Li, J.-R.; Makal, T.A.; Young, M.D.; Yuan, D.; Zhao, D.; Zhuang, W.; Zhou, H.-C. Potential applications of metal-organic frameworks. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2009, 253, 3042–3066.
- Banerjee, R.; Phan, A.; Wang, B.; Knobler, C.; Furukawa, H.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O.M. High-throughput synthesis of zeolitic imidazolate frameworks and application to CO<sub>2</sub> capture. *Science* 2008, *319*, 939–943.
- Sumida, K.; Horike, S.; Kaye, S.S.; Herm, Z.R.; Queen, W.L.; Brown, C.M.; Grandjean, F.; Long, G.J.; Dailly, A.; Long, J.R. Hydrogen storage and carbon dioxide capture in an iron-based sodalite-type metal–organic framework (Fe-BTT) discovered via high-throughput methods. *Chem. Sci.* 2010, *1*, 184–191.
- McKinlay, A.C.; Morris, R.E.; Horcajada, P.; Férey, G.; Gref, R.; Couvreur, P.; Serre, C. BioMOFs: Metal-organic frameworks for biological and medical applications. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2010, 49, 6260–6266.
- 6. Lee, J.; Farha, O.K.; Roberts, J.; Scheidt, K.; Nguyen, S.T.; Hupp, J.T. Metal–organic framework materials as catalysts. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 1450–1459.
- Robinson, D.M.; Go, Y.B.; Mui, M.; Gardner, G.; Zhang, Z.; Mastrogiovanni, D.; Garfunkel, E.; Li, J.; Greenblatt, M.; Dismukes, G.C. Photochemical water oxidation by crystalline polymorphs of manganese oxides: Structural requirements for catalysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 3494–3501.
- 8. Sumida, K.; Rogow, D.L.; Mason, J.A.; McDonald, T.M.; Bloch, E.D.; Herm, Z.R.; Bae, T.-H.; Long, J.R. Carbon dioxide capture in metal–organic frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 724–781.
- 9. Bradshaw, D.; Garai, A.; Huo, J. Metal–organic framework growth at functional interfaces: Thin films and composites for diverse applications. *J. Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 2344–2381.
- Huang, A.; Bux, H.; Steinbach, F.; Caro, J. Molecular-sieve membrane with hydrogen permselectivity: ZIF-22 in LTA topology prepared with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane as covalent linker. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2010, 49, 4958–4961.
- 11. Xu, G.; Yamada, T.; Otsubo, K.; Sakaida, S.; Kitagawa, H. Facile "modular assembly" for fast construction of a highly oriented crystalline MOF nanofilm. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 16524–16527.
- 12. Liu, N.; Yao, Y.; Cha, J.J.; McDowell, M.T.; Han, Y.; Cui, Y. Functionalization of silicon nanowire surfaces with metal–organic frameworks. *Nano Res.* **2011**, *5*, 109–116.
- 13. Zhuang, J.; Friedel, J.; Terfort, A. The oriented and patterned growth of fluorescent metal–organic frameworks onto functionalized surfaces. *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.* **2012**, *3*, 570–578.
- 14. Carbonell, C.; Imaz, I.; Maspoch, D. Single-crystal metal–organic framework arrays. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 2144–2147.
- Hu, S.-M.; Niu, H.-L.; Qiu, L.-G.; Yuan, Y.-P.; Jiang, X.; Xie, A.-J.; Shen, Y.-H.; Zhu, J.-F. Design, synthesis and characterization of a modular bridging ligand platform for bio-inspired hydrogen production. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 2012, *17*, 147–150.

- 16. Kojtari, A.; Carrol, P.; Ji, H.-F. Metal organic framework (MOF) micro/nanopillars. *Cryst. Eng. Comm.* **2014**, *16*, 2885–2888.
- 17. Chandra, D.; Yang, S. Stability of high-aspect-ratio micropillar arrays against adhesive and capillary forces. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2010**, *43*, 1080–1091.
- Xie, C.; Hanson, L.; Cui, Y.; Cui, B. Vertical nanopillars for highly localized fluorescence imaging. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2011, *108*, 3894–3899.
- 19. Li, X.; Li, J.; Chen, T.; Tay, B.K.; Wang, J.; Yu, H. Periodically aligned Si nanopillar arrays as efficient antireflection layers for solar cell applications. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **2010**, *5*, 1721–1726.
- Fan, Z.; Kapadia, R.; Leu, P.W.; Zhang, X.; Chueh, Y.-L.; Takei, K.; Yu, K.; Jamshidi, A.; Rathore, A.A.; Ruebusch, D.J.; *et al.* Ordered arrays of dual-diameter nanopillars for maximized optical absorption. *Nano Lett.* **2010**, *10*, 3823–3827.
- Ji, L.; Tan, Z.; Kuykendall, T.; An, E.J.; Fu, Y.; Battaglia, V.; Zhang, Y. Multilayer nanoassembly of Sn-nanopillar arrays sandwiched between graphene layers for high-capacity lithium storage. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2011, *4*, 3611–3616.
- 22. Lo, M.-H.; Cheng, Y.-J.; Kuo, H.-C.; Wang, S.-C. Enhanced stimulated emission from optically pumped gallium nitride nanopillars. *Appl. Phys. Express* **2011**, *4*, doi:10.1143/APEX.4.022102.
- Chen, K.J.; Hung, F.Y.; Chang, S.J.; Young, S.J. Optoelectronic characteristics of UV photodetector based on ZnO nanopillar thin films prepared by sol-gel method. *Mater. Trans.* 2009, 50, 922–925.
- Santos, A.; Formentín, P.; Pallarés, J.; Ferré-Borrull, J.; Marsal, L.F. Fabrication and characterization of high-density arrays of P3HT nanopillars on ITO/glass substrates. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* 2010, 94, 1247–1253.
- Jeon, D.-W.; Choi, W.M.; Shin, H.-J.; Yoon, S.-M.; Choi, J.-Y.; Jang, L.-W.; Lee, I.-H. Nanopillar InGaN/GaN light emitting diodes integrated with homogeneous multilayer graphene electrodes. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2011, *21*, 17688–17692.
- Caldwell, J.D.; Glembocki, O.; Bezares, F.J.; Bassim, N.D.; Rendell, R.W.; Feygelson, M.; Ukaegbu, M.; Kasica, R.; Shirey, L.; Hosten, C.; *et al.* Plasmonic nanopillar arrays for large-area, high-enhancement surface-enhanced raman scattering sensors. *ACS Nano* 2011, 4046–4055.
- Shalek, A.K.; Robinson, J.T.; Karp, E.S.; Lee, J.S.; Ahn, D.-R.; Yoon, M.-H.; Sutton, A.; Jorgolli, M.; Gertner, R.S.; Gujral, T.S.; *et al.* Vertical silicon nanowires as a universal platform for delivering biomolecules into living cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 2010, *107*, 1870–1875.
- Shin, C.; Shin, W.; Hong, H.-G. Electrochemical fabrication and electrocatalytic characteristics studies of gold nanopillar array electrode (AuNPE) for development of a novel electrochemical sensor. *Electrochim. Acta* 2007, *53*, 720–728.
- 29. Zaera, F. Nanostructured materials for applications in heterogeneous catalysis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *42*, 2746–2762.
- Mizobe, Y.; Miyata, M.; Hisaki, I.; Hasegawa, Y.; Tohnai, N. Anomalous anthracene arrangement and rare excimer emission in the solid state: Transcription and translation of molecular information. *Org. Lett.* 2006, *8*, 4295–4298.
- Dong, Y.; Xu, B.; Zhang, J.; Tan, X.; Wang, L.; Chen, J.; Lv, H.; Wen, S.; Li, B.; Ye, L.; *et al.* Piezochromic luminescence based on the molecular aggregation of 9,10-bis((E)-2-(pyrid-2yl)vinyl)anthracene. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2012, *51*, 10782–10785.

- Abdel-Mottaleb, M.S.A.; Galal, H.R.; Dessouky, A.F.M.; El-Naggar, M.; Mekkawi, D.; Ali, S.S.; Attya, G.M. Fluorescence and photostability studies of anthracene-9-carboxylic acid in different media. *Int. J. Photoenergy* 2000, *2*, 47–53.
- Kim, H.S.; Bae, C.O.; Kwon, J.Y.; Kim, S.K.; Choi, M.W.; Yoon, J.Y. Study on the fluorescence of 1,8-anthracenedicarboxylic acid and 1,8-anthracenediformamide. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* 2001, *22*, 929–931.
- 34. Balzer, F.; Bordo, V.G.; Simonsen, A.C.; Rubahn, H.-G. Isolated hexaphenyl nanofibers as optical waveguides. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2003**, *82*, 10–12.

 $\bigcirc$  2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).