The transcription factor GATA1 regulates NBEAL2 expression through a long-distance enhancer

Anouck Wijgaerts,¹ Christine Wittevrongel,¹ Chantal Thys,¹ Timothy Devos,² Kathelijne Peerlinck,¹ Marloes R. Tijssen,^{3,4} Chris Van Geet^{1,5} and Kathleen Freson¹

¹Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, Center for Molecular and Vascular Biology, KULeuven, Belgium; ²Department of Haematology, University Hospitals Leuven, Belgium; ³NHS Blood and Transplant, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, UK; ⁴Department of Haematology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, UK and ⁵Department of Pediatrics, University Hospitals Leuven, Belgium

ABSTRACT

ray platelet syndrome is named after the gray appearance of _ platelets due to the absence of α -granules. It is caused by recessive mutations in *NBEAL2*, resulting in macrothrombocytopenia and myelofibrosis. Though using the term gray platelets for GATA1 deficiency has been debated, a reduced number of α -granules has been described for macrothrombocytopenia due to GATA1 mutations. We compared platelet size and number of α -granules for two NBEAL2 and two GATA1-deficient patients and found reduced numbers of α -granules for all, with the defect being more pronounced for NBEAL2 deficiency. We further hypothesized that the granule defect for GATA1 is due to a defective control of NBEAL2 expression. Remarkably, platelets from two patients, and Gata1-deficient mice, expressed almost no NBEAL2. The differentiation of GATA1 patient-derived CD34⁺ stem cells to megakaryocytes showed defective proplatelet and α -granule formation with strongly reduced NBEAL2 protein and ribonucleic acid expression. Chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing revealed 5 GATA binding sites in a regulatory region 31 kb upstream of NBEAL2 covered by a H3K4Me1 mark indicative of an enhancer locus. Luciferase reporter constructs containing this region confirmed its enhancer activity in K562 cells, and mutagenesis of the GATA1 binding sites resulted in significantly reduced enhancer activity. Moreover, DNA binding studies showed that GATA1 and GATA2 physically interact with this enhancer region. GATA1 depletion using small interfering ribonucleic acid in K562 cells also resulted in reduced NBEAL2 expression. In conclusion, we herein show a long-distance regulatory region with GATA1 binding sites as being a strong enhancer for NBEAL2 expression.

Introduction

Platelets play a critical role in hemostasis and contain secretory granules that are essential to maintain their normal function. Of the three types of granules (dense, α and lysosomes), α -granules are the most abundant type that store essential proteins for platelet adhesion and blood coagulation.¹ Platelets are shed into the blood stream after the formation of long cytoplasmic extensions from differentiated megakaryocytes (MKs), a process called proplatelet formation. The α -granules are formed in the early MKs and are actively transported along microtubules from the MK cell body towards the proplatelets.¹ In circulating platelets, these α -granules mature further and proteins will be actively or passively taken up from the plasma by receptor-mediated endocytosis, in addition to the proteins loaded in these granules during their biosynthesis in the MK.² Recently, it has become clear that Haematologica 2017 Volume 102(4):695-706

Correspondence:

kathleen.freson@med.kuleuven.be

Received: July 16, 2016. Accepted: January 10, 2017. Pre-published: January 12, 2017.

doi:10.3324/haematol.2016.152777

Check the online version for the most updated information on this article, online supplements, and information on authorship & disclosures: www.haematologica.org/content/102/4/695

©2017 Ferrata Storti Foundation

Material published in Haematologica is covered by copyright. All rights are reserved to the Ferrata Storti Foundation. Use of published material is allowed under the following terms and conditions:

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode. Copies of published material are allowed for personal or internal use. Sharing published material for non-commercial purposes is subject to the following conditions:

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode, sect. 3. Reproducing and sharing published material for commercial purposes is not allowed without permission in writing from the publisher.





ASSOCIATION

Ferrata Storti

Foundation

 α -granule proteins play important roles in nonhemostatic events, such as wound healing, cancer, inflammation and innate immunity.³

Gray platelet syndrome (GPS, MIM139090) is a rare inherited platelet disorder characterized by mild to moderate bleeding, macrothrombocytopenia and markedly reduced or absent α -granules that typically results in a grayish appearance of platelets under a light microscope.⁴ Using three independent next-generation sequencing approaches, recessive mutations in NBEAL2 were found to cause GPS.⁵⁻⁷ NBEAL2 encodes a 2.754 amino acid polypeptide, neurobeachin-like-2, that contains BEACH (named after Beige and Chediak-Higashi), ARM (Armadillo), Con A-like lectin (Concanavalin A-like lectin domain), PH (Pleckstrin Homology-domain like) and WD40 domains. The exact role of BEACH domain-containing proteins remains largely unknown, but they are generally large proteins that are able to control diverse cellular mechanisms such as vesicular transport, apoptosis, membrane dynamics and receptor signaling.8 Interestingly, LYST and NBEA, two other BEACH domain-containing proteins, have been shown to be implicated in platelet dense granule defects.⁹⁻¹⁰ Regarding these proteins, their exact contribution in granule formation and trafficking during MK and platelet formation also remains unknown.

In addition, the term GPS has been used for macrothrombocytopenia patients with defects in X-linked *GATA1*¹¹ (MIM305371), or having an autosomal dominant loss-of-function variant in *GFI1B*¹² (MIM187900). Both genes encode for transcription factors that regulate megakaryopoiesis, and defects result in enlarged platelets with fewer (though not absent) α -granules, as studies in additional GATA1 and GFI1B patients illustrated.¹³⁻¹⁸ Based

on a recent detailed comparison of clinical and laboratory data for NBEAL2, GATA1 and GFI1B patients,³ it was suggested not to use the term GPS for other macrothrombocy-topenia disorders that comprise abnormal α -granule numbers, except for patients with NBEAL2 defects. Important similarities indeed do exist (e.g., large platelets with paucity of α -granules, myelofibrosis, platelet dysfunction) but also important differences, such as red cell abnormalities that are only described for GATA1 and GFI1B defects and the presence of CD34-positive platelets for GFI1B defects.

In the study herein, we hypothesized that the α -granule defect found for GATA1 macrothrombocytopenia might be due to reduced NBEAL2 expression. We compared platelet morphology and NBEAL2 protein expression using samples from two NBEAL2 and two GATA1-deficient macrothrombocytopenia patients. In vitro megakaryopoiesis from hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) from the GATA1 defective patients was studied with a focus on proplatelet formation, α -granule formation and NBEAL2 expression. Finally, The Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) and GATA1/GATA2 Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) sequencing data were used to predict putative enhancer elements upstream of the NBEAL2 gene. A long-distance (31 kb upstream) region was identified as a potential enhancer that was characterized using reporter and DNA-binding assays.

Methods

Patient studies

Table 1 contains clinical and laboratory data for two previously described GATA1-^{13,14} and two NBEAL2-deficient patients. Genetic screening of *NBEAL2* was performed using a high-

Table 1. Comparison of clinical and laboratory data obtained for patients with defects in NBEAL2 and GATA1.

	NBEAL2 MIM13909		GATA1 MIM300367/314050	
Mode of inheritance	Autosomal recessive		X-linked	
Genetic defect	Homozygous c.7440G>A	Homozygous c.5721-1G>C	c.653A>G	c.652G>T
Protein defect	W2480X	Predicted M1908X	D218G	D218Y
Dyserythropoiesis	No		Yes	Yes + anemia
Myelofibrosis	Yes	No	No	Yes
Macrothrombocytopenia	Yes		Mild TP	Severe TP
α -granule defect as determined by EM	Yes		Yes	
Megakaryocytic emperipolesis	Yes	ND	Yes	
Plt count, x 10 ⁹ /L*	40	55	53	8
MPV, fL	> Max value	> Max value	> Max value	> Max value
Bleeding severity	Mild to moderate		Mild	Severe
Defective platelet aggregation	ND	Performed at low plt count in PRP: Impaired ADP, collagen, arachidonic acid,	Performed at low plt count in PRP: Impaired collagen, and ristocetin- induced	ND
		and ristocetin-induced plt	plt aggregation	

Normal platelet count should be between 150-400 x 10°/L. ND: not determined; EM: electron microscopy; Plt: platelet; MPV: mean platelet volume; PRP: platelet rich plasma; ADP: adenosine diphosphate; TP: thrombocytopenia.

throughput sequencing test.¹⁹ Platelet testing and electron microscopy (EM) analysis was performed as described.¹³ The platelet surface area (μ m²) and number of α -granules/ μ m² were measured with ImageJ software. Patients, or their legal represen-

tatives, signed informed consent to participate in the enhanced clinical and laboratory phenotyping studies. The Ethics Committee of the University Hospitals Leuven approved the study (ref. ML3580).





Megakaryocyte cultures, proplatelet formation and immunohistochemistry

CD34⁺ HSCs were isolated from the peripheral blood of patients with the GATA1 D218Y and D218G variant and unrelated healthy controls. The MK differentiation assays and immunostainings are described in the Online Supplementary Methods. Proplatelet-forming MKs were analyzed as described.^{20,21}

Immunoblot analysis

Proteins were isolated from platelets and in vitro differentiated MKs as described.¹³ Platelet extracts were also obtained from C57BL/6/Gata1-deficient mice ($\Delta neo\Delta HS$), which have been described previously (Dr. Paresh Vyas, Department of Haematology and MRC Molecular Haematology Unit, Weatherall

Institute of Molecular Medicine).²² Blots were performed as described in the Online Supplementary Methods.

Luciferase reporter assays to measure NBEAL2 enhancer activity

The NBEAL2 enhancer region is located about 31 kb upstream of the NBEAL2 gene and within an intron of the CCDC12 gene (GRCh37/hg19) and was cloned as two fragments, these being Chr3:46988756-46989716 (comprising 3 potential GATA sites BS-1-2-3) and Chr3:46989733-46990332 (comprising 2 potential GATA sites BS-4-5) in the pGL3 promotor (enhancer-less) vector (Promega). Details of cloning, mutagenesis, transfection of K562 cells and luciferase measurements are described in the Online Supplementary Methods.²³



Figure 2. Effect of GATA1 D218Y and D218G variants on megakaryopoiesis. MKs were differentiated from peripheral blood-derived CD34* HSCs from GATA1 patients D218Y, D218G and unrelated healthy controls. The MK differentiation assay was performed twice with different controls. (A) (Top) Proplatelet formation was quantified at day 11 or day 13 of differentiation in duplicate liquid cultures for the control and the GATA1 patients. ****P<0.0001, t-test. (Bottom) Proplatelet-forming MKs were present in the control and to a lesser extent in the GATA1 D218G (arrow). Though large MKs were present for GATA1 patient D218Y, proplatelet formation was not seen. (B) PCR data performed on RNA collected at day 6, and day 11 or 13, respectively, from the D218Y and D218G defective MKs. Expression levels of NBEAL2 and ITGB3 were normalized to GADPH expression. ****P<0.0001. t-test. (C) Immunoblot analysis for NBEAL2 with a rabbit polyclonal antibody and integrin β3 were performed (left) using total protein MK lysates at days 8 and 11 for the control and day 11 for GATA1 D218Y (right) using total protein MK lysates at day 13 for the control and GATA1 D218G. Uncropped blots are shown in the Online Supplementary Figure S3. (D, E) (Top) Representative immunofluorescence confocal microscopy images of differentiated MKs at days 8 (D) and 11 (E) of culture. The MKs were stained for VWF (green) and actin (red). The control shows VWF present inside the α-granules at day 8 with a further increase of VWF at day 11. The MKs of GATA1 patient D218Y were only weakly positive for VWF both at day 8 and 11 (Scale bar =10µm). (Bottom) Quantification of VWF staining showed a significant reduction of VWF in GATA1 D218Y patient at days 8 (D) and 11 (E) of culture in comparison to the control. Values are means ± SEM as quantified for 10 randomly selected images. Panel D: day 8, *P=0.0465, t-test; panel E: *P=0.0322, t-test. RNA: ribonucleic acid; GADPH: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; VWF: von

Quantitative (q)RT-PCR to quantify GATA1, GATA2, NBEAL2, and ITGB3 expression

Total ribonucleic acid (RNA) from K562 cells was extracted with TRIzol (Invitrogen). Expression of *GATA1*, *GATA2*, *NBEAL2* and *ITGB3* were measured with Sybr Green quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) using the ABI 7000 real-time PCR machine (Life Technologies). Expression was quantified via the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method in arbitrary units²⁴ (see the *Online Supplementary Methods* for primer details).

DNA binding assay

Biotinylated PCR fragments for BS-1-2-3 and BS-4-5 were bound to Superparamagnetic streptavidin beads (Hyglos) and added to nuclear extracts (NE) isolated from HEK293 cells transfected with GATA1 or GATA2. The cloning, binding reaction, and blots are described in the *Online Supplementary Methods*.

GATA1 knockdown in K562 cells using siRNA

GATA1 depletion in K562 cells was obtained after transfection with SMARTpool GATA1 small interfering RNA (siRNA)²⁵ or negative control SMARTpool siRNA (Dharmacon) (see *Online Supplementary Methods*).

Glutathione S-Transferase-pull down assay with GATA1-NF

Glutathione S-Transferase (GST)-coupled GATA1-NF or GST-

only bound to glutathione sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) was prepared as described.¹³ Immunoprecipitation with GFI1B²⁶ was performed as described in the *Online Supplementary Methods*.

Results

Clinical description and platelet morphology studies in macrothrombocytopenia patients with *NBEAL2* and *GATA1* variants

Table 1 describes clinical and laboratory phenotypes for two GPS patients with recessive NBEAL2 variants and two boys with GATA1 variants. One GPS patient is homozygous for W2480X, that is predicted to result in a non-functional protein without WD40 domain (Figure 1A). The other GPS patient has a homozygous splice variant c.5721-1G>C (Figure 1A), predicted to result in an early stop codon M1908X that would delete the PH, BEACH and WD40 domains. Indeed, a similar splice variant, c.5720+1G>A, in another GPS patient has been demonstrated to result in M1908X, based on expression studies.²⁷ The macrothrombocytopenia patients with GATA1 variants D218G¹³ and D218Y⁶ were previously described (Figure 1A). Both mutations are located in the N-terminal finger domain, which is required for association with the coactivator friend-of-GATA1 (FOG1) that contributes to



Figure 3. NBEAL2 expression in GATA1 and NBEAL2-deficient platelets. (A) Immunoblot analysis for NBEAL2 was performed with a rabbit polyclonal NBEAL2 antibody (epitope against amino acids 1865-1939) for platelet lysates from patients with NBEAL2 M1908X, NBEAL2 W2480X, GATA1 D218Y and GATA1 D218G. Integrin β3 was used as loading control. (B) Replicated immunoblots performed with a rabbit monoclonal NBEAL2 antibody (ab187162; epitope against amino acids 1.100) for platelet lysates from patients with NBEAL2 M2480X, Integrin β3 was used as loading control. (B) Replicated immunoblots performed with a rabbit monoclonal NBEAL2 antibody (ab187162; epitope against amino acids 1.100) for platelet lysates from patients with NBEAL2 W2480X and GATA1 D218Y defects. Integrin β3 was used as loading control. (C) Quantification of NBEAL2 expression. ***P*=0.0077, ***P*=0.0006, *t*-test. (D, E) Expression of NBEAL2 in platelets from hemizygous male (D) and homozygous female (E) Gata1-deficient mice in comparison to sex-matched wild-type mice. For each lane platelets were pooled from 10 mice for Gata1 and 5 mice for control. Uncropped blots are shown in the *Online Supplementary Figure* S4. WT: wild-type.

the stability of DNA binding to a palindromic GATA recognition sequence.²⁸ GATA1 D218Y resulted in a severe clinical phenotype with deep macrothrombocytopenia, while patients with GATA1 D218G only have mild macrothrombocytopenia (Table 1). All patients have macrothrombocytopenia, and blood smears and EM studies of their platelets clearly show a gray appearance of large platelets due to a paucity of α -granules. Myelofibrosis was present in the patient with NBEAL2 W2840X and the patient with GATA1 D218Y. Bone marrow studies observed the presence of megakaryocyte emperipolesis in all patients except the patient with the

NBEAL2 M1908X variant. The platelet aggregation defect for the patient with the NBEAL2 M1908X variant was similar to the defect found for the patient with GATA1 D218G. The major difference between these cases is that the GPS patients had normal red blood cells, while dyserythropoiesis was clearly present in GATA1 D218G¹³ and severe anemia with dyserythropoiesis in GATA1 D218Y.¹⁴

Detailed morphological examination of platelets by EM for all patients was performed and compared. All had enlarged platelets that are more round instead of discoid with fewer α -granules and a pronounced open canalicular system forming large vacuoles in some platelets (Figure



binding peaks discovered by ChIP sequencing. (A) Part of the CCDC12 gene is shown upstream of the NBEAL2 gene using the UCSC genome browser (chr3:46975201-47051622 using GRCh37/hg19). The upper layer shows the position of two NBEAL2 enhancer peaks within an intron of the CCDC12 gene. H3K4Me1, H3K4Me3 and H3K27Ac profiles for K562 (blue) and NHEK (purple) cell lines are displayed (data produced by Bernstein lab at Broad Institute as part of the ENCODE database).34 DNase Hypersensitive 1 regions are displayed as gray to black boxes (from less to more open chromatin conformation). Transcription factor ChIP sequencing data are shown for GATA1 and GATA2.³⁶ A gray box encloses each peak cluster of transcription factors occupancy (with darkness of the box being proportional to the maximum signal strength). The ChIA-PET track shows the chromatin interaction in K562 cells determined by RNA polymerase II. Physical interaction is shown between the NBEAL2 enhancer and its promotor (ENCODE/GIS-Ruan). Conservation across vertebrates is displayed as blue histograms at the bottom of the figure using the Vertebrate Multiz Alignment & Conservation (100 Species) UCSC track. (B) ChIP sequencing data (chr3:46988420-47051185) of primary human MKs are shown in density plots and displayed in the UCSC genome browser beneath the tracks for gene structure. H3K4me3 and H3K9Ac are visualized in the upper tracks (blue). GATA1 (purple) and GATA2 (pink) binding peaks are visualized in the bottom tracks. The NBEAL2 enhancer is located (chr3:46988970-46989880). UCSC: University of California, Santa Cruz; DNase: deoxyribonuclease; Vent. Cons; 100 vertebrates conservation.

1B). Quantification of the platelet size for three unrelated controls, two GATA1 and two NBEAL2 patients, showed significantly larger platelets in both patient groups. There was no difference between the patient groups (Figure 1C). The number of α -granules corrected for platelet size showed a pronounced reduction for GATA1 patients and even more for the NBEAL2 patients, that were now significantly different from GATA1 patients (Figure 1D).

Abnormal NBEAL2 expression in megakaryocytes from GATA1 patients

Recent *in vitro* megakaryopoiesis studies using HSCs from GPS patients with *NBEAL2* mutations showed normal MK differentiation with defective proplatelet formation and reduced α -granule proteins such as von Willebrand factor (VWF), thrombospondin and P-

selectin.²⁹ Peripheral blood-derived HSCs from GATA1 patients D218Y and D218G were differentiated to MK to quantify proplatelet formation during two independent culture experiments with each compared to another unrelated control. Similar as for NBEAL2 deficiency, large MKs were present, but the MKs for both patients showed a significantly decreased formation of proplatelets that was more pronounced for D218Y (Figure 2A). Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (QRT-PCR) was performed using RNA from MKs at differentiation days 6 and 11 (for D218Y) or days 6 and 13 (for D218G) (Figure 2B). NBEAL2 and ITGB3 were significantly decreased throughout the MK differentiation for the GATA1 patients, but again the defect was more pronounced for the D218Y patient. We performed immunoblot analysis using total protein lysates from differentiated MKs to quantify





NBEAL2 and integrin β 3 expression. Remarkably, NBEAL2 expression was absent in GATA1 D218Y compared to control MKs at differentiation day 11 (Figure 2C). As integrin β 3 levels were also lower for GATA1 D218Y, we also loaded day 8 differentiated MKs from the control, and these cells expressed comparable integrin β 3 levels while NBEAL2 was clearly detectable. NBEAL2 expression was also studied at a later time point in MK differentiation (day 13) for GATA D218G, but its expression remained low compared to control MKs (Figure 2C). To exclude NBEAL2 degradation in GATA1 mutant MKs, the expression of filamin (FLN), a large protein sensitive to calpain-mediated cleavage, was found to be comparable to control MKs (*Online Supplementary Figure S1*).

MKs were stained at days 8 and 11 for VWF and actin to visualize α -granule formation (Figure 2D,E). During these final stages of megakaryopoiesis, VWF staining was significantly reduced for GATA1 D218Y MKs. These data could indicate that part of the similar MK and platelet phenotypes between NBEAL2 and GATA1 deficiency are due to the fact that GATA1 is a transcription factor that drives *NBEAL2* expression.

Strongly reduced NBEAL2 expression in GATA1-deficient platelets

Immunoblot analyses were performed to compare NBEAL2 protein expression levels in platelets of patients with variants in GATA1 or NBEAL2 (Figure 3). Complete protein extracts of control platelets showed a large protein that corresponds to full-length NBEAL2 (302 kDa) (Figure 3A,B). Platelets of the patient with the homozygous splice mutation that would result in the predicted M1908X showed a strongly reduced, but not absent expression of full-length NBEAL2. There was also the presence of a band with a lower molecular weight of about 150 kDa, that could represent a cleaved truncated product, though we did not confirm this by mass spectrometry (Figure 3A,B). The homozygous W2480X NBEAL2 variant is predicted to result in a truncated protein of 27 kDa shorter than wild-type NBEAL2, a small difference that is probably not detectable by gel electrophoresis of such a large protein. However, platelets from this patient clearly expressed very low NBEAL2 levels (Figure 3A,B). It was surprising to observe that platelets from the patients with the D218Y or D218G GATA1 variants expressed no *NBEAL2* (Figure 3A,B). An antibody for integrin β 3 was used as loading control, as we previously found that integrin β 3 expression was relatively normal for GATA1-deficient platelets using flow cytometry.¹³ NBEAL2 blots were performed with a rabbit polyclonal (Figure 3A; epitope against amino acids 1865-1939) and a rabbit monoclonal (Figure 3B; epitope against amino acids 1-100) NBEAL2 antibody with comparable results. Only for the patients with NBEAL2 W2480X and GATA1 D218Y was sufficient platelet extract available to triplicate the blots and quantify expression (Figure 3C). Quantification showed a marked decrease in NBEAL2 expression for platelets with the NBEAL2 W2480X variant, while NBEAL2 was not detected in GATA1 D218Y deficient platelets. We also performed immunoblot analysis using total platelet extracts from hemizygous male and homozygous female Gata1-deficient mice and compared them to wild-type mice (Figure 3D,E). Equal amounts of integrin β 3 positive platelet extracts were loaded. NBEAL2 was again strongly reduced for the Gata1-deficient mice that were previously

described by others to display macrothrombocytopenia, defective megakaryopoiesis and reduced α -granule proteins in MKs.^{22,30,31}

Female carriers of GATA1 variants are asymptomatic, and our earlier studies revealed skewed X inactivation and no mutant GATA1 RNA in platelets from the D218Y carrier, while the D218G carrier had mild skewing of X inactivation with the presence of the D218G mutation in platelet RNA.¹⁴ We also performed immunoblot analysis to compare NBEAL2 protein expression in platelets of female carriers of GATA1 D218G and D218Y. Interestingly, no difference in NBEAL2 expression was found for D218Y, while D218G carriers had slightly lower levels of NBEAL2 (Online Supplementary Figure S2). This decrease in NBEAL2 expression is not enough to cause a phenotype as these carriers have a normal platelet count and volume.^{13,14}To support the absence of protein degradation in these samples, blots were performed for FLN and GPIb α (Online Supplementary Figure S2).

Identification of a long-distance NBEAL2 enhancer region

GATA1 is an important transcription factor that binds the sequence WGATAR in regulatory elements of many genes important for erythropoiesis and megakaryopoiesis.³² Our data clearly showed that GATA1 could regulate NBEAL2 expression and therefore, we analyzed the NBEAL2 chromosomal region using ENCODE data.³³ Figure 4A shows potential GATA1 and GATA2 binding sites (BS) that are present in the chromosomal NBEAL2 region. Interestingly, some of these binding sites are clustered in a region that is located 31 kb upstream of the NBEAL2 gene, within an intronic region of the nearby gene CCDC12 (Chr3:46988970-46989880). This region is covered by a H3K4Me1 histone mark that is typically associated with an active enhancer. We only subtracted ChIP sequencing data from ENCODE that were determined for the myelogenous leukemia cell line K562 (Figure 4A, in blue), known to express GATA1 and GATA2³⁴ and epidermal keratinocytes (Normal Human Epidermal Keratinocytes (NHEK), Figure 4A, in purple), included as non-blood cells. A H3K4Me3 mark covers the NBEAL2 promotor region that contains multiple GATA1 and GATA2 binding sites. The layered H3K27Ac track shows levels of enrichment of the H3K27Ac histone mark, which is often found near active regulatory elements, and this peak overlaps the potential NBEAL2 enhancer. Chromatin interaction analysis with paired-end tag sequencing (or ChIA-PET) data for K562 cells further shows a physical interaction between this enhancer region and the NBEAL2 promotor (Figure 4A). The final lane displays the 100 vertebrates basewise conservation by PhyloP.

In addition, data were subtracted from a recent study that determined the genome-wide binding sites for the 5 key hematopoietic transcription factors, GATA1, GATA2, RUNX1, FLI1, and TAL1/SCL, in primary human MKs.³⁵ Interestingly, the NBEAL2 enhancer region is covered with ChIP sequencing peaks that are specific for GATA1 and GATA2 (Figure 4B). The exact location of these 2 peaks was also marked in Figure 4A at the top panel. The first binding peak contains 3 potential GATA binding sites of which 2 have the reverted sequence (referred to as BS-1, BS-2, BS-3) while the 2nd peak contains 2 potential GATA binding sites (referred to as BS-4 and BS-5) (Figure 5A).

NBEAL2 enhancer activity using a luciferase reporter assay

The two enhancer peaks were cloned as overlapping fragments (BS-1-2-3 and BS-4-5) in the pGL3 promotor vector to obtain luciferase reporter constructs (Figure 5A). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) mutagenesis was used to obtain the following mutant luciferase reporter constructs: BS-*M1*, BS-*M2*, BS-*M3* and BS-*M4-M5* that lack the GATA binding site and will not bind to GATA1/2 (Figure 5A). We compared the enhancer activity of the different luciferase constructs in K562 that express high *GATA1* and lower *GATA2* levels (Figure 5B). Both enhancer fragments BS-1-2-3 and BS-4-5 were able to significantly increase luciferase expression and mutagenesis of only GATA BS-2, and BS-3 blocked this enhancing activity. The mutants BS-

1, BS-4 and BS-5 did not significantly change the enhancing activity. The combination of BS-1-2-3 and BS-4-5 showed a cumulative effect on the enhancer activity and the combination of BS-1-M2-3 and BS-M4-M5 blocked this enhancer activity (Figure 5C).

DNA binding assay confirms binding of GATA to NBEAL2 enhancer

We next performed a DNA binding assay using biotinlabelled DNA fragments that cover the two NBEAL2 enhancer peaks (again referred to as BS-1-2-3 and BS-4-5). These biotinylated DNA fragments, bound to superparamagnetic streptavidin beads, were incubated with NE from GATA1 or GATA2 overexpressing HEK293 cells. The enhancer fragment BS-1-2-3 interacts with GATA1 and



Figure 6. DNA binding assays for NBEAL2 enhancer region with GATA1 and GATA2 and the effect of GATA1 depletion on NBEAL2 expression. (A) DNA fragments BS-1-2-3 and BS-4-5 were labeled with biotin and bound to superparamagnetic streptavidin beads. NE were used from GATA1 or GATA2 overexpression HEK293 cells. (left) Immunoblots for GATA1, GATA2 and STAT5 were performed on proteins bound to BS-1-2-3. STAT5 served as negative control. The unbound fraction is also shown. (Right) Immunoblots for GATA1 and GATA2 were performed on proteins bound to BS-4-5 and BS-M4-M5. GATA1 and GATA2 bind the wild-type, but not the mutant DNA fragments. (B) qRT-PCR was performed on K562 cells with a GATA1 siRNA depletion to determine the expression of GATA1 (left) and NBEAL2 (right) relative to GADPH after 24 and 48 hours. (left) **P=0.0073, t-test; (right) ****P*=0.0004, *t*-test. (C) (left) Immunoblots analysis for NBEAL2 and integrin β 3 on protein lysates collected after 48h. (Right) Quantification of NBEAL2 expression. **P=0.0050, t-test. (D) Immunoprecipitation using GST control beads and GST-GATA1 NF beads and protein lysates from HEK293 cells transfected with the long and short GFI1B isoforms. These results are representative for three independent experiments. Control GST-beads (only GST sequence) and non-transfected (NT) HEK293 cells were used as negative controls. SiRNA: small interfering RNA; NE: nuclear extracts; RNA: ribonucleic acid; GADPH: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; GST: Glutathione S-Transferase; BS: binding sites: NF: N-terminal finger.

GATA2, but not with STAT5 for which there is no recognition site in the enhancer region (Figure 6A). Also the 2nd peak BS-4-5 interacts strongly with GATA1 and GATA2 while mutagenesis of both GATA BS-4 and BS-5 in this fragment completely inhibits these interactions (Figure 6B). However, as mutagenesis of BS-4 and BS-5 did not change the enhancer activity (Figure 5C), these GATA sites might not be important for this enhancer region.

siRNA mediated GATA1 depletion in K562 cells results in decreased NBEAL2

We performed a GATA1 knockdown in K562 cells using SMARTpool GATA1 siRNA and compared it to cells transfected with SMARTpool control siRNA (Figure 6B). After 24h, qRT-PCR showed a significant reduction in *GATA1* expression while *NBEAL2* expression remained normal. However, after 48h a significantly decreased *NBEAL2* expression was observed. This was confirmed by using immunoblot analysis, with strongly decreased NBEAL2 levels 48h after transfection (Figure 6C).

GATA1 binding to GFI1B

FOG1 is known as a transcriptional co-regulator of GATA1 *via* interaction with its zinc fingers and the N-terminal GATA1 zinc finger (NF).^{13,14} We hypothesized that the zinc fingers of GFI1B might also interact with this NF GATA1 domain, as a strong phenotype homology was noticed between GATA1 and GFI1B macrothrombocytopenia. Therefore, a pull-down assay was performed that showed a physical interaction between the GATA1 NF and the large and short GFI1B isoform (Figure 6D).

Discussion

Important similarities, but also differences exist between clinical phenotypes caused by NBEAL2, GATA1 and GFI1B germline defects in humans.³⁶ They share the presence of large platelets with α -granule defects, bone marrow fibrosis with the presence of emperipolesis, platelet dysfunction and different degrees of bleeding. However, these diseases have a different mode of inheritance (recessive, X-linked and dominant for NBEAL2, GATA1 and GFI1B defects, respectively). More importantly, GATA1 and GFI1B, but not NBEAL2 defects are characterized by red blood cell abnormalities, and the severity of their α -granule defect is also different. Quantification of α granule numbers for two NBEAL2 and two GATA1-deficient patients using EM indeed showed decreased α -granule numbers for all, but also a significant difference between both groups with almost no α -granules for NBEAL2 defects. Nevertheless, because of the important resemblance in the α -granule defect, we hypothesized that the transcription factor GATA1 could regulate NBEAL2 expression during megakaryopoiesis.

Analogous to the recent findings from Di Buduo *et al.*²⁹ who studied megakaryopoiesis using blood stem cells from GPS patients, stem cells from GATA1-deficient patients showed normal MK differentiation, but a severe reduction in proplatelet formation with decreased α -granules. Abnormal MK maturation with severely impaired cytoplasmic maturation, including fewer platelet-specific granules, has been described for Gata1-deficient mice that lack an upstream regulatory region that controls *Gata1* expression in MKs.^{22,37} Moreover,

reduced proplatelet and α -granule formation have also been described for V205G Gata1 transgenic mice that phenocopy patients with X-linked macrothrombocytopenia, as this mutation blocks binding of the GATA1 NF to FOG1.³⁸ The exact pathogenic mechanism of missense variants in GATA1 NF is not really understood, but our previous in vitro binding assays with D218G and D218Y showed normal direct interaction with DNA and decreased interactions with FOG1.^{13,14} However, more recent murine erythroid cell-based studies could only confirm the defective interaction between FOG1 and GATA1 D218Y, while D218G was shown to interfere with the GATA1-TAL1 cofactor complex.³⁹ If GATA1 D218G and D218Y are predicted to have different effects on DNA binding, they both resulted in abnormal NBEAL2 expression. Moreover, GATA1 depletion via deletion of a MK-specific enhancer in mice or using siRNA also resulted in decreased NBEAL2 expression. Therefore, our studies indicate that NBEAL2 expression can be regulated by changes in GATA1 levels or via functional changes in GATA1 NF. However, more studies are needed to evaluate how these GATA1 NF variants could change direct or indirect DNA binding in gene promoters and enhancer regions. In addition, for GFI1B patients, bone marrow MKs showed extensive peripheral cytoplasm with irregular proplatelets and were largely devoid of cell organelles,¹² while more recent *in vitro* differentiation assays with mutant GFI1B constructs in stem cells showed abnormal proplatelet formation.⁴⁰ Further studies are needed to discover if GATA1-GFI1B co-transcriptional complexes could regulate NBEAL2 expression during megakaryopoiesis.

To our knowledge, it was not yet known that *NBEAL2* is a GATA1 target gene. GATA1 drives many important target genes for red blood cell and MK formation such as the globin genes, EPO, EPOR, GATA2, NFE2, GP1BA, GP1BB, PF4, MPL and others.^{37,41} Interestingly, a Bernard-Soulier syndrome patient with macrothrombocytopenia was described with a variant in the promoter of the GP1BB gene altering GATA1 binding together with a 22q11 deletion (involving the GP1BB gene) on the other allele.⁴² This could mean that large platelets in GATA1 patients are due to defective GP1BB expression. This also implicates that the macrothrombocytopenia phenotype caused by GATA1 deficiency is complex and can be the result of many genes that show aberrant GATA1-drive expression, but part of the overall phenotype and especially the α -granule defect could be due to NBEAL2 loss. Moreover, a long-distance enhancer region 31 kb upstream of the NBEAL2 gene was discovered in data from ENCODE for K562 cells that express high levels of GATA1 and whole genome ChIP sequencing data for GATA1 and GATA2 binding sites using primary MKs (Figure 4).³⁵ Typical WGATAR recognition sequences (GATA1/2 BS) are present in this region, and a physical interaction between this enhancer region and the NBEAL2 promoter was predicted based on ChIA-PET experiments using K562 cells.⁴³ It is known that long-distance enhancers come into close proximity of target promoters through looping.44 Transcription factors and their complexes mediate such enhancer-promoter loop formation. The enhancer-gene loop is necessary for transcriptional upregulation, but how the looping changes the transcriptional output is still unclear. Chromatin looping has been shown to be relevant for the reactivation of globin genes,⁴⁴

but examples of such complex transcriptional regulation via interactions between enhancers and promoters important for gene expression during megakaryopoiesis remains largely unstudied. Insights into such mechanisms would be highly relevant to understand genome-wide association study (GWAS) findings that showed associations of mostly noncoding variants with changes in platelet count and volume.⁴⁶ It might therefore be that the noncoding variant influences expression of a long-distance gene rather than the closest gene. In the study herein, the NBEAL2 enhancer is actually located within an intron of another gene, CCDC12 (Figure 4). We confirmed the binding of GATA1 and GATA2 to this NBEAL2 enhancer region with a DNA binding assay, and showed that in luciferase reporter assays it functions as an enhancer element that depends mainly on GATA BS-2 and BS-3. Such GATA binding sites can of course be occupied by GATA1 or GATA2. GATA2 is essential for the maintenance of HSCs and progenitor cells, whereas GATA1 drives the differentiation of HSCs into megakaryopoiesis. GATA1 represses GATA2 transcription, and this involves GATA1-mediated displacement of GATA2 from chromatin, a process that is

called a GATA switch.⁴⁷ It is known that GATA switches play an important role in the differentiation of blood stem cells.⁴⁸ Such a GATA switch can occur for the GATA building sites in the enhancer of the *NBEAL2* gene; further studies are needed to unravel this exact mechanism as this might identify the precise moment that NBEAL2 is expressed during megakaryopoiesis.

In conclusion, the study herein provides an explanation for the phenotypic resemblance between NBEAL2 and GATA1 defective macrothrombocytopenia with paucity of α -granules, as GATA1 is important for NBEAL2 expression during megakaryopoiesis *via* a long-distance enhancer.

Funding

KF is supported by the Fund for Scientific Research-Flanders (FWO-Vlaanderen, Belgium, G.0B17.13N] and by the Research Council of the University of Leuven (BOF KU Leuven, Belgium, OT/14/098]. CVG is holder of the Bayer and Norbert Heimburger (CSL Behring) Chairs. MRT was supported by a Fellowship from the European Haematology Association and the British Heart Foundation (PG/13/77/30375).

References

- Blair P, Flaumenhaft R. Platelet alpha-granules: basic biology and clinical correlates. Blood Rev. 2009;23(4):177-189.
- 2. Italiano JE Jr, Battinelli EM. Selective sorting of alpha-granule proteins. J Thromb Haemost. 2009;7(1):173-176.
- Nurden AT, Nurden P. Should any genetic defect affecting -granules in platelets be classified as gray platelet syndrome? Am J Hematol. 2016;91(7):714-718.
- Raccuglia G. Gray platelet syndrome. A variety of qualitative platelet disorders. Am J Med. 1971;51(6):818-828.
- Albers CA, Cvejic A, Favier R, et al. Exome sequencing identifies NBEAL2 as the causative gene for gray platelet syndrome. Nat Genet. 2011;43(8):735-737.
- Gunay-Aygun M, Falik-Zaccai TC, Vilboux T, et al. NBEAL2 is mutated in gray platelet syndrome and is required for biogenesis of platelet -granules. Nat Genet. 2011; 43(8):732-734.
- Kahr WH, Hinckley J, Li L, et al. Mutations in NBEAL2, encoding a BEACH protein, cause gray platelet syndrome. Nat Genet. 2011;43(8):738-740.
- Cullinane AR, Schäffer AA, Huizing M. The BEACH is hot: a LYST of emerging roles for BEACH-domain containing proteins in human disease. Traffic. 2013; 14(7):749-766.
- Introne W, Boissy RE, Gahl WA. Clinical, molecular, and cell biological aspects of Chediak-Higashi syndrome. Mol Genet Metab. 1999;68(2):283-303.
- Castermans D, Volders K, Crepel A, et al. SCAMP5, NBEA and AMISYN: three candidate genes for autism involved in secretion of large dense-core vesicles. Hum Mol Genet. 2010;19(7):1368-1378.
- Tubman VN, Levine JE, Campagna DR, et al. X-linked gray platelet syndrome due to a GATA1 Arg216Gln mutation. Blood. 2007;109(8):3297-3299.
- 12. Monteferrario D, Bolar NA, Marneth AE, et

al. A dominant-negative GFI1B mutation in the gray platelet syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2014;370(3):245-253.

- Freson K, Devriendt K, Matthijs G, et al. Platelet characteristics in patients with Xlinked macrothrombocytopenia because of a novel GATA1 mutation. Blood. 2001;98(1):85-92.
- 14. Freson K, Matthijs G, Thys C, et al. Different substitutions at residue D218 of the X-linked transcription factor GATA1 lead to altered clinical severity of macrothrombocytopenia and anemia and are associated with variable skewed X inactivation. Hum Mol Genet. 2002;11(2):147-152.
- Balduini CL, Pecci A, Loffredo G, et al. Effects of the R216Q mutation of GATA-1 on erythropoiesis and megakaryocytopoiesis. Thromb Haemost. 2004;91(1): 129-140.
- Balduini CL, De Candia E, Savoia A. Why the disorder induced by GATA1 Arg216Gln mutation should be called "X-linked thrombocytopenia with thalassemia" rather than "X-linked gray platelet syndrome". Blood. 2007;110(7):2770-2771.
- Stevenson WS, Morel-Kopp MC, Chen Q, et al. GFI1B mutation causes a bleeding disorder with abnormal platelet function. J Thromb Haemost. 2013;11(11):2039-2047.
- Stevenson WS, Morel-Kopp MC, Ward CM. Platelets are not all gray in GFI1B disease. Clin Genet. 2015;87(3):299.
- Simeoni I, Stephens JC, Hu F, et al. A highthroughput sequencing test for diagnosing inherited bleeding, thrombotic, and platelet disorders. Blood. 2016;127(23):2791-2803.
- Freson K, Peeters K, De Vos R, et al. PACAP and its receptor VPAC1 regulate megakaryocyte maturation: therapeutic implications. Blood. 2008;111(4):1885-1893.
- Turro E, Greene D, Wijgaerts A, et al. A dominant gain-of-function mutation in universal tyrosine kinase SRC causes thrombocytopenia, myelofibrosis, bleeding, and bone pathologies. Sci Transl Med. 2016;8(328):328-330.

- Shivdasani RA, Fujiwara Y, McDevitt MA, Orkin SH. A lineage-selective knockout establishes the critical role of transcription factor GATA-1 in megakaryocyte growth and platelet development. EMBO J. 1997;16(13):3965-3973.
- Albers CA, Paul DS, Schulze H, et al. Compound inheritance of a low-frequency regulatory SNP and a rare null mutation in exon-junction complex subunit RBM8A causes TAR syndrome. Nat Genet. 2012;44(4):435-439.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(- Ct)) method. Methods. 2001;25:402–408.
- Lan X, Witt H, Katsumura K, et al. Integration of Hi-C and ChIP-seq data reveals distinct types of chromatin linkages. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40(16):7690-7704.
- Chen L, Kostadima M, Martens JH, et al. Transcriptional diversity during lineage commitment of human blood progenitors. Science. 2014;345(6204):12511033.
- Bottega R, Pecci A, De Candia E, et al. Correlation between platelet phenotype and NBEAL2 genotype in patients with congenital thrombocytopenia and -granule deficiency. Haematologica. 2013;98(6):868-874.
- Trainor CD, Omichinski JG, Vandergon TL, Gronenborn AM, Clore GM, Felsenfeld G. A palindromic regulatory site within vertebrate GATA-1 promoters requires both zinc fingers of the GATA-1 DNA-binding domain for high-affinity interaction. Mol Cell Biol. 1996;16(5):2238-2247.
- Di Buduo CA, Alberelli MA, Glembostky AC, et al. Abnormal proplatelet formation and emperipolesis in cultured human megakaryocytes from gray platelet syndrome patients. Sci Rep. 2016;6:23213.
- Zingariello M, Fabucci ME, Bosco D, et al. Differential localization of P-selectin and von Willebrand factor during megakaryocyte maturation. Biotech Histochem. 2010;85(3):157-170.
- 31. Zetterberg E, Verrucci M, Martelli F, et al.

Abnormal P-selectin localization during megakaryocyte development determines thrombosis in the gata1low model of myelofibrosis. Platelets. 2014;25(7):539-547.

- Harigae H. GATA transcription factors and hematological diseases. Tohoku J Exp Med. 2006;210(1):1-9.
- ENCODE Project Consortium. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. Nature. 2012; 489(7414):57-74.
- Fujiwara T, O'Geen H, Keles S, Blahnik K, Linnemann AK, Kang YA, Choi K, Farnham PJ, Bresnick EH. Discovering hematopoietic mechanisms through genome-wide analysis of GATA factor chromatin occupancy. Mol Cell. 2009;36(4):667-681.
- Tijssen MR, Cvejic A, Joshi A, et al. Genome-wide analysis of simultaneous GATA1/2, RUNX1, FLI1, and SCL binding in megakaryocytes identifies hematopoietic regulators. Dev Cell. 2011;20(5):597-609.
- Kies C, Harms JM. Copper absorption as affected by supplemental calcium, magnesium, manganese, selenium and potassium. Adv Exp Med Biol. 1989;258:45-58.

- Vyas P, Ault K, Jackson CW, Orkin SH, Shivdasani RA. Consequences of GATA-1 deficiency in megakaryocytes and platelets. Blood. 1999;93(9)2867-2875.
- Shimizu R, Ohneda K, Engel JD, Trainor CD, Yamamoto M. Transgenic rescue of GATA-1-deficient mice with GATA-1 lacking a FOG-1 association site phenocopies patients with X-linked thrombocytopenia. Blood. 2004;103(7):2560-2567.
- Campbell AE, Wilkinson-White L, Mackay JP, Matthews JM, Blobel GA. Analysis of disease-causing GATA1 mutations in murine gene complementation systems. Blood. 2013;121(26):5218-5227.
- 40. Kitamura K, Okuno Y, Yoshida K, et al. Functional characterization of a novel GFI1B mutation causing congenital macrothrombocytopenia. J Thromb Haemost. 2016 April 28. [Epub ahead of print]
- Ferreira R, Ohneda K, Yamamoto M, Philipsen S. GATA1 function, a paradigm for transcription factors in hematopoiesis. Mol Cell Biol. 2005;25(4):1215-1227.
- 42. Ludlow LB, Schick BP, Budarf ML, et al. Identification of a mutation in a GATA

binding site of the platelet glycoprotein Ibbeta promoter resulting in the Bernard-Soulier syndrome. J Biol Chem. 1996; 271(36):22076-22080.

- Heidari N, Phanstiel DH, He C, et al. Genome-wide map of regulatory interactions in the human genome. Genome Res. 2014;24(12):1905-1917.
- Krivega I, Dean A. Enhancer and promoter interactions-long distance calls. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2012;22(2):79-85.
- Deng W, Rupon JW, Krivega I, et al. Reactivation of developmentally silenced globin genes by forced chromatin looping. Cell. 2014;158(4):849-860.
- Gieger C, Radhakrishnan A, Cvejic A, et al. New gene functions in megakaryopoiesis and platelet formation. Nature. 480(7376):201-208.
- Bresnick EH, Lee HY, Fujiwara T, Johnson KD, Keles S. GATA switches as developmental drivers. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285(41):31087-31093.
- Tian T, Smith-Miles K. Mathematical modeling GATA-switching for regulating the differentiation of hematopoietic stem cell. BMC Syst Biol. 2014;8(1):S8.