organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

(2E)-3-(Dimethylamino)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one

Rajni Kant,^a* Vivek K. Gupta,^a Kamini Kapoor,^a Madhukar B. Deshmukh,^b D. R. Patil^b and P. V. Anbhule^b

^aX-ray Crystallography Laboratory, Post-Graduate Department of Physics & Electronics, University of Jammu, Jammu Tawi 180 006, India, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Shivaji University, Kolhapur 416 004, India Correspondence e-mail: rkvk.paper11@gmail.com

Received 13 September 2012; accepted 8 October 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.046; wR factor = 0.133; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.1.

In the title compound, $C_{11}H_{12}FNO$, the dihedral angle between the prop-2-en-1-one group and the benzene ring is 19.33 (6) $^{\circ}$. The configuration of the keto group with respect to the olefinic double bond is s-cis. In the crystal, the molecules form dimers through aromatic π - π stacking interactions [centroid–centroid distance = 3.667 (1) Å] and are linked via $C-H \cdots O$ interactions into chains along the b axis.

Related literature

For the synthesis and pharmaceutical activity of enaminones, see: Kantevari et al. (2007); Ke et al. (2009); Omran et al. (1997); Eddington et al. (2003). For a related structure, see: Deng et al. (2010).



Experimental

Crystal data C₁₁H₁₂FNO $M_r = 193.22$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$



c = 14.2995 (8) Å

 $\beta = 116.086 \ (6)^{\circ}$ V = 998.49 (8) Å³ Z = 4Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur
Sapphire3 diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrysAlis PRO; Oxford
Diffraction, 2010)
$T_{\min} = 0.824, \ T_{\max} = 1.000$

Refinement

 $\begin{array}{l} R[F^2>2\sigma(F^2)]=0.046\\ wR(F^2)=0.133 \end{array}$ 129 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.20 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ S = 1.04 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.16 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 1952 reflections

 $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

 $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm

14183 measured reflections

1952 independent reflections 1430 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

T = 293 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.040$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$C6-H6B\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.96	2.59	3.531 (3)	168
Symmetry code: (i) -	$x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -2$	$r + \frac{3}{2}$		

 $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$

Data collection: CrysAlis PRO (Oxford Diffraction, 2010); cell refinement: CrysAlis PRO; data reduction: CrysAlis PRO; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: PLATON (Spek, 2009).

RK acknowledges the Department of Science & Technology for the single-crystal X-ray diffractometer sanctioned as a National Facility under project No. SR/S2/CMP-47/2003. He is also thankful to the University of Jammu, Jammu, India, for financial support.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: GK2520).

References

- Deng, J., Shen, D. & Zhou, Z. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, o2.
- Eddington, N. D., Cox, S. D. & Khurana, M. (2003). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 38, 49-64
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Kantevari, S., Chary, M. V. & Vuppalapati, S. V. N. (2007). Tetrahedron, 63, 13024-13031.
- Ke, Y. Y., Li, Y. J. & Jia, J. H. (2009). Tetrahedron Lett. 50, 1389-1391.
- Omran, F. A., Awadi, N. A., Khair, A. A. E. & Elnagdi, M. H. (1997). Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 65, 285-292.
- Oxford Diffraction (2010). CrysAlis PRO. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Yarnton, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, o3110 [doi:10.1107/S160053681204202X]

(2E)-3-(Dimethylamino)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one

Rajni Kant, Vivek K. Gupta, Kamini Kapoor, Madhukar B. Deshmukh, D. R. Patil and P. V. Anbhule

Comment

(2E)-3-(Dimethylamino)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one is a versatile substrate used for synthesis of number of heterocyclic compounds and drug intermediates (Kantevari *et al.*, 2007; Ke *et al.*, 2009; Omran *et al.*, 1997; Eddington *et al.*, 2003).

The molecular structure of the title compound (I) is shown in Fig.1. The bond lengths and angles observed in (I) show normal values and are comparable with a related structure (Deng *et al.*, 2010). The dihedral angle between prop-2-en-1-one group and the phenyl ring is 19.33 (6) °. Molecules in the unit cell are packed together to form one dimensional assembly along the *b* axis (Fig.2) through intermolecular C6—H6B…O1 interactions (Table 1). The crystal structure is further stabilized by π - π interactions between the benzene ring (C7—C12) of the molecule at (*x*, *y*, *z*) and the benzene ring of an inversion related molecule at (-*x*, -*y*, -*z*)[centroid separation = 3.667 (1) Å, interplanar spacing = 3.535 Å and centroid shift = 0.97 Å].

Experimental

In a 50 ml round bottom flask charged with 5 mmole of 4-methyl acetophenone and 5 mmole of dimethylformamide dimethyl acetal. Then 10 ml toulene was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at 110°C. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion of reaction and cooling the reaction mixture was evaporated under vacuum. Finally, the product was isolated by column chromatography using ethyl acetate and n-hexane(2/8 vol.). Yield: 85%. IR(KBr): 1643, 1598, 1550,1439 cm-1. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): 2.93(s, 3H, N—CH3), 3.15(s, 3H, N—CH3), 5.65–5.69 (d, 1H, =CH), 7.79–7.83(d, 1H,=CH), 7.05–7.11(m, 2H, Ar—H), 7.89–7.94 (m, 2H, Ar—H).

Refinement

All H atoms were positioned geometrically and were treated as riding on their parent C atoms, with C—H distances of 0.93–0.96 Å and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ or $1.5U_{eq}(methyl C)$.

Computing details

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Oxford Diffraction, 2010); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Oxford Diffraction, 2010); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Oxford Diffraction, 2010); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).



Figure 1

ORTEP view of the molecule with the atom-labeling scheme. The displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% probability level. H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.



Figure 2

The packing arrangement of molecules viewed down the a axis. The broken lines show the intermolecular C—H…O interactions.

(2E)-3-(Dimethylamino)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one

Crystal data	
C ₁₁ H ₁₂ FNO	V = 998.49 (8) Å ³
$M_r = 193.22$	Z = 4
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	F(000) = 408
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	$D_{\rm x} = 1.285 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
a = 13.2832 (6) Å	Melting point = $336-335$ K
b = 5.8530(2) Å	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
c = 14.2995 (8) Å	Cell parameters from 5766 reflections
$\beta = 116.086 \ (6)^{\circ}$	$\theta = 3.5 - 29.0^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 K

Data collection

Duiu conection	
Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Sapphire3 diffractometer	14183 measured reflections 1952 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1430 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.040$
Detector resolution: 16.1049 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.0^\circ, \theta_{\rm min} = 3.5^\circ$
ω scan	$h = -16 \rightarrow 16$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -7 \longrightarrow 7$
(CrysAlis PRO; Oxford Diffraction, 2010)	$l = -17 \rightarrow 17$
$T_{\min} = 0.824, \ T_{\max} = 1.000$	
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.133$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.04	H-atom parameters constrained
1952 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0668P)^2 + 0.1767P]$
129 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta ho_{ m max} = 0.20 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.16 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Experimental. CrysAlis PRO, Oxford Diffraction Ltd., Version 1.171.34.40 (release 27-08-2010 CrysAlis171. NET) (compiled Aug 27 2010,11:50:40) Empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics, implemented in SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm.

Block, yellow $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor w*R* and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
C7	0.14077 (14)	0.3879 (3)	0.44568 (12)	0.0387 (4)	
F1	-0.19144 (9)	0.5459 (2)	0.27506 (10)	0.0802 (4)	
01	0.28148 (11)	0.1122 (2)	0.52645 (12)	0.0752 (5)	
C1	0.26094 (15)	0.3183 (3)	0.50936 (13)	0.0463 (4)	
C2	0.34503 (14)	0.4916 (3)	0.54818 (13)	0.0457 (4)	
H2	0.3259	0.6447	0.5332	0.055*	
C3	0.45299 (14)	0.4299 (3)	0.60714 (13)	0.0489 (5)	
H3	0.4653	0.2738	0.6186	0.059*	
N4	0.54383 (12)	0.5600(2)	0.65100 (12)	0.0548 (5)	
C5	0.53933 (17)	0.8047 (3)	0.63606 (18)	0.0656 (6)	
H5A	0.5800	0.8453	0.5972	0.098*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

H5B	0.5724	0.8793	0.7026	0.098*
H5C	0.4627	0.8520	0.5985	0.098*
C6	0.65389 (16)	0.4629 (4)	0.71403 (17)	0.0689 (6)
H6A	0.6486	0.2993	0.7130	0.103*
H6B	0.6810	0.5165	0.7844	0.103*
H6C	0.7048	0.5088	0.6863	0.103*
C8	0.05728 (15)	0.2340 (3)	0.43627 (13)	0.0460 (4)
H8	0.0773	0.0921	0.4684	0.055*
C9	-0.05434 (15)	0.2869 (3)	0.38041 (14)	0.0510 (5)
H9	-0.1098	0.1845	0.3761	0.061*
C10	-0.08165 (14)	0.4935 (3)	0.33139 (13)	0.0476 (5)
C11	-0.00276 (15)	0.6494 (3)	0.33688 (13)	0.0468 (5)
H11	-0.0240	0.7880	0.3018	0.056*
C12	0.10921 (14)	0.5974 (3)	0.39551 (13)	0.0424 (4)
H12	0.1638	0.7035	0.4014	0.051*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C7	0.0408 (9)	0.0342 (8)	0.0392 (8)	-0.0007 (7)	0.0158 (7)	-0.0018 (7)
F1	0.0383 (7)	0.0777 (9)	0.1045 (10)	0.0076 (6)	0.0130 (7)	0.0085 (7)
01	0.0530 (9)	0.0379 (7)	0.1041 (12)	0.0033 (6)	0.0066 (8)	0.0109 (7)
C1	0.0446 (10)	0.0361 (9)	0.0504 (10)	0.0021 (7)	0.0136 (8)	0.0031 (7)
C2	0.0405 (10)	0.0367 (9)	0.0522 (10)	0.0028 (7)	0.0134 (8)	0.0008 (7)
C3	0.0454 (11)	0.0380 (9)	0.0542 (10)	0.0017 (8)	0.0134 (8)	-0.0009 (8)
N4	0.0370 (8)	0.0433 (9)	0.0680 (10)	0.0025 (7)	0.0082 (7)	-0.0015 (7)
C5	0.0526 (12)	0.0458 (11)	0.0848 (15)	-0.0024 (9)	0.0179 (11)	-0.0022 (10)
C6	0.0416 (11)	0.0642 (13)	0.0804 (14)	0.0076 (10)	0.0080 (10)	0.0013 (11)
C8	0.0483 (10)	0.0364 (9)	0.0476 (10)	-0.0037 (7)	0.0159 (8)	0.0032 (7)
C9	0.0436 (10)	0.0489 (10)	0.0585 (11)	-0.0102 (8)	0.0206 (9)	-0.0012 (8)
C10	0.0363 (9)	0.0504 (10)	0.0501 (10)	0.0031 (8)	0.0134 (8)	-0.0041 (8)
C11	0.0483 (10)	0.0382 (9)	0.0496 (10)	0.0068 (8)	0.0175 (8)	0.0034 (7)
C12	0.0410 (9)	0.0368 (9)	0.0487 (9)	-0.0023 (7)	0.0191 (8)	0.0013 (7)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C7—C8	1.389 (2)	C5—H5B	0.9600	
C7—C12	1.389 (2)	C5—H5C	0.9600	
C7—C1	1.505 (2)	C6—H6A	0.9600	
F1-C10	1.355 (2)	C6—H6B	0.9600	
01—C1	1.237 (2)	C6—H6C	0.9600	
C1—C2	1.428 (2)	C8—C9	1.375 (2)	
C2—C3	1.354 (2)	C8—H8	0.9300	
С2—Н2	0.9300	C9—C10	1.365 (3)	
C3—N4	1.328 (2)	С9—Н9	0.9300	
С3—Н3	0.9300	C10—C11	1.365 (3)	
N4—C5	1.445 (2)	C11—C12	1.382 (2)	
N4—C6	1.454 (2)	C11—H11	0.9300	
С5—Н5А	0.9600	C12—H12	0.9300	

C8—C7—C12	118.41 (16)	N4—C6—H6A	109.5	
C8—C7—C1	118.20 (15)	N4—C6—H6B	109.5	
C12—C7—C1	123.39 (16)	H6A—C6—H6B	109.5	
O1—C1—C2	123.30 (16)	N4—C6—H6C	109.5	
O1—C1—C7	117.81 (16)	H6A—C6—H6C	109.5	
C2—C1—C7	118.89 (14)	H6B—C6—H6C	109.5	
C3—C2—C1	119.05 (16)	C9—C8—C7	121.44 (16)	
С3—С2—Н2	120.5	С9—С8—Н8	119.3	
C1—C2—H2	120.5	С7—С8—Н8	119.3	
N4—C3—C2	129.38 (17)	C10—C9—C8	118.23 (17)	
N4—C3—H3	115.3	С10—С9—Н9	120.9	
С2—С3—Н3	115.3	С8—С9—Н9	120.9	
C3—N4—C5	121.90 (15)	F1—C10—C9	118.63 (16)	
C3—N4—C6	121.72 (16)	F1-C10-C11	118.78 (16)	
C5—N4—C6	116.35 (16)	C9—C10—C11	122.59 (16)	
N4—C5—H5A	109.5	C10-C11-C12	118.80 (16)	
N4—C5—H5B	109.5	C10-C11-H11	120.6	
H5A—C5—H5B	109.5	C12-C11-H11	120.6	
N4—C5—H5C	109.5	C11—C12—C7	120.49 (16)	
H5A—C5—H5C	109.5	C11—C12—H12	119.8	
H5B—C5—H5C	109.5	C7—C12—H12	119.8	
C8—C7—C1—O1	19.2 (3)	C1—C7—C8—C9	179.18 (16)	
C12—C7—C1—O1	-160.52 (17)	C7—C8—C9—C10	1.8 (3)	
C8—C7—C1—C2	-159.98 (17)	C8—C9—C10—F1	179.16 (15)	
C12—C7—C1—C2	20.4 (3)	C8—C9—C10—C11	-0.8 (3)	
O1—C1—C2—C3	-0.3 (3)	F1-C10-C11-C12	179.12 (15)	
C7—C1—C2—C3	178.80 (16)	C9—C10—C11—C12	-0.9 (3)	
C1—C2—C3—N4	-179.93 (18)	C10-C11-C12-C7	1.6 (3)	
C2—C3—N4—C5	-3.2 (3)	C8—C7—C12—C11	-0.6 (3)	
C2—C3—N4—C6	178.8 (2)	C1—C7—C12—C11	179.03 (15)	
<u>C12—C7—C8—C9</u>	-1.1 (3)			
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å,	9			

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
C6—H6B····O1 ⁱ	0.96	2.59	3.531 (3)	168

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+3/2.