

Comparing the Respiratory Compensation Point With Muscle Oxygen Saturation in Locomotor and Non-locomotor Muscles Using Wearable NIRS Spectroscopy During Whole-Body Exercise

Assaf Yogev^{1*}, Jem Arnold¹, Dave Clarke², Jordan A. Guenette^{3,4}, Ben C. Sporer^{5,6} and Michael S. Koehle^{1,6}

¹Environmental Physiology Laboratory, School of Kinesiology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ²Department of Biomedical Physiology and Kinesiology and Centre for Cell Biology, Development and Disease, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada, ³Department of Physical Therapy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ⁴Providence Health Care Research Institute, Centre for Heart Lung Innovation, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ⁵Vancouver Whitecaps FC, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ⁶Division of Sport Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Thomas Rupp, Université Savoie Mont Blanc, France

frontiers

in Physiology

Reviewed by:

Danilo lannetta, University of Calgary, Canada Susanna Rampichini, University of Milan, Italy

*Correspondence: Assaf Yogev yogevass@student.ubc.ca

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Exercise Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physiology

Received: 19 November 2021 Accepted: 25 February 2022 Published: 24 March 2022

Citation:

Yogev A, Arnold J, Clarke D, Guenette JA, Sporer BC and Koehle MS (2022) Comparing the Respiratory Compensation Point With Muscle Oxygen Saturation in Locomotor and Non-locomotor Muscles Using Wearable NIRS Spectroscopy During Whole-Body Exercise. Front. Physiol. 13:818733. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.818733 The relationship between the muscle deoxygenation breakpoint (Deoxy-BP) measured with near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS), and the respiratory compensation point (RCP) has been well established. This relationship has also been reported using wearable NIRS, however not in locomotor and non-locomotor muscles simultaneously during whole-body cycling exercise. Our aim was to measure muscle oxygen saturation (SmO₂) using wearable NIRS sensors, and to compare the Deoxy-BPs at each muscle with RCP during a ramp cycling exercise test. Twenty-two trained female and male cyclists completed a ramp exercise test to task intolerance on a cycling ergometer, at a ramp rate of 1 W every 2 s (30 W/min). SmO₂ was recorded at the subjects' right vastus lateralis (VL) and right lateral deltoid. SmO₂ and the Deoxy-BPs were assessed using a piecewise double-linear regression model. Ventilation $(V_{\rm F})$ and gas exchange were recorded, and RCP was determined from $V_{\rm F}$ and gas exchange using a V-slope method and confirmed by two physiologists. The SmO₂ profiles of both muscles and gas exchange responses are reported as VO2, power output (W), and time of occurrence (TO). SmO₂ profiles at both muscles displayed a near-plateau or breakpoint response near the RCP. No differences were detected between the mean RCP and mean Deoxy-BP from either the locomotor or non-locomotor muscles; however, a high degree of individual variability was observed in the timing and order of occurrence of the specific breakpoints. These findings add insight into the relationships between ventilatory, locomotor, and non-locomotor muscle physiological breakpoints. While identifying a similar relationship between these breakpoints, individual variability was high; hence, caution is advised when using wearable NIRS to estimate RCP in an incremental ramp test.

Keywords: muscle oxygenation, near-infrared spectroscopy, respiratory compensation point, breakpoint, wearable, exercise, exercise testing, cardiorespiratory fitness

1

INTRODUCTION

The close relationship between the respiratory compensation point (RCP) and the muscle deoxygenation breakpoint (Deoxy-BP) measured by near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) during incremental ramp cycling test has been well documented in recent years (Murias et al., 2013; Racinais et al., 2014; Fontana et al., 2015; Keir et al., 2015; Iannetta et al., 2017a; Caen et al., 2018). The ability to use changes in muscle oxygenation signals [deoxy(heme), oxy(heme), saturation, etc.] as a proxy for systemic pulmonary response yields far reaching possibilities for improving athletic performance, such as improving pacing strategies, simplifying performance assessment with non-invasive measures, and the potential for better understanding of central and peripheral limitations during exercise. The wider availability of affordable, portable NIRS devices that can be recorded to commercially available sport watches and cycling computers has made it more feasible for athletes, coaches, and teams to use muscle oxygenation as part of their regular training data collection (McManus et al., 2018; Perrey and Ferrari, 2018; Kirby et al., 2021; Rodrigo-Carranza et al., 2021). Wearable NIRS devices are typically based on continuous wave (CW) NIR light technology, which despite having some technical limitations compared to more robust, non-portable NIRS equipment, introduces the significant advantages of cost reduction and portability for field applications (Perrey and Ferrari, 2018).

In 2013, Murias et al. (2013) compared the recently described breakpoint (BP) in the NIRS-derived deoxygenated hemoglobin $(\Delta[HHb])$ measurement at the VL with both the gas exchange threshold (GET) and the RCP, in 10 young males and 10 young females during a continuous ramp exercise test on a bicycle ergometer (Murias et al., 2013). They showed strong correlation in VO₂ obtained at the RCP and Δ [HHb]-BP. Other studies demonstrated a strong agreement between RCP and Δ [HHb]-BP both cross-sectionally (Racinais et al., 2014; Fontana et al., 2015; Keir et al., 2015; Inglis et al., 2017; Caen et al., 2018), in a heterogeneous fitness group (Boone et al., 2015), as well as longitudinally (Inglis et al., 2019). Contradicting evidence demonstrated that RCP and Δ [HHb]-BP occur at slightly different %VO2max (Boone et al., 2015) and to be somewhat dissociated based on power output (PO), when evaluated across time following an exercise training intervention (Caen et al., 2018). This evidence comes mostly from two laboratories. Therefore, more studies are needed to corroborate the presence, or lack thereof, of this correspondence. Several studies observed the relationship between different muscles' Deoxy-BP during incremental exercise, and short, maximal intensity exercise, and the RCP during cycling and running. Iannetta et al. (2017a) assessed muscle oxygenation heterogeneity across quadricep muscles during a ramp exercise test on a cycling ergometer and compared the oxygenation response of the vastus lateralis (VL), vastus medialis, and rectus femoris muscles with the RCP (Iannetta et al., 2017b). Their findings showed a strong correlation between the pulmonary gas exchange (VO_2) corresponding to the NIRS-derived Δ [HHb]-BP measured across the different muscle sites and the VO2 at RCP. Similar relationships have been observed between the VO_2 detected at the RCP, and the VO_2 observed at both the VL and respiratory muscle oxygenation breakpoints (Legrand et al., 2007; Contreras-Briceño et al., 2019), during similar cycling ergometer exercise protocols.

Several studies measured inactive forearm muscle oxygenation response to lower limb cycling exercise using ramp exercise tests (Ogata et al., 2004; Tanaka et al., 2006; Shiroishi et al., 2010). Their findings showed accelerated deoxygenation response past the RCP at the inactive forearm. Conversely, muscle oxygenation response in the biceps brachii (BB) and VL were compared during a 30s maximal running on a treadmill (Manchado-Gobatto et al., 2020). This study found significant differences between these muscle groups during the final 15s of the effort, with the BB reporting greater dynamic range in percent muscle deoxygenation $(76 \pm 2 \text{ to } 31 \pm 3)$ compared with the VL (79±2 to 50 ± 4). These findings lead to further questions about the relationship between the systemic VO₂ response and the non-locomotor muscle deoxygenation response, and whether the latter can be used to accurately estimate the heavy-severe intensity threshold. If it is possible to detect a heavy-severe threshold using either locomotor or non-locomotor muscles, both endurance athletes and their coaches would be able to use it to optimize pacing strategy for specific competitions and environments, without the need to rely on expensive, invasive gas exchange or blood lactate measurements. The use of both lactate and gas exchange for these applications has been well documented, and thresholds such as the RCP, critical power, and maximal lactate steady state have shown strong agreements in the intensity at which they occur (Keir et al., 2015; Iannetta et al., 2018; Inglis et al., 2019). The primary limitations of both methods are a need for controlled environments for both operation and safety, trained personnel to conduct them due to their invasiveness, and expensive running cost. These limitations can be resolved using wearable NIRS, which requires very little experience to operate as data can be recorded using existing sport computers and applications, and can be done both indoors and in real-world training environments and competition at a relatively affordable cost. Therefore, should a muscle deoxygenation breakpoint be detectable with a wearable unit as described previously with other NIRS devices, at an intensity associated with RCP, it may provide a more accessible physiological measure of intensity dependent effects on muscle metabolism, without the need to rely on laboratory equipment or expert interpretation.

It is important to note that differences do exist between wearable CW-NIRS sensors and more technologically advanced, laboratory-based NIRS devices used in previous reports. Meaning results may not be directly transferable between different NIRS devices. These more advanced NIRS equipment may have multiple emitter-receptor optodes, more precise signal resolution, and higher sampling frequency. This improved measurement sensitivity may provide greater accuracy and reliability with absolute measurements, especially when evaluating subjects of heterogeneous tissue composition and fitness characteristics (McManus et al., 2018; Perrey and Ferrari, 2018; Barstow, 2019). To translate findings from stationary NIRS in the lab to portable NIRS in the field, it is important to replicate findings obtained using advanced NIRS technology with those from commercially available portable devices. A recent study compared muscle oxygenation profiles using a wearable NIRS sensor (Humon Hex, Cambridge, MA, United States) with the RCP in elite runners. They reported no differences in VO₂ or PO between the Deoxy-BP measured at the VL muscle and RCP, during an incremental ramp test on a treadmill (Rodrigo-Carranza et al., 2021). However, it is unknown whether this relationship holds in trained cyclists.

The RCP represents a systemic metabolic threshold. If Deoxy-BP is associated with the RCP, then it may not be limited to a local locomotor phenomenon. As such, a breakpoint may be detectable in non-locomotor muscle sites that reflect this systemic shift in metabolic homeostasis. The purpose of this study was 2-fold (1) to compare muscle Deoxy-BP as detected using a commercially available wearable NIRS with the RCP during a ramp exercise test performed on the subjects' own bicycles, as they would be performing in everyday training. (2) To measure this response at both locomotor and non-locomotor muscle sites. We hypothesized that wearable NIRS sensors would show a breakpoint or plateau-like response near the RCP, both at the locomotor and non-locomotor muscles, and that an association exists between the PO at RCP and muscle deoxygenation during a ramp exercise test on a bicycle ergometer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Twenty-two trained cyclists (17 males and 5 females, 31 ± 8 yr.; 75 ± 12 kg; and 179 ± 10 cm) volunteered and provided written informed consent to participate in the study. To obtain sufficient power of $\beta=0.8$ with $\alpha=0.05$, an *a priori* sample size calculation was made in G*Power software (version 3.1.9.7, Kiel, Germany), using previously reported data from other groups that compared performance parameters for either gas exchange or PO between RCP and muscle oxygenation response (Murias et al., 2013; Boone et al., 2014; Racinais et al., 2014; Keir et al., 2015; Zwaard et al., 2016; Iannetta et al., 2017a, 2018; Caen et al., 2018; Rodrigo-Carranza et al., 2021). This study was approved by the research ethics committee of University of British Columbia and was conducted in accordance with principles established in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Experimental Design

The study required a single visit that included an incremental ramp cycling test from rest to task intolerance completed on an electronically controlled, stationary bicycle trainer (KICKR, Wahoo Fitness Inc., Atlanta, GA, United States) using each participant's bicycle and riding gear to simulate their regular indoor training environment. The ramp rate increased by 1 W every 2s (30 W/min; **Figure 1**), with task intolerance determined as the point at which the participant cadence went down by

more than 10 revolutions per minute from their self-selected cadence. Resistance was controlled in ergometer mode using PerfPRO Studio Software[®] (Hartware Technologies, Rockford, MI, United States) installed on a personal laptop.

Pulmonary oxygen uptake (VO₂) was measured with an open-circuit expired-gas analysis system (TrueOne 2,400; ParvoMedics, Inc., Sandy, UT). VO₂ data were averaged to 15 s and interpolated to 1 Hz for analysis. VO₂peak was considered the highest average 30-s measurement. The RCP was determined at the point of deflection of V_E relative to VCO₂ and the second deflection of V_E relative to VO₂ (Davis, 1985; Beaver et al., 1986).

An individual mean response time (MRT) representing the delay between muscular metabolic activity and pulmonary response was determined using a recently described protocol (Murias et al., 2013; Iannetta et al., 2019). Briefly, the subjects performed a baseline warm-up for 6 min at a moderate PO of either 110W (females) or 140W (males). Average baseline VO₂ was determined from the final 2 min of the baseline step. The ramp exercise test began with 4 min at 70 W (females) or 100 W (males), before the continuous ramp commenced at 1W per 2s. The subject's VO₂ response during the ramp test was compared to their average baseline VO₂. The difference in the instantaneous PO that elicited the same VO₂ response was used to determine the MRT in watts and in seconds. The MRT was then used to shift PO relative to VO₂ for estimation of the PO that elicited RCP and the VO₂ response at the Deoxy-BP (further described below).

Near-Infrared Spectroscopy

Three wearable NIRS sensors (Moxy Monitor, Fortiori Design LLC., Hutchinson, United States) were used during the test. The Moxy monitor employs four wavelengths of NIR light (680, 720, 760, and 800 nm), with source detector separation of 12.5 and 25 mm (McManus et al., 2018). The sensors were placed on the following locations: on the vastus lateralis (VL) of the right and left leg, and right lateral deltoid. The right VL (RVL) was used for analysis unless signal quality was poor (n=1), in which case the left side was used. The anatomical location on the VL was 1/3 the distance from the proximal pole of the patella to the greater trochanter. Left and right VL sensors were held in place by the participants' elastic cycling shorts. The deltoid sensor was positioned on the midline of the lateral deltoid muscle belly inferior to the acromion and maintained in place with a fiber-elastic band. All sensors were covered using a light shield supplied by the manufacturer to minimize interference.

According to the manufacturer, the Moxy sensor does not require calibration. A skinfold measurement with a Harpenden skinfold caliper (Slim Guide Skinfold Caliper) was made on the RVL to ensure all subjects were below 15 mm skinfold thickness, during the initial visit (Perrey and Ferrari, 2018).

Power Output

Power output was recorded using each participant's individual onboard power meter. Power meters were zero-offset following



manufacturer specifications before each test. The electronic trainer was calibrated immediately after the warm-up of each test to ensure accurate resistance was provided. Peak PO (W_{peak}) was defined as the highest 30-s PO recorded during the ramp test.

Data Collection

All three NIRS sensors and power data were collected using a Garmin Edge 520 cycling computer (Garmin Ltd., Lenexa, United States). Gas exchange and V_E were collected using Parvo Medics software.

Data Analysis

Methods used for measuring VO_{2peak} and detecting RCP are described above. The Moxy sensor provided measures of estimated total heme concentration ([tHb+Mb] in arbitrary units) and muscle O_2 saturation (SmO₂ as a percent). Computation of oxygenated hemoglobin [O₂Hb+Mb] and deoxygenated hemoglobin [HHb+Mb] can be derived from [tHb+Mb] and SmO₂ (McManus et al., 2018; Feldmann et al., 2019).

The SmO₂ signal was used as the primary output variable in this study (Crum et al., 2017; McManus et al., 2018; Feldmann et al., 2019). SmO_2 was measured every 2 s (0.5 Hz) and raw data were smoothed to 5-s moving averages as per manufacturer default settings. The Deoxy-BP was operationally defined as an inflection point in the SmO₂ profile plotted against time, analogous to the approach using the [HHb+Mb] plateau described by. This gives a time of occurrence (TO) from which the corresponding PO and VO₂ can be determined using the MRT correction detailed above. The breakpoint was located using a piecewise "doublelinear" regression model implemented in the training analysis software WKO5 (TrainingPeaks, LLC, Boulder, CO, United States). This method is similar to the V-slope method for detecting RCP from the inflection of V_E vs. VCO₂ (Davis, 1985; Beaver et al., 1986). Following estimation of both the RCP and Deoxy-BP, each data set was reviewed by two physiologists for confirmation of the RCP and Deoxy-BP detection. Power output, VO₂, and time of occurrence (TO) at the RCP and Deoxy-BP were estimated, accounting for the muscle-pulmonary delay (MRT) as outlined above (Figure 2).

Statistical Analysis

GraphPad statistical software (GraphPad Software Inc., CA, United States) was used for statistical analysis. To test the hypothesis of non-differences between RCP and the two Deoxy-BP, as well as between the two Deoxy-BP (deltoid and VL), we performed one-way ANOVAs for the three outcome variables (VO₂, PO, and TO) and factors (RCP, Deoxy-BP deltoid, and Deoxy-BP VL). Regression analyses were used to calculate an association between RCP and the two Deoxy-BP, as well as between the two Deoxy-BP (deltoid and VL). Correlation was classified as followed: negligible (0.00–0.30), weak (0.30–0.50), moderate (0.50–0.70), strong (0.70–0.90), or very strong (0.90–1.00; Mukaka, 2012). A post-hoc Tukey Test was employed when significant differences were detected, and a Bland Altman test was used for agreement in the VO₂ between the breakpoints (p < 0.05).

RESULTS

Means for participant characteristics are presented in **Table 1**. Means for VO₂, PO, and TO at RCP, and both VL and deltoid Deoxy-BP are presented in **Table 2**. Mean comparison of RCP with both Deoxy-BP showed no difference in VO₂, PO, or TO (p > 0.05). **Figures 3A–C** presents individual data comparison between the three measurements as a function of VO₂, PO, and TO.

Figures 4A–C presents Pearson correlations between the VO₂ at RCP and the two Deoxy-BP, as well as between the two Deoxy-BP (VL and deltoid). The relationships across all variables showed positive, moderate relationships (r=0.58–0.69). All correlations were statistically significant (p<0.01).

Figures 5A-C presents Bland-Altman plots depicting the agreement between the VO₂ at RCP and both Deoxy-BP. Relative VO₂ (ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) detected for each of the measurements was used to assess their agreement. The mean average error for RCP and VL Deoxy-BP was



FIGURE 2 | A representative data set of breakpoint detection and comparison between the change in %SmO₂ (VL and deltoid) and VO₂ (panel **A**), ventilatory equivalents of O₂ and CO₂ (panel **B**), and %SmO₂ VL and deltoid profiles (panel **C**) during a continuous graded exercise test from rest to task intolerance.

Age (y)	Weight (kg)	Height (cm)	[.] VO₂peak (ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹)	MRT (sec)	W _{peak} (W)	SF (mm)	HR _{max} (bpm)
30.9 ± 8.3	75.0 ± 12.3	178.9 ± 10.1	61.4 ± 11.4	31.1 ± 10.8	406.4 ± 66.1	9.8 ± 4.9	188.9 ± 10.0

 TABLE 1
 Characteristics of study participants.

Mean data displayed as mean \pm standard deviation, for the following variables: age, weigh, height, peak VO₂ (VO_{2peak}), mean response time (MRT), peak power output (W_{peak}), skinfold tissue thickness (SF), and maximum heart rate (HR_{max}).

(p > 0.05; $-2.1 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ limits of agreement: lower = $-14.6 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$; upper = $10.5 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$). The mean average error for RCP and deltoid Deoxy-BP was $-1.2 \text{ ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ (p > 0.05;limits of agreement: lower = $-12.6 \text{ ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$; upper = $10.1 \text{ ml}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$). Lastly, the mean average error for VL and deltoid Deoxy-BP was $0.8 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ (p > 0.05;limits of agreement: lower = $-11.1 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$; upper = $12.7 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$).

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to compare VO_2 , PO, and TO corresponding to the RCP, and the breakpoint of muscle oxygenation in the vastus lateralis and deltoid muscles (VL and deltoid Deoxy-BP respectively) measured using wearable

NIRS sensors, during a ramp exercise protocol on a bicycle ergometer. From our results, we were unable to detect significant differences across our mean variables which were in support of our main hypothesis. It is important, however, to point out that despite our Bland Altman plots presenting a bias that was not different from zero, they depicted large limits of agreement of $> 10 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ between the variables. Our findings were in agreement with previous studies that compared the VO₂ and PO at the RCP and at the NIRS-derived Deoxy-BP (Iannetta et al., 2017a,b). Additionally, our results presented that the Deoxy-BP derived from a wearable sensor was not different from the RCP in a group of trained male and female cyclists with heterogeneous fitness. That said, the limits of agreement were poor; hence, caution is advised when using wearable NIRS devices to estimate RCP in an incremental ramp test. This may suggest that the protocol used should

 $\label{eq:table_transform} \begin{array}{c} \textbf{TABLE 2} & \textbf{M} \text{ Mean comparison between respiratory compensation point (RCP),} \\ \text{vastus lateralis (VL), and deltoid Deoxy-BP as a function of VO2, power output (PO), and time of occurrence (TO). \end{array}$

	RCP	VL	deltoid	
VO ₂ (ml·kg ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹)	51.2 ± 7.5	53.3 ± 6.3	52.5 ± 7.3	
PO (W)	304 ± 63	321 ± 65	317 ± 60	
TO (s)	448 ± 116	451 ± 115	442 ± 106	

Mean data displayed as mean ± standard deviation. No significant difference was observed between RCP, VL, and deltoid Deoxy-BP for those variables.

be considered when evaluating metabolic thresholds and intensity domains with either gas exchange, blood lactate, or muscle oxygenation. The demarcation of metabolic breakpoints is specific to the assessment protocol and measurement device used.

When all three breakpoints were compared in terms of corresponding VO₂ and PO, no mean differences were observed, and significant moderate to strong correlations between the measures were detected. Previous studies showing no differences used similar analysis; however, a majority of these studies used healthy participants with a lower mean VO_{2peak} of approximately 50 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ (Iannetta et al., 2017a,b). A study by Boone et al. (2015) had 64 participants with a similar range of heterogeneous fitness as seen in our group, who underwent a similar exercise protocol. Our results showed a similar range at Deoxy-BP at the VL and deltoid when presented in relative VO_2 (ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹). Despite these similarities, our results show large individual variation, which raises concerns about using these indices interchangeably. It is possible that the difference between the findings of Boone, et al., compared with our results is related to the different sensors used for the detection of the Deoxy-BP (laser-based, frequency domain versus CW-NIRS, respectively). Therefore, caution is warranted for practitioners using wearable NIRS sensors when attempting to similar interpret thresholds, using similar exercise protocols.

Although there were no significant differences between the mean VO₂ and PO corresponding to the Deoxy-BP and the RCP, individual data showed that the VL Deoxy-BP and RCP did not occur in a consistent sequence. The difference between the TO for VL Deoxy-BP and RCP ranged from -210 to 180s, and for deltoid Deoxy-BP and RCP was -155 to 145 (where negative indicates the RCP occurred before the Deoxy-BP, and positive indicates that the Deoxy-BP occurred before the RCP). The TO of the VL Deoxy-BP preceded the RCP TO in 11 of the 22 subjects, and the deltoid Deoxy-BP TO occurred before the RCP TO in 12 subjects, although not necessarily in the same individuals. The inclusion of TO in the analysis was to account for methodological limitations in accounting for MRT (discussed further below) and the differences that might have resulted when shifting either VO₂ or PO to compare the different breakpoints (Murias et al., 2013; Iannetta et al., 2019). In terms of corresponding VO₂, nine subjects had lower VO₂ at their VL Deoxy-BP than at their RCP, and nine subjects



(not necessarily the same individuals) had lower VO_2 at their deltoid Deoxy-BP. Such differences have also been shown by Boone et al. between the VO_2 at the RCP and the deoxygenated hemoglobin breakpoint BP deoxy[Hb+Mb] similar to Deoxy-BP used in our study), with the RCP preceding the breakpoint in deoxy[Hb+Mb] during an incremental ramp cycle test (Boone et al., 2015). They suggested two hypotheses for the potential mechanism responsible for this sequence. These hypotheses are focused



on (1) muscle metabolism changes during a ramp exercise test stimulating both V_E and respiratory alkalosis and vasodilation, resulting in increased blood delivery to the working muscles. (2) An increase in less oxidatively efficient type IIa and type IIx muscle fiber recruitment above the RCP to maintain power production in response to the increased resistance (Boone et al., 2015). These hypotheses are likely not mutually exclusive and potentially responsible for the variability observed in this study. Conversely, other reports have described a close agreement, with no significant differences between the RCP and NIRS breakpoints (Murias

et al., 2013; Fontana et al., 2015; Keir et al., 2015; Iannetta et al., 2017a), suggesting further investigation is warranted.

Our results, however, do not rule out the concept of disparate tissues reaching a local breakpoint at different times, which cumulatively produce the systemic response. It is possible that the breakpoint at the VL is led by elevated whole-body oxygen during cycling (Boone et al., 2015). As for the deltoid, recruitment during cycling may vary between different riding techniques and riding positions. As such, the presence of a Deoxy-BP at the deltoid may result from (1) an increase in O_2 extraction to produce work of upper body stabilization with increasing locomotor PO and (2) systemic redistribution of blood flow primarily toward working skeletal muscle, respiratory muscles, the heart, and the brain via vasoconstriction of non-priorities tissues (Özyener et al., 2012). Our results highlight the importance of including multiple muscle sites during whole-body exercise testing to investigate the sequential and mechanistic relationships between peripheral and systemic expression of metabolic breakpoints. This relationship is important as it may contribute to field application of wearable NIRS for intensity monitoring for the following reasons: (1) it may not be limited only to primary locomotor muscle measurements and (2) other anatomical locations may be less affected by movement artifacts, providing better signal quality during real-world training and competition. Further investigations should be made to address these points.

For practitioners and athletes, the ability to describe local oxygenation responses at multiple muscle sites may help understand the distribution of muscle recruitment, metabolic supply and demand, and cumulative local fatigue in the context of whole-body exercise. However, from our results, we conclude that using wearable NIRS to determine metabolic breakpoints remains premature, insofar as a high degree of individual variability exist even in well-controlled laboratory conditions. Contextual observations of muscle oxygenation responses and their reliability, apart from the determination of metabolic breakpoints, may still provide relevant information for practitioners to understand an individual athlete's response to exercise. Such observations could focus on stochastic exercise, recovery and repeated efforts, warm-up, and simulation of sport-specific activities to better understand metabolic demand and individual responses.

STUDY LIMITATIONS

As mentioned previously, VO_2 was measured with an opencircuit respiratory gas analysis system, with mixed expired gases averaged every 15s and interpolated to 1 Hz for analysis. Unlike a breath-by-breath system, this could have potentially introduced timing errors into the calculation of MRT that may have contributed to the individual variability observed in this parameter. This in turn could have affected the adjustment of VO_2 and PO at Deoxy-BP and RCP, respectively. For this reason, we chose to report the original time of occurrence for all breakpoints as they were measured during exercise, in



(ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) corresponding with RCP and VL Deoxy-BP (panel **A**), RCP and deltoid Deoxy-BP (panel **B**), and VL and deltoid Deoxy-BP (panel **C**). The horizontal solid line represents the mean difference between each of the two measurements. The paired horizontal dotted lines represent the 95% limits of agreement for each of the comparisons.

addition to calculating the MRT-adjusted VO_2 and PO that were associated with those breakpoints.

The analysis methods chosen for the detection of the NIRS-derived Deoxy-BP in this study and in others are based on well-established methods used to determine ventilatory thresholds (Davis, 1985; Beaver et al., 1986; Murias et al., 2013; Iannetta et al., 2019). The commonly used incremental ramp protocol allows for easy comparison to ventilatory thresholds for identification of intensity domains. However,

like all methods of assessing physiological breakpoint, the analysis method is specific to the protocol used. The considerable variability in the expression of individual ventilatory thresholds and deoxygenation breakpoints at locomotor and non-locomotor muscle sites among this well-trained subject group may suggest that different assessment protocols may be useful to further explore the sources of this individual heterogeneity. For example, by design, the relatively fast incremental ramp rate (30 W/min) used in the present study does not allow for the kinetics of either pulmonary ventilation or muscle oxygenation to be fully expressed at any particular workload. It could be that a longer multi-stage "step test" protocol could be used to observe the full expression of oxygenation kinetics across multiple locomotor and non-locomotor muscle sites at progressively increasing workloads, in order to better appreciate the interaction of local metabolic responses and their contribution to systemic measurements like pulmonary gas exchange. Future experiments will be able to optimize exercise assessment protocols to the specific advantages of NIRS, rather than be bound to design constraints imposed by unrelated measurement techniques.

Lastly, the default variable, SmO₂, generated by the Moxy sensor may be more sensitive to changes in blood flow compared to [HHb+Mb] measured with frequency-domain NIRS (Grassi et al., 2003). Because of this setting, it is possible that the high individual variation seen in our study is related to exercise induced vasodilation (Grassi et al., 2003).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our findings show that a commercially available wearable NIRS sensor can detect the Deoxy-BP in both VL and deltoid muscles, and that there were no differences between the mean Deoxy-BP and mean RCP; however, the high degree of individual variability suggests caution should be taken when translating between these protocol-dependent metabolic breakpoints. As such, further investigations remain warranted in order for practitioners and athletes to use wearable NIRS to detect physiological breakpoints that may be used to prescribe training, predict race performance pacing, and monitor athlete development, from a single incremental ramp exercise test.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by UBC Clinical Research Ethics Board. The patients/ participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AY and JA have equally contributed to the study design, data acquisition, and write up, under the supervision of MK, and guidance of the remaining listed authors. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

REFERENCES

- Barstow, T. J. (2019). Understanding near Infrared spectroscopy and its application to skeletal muscle research. J. App. Physiol. Am. Physiol. Soc. 126, 1360–1376. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00166.2018
- Beaver, W. L., Wasserman, K., and Whipp, B. J. (1986). A new method for detecting anaerobic threshold by gas exchange. J. Appl. Physiol. 60, 2020–2027. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1986.60.6.2020
- Boone, J., Barstow, T. J., Celie, B., Prieur, F., and Bourgois, J. (2014). The impact of pedal rate on muscle oxygenation, muscle activation and wholebody VO₂ during ramp exercise in healthy subjects. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 115, 57–70. doi: 10.1007/s00421-014-2991-x
- Boone, J., Barstow, T. J., Celie, B., Prieur, F., and Bourgois, J. (2015). The interrelationship between muscle oxygenation, muscle activation, and pulmonary oxygen uptake to incremental ramp exercise: influence of aerobic fitness. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* 41, 55–62. doi: 10.1139/ apnm-2015-0261
- Caen, K., Vermeire, K., Bourgois, J. G., and Boone, J. (2018). Exercise thresholds on trial: are they really equivalent? *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 50, 1277–1284. doi: 10.1249/MSS.00000000001547
- Contreras-Briceño, F., Espinosa-Ramirez, M., Hevia, G., Llambias, D., Carrasco, M., Cerda, F., et al. (2019). Reliability of NIRS portable device for measuring intercostal muscles oxygenation during exercise. J. Sports Sci. 37, 2653–2659. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2019.1653422
- Crum, E. M., O'Connor, W. J., Van Loo, L., Valckx, M., and Stannard, S. R. (2017). Validity and reliability of the Moxy oxygen monitor during incremental cycling exercise. *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* 17, 1037–1043. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2017.1330899
- Davis, J. A. (1985). Anaerobic threshold: review of the concept and directions for future research. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 17, 6–21. doi: 10.1249/00005768-198502000-00003
- Feldmann, A., Schmitz, R., and Erlacher, D. (2019). Near-infrared spectroscopyderived muscle oxygen saturation on a 0 to 100% scale: reliability and validity of the Moxy monitor. *J. Biomed. Opt.* 24, 1–11. doi: 10.1117/1. jbo.24.11.115001
- Fontana, F. Y., Keir, D. A., Bellotti, C., De Roia, G. F., Murias, J. M., and Pogliaghi, S. (2015). Determination of respiratory point compensation in healthy adults: can non-invasive near-infrared spectroscopy help? J. Sci. Med. Sport 18, 590–595. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2014.07.016
- Grassi, B., Pogliaghi, S., Rampichini, S., Quaresima, V., Ferrari, M., Marconi, C., et al. (2003). Muscle oxygenation and pulmonary gas exchange kinetics during cycling exercise on-transitions in humans. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 95, 149–158. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00695.2002
- Iannetta, D., Inglis, E. C., Fullerton, C., Passfield, L., and Murias, J. M. (2018). Metabolic and performance-related consequences of exercising at and slightly above MLSS. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* 28, 2481–2493. doi: 10.1111/sms.13280
- Iannetta, D., Murias, J. M., and Keir, D. A. (2019). A simple method to quantify the V-O 2 mean response time of ramp-incremental exercise. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 51, 1080–1086. doi: 10.1249/MSS.000000000001880
- Iannetta, D., Qahtani, A., Maturana, F. M., and Murias, J. M. (2017a). The near-infrared spectroscopy-derived deoxygenated Haemoglobin breaking-point is a repeatable measure That demarcates exercise intensity domains. J. Sci. Med. Sport 20, 873–877. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2017.01.237
- Iannetta, D., Qahtani, A., Millet, G. Y., and Murias, J. M. (2017b). Quadriceps muscles O₂ extraction and Emg breakpoints during a ramp incremental test. Front. Physiol. 8:686. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2017.00686
- Inglis, C., Erin, D. I., and Murias, J. M. (2017). The plateau in the NIRSderived [HHb] signal near the end of a ramp incremental test does not

FUNDING

This study was funded by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council Discovery Grant and an equipment grant from the British Columbia Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Foundation.

indicate the upper limit of O_2 extraction in the Vastus Lateralis. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 313, R723–R729. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00261.2017

- Inglis, E. C., Iannetta, D., Keir, D. A., and Murias, J. M. (2019). Traininginduced changes in the RCP, [HHb]BP and MLSS: evidence of equivalence. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 15, 119–125. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2019-0046
- Keir, D. A., Fontana, F. Y., Robertson, T. C., Murias, J. M., Paterson, D. H., Kowalchuk, J. M., et al. (2015). Exercise intensity thresholds: identifying the boundaries of sustainable performance. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 47, 1932–1940. doi: 10.1249/MSS.000000000000613
- Kirby, B. S., Clark, D. A., Bradley, E. M., and Wilkins, B. W. (2021). The balance of muscle oxygen supply and demand reveals critical metabolic rate and predicts time to exhaustion. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 130, 1915–1927. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00058.2021
- Legrand, R., Marles, A., Prieur, F., and Lazzari, S. (2007). Related trends in Locomotor and respiratory muscle oxygenation during exercise. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 39, 91–100. doi: 10.1249/01.mss.0000241638.90348.67
- Manchado-Gobatto, F. B., Marostegan, A. B., Rasteiro, F. M., Cirino, C., Cruz, J. P., Moreno, M. A., et al. (2020). New insights into mechanical, metabolic and muscle oxygenation signals During and After high-intensity tethered running. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 6336–6314. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-63297-w
- McManus, C. J., Collison, J., and Cooper, C. E. (2018). Performance comparison of the MOXY and PortaMon near-infrared spectroscopy muscle Oximeters at rest and during exercise. J. Biomed. Opt. 23, 1–14. doi: 10.1117/1. jbo.23.1.015007
- Mukaka, M. M. (2012). Statistics corner: A guide to appropriate use of correlation coefficient in medical research. J. Med. Assoc. Malawi 24, 69–71.
- Murias, J. M., Keir, D. A., Spencer, M. D., and Paterson, D. H. (2013). Sexrelated differences in muscle Deoxygenation during ramp incremental exercise. *Resp. Physiol. Neurobiol.* 189, 530–536. doi: 10.1016/j.resp.2013. 08.011
- Ogata, H., Reyihan, A., and Yano, T. (2004). Kinetics of oxygenation in inactive forearm muscle during ramp leg cycling. J. Physiol. Anthropol. Appl. Hum. Sci. 23, 7–17. doi: 10.2114/jpa.23.7
- Özyener, F., Whipp, B. J., and Ward, S. A. (2012). The contribution of 'resting' body muscles to the slow component of pulmonary oxygen uptake during high-intensity cycling. J. Sports Sci. Med. 11, 759–767.
- Perrey, S., and Ferrari, M. (2018). Muscle oximetry in sports science: a systematic review. Sports Med. 48, 597–616. doi: 10.1007/s40279-017-0820-1
- Racinais, S., Buchheit, M., Girard, O., and Perrey, S. (2014). Breakpoints in ventilation, cerebral and muscle oxygenation, and muscle activity during an incremental cycling exercise. *Front. Physiol.* 5:142. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2014. 00142
- Rodrigo-Carranza, V., González-Mohíno, F., Turner, A. P., Rodriguez-Barbero, S., and González-Ravé, J. M. (2021). Using a portable near-infrared spectroscopy device to estimate the second Ventilatory threshold. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 42, 905–910. doi: 10.1055/a-1343-2127
- Shiroishi, K., Kime, R., Osada, T., Murase, N., Shimomura, K., and Katsumura, T. (2010). Decreased muscle oxygenation and increased arterial blood flow in the non-exercising limb during leg exercise. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 662, 379–384. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-1241-1_55
- Tanaka, H., Shimizu, S., Ohmori, F., Muraoka, Y., Kumagai, M., Yoshizawa, M., et al. (2006). Increases in blood flow and shear stress to nonworking limbs during incremental exercise. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 38, 81–85. doi: 10.1249/01. mss.0000191166.81789.de
- Zwaard, S., Jaspers, R. T., Blokland, I. J., Achterberg, C., Visser, J. M., Den Uil, A. R., et al. (2016). Oxygenation threshold derived from nearinfrared spectroscopy: reliability and its relationship with the first

Ventilatory threshold. PLoS One 11, 1-16. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0162914

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may

be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Yogev, Arnold, Clarke, Guenette, Sporer and Koehle. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.