AUTHOR MANUSCRIPT HUGHES MEDICAL INSTITUTE Accepted for publication in a peer-reviewed journal

Published as: Dev Cell. 2015 February 23; 32(4): 423-434.

## **Genetic Changes Shaping the Human Brain**

## Byoung-il Bae<sup>1</sup>, Divya Jayaraman<sup>1</sup>, and Christopher A. Walsh<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Genetics and Genomics, Manton Center for Orphan Disease, and Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Boston Children's Hospital, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, and Departments of Pediatrics and Neurology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

## Summary

PubMed

Central

The development and function of our brain are governed by a genetic blueprint, which reflects dynamic changes over the history of evolution. Recent progress in genetics and genomics, facilitated by next-generation sequencing and single-cell sorting, has identified numerous genomic loci that are associated with a neuroanatomical or neurobehavioral phenotype. Here, we review some of the genetic changes in both protein-coding and noncoding regions that affect brain development and evolution, as well as recent progress in brain transcriptomics. Understanding these genetic changes may provide novel insights into neurological and neuropsychiatric disorders, such as autism and schizophrenia.

All life forms develop, reproduce, and age based on their genetic blueprint. The human genetic blueprint is written in approximately 3 billion base pairs (bp) and contains proteincoding genes (estimated at 21,000 or fewer), RNA genes (e.g., microRNAs, promoterassociated short RNAs, small nucleolar RNAs and long noncoding RNAs, which are estimated at 18,400), *cis*-regulatory elements (including promoters and transcription factor binding sites), long-range regulatory elements (namely, enhancers, repressors/silencers and insulators), and transposable elements (ENCODE Project Consortium, 2011, 2012; Lander, 2011; Lander et al., 2001; Pennisi, 2012).

The human genome changes over both short and long time scales. Genetic changes that occurred as *Homo sapiens* diverged from the common ancestor of primates are identifiable as human-specific regions of the genome (Pollard et al., 2006). Subtler genetic changes, especially relating to susceptibility to infectious diseases, have occurred during human migration out of Africa and settlement in certain geographical and biological environments (Sabeti et al., 2007; Tishkoff et al., 2007). Third, genetic changes continue to occur, and can cause disease, when inherited within a family as dominant (e.g. Huntington's disease) or recessive (e.g. microcephaly) alleles (Gilmore and Walsh, 2013; Ross and Tabrizi, 2011). Most recently, we have been discovering the extent to which genetic changes that occur

<sup>© 2015</sup> Published by Elsevier Inc.

This manuscript version is made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: christopher.walsh@childrens.harvard.edu.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

somatically, during the development and lifetime of an individual, can affect the brain (Jamuar et al., 2014; Poduri et al., 2013).

One may wish that the development and evolution of the human brain could be explained simply by a discrete set of human-specific genetic changes within the context of conserved brain development among mammals, including mice. However, there are many qualitative and quantitative differences in the development of the cerebral cortex (neocortex) between humans and mice (Geschwind and Rakic, 2013; Hill and Walsh, 2005), and even our understanding of the development of the mouse brain is far from complete. Nonetheless, there has been recent progress in our understanding of human brain development and evolution due to new methods and tools available in genetics, genomics, and developmental biology. Here, we will review the present state of research on the genetic changes affecting the development and evolution of the human neocortex.

# Identification of Evolutionarily Important Genomic Regions by

### **Comparisons between Species**

One way to identify genetic changes that may have contributed to the evolution of the human brain is to compare the human genome to genomes of other species, both closely and distantly related, and determine which genetic changes may be relevant. Currently, genome sequences are available for archaic humans, such as the Neanderthal (Green et al., 2010) and the Denisovan (Reich et al., 2010), and for apes, such as the chimpanzee (Chimpanzee Sequencing and Analysis Consortium, 2005), the macaque (Gibbs et al., 2007), the orangutan (Locke et al., 2011), the gorilla (Scally et al., 2012), and the bonobo (Prufer et al., 2012), as well as dozens of non-primate species.

However, mere comparison of the genomes from different species is not sufficient to identify functionally relevant genetic changes, as there are countless differences between the human genome and the genomes of other species. For example, there are nearly 20 million genomic loci that differ between humans and chimpanzees. One important caveat here is that some of the apparent differences between humans and non-humans may simply reflect technical effects such as low sequencing coverage and improper annotation of primate genomes compared to the human genome. The currently annotated human genome is a compilation of thousands of individual genomes. Thus, intra-species population variability is well documented in humans (Abecasis et al., 2012). In contrast, some of the archaic human and primate genomes are based on a single individual. Second, in most cases, the functional consequences of species differences in sequence are unknown. Any genetic change has the potential to elicit a robust phenotypic change, but it is nearly impossible to predict which genetic change is relevant. Then, out of 20 million or more candidates, where should we start?

One plausible starting point is to focus on the sequences that are uniquely present, absent, or variable in the human genome, as those sequences might contribute to unique features of the human brain. A search based on BLASTP (Protein Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) revealed a few *de novo* genes that are unique to the human genome (Knowles and McLysaght, 2009; Wu et al., 2011). Interestingly, RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data indicate

that these genes have their highest expression levels in the neocortex and testes, although their functions have not been systematically examined. Conversely, a systematic search for human-specific deletions compared with other primate genomes identified 510 such deletions in humans that fall almost exclusively in noncoding regions (McLean et al., 2011). One such deletion removes a forebrain germinal zone enhancer near the tumor suppressor gene *growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible, gamma (GADD45G)*, suggesting a possible role in the expansion of specific brain regions in humans. However, a general consensus is that humans have a remarkably similar number of protein-coding genes to model organisms such as mice and worms, and that most of the essential evolutionary changes did not happen by simple gene addition or subtraction.

Another evolutionary approach has been to focus on genomic loci that are well conserved throughout vertebrate evolution but are strikingly different in humans; these regions have been named "human accelerated regions (HARs)" (Bird et al., 2007; Bush and Lahn, 2008; Pollard et al., 2006; Prabhakar et al., 2008). So far, ~2700 HARs have been identified, again most of them in noncoding regions: at least ~250 of these HARs seem to function as developmental enhancers in the brain (Capra et al., 2013). One of them (HAR1) encodes a long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) that is expressed specifically in Cajal-Retzius neurons in the developing human neocortex. Cajal-Retzius neurons are a heterogeneous population of cell types in the marginal zone and layer I of the developing neocortex that produces reelin, a large, secreted, extracellular matrix glycoprotein. Reelin controls processes of neuronal migration and positioning in the developing neocortex, so the expression pattern of this HAR suggests intriguing potential roles in regulating the shape or structure of the cerebral cortex.

The analysis of convergent evolution represents a third avenue of comparative genomics that has been very powerful of late, but has not yet been explored in relation to the structure of the neocortex per se. A particular phenotype can evolve independently in species belonging to different lineages, creating analogous structures that have similar form or function. An evolutionarily convergent phenotype may be correlated with similar genomic differences occurrnig independently in the two lineages that evolved the same trait independently. Using this approach, the genetic basis of vitamin C deficiency in certain primates including humans has recently been uncovered (Hiller et al., 2012). Similarly, echolocation in bats and dolphins (Parker et al., 2013), the electric organs (Gallant et al., 2014), the origin of the nervous system (Moroz et al., 2014), and the domestication of wild rabbits (Carneiro et al., 2014) have been studied. This method may be very powerful in the future to apply to cerebral cortical evolution as well.

# Identification of Evolutionarily Important Genomic Regions Using Human Genetics

The basic premise of human genetics is simple: where there is a phenotype, there is likely to be an associated genetic (or epigenetic) change. Identification of a genetic change in humans and validation in cell and animal models can explain the mechanistic cause of the phenotype. There are more than seven billion people manifesting diverse phenotypes, which are skillfully identified and characterized by physicians (Brenner, 2003). In conjunction with

traditional human genetics approaches, next-generation, high-throughput, deep sequencing is a powerful tool to identify genetic changes that are compatible with life and affect development of the human brain.

It is relatively easy to detect and understand the functional consequences of changes in protein-coding sequences, compared to noncoding mutations. Mutations in a coding sequence often cause more severe phenotypes than mutations in a regulatory element associated with the same coding sequence. Nonsense mutations, as well as missense mutations, especially in evolutionarily conserved amino acids, can lead to gain or loss of function of a protein. A coding sequence or by knocking out, knocking down, or knocking in the orthologous gene. The tissues, cell types, and subcellular organelles in which a protein is expressed can suggest potential roles of the protein. Knowledge of any interacting proteins and of the amino acid sequence homology to other well-characterized proteins can also help identify the potential functions of a protein.

In this regard, *forkhead box protein p2 (FOXP2)* provides a prime example of proteincoding sequence mutations that identify genes with potential evolutionary importance. A mutation in *FOXP2* was first identified in a British family with a severe language disorder (Lai et al., 2001). The affected individuals have a severe impairment in the selection and sequencing of fine oral and facial movements, the ability to break up words into their constituent phonemes, and the production and comprehension of word inflections and syntax. Their non-verbal abilities are relatively intact. *FOXP2* encodes a forkhead-winged helix family transcription factor that is highly expressed in the developing and mature neocortex (Ferland et al., 2003), and the mutation (R553H) disrupts the forkhead DNAbinding domain.

Comparison of the FOXP2 cDNAs from multiple species indicates that the human FOXP2 protein differs at only 3 amino acid residues from the mouse ortholog, and at 2 residues from the chimpanzee, gorilla, and rhesus macaque orthologs (Enard et al., 2002). These amino acid changes are functionally critical as the human and chimpanzee FOXP2 have strikingly different transcriptional targets, many of which are involved in central nervous system development (Konopka et al., 2009). Human-specific FOXP2 targets also include genes involved in branchial arch formation and craniofacial development, which may be required for spoken language. Mice carrying humanized *FoxP2* show accelerated learning, qualitatively different ultrasonic vocalizations, and increased dendrite length and synaptic plasticity in the medium spiny neurons of the striatum. These findings suggest that the cortico-striatal circuitry mediates speech and language in humans (Enard et al., 2009; Fujita et al., 2008; Schreiweis et al., 2014; Shu et al., 2005). Although it is hard to know whether mouse ultrasonic vocalizations are analogous to human language, FoxP2 and cortico-striatal seem to control vocal learning in songbirds, which communicate via vocalizations like humans (Wohlgemuth et al., 2014). Perhaps surprisingly, Neanderthals, Denisovans and modern humans share an identical FOXP2 protein (Meyer et al., 2012; Noonan et al., 2006). Thus, at least some neurobiological and physiological aspects of speech and language probably emerged after divergence from chimpanzees.

FOXP2 is a part of a larger FOXP family that includes FOXP1, FOXP3, and FOXP4. Their expression patterns are different, but all FOXP transcription factors have a highly similar structure, suggesting that they emerged by duplication. Conserved forkhead domains are found in eukaryotic organisms from yeast to humans, and the human genome contains more than forty *FOX* genes that play diverse roles in development, metabolism, immunity, and cancer (Benayoun et al., 2011). Indeed, the majority of human genes have been generated by gene duplication (Ohno, 1970; Zhang, 2003). Duplicated genes diverge functionally over time by accumulating changes in the coding sequence as well as in associated noncoding regulatory elements, which alter protein expression patterns (Carroll et al., 2005; Conant and Wolfe, 2008).

Analysis of the Slit-Robo Rho GTPase-activating protein 2 (SRGAP2) genes shows the potential role of gene duplication in brain evolution (Charrier et al., 2012; Dennis et al., 2012). SRGAP2 has been implicated in cerebral cortical development in mice, especially in neuronal migration and morphogenesis, and the F-BAR domain of SRGAP2 is required for its function (Guerrier et al., 2009). Mice have only one form of the Srgap2 gene, but humans have four forms: one ancestral form (SRGAP2A), which is orthologous to mouse Srgap2, and three human-specific paralogs (SRGAP2B, SRGAP2C, and SRGAP2D) that were produced by incomplete segmental duplication. Unlike SRGAP2A, which consists of 1,071 amino acids (aa), the 459-aa SRGAP2B and SRGAP2C proteins have a truncated F-BAR domain. SRGAP2D mRNA is subject to nonsense-mediated decay. The three human-specific paralogs are located in the human-lineage-specific loci 1q21.1 and 1p12, both of which contain a number of genes implicated in neurodevelopment (O'Bleness et al., 2012). The human-specific paralogs are also present in the Denisovan and Neanderthal genomes, but not in the nonhuman primate genomes. Thus, the human-specific SRGAP2 paralogs emerged when the genus Homo diverged from Australopithecus 2-3 million years ago (Dennis et al., 2012). SRGAP2B is expressed as a pseudogene. With its truncated F-BAR domain, SRGAP2C dimerizes with full-length SRGAP2A and inhibits SRGAP2A function in a dominant negative manner. Overexpression of SRGAP2C in the mouse brain results in sustained radial migration of neurons as well as increased spine density and neoteny during spine maturation, which are human-specific features of neuronal development.

Genes controlling brain size or shape also exemplify evolutionarily dynamic genomic regions identified by human genetics. Primary microcephaly is an autosomal recessive neurodevelopmental disorder resulting in an abnormally small brain volume of > 2–3 standard deviations below the mean, and some of the genes for this condition have also been implicated as potentially active in human brain evolution. Many of the known genetic mutations causing human primary microcephaly target one subcellular organelle – the centrosome (Gilmore and Walsh, 2013). Individuals with primary microcephaly show no obvious motor deficits but suffer from intellectual disability and language delay. Microcephaly is largely caused by mutations that disrupt genes encoding centrosomal proteins: *MCPH1, ASPM, CDK5RAP2, CENPJ, STIL, WDR62, CEP152*, and *CEP63* (Bilguvar et al., 2010; Bond et al., 2002; Bond et al., 2005; Guernsey et al., 2010; Jackson et al., 2002; Kumar et al., 2009; Nicholas et al., 2010; Sir et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2010). Intriguingly, a few microcephaly-associated genes, notably *ASPM* and *CDK5RAP2*, show

evidence for positive selection not only in primates but also across placental mammals. The evolution of *ASPM* and *CDK5RAP2* is strongly correlated with brain size, suggesting their roles in brain evolution (Montgomery and Mundy, 2014).

As microcephaly proteins are ubiquitously present in the centrosome of most animal cells (Nigg and Raff, 2009), it is unclear why mutations in these genes affect the brain more than the rest of the body in most cases. The centrosome is the main microtubule-nucleating organelle during mitosis (M phase). It is composed of two centrioles: an old, fully mature, mother centriole and a young, immature, daughter centriole. The mother centriole forms the basal body of the primary cilium during G1 phase. After centriole duplication at G1/S, the cell contains two centrosomes, each consisting of a mother centriole and a daughter centriole. However, one of the centrosomes contains the oldest ('grandmother') centriole in the cell, which was the mother centriole in the previous cell cycle. This inherent asymmetry between the mother and daughter centrioles and, by extension, between the two centrosomes in a dividing cell, seems to be critical to the maintenance of stem cell character (Wang et al., 2009; Yamashita et al., 2007). During cell division, the grandmother centriole and the ciliary membrane linked to this centriole are preferentially inherited by the daughter cell that is destined to remain a neural stem or apical radial glial cell (Paridaen et al., 2013; Taverna et al., 2014). Thus, in the absence of a microcephaly protein, human neural progenitors may fail to asymmetrically inherit the ciliary membrane or grandmother centriole, thereby losing stem cell character prematurely.

The centrosome-associated cell division machinery contains at least three more proteins that carry modern human-specific amino-acid substitutions. CASC5 (cancer susceptibility candidate 5), KIF18A (kinesin family member 18A), and SPAG5 (sperm-associated antigen 5) localize to the mitotic spindle, which is organized by the centrosome, or to the kinetochore, which attaches chromosomes to the spindle microtubules (Paabo, 2014; Prufer et al., 2014). The three proteins are expressed in the germinal zones during mid-fetal brain development. These data suggest that the centrosome-associated cell division machinery may be critical to neocortical evolution.

Another crucial neurodevelopmental gene, *AHI1*, has undergone evolutionary changes along the human lineage (Ferland et al., 2004). Mutations in *AHI1* cause Joubert syndrome, a congenital brain malformation of the cerebellar vermis and brainstem. Individuals with Joubert syndrome have motor and behavioral abnormalities, including an inability to walk due to severe clumsiness and 'mirror' movements, and cognitive and behavioral disturbances. In particular, the human and non-human primate amino acid sequences of the N-terminal coiled-coil domain of AHI1 are highly divergent – and this domain is totally missing in mice and rats – suggesting that AHI1 may play a crucial, human-specific role during neurodevelopment. Overall, the studies of changes in protein-coding sequences have highlighted some interesting leads.

## Noncoding DNA and Human Brain Evolution

Even before the completion of the human genome project, it was anticipated that proteincoding sequences alone could not fully explain the human-specific aspects of our anatomy

and physiology. Human and chimpanzee proteins were already known to be so similar that other regulatory mechanisms would presumably be required to account for the biological differences between these species (King and Wilson, 1975). Indeed, the human genome project has made it clear that nearly 99% of the human genome does not encode proteins and that the approximately 21,000 human protein-coding genes are in general surprisingly similar across placental mammals (Lander, 2011; Lander et al., 2001; Venter et al., 2001).

Although the actual number of protein-coding genes in humans is much smaller than initial estimates of approximately 100,000 genes, alternative splicing has been recognized as an important source of variation, potentially producing multiple different functional mRNAs and proteins with diverse expression patterns from the same gene (Keren et al., 2010; Nilsen and Graveley, 2010). The synapse provides ample examples of alternative splicing events that diversify its components. Clustered protocadherins are perhaps the most complex synapse-specificity and circuit-assembly molecules that are alternatively spliced (Zipursky and Sanes, 2010). With numerous alternative exons for multiple protein domains, there are approximately 350,000 possible, combinatorial protocadherin proteins from about 60 *Pcdh* loci.

Neurexins, a highly polymorphic family of synaptic receptors, exhibit distinct isoformspecific biochemical interactions and synapse assembly functions. The KH-domain RNAbinding protein SAM68 alternatively splices the *Nrxn1* gene in an activity-dependent manner, thereby dynamically controlling *Nrxn1* molecular diversity in the central nervous system (Iijima et al., 2011). In fact, a few critical splicing factors in the nervous system have been reported, such as NOVA, FMRP, RBFOX, and most recently, nSR100/SRRM4 (Brown et al., 2001; Gehman et al., 2011; Irimia et al., 2014; Jensen et al., 2000). nSR100 controls alternative splicing of 3–15 nucleotide "microexons," which in turn alters proteinprotein interactions, during neurogenesis. Reduced levels of nSR100 and dysregulated neuronal microexons are implicated in some cases of autism (Irimia et al., 2014). RBFOX targets are also frequently dysregulated in autism, highlighting the critical role of alternative splicing in brain development and function (De Rubeis et al., 2014; Voineagu et al., 2011; Weyn-Vanhentenryck et al., 2014).

In addition to alternative splicing, almost half of the known protein-coding genes in humans utilize alternative promoters. Each promoter is regulated by a distinct set of transcription factors, which drive diverse spatial and temporal expression patterns (Davuluri et al., 2008). For example, the human *brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF)* gene has nine promoters that are used in specific tissues and brain-regions (Pruunsild et al., 2007). Aberrant use of a promoter is associated with various diseases. A polymorphism in the promoter region of the human serotonin transporter gene *SLC6A4* has also been associated with several dimensions of neurosis and psychopathology (Hariri et al., 2002).

*G protein-coupled receptor 56 (GPR56)* demonstrates how noncoding elements and alternative promoters can control key features of cerebral cortical development. *GPR56* encodes a G protein-coupled receptor that binds extracellular matrix ligands and regulates normal neocortical development (Jeong et al., 2013; Singer et al., 2013). Mutations in the coding sequences cause polymicrogyria ('too many small gyri') in most of the neocortex

(Piao et al., 2004). Recently, polymicrogyria restricted to the neocortical areas surrounding the Sylvian fissure including Broca's area, the primary language area, was identified (Bae et al., 2014). The causative mutation is found in the GPR56 locus, but disrupts a noncoding element, which constitutes a strong neural promoter during development, in the 5'-upstream regulatory region of *GPR56*. It turned out that the human *GPR56* has at least 17 alternative promoters, whereas mouse *Gpr56* has only a handful. Intriguingly, the human noncoding element directs gene expression in a highly restricted pattern, whereas the mouse element directs more diffuse expression. These data suggest that diversification of noncoding elements and promoters results in region-specific gene expression in the cortex, thus allowing independent development and functional specialization of distinct neocortical regions.

Noncoding regions in the human genome have numerous transposable elements, highly repetitive DNA, introns, pseudogenes as well as RNA genes. What proportion of noncoding DNA is functional is an open question, which deserves a substantial amount of careful study (Doolittle, 2013; ENCODE Project Consortium, 2012; Palazzo and Gregory, 2014). Obviously, not all DNA in the human genome can be functional. The human genome (comprising 3 billion bp) contains roughly eight times as much DNA as that of the pufferfish *Fugu rubripes* (0.4 billion bp), but is about 1/40th the size of the genome of the lungfish *Protopterus aethiopicus* (140 billion bp) (http://www.genomesize.com) (Palazzo and Gregory, 2014). So the relationship between genome size and the complexity of the organism is not a straightforward one.

Transposable elements are the most prevalent type of noncoding DNA, accounting for approximately 45% of the human genome. LINE1 retrotransposons are autonomous transposable elements that can retrotranspose a copy of their own RNA, as well as other RNAs, such as short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs), and cellular mRNAs. Most transposable elements are inactive due to mutations, but LINE1 is still active and has been implicated in dozens of diseases (Hancks and Kazazian, 2012). Transposable elements clearly have been active over evolutionary time to introduce innovations to the genome during evolution. Many conserved noncoding elements were derived from transposable elements. Comparison of placental and marsupial genomes demonstrates that the number of conserved noncoding elements is sharply increased in the genome of placental mammals (Lindblad-Toh et al., 2011; Mikkelsen et al., 2007). Over the course of evolution, transposable elements provide novel binding sites for the host transcriptional machinery, and thus help create novel regulatory networks (Davidson, 2010; Wray, 2007). For example, many of the complex alternative promoters and exons of human *GPR56* were derived from LINEs and SINEs (Bae et al., 2014).

The concept that changes in noncoding sequence generate evolutionary changes has been strongly supported by increasingly systematic studies of regulatory elements that control nervous system expression. Active regulatory elements are usually associated with p300/CBP and epigenetically marked by H3K27ac. Thus, p300/CBP chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing followed by *lacZ* reporter mouse transgenesis can systematically reveal enhancers active in the telencephalon (http://enhancer.lbl.gov). This approach identified numerous potential embryonic forebrain enhancers that are

evolutionarily conserved or divergent between mice and humans. At least one-third of human brain candidate enhancers are unique to humans and not functionally conserved in mice, suggesting that a significant portion of human brain enhancers emerged after the divergence of primates and rodents (Visel et al., 2013), and that changes in enhancer elements represent a dynamic evolutionary mechanism.

Recently, the importance of noncoding RNAs in brain development, evolution, and disease has been gradually appreciated (Esteller, 2011; Qureshi and Mehler, 2012; Sauvageau et al., 2013). For example, there are more than 100 primate-specific, and 20 human-specific microRNAs that are expressed in the developing brain (Berezikov, 2011). The primate-specific microRNAs target cell-cycle and neurogenesis regulators, thereby increasing proliferation of neocortical progenitors. Primate-specific co-evolution of microRNAs and their targets in the germinal zones may have contributed to neocortical expansion in primates (Arcila et al., 2014).

# Transcriptomic Analyses Reveal How the Human Brain Develops and Evolves

Changes in the noncoding genome are reflected in differences in levels or patterns of transcription, and our understanding of the architecture of gene transcription has advanced considerably in the last few years. RNA-seq of prenatal and postnatal brains in health and disease has provided an enormous wealth of data on gene expression (http://hbatlas.org, http://www.brain-map.org, http://www.brainspan.org) (Hawrylycz et al., 2012; Johnson et al., 2009; Kang et al., 2011; Lein and Hawrylycz, 2014; Miller et al., 2014; Pletikos et al., 2014; Zeng et al., 2012). The human brain expresses numerous genes; approximately 80–95% of protein-coding genes are expressed in at least one brain region during at least one period of development or adulthood. Co-expressed genes suggest anatomical structures, cell types, and molecular pathways that are potentially critical to brain development and function. Comparison of brain RNA-seq from different species reveals evolutionarily conserved and divergent gene expression patterns.

The adult human neocortex has multiple distinct functional areas, such as the visual area in the occipital lobe, the auditory area in the temporal lobe, and Broca's language area in the left frontal lobe. Surprisingly, it turned out that transcription profiles in the adult neocortex are relatively homogeneous throughout the cortex, although a certain level of heterogeneity still does exist (Hawrylycz et al., 2012; Kang et al., 2011; Pletikos et al., 2014), suggesting that the distinct function of each neocortical area becomes apparent only at a higher level of complexity beyond gene expression. Comparison of the adult human and non-human primate brain transcriptomes shows that primates share common, closely matched gene expression patterns in the neocortex, while the human frontal lobe is transcriptionally more complex (Bernard et al., 2012; Konopka et al., 2012; Pletikos et al., 2014). In contrast, the mouse neocortex transcriptome is markedly different from the primate transcriptome. For example, the *synaptic vesicle protein 2c (SV2C)* gene is expressed preferentially in layer 3 pyramidal neurons in primates, but is expressed in layer 5 pyramidal neurons in mice. The *prodynorphin (PDYN)* gene, which encodes dynorphin and other kappa opioid receptor

peptide agonists, is expressed in layers 4 and 5 in primary visual cortex in primates, but is expressed only in scattered GABAergic interneurons in mice (Bernard et al., 2012).

The transcriptome of the developing, prenatal human neocortex, however, is quite heterogeneous across different cortical areas (Johnson et al., 2009; Kang et al., 2011; Miller et al., 2014). First, transcriptional differences are found between different neocortical areas (e.g., orbital/dorsolateral/ventrolateral/medial prefrontal, motor-somatosensory, parietal association, temporal auditory, temporal association, and occipital visual neocortex). Each area expresses a large number of specific gene expression and alternative splicing patterns, often with a rostrocaudal, mediolateral, or frontotemporal gradient. For example, *cerebellin 2 precursor (CBLN2)* is enriched in the prefrontal cortex, *neuropeptide Y (NPY)* in non-frontal areas, and *FOXP2* in the perisylvian cortex; the full-length isoform *ROBO1a* is enriched in the temporal lobe, whereas the alternative short isoform *ROBO1b* is slightly enriched in the prefrontal cortex has a distinct gene network that contains a number of schizophrenia genes (Gulsuner et al., 2013). Differentially expressed genes are more frequently associated with human-specific evolution of putative *cis*-regulatory elements (Johnson et al., 2009).

Transcriptional differences in the prenatal human are also pronounced in different germinal and postmitotic layers. Laminar transcriptomic signatures are likely to reflect the cellular diversity and developmental processes of the prenatal human neocortex. Excitatory neurons, which constitute the majority of neocortical neurons, are derived from neocortical progenitors called radial glial (RG) cells in a germinal zone called the ventricular zone (VZ) (Ayoub et al., 2011; Fietz et al., 2012). RG cells and the VZ are common to all mammals, and express cell proliferation genes (e.g., SOX2, PAX6, ASPM), with human RG cells showing a number of species-specific signaling pathways such as platelet derive growth factor D (PDGFD) signaling (Lui et al., 2014). On the other hand, differentiated, postmitotic neurons express distinct neuronal genes (e.g., LMO4, FOXP1, CUX1). Remarkably, humans, non-human primates, and placental mammals with an enlarged brain have an additional, expanded germinal zone called the outer subventricular zone (OSVZ), where a unique population of neocortical progenitors called outer or basal RG cells are abundant (Betizeau et al., 2013; Fietz et al., 2010; Hansen et al 2010; Lui et al., 2011; Reillo et al., 2011; Smart et al., 2002). However, the OSVZ shows minimal transcriptional differences from the inner subventricular zone (Miller et al., 2014), which awaits more sophisticated investigations, preferably based on single-cell transcriptomes, because individual differences of neocortical progenitors may be obscured by pooled population means.

In this regard, there are a couple of debates on progenitors and the OSVZ in the neocortical development field. The first debate is on how neocortical progenitors generate postmitotic neurons diverse in shape, size, connectivity, and electrophysiological and molecular properties. One theory is that a common kind of progenitor sequentially generates all subtypes of neurons, with progressive restriction of progenitor fate potential (Guo et al., 2013). The other theory is that lineage-restricted or distinct subtypes of progenitors co-exist and are pre-specified to generate different subtypes of neurons (Franco et al., 2012). As both theories were mainly explored in mice, other animals with an enlarged and gyrencephalic

neocortex should be examined rigorously and carefully to resolve this debate. The second debate is on what roles outer RG cells in the OSVZ have played for neocortical expansion in carnivores and primates during evolution. Outer RG cells show unique morphology and cellular movements, and are abundant in primates and carnivores, while virtually absent in mice. As neocortical expansion strongly correlates with gyrification (Tallinen et al., 2014), potential roles of outer RG cells in gyrification and expansion were explored. Examination of multiple mammals revealed that outer RG cells are also present in the Amazonian agouti, a gyrencephalic rodent, and the marmoset, a lissencephalic primate (Garcia-Moreno et al., 2012; Kelava et al., 2012). Thus, whereas the mere presence of outer RG cells alone cannot explain gyrification, they are nonetheless in a key context to potentially regulate gyral patterns. Perhaps specific ablation of outer RG cells in animal models other than mice, or examination of outer RG cells in microcephalic brains during development may resolve this debate.

Human-specific transcriptomic analyses and the above-mentioned debates raise questions about the widespread use of mice as proxies for humans in research on neocortical development and function, and in psychiatric drug development. The features that are conserved between mice and humans are, no doubt, of paramount importance, and thus will continue to be studied. However, there are a few evolutionarily novel features unique to the developing human or primate neocortex, such as precursor neurons migrating into the cortical primordium from the subpallium even before local neurogenesis in the VZ, von Economo neurons located in layer V of the anterior cingulate and frontoinsular cortex, and so on (Clowry et al., 2010; Geschwind and Rakic, 2013; Radonjic et al., 2014). Hence, the precise introduction of mutations that cause severe human neocortical phenotypes into the mouse genome often leads to negligible phenotypes in the mouse. Alternatively, larger animal models, such as the ferret, a gyrencephalic carnivore, and the marmoset (Fietz et al., 2010; Garcia-Moreno et al., 2012; Kelava et al., 2012), or three-dimensional culture of human neural stem cell-derived cerebral organoids or mini-brains (Bae and Walsh, 2013; Lancaster et al., 2013; Stein et al., 2014) may provide new insights into human neocortical development and evolution. Regardless, caution must be taken against quick extrapolation of results from ferrets, inbred mice, or cell culture systems to human neocortical development per se. Both human fetal brain tissues and animal/cell models need to be studied in parallel.

### The Dynamic Genome of the Single Neuron

Somatic mutations, which arise during the cell divisions that generate the embryo, have recently been implicated in several important neurological diseases. Somatic mutations that were identified in human hemimegalencephaly (HMG) revealed the dysregulated phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)–AKT3-mTOR pathway as the causative mechanism (Lee et al., 2012; Poduri et al., 2012; Riviere et al., 2012). HMG patients suffer from intractable epilepsy, which can be controlled only by surgical resection of the affected brain tissue. Prior to the discovery of somatic mutations in the PI3K–AKT3-mTOR pathway in HMG, the genetic etiology of HMG was poorly understood, although there had been rare cases of HMG associated with the overgrowth syndromes tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) (Cartwright et al., 2005) and Proteus syndrome (Griffiths et al., 1994). Direct study of resected tissue led to the identification of a recurrent *AKT3* mutation (Glu17Lys) in HMG.

AKT3 is a RAC-gamma serine/threonine protein kinase that is highly expressed in the developing neocortex, and the Glu17Lys mutation renders AKT3 constitutively active (Lee et al., 2012; Poduri et al., 2012). Additional somatic mutations causing HMG have been identified that implicate key upstream and downstream components of this pathway, namely PI3K and mTOR (Lee et al., 2012; Riviere et al., 2012). These results are consistent with previous reports that HMG is occasionally seen in patients with TSC. TSC is caused by mutations in *TSC1* and *TSC2*, which encode members of the mTOR pathway. Surprisingly, levels of mosaicism as low as 8% are sufficient to disrupt the normal architecture and function of the neocortex in HMG (Evrony et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2012; Poduri et al., 2012; Riviere et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2012; Poduri et al., 2012; Riviere et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2012; Poduri et al., 2012; Riviere et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2012; Poduri et al., 2012; Riviere et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2012; Poduri et al., 2012; Riviere et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2012; Poduri et al., 2012; Riviere et al., 2012; Riviere et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2012; Poduri et al., 2012; Riviere et al., 2012; Riviere et al., 2012; Poduri et al., 2012; Riviere et al., 2012).

Given the difficulty of detecting somatic mosaic mutations by standard methods such as Sanger sequencing or even whole exome sequencing, it is not known how common a cause of complex neurogenetic disease they are. A recent study of brain malformations used 'deep sequencing' of candidate genes to an average read depth of more than 200 to identify mutations that might be present in a small proportion of cells. Analysis of leukocyte-derived DNA samples from 158 persons with brain malformations identified somatic mosaicism that had been difficult to detect previously. This study revealed that such somatic mutations represented more than a quarter of all identified mutations, and were often missed both by Sanger sequencing and by some whole exome sequencing with typical calling algorithms. Substantial disability in the form of epilepsy and intellectual disability could be caused by mutations present in as few as 10% of brain cells. Presumably, these mutations occurred in the early post-zygotic stages before neurogenesis. This report suggests that high-coverage sequencing panels provide an important complement to whole exome and genome sequencing in the evaluation of somatic mutations in the human brain (Jamuar et al., 2014).

While low levels of somatic mosaicism are clinically important, they are also difficult to detect. Typical whole genome sequencing or Sanger sequencing of pooled DNA from many cells covers each base in the genome 50–60 times; at this level of coverage, it is possible to dismiss low levels of true somatic mosaicism as errors (false negative results). One way to solve this problem is to amplify and sequence the genomes of individual cells. Single-cell sequencing has already been used to evaluate the clonal evolution of tumors (Hou et al., 2012; Navin et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2012) and the spontaneous mutation of sperm cells (Lu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2012). In the human brain, single-cell sequencing has been successfully used to detect somatic mosaicism in HMG and to evaluate the rate of somatic long interspersed nuclear element-1 (LINE1) retrotransposition (Evrony et al., 2012). Single-cell sequencing has also been used to measure the prevalence of large copy number variants in the brain (McConnell, 2013; Cai, 2014). Fewer than one unique insertion was detected per neuron, suggesting that LINE1 retrotransposition is not a major generator of neuronal diversity in the brain. Interestingly, single-cell sequencing can re-purpose the LINE1 retrotransposition events for cell lineage analysis in the developing human brain (Evrony et al., 2015).

One caveat of single-cell sequencing is that somatic mutations that occurred during or after neurogenesis can be identified only in surgically resected or postmortem brain tissues. Noninvasive identification of somatic mosaicism limited to the brain is impossible.

Nonetheless, the optimization of single-cell and high depth sequencing will allow us to address the role of somatic mosaicism in brain disorders, in cases where mosaic mutations are detectable in a small proportion of accessible cells, such as leukocytes and skin fibroblasts (Poduri et al., 2013).

## Neurogenetics is Just the Beginning

Though the human brain is extremely complex, the proportion of genes that govern its development, and for which we have tentatively assigned functions, grows inexorably. Functional studies of human neurogenetic mutations are obviously challenging, due to the fact that the human brain has evolved dramatically in the last 2–3 million years, gaining novel circuits, cell types, and signaling pathways that are rare or absent in animal models (Geschwind and Rakic, 2013; Molnar et al., 2014; Paabo, 2014). Nonetheless, by identifying critical neural genes and studying their functions in diverse model organisms using innovative tools, *Homo sapiens* keeps marching on in the endeavor to understand its brain. Perhaps the process rather than the product of this endeavor may help define who we are.

#### Acknowledgements

B.-I.B. was supported by Boston Children's Hospital Developmental Neurology Training Grant (T32NS007473), Harvard Stem Cell Institute Training Grant (T32HL087735), and Harvard Medical School Goldenson Fellowship; D.J. by the award (T32GM007753) from the NIGMS; and C.A.W. by grants from the NINDS and NIMH. C.A.W. is a Distinguished Investigator of the Paul Allen Foundation, and an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. We would like to thank the Walsh laboratory for discussions, critical reading, and suggestions.

#### Reference

- Abecasis GR, Auton A, Brooks LD, DePristo MA, Durbin RM, Handsaker RE, Kang HM, Marth GT, McVean GA. An integrated map of genetic variation from 1,092 human genomes. Nature. 2012; 491:56–65. [PubMed: 23128226]
- Arcila ML, Betizeau M, Cambronne XA, Guzman E, Doerflinger N, Bouhallier F, Zhou H, Wu B, Rani N, Bassett DS, et al. Novel primate miRNAs coevolved with ancient target genes in germinal zone-specific expression patterns. Neuron. 2014; 81:1255–1262. [PubMed: 24583023]
- Ayoub AE, Oh S, Xie Y, Leng J, Cotney J, Dominguez MH, Noonan JP, Rakic P. Transcriptional programs in transient embryonic zones of the cerebral cortex defined by high-resolution mRNA sequencing. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2011; 108:14950–14955. [PubMed: 21873192]
- Bae BI, Tietjen I, Atabay KD, Evrony GD, Johnson MB, Asare E, Wang PP, Murayama AY, Im K, Lisgo SN, et al. Evolutionarily dynamic alternative splicing of GPR56 regulates regional cerebral cortical patterning. Science. 2014; 343:764–768. [PubMed: 24531968]
- Bae BI, Walsh CA. Neuroscience. What are mini-brains? Science. 2013; 342:200-201.
- Benayoun BA, Caburet S, Veitia RA. Forkhead transcription factors: key players in health and disease. Trends in genetics : TIG. 2011; 27:224–232. [PubMed: 21507500]
- Berezikov E. Evolution of microRNA diversity and regulation in animals. Nature reviews Genetics. 2011; 12:846–860.
- Bernard A, Lubbers LS, Tanis KQ, Luo R, Podtelezhnikov AA, Finney EM, McWhorter MM, Serikawa K, Lemon T, Morgan R, et al. Transcriptional architecture of the primate neocortex. Neuron. 2012; 73:1083–1099. [PubMed: 22445337]
- Betizeau M, Cortay V, Patti D, Pfister S, Gautier E, Bellemin-Menard A, Afanassieff M, Huissoud C, Douglas RJ, Kennedy H, et al. Precursor diversity and complexity of lineage relationships in the outer subventricular zone of the primate. Neuron. 2013; 80:442–457. [PubMed: 24139044]

- Bilguvar K, Ozturk AK, Louvi A, Kwan KY, Choi M, Tatli B, Yalnizoglu D, Tuysuz B, Caglayan AO, Gokben S, et al. Whole-exome sequencing identifies recessive WDR62 mutations in severe brain malformations. Nature. 2010; 467:207–210. [PubMed: 20729831]
- Bird CP, Stranger BE, Liu M, Thomas DJ, Ingle CE, Beazley C, Miller W, Hurles ME, Dermitzakis ET. Fast-evolving noncoding sequences in the human genome. Genome biology. 2007; 8:R118. [PubMed: 17578567]
- Bond J, Roberts E, Mochida GH, Hampshire DJ, Scott S, Askham JM, Springell K, Mahadevan M, Crow YJ, Markham AF, et al. ASPM is a major determinant of cerebral cortical size. Nature genetics. 2002; 32:316–320. [PubMed: 12355089]
- Bond J, Roberts E, Springell K, Lizarraga SB, Scott S, Higgins J, Hampshire DJ, Morrison EE, Leal GF, Silva EO, et al. A centrosomal mechanism involving CDK5RAP2 and CENPJ controls brain size. Nature genetics. 2005; 37:353–355. [PubMed: 15793586]
- Brenner S. Nobel lecture. Nature's gift to science. Bioscience reports. 2003; 23:225–237. [PubMed: 15074543]
- Brown V, Jin P, Ceman S, Darnell JC, O'Donnell WT, Tenenbaum SA, Jin X, Feng Y, Wilkinson KD, Keene JD, et al. Microarray identification of FMRP-associated brain mRNAs and altered mRNA translational profiles in fragile X syndrome. Cell. 2001; 107:477–487. [PubMed: 11719188]
- Bush EC, Lahn BT. A genome-wide screen for noncoding elements important in primate evolution. BMC evolutionary biology. 2008; 8:17. [PubMed: 18215302]
- Capra JA, Erwin GD, McKinsey G, Rubenstein JL, Pollard KS. Many human accelerated regions are developmental enhancers. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological sciences. 2013; 368:20130025.
- Carneiro M, Rubin CJ, Di Palma F, Albert FW, Alfoldi J, Barrio AM, Pielberg G, Rafati N, Sayyab S, Turner-Maier J, et al. Rabbit genome analysis reveals a polygenic basis for phenotypic change during domestication. Science. 2014; 345:1074–1079. [PubMed: 25170157]
- Carroll, SB.; Grenier, JK.; Weatherbee, SD. From DNA to diversity : molecular genetics and the evolution of animal design. 2nd edn. Malden, MA: Blackwell Pub.; 2005.
- Cartwright MS, McCarthy SC, Roach ES. Hemimegalencephaly and tuberous sclerosis complex. Neurology. 2005; 64:1634. [PubMed: 15883333]
- Charrier C, Joshi K, Coutinho-Budd J, Kim JE, Lambert N, de Marchena J, Jin WL, Vanderhaeghen P, Ghosh A, Sassa T, et al. Inhibition of SRGAP2 function by its human-specific paralogs induces neoteny during spine maturation. Cell. 2012; 149:923–935. [PubMed: 22559944]
- Chimpanzee Sequencing and Analysis Consortium. Initial sequence of the chimpanzee genome and comparison with the human genome. Nature. 2005; 437:69–87. [PubMed: 16136131]
- Clowry G, Molnar Z, Rakic P. Renewed focus on the developing human neocortex. Journal of anatomy. 2010; 217:276–288. [PubMed: 20979582]
- Conant GC, Wolfe KH. Turning a hobby into a job: how duplicated genes find new functions. Nature reviews Genetics. 2008; 9:938–950.
- Davidson EH. Emerging properties of animal gene regulatory networks. Nature. 2010; 468:911–920. [PubMed: 21164479]
- Davuluri RV, Suzuki Y, Sugano S, Plass C, Huang TH. The functional consequences of alternative promoter use in mammalian genomes. Trends in genetics : TIG. 2008; 24:167–177. [PubMed: 18329129]
- De Rubeis S, He X, Goldberg AP, Poultney CS, Samocha K, Ercument Cicek A, Kou Y, Liu L, Fromer M, Walker S, et al. Synaptic, transcriptional and chromatin genes disrupted in autism. Nature. 2014
- Dennis MY, Nuttle X, Sudmant PH, Antonacci F, Graves TA, Nefedov M, Rosenfeld JA, Sajjadian S, Malig M, Kotkiewicz H, et al. Evolution of human-specific neural SRGAP2 genes by incomplete segmental duplication. Cell. 2012; 149:912–922. [PubMed: 22559943]
- Doolittle WF. Is junk DNA bunk? A critique of ENCODE. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2013; 110:5294–5300. [PubMed: 23479647]
- Enard W, Gehre S, Hammerschmidt K, Holter SM, Blass T, Somel M, Bruckner MK, Schreiweis C, Winter C, Sohr R, et al. A humanized version of Foxp2 affects cortico-basal ganglia circuits in mice. Cell. 2009; 137:961–971. [PubMed: 19490899]

- Enard W, Przeworski M, Fisher SE, Lai CS, Wiebe V, Kitano T, Monaco AP, Paabo S. Molecular evolution of FOXP2, a gene involved in speech and language. Nature. 2002; 418:869–872. [PubMed: 12192408]
- ENCODE Project Consortium. A user's guide to the encyclopedia of DNA elements (ENCODE). PLoS biology. 2011; 9:e1001046. [PubMed: 21526222]
- ENCODE Project Consortium. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome. Nature. 2012; 489:57–74. [PubMed: 22955616]
- Esteller M. Non-coding RNAs in human disease. Nature reviews Genetics. 2011; 12:861-874.
- Evrony GD, Cai X, Lee E, Hills LB, Elhosary PC, Lehmann HS, Parker JJ, Atabay KD, Gilmore EC, Poduri A, et al. Single-neuron sequencing analysis of L1 retrotransposition and somatic mutation in the human brain. Cell. 2012; 151:483–496. [PubMed: 23101622]
- Evrony GD, Lee E, Mehta BK, Benjamini Y, Johnson RM, Cai X, Yang L, Haseley P, Lehmann HS, Park PJ, et al. Cell lineage analysis in human brain using endogenous retroelements. Neuron. 2015; 85:49–59. [PubMed: 25569347]
- Ferland RJ, Cherry TJ, Preware PO, Morrisey EE, Walsh CA. Characterization of Foxp2 and Foxp1 mRNA and protein in the developing and mature brain. The Journal of comparative neurology. 2003; 460:266–279. [PubMed: 12687690]
- Ferland RJ, Eyaid W, Collura RV, Tully LD, Hill RS, Al-Nouri D, Al-Rumayyan A, Topcu M, Gascon G, Bodell A, et al. Abnormal cerebellar development and axonal decussation due to mutations in AHI1 in Joubert syndrome. Nature genetics. 2004; 36:1008–1013. [PubMed: 15322546]
- Fietz SA, Kelava I, Vogt J, Wilsch-Brauninger M, Stenzel D, Fish JL, Corbeil D, Riehn A, Distler W, Nitsch R, et al. OSVZ progenitors of human and ferret neocortex are epithelial-like and expand by integrin signaling. Nature neuroscience. 2010; 13:690–699.
- Fietz SA, Lachmann R, Brandl H, Kircher M, Samusik N, Schroder R, Lakshmanaperumal N, Henry I, Vogt J, Riehn A, et al. Transcriptomes of germinal zones of human and mouse fetal neocortex suggest a role of extracellular matrix in progenitor self-renewal. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2012; 109:11836–11841. [PubMed: 22753484]
- Franco SJ, Gil-Sanz C, Martinez-Garay I, Espinosa A, Harkins-Perry SR, Ramos C, Muller U. Faterestricted neural progenitors in the mammalian cerebral cortex. Science. 2012; 337:746–749. [PubMed: 22879516]
- Fujita E, Tanabe Y, Shiota A, Ueda M, Suwa K, Momoi MY, Momoi T. Ultrasonic vocalization impairment of Foxp2 (R552H) knockin mice related to speech-language disorder and abnormality of Purkinje cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2008; 105:3117–3122. [PubMed: 18287060]
- Gallant JR, Traeger LL, Volkening JD, Moffett H, Chen PH, Novina CD, Phillips GN Jr, Anand R, Wells GB, Pinch M, et al. Genomic basis for the convergent evolution of electric organs. Science. 2014; 344:1522–1525. [PubMed: 24970089]
- Garcia-Moreno F, Vasistha NA, Trevia N, Bourne JA, Molnar Z. Compartmentalization of cerebral cortical germinal zones in a lissencephalic primate and gyrencephalic rodent. Cereb Cortex. 2012; 22:482–492. [PubMed: 22114081]
- Gehman LT, Stoilov P, Maguire J, Damianov A, Lin CH, Shiue L, Ares M Jr, Mody I, Black DL. The splicing regulator Rbfox1 (A2BP1) controls neuronal excitation in the mammalian brain. Nature genetics. 2011; 43:706–711. [PubMed: 21623373]
- Geschwind DH, Rakic P. Cortical evolution: judge the brain by its cover. Neuron. 2013; 80:633–647. [PubMed: 24183016]
- Gibbs RA, Rogers J, Katze MG, Bumgarner R, Weinstock GM, Mardis ER, Remington KA, Strausberg RL, Venter JC, Wilson RK, et al. Evolutionary and biomedical insights from the rhesus macaque genome. Science. 2007; 316:222–234. [PubMed: 17431167]
- Gilmore EC, Walsh CA. Genetic causes of microcephaly and lessons for neuronal development. Wiley interdisciplinary reviews Developmental biology. 2013; 2:461–478. [PubMed: 24014418]
- Green RE, Krause J, Briggs AW, Maricic T, Stenzel U, Kircher M, Patterson N, Li H, Zhai W, Fritz MH, et al. A draft sequence of the Neandertal genome. Science. 2010; 328:710–722. [PubMed: 20448178]

- Griffiths PD, Welch RJ, Gardner-Medwin D, Gholkar A, McAllister V. The radiological features of hemimegalencephaly including three cases associated with proteus syndrome. Neuropediatrics. 1994; 25:140–144. [PubMed: 7969796]
- Guernsey DL, Jiang H, Hussin J, Arnold M, Bouyakdan K, Perry S, Babineau-Sturk T, Beis J, Dumas N, Evans SC, et al. Mutations in centrosomal protein CEP152 in primary microcephaly families linked to MCPH4. American journal of human genetics. 2010; 87:40–51. [PubMed: 20598275]
- Guerrier S, Coutinho-Budd J, Sassa T, Gresset A, Jordan NV, Chen K, Jin WL, Frost A, Polleux F. The F-BAR domain of srGAP2 induces membrane protrusions required for neuronal migration and morphogenesis. Cell. 2009; 138:990–1004. [PubMed: 19737524]
- Gulsuner S, Walsh T, Watts AC, Lee MK, Thornton AM, Casadei S, Rippey C, Shahin H, Nimgaonkar VL, Go RC, et al. Spatial and temporal mapping of de novo mutations in schizophrenia to a fetal prefrontal cortical network. Cell. 2013; 154:518–529. [PubMed: 23911319]
- Guo C, Eckler MJ, McKenna WL, McKinsey GL, Rubenstein JL, Chen B. Fezf2 expression identifies a multipotent progenitor for neocortical projection neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes. Neuron. 2013; 80:1167–1174. [PubMed: 24314728]
- Hancks DC, Kazazian HH Jr. Active human retrotransposons: variation and disease. Current opinion in genetics & development. 2012; 22:191–203. [PubMed: 22406018]
- Hansen DV, Lui JH, Parker PR, Kriegstein AR. Neurogenic radial glia in the outer subventricular zone of human neocortex. Nature. 2010; 464:554–561. [PubMed: 20154730]
- Hariri AR, Mattay VS, Tessitore A, Kolachana B, Fera F, Goldman D, Egan MF, Weinberger DR. Serotonin transporter genetic variation and the response of the human amygdala. Science. 2002; 297:400–403. [PubMed: 12130784]
- Hawrylycz MJ, Lein ES, Guillozet-Bongaarts AL, Shen EH, Ng L, Miller JA, van de Lagemaat LN, Smith KA, Ebbert A, Riley ZL, et al. An anatomically comprehensive atlas of the adult human brain transcriptome. Nature. 2012; 489:391–399. [PubMed: 22996553]
- Hill RS, Walsh CA. Molecular insights into human brain evolution. Nature. 2005; 437:64–67. [PubMed: 16136130]
- Hiller M, Schaar BT, Indjeian VB, Kingsley DM, Hagey LR, Bejerano G. A "forward genomics" approach links genotype to phenotype using independent phenotypic losses among related species. Cell reports. 2012; 2:817–823. [PubMed: 23022484]
- Hou Y, Song L, Zhu P, Zhang B, Tao Y, Xu X, Li F, Wu K, Liang J, Shao D, et al. Single-cell exome sequencing and monoclonal evolution of a JAK2-negative myeloproliferative neoplasm. Cell. 2012; 148:873–885. [PubMed: 22385957]
- Iijima T, Wu K, Witte H, Hanno-Iijima Y, Glatter T, Richard S, Scheiffele P. SAM68 regulates neuronal activity-dependent alternative splicing of neurexin-1. Cell. 2011; 147:1601–1614. [PubMed: 22196734]
- Irimia M, Weatheritt RJ, Ellis JD, Parikshak NN, Gonatopoulos-Pournatzis T, Babor M, Quesnel-Vallieres M, Tapial J, Raj B, O'Hanlon D, et al. A highly conserved program of neuronal microexons is misregulated in autistic brains. Cell. 2014; 159:1511–1523. [PubMed: 25525873]
- Jackson AP, Eastwood H, Bell SM, Adu J, Toomes C, Carr IM, Roberts E, Hampshire DJ, Crow YJ, Mighell AJ, et al. Identification of microcephalin, a protein implicated in determining the size of the human brain. American journal of human genetics. 2002; 71:136–142. [PubMed: 12046007]
- Jamuar SS, Lam AT, Kircher M, D'Gama AM, Wang J, Barry BJ, Zhang X, Hill RS, Partlow JN, Rozzo A, et al. Somatic mutations in cerebral cortical malformations. The New England journal of medicine. 2014; 371:733–743. [PubMed: 25140959]
- Jensen KB, Dredge BK, Stefani G, Zhong R, Buckanovich RJ, Okano HJ, Yang YY, Darnell RB. Nova-1 regulates neuron-specific alternative splicing and is essential for neuronal viability. Neuron. 2000; 25:359–371. [PubMed: 10719891]
- Jeong SJ, Luo R, Singer K, Giera S, Kreidberg J, Kiyozumi D, Shimono C, Sekiguchi K, Piao X. GPR56 functions together with alpha3beta1 integrin in regulating cerebral cortical development. PloS one. 2013; 8:e68781. [PubMed: 23874761]
- Johnson MB, Kawasawa YI, Mason CE, Krsnik Z, Coppola G, Bogdanovic D, Geschwind DH, Mane SM, State MW, Sestan N. Functional and evolutionary insights into human brain development through global transcriptome analysis. Neuron. 2009; 62:494–509. [PubMed: 19477152]

- Kang HJ, Kawasawa YI, Cheng F, Zhu Y, Xu X, Li M, Sousa AM, Pletikos M, Meyer KA, Sedmak G, et al. Spatio-temporal transcriptome of the human brain. Nature. 2011; 478:483–489. [PubMed: 22031440]
- Kelava I, Reillo I, Murayama AY, Kalinka AT, Stenzel D, Tomancak P, Matsuzaki F, Lebrand C, Sasaki E, Schwamborn JC, et al. Abundant occurrence of basal radial glia in the subventricular zone of embryonic neocortex of a lissencephalic primate, the common marmoset Callithrix jacchus. Cereb Cortex. 2012; 22:469–481. [PubMed: 22114084]
- Keren H, Lev-Maor G, Ast G. Alternative splicing and evolution: diversification, exon definition and function. Nature reviews Genetics. 2010; 11:345–355.
- King MC, Wilson AC. Evolution at two levels in humans and chimpanzees. Science. 1975; 188:107– 116. [PubMed: 1090005]
- Knowles DG, McLysaght A. Recent de novo origin of human protein-coding genes. Genome research. 2009; 19:1752–1759. [PubMed: 19726446]
- Konopka G, Bomar JM, Winden K, Coppola G, Jonsson ZO, Gao F, Peng S, Preuss TM, Wohlschlegel JA, Geschwind DH. Human-specific transcriptional regulation of CNS development genes by FOXP2. Nature. 2009; 462:213–217. [PubMed: 19907493]
- Konopka G, Friedrich T, Davis-Turak J, Winden K, Oldham MC, Gao F, Chen L, Wang GZ, Luo R, Preuss TM, et al. Human-specific transcriptional networks in the brain. Neuron. 2012; 75:601– 617. [PubMed: 22920253]
- Kumar A, Girimaji SC, Duvvari MR, Blanton SH. Mutations in STIL, encoding a pericentriolar and centrosomal protein, cause primary microcephaly. American journal of human genetics. 2009; 84:286–290. [PubMed: 19215732]
- Lai CS, Fisher SE, Hurst JA, Vargha-Khadem F, Monaco AP. A forkhead-domain gene is mutated in a severe speech and language disorder. Nature. 2001; 413:519–523. [PubMed: 11586359]
- Lancaster MA, Renner M, Martin CA, Wenzel D, Bicknell LS, Hurles ME, Homfray T, Penninger JM, Jackson AP, Knoblich JA. Cerebral organoids model human brain development and microcephaly. Nature. 2013; 501:373–379. [PubMed: 23995685]
- Lander ES. Initial impact of the sequencing of the human genome. Nature. 2011; 470:187–197. [PubMed: 21307931]
- Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B, Nusbaum C, Zody MC, Baldwin J, Devon K, Dewar K, Doyle M, FitzHugh W, et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001; 409:860– 921. [PubMed: 11237011]
- Lee JH, Huynh M, Silhavy JL, Kim S, Dixon-Salazar T, Heiberg A, Scott E, Bafna V, Hill KJ, Collazo A, et al. De novo somatic mutations in components of the PI3K–AKT3-mTOR pathway cause hemimegalencephaly. Nature genetics. 2012; 44:941–945. [PubMed: 22729223]
- Lein E, Hawrylycz M. The genetic geography of the brain. Scientific American. 2014; 310:70–77. [PubMed: 24712126]
- Lindblad-Toh K, Garber M, Zuk O, Lin MF, Parker BJ, Washietl S, Kheradpour P, Ernst J, Jordan G, Mauceli E, et al. A high-resolution map of human evolutionary constraint using 29 mammals. Nature. 2011; 478:476–482. [PubMed: 21993624]
- Locke DP, Hillier LW, Warren WC, Worley KC, Nazareth LV, Muzny DM, Yang SP, Wang Z, Chinwalla AT, Minx P, et al. Comparative and demographic analysis of orang-utan genomes. Nature. 2011; 469:529–533. [PubMed: 21270892]
- Lu S, Zong C, Fan W, Yang M, Li J, Chapman AR, Zhu P, Hu X, Xu L, Yan L, et al. Probing meiotic recombination and aneuploidy of single sperm cells by whole-genome sequencing. Science. 2012; 338:1627–1630. [PubMed: 23258895]
- Lui JH, Hansen DV, Kriegstein AR. Development and evolution of the human neocortex. Cell. 2011; 146:18–36. [PubMed: 21729779]
- Lui JH, Nowakowski TJ, Pollen AA, Javaherian A, Kriegstein AR, Oldham MC. Radial glia require PDGFD-PDGFRbeta signalling in human but not mouse neocortex. Nature. 2014; 515:264–268. [PubMed: 25391964]
- McLean CY, Reno PL, Pollen AA, Bassan AI, Capellini TD, Guenther C, Indjeian VB, Lim X, Menke DB, Schaar BT, et al. Human-specific loss of regulatory DNA and the evolution of human-specific traits. Nature. 2011; 471:216–219. [PubMed: 21390129]

- Meyer M, Kircher M, Gansauge MT, Li H, Racimo F, Mallick S, Schraiber JG, Jay F, Prufer K, de Filippo C, et al. A high-coverage genome sequence from an archaic Denisovan individual. Science. 2012; 338:222–226. [PubMed: 22936568]
- Mikkelsen TS, Wakefield MJ, Aken B, Amemiya CT, Chang JL, Duke S, Garber M, Gentles AJ, Goodstadt L, Heger A, et al. Genome of the marsupial Monodelphis domestica reveals innovation in non-coding sequences. Nature. 2007; 447:167–177. [PubMed: 17495919]
- Miller JA, Ding SL, Sunkin SM, Smith KA, Ng L, Szafer A, Ebbert A, Riley ZL, Royall JJ, Aiona K, et al. Transcriptional landscape of the prenatal human brain. Nature. 2014; 508:199–206. [PubMed: 24695229]
- Molnar Z, Kaas JH, de Carlos JA, Hevner RF, Lein E, Nemec P. Evolution and development of the mammalian cerebral cortex. Brain, behavior and evolution. 2014; 83:126–139.
- Montgomery SH, Mundy NI. Microcephaly genes evolved adaptively throughout the evolution of eutherian mammals. BMC evolutionary biology. 2014; 14:120. [PubMed: 24898820]
- Moroz LL, Kocot KM, Citarella MR, Dosung S, Norekian TP, Povolotskaya IS, Grigorenko AP, Dailey C, Berezikov E, Buckley KM, et al. The ctenophore genome and the evolutionary origins of neural systems. Nature. 2014; 510:109–114. [PubMed: 24847885]
- Navin N, Kendall J, Troge J, Andrews P, Rodgers L, McIndoo J, Cook K, Stepansky A, Levy D, Esposito D, et al. Tumour evolution inferred by single-cell sequencing. Nature. 2011; 472:90–94. [PubMed: 21399628]
- Nicholas AK, Khurshid M, Desir J, Carvalho OP, Cox JJ, Thornton G, Kausar R, Ansar M, Ahmad W, Verloes A, et al. WDR62 is associated with the spindle pole and is mutated in human microcephaly. Nature genetics. 2010; 42:1010–1014. [PubMed: 20890279]
- Nigg EA, Raff JW. Centrioles, centrosomes, and cilia in health and disease. Cell. 2009; 139:663–678. [PubMed: 19914163]
- Nilsen TW, Graveley BR. Expansion of the eukaryotic proteome by alternative splicing. Nature. 2010; 463:457–463. [PubMed: 20110989]
- Noonan JP, Coop G, Kudaravalli S, Smith D, Krause J, Alessi J, Chen F, Platt D, Paabo S, Pritchard JK, et al. Sequencing and analysis of Neanderthal genomic DNA. Science. 2006; 314:1113–1118. [PubMed: 17110569]
- O'Bleness M, Searles VB, Varki A, Gagneux P, Sikela JM. Evolution of genetic and genomic features unique to the human lineage. Nature reviews Genetics. 2012; 13:853–866.
- Ohno, S. Evolution by gene duplication. London, New York: Allen & Unwin; 1970 Spring-Verlag.
- Paabo S. The human condition-a molecular approach. Cell. 2014; 157:216–226. [PubMed: 24679537]
- Palazzo AF, Gregory TR. The case for junk DNA. PLoS genetics. 2014; 10:e1004351. [PubMed: 24809441]
- Paridaen JT, Wilsch-Brauninger M, Huttner WB. Asymmetric inheritance of centrosome-associated primary cilium membrane directs ciliogenesis after cell division. Cell. 2013; 155:333–344. [PubMed: 24120134]
- Parker J, Tsagkogeorga G, Cotton JA, Liu Y, Provero P, Stupka E, Rossiter SJ. Genome-wide signatures of convergent evolution in echolocating mammals. Nature. 2013
- Pennisi E. Genomics. ENCODE project writes eulogy for junk DNA. Science. 2012; 337:1159–1161. [PubMed: 22955811]
- Piao X, Hill RS, Bodell A, Chang BS, Basel-Vanagaite L, Straussberg R, Dobyns WB, Qasrawi B, Winter RM, Innes AM, et al. G protein-coupled receptor-dependent development of human frontal cortex. Science. 2004; 303:2033–2036. [PubMed: 15044805]
- Pletikos M, Sousa AM, Sedmak G, Meyer KA, Zhu Y, Cheng F, Li M, Kawasawa YI, Sestan N. Temporal specification and bilaterality of human neocortical topographic gene expression. Neuron. 2014; 81:321–332. [PubMed: 24373884]
- Poduri A, Evrony GD, Cai X, Elhosary PC, Beroukhim R, Lehtinen MK, Hills LB, Heinzen EL, Hill A, Hill RS, et al. Somatic activation of AKT3 causes hemispheric developmental brain malformations. Neuron. 2012; 74:41–48. [PubMed: 22500628]
- Poduri A, Evrony GD, Cai X, Walsh CA. Somatic mutation, genomic variation, and neurological disease. Science. 2013; 341:1237758. [PubMed: 23828942]

- Pollard KS, Salama SR, Lambert N, Lambot MA, Coppens S, Pedersen JS, Katzman S, King B, Onodera C, Siepel A, et al. An RNA gene expressed during cortical development evolved rapidly in humans. Nature. 2006; 443:167–172. [PubMed: 16915236]
- Prabhakar S, Visel A, Akiyama JA, Shoukry M, Lewis KD, Holt A, Plajzer-Frick I, Morrison H, Fitzpatrick DR, Afzal V, et al. Human-specific gain of function in a developmental enhancer. Science. 2008; 321:1346–1350. [PubMed: 18772437]
- Prufer K, Munch K, Hellmann I, Akagi K, Miller JR, Walenz B, Koren S, Sutton G, Kodira C, Winer R, et al. The bonobo genome compared with the chimpanzee and human genomes. Nature. 2012; 486:527–531. [PubMed: 22722832]
- Prufer K, Racimo F, Patterson N, Jay F, Sankararaman S, Sawyer S, Heinze A, Renaud G, Sudmant PH, de Filippo C, et al. The complete genome sequence of a Neanderthal from the Altai Mountains. Nature. 2014; 505:43–49. [PubMed: 24352235]
- Pruunsild P, Kazantseva A, Aid T, Palm K, Timmusk T. Dissecting the human BDNF locus: bidirectional transcription, complex splicing, and multiple promoters. Genomics. 2007; 90:397– 406. [PubMed: 17629449]
- Qureshi IA, Mehler MF. Emerging roles of non-coding RNAs in brain evolution, development, plasticity and disease. Nature reviews Neuroscience. 2012; 13:528–541.
- Radonjic NV, Ayoub AE, Memi F, Yu X, Maroof A, Jakovcevski I, Anderson SA, Rakic P, Zecevic N. Diversity of cortical interneurons in primates: the role of the dorsal proliferative niche. Cell reports. 2014; 9:2139–2151. [PubMed: 25497090]
- Reich D, Green RE, Kircher M, Krause J, Patterson N, Durand EY, Viola B, Briggs AW, Stenzel U, Johnson PL, et al. Genetic history of an archaic hominin group from Denisova Cave in Siberia. Nature. 2010; 468:1053–1060. [PubMed: 21179161]
- Reillo I, de Juan Romero C, Garcia-Cabezas MA, Borrell V. A role for intermediate radial glia in the tangential expansion of the mammalian cerebral cortex. Cereb Cortex. 2011; 21:1674–1694. [PubMed: 21127018]
- Riviere JB, Mirzaa GM, O'Roak BJ, Beddaoui M, Alcantara D, Conway RL, St-Onge J, Schwartzentruber JA, Gripp KW, Nikkel SM, et al. De novo germline and postzygotic mutations in AKT3, PIK3R2 and PIK3CA cause a spectrum of related megalencephaly syndromes. Nature genetics. 2012; 44:934–940. [PubMed: 22729224]
- Ross CA, Tabrizi SJ. Huntington's disease: from molecular pathogenesis to clinical treatment. Lancet Neurol. 2011; 10:83–98. [PubMed: 21163446]
- Sabeti PC, Varilly P, Fry B, Lohmueller J, Hostetter E, Cotsapas C, Xie X, Byrne EH, McCarroll SA, Gaudet R, et al. Genome-wide detection and characterization of positive selection in human populations. Nature. 2007; 449:913–918. [PubMed: 17943131]
- Sauvageau M, Goff LA, Lodato S, Bonev B, Groff AF, Gerhardinger C, Sanchez-Gomez DB, Hacisuleyman E, Li E, Spence M, et al. Multiple knockout mouse models reveal lincRNAs are required for life and brain development. eLife. 2013; 2:e01749. [PubMed: 24381249]
- Scally A, Dutheil JY, Hillier LW, Jordan GE, Goodhead I, Herrero J, Hobolth A, Lappalainen T, Mailund T, Marques-Bonet T, et al. Insights into hominid evolution from the gorilla genome sequence. Nature. 2012; 483:169–175. [PubMed: 22398555]
- Schreiweis C, Bornschein U, Burguiere E, Kerimoglu C, Schreiter S, Dannemann M, Goyal S, Rea E, French CA, Puliyadi R, et al. Humanized Foxp2 accelerates learning by enhancing transitions from declarative to procedural performance. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2014; 111:14253–14258. [PubMed: 25225386]
- Shu W, Cho JY, Jiang Y, Zhang M, Weisz D, Elder GA, Schmeidler J, De Gasperi R, Sosa MA, Rabidou D, et al. Altered ultrasonic vocalization in mice with a disruption in the Foxp2 gene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2005; 102:9643–9648. [PubMed: 15983371]
- Singer K, Luo R, Jeong SJ, Piao X. GPR56 and the developing cerebral cortex: cells, matrix, and neuronal migration. Molecular neurobiology. 2013; 47:186–196. [PubMed: 23001883]
- Sir JH, Barr AR, Nicholas AK, Carvalho OP, Khurshid M, Sossick A, Reichelt S, D'Santos C, Woods CG, Gergely F. A primary microcephaly protein complex forms a ring around parental centrioles. Nature genetics. 2011; 43:1147–1153. [PubMed: 21983783]

- Smart IH, Dehay C, Giroud P, Berland M, Kennedy H. Unique morphological features of the proliferative zones and postmitotic compartments of the neural epithelium giving rise to striate and extrastriate cortex in the monkey. Cereb Cortex. 2002; 12:37–53. [PubMed: 11734531]
- Stein JL, de la Torre-Ubieta L, Tian Y, Parikshak NN, Hernandez IA, Marchetto MC, Baker DK, Lu D, Hinman CR, Lowe JK, et al. A quantitative framework to evaluate modeling of cortical development by neural stem cells. Neuron. 2014; 83:69–86. [PubMed: 24991955]
- Tallinen T, Chung JY, Biggins JS, Mahadevan L. Gyrification from constrained cortical expansion. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2014; 111:12667–12672. [PubMed: 25136099]
- Taverna E, Gotz M, Huttner WB. The cell biology of neurogenesis: toward an understanding of the development and evolution of the neocortex. Annual review of cell and developmental biology. 2014; 30:465–502.
- Tishkoff SA, Reed FA, Ranciaro A, Voight BF, Babbitt CC, Silverman JS, Powell K, Mortensen HM, Hirbo JB, Osman M, et al. Convergent adaptation of human lactase persistence in Africa and Europe. Nature genetics. 2007; 39:31–40. [PubMed: 17159977]
- Venter JC, Adams MD, Myers EW, Li PW, Mural RJ, Sutton GG, Smith HO, Yandell M, Evans CA, Holt RA, et al. The sequence of the human genome. Science. 2001; 291:1304–1351. [PubMed: 11181995]
- Visel A, Taher L, Girgis H, May D, Golonzhka O, Hoch RV, McKinsey GL, Pattabiraman K, Silberberg SN, Blow MJ, et al. A high-resolution enhancer atlas of the developing telencephalon. Cell. 2013; 152:895–908. [PubMed: 23375746]
- Voineagu I, Wang X, Johnston P, Lowe JK, Tian Y, Horvath S, Mill J, Cantor RM, Blencowe BJ, Geschwind DH. Transcriptomic analysis of autistic brain reveals convergent molecular pathology. Nature. 2011; 474:380–384. [PubMed: 21614001]
- Wang J, Fan HC, Behr B, Quake SR. Genome-wide single-cell analysis of recombination activity and de novo mutation rates in human sperm. Cell. 2012; 150:402–412. [PubMed: 22817899]
- Wang X, Tsai JW, Imai JH, Lian WN, Vallee RB, Shi SH. Asymmetric centrosome inheritance maintains neural progenitors in the neocortex. Nature. 2009; 461:947–955. [PubMed: 19829375]
- Weyn-Vanhentenryck SM, Mele A, Yan Q, Sun S, Farny N, Zhang Z, Xue C, Herre M, Silver PA, Zhang MQ, et al. HITS-CLIP and integrative modeling define the Rbfox splicing-regulatory network linked to brain development and autism. Cell reports. 2014; 6:1139–1152. [PubMed: 24613350]
- Wohlgemuth S, Adam I, Scharff C. FoxP2 in songbirds. Current opinion in neurobiology. 2014; 28C: 86–93. [PubMed: 25048597]
- Wray GA. The evolutionary significance of cis-regulatory mutations. Nature reviews Genetics. 2007; 8:206–216.
- Wu DD, Irwin DM, Zhang YP. De novo origin of human protein-coding genes. PLoS genetics. 2011; 7:e1002379. [PubMed: 22102831]
- Xu X, Hou Y, Yin X, Bao L, Tang A, Song L, Li F, Tsang S, Wu K, Wu H, et al. Single-cell exome sequencing reveals single-nucleotide mutation characteristics of a kidney tumor. Cell. 2012; 148:886–895. [PubMed: 22385958]
- Yamashita YM, Mahowald AP, Perlin JR, Fuller MT. Asymmetric inheritance of mother versus daughter centrosome in stem cell division. Science. 2007; 315:518–521. [PubMed: 17255513]
- Yu TW, Mochida GH, Tischfield DJ, Sgaier SK, Flores-Sarnat L, Sergi CM, Topcu M, McDonald MT, Barry BJ, Felie JM, et al. Mutations in WDR62, encoding a centrosome-associated protein, cause microcephaly with simplified gyri and abnormal cortical architecture. Nature genetics. 2010; 42:1015–1020. [PubMed: 20890278]
- Zeng H, Shen EH, Hohmann JG, Oh SW, Bernard A, Royall JJ, Glattfelder KJ, Sunkin SM, Morris JA, Guillozet-Bongaarts AL, et al. Large-scale cellular-resolution gene profiling in human neocortex reveals species-specific molecular signatures. Cell. 2012; 149:483–496. [PubMed: 22500809]
- Zhang J. Evolution by gene duplication: an update. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2003; 18:292–298.
- Zipursky SL, Sanes JR. Chemoaffinity revisited: dscams, protocadherins, and neural circuit assembly. Cell. 2010; 143:343–353. [PubMed: 21029858]

Bae et al.



#### Figure 1. Emergence and Evolution of a Gene

(A) *GPR56* exemplifies how a novel gene arises and evolves. *GPR56* is critical to neocortical development, especially gyral patterning. Its protein-coding sequence arose when vertebrates and invertebrates diverged, probably by gene duplication of a pre-existing adhesion G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) with a long N-terminal extracellular domain. When placental and non-placental mammals diverged, the number of noncoding elements was dramatically increased. The majority of the novel noncoding elements were derived from transposable elements. The placental *Gpr56* gene obtained a critical noncoding element (red triangle) that constitutes a robust neural promoter, which drives regional expression in the neocortex. *GPR56* continued to obtain new noncoding elements, alternative promoters, and untranslated exons in the primate lineage. Numerous transposonderived noncoding elements are all over the human *GPR56* gene. Most of them are likely to be evolutionarily recent, since the older insertions become mutated and unrecognizable. SINE, short interspersed nuclear elements; LINE, long interspersed nuclear elements; LTR, long terminal repeat elements; DNA, DNA repeat elements; Simple, simple repeats or micro-satellites.

(B) Multiple alternative promoters of human *GPR56* collectively drive gene expression in the entire neocortex (colored in blue). Loss of a specific noncoding element, which corresponds to the red triangle in panel (A), and thus loss of the associated promoter, ablate *GPR56* expression, and cause neocortical malformation, in the areas surrounding the Sylvian fissure bilaterally (i.e., perisylvian polymicrogyria). The affected areas include Broca's area, the primary language area for speech. Presumably, the novel noncoding elements enabled more precise and complex neocortical patterning mediated by *GPR56*. Adapted from (Bae et al., 2014).

#### Table 1

### Tools for Identification and Characterization of Genetic Changes Shaping the Human Brain

Multiple types of genome and transcriptome sequencing tools are available for identification of genetic changes that may contribute to human brain development, evolution, and disease. Functional characterization of the genetic changes is expedited by CRISPR, a genome-editing tool.

Tool	Advantages	Disadvantages
Whole-exome sequencing	Efficient sequencing of all the protein- coding genes ( $\sim 180,000$ exons) in the human genome.	Unable to identify structural and noncoding variants, although there are some tools to detect copy number variations from exome data.
Whole-genome sequencing	Sequencing of the entire genome of an individual.	The function of the majority of the human genome is incompletely understood. Thus, much sequencing data are often difficult to interpret. Also, whole-genome sequencing is costly, at least for now.
Single-cell sequencing	Genome and transcriptome sequencing of individual cells detects cell-to-cell variability.	Requires amplification of the limited DNA and RNA in a single cell, which can introduce errors.
RNA sequencing	Reveals how each protein-coding gene or RNA gene is utilized in a given cellular context.	Detection of genes with low expression levels is difficult. Multiple cell types can confound the interpretation.
Chromosome conformation capture	Reveals chromosomal interactions influencing gene expression, such as interaction between an enhancer and a promoter of a protein-coding gene.	Can be costly due to depth of sequencing needed, depending on method. Requires a great number of cells; multiple cell types can generate noise and confound the interpretation, although single-cell chromosome conformation capture was recently developed.
Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)	Genome editing by breaking the target DNA, which introduces frameshift mutations via non-homologous end joining, or facilitates homologous recombination.	Off-target effects. Efficiency in the brain awaits further optimization.

#### Table 2

#### **Resources for Neurogenetics**

Multiple on-line resources provide information on genomes, epigenomes, brain transcriptomes, brain connectivity maps, and genes implicated in autism and schizophrenia.

Name	Contents	Link
SFARI Gene	A database of genes implicated in autism	http://sfari.org/resources/sfarigene
SchizophreniaGene	A database of genes implicated in schizophrenia	http://www.szgene.org
Allen Brain Atlas	A comprehensive database of gene expression patterns and connectivity maps in the brains of humans, non- human primates, and mice during development and in adulthood	http://www.brain-map.org, http://www.brainspan.org
Human Brain Transcriptome	A database of spatio-temporal gene expression patterns in the developing and adult human brain	http://hbatlas.org
VISTA Enhancer Browser	A resource for experimentally validated human and mouse noncoding fragments with gene enhancer activity as assessed in transgenic mice	http://enhancer.lbl.gov
ENCODE	A comprehensive database of potentially functional elements in the human genome: unannotated exons, regulatory elements, RNA genes, etc.	https://www.encodeproject.org
UCSC Genome Browser	A user-friendly gateway to a large collection of genomes, gene expression, regulation, variation, and evolution.	http://genome.ucsc.edu
Ensembl	A user-friendly gateway to a large collection of genomes, gene expression, regulation, variation, and evolution.	http://www.ensembl.org
CEEHRC Platform	A database of epigenome	http://www.epigenomes.ca