POSTER PRESENTATION



Open Access

ARTEMIS: 192-week efficacy and safety of oncedaily darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r) vs lopinavir/r (LPV/r) in treatment-naïve HIV-1-infected adults

C Orkin^{1*}, E DeJesus², H Khanlou³, A Stoehr⁴, K Supparatpinyo⁵, T Van de Casteele⁶, E Lathouwers⁶, S Spinosa-Guzman⁶

From Tenth International Congress on Drug Therapy in HIV Infection Glasgow, UK. 7-11 November 2010

Background

ARTEMIS was a Phase III, randomised, open-label study assessing efficacy and safety of DRV/r 800/100mg qd versus LPV/r 800/200mg total daily dose (qd or bid) in treatment-naïve HIV-1-infected adults. At 96 wks, DRV/ r demonstrated non-inferiority and superiority to LPV/r in virological response. Wk 192 results are reported.

Methods

Patients stratified by baseline (BL) viral load (VL [HIV-1 RNA] < or \geq 100,000 copies/mL [cpm]) and CD4 cell count (< or \geq 200 cells/mm³) were randomised 1:1 to DRV/r qd or LPV/r. Primary efficacy parameter: non-inferiority (\leq -12%) of DRV/r to LPV/r in virological response (VL <50 cpm, ITT-TLOVR). DRV/r superiority (\leq 0%) was assessed if non-inferiority was demonstrated.

Results

689 patients (30% female; mean BL VL 4.85 log₁₀ cpm; median CD4 225 cells/mm³) were randomised. Overall, significantly more DRV/r than LPV/r patients had VL <50 cpm at Wk 192, confirming DRV/r qd non-inferiority (p<0.001) and superiority (p=0.002) (Table 1). In patients with virological failure (VF; TLOVR non-VF censored) no developing primary PI mutations were identified in either arm; all VFs with paired BL/endpoint phenotypes that were susceptible at BL to amprenavir, atazanavir, indinavir, lopinavir, saquinavir or tipranavir remained susceptible after treatment.

Table 1

	DRV/ r		LPV/ r		DRV/r-LPV/r [95% CI]
	Ν	%	Ν	%	
VL <50cpm (ITT-TLOVR)					
All patients	343	68.8	346	57.2	11.6 [4.4-18.8]
BL VL <100,000	226	69.5	226	60.2	9.3 [0.5-18.1]
BL VL ≥100,000	117	67.5	120	51.7	15.9 [3.5-28.3]
BL CD4 <200	141	65.2	148	54.1	11.2 [-0.1-22.5]
BL CD4 ≥200	202	71.3	198	59.6	11.7 [2.4-21.0]
VL <50cpm (sensitivity analyses)					
TLOVR non-VF censored	270	87.4	245	80.8	6.6 [0.3-12.9]
On protocol TLOVR	340	69.1	345	57.1	12.0 [4.8-19.2]
Missing=failure	343	68.5	346	60.1	8.4 [1.3-15.5]
FDA snapshot	343	68.5	346	59.8	8.7 [1.5-15.8]
Treatment-emergent adverse events (AEs)					
AEs leading to permanent stop of study medication	343	7.6	346	14.5	p=0.005*
Grade 2-4 treatment- related diarrhoea	343	5.0	346	11.3	p=0.003*
Changes in lipid parameters, median increase mmol/L (min; max)					
Triglycerides [‡]	254	0.1 (-5; 3)	228	0.6 (-3; 10)	p<0.0001¶
Total cholesterol [§]	254	0.6 (-2; 4)	228	1.0 (-1; 4)	p<0.0001¶

*Fisher's Exact test; [‡]NCEP normal level <1.69mmol/L; [§]NCEP normal level <5.17mmol/L; [§]Wilcoxon Rank Sum test

¹Barts and The London NHS Trust, London, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2010 Orkin et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Conclusions

DRV/r qd demonstrated sustained efficacy with noninferiority and superiority to LPV/r over 192 wks. Development of resistance was low in both arms. DRV/r was associated with smaller median increases in total cholesterol and triglycerides than LPV/r, and a lower incidence of grade 2–4 diarrhoea.

Author details

¹Barts and The London NHS Trust, London, UK. ²Orlando Immunology Center, Orlando, USA. ³AIDS Healthcare Foundation, Los Angeles, USA. ⁴Institute for Interdisciplinary Medicine, Hamburg, Germany. ⁵Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand. ⁶Tibotec BVBA, Beerse, Belgium.

Published: 8 November 2010

doi:10.1186/1758-2652-13-S4-P3 Cite this article as: Orkin *et al.*: ARTEMIS: 192-week efficacy and safety of once-daily darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r) vs lopinavir/r (LPV/r) in treatment-naïve HIV-1-infected adults. *Journal of the International AIDS Society* 2010 13(Suppl 4):P3.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit