



## Letter to the Editor

## Changes in LA volume and diameter correlate with mechanisms of recurrence after paroxysmal AF ablation



## A B S T R A C T

Papathanasiou et al point out that the two different methods of LA volume and diameter measurement in our recent publication could limit the significance of the correlations we reported with PV reconnection and non-PV foci as mechanisms of post AF ablation recurrence. While we acknowledge the lack of statistically significant correlations of smaller echo derived LA diameter with PV reconnection or of a larger angiographic LA volume with non-PV foci, the congruent confidence intervals of this correlation suggest a statistical trend. Non-uniform LA dimensional changes as an expression of structural remodelling may also be a possible explanation. Published data indicates that angiographic LA volumes consistently exhibit a positive bias compared to echocardiographic volumes but do provide intra-procedural measurements better correlating with gold standard techniques like CT or MRI. Finally we agree with Papathanasiou et al that dynamic changes in LA dimensions likely correlate with early and late mechanisms of recurrence and merit prospective studies.

© 2022 Cardiological Society of India. Published by Elsevier, a division of RELX India, Pvt. Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Dear Editor

We would like to thank Papathanasiou et al for their comments.

As stated in our manuscript, a smaller left atrial (LA) volume, assessed angiographically, was statistically significantly associated with pulmonary vein (PV) reconnection and a larger LA diameter correlated, also statistically significantly, with the need to apply radio-frequency application in non-PV foci in our patients.<sup>1</sup> Although we did not find statistically significant correlations of either a smaller LA diameter with PV reconnection or of a larger LA angiographic volume with radio-frequency application targeting non-PV foci, we would like to underline that all the corresponding odds ratios were congruent with the confidence intervals of the odds ratio of their modality counterpart where there was indeed a statistical difference. Therefore, we believe that the lack of statistical significance for the correlation of smaller LA diameter with PV reconnection and of larger LA angiographic volumes with non-PV foci ablation could well be a statistical trend. Recently, it has also been shown that patients with larger LA antero-posterior diameter corresponding to more spherical LA geometry, had higher prevalence of persistent AF.<sup>2,3</sup> In this context, we believe that our analyses – and related findings - of echocardiographic LA diameters and angiographic LA volumes may also provide support for non-uniform dimensional changes as a manifestation of left atrial structural remodelling.

We do agree that the angiographically calculated LA volume as compared to 3D echocardiographic measurement has a tendency to overestimation, however with a statistically significant correlation between these 2 methods as shown in previous data from

our own center.<sup>4</sup> Our manuscript focuses on the dynamic changes of LA size and was not intended to validate dimension standards nor change the clinical practice of LA volume assessment. Therefore, assuming a systematic constant bias,<sup>4–7</sup> the angiographically calculated LA volume constitutes an additional intra-procedural method intrinsically temporally correlated with invasively obtained electrophysiological data and easily available to give insight into those dynamic changes, while imaging modalities such as echocardiography are standard for LA size assessment during clinical follow-up. Of note, consistent similar overestimation with statistically significant correlation has been observed in multiple studies comparing CT/MRI measured LA volumes and electro-anatomic mapping calculated volumes with echocardiographic LA volumes.<sup>5–7</sup>

Finally, we are also glad to note that Papathanasiou et al concur with our inferences that dynamic changes in LA dimensions are associated with different arrhythmia recurrence mechanisms after catheter ablation. A recent publication from our group similarly demonstrated that progressive post PVI AF inducibility at re-ablation, a marker of extra-PV AF substrate, correlated with increased LA volume.<sup>8</sup> As reinforced by the manuscript cited by Papathanasiou et al,<sup>9</sup> dynamically changing LA dimensions after PV isolation seem to both give important insight on the underlying pathophysiology and bear prognostic significance regarding the success of the procedure. Besides echocardiography, late gadolinium enhancement measured with cardiac magnetic resonance is another interesting imaging marker associated with atrial fibrillation.<sup>10</sup> To detect dynamic changes occurring after atrial fibrillation ablation and potentially develop new management algorithms

with therapeutic consequences for the patient, these changes should be serially followed with the same image modality ideally incorporating electrophysiologically relevant substrate profiles. Due to its widespread availability and cost-effectiveness, echocardiography remains the modality of choice for serial measurements. However, future studies should address the utility of serial imaging with other substrate characterising modalities in the management of patients in this clinical setting.

Eric Buffle.

Nicolas Johner.

Mehdi Namdar.

Dipen Shah.

## Reference

1. Buffle E, Johner N, Namdar M, Shah D. The significance of pulmonary veins isolation radiofrequency time and the evolution of left atrium volume on a twelve-year observational follow-up of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation patients. *Indian Heart J.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ihj.2022.01.004>.
2. Bisbal F, et al. Left atrial sphericity: a new method to assess atrial remodeling. Impact on the outcome of atrial fibrillation ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* 2013;24:752–759.
3. den Uijl DW, et al. Impact of left atrial volume, sphericity, and fibrosis on the outcome of catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* 2018;29:740–746.
4. Muller H, Burri H, Gentil P, Lerch R, Shah D. Measurement of left atrial volume in patients undergoing ablation for atrial fibrillation: comparison of angiography and electro-anatomic (CARTO) mapping with real-time three-dimensional echocardiography. *Europace.* 2010;12:792–797.
5. Fingrova Z, Marek J, Havranek S, Lambert L, Kuchynka P, Linhart A. 3D electro-anatomical mapping is less sensitive to atrial remodeling in estimation of true left atrial volume than echocardiography. *BMC Med Imag.* 2018;18(1):32.
6. Sknouril L, et al. Disparity between two-dimensional echocardiographic and electroanatomic left and right atrial volumes in patients undergoing catheter ablation for long-standing persistent atrial fibrillation. *Physiol Res.* 2017;66(2):241–249.
7. Rabbat MG, et al. Left atrial volume assessment in atrial fibrillation using multi-modality imaging: a comparison of echocardiography, invasive three-dimensional CARTO and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. *Int J Cardiovasc Imag.* 2015;31(5):1011–1018.
8. Johner N, Shah DC, Giannakopoulos G, Girardet A, Namdar M. Evolution of post-pulmonary vein isolation atrial fibrillation inducibility at redo ablation: Electrophysiological evidence of extra-pulmonary vein substrate progression. *Heart Rhythm.* 2019;16(8):1160–1166.
9. Pan J, Xu C, Xu B, Lou Y, Wang S, Xing Y. Relationship between the time point of left atrial size change and the outcomes of radiofrequency catheter ablation. *J Intervent Card Electrophysiol.* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10840-021-01097-6>.
10. Bertelsen L, et al. Left atrial late gadolinium enhancement is associated with incident atrial fibrillation as detected by continuous monitoring with implantable loop recorders. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging.* 2020;13:1690–1700.

Eric Buffle

Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève, Service de Cardiologie, Geneva, Switzerland

Inselspital Bern, Universitätsklinik für Kardiologie, Bern, Switzerland

Nicolas Johner

Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève, Service de Cardiologie, Geneva, Switzerland

Mehdi Namdar

Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève, Service de Cardiologie, Geneva, Switzerland

Dipen Shah\*

Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève, Service de Cardiologie, Geneva, Switzerland

\* Corresponding author. Cardiac Electrophysiology Unit, Cardiology Service, University Hospitals Geneva, Rue Gabriel Perret Gentil 4, 1205, Geneva, Switzerland.

E-mail address: [Diipen.Shah@hcuge.ch](mailto:Diipen.Shah@hcuge.ch) (D. Shah).

23 February 2022

Available online 17 March 2022