BRIEF REPORT

Long-term Protection Against Herpes Zoster by the Adjuvanted Recombinant Zoster Vaccine: Interim Efficacy, Immunogenicity, and Safety Results up to 10 Years After Initial Vaccination

Ana Strezova,¹ Javier Diez-Domingo,² Kamal Al Shawafi,³ Juan Carlos Tinoco,⁴ Meng Shi,⁵ Paola Pirrotta,⁶ and Agnes Mwakingwe-Omari⁵ on behalf of the Zoster-049 Study Group^a

¹GSK, Rixensart, Belgium, ²FISABIO Fundación para el Fomento Investigación Sanitaria y Biomédica de la Comunitat Valenciana, Valencia, Spain, ³Modis, Belgium c/o GSK, Wavre, Belgium, ⁴Hospital General de Durango, Durango, Mexico, ⁵GSK, Rockville, Maryland, USA, and ⁶GSK, Wavre, Belgium

Approximately 10 years after vaccination with the recombinant zoster vaccine (RZV), an interim analysis of this follow-up study of the ZOE-50/70 trials demonstrated that efficacy against herpes zoster remained high. Moreover, the safety profile remained clinically acceptable, suggesting that the clinical benefit of the RZV in \geq 50-year-olds is sustained up to 10 years.

Keywords. adjuvanted recombinant zoster vaccine; immune response persistence; long-term efficacy; long-term safety.

Lay summary

What is the context?

- Herpes zoster, also known as shingles, is a vaccine-preventable disease with potentially debilitating complications that greatly decrease quality of life.
- Shingrix is a vaccine indicated for the prevention of herpes zoster in adults aged 50 years or older and those 18 years or older who are at a higher risk of getting shingles.
- Published data shows that *Shingrix* offers a high level of protection against herpes zoster for up to eight years after vaccination.

What is new?

- Here we present the long-term protection and safety after vaccination with *Shingrix* in adults 50 years of age or older, up to 10 years after vaccination.
- Immune responses and the level of protection induced by

Open Forum Infectious Diseases®

https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofac485



Shingrix remain high, and the safety profile of *Shingrix* remains clinically acceptable.

What is the impact?

• Two doses of *Shingrix* offer sustained protection against herpes zoster in adults aged 50 years or older, with a clinically acceptable safety profile in the long term.

Reactivation of the varicella-zoster virus, known as herpes zoster (HZ) or shingles, occurs most frequently after the age of 50 years [1]. However, HZ and its debilitating complications, including postherpetic neuralgia, are preventable by vaccination [2-4]. The adjuvanted recombinant zoster vaccine (RZV, Shingrix, GSK) was first approved in 2017 for the prevention of HZ in \geq 50-year-olds. RZV is currently licensed in >40 countries worldwide, including the United States and the European Union, where it is also approved for adults aged \geq 18 years who are at increased risk of developing HZ. In the 2 pivotal prelicensure phase III randomized clinical trials (ZOE-50 and ZOE-70), RZV demonstrated 97% and 90% efficacy against HZ in adults aged \geq 50 and \geq 70 years over a median follow-up of 3.1 and 3.7 years, respectively [3, 4]. To evaluate the durability of the efficacy, immunogenicity, and safety of RZV, a long-term follow-up (LTFU) study (ZOE-LTFU) was initiated, which enrolled all eligible recipients of at least 1 dose of RZV from ZOE-50/70 who were willing to participate. ZOE-LTFU is planned to follow participants for 6 additional years, starting at ~5 years after vaccination with RZV [5].

In an interim analysis conducted after at least 2 years of follow-up in ZOE-LTFU, the efficacy of RZV against HZ was 84.0% for the period ranging from \sim 5–7 years postvaccination. When evaluated from 1 month post-second RZV dose in ZOE-50/70 up to 8 years postvaccination, efficacy was 90.9%. In addition, humoral and cell-mediated immune responses plateaued at >6-fold above prevaccination levels, and the safety profile remained clinically acceptable [5].

Here we present the results of a second interim analysis based on data collected after at least 4 years of follow-up in ZOE-LTFU and up to 10 years postvaccination in ZOE-50/70.

METHODS

Study Design and Participants

In the ZOE-50/70 parent studies (NCT01165177, NCT01165229), adults aged \geq 50 and \geq 70 years were randomized 1:1 to receive 2 RZV or placebo doses 2 months apart [3, 4]. Participants receiving

Received 02 June 2022; editorial decision 12 September 2022; accepted 23 September 2022 ^aStudy group members listed in the Acknowledgments

Correspondence: Ana Strezova, MD, MSc, GSK, Rue de l'Institut 89, 1330 Rixensart, Belgium (ana.x.strezova@gsk.com).

[©] The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

at least 1 RZV dose during ZOE-50/70 were eligible to participate in ZOE-LTFU (NCT02723773), and ~50% enrolled [5].

ZOE-LTFU is an ongoing phase IIIB, open-label LTFU study of ZOE-50/70 conducted in 18 countries/regions (Australia, Brazil, Canada, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hong Kong, Italy, Japan, Republic of Korea, Mexico, Spain, Sweden, Taiwan, the United Kingdom, United States). The study started on April 16, 2016, and the data lock point (DLP) for this second interim analysis was on August 19, 2021, when participants had completed at least 4 additional years of follow-up and data accrual was complete through year (Y) 9. Results for Y10 are also included, although they are still incomplete at this DLP. Additional details, including a schematic representation of the study design, have been reported previously [5].

Outcomes and Assessments

The primary objective of the study is to assess the efficacy of RZV against HZ over the total duration of ZOE-LTFU. Other main objectives include evaluation of efficacy of RZV against HZ from 1 month post-second RZV dose in ZOE-50/70 through the end of ZOE-LTFU (overall and by year postvaccination), persistence of humoral and cell-mediated immune (CMI) responses to RZV at each year postvaccination, and safety.

For efficacy analyses, HZ cases were ascertained rigorously as described previously [3, 4]. Humoral and CMI responses were determined in terms of anti–glycoprotein E (gE) antibody concentrations (expressed in milli-International Units per milliliter [mIU/mL]) and frequencies of gE-specific CD4[2+] T cells (CD4+ T cells expressing at least 2 of the 4 activation markers assessed: interferon- γ , interleukin-2, tumor necrosis factor- α , and CD40 ligand per 10⁶ CD4+ T cells) [6]. Long-term safety was evaluated in terms of serious adverse events related to study participation and HZ-related complications (eg, postherpetic neuralgia, disseminated HZ).

Statistical Analyses

Efficacy in ZOE-LTFU was evaluated in the modified total vaccinated cohort (mTVC), consisting of participants who received both RZV doses and did not develop a confirmed HZ episode before 1 month post-dose 2 in ZOE-50/70. Participants with confirmed HZ in ZOE-50/70 were censored for the efficacy analysis. In ZOE-50/70 and ZOE-LTFU, humoral and CMI responses were assessed in participant subsets. Persistence of humoral/CMI responses in ZOE-LTFU was evaluated in the according-to-protocol cohort for persistence. Long-term safety was evaluated in participants enrolled for LTFU. Detailed criteria for inclusion in these cohorts have been presented previously [5].

Because more than half of the placebo recipients from ZOE-50/70 were also vaccinated with RZV in a subsequent study [7], historical control estimates of incidence rates from the ZOE-50/70 placebo groups were used to assess vaccine efficacy during ZOE-LTFU. Additional statistical considerations have been presented previously [5].

For the previous interim efficacy analysis [5], a yearly effect was used in the model to estimate the yearly incidence rate for the placebo group from ZOE-50/70 data. As this yearly effect does not

Table 1.	Vaccine Efficacy in the	ZOE-50/70 Studies and ZOE-LTFU	After at Least 4 Additional	Years of Follow-up (mTVC)
----------	-------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------

	RZV				Hist	Historical Control ^a /Placebo Group in ZOE-50/70 ^b				
	N	n	Sum of Follow-up Years	Incidence (per 1000 py)	N	n	Sum of Follow-up Years	Incidence (per 1000 py)	Vaccine Efficacy (95% CI), %	<i>P</i> value
Vaccine effic	cacy in Z	OE-L	TFU – primary objecti	ve (up to the data l	ock point	for th	e second interim and	alysis in ZOE-LTFU)		
Overall ^a	7277	52	32673.8	1.6	7277	283	32673.8	8.7	81.6 (75.2–86.6)	P<.0001
Vaccine effic	cacy from	n 1 n	nonth post–dose 2 – s	econdary objective	(up to th	e data	a lock point for the se	econd interim analysis	in ZOE-LTFU)	
Overall ^a	13881	84	85796.7	1.0	13881	765	85796.7	8.9	89.0 (85.6–91.3)	P<.0001
Year 1 ^b	13881	3	13744.5	0.2	14035	130	13823.3	9.4	97.7 (93.1–99.5)	<i>P</i> < .0001
Year 2 ^b	13 569	10	13415.6	0.7	13564	136	13332.5	10.2	92.7 (86.2–96.6)	P<.0001
Year 3 ^b	13 185	9	13016.1	0.7	13074	116	12834.0	9.0	92.4 (85.0–96.6)	P<.0001
Year 4 ^b	12 757	10	12946.7	0.8	12517	95	12637.4	7.5	89.8 (80.3–95.2)	P<.0001
Gap between ZOE-50/70 and ZOE-LTFU										
Year 6ª	7277	7	7210.2	1.0	7277	61	7210.2	8.5	88.5 (74.9–95.6)	P<.0001
Year 7ª	7100	10	6995.8	1.4	7100	60	6995.8	8.6	83.3 (67.2–92.4)	<i>P</i> < .0001
Year 8ª	6878	9	6762.9	1.3	6878	57	6762.9	8.4	84.2 (67.9–93.1)	P<.0001
Year 9ª	6648	15	6487.6	2.3	6648	55	6487.6	8.5	72.7 (51.0–85.7)	P<.0001
Year 10 ^{a,c}	6258	11	4869.1	2.3	6258	41	4869.1	8.4	73.2 (46.9–87.6)	<i>P</i> < .0001

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; mTVC, modified total vaccinated cohort; N, number of individuals included in each group; n, number of individuals with at least 1 confirmed herpes zoster episode; py, person-years; RZV, adjuvanted recombinant zoster vaccine; ZOE-LTFU, long-term follow-up study of ZOE-50/70.

^aRZV vs matched historical controls from the placebo group in the ZOE-50/70 studies. The same N and follow-up period were considered for the historical control and vaccinated groups; n for historical controls represents the projected number of included placebo group participants from ZOE-50/70 with at least 1 confirmed herpes zoster episode based on the estimated incidence rate.

^bRZV vs placebo recipients from the ZOE-50/70 trials. The follow-up ceased at the first occurrence of a confirmed herpes zoster episode, last contact date, or data lock point for this second interim analysis. All efficacy estimates are adjusted by region.

^cAt the data lock point for the second interim analysis in ZOE-LTFU, data collection for year 10 was still incomplete.



Figure 1. Persistence of humoral (*A*) and cell-mediated (*B*) immune responses to RZV up to the second interim analysis of ZOE-LTFU (ATP cohort for persistence). ^aPrevaccination values from all RZV recipients in the humoral immunogenicity/CMI subsets in ZOE-50 and ZOE-70 [6]. Year 5 data for CD4[2+] T-cell frequencies are not shown because only 3 participants had available results for this analysis. ^bAt the data lock point for the second interim analysis in ZOE-LTFU, data collection for year 10 was still incomplete. Abbreviations: ATP, according-to-protocol; CI, confidence interval; CMI, cell-mediated immunity; gE, glycoprotein *E*; GMC, geometric mean concentration; CD4[2+] T cells, CD4+ T cells expressing at least 2 of 4 assessed activation markers (interferon- γ , interleukin-2, tumor necrosis factor– α , and CD40 ligand) per 10⁶ CD4+ T cells; Q1 and Q3, first and third quartiles, respectively; mIU/mL, milli-International Units per milliliter; N, number of participants with available results; RZV, adjuvanted recombinant zoster vaccine; ZOE-LTFU, long-term follow-up study of ZOE-50/70.

apply to the ZOE-LTFU data, it was removed from the model used for the present interim analysis, and the yearly incidence rate in the placebo group was considered the overall rate in the placebo group of ZOE-50/70. This change in the model resulted in slightly different efficacy estimates for the ZOE-LTFU period in this compared with the previous interim analysis [5].

RESULTS

Study Participants

Of the 7413 participants enrolled for the long-term efficacy assessment, 7277 were included in the mTVC, and 813 and 108 were included in the according-to-protocol cohorts for humoral and CMI persistence. In the mTVC, the mean age at first vaccination in ZOE-50/70 was 67.3 (\pm 9.4) years; 60.7% were women, and 76.5% of participants were of European ancestry. Demographic characteristics were comparable between the different cohorts [5].

Long-term Efficacy

Over the \geq 4-year follow-up in ZOE-LTFU, from a mean of 5.6 (±0.3) years to 9.6 (±0.3) years postvaccination, the interim analysis demonstrated 81.6% (95% confidence interval [CI], 75.2%–86.6%) efficacy of RZV against HZ (Table). When evaluated from 1 month post–dose 2 in ZOE-50/70 to a mean of 9.6 (±0.3) years postvaccination, the efficacy of RZV against HZ was 89.0% (95% CI, 85.6%–91.3%) in ZOE-LTFU participants. Annual vaccine efficacy estimates were \geq 83.3% through Y8, 72.7% for Y9, and 73.2% for Y10 postvaccination.

Immunogenicity Persistence

The prevaccination anti-gE antibody geometric mean concentration was 1320.5 (95% CI, 1253.6–1391.0) mIU/mL, and the postvaccination geometric mean concentrations remained >5-fold over this level across Y5–Y10 postvaccination (Figure 1*A*). The mean geometric increases of anti-gE antibody concentrations were \geq 5.8 across this interval. The median prevaccination CD4[2+] T-cell frequency (interquartile range) was 89.8 (1.0–202.4) and plateaued at >6-fold over this level across Y5–Y10 postvaccination (Figure 1*B*).

Long-term Safety

No deaths or other serious adverse events were considered causally related to vaccination by the investigator. Since the previous interim analysis [5], 3 participants reported HZ-related complications. Two participants aged 78 and 80 years at the time of diagnosis experienced postherpetic neural-gia at >9 years postvaccination. Pain was resolving when the first participant was lost to follow-up, and at the DLP for the present interim analysis for the second participant. The third HZ-related complication was disseminated HZ, occurring in an 81-year-old participant at \sim 9 years postvaccination, and had resolved by the DLP for the present interim analysis.

DISCUSSION

More than 95% of adults \geq 20 years of age show serologic evidence of previous varicella-zoster virus infection, putting them at increasing risk for HZ and related complications throughout their lifetime [8]. Considering the increasing age-related risk, duration of protection in older adults is an important attribute; our LTFU study of >7000 adults with an average age of >67 years at vaccination showed that the efficacy against HZ remained high up to 10 years postvaccination.

The efficacy of RZV against HZ was 81.6% during the \geq 4-year follow-up in ZOE-LTFU, ranging from a mean of 5.6 years up to 10 years postvaccination. When considering the

Humoral immune responses during ZOE-LTFU plateaued through Y8, after which a decrease occurred through Y9, followed by a stabilization through Y10 at >5-fold over the prevaccination level. CMI responses remained stable at >6-fold above the prevaccination level in ZOE-LTFU through Y10. A similar trend in immune response kinetics has also been observed in adults \geq 60 years of age [5, 9].

The strengths and limitations of our study have been detailed previously [5]. The limitations are related to the use of historical control group HZ incidence estimates for efficacy assessments, the fact that nearly half of ZOE-50/70 participants did not enroll in ZOE-LTFU, and the lack of data for Y5 efficacy estimations. Data accrual for Y10 is still ongoing, and precision of estimates for this time point will increase at the final analysis. The strengths include a long follow-up period (up to 10 years postvaccination at the DLP for this second interim analysis) and a more racially heterogeneous population for immunogenicity assessments compared with previous data [9].

CONCLUSIONS

At ~10 years after vaccination, the efficacy of RZV against HZ remained high, and immune responses to RZV remained >5-fold above prevaccination levels. In addition, the safety profile of RZV remained clinically acceptable. These data suggest that the clinical benefit of the RZV in adults aged \geq 50 years is sustained up to 10 years after vaccination, which may reassure practitioners and consequently lead to increased vaccination coverage among those who are recommended to receive RZV.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all study participants, the clinical investigators and study staff, and the entire GSK team involved in the ZOE-50, ZOE-70, and Zoster-049 studies. They also thank Modis for medical writing support (provided by Alpár Pöllnitz), graphic design (provided by Maria Maior), and manuscript coordination (provided by Julie Mellery), on behalf of GSK.

Zoster-049 Study Group nonauthor collaborators (in alphabetical order): Michael Adams, Anitta Ahonen, Charles Andrews, Eugene Athan, Jose-Fernando BarbaGómez, Piero Barbanti, Elisabeth Barberan, Alain Baty, Niklas Bengtsson, Juergen Berger-Roscher, Katarina Berndtsson Blom, Jean Beytout, Loïc Boucher, Céline Boutry, Alain Boye, François Brault, Laurie Breger, Carles Brotons Cuixart, Covadonga Caso, Christine Cerna, Huey-Shinn Cheng, Hee Jin Cheong, Eun Ju Choo, Clóvis Cunha, Anthony L. Cunningham, Dan Curiac, Benoit Daguzan, Antje Dahmen, Susan Datta, Maria Giuseppina Desole, Emmanuel Di Paolo, Marc Dionne, Petr Dite, Jan Dutz, John Earl, Tamara Eckermann, William Ellison, Jurij Eremenko, Meral Esen, Takashi Eto, Xavier Farrés Fabré, Cecil Farrington, Murdo Ferguson, Pierre André Ferrand, Matthew Finneran, David Francyk, Marshall Freedman, George Freeman, Antônio Tarcísio Freire, Peter Gal, Jean-Sebastien Gauthier, Beatrice Gerlach, Wayne Ghesquiere, Iris Gorfinkel, Christine Grigat, Josef Grosskopf, Monika Hamann, Pascal Hanrion, Paul Hartley, Andrew Hastie, Ken Heaton, Agnes Himpel-Boenninghoff, Thomas Horacek, David Shu Cheong Hui, Yieng Huong, Shinn-Jang Hwang, Giancarlo Icardi, Gabriele Illies, Junya Irimajiri, Wilson Jacob, Alen Jambrecina, Thiago Junqueira Avelino-Silva, George Kalema, Hyo Youl Kim, Christiane Klein, Uwe Kleinecke, Hans-Joachim Koenig, Satu Kokko, Pavel Kosina, Susanna Koski, Pekka Koskinen, Maximilian Kropp, Rie Kuroki, Outi Laajalahti, Pierre Lachance, Jacob Lee, Jin-Soo Lee, Peter Levins, Robert Lipetz, Bo Liu, Chiu-Shong Liu, Martin Lundvall, Luci Magimaiseelan, Mary Beth Manning, Jukka Markkula, Frederick Martin, Pyrene Martínez Piera, Damien McNally, Shelly McNeil, Guglielmo Migliorino, Beate Moeckesch, Stephan Morscher, Michael Mueller, Abul Kashem Munir, Cláudia Murta de Oliveira, Kenjiro Nakamura, Silvia Narejos Pérez, Yuji Naritomi, Patrice Nault, José Luiz Neto, Concepción Núñez López, Hiroaki Ogata, Åke Olsson, Pauliina Paavola, Dae Won Park, Janice Patrick, Karlis Pauksens, Mercè Pérez Vera, Lauri Peltonen, Georg Plassmann, Airi Poder, Terry Poling, Carol Pretswell, Samir Purnell-Mullick, George Raad, Michael Redmond, Philippe Remaud, Ernie Riffer, Patrick Robert, Alex Rodríguez Badia, Maria Luisa Rodríguez de la Pinta, Lars Rombo, Robert Rosen, Shari Rozen, Dominique Saillard, Bruno Salaun, Johan Sanmartin Berglund, Joachim Sauter, Axel Schaefer, Isabelle Schenkenberger, Juergen Schmidt, Bernhard Schmitt, Christian Schubert, Anne Schuind, Tino Schwarz, Ilkka Seppa, Edmund Kwok Yiu Sha, Gerald Shockey, Sylvia Shoffner, Elina Sirnela-Rif, Tommaso Staniscia, Hirohiko Sueki, Shin Suzuki, Denis Taminau, Guy Tellier, Manuel Terns Riera, Azhar Toma, Nicole Toursarkissian, Mark Turner, Benita Ukkonen, Anna Vilella Morató, Juergen Wachter, Brian Webster, Karl Wilhelm, Jonathan Wilson, Wilfred Yeo, Chong-Jen Yu, Toufik Zahaf, Irina Zahharova, Cristiano Zerbini.

Financial support. This work was supported by GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals SA in all stages of the study conduct and analysis. GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals SA also took responsibility for all costs associated with developing and publishing the present manuscript.

Potential conflicts of interest. K.A.S. is a Modis employee, working on behalf of the GSK group of companies. A.M.O., M.S., A.S., and P.P. are employees of the GSK group of companies. P.P. and A.S. hold shares/stocks in the GSK group of companies as part of their employee remuneration. J.D.D. reports grants from the GSK group of companies, Sanofi, and MSD; consulting fees from Sanofi Pasteur and MSD paid to him and his institution; honoraria from the GSK group of companies and SEQIRUS (to him and his institution), outside the submitted work. J.C.T. reports grant/research support from the GSK group of companies. The authors have no other financial or nonfinancial interests to declare. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Author contributions. A.S., P.P., and J.C.T. were involved in the conception or design of the study. K.A.S., J.D.D., A.M.O., P.P., and J.C.T. participated in the collection, review, or generation of the study data. J.D.D. performed the study. M.S. and J.C.T. contributed to the study with materials/analysis tools. A.S., M.S., P.P., K.A.S., and A.M.O. were involved in the analyses or interpretation of the data. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript and approved the final submitted version.

Patient consent. All participants or their legally acceptable representatives provided written informed consent at enrollment. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by national, regional, or investigational center independent ethics committees or institutional review boards.

Trademarks. Shingrix is a trademark owned by or licensed to the GSK group of companies.

Data sharing. The interim data analyzed during the current study are not available on a public registry but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

- Kawai K, Gebremeskel BG, Acosta CJ. Systematic review of incidence and complications of herpes zoster: towards a global perspective. BMJ Open 2014; 4: e004833.
- Oxman MN, Levin MJ, Johnson GR, et al. A vaccine to prevent herpes zoster and postherpetic neuralgia in older adults. N Engl J Med 2005; 352: 2271–84.
- Lal H, Cunningham AL, Godeaux O, et al. Efficacy of an adjuvanted herpes zoster subunit vaccine in older adults. N Engl J Med 2015; 372:2087–96.
- Cunningham AL, Lal H, Kovac M, et al. Efficacy of the herpes zoster subunit vaccine in adults 70 years of age or older. N Engl J Med 2016; 375:1019–32.
- Boutry C, Hastie A, Diez-Domingo J, et al. The adjuvanted recombinant zoster vaccine confers long-term protection against herpes zoster: interim results of an extension study of the pivotal phase 3 clinical trials ZOE-50 and ZOE-70. Clin Infect Dis 2022; 74:1459–67.
- Cunningham AL, Heineman TC, Lal H, et al. Immune responses to a recombinant glycoprotein E herpes zoster vaccine in adults aged 50 years or older. J Infect Dis 2018; 217:1750–60.
- Ocran-Appiah J, Boutry C, Hervé C, et al. Safety of the adjuvanted recombinant zoster vaccine in adults aged 50 years or older. A phase IIIB, non-randomized, multinational, open-label study in previous ZOE-50 and ZOE-70 placebo recipients. Vaccine 2021; 39:6–10.
- Marin M, Guris D, Chaves SS, et al. Prevention of varicella: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR Recomm Rep 2007; 56:1–40.
- Hastie A, Catteau G, Enemuo A, et al. Immunogenicity of the adjuvanted recombinant zoster vaccine: persistence and anamnestic response to additional doses administered 10 years after primary vaccination. J Infect Dis 2021; 224: 2025–34.