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#### Abstract

Background: The gene doublesex (dsx) is known as a key factor regulating genetic sex determination in many organisms. We previously identified two $d s x$ genes (DapmaDsx1 and DapmaDsx2) from a freshwater branchiopod crustacean, Daphnia magna, which are expressed in males but not in females. D. magna produces males by parthenogenesis in response to environmental cues (environmental sex determination) and we showed that DapmaDsx1 expression during embryonic stages is responsible for the male trait development. The D. magna dsx genes are thought to have arisen by a cladoceran-specific duplication; therefore, to investigate evolutionary conservation of sex specific expression of $d s x$ genes and to further assess their functions in the environmental sex determination, we searched for $d s x$ homologs in four closely related cladoceran species. Results: We identified homologs of both dsx genes from, D. pulex, D. galeata, and Ceriodaphnia dubia, yet only a single $d s x$ gene was found from Moina macrocopa. The deduced amino acid sequences of all 9 dsx homologs contained the DM and oligomerization domains, which are characteristic for all arthropod DSX family members. Molecular phylogenetic analysis suggested that the dsx gene duplication likely occurred prior to the divergence of these cladoceran species, because that of the giant tiger prawn Penaeus monodon is rooted ancestrally to both DSX1 and DSX2 of cladocerans. Therefore, this result also suggested that $M$. macrocopa lost dsx2 gene secondarily. Furthermore, all dsx genes identified in this study showed male-biased expression levels, yet only half of the putative $5^{\prime}$ upstream regulatory elements are preserved in D. magna and D. pulex. Conclusions: The all dsx genes of five cladoceran species examined had similar amino acid structure containing highly conserved DM and oligomerization domains, and exhibited sexually dimorphic expression patterns, suggesting that these genes may have similar functions for environmental sex determination in cladocerans.
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## Background

Sex determination is a fundamental developmental process, affecting the sexual differentiation of gonads, and leads to sex-specific differences in behavior, physiology and morphology. Sex-determining systems can be divided into two categories: genotypic sex determination (GSD) and environmental sex determination (ESD) [1-3]. GSD is attri-

[^0]buted to the genetic segregation of genes, often residing on sex chromosomes that initiate alternate sex-determining developmental pathways. In contrast, ESD has repeatedly arisen during animal evolution [4] and is initiated by diverse environmental cues, such as temperature, photoperiod, nutrition and population density, that trigger alternative genetic signals, resulting in the regulation of male or female sex-determining genes [5,6].
Natural selection of rare mutational variants has been suggested to mediate the transitions between GSD and ESD $[7,8]$. A previous phylogenetic analysis revealed that there have been at least three independent switches from

GSD to ESD in lizards, and six transitions from ESD to GSD in turtles [9]. Moreover, previous experiments using temperature-sensitive mutations created artificially in Caenorhabditis elegans demonstrated how GSD could rapidly evolve into ESD as a consequence of a mutation in key sex determining genes [9]. Orthologs of GSD genes such as dmrt1, sox9 and cyp19a (aromatase) are expressed in the gonads during the temperature-sensitive period in ESD of reptiles [10]. Thus, according to the current interpretation of these data, ESD mechanisms are likely to share many sex-determining components with GSD [5].
Sex determination systems in insects vary considerably in key factors and regulatory mechanisms to develop sex-specific traits. The sex determination mechanism in Drosophila melanogaster is best understood. The ratio of X chromosomes to autosomes (X:A ratio) is thought to provide the initial signal for the activation of sex-lethal ( $s x l$ ), a master gene of the sex determination cascade. Then, $s x l$ is produced as the sex-specific splicing isoforms. Sxl in female acts on the pre-mRNA of transformer (tra) resulting in reproduction of functional Tra. The functional Tra in the female, in concert with Tra-2, regulates the production of female-specific doublesex ( $d s x$ ) mRNA. The male-specific splice form of $d s x$ mRNA is the default splice-variant in D. melanogaster. Dsx regulates the various sex-specific traits such as gonads. Recently, sex determination mechanisms have also been demonstrated in various insect lineages such as Diptera (Musca domestica and Ceratitis capitata), Hymenoptera (Apis mellifera and Nasonia vitripennnis) and Coleoptera (Tribolium castaneum). These studies revealed that tra and $d s x$ are highly conserved among insects [11-14]. However, in case of Lepidoptera, Bombyx mori, tra and tra-2 are assumed not to be required for the sex-specific splicing of Bmdsx premRNA, because Bmdsx has no Tra/Tra-2 binding motif. Recently, it has been revealed that binding of the BmPSI, a Bombyx homolog of P-element somatic inhibitor, to the exonic splicing suppressor sequence on expected region is involved in sex-specific splicing of Bmdsx [12]. These data suggest that upstream genetic cascades of dsx might be diverse among insects.

The Cladocera (commonly called water fleas) is an ancient clade of branchiopod crustaceans comprising 16 or 18 family lineages $[15,16]$ that all reproduce by cyclical parthenogenesis involving ESD [17]. The most well studied species are from the family Daphniidae, particularly of the genus Daphnia. Daphnia inhabit freshwater ponds and lakes on all continents and are known to switch between parthenogenetic and sexual reproduction when environmental conditions for growth and reproduction deteriorate. During normal growing conditions, populations are most often entirely composed of females. However, shortened photoperiod, a lack of food and/or
increased population density all lead to the clonal production of males that are genetically identical to their sisters and mothers [18]. First instar male juveniles are easily distinguished from the females [19]. During maturation, daphniids undergo morphological sexual differentiation of various somatic tissues, including the armament of a first thoracic leg with the copulatory hook in males, which becomes larger during the fifth instar [20]. Gonads develop and finally settle at both sides of the gut during embryogenesis in both sexes [21]. The appearance of males allows sexual reproduction to occur [22,23], when females begin producing haploid eggs requiring fertilization.

Recently, we and others found that male production occurred independently of environmental cues by treatment with exogenous juvenile hormone ( JH ) or its analogs $[24,25]$. Exposure of D. magna to JH analogs at the stage corresponding to the environmentally-sensitive period for sex determination of a cladoceran species of the family Moinidae [26], produced exclusively male broods, suggesting that JH could be a key molecule for understanding mechanisms of ESD [24,27,28].
A doublesex ( $d s x$ ) gene was originally identified in D. melanogaster as a critical and terminal transcription factor in the fly sex determining cascade. Dsx is spatially and temporally transcribed into two sex-specific splice forms conferring sexually dimorphic traits during development $[29,30]$. The $d s x$ gene contains two conserved domains: the Dsx/Mab-3 (DM) domain at the N -terminus and the oligomerization domain at the C-terminus [31]. Genes encoding DM-domain (DM-domain genes) were discovered to play a related role in C. elegans $[32,33]$ and also in vertebrates [34-36]. In contrast, results from numerous studies have shown that other genes in the genetic sex-determination cascade widely diversified among species [1,2,37].
To understand the molecular and evolutionary relationships between GSD and ESD, we previously identified and analyzed three DM-domain genes (DMRT11E, DMRT93B and DMRT99B) from D. magna, displaying sexual dimorphic gene expression patterns in adult gonads [38]. However, none of these DM-domain genes exhibited sexually dimorphic expression patterns during embryonic development, suggesting that they are not involved in sex determination [38]. Two additional DM-domain genes were later found in the D. magna expressed sequence tags (ESTs) database [39]. Therefore, we analyzed the function of these two genes from D. magna using gene manipulations that we developed [40]. These experiments revealed that two $d s x$ genes in D. magna were obtained by lineage-specific duplication, and then one of the paralogs, Daphnia magna $d s x 1$ (DapmaDsx1), plays an important role in directing the major sexually dimorphic development of $D$. magna [41]. In contrast, specific function of Daphnia magna
$d s x 2$ (DapmaDsx2) remains unknown. These newly identified $d s x$ genes showed greater sequence similarity at the amino acid sequence level to known insect $d s x$ genes than to the previously identified DM-domain containing genes in D. magna. A genome-wide study of gene functions in $D$. pulex suggested that lineagespecific duplicated genes are most responsive to varying environmental conditions [42]. In the present study, we investigated the sequence and functional conservation of the two $d s x$ genes in a broader taxonomic sampling of cladocerans by cloning $d s x$ homologs, and determining their sex specific expression in four species representing two families and three genera. We also analyzed the structures of cloned $d s x$ genes of $D$. magna and $D$. pulex including their putative regulatory motifs and putative transcription factor binding sites in the 5 ' upstream regions of these duplicated $d s x$ genes.

## Results and discussion

## Molecular cloning of doublesex genes from cladocerans

To verify whether homologs of $d s x$ genes among daphniids are conserved, we first cloned $d s x$ genes from four cladocerans (D. pulex, D. galeata, C. dubia and M. macrocopa), then characterized them by comparison with $d s x$ genes of D. magna and several insect species [41,43] (Figure 1). As a result, two $d s x$ homologs were identified from $D$. pulex, D. galeata and C. dubia, while only one $d s x$ homolog was isolated from M. macrocopa (Figure 1B and Additional file 1). The deduced amino acid sequences of all 9 homologs contained the expected DM- and oligomerization-
domains, which are characteristic for all arthropod DSX family members [31,44] (Figures 2, 3). Phylogenetic analysis with other known DSX of various species revealed that DSX of cladocerans grouped into two distinct monophyletic groups: DSX1 and DSX2 (Figure 4). Because DSX of the giant tiger prawn Penaeus monodon is rooted ancestrally to both DSX1 and DSX2, the gene duplication event likely occurred after the divergence of Branchiopoda and Malacostraca (Figure 4). In the present study, only $d s x 1$, but not $d s x 2$, was identified from $M$. macrocopa. To test whether another copy might exist, we performed reverse transcription PCR assays using primers corresponding to highly conserved region of $d s x 1$ and $d s x 2$ among Daphniidae. Only a single amplified DNA could be detected from both sexes in M. macrocopa (Additional file 1). These results suggest that the $d s x$ gene duplication occurred prior to the divergence of these cladoceran species, therefore we infer that the $M$. macrocopa $d s x 2$ gene was secondarily lost.
By comparing the DapmaDsx1 sequence to orthologs from the four studied species (D. pulex, D. galeata, C. dubia and M. macrocopa), we found that DapmaDsx1 shows $88-58 \%, 100-95 \%, 88-48 \%, 100-78 \%$ and $96-61 \%$ sequence identities to the A, B (DM-domain), C, D (oligomerization-domain) and E domains, respectively (Figure 2A). Similarly, by comparing the DapmaDsx2 sequence with identified orthologs, we observed that DapmaDsx2 shows comparable relative ratios among each of the domains: $74-66 \%, 98-97 \%, 88-67 \%, 100-78 \%$ and $92-69 \%$ sequence identities to the $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ and E


Figure 1 Nucleotide sequence comparison of $d s x 1$ and $d s x 2$ genes from five cladocerans. (A) Alignment of nucleotide sequence of $d s x 1$ genes from Daphnia magna, D. pulex, D. galeata, Ceriodaphnia dubia and Moina macrocopa. (B) Alignment of nucleotide sequence of dsx2 genes from D. magna, D. pulex, D. galeata and C. dubia.



| D. magna | RHPTCALCKN | HQTISTLKGH | KRYCPWRQCM | LCYGiTink | 'R'K INAEQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. pulex |  |  |  |  |  |
| D. galeata |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. dubia |  |  |  |  |  |
| M. macrocopa |  |  | L | S.... . |  |
| Nasonia vitripennis | -I.K.TR.Q. | . GKKVQV.F. | E.EF.Y.L | M. IL'.'T. R | OQ mkv. |
| Tribolium castaneum | TP.N. .R.R. | .rLKIA. | KY.t.K | K.RL'TER | QRVM.m. |
| Bombyx mori | AP.N. R.R. | . RLKIE | KYQH.T | K.rli.jadr | QRVm.k. |
| Anopheles aegypti | TP.N. .R.R. | . GHKIG | KY.N.t | K.CL'.'AER | CRRVM.L. |
| Drosophila melanogaster | TP.N. .R.R. | . CLKI | KF.Y.t | ..K.RL'_ADR | 'g'R vm. L |

C


Figure 2 Schematic diagrams of the DSX1 structures and its sequence comparison of DM- and oligomerization-domains. (A) Domain structures of DSX1 in Daphnia magna, and identity with D. pulex, D. galeata, C. dubia and M. macrocopa. DM- and oligomerization-domains are indicated by black and gray boxes, respectively. (B, C) Alignment of predicted amino acid sequences of DM- and oligomerization-domains of DSX1 from five cladocerans, respectively. Amino acid sequences were aligned using CLUSTAL-X. Dotted boxes highlight the conserved threonine $(T)$ residue in the DM-domain, and arginine $(R)$ residue substituted for glutamine ( Q ), which is conserved amino acid residues of DSX. Asterisks indicate the zinc chelating residues [43]. Position of non-polar amino acids important in formation of the hydrophobic interface between oligomerization domains in Drosophila DSX are indicated with solid triangles [31,41].
domains, respectively (Figure 3A). These results suggest that putative amino acids of both the DM- and oligomerization-domains are highly conserved among the Cladocera. On the other hand, amino acid similarities outside of these domains are lower, and are proportional to the evolutionary distance between each genus; Daphnia, Ceriodaphnia and Moina [46] (Additional files 2 with 3).
The DM-domain contains zinc chelating residues, and among the insects studied to date, two highly conserved amino acid residues, threonine and glutamine (Boxed in Figures 2B, 3B), distinguish the DM-domain of DSX from DM-domains of other insect proteins [43]. Therefore, we searched for similar highly conserved amino acid residues within the DSX DM-domains of cladocerans. Indeed, all zinc chelating residues are found to be conserved in the DM domains of DSX1 and DSX2 among the five cladoceran species (Figures 2B, 3B). Yet, although the threonine and glutamine residues were conserved in DSX2, the glutamine residue in DSX1 was substituted by arginine in all cladoceran species examined (Figures 2B, 3B). These results suggest that DSX1 in cladocerans might have gained a novel function
affecting sex determination by amino acid replacement after duplication of $d s x$ in branchiopoda lineage.
Compared to the DM-domains, more amino acid variation is observed in alignments of the oligomerizationdomains (Figures 2C, 3C). Dimerization, which enhances specific DNA binding, is mediated by several residues in a non-polar interface that is conserved within oligomeriza-tion-domains. Previous study has revealed that, in DSX2 of $D$. magna, two of three nonpolar amino acids indispensable for formation of the nonpolar interface are substituted with the polar acidic amino acid, aspartic acid [41]. This suggests that the daphniid DSX2 proteins are unable to dimerize and may not be functional or may have a different, unknown functions.

## Sex specific expression of $d s x$ genes in five cladoceran species

We previously reported that both $d s x$ genes in $D$. magna were transcriptionally up-regulated in males and showed no sex-specific splice isoforms. We and others also reported that exposure to JH analogs reliably produces male daphniids $[24,25]$. Moreover, by using gene knock-



| D. magna | LVNSLRDSKL | SVEHLAYLDN | DVCTAICIIR | DEVSTQLND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. pulex | R | TM |  |  |
| D. galeata | . . R | TM |  |  |
| C. dubia | ...A.EERF | .MDD | E....VR. |  |
| N. vitripennis | S.KL.QRFGY | HWQS. TLMYV | I LKDSRADV- | EVAMRRITQ |
| T. castaneum | CQKL.ERF.Y | PW.MMPLMYA | ILKD.RADL- | E.A.RRIDE |
| B. mori | CHRL.EKFHY | .W.MMPLVLV | IMNY.RSDL- | ..A.RKIYE |
| A. aegypti | SQWL. EKLRY | PW.MMPLMYV | ILKG. DGDV- | NKARQRIDE |
| D. melanogaster | CQKL.EKFRY | PW.LMPLMYV | ILKD. DAN. | E.A.RRIEE |

Figure 3 Schematic diagrams of the DSX2 structures and its sequence comparison of DM- and oligomerization-domains. (A) Domain structures of the DSX2 of Daphnia magna, and identity with D. pulex, D. galeata and C. dubia. DM- and oligomerization-domains are indicated by black and gray boxes, respectively. (B, C) Alignment of predicted amino acid sequences of DM- and oligomerization-domains of DSX2 from four daphniids, respectively. Amino acid sequences were aligned using CLUSTAL-X. Dotted boxes highlight the conserved threonine $(T)$ and glutamine (Q) residues in DSX2. Asterisks indicate the zinc chelating residues. Position of non-polar amino acids important in formation of the hydrophobic interface between oligomerization-domains in Drosophila DSX are indicated with solid triangles [31,41].
down (RNAi) and overexpression methods in D. magna [40,41], we discovered that DapmaDsx1 is necessary and sufficient for sex determination in D. magna, whereas the tandemly duplicated DapmaDsx2 gene does not determine sex, even though its transcription is equally sex-biased [41].
In this study, we confirmed that expression patterns of DapmaDsx1 and DapmaDsx2 homologous genes are conserved in other cladocerans, by studying steady state mRNA levels for $d s x$ transcripts in adult females and males by quantitative PCR. We found that the mRNA levels of these $d s x$ genes range from seven to forty fold greater in males than in females (Figure 5). Our results indicate that the sexual dimorphic mRNA expression patterns of $d s x$ are conserved among daphniids and Moina.

Annotation of $d s x$ gene structures in D. Magna and D. Pulex We previously cloned and described the mRNA transcripts of the DapmaDsx1 and DapmaDsx2 genes [41]. DapmaDsx1 produces two mRNA, DapmaDsx1- $\alpha$ and DapmaDsx1- $\beta$, which are expressed in both sexes and differ only in their 5' UTR, while DapmaDsx2 produces only one mRNA transcript. The D. pulex draft genome
sequence was recently published [42], and the D. magna genome sequencing project is currently in progress by the Daphnia Genomics Consortium. The DapmaDsx1- $\alpha$, DapmaDsx1- $\beta$, and DapmaDsx2 mRNA transcripts were aligned to the genome assemblies and used to annotate the $d s x 1$ and $d s x 2$ gene models in the D. magna and D. pulex genomes (Additional file 4).

The $D$. magna $d s x$ gene cluster is located on scaffold 2190 of the $D$. magna genome assembly v2.4 with a $\sim 10 \mathrm{Kbp}$ intergenic region between the DapmaDsx1 ( $\sim 16.1 \mathrm{Kbp}$ length) and DapmaDsx2 ( $\sim 1.6 \mathrm{Kbp}$ length) genes (Additional file 4A). The second exon of the DapmaDsx1- $\beta$ mRNA transcript fell within an assembly gap in scaffold 2190, but was located on scaffold 521 . We conclude that scaffold 521 ( $\sim 5.8 \mathrm{Kbp}$ length) characterizes a $\sim 3.1 \mathrm{Kbp}$ gap in scaffold 2190, located in the intragenic region of DapmaDsx1.
The $D$. pulex $d s x$ gene cluster is located on scaffold 32 of the $D$. pulex genome assembly v1.1 with a $\sim 9.6$ Kbp intergenic region between the DappuDsx1 (~21.5 Kbp length) and DappuDsx2 ( $\sim 1.6 \mathrm{Kbp}$ length) genes (Additional file 4B). The D. pulex v1.1 gene model predictions did not correctly identify the $d s x 1$ and $d s x 2$


Figure 4 Phylogeny of DM-domain containing genes based on amino-acid sequence conservation. The evolutionary history of DM-domain containing genes was inferred by using the NeighborJoining method. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated genes clustered together in the bootstrap test (1,000 replicates) is shown next to the branches (Bootstrap values below 70 percent are not shown). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Poisson correction method and are in the units of the number of amino acid substitutions per site. The analysis involved 55 amino acid sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were a total of 62 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA5 [45]. Red spot indicates duplication period of dsx gene duplication in cladocerans.
duplicate gene cluster. Our annotations improved the $d s x$ gene models in both D. magna and D. pulex genomes.

Potential transcriptional regulatory elements in the $5^{\prime}$ upstream promoter regions of the $d s x$ genes
To further annotate putative functional and conserved elements of the $d s x$ genes, we searched and compared transcriptional promoter regions of the genes in D. magna and D. pulex. 1.0 Kbp upstream of the transcription start site (TSS) of $d s x 1-\alpha, d s x 1-\beta$, and $d s x 2$ were extracted as transcriptional regulatory regions. This interval spans the predicted intergenic region between adjacent loci. Promoter sequences are challenging for multiple alignment algorithms, because upstream regulatory regions are not well conserved compared to protein coding regions of genes [47,48]. We aligned orthologous promoter sequences from $D$. magna and $D$. pulex using Pro-Coffee [47], an alignment algorithm specifically designed for homologous promoter regions (Additional files 5, 6, 7). The DapmaDsx1- $\alpha$ and DappuDsx1- $\alpha$ promoter alignment showed $46 \%$ sequence identity (Additional file 5), the DapmaDsx1- $\beta$ and DappuDsx1- $\beta$ promoter alignment showed $60 \%$ sequence identity (Additional file 6), and the DapmaDsx2 and DappuDsx2 promoter alignment showed $62 \%$ sequence identity (Additional file 7). The promoter regions of $d s x 1$ and $d s x 2$ have much less conservation than their respective protein coding regions, which have $84 \%$ and $83 \%$ sequence identity, respectively $(92 \%$ and $84 \%$ sequence identity at synonymous sites on protein coding region, respectively).

We characterized putative known transcription factor binding sites (TFBS) in the $d s x$ upstream promoter regions using transcription factor map (TF-map) alignments [48] between orthologous $d s x$ promoter regions in D. magna and D. pulex, based on matches to position frequency matrices (PFMs) from JASPAR [49] and TRANSFAC [50] TFBS databases. The optimal $d s x 1-\alpha$ promoter TF-map alignment contains 20 putative known TFBSs (Figure 6A, Additional file 8). The optimal $d s x 1-\beta$ promoter TF-map alignment contains 31 putative known TFBSs (Figure 6C, Additional file 9). The optimal $d s x 2$ promoter TF-map alignment contains 39 putative known TFBSs (Figure 6E, Additional file 10). The positions of the putative TFBS pairs (between orthologous promoters) are well aligned when annotated onto the promoter sequence Pro-Coffee alignments (Figure 6), suggesting these predicted putative TFBSs are conserved between D. magna and D. pulex.
We compared the number of unique predicted transcription factors (TFs) shared amongst the $d s x$ promoter regions (Figure 7A). In total, 32 unique TFs were predicted in the $d s x$ promoters, half (16) are present in at least two of the promoters (Additional file 11). Six unique


Figure 5 Relative transcriptional expression levels of $d s x 1$ and $d s x 2$ genes in adult males compared with females. The males of
D. magna (NIES strain), D. galeata, C. dubia and M. macrocopa were induced by JH analog exposure. D. magna (Belgium strain) and D. pulex males were induced by environmental cues (see Materials and methods). These genes showed higher expression levels in males using both JHs (black) and natural cues (grey) than in females (white). Y-axes indicate relative expression levels normalized by female expression levels. Bars indicate standard errors. Numbers indicate the biological replicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences ( $P<0.05$, based on Student's $t$-test).

TFs were predicted in all three $d s x$ promoters; an additional six unique TFs were also shared between $d s x 1-\beta$ and $d s x 2$ promoter regions. Interestingly, 11 unique TFs were predicted in the $d s x 2$ promoter but not in either $d s x 1$ promoters. We previously showed that $d s x 1-\beta$ mRNA expression levels are three times greater than expression levels of $d s x 1-\alpha$ during male $D$. magna development, and that transcription of $d s x 2$ is even greater than both $d s x 1$ mRNAs combined [41]. The shared TF motifs suggest a duplication history involving at least part of the 5 ' region upstream of $d s x 1-\beta$, while numeric differences observed among $d s x$ promoter regions are reflective of these expression level differences. Based on the promoter sequence conservation between $D$. magna and $D$. pulex, and the greater number of predicted TFs, the $d s x 1-\beta$ promoter seems to be the more widely used and evolutionarily conserved, while the $d s x 1-\alpha$ promoter has experienced more sequence divergence and loss of TFBSs.
Since we previously reported that DapmaDsx1 and DapmaDsx2 are paralogs, and that both DapmaDsx1 and DapmaDsx2 mRNAs are transcriptionally up-regulated in male $D$. magna [41], we searched for de novo conserved
motifs present in all $d s x$ promoter regions in D. magna and D. pulex (DapmaDsx1- $\alpha$, DappuDsx1- $\alpha$, DapmaDsx1- $\beta$, DappuDsx1- $\beta$, DapmaDsx2, and DappuDsx2), without reference to TFBS sequence databases. We identified 14 conserved motifs in the Daphnia dsx promoters (Additional file 12), which can later be functionally investigated as potential TFBSs and/or potential transcriptional promoters of Daphnia dsx. The motifs were labeled M1 through M14 and annotated onto the $D$. magna/D. pulex $d s x$ promoter alignments (Figures 6B, 6D and 6F). Motifs 1 through 9 were also found in the $D$. melanogaster $d s x$ upstream promoter region, supporting the conservation and potential regulatory functions of these motifs.
In order to assess whether these de novo conserved motifs are similar to any known TFBSs, we scanned the individual motif sequences for matches to TFBS PFMs and compared each motif consensus sequence to known TFBS consensus sequences. Several of the conserved motifs showed similarity to known TFBSs (Figures 7B-F). Motif 3 matches the TFBS of Mirr, a homeobox transcription factor in the Iroquois complex of transcription factors, which is predicted in all three $d s x$ promoter TFmap alignments (Figure 7B). Motif 4 matches the TFBS of


Figure 6 Annotations mapped onto Pro-Coffee alignment of $d s x 1-a, d s x 1-\beta$ and $d s x 25^{\prime}$ upstream promoter regions. (A) Putative known transcription factor binding sites in $D$. magna and $D$. pulex $d s \times 1$-a promoter regions predicted by TF-map alignment algorithm. (B) dsx1-a promoter locations of conserved regulatory motifs predicted in both D. magna and D. pulex dsx promoter regions. (C) Putative known transcription factor binding sites in $D$. magna and D. pulex $d s \times 1-\beta$ promoter regions predicted by TF-map alignment algorithm. (D) dsx1- $\beta$ promoter locations of conserved regulatory motifs predicted in all D. magna and D. pulex $d s x$ promoter regions. (E) Putative known transcription factor binding sites in $D$. magna and $D$. pulex $d s \times 2$ promoter regions predicted by TF-map alignment algorithm. (F) $d s \times 2$ promoter locations of conserved regulatory motifs predicted in all $D$. magna and $D$. pulex $d s x$ promoter regionArrows underneath denote the conserved regulatory motifs also found $D$. melanogaster $d s x$ promoter region.

Vvl, a homeobox transcription factor which is predicted in both $d s x 1-\beta$ and $d s \times 2$ TF-map alignments (Figure 7C). Motif 7 matches the TFBS of Gsc/Bcd/Oc, three homeobox transcription factors with nearly identical binding sites (Figure 7D), with four of the Motif 7 sequences
matching the Gsc/Bcd/Oc consensus TAATC exactly. Gsc was also predicted in the $d s x 2$ TF-map alignment, and Oc was predicted in the $d s x 1-\alpha$ TF-map alignment. Motif 8 matches the TFBS of Pan, a high mobility group transcription factor, which is predicted in both $d s x 1-\beta$ and $d s x 2$


Figure 7 Venn diagram of putative transcriptional factors and sequence logos of de novo dsx promoter motifs. (A) Venn diagram showing the number of unique putative transcription factors shared amongst the $D$. magna and $D$. pulex $d s \times 1-a, d s \times 1-\beta$, and $d s \times 2$ TF-map alignments. (B-F) Braces under the sequence logos denote the similar regions between the de novo motif and known TFBS. Sequence logos were created with WebLogo [51]. (B) Motif 3 and Mirr TFBS (C) Motif 4 and Vvl TFBS (D) Motif 7 and Gsc TFBS (E) Motif 8 and Pan TFBS (F) Motif 12 and Pan reverse complement TFBS.

TF-map alignments (Figure 7E). Motif 12 also matches the TFBS of Pan, but with the reverse complement of the binding site (Figure 7F). The similarity of these de novo conserved motifs to known TFBSs that were also predicted by the TF-map alignment further supports our results describing the regulatory elements of the Daphnia $d s x$ genes.

## Conclusions

In summary, we identified the orthologs of DapmaDsx1 and DapmaDsx2 genes from closely related species belonging to two cladoceran families and three genera: $D$. pulex, D. galeata, C. dubia (Daphniidae) and M. macrocopa (Moinidae), with highly conserved DM- and oligo-merization-domains. All five species examined exhibited sexually dimorphic expression pattern of $d s x$ genes, suggesting that these genes may have similar functions for sex
determination in cladocerans. Daphniids are unique animals that exhibit ESD and are; therefore, attractive for understanding the evolution of ESD. We also identified potential regulatory motifs and transcription factor binding sites in the putative promoter regions of these genes in D. magna and D. pulex. This information will facilitate future study of molecular mechanisms underlying sexdetermination in cladocerans.

## Methods

## Daphnia strain and culture conditions

Isoclonal strains of D. magna (NIES and Belgium strains), D. galeata, C. dubia and M. macrocopa were obtained from the National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES; Tsukuba, Japan) [25,52]. D. pulex was obtained from Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan [53], and maintained as described previously [27]. Briefly, culture
medium was prepared using charcoal-filtered tap water and cultures of 20 individuals per liter were incubated at $21 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a 14 -h light/10-h dark photoperiod. A $0.01-\mathrm{ml}$ suspension of $4.3 \times 10^{8}$ cells $\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ Chlorella (Chlorella vulgaris) was added daily to each culture. The water hardness was between 72 and $83 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$, the pH between 7.0 and 7.5 , and the dissolved oxygen concentration between 80 and $99 \%$. To obtain natural male embryos, adult D. magna (Belgium clone) was reared in crowded conditions, and D. pulex was incubated at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a 10 -h light/ 14 -h dark photoperiod, and a $0.01-\mathrm{ml}$ suspension of $4.3 \times 10^{8}$ cells $\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ Chlorella was added every two days. To obtain male embryos of $D$. magna (NIES clone), D. galeata, C. dubia and M. macrocopa, (in which natural males are rarely seen) adult individuals (about 2 weeks of age) were chemically induced to produce males by treating them with a synthetic JH analog, fenoxycarb ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) (technical grade $96.6 \%$ pure, Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan) [25]. We confirmed the offspring sexes by the length of the first antenna [19] observed and photographed using a Leica MZ APO dissecting microscope (Leica, Mannheim, Germany).

## Cloning of $d s x$ genes

The nucleotide sequences of the $D$. magna $d s x$ genes were used for designing primers that amplify $d s x$ genes in four different species. The harvested animals were homogenized using the Micro Smash MS-100R (Tomy, Tokyo, Japan). Total RNA was extracted with ISOGEN reagent according to the manufacturer's protocol (NIPPON GENE, Tokyo, Japan). Poly (A) + RNA was isolated from purified total RNA using Fast Track (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA USA) and converted to cDNA using Superscript III and random primers (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's protocol. cDNAs corresponding to the EST sequences were obtained by PCR amplification, and full-length cDNAs were obtained by RACE (Cap Fishing; SeeGene, Seoul, South Korea) using the oligonucleotide sequences as shown in Additional file 13.

## Phylogenetic analysis of the DM-domain genes

A phylogenetic tree of DM-domain genes including newly cloned D. pulex, D. galeata, C. dubia and M. macrocopa $d s x$ genes were constructed using amino acid sequences of DM-domain genes used in the previous study [38] (Additional file 14). A multiple alignment was constructed using Clustal W $[45,54]$ with the following settings (pairwise alignment parameters: gap opening penalty 15 , gap extension penalty 6.66 , identity protein weight matrix; multiple alignment parameters: delay divergent cutoff $30 \%$, gap separation distance 4). Phylogenetic reconstruction was performed using the maximum likelihood and the neigh-bor-joining methods implemented in MEGA version 5 [45].

## Quantitative PCR

Two to three weeks old male and female animals of the five cladoceran species were used in quantitative-PCR ( $\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{PCR}$ ) assays of gene expression levels. mRNAs were quantified as described previously [38]. Animals were washed briefly and soaked in RNAlater (Life Technologies) for 10 min . Total RNA was purified and cDNA was synthesized as described above except that a random oligonucleotide was used as the primer. PCR was performed in an ABI Prism 7000 (Life Technologies) using the SYBR-Green PCR core reagents kit (Life Technologies), in the presence of appropriate primers. PCR amplifications were performed using the following conditions: 2 min at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 10 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by a total of 40 twotemperature cycles $\left(15 \mathrm{~s}\right.$ at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 min at $\left.60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
The primers were chosen to amplify short PCR products of 150 bp ; the primer sequences are listed in Additional file 15. Ribosomal protein L32 gene was used for normalization purposes [21,55]. Data acquisition and analysis were performed by ABI Prism 7000 SDS software ver. 1.1 (Life Technologies). The baseline and threshold for the Ct (cycle threshold) were set automatically. Each gene was tested in technical triplicate samples by the relative standard curve method. In the case of $D$. magna and $D$. pulex, each experiment was performed in biological triplicate and statistical analyses were applied.

## $d s x$ gene annotations

The genomic locations of DapmaDsx1- $\alpha$, DapmaDsx1- $\beta$, and DapmaDsx2 mRNA transcripts were identified using BLASTN sequence similarity searches against a reference blast database of the D. magna genome assembly v2.4 scaffolds, and against a reference blast database of the $D$. pulex genome assembly v1.1 scaffolds. The best BLAST matches were analyzed and used to map the gene exons onto the $D$. magna and $D$. pulex scaffolds. ESTs mapped onto the genome assembly with PASA [56], microarray tiling path expression data, and RNA-Seq data from wFleaBase [57] were used as supporting evidence for exon annotations. The $d s x$ gene annotation figures were created with AnnotationSketch [58] (Figure 6).

## Transcription factor map alignments

Matscan [48] was used to search for matches to 125 JASPAR core insect TFBS matrices and 44 TRANSFAC insect TFBS matrices in each $d s x$ promoter region in D. magna and D. pulex. A threshold of 0.85 matrix similarities was used to find TFBS matrix matches in the promoter sequences. For each promoter sequence, the collection of TFBS matrix matches is referred to as its TF-map. The TF-maps for each promoter sequence can be found in the following Additional files: DapmaDsx1- $\alpha$ (Additional file 16), DappuDsx1- $\alpha$ (Additional file 17),

DapmaDsx1- $\beta$ (Additional file 18), DappuDsx1- $\beta$ (Additional file 19), DapmaDsx2 (Additional file 20), DappuDsx2 (Additional file 21). Meta [48] was then used to find the best meta-alignment of the orthologous promoters TF-maps (with parameters: $\mathrm{a}=0.5, \mathrm{l}=0.1$, $\mathrm{m}=0.1$ ).

## de novo conserved promoter motifs

The $5^{\prime} 1.0 \mathrm{Kbp}$ upstream region was extracted from all D. pulex gene models and used to create a background frequency model for 8 bp length motifs in Daphnia promoter regions. WeederH [59] was used to search for conserved regulatory motifs of length 8 present in all six $d s x$ promoters in D. magna and D. pulex. The WeederH algorithm measures conservation based on the sequence conservation as well as the motif occurrence's positions relative to the TSS. WeederH produces a $\chi^{2}$ score assessing how conserved the motif is compared to the rest of the homologous sequences. We used a $\chi^{2}$ score threshold of 3 , discarding motifs with a $\chi^{2}$ score less than 3 .

## Additional files

## Additional file 1: RT-PCR of oligonucleotides corresponding to

 highly conserved region of $d s x 1$ (A) and $d s x 2$ (B). The amplified cDNAs were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Lane M: molecular weight marker. Lane 1 to 10: D. magna (female), D. magna (male), D. pulex (female), D. pulex (male), D. galeata (female), D. galeata (male), C. dubia (female), C. dubia (male), M. macrocopa (female), M. macrocopa (male).Additional file 2: Estimation of evolutionary divergence between the DSX1 except for DM- and oligomerization-domain and COI sequences. The number of amino acid differences per site between sequences are shown. The analysis involved 5 amino acid sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were total of 225 and 208 positions in the final dataset of DSX1 and COI, respectively. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA5 [45].
Additional file 3: Estimation of evolutionary divergence between the DSX2 except for DM- and oligomerization-domain and COI sequences. The number of amino acid differences per site between sequences are shown. The analysis involved 5 amino acid sequences. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. There were total of 210 and 208 positions in the final dataset of DSX2 and COI, respectively. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA5 [45].
Additional file 4: Gene model annotations on the D. magna and D. pulex genome assembly. (A) D. magna $d s \times 1$ and $d s \times 2$ gene model annotations on the D. magna genome assembly. (B) D. pulex $d s \times 1$ and $d s \times 2$ gene model annotations on the $D$. pulex genome assembly. Figures were created with AnnotationSketch [58].
Additional file 5: Nucleotide sequence comparison of dsx1-a promoter regions in D. magna and D. pulex. Pro-Coffee alignment of Dsx1-a 1.0 Kbp upstream promoter region from D. magna and D. pulex.
Additional file 6: Nucleotide sequence comparison of $d s \times 1-\beta$ promoter regions in D. magna and D. pulex. Pro-Coffee alignment of $d s \times 1-\beta 1.0 \mathrm{Kbp}$ upstream promoter region from D. magna and D. pulex.

## Additional file 7: Nucleotide sequence comparison of $d s \times 2$

 promoter regions in D. magna and D. pulex. Pro-Coffee alignment of $d s \times 21.0 \mathrm{Kbp}$ upstream promoter region from D. magna and D. pulex.
## Additional file 8: $d s x 1-\alpha$ TF-map alignment.

Additional file 9: $d s x 1-\beta$ TF-map alignments.
Additional file 10: $d s x 2$ TF-map alignments.

Additional file 11: TF-map alignments unique TFs comparison.
Additional file 12: de novo conserved regulatory motifs.
Additional file 13: Primer sequences for 5' and 3' RACE in D. galeata, C. dubia and M. macrocopa.

Additional file 14: Accession numbers of the sequences for phylogenetic analysis.
Additional file 15: Primer sequences for quantitative PCR.
Additional file 16: DapmaDsx1- $a$ TF-map.
Additional file 17: DappuDsx1- $\alpha$ TF-map.
Additional file 18: DapmaDsx1- $\beta$ TF-map.
Additional file 19: DappuDsx1- $\beta$ TF-map.
Additional file 20: DapmaDsx2 TF-map.
Additional file 21: DappuDsx2 TF-map.
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