Trauma Case Reports 1 (2015) 54-59



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Trauma Case Reports

journal homepage: http://www.journals.elsevier.com/ trauma-case-reports/



Patterns of retroperitoneal trauma following gunshot violence: A case series☆

V.E. Onwochei^a, J.C. Bolger^a, M.E. Kelly^a, S. Murphy^a, I. Khan^a, K. Barry^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Surgery, Mayo General Hospital, Saolta University Hospital Group, Ireland
^b Discipline of Surgery, National University of Ireland, Galway

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Accepted 19 October 2015 Available online 7 November 2015

Keywords: Retroperitoneal trauma gunshot management

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Abdominal trauma is defined as any injury to the abdomen and its containing viscera. Common penetrating injuries including gunshot or stab injuries are increasing worldwide. However, retroperitoneal gunshot injuries have a lower incidence than trans-abdominal trauma and can have substantially different outcomes.

trauma

Case report We report a series of three family members involved in gunshot violence over an18-month period. Each sustained retroperitoneal gunshot injuries with varying injuries patterns and treatment courses. Interestingly, one patient had a delayed small bowel perforation on day 6 post injury.

Discussion: Retroperitoneal trauma following gun violence has a lower incidence than trans-abdominal trauma. There is a paucity of literature describing injury patterns following this type of injury and their subsequent management. In the context of penetrating retroperitoneal trauma, the retroperitoneal organs are at risk and therefore serial clinical and/or radiological assessment is necessary. Delayed small bowel injury as a consequence of retroperitoneal gunshot is an unusual finding, with no reports to our knowledge in the literature.

Conclusion: this case series highlight that penetrating retroperitoneal trauma can produce a variety of injury patterns. Therefore a wide clinical acumen is needed to ensure a successful outcome. The trajectory of the bullet may help ascertain potential injuries, but serial assessment and observation are also important. Ultimately, individual cases must be treated accordingly, based on clinical stability, severity of injury and

☆ All authors declare no conflict of interests.

E-mail address: kbarsurg@gmail.com (K. Barry).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcr.2015.10.008

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Surgery, Mayo General Hospital, Castlebar, Republic of Ireland. Discipline of Surgery, National University of Ireland, Galway, Republic of Ireland. Tel.: + 353 94 902 1733; fax: + 353 94 902 1454.

^{2352-6440/© 2015} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

radiological findings. Despite initial stability, patients should always be observed for delayed complications. © 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

http://creativecommons.org/ncenses/by-nc-nu/4.0/j.

Introduction

Abdominal trauma may be defined as any injury to the abdomen and its containing viscera. It can be classified as blunt or penetrating, with blunt being the more common [1]. Alternatively, penetrating injuries are further sub-classified as missile or non-missile. The most common penetrating injuries worldwide are gunshot or stab injuries.

Despite increasing gun related trauma over recent years in the Republic of Ireland, it remains relatively low in comparison to other western countries. Ireland's homicidal rate of firearm injury is 0.32 per 100,000 population, while America and South Africa have rates of 2.9 and 80 per 100,000 population respectively [1]. Worldwide, males are more common victims, with mean age ranging from 18–25 years [2].

The distribution of gunshot injuries to the body is very variable, with significant differences in extent of injury. Frequently, it involves the lower trunk or the abdomen. Missile injuries to the abdomen most commonly result in trauma to the small intestine and specifically the distal ileum [1].

Management of penetrating trauma has evolved over the last century. Expectant management was commonplace during the First World War. With better sterilization, antimicrobials and surgical techniques a considerable shift to operative management, including mandatory laparotomy during the Second World War occurred. However, the role of selective laparotomy became more established during the Vietnam War [3]. In recent decades, improved diagnostics including laparoscopy, computerized tomography imaging and focused ultrasound scans help to better delineate those patients that require earlier surgical intervention. Overall, such modalities have resulted in improved overall survival for those patients presenting with penetrating abdominal injuries [3,4].

This case series describes a variation of penetrating(gunshot) injuries inflicted on three family members over an 18-month period, each presenting to the same general hospital. They had a common pattern of retroperitoneal bullet entry but with varying outcome.

Presentation of cases

Table 1. Summarizes each case, including demographics, mechanism of injury, assessment and treatment, imaging, management approach and outcome.

Discussion

Worldwide gun-related violence is increasing [5]. As a result, there have been increased publications discussing management. Many high-volume centers are increasingly employing selective non-operative management for abdominal gunshot injuries [6]. This has been largely based on data relating to anterior/ trans-abdominal injuries. Retroperitoneal trauma following gun violence is uncommon compared to trans-abdominal trauma, but is largely managed using similar guidelines as anterior gunshot wounds [7]. Studies have observed that injury severity is directly related to bullet type and trajectory, type of weapon(low versus high velocity) and distance from the victim [4].

There is paucity in the literature describing the pattern or frequency of organ involvement in retroperitoneal penetrating injuries. Traditionally, any penetrating injuries to the torso, whether trans-abdominal or retroperitoneal required a mandatory operation [3]. However, with better radiological imaging there has been a shift to more conservative management strategies, reserving surgical exploration for any patient that presents or develops clinical instability or peritonism [7].

Velmahos et al. reported on 203 consecutive patients with gunshots to the back. 31% of patients had emergency laparotomy performed from the outset, while 69% were observed clinically. Only 3% of those managed conservatively required subsequent laparotomy, while 3.4% of those initially managed operatively had negative findings [7]. Other series have shown negative laparotomy rates for all types of penetrating abdominal

Table 1

Case Summary.

	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
Age	20 years	29 years	31 years
Time between assault and	20mins	15mins	1 hour
presentation to the			
hospital			
Distance from weapon and	2 Metres	3 Metres	5 Metres
type	Low velocity	High velocity	Low velocity
Description of wound site	2 bullet wounds to the back:	3 bullet wounds:	Single bullet wound
	Left level of L3 vertebrae	Mid upper back	Right flank at level
	No ovit wound	Right flank	of filde crest
	No exit would	No evit wound	NO EXIL WOULIU
Findings on initial	Soft	Soft	Soft
abdominal examination	Some tenderness Left flank	Distended	Non tender
	Bowel sounds absent	Bowel sounds present	Bowels sounds
		F	present
Initial resuscitation/	Two wide bore cannula	Two wide bore	Two wide bore
Treatment	Crystalloids	cannula	cannula
	NPO	Crystalloids, Colloids,	NPO
	NGT	RBC, Octoplex	Crystalloids
	Catheter	100% oxygen	Analgesia
	Intravenous antibiotics	Needle decompression	Intravenous
	Tetanus Toxoid	right pneumothorax	antibiotics
		Right chest drain	Urinary catheter
		Intravenous	Tetanus toxold
Investigations	HB 14.6 Jactate 0.9	HR 12 A	HR 15
Blood	Not performed	Right haemothorax	Comminuted Right
Xray	Haematoma of erector spinae	with left	iliac crest
CT finding	Psoas, Iliacus, and guadratus	midline shift	fracture
	Lumborum	Right haemothorax	No free fluid
	Small bowel intramural haematoma	moderate	No free air
	Free air in peritoneum and para	pneumothorax	No viscera injury
	renal space in follow up computerized	Left haemothorax	Fracture of iliac
	tomographic scan	Acute bleeding from	crest
	No solid organ injury	right	Bullet lodged in
		vertebral vessels	right psoas muscle
		Acute bleeding from	JUST basida rinht
		right kidnov	common iliac voin
		Moderate left	
		nerirenal	
		Haematoma	
		Multiple rib fractures	
		Fracture 4th lumbar	
		vertebrae	
		Spinal cord injury	
Management	Exploratory laparotomy	Aggressive	Conservative
		Resuscitation -	
		unsuccessful	
Intra operative findings	Small bowel content in peritoneal cavity	N/A	N/A
	10 cm dictal to the duodono isiunal flowure		
	Roth sites closed primarily with 3/0 PDS		
	Single hullet fragment retrieved		
Total number of days in	11 days	2 hours	3 davs
Hospital			
Final outcome:	Unremarkable post operative recovery	Fatal	Discharged on oral
	Discharged day 11		antibiotics.
			Complete recovery

trauma ranging from 6-21% [8]. Therefore many conclude that mandatory laparotomy is not required for all posterior gunshot wounds, and that clinical monitoring with appropriate imaging is suitable for a cohort of patients that present haemodynamically stable.

Penetrating injuries to the back/flank place many retroperitoneal structures at risk including the proximal duodenum, pancreas, kidneys, ureters, bladder, ascending and descending colon, major abdominal vessels, and rectum [4]. Due to the thickness of the back muscles, interposed bony structures and overlying subcutaneous tissues planes, there is considerable protection for intra-abdominal organs [7]. Therefore, such injuries can be subtle and may have delayed clinical signs [7]. To date, there are only sporadic reports in the literature that focus entirely on retroperitoneal trauma secondary to gunshot injuries [4]. Additionally, injuries to the small bowel from the retroperitoneal trajectory are relatively rare, and therefore easily overlooked. This case series highlights a variation of retroperitoneal gunshot injuries that occurred to three family members with considerable differences in clinical presentation, severity of injury and outcome.

Case 1 involved a male who sustained two low-velocity shots to the back. On presentation he was haemodynamically stable, with no evidence of intra-abdominal injury and deemed suitable for conservative management (Fig. 1a Outlines initial CT scan). He developed a delayed small bowel perforation that occurred on day 6, which was evident both clinically and radiologically (Fig. 1b). He underwent laparotomy and two adjacent small bowel perforations involving the jejunum just distal to the duodeno-jejunal flexure were noted (Fig. 1c & d). These perforation sites were closed primarily with absorbable sutures. Post-operatively he made an excellent recovery. This is a rare occurrence, with no reports in the literature to our knowledge of a delayed small bowel perforation occurring with retroperitoneal gunshot injury. It highlights the high degree of caution that surgeons must have, and that clinical observation over several days may be required in some cases.



Fig. 1. a) Initial CT scan showing retained pellet fragment inferior to transverse colon. No bowel perforation was visualised at this time. There are also retained pellets in the posterior musculature of the back. b) Interval CT scan day 6 post admission showed significant free fluid intra-abdominally, likely originating from small bowel at the level of the foreign body, consistent with a delayed perforation of small bowel. c) Laparotomy demonstrating bullet fragment. d) Laparotomy demonstrating two small bowel perforations.

Case 2 describes a fatality. The patient presented with multiple high-velocity bullet wounds to the midupper back, chest and posterior flank. At presentation he was hemodynamically unstable. He had bilateral hemothorax, left-sided pneumothorax, hemorrhage from the right intervertebral vessels, multiple rib fractures and a severe spinal cord injury (Fig. 2a and b). Despite aggressive resuscitation he died prior to surgical intervention. He had three entry wounds, which resulted in substantial destructive nature for all structures in their path(especially due to the high velocity nature of the injury). Hemorrhage remains the leading cause of death in the first-hour after injury, accounting for >40% of all trauma deaths, as presented here.

Case 3 in contrast was a single low velocity bullet wound to the right flank at the level of the iliac crest. The patient was stable at presentation. CT imaging showed comminuted fracture of the anterior superior iliac spine, with the bullet fragment lodged in the right psoas muscle close to the common iliac vein (Fig. 3). It highlights how bullet trajectory can impact overall outcome. As previously documented, the presence of bony structures and muscles can create enough impedance to prevent significant injury, such as injury to common iliac vein in this case. This patient was managed conservatively, and discharged well 72-hours later.

These cases highlight the considerable variability and degree of destruction that is inflicted by gunshot injuries. The need for accessible diagnostic imaging at presentation cannot be overstated. In addition, management of such injuries is expensive and requires good clinical judgment and surgical skills [9,10]. Overall, conservative management is advocated when patients present stable. Serial clinical examination with/without repeat imaging has been shown to be 'key' in defining those that require surgical intervention.

Conclusion

Retroperitoneal trauma produces a wide array of injury patterns, resulting in considerable variation in management strategies. Though bullet trajectory is not always accurate at predicting injury pattern, it can aid in radiological assessment and management. There is paucity in the literature regarding retroperitoneal gunshot injuries. The occurrence of delayed small bowel injury is rare, and we highlight this case to potential treating surgeons. Ultimately, individual cases must be treated based on clinical stability, severity of injury and radiological findings. We believe patients must be observed for delayed complications.



Fig. 2. a) Right haemopneumothorax. Thoracostomy tube in situ (not demonstrated on this slice). Artefact is due to multiple bullet fragments. b) Cross-sectional image from CT shows extravasation of blood via entry wound.



Fig. 3. Coronal CT scan showing comminuted fracture of the anterior superior iliac spine and a bullet lodged in the psoas muscle in close proximity to the right common iliac vein.

References

- F. O'Kelly, T.K. Gallagher, K.T. Lim, et al., Gun Shot-101: an 8-year review of gunshot injuries in an Irish teaching hospital from a general surgical perspective, Ir. J. Med. Sci. 179 (2) (2010) 239–243.
- [2] D.C. Moore, Z.T. Yoneda, M. Powell, et al., Gunshot Victims at a Major Level I Trauma Center: A Study of 343,866 Emergency Department Visits, J. Emerg. Med. 44 (3) (2013) 585–591.
- [3] P.H. Navsaria, A.J. Nicol, R. Gandhi, et al., Selective Nonoperative Management in 1106 Patients With Abdominal Gunshot Wounds, Ann. Surg. 261 (4) (2015) 760–764.
- [4] R.K. Burns, H.S. Sariol, S.E. Ross, et al., Penetrating posterior abdominal trauma, Injury 25 (7) (1994) 429-431.
- [5] D.H. Livingston, R.F. Lavery, M.C. Lopreiato, et al., Unrelating violence: an analysis of 6,322 gunshot wound patients at a Level I trauma center, J. Trauma Acute Care Surg. 76 (1) (2013) 2–11.
- [6] K. Inaba, D. Demetriades, The nonoperative management of penetrating abdominal trauma, Adv. Surg. 41 (2007) 51–62.
- [7] G.C. Velmahos, D. Demetriades, E. Foianini, et al., A selective approach to the management of gunshot wounds to the back, Am. J. Surg. 174 (1997) 342–346.
- [8] J. Haan, K. Kole, A. Brunetti, et al., Nontherapeutic laparotomies revisited, Am. J. Surg. 69 (2003) 562–565.
- [9] C.A. Ordonez, M. Badiel, L.F. Pino, et al., Damage control resuscitation: Early decision strategies in the abdominal gunshot wounds using an easy "ABCD" mnemonic, J. Trauma Acute Care Surg. 73 (5) (2012) 1074–1107.
- [10] A. Cowey, P. Mitchell, J. Gregory, et al., A review of 187 gunshot wound admissions to a teaching hospital over a 54-month period: training and service implications, Ann. R. Coll. Surg. Engl. 86 (2004) 104–107.