HEMATOLOGIC MALIGNANCIES

# Retrospective Analysis of Presentation, Treatment, and Outcomes of Multiple Myeloma at a Large Public Referral Hospital in Eldoret, Kenya

Kelvin M. Manyega, MSc<sup>1,2</sup>; Teresa C. Lotodo, MBChB, MMed<sup>2,3</sup>; Mercy A. Oduor, DipPharm<sup>2</sup>; Diana F. Namaemba, BSc<sup>2</sup>; Austin A. Omondi, DipClinMed<sup>2</sup>; Yvette L. Oyolo, BSc<sup>2</sup>; John Oguda, MBA, MPH<sup>2,4</sup>; Patrick J. Loehrer, MD<sup>4</sup>; Terry A. Vik, MD<sup>3,4</sup>; and Fredrick C. Asirwa, MD<sup>5</sup>

**PURPOSE** Treatment patterns and survival outcomes of patients with multiple myeloma (MM) in Kenya have not been adequately characterized. The objectives of this study were to describe the clinical, laboratory, and imaging findings at diagnosis, to describe the treatment offered, and to determine the survival outcomes of patients with MM over an 11-year period.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS** A retrospective chart review was carried out for all patients who were diagnosed and treated for MM at Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital from 2009 to 2019. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate survival. Factors affecting survival were identified using univariate and multivariate analyses.

**RESULTS** A total of 221 patient charts were analyzed of which 124 belonged to male patients (56.1%). The median age at diagnosis was 61 years. Bone pain was the most common presenting complaint observed in 69.6% of 194 patients assessed. Out of 102 patients who received imaging studies, 60 (58.8%) had lytic lesions, 30 (29.4%) had fractures, whereas 30 (29.4%) had spinal cord compression. Anemia, renal failure, and hypercalcemia were observed in 87/187 (46.5%), 22/161 (13.7%), and 23/42 (54.8%) patients, respectively. Thalidomide and dexamethasone (65.2%); bortezomib, thalidomide, and dexamethasone (14.6%); and melphalan and prednisolone (11.9%) were the most prescribed initial chemotherapy regimens among 219 patients analyzed. Overall survival at 1 and 5 years was 70% and 21%, respectively; median overall survival was 29.0 months. In multivariate analysis, male sex (hazard ratio [HR] 1.9), baseline anemia (HR 1.8), and baseline renal failure (HR 3.2) were associated with significantly shorter survival.

**CONCLUSION** Survival outcomes were poor despite increased use of multiagent-based chemotherapy regimens. Greater access to available diagnostics and treatments is required to achieve rational treatment and increased survival.

JCO Global Oncol 7:391-399. © 2021 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives 4.0 License 💿 🔅 🗐

# INTRODUCTION

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a hematologic malignancy characterized by  $\geq 10\%$  clonal plasma cells in the bone marrow or biopsy-proven bony or extramedullary plasmacytoma with a myeloma-defining event: hypercalcemia, renal failure, anemia, or bone lesions; or any biomarker of malignancy such as clonal plasma cells  $\geq 60\%$ , a serum-free light chain (FLC) ratio of  $\geq 100$ , or > 1 focal lesion on magnetic resonance imaging.<sup>1</sup> It is commonly diagnosed among persons between 65 and 74 years of age and has a male preponderance.<sup>2</sup> Six hundred seven new MM cases and 501 MM deaths were reported in Kenya in the year 2018, corresponding to 1.3% of new cancer cases and 1.5% of cancer deaths, respectively.<sup>3</sup>

Although incurable, MM responds to treatment. Patients treated with bortezomib, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone (VRD) followed by autologous stem-cell

transplantation and subsequent maintenance with lenalidomide and dexamethasone can achieve a median overall survival (OS) of 75 months.<sup>4</sup> For transplantineligible patients, continuous VRD therapy has been shown to have an encouraging median OS of 71 months.<sup>5</sup> Other agents used in the treatment of MM include thalidomide, prednisolone, cyclophosphamide, and melphalan.<sup>6,7</sup> Despite development of national guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of MM in Kenya,<sup>8</sup> patients are often not able to receive stem-cell transplantation, and access to novel agents such as bortezomib and lenalidomide is limited.<sup>9</sup>

Little research has been conducted on MM in sub-Saharan Africa and Kenya in particular. Although characterization of presenting features has been done, treatment and survival have not been adequately described.<sup>10,11</sup> The objectives of this study were to describe the clinical, imaging, and laboratory findings

Author affiliations and support information (if applicable) appear at the end of this article.

Accepted on January 28, 2021 and published at ascopubs.org/journal/ go on March 17, 2021: DOI https://doi. org/10.1200/G0.20.

00573

# CONTEXT

# Key Objective

Previous research into multiple myeloma in Kenya and sub-Saharan Africa has not adequately addressed outcomes of treatment. This study aimed to describe disease presentation, treatment, and survival patterns of patients with multiple myeloma predominantly treated with novel agents that are increasingly being adopted as upfront treatment in this setting.

# Knowledge Generated

Notable presenting features: bone pain, anemia, renal failure, and hypercalcemia were prominent in a cohort of patients with consecutive multiple myeloma seen in a large tertiary Hospital in Kenya between 2009 and 2019.

Although thalidomide and bortezomib were frequently prescribed, the survival outcomes, a median survival of 29 months and 5-year survival of 21%, did not match survival outcomes reported in high-income country settings.

# Relevance

A focus on reliably delivering a high intensity of initial treatment followed by maintenance will be needed to maximize survival in low- and middle-income country settings. Moreover, diagnostics will be vital to selecting and guiding therapy. Therefore, appropriate resources should be set aside to meet these goals.

at diagnosis, to describe the current treatments offered, and to determine survival outcomes in a cohort of patients with MM from Western Kenya.

# PATIENTS AND METHODS

## Study Design and Setting

We performed a retrospective chart review of MM cases diagnosed and treated at the Academic Model Providing Access To Healthcare—AMPATH Oncology, a collaborative effort of Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital (MTRH), Moi University School of Medicine, and a consortium of North American Institutions led by Indiana University. MTRH is the second largest public hospital in Kenya serving residents of Western Kenya Region, a population of approximately 24 million.

# Patients

Clinical records of patients who met the diagnostic criteria of active MM according to the International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG) were included.<sup>12</sup> Only patients who were diagnosed and treated between January 1, 2009, and December 31, 2019, were included.

# **Data Collection**

A data form was used to collect required data from the electronic health records and files of individual patients. Demographic data including age at diagnosis, sex, and diagnosis date were collected. Presenting signs, symptoms, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status, radiographic findings, and laboratory findings at diagnosis including full hemogram, renal function tests, serum protein electrophoresis, serum FLC, bone marrow plasma cell percentage, and  $\beta_2$  microglobulin were recorded as well. Initial chemotherapy regimens prescribed, remission status, and date of death or date of last contact were also collected. Data from laboratory and imaging tests were collected up to 3 months after diagnosis because a considerable number of patients could not

obtain funds to have a full diagnostic work-up done at the exact moment of diagnosis.

## **Outcome Measurement**

Clinical staging was determined using the International Staging System.<sup>12</sup> Remission was considered to have occurred if a serum M protein of 0 g/dL was recorded for a patient who had elevated levels at diagnosis.

# **Statistical Analysis**

Based on the sample size calculation for proportions with a finite population correction,<sup>13</sup> assuming a 50% OS at 1 year post-diagnosis, a precision of 5%, and a 95% confidence level, the minimum sample size required was 141.

The Kaplan-Meier method was used to determine the median OS and survival rate at 1 year and 5 years after diagnosis. Death of a patient was considered an event. Patients who had not died at the end of the study period and patients lost to follow-up were censored. Univariate survival analysis was carried out using logrank tests for known and hypothesized prognostic indicators including sex, age at diagnosis, ECOG performance status; baseline levels of hemoglobin, platelet count, serum creatinine, calcium, albumin, bone marrow plasma cell percentage; initial chemotherapy regimen; and remission status. A multivariate Cox-regression model was then built using a stepwise selection approach with P < .05 as entry criterion and  $P \ge .1$  as removal criterion.

A two-sided P < .05 was required to achieve statistical significance. Data management was carried out using Stata Version 13, R, and Microsoft Excel.

# **Ethical Issues**

Ethical approval (FAN: 003619) was obtained from the Institutional Research Ethics Committee of MTRH.

# RESULTS

Table 1 is a summary of demographic, clinical, andtreatment characteristics of study patients. A total of 221

TABLE 1.	Demographic,	Clinical,	and	Treatment	Characteristics	of Study	Patients
					NI -		

Sex         221         Male         124 (64.)           Age, years         220         < 50         34 (15.5)           Age, years         220         < 50         34 (15.5)           50.64         107 (48.6)         65.74         57 (25.2)           275         221 (100)         20 (20.6)         1         35 (64.1)           E006 performance status         97         0         20 (20.6)           1         35 (64.1)         35 (64.1)         37 (30.2)           21         33 (21.4)         4         5 (52.2)           23         14 (14.4)         13 (5.0)         13 (5.0)           Presenting clinical features at diagnosis         194         Bene pain         135 (69.6)           Imaging findings         102         Fatigue         9 (4.6)           Numbress         13 (6.7)         13 (6.7)         13 (6.7)           Platetes (150.4)         187         10.3 (4.115.6)         Reening         42.0)           Itabartory Parameters (reference ranges)         No.         Median (range)         Category         No.(%)           Platetes (150.40 (0.7)         187         247 (14.1137)         <150         31 (16.6)           VBC (41.1 x 10 <sup>1</sup> //////////         183 </th <th>Characteristic</th> <th></th> <th>No.</th> <th>Category</th> <th>No. (%)</th>	Characteristic		No.	Category	No. (%)
Age, years         20         < 50	Sex		221	Male	124 (56.1)
Age, years         20         < 50				Female	97 (43.9)
ECG performance status         97         0         20 (20.6)           ECG performance status         97         0         20 (20.6)           1         35 (36.1)         2         22 (20.0)           2         75         22 (10.0)         20 (20.6)           1         35 (36.1)         2         22 (23.7)           2         23 (23.7)         41 (14.4)         5 (5.2)           Presenting clinical features at diagnosis         194         60 eneralized body weakness         38 (196.6)           1         60 eneralized body weakness         38 (196.6)         10 eneralized body weakness         38 (196.6)           1         74 (14.1)         10 (11.6)         10 (11.6)         10 (11.6)         10 (11.6)           1         74 (14.1)         10 (11.6)         10 (11.6)         10 (11.6)         10 (11.6)           1         10 (11.6) (11.6)         10 (11.6)         10 (11.6)         10 (11.6)         10 (11.6)           1         10 (11.6) (11.6) (11.6) (11.6)         10 (11.6)         10 (11.6)         10 (11.6)           1         10 (11.6)	Age, years		220	< 50	34 (15.5)
E006 performance status         97         0         275         2210.00           E006 performance status         97         0         20 (206)         1         35 (06.1)         2         23 (23.7)           2         3         14 (144)         5 (5.2)         3         14 (144)           Presenting clinical features at diagnosis         194         60ne pain         158 (69.0)           Imaging findings         102         Enderstatus         38 (19.6)           Imaging findings         102         Enderstatus         38 (19.6)           Imaging findings         102         Lytic lesions         60 (88.8)           Fractures         30 (29.4)         30 (29.4)         30 (29.4)           Laboratory Parameters (reference ranges)         No.         Median (range)         Category         No. (8)           Hemoglobin (11.5-16.5 g/dL)         187         10.3 (4.1-16.6)         < 10				50-64	107 (48.6)
ECG performance status         97         0         22 (100)           ECG performance status         97         0         20 (20.5)           1         1         35 (36.1)         3           2         33         14 (14.4)         4         5.5.2)           Presenting clinical features at diagnosis         194         6         6         38 (19.6)           Munthores         38 (19.6)         138 (69.6)         138 (69.6)         138 (69.6)         138 (69.6)           Imaging findings         102         Fratigue         94 (42.1)         138 (69.6)         148 (14.1)         148 (14.1)         148 (14.1)				65-74	57 (25.9)
ECOG performance status         97         0         20 (20.6)           1         35 (36.1)         22 (32.37)           3         14 (14.4)         4           4         5 (5.2)           Presenting clinical features at diagnosis         194         Bone pain         135 (69.6)           Generalized body weakness         38 (19.6)         Generalized body weakness         38 (19.6)           Numbroes         13 (6.7)         Fatigue         9 (4.6)           Presenting findings         102         Lybic leasons         60 (58.8)           Maging findings         102         Lybic leasons         60 (58.9)           Laboratory Parameters (reference ranges)         No.         Median (ange)         Category         No. (59)           Hemoglobin (115-16.5 g/dl.)         187         10.3 (4.1-15.6)         <10				≥ 75	22 (10.0)
1         35 (36.1)           2         23 (23.7)           3         14 (14.4)           4         5 (5.2)           Presenting clinical features at diagnosis         194           Bone pain         135 (69.6)           Generalized body weakness         38 (19.6)           Numbness         31 (6.7)           Fatigue         9 (46.5)           Bleeding         4 (2.1)           Imaging findings         102           Lybic lesions         60 (58.8)           Practures         30 (29.4)           Codot compression         30 (29.4)           Codot compression         30 (29.4)           Loboto Y Parameters (reference ranges)         No.           Median (range)         Category         No. (%)           Hemoglobin (11.5-16.5 g/d1)         187         10.3 (4.1-15.6)         <10	ECOG performance status		97	0	20 (20.6)
2         23 (23.7)           3         14 (14.4)           4         5 (5.2)           Presenting clinical features at diagnosis         194         Bone pain         135 (69.6)           Mumbress         38 (19.6)         Numbress         38 (19.6)           Numbress         13 (6.7)         Fatigue         9 (4.6)           Bleeding         4 (2.1)         Bone pain         60 (58.8)           Practures         30 (29.4)         Cord compression         60 (58.8)           Inaging findings         No.         Median (range)         Rategory         No. (%)           Hemoglobin (11.5-16.5 g/dL)         187         10.3 (4.1-15.6)         < 10				1	35 (36.1)
No.         Median (ange)         Category         No.           Introduction (and (and (ange)))         137         137         137         137           Introduction (and (ange))         No.         Median (ange)         136         136         135         136         135         136         135         136         135         136         135         136         135         136         136         135         136         135         136         136         135         136 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td>23 (23.7)</td></td<>				2	23 (23.7)
Presenting clinical features at diagnosis         194         5 (5.2)           Presenting clinical features at diagnosis         194         Bone pain         135 (69.6)           Generalized body weakness         38 (19.6)         Numbness         38 (19.6)           Numbness         13 (67.7)         Failgue         9 (4.6)           Imaging findings         102         Lytic lesions         60 (58.8)           Intractores         30 (29.4)         Fractures         30 (29.4)           Laboratory Parameters (reference ranges)         No.         Median (range)         Category         No. (%)           Plealeds (150-450 × 10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )         187         10.3 (4.1-15.6)         <10				3	14 (14.4)
Presenting clinical features at diagnosis         194         Bone pain         135 (69.6)           Generalized body weakness         38 (19.6)           Numbres         13 (6.7)           Fatigue         9 (4.6)           Bleeding         4 (2.1)           Imaging findings         102         Lytic lesions         60 (58.8)           Fractures         30 (29.4)         76.0         30 (29.4)           Laboratory Parameters (reference ranges)         No.         Median (range)         Category         No. (No. (No. (No. (No. (No. (No. (No. (				4	5 (5.2)
$  \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	Presenting clinical features at diagnosis		194	Bone pain	135 (69.6)
Numbness         13 (67)           Fatigue         9 (4.6)           Bleeding         4 (2.1)           Imaging findings         102           Laboratory Parameters (reference ranges)         No.           Median (range)         Category           Hernoglobin (1.1.5-16.5 g/dL)         187           187         247 (14.1,137)           Platelets (150-450 × 10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )         187           247 (14.1,137)         <150				Generalized body weakness	38 (19.6)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline Faigue & 9 (4.6) \\ \hline Faigue & 9 (4.6) \\ \hline Bleeding & 4 (2.1) \\ \hline Bleeding & 4 (2.1) \\ \hline Bleeding & 4 (2.1) \\ \hline Bleeding & 6.0 (58.8) \\ \hline Fractures & 0.0 (29.4) \\ \hline Cord compression & 0.0 (29.4) \\ \hline Cord compression & 0.0 (29.4) \\ \hline Cord compression & 0.0 (29.4) \\ \hline Benoglobin (11.5-16.5 g/dL) & 187 & 10.3 (4.1-15.6) & <10 & 87 (46.5) \\ \hline Platelet (150-450 \times 10^3/mm^3) & 187 & 247 (14.1,137) & <150 & 31 (16.6) \\ WBC (4.11 \times 10^3/mm^3) & 187 & 247 (14.1,137) & <150 & 31 (16.6) \\ WBC (4.11 \times 10^3/mm^3) & 185 & 4.65 (1.1-83.4) & <2 & 18 (13.3) \\ Creatinin (75-155 \mumol/L) & 161 & 75 (1.1-91.8) & \geq 177 & 22 (13.7) \\ Total calcium (corrected) (2.1-2.6 mmol/L) & 42 & 2.63 (1.1-12.2) & >2.6 & 23 (54.8) \\ Total protein (64-83 g/L) & 91 & 86.0 (0-158) & >83 & 48 (52.7) \\ Albumin (35-50 g/L) & 128 & 30.1 (4-61.8) & <35 & 82 (64.1) \\ Moncolonal protein (0 g/L) & 115 & 61 (0-166) & >0 & 103 (89.6) \\ FL (x_A) ratio (\geq 0.26 \ to \le 1.65) & 21 & 63.6 (0.01-3.500) & <0.26 & 2 (9.5) \\ \hline -1.65 & 14 (66.7) \\ Bone marrow plasma cell (< 10\%) & 107 & 50 (2-95) & \geq 10 & 91 (85.0) \\ P_2 \ marcoglobulin (< 3.5 \ mg/L) & 13 & 3.3 (2-105) & $25.5 & 4 (31) \\ n_55.5 \ marcoglobulin (< 3.5 \ mg/L) & 13 & 3.3 (2-105) & $25.5 & 4 (31) \\ 3.55.4 & 1 (8) \\ \hline \marcoglobulin (< 2.19 & \ marcoglobulin (< 2.10 & \ marcoglobulin (< 2.10 & \ marcoglobulin (< 3.5 \ mg/L) & 13 & \ marcoglobulin (< 3.5 \ mg/L) & \ marcoglobulin (< 3.5 \ mg/L$				Numbness	13 (6.7)
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				Fatigue	9 (4.6)
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				Bleeding	4 (2.1)
$\frac{Fractures}{Cord compression} 30 (29.4) \\ \hline Cord compression} 30 (29.4) \\ \hline Cord compression} 30 (29.4) \\ \hline Laboratory Parameters (reference ranges) No. Median (range) Category No. (%) \\ \hline Hemoglobin (11.5-16.5 g/dL) 187 10.3 (4.1-15.6) < 10 87 (46.5) \\ Platelets (150-450 \times 10^9/mm^3) 187 247 (14-1,137) < 150 31 (16.6) \\ WBC (4-11 \times 10^9/mm^3) 189 6.3 (2.8-36.5) < 4 24 (12.7) \\ Neutrophils (2-7 \times 10^3/mm^3) 135 4.65 (1.1-83.4) < 2 18 (13.3) \\ Creatinine (75-155 µmol/L) 161 75 (1.1-913.9) \geq 177 22 (13.7) \\ Total calcium (corrected) (2.1-2.6 mmol/L) 42 2.63 (1.1-12.2) > 2.6 23 (54.8) \\ Total protein (64-83 g/L) 91 86.0 (0-158) > 83 48 (52.7) \\ Albumin (35-50 g/L) 128 30.1 (4-61.8) < 35 82 (64.1) \\ Monoclonal protein (0 g/L) 115 61 (0-166) > 0 103 (89.6) \\ FLC (k-\lambda) ratio (\geq 0.26 \text{ to } 1.65) 21 63.6 (0.01-3,500) < 0.26 29.5) \\ > 1.65 14 (66.7) \\ Bone marrow plasma cell (< 10%) 107 50 (2-95) \geq 10 91 (85.0) \\ \beta_2 \text{ microglobulin (< 3.5 mg/L) 13 3.3 (2-105) } 219 \text{ No. Regimen} No. (%) \\ \hline Mon (No. (%) Regimen No. (%) \\ \hline Mon (No. (%) \\ $	Imaging findings		102	Lytic lesions	60 (58.8)
Cord compression         30 (29.4)           Laboratory Parameters (reference ranges)         No.         Median (range)         Category         No. (%)           Hemoglobin (11.5-16.5 g/dL)         187         10.3 (4.1-15.6)         < 10				Fractures	30 (29.4)
Laboratory Parameters (reference ranges)         No.         Median (range)         Category         No. (%)           Hemoglobin (11.5-16.5 g/dL)         187         10.3 (4.1-15.6)         <10				Cord compression	30 (29.4)
Henoglobin (11.5-16.5 g/dL)       187       10.3 (4.1-15.6)       < 10	Laboratory Parameters (reference ranges)	No.	Median (range)	Category	No. (%)
Platelets (150-450 × 10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )       187       247 (14.1,137)       < 150       31(16.6)         WBC (4-11 × 10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )       189       6.3 (2.8-36.5)       < 4	Hemoglobin (11.5-16.5 g/dL)	187	10.3 (4.1-15.6)	< 10	87 (46.5)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline WBC (4.11 \times 10^3/mm^3) & 189 & 6.3 (2.8-36.5) & < 4 & 24 (12.7) \\ \hline Neutrophils (2.7 \times 10^3/mm^3) & 135 & 4.65 (1.1-83.4) & < 2 & 18 (13.3) \\ \hline Creatinine (75-155 \mu mol/L) & 161 & 75 (1.1-913.9) & \geq 177 & 22 (13.7) \\ \hline Total calcium (corrected) (2.1-2.6 mmol/L) & 42 & 2.63 (1.1-12.2) & > 2.6 & 23 (54.8) \\ \hline Total protein (64-83 g/L) & 91 & 86.0 (0.158) & > 83 & 48 (52.7) \\ \hline Albumin (35-50 g/L) & 128 & 30.1 (4-61.8) & < 35 & 82 (64.1) \\ \hline Monoclonal protein (0 g/L) & 115 & 61 (0-166) & > 0 & 103 (89.6) \\ \hline FLC (\kappa:\lambda) ratio (\geq 0.26 \ to \leq 1.65) & 21 & 63.6 (0.01-3,500) & < 0.26 & 2 (9.5) \\ \hline P_2 \ microglobulin (< 3.5 \ mg/L) & 107 & 50 (2-95) & \geq 10 & 91 (85.0) \\ \hline P_2 \ microglobulin (< 3.5 \ mg/L) & 13 & 3.3 (2-105) & $\geq 5.5 & 4 (31) \\ \hline 3.5 \ 5.4 & 1 (8) \\ \hline Initial Chemotherapy Regimen (N = 219) & No. & Regimen & No. (%) \\ \hline \end{array}$	Platelets (150-450 $\times$ 10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )	187	247 (14-1,137)	< 150	31(16.6)
Neutrophils (2-7 × 10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )       135       4.65 (1.1-83.4)       < 2       18 (13.3)         Creatinine (75-155 µmol/L)       161       75 (1.1-913.9) $\geq$ 177       22 (13.7)         Total calcium (corrected) (2.1-2.6 mmol/L)       42       2.63 (1.1-12.2)       > 2.6       23 (54.8)         Total protein (64-83 g/L)       91       86.0 (0-158)       > 83       48 (52.7)         Albumin (35-50 g/L)       128       30.1 (4-61.8)       < 35	WBC $(4-11 \times 10^3/mm^3)$	189	6.3 (2.8-36.5)	< 4	24 (12.7)
Creatinine (75-155 μmol/L)       161       75 (1.1-913.9)       ≥ 177       22 (13.7)         Total calcium (corrected) (2.1-2.6 mmol/L)       42       2.63 (1.1-12.2)       > 2.6       23 (54.8)         Total protein (64-83 g/L)       91       86.0 (0-158)       > 83       48 (52.7)         Albumin (35-50 g/L)       128       30.1 (4-61.8)       < 35	Neutrophils (2-7 $\times$ 10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )	135	4.65 (1.1-83.4)	< 2	18 (13.3)
Total calcium (corrected) (2.1-2.6 mmol/L)       42       2.63 (1.1-12.2)       > 2.6       23 (54.8)         Total protein (64-83 g/L)       91       86.0 (0-158)       > 83       48 (52.7)         Albumin (35-50 g/L)       128       30.1 (4-61.8)       < 35	Creatinine (75-155 µmol/L)	161	75 (1.1-913.9)	≥ 177	22 (13.7)
	Total calcium (corrected) (2.1-2.6 mmol/L)	42	2.63 (1.1-12.2)	> 2.6	23 (54.8)
Albumin (35-50 g/L)       128       30.1 (4-61.8)       < 35       82 (64.1)         Monoclonal protein (0 g/L)       115       61 (0-166)       > 0       103 (89.6)         FLC ( $\kappa$ : $\lambda$ ) ratio ( $\geq$ 0.26 to $\leq$ 1.65)       21       63.6 (0.01-3,500)       < 0.26       2 (9.5)         Bone marrow plasma cell (< 10%)       107       50 (2-95) $\geq$ 10       91 (85.0) $\beta_2$ microglobulin (< 3.5 mg/L)       13       3.3 (2-105) $\geq$ 5.5       4 (31)         Initial Chemotherapy Regimen (N = 219)       No.       Regimen       No. (%)	Total protein (64-83 g/L)	91	86.0 (0-158)	> 83	48 (52.7)
$\frac{\mbode{Monoclonal protein (0 g/L)}{\mbode{Monoclonal protein (0 g/L)}} $$ 115 $$ 61 (0-166) $$ > 0 $$ 103 (89.6) $$ 103 (89.6) $$ 105 $$ - 0.26 $$ 0.26 $$ 0.2 $$ 0.26 $$ 0.2 $$ 0.5 $$ - 1.65 $$ 14 (66.7) $$ - 1.65 $$ 14 (66.7) $$ - 1.65 $$ 14 (66.7) $$ - 1.65 $$ 14 (66.7) $$ - 1.65 $$ 14 (66.7) $$ - 1.65 $$ 14 (66.7) $$ - 1.65 $$ 10 $$ 91 (85.0) $$ - 1.65 $$ - 1.65 $$ 10 $$ 91 (85.0) $$ - 1.65 $$ $	Albumin (35-50 g/L)	128	30.1 (4-61.8)	< 35	82 (64.1)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Monoclonal protein (0 g/L)	115			102 (90 6)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		115	61 (0-166)	> 0	105 (89.6)
Bone marrow plasma cell (< 10%)         107         50 (2-95) $\geq$ 10         91 (85.0) $\beta_2$ microglobulin (< 3.5 mg/L)         13         3.3 (2-105) $\geq$ 5.5         4 (31) $3.5-5.4$ 1 (8)         100	FLC ( $\kappa$ : $\lambda$ ) ratio ( $\geq 0.26$ to $\leq 1.65$ )	21	61 (0-166) 63.6 (0.01-3,500)	> 0 < 0.26	2 (9.5)
$ \beta_2 \text{ microglobulin (< 3.5 mg/L)} 13 3.3 (2-105) \geq 5.5 4 (31) \\ 3.5-5.4 1 (8) \\ 1 \text{ lnitial Chemotherapy Regimen (N = 219)} No. Regimen No. (%) \\ \hline 219 TD 143 (65.2) \\ \hline 143 (65.2) $	FLC ( $\kappa$ : $\lambda$ ) ratio ( $\geq$ 0.26 to $\leq$ 1.65)	21	61 (0-166) 63.6 (0.01-3,500)	> 0 < 0.26 > 1.65	2 (9.5) 14 (66.7)
No.         Regimen         No. (%)           219         TD         143 (65.2)	FLC ( $\kappa$ : $\lambda$ ) ratio ( $\geq$ 0.26 to $\leq$ 1.65) Bone marrow plasma cell (< 10%)	21	61 (0-166) 63.6 (0.01-3,500) 50 (2-95)	> 0 < 0.26 > 1.65 ≥ 10	2 (9.5) 2 (9.5) 14 (66.7) 91 (85.0)
Initial Chemotherapy Regimen (N = 219)         No.         Regimen         No. (%)           219         TD         143 (65.2)	FLC ( $\kappa$ : $\lambda$ ) ratio ( $\geq$ 0.26 to $\leq$ 1.65) Bone marrow plasma cell (< 10%) $\beta_2$ microglobulin (< 3.5 mg/L)	115 21 107 13	61 (0-166) 63.6 (0.01-3,500) 50 (2-95) 3.3 (2-105)	> 0 < 0.26 > 1.65 $\geq$ 10 $\geq$ 5.5	2 (9.5) 2 (9.5) 14 (66.7) 91 (85.0) 4 (31)
219 TD 143 (65.2)	FLC ( $\kappa$ : $\lambda$ ) ratio ( $\geq$ 0.26 to $\leq$ 1.65) Bone marrow plasma cell (< 10%) $\beta_2$ microglobulin (< 3.5 mg/L)	115 21 107 13	61 (0-166)         63.6 (0.01-3,500)         50 (2-95)         3.3 (2-105)	> 0 < 0.26 > 1.65 $\geq 10$ $\geq 5.5$ 3.5-5.4	2 (9.5) 14 (66.7) 91 (85.0) 4 (31) 1 (8)
	FLC ( $\kappa$ : $\lambda$ ) ratio ( $\geq$ 0.26 to $\leq$ 1.65) Bone marrow plasma cell ( $<$ 10%) $\beta_2$ microglobulin ( $<$ 3.5 mg/L) Initial Chemotherapy Regimen (N = 219)	115 21 107 13	61 (0-166) 63.6 (0.01-3,500) 50 (2-95) 3.3 (2-105) No.	> 0 < 0.26 > 1.65 ≥ 10 ≥ 5.5 3.5-5.4 Regimen	2 (9.5) 14 (66.7) 91 (85.0) 4 (31) 1 (8) No. (%)
VTD 32 (14.6)	FLC ( $\kappa$ : $\lambda$ ) ratio ( $\geq$ 0.26 to $\leq$ 1.65) Bone marrow plasma cell ( $<$ 10%) $\beta_2$ microglobulin ( $<$ 3.5 mg/L) Initial Chemotherapy Regimen (N = 219)	115 21 107 13	61 (0-166) 63.6 (0.01-3,500) 50 (2-95) 3.3 (2-105) No. 219	> 0 < 0.26 > 1.65 ≥ 10 ≥ 5.5 3.5-5.4 Regimen TD	2 (9.5) 2 (9.5) 14 (66.7) 91 (85.0) 4 (31) 1 (8) No. (%) 143 (65.2)
MP 26 (11.9)	FLC ( $\kappa$ : $\lambda$ ) ratio ( $\geq$ 0.26 to $\leq$ 1.65) Bone marrow plasma cell (< 10%) $\beta_2$ microglobulin (< 3.5 mg/L) Initial Chemotherapy Regimen (N = 219)	115 21 107 13	61 (0-166) 63.6 (0.01-3,500) 50 (2-95) 3.3 (2-105) No. 219	> 0 < 0.26 > 1.65 ≥ 10 ≥ 5.5 3.5-5.4 Regimen TD VTD	2 (9.5) 14 (66.7) 91 (85.0) 4 (31) 1 (8) No. (%) 143 (65.2) 32 (14.6)
	FLC ( $\kappa$ : $\lambda$ ) ratio ( $\geq$ 0.26 to $\leq$ 1.65) Bone marrow plasma cell (< 10%) $\beta_2$ microglobulin (< 3.5 mg/L) Initial Chemotherapy Regimen (N = 219)	115 21 107 13	61 (0-166) 63.6 (0.01-3,500) 50 (2-95) 3.3 (2-105) No. 219	> 0 < 0.26 > 1.65 ≥ 10 ≥ 5.5 3.5-5.4 Regimen TD VTD MP	2 (9.5) 2 (9.5) 14 (66.7) 91 (85.0) 4 (31) 1 (8) No. (%) 143 (65.2) 32 (14.6) 26 (11.9)
()there 12 (2.2)	FLC ( $\kappa$ : $\lambda$ ) ratio ( $\geq$ 0.26 to $\leq$ 1.65) Bone marrow plasma cell (< 10%) $\beta_2$ microglobulin (< 3.5 mg/L) Initial Chemotherapy Regimen (N = 219)	115 21 107 13	61 (0-166) 63.6 (0.01-3,500) 50 (2-95) 3.3 (2-105) No. 219	> 0 < 0.26 > 1.65 ≥ 10 ≥ 5.5 3.5-5.4 <b>Regimen</b> TD VTD VTD MP Others	2 (9.5) 2 (9.5) 14 (66.7) 91 (85.0) 4 (31) 1 (8) No. (%) 143 (65.2) 32 (14.6) 26 (11.9) 18 (8.2)

NOTE. Other initial chemotherapy regimens prescribed included bortezomib/melphalan/prednisolone (VMP), bortezomib/lenalidomide/dexamethasone (VRD), bortezomib/cyclophosphamide/dexamethasone (VCD), lenalidomide/dexamethasone (RD), and bortezomib/dexamethasone (VD).

Abbreviations: ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; FLC, free light chain; MP, melphalan/prednisolone; TD, thalidomide/dexamethasone; VTD, bortezomib/thalidomide/dexamethasone.

patients, of whom 124 were males (56.1%), were analyzed. were  $\geq$  75 years of age at diagnosis. The median age was Out of 220 patients assessed, 74.5% were between 50 and 61 years. Out of 97 patients evaluated, a majority (80.4%) 74 years of age, 15.5% were < 50 years of age, and 10.0% were in ECOG performance status 2 or better, at diagnosis.

# **Presenting Clinical Features at Diagnosis**

Bone pain was present in 135 out of 194 patients assessed (69.6%). Common locations for bone pain included the back (43/135, 31.9%), limbs (33/135, 24.4%), chest (29/ 135, 21.5%), and pelvis (12/135, 8.9%). Other features reported included generalized body weakness, numbness, fatigue, and bleeding (Table 1).

# **Imaging Findings at Diagnosis**

Out of 194 patients analyzed, 102 (52.6%) had at least one imaging study done at diagnosis using either x-ray (42/102, 41.2%), magnetic resonance imaging (40/102, 39.2%), or computed tomography (38/102, 37.3%). Lytic lesions were detected in 60 out of 102 patients (58.8%) who received imaging. Common locations for lytic lesions included the spinal column (30%, n = 18), pelvic skeleton (12%, n = 7), and skull (8%, n = 5). Twenty-nine percent of patients (30/ 102) had fractures. Of these, 23 patients (77%) had vertebral compression fractures. Spinal cord compression was observed in 29.4% of 102 patients who received imaging studies.

# Laboratory Findings at Diagnosis

The following abnormal laboratory parameters were frequent: anemia—hemoglobin < 10 g/dL (87/187, 46.5%); hypercalcemia—total corrected calcium > 2.6 mmol/L (23/ 42, 54.8%); hyperproteinemia—total protein > 83 g/L (48/ 91, 52.7%); and hypoalbuminemia—albumin < 35 g/L (82/128, 64.1%). The occurrences of elevated monoclonal protein (103/115, 89.6%) and bone marrow plasma cell percentage > 10% (91/107, 85%) were very high (Table 1). International Staging System stage could only be determined for 11 patients; three patients were in stage I and four patients were in stage II and stage III each.

# **Chemotherapy and Response**

Out of 219 patients evaluated, 218 received chemotherapy, whereas one declined treatment. The most prescribed initial chemotherapy regimen was thalidomide and dexamethasone (TD; 65.2%, n = 143) followed by bortezomib, thalidomide and dexamethasone (14.6%, n = 32); and melphalan and prednisolone (MP; 11.9%, n = 26; Table 1). Other regimens prescribed included bortezomib, melphalan, and prednisolone; VRD; bortezomib, cyclophosphamide and dexamethasone; lenalidomide and dexamethasone; and bortezomib and dexamethasone. Bortezomib was prescribed to 46 patients (21.0%), whereas lenalidomide was prescribed to seven patients (3.2%) as part of initial regimens.

Most patients prescribed for TD or MP received 10 cycles or more. Therapy was stopped or changed because of toxicity or treatment failure. For patients receiving bortezomib, thalidomide, and dexamethasone or other triple regimens, six cycles were administered to majority of the patients. More cycles could not be offered because of high drug costs. Maintenance therapy was not routinely offered to any patient group for the same reason. Instead, upon completion of the initial course of treatment, most patients were put on treatment holiday until clinical relapse or until re-emergence of serum M protein, and in patients with baseline and repeat values available, when chemotherapy would be reinitiated. Suboptimal adherence was common because of drug supply interruptions at the study site.

All patients received adjunctive bisphosphonate treatment, and aspirin was prescribed to all patients receiving immunomodulatory agents, unless contraindicated.

Among 103 patients with elevated levels of serum M protein at diagnosis, 44 (42.7%) achieved remission (serum M protein of 0 g/dL). Systematic assessment of response according to IMWG criteria<sup>14</sup> could not be carried out because of high costs of monitoring tests.

# Survival

Of the 221 patients included in survival analysis, 13 (5.9%) were lost to follow-up and 102 died (46.2%). The 1-year and 5-year survival rates were 70% and 21%, respectively. After a median follow-up of 11.8 months, the median OS was 29.0 months, 95% CI 20.1 to 40.2 months (Fig 1). In univariate analysis, male sex, baseline anemia (hemoglobin < 10 g/dL), thrombocytopenia (platelets  $< 130 \times 10^{9}$ /L), renal failure (serum creatinine  $> 177 \mu$ mol/L), hypoalbuminemia (albumin < 35 g/L), and not achieving remission were associated with shorter survival (Figs 1-4), whereas age at diagnosis, ECOG performance status, baseline serum calcium, and bone marrow plasma cell percentage were not associated with length of survival. There were no differences in survival among patients who received different types of initial chemotherapy regimens. In multivariate Cox regression analysis, only male sex (hazard ratio [HR] 1.9, 95% CI 1.1 to 3.3), hemoglobin < 10 g/dL (HR 1.8, 95% CI 1.1 to 3.0), and serum creatinine > 177  $\mu$ mol/L (HR 3.2, 95% CI 1.7 to 5.8) were associated with an adverse survival outcome.

# DISCUSSION

MM was predominantly diagnosed among persons in their sixth and seventh decades of life. The median age at diagnosis reported in this and other sub-Saharan Africa studies ranging from 53 to 62 years was closer to that reported for the African American population (65 years) and lower than that reported for White patients (71 years).<sup>10,11,15-17</sup> It appears that sub-Saharan Africans are at risk of early onset MM, a characteristic that has been confirmed in the African American population.<sup>17</sup>

Bone pain was the most recognizable presenting complaint affecting more than 70% of patients with MM in this and previous research.<sup>16,18,19</sup> Studies from Burkina Faso and Ghana reported a high prevalence of back pain as was observed in the current study.<sup>10,20</sup> Other symptoms reported including weakness, fatigue, and bleeding could be because of anemia and thrombocytopenia, which are not



FIG 1. (A) OS for all patients and (B) OS by sex. NA, not attained; OS, overall survival.

unusual in MM.<sup>11,19</sup> Peripheral neuropathy was infrequently reported in line with findings from a study done in Sudan.<sup>21</sup>

Only about half of the patients evaluated received baseline imaging tests, which should form part of the standard workup for all patients with MM.<sup>22</sup> Baseline imaging may assist the clinician to detect occult lesions and therefore initiate prompt treatment. Evidence of bony progression can also be readily observed by comparing baseline and follow-up imaging. The high cost of imaging studies could have contributed to the low imaging prevalence observed as clinicians requested for imaging studies only in the presence of a compelling indication such as suspected fracture or spinal cord compression. The occurrence of lytic lesions observed at diagnosis at 58.8% was similar to what has been reported in previous research ranging from 50% to 80%.<sup>10,11,19,23</sup> In one Ghanaian study where 80% of participants were evaluated for lytic lesions, a high occurrence of 76.1% was reported.<sup>10</sup> It is therefore possible that the



FIG 2. (A) OS by baseline hemoglobin level and (B) by baseline platelet count. NA, not attained; OS, overall survival.



FIG 3. (A) OS by baseline serum creatinine and (B) by baseline serum albumin. NA, not attained; OS, overall survival.

occurrence of lytic lesions may have been underreported in this study. The common sites for lytic lesions (vertebral column and skull) reported in one study were also prevalent in this study.<sup>10</sup>

Severe bony events represented by fractures particularly to the spinal column were prevalent corroborating reports from Kenya and Cameroon.<sup>11,23</sup> Spinal cord compression, an oncologic emergency needing immediate attention, was detected in a considerable proportion of patients (29.4%), which was comparable to what was reported in a Senegalese study (16.9%) and a Cameroonian study (46.8%).<sup>18,23</sup>

Anemia (Hb < 10 g/dL) prevalence was high in our study with approximately half of the patients being affected. Studies by Othieno-Abinya et al and Kiraka et al both from Kenya reported similar occurrence of anemia,<sup>11,15</sup> whereas a study from Ghana reported a higher occurrence at 75%.<sup>10</sup> Other studies have reported different degrees of anemia with prevalence ranging from 30% to 83%.<sup>10,16,18,19,23</sup> Although anemia is a highly sensitive feature in MM, which presents an opportunity for diagnosis, it could lead to misdiagnosis if not properly investigated because of its poor specificity. It is because of this central role that anemia can play in the diagnosis process that prospective studies are needed to confirm its prevalence in sub-Saharan African populations.

A few of our patients presented with renal failure. Renal damage is multifactorial and may also arise from hypertension, anemia, amyloidosis, urinary tract infections, hypercalcemia, and nephrotoxic nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. Different degrees of renal dysfunction have been observed in 7%-52% of patients evaluated in studies across sub-Saharan Africa.  $^{10,11,16,18,19,24}$ 

Hypercalcemia was frequently reported affecting more than half of the patients. A Senegalese study found similar levels of hypercalcemia.<sup>18</sup> By contrast, studies from Kenya and Ghana found lower occurrence at around 35%.<sup>10,11</sup> A selection bias could explain the high occurrence observed because clinicians only requested for a calcium test when hypercalcemia was suspected potentially excluding patients with normal calcium levels from the evaluated sample.

The characteristic monoclonal protein in MM was demonstrable in a majority of patients consistent with previous findings.<sup>10,11,20,25</sup> However, accompanying serum FLC readings for most patients in whom serum M protein was not detected could not be found precluding proper characterization of this special group. Also found in a majority of patients was bone marrow plasmacytosis, which has been reported to be equally high in other studies.<sup>10,15,18,20,23</sup>

A high proportion of patients had a low albumin level suggestive of poor prognosis.<sup>12</sup> Extremes of serum FLC ratio, which is also a poor prognostic indicator,<sup>26</sup> was prevalent, albeit few patients were evaluated.  $\beta_2$  micro-globulin testing, and hence staging, was not done on most patients because of its high cost.

Access to thalidomide or bortezomib as part of initial chemotherapy regimens was relatively high, which is similar to findings of a survey of hematologist prescribing patterns in Nigeria.<sup>27</sup> Reports from Ghana and Senegal



FIG 4. OS by remission status. NA, not attained; OS, overall survival.

indicate that vincristine-doxorubicin-based regimens and melphalan-based regimens predominate as initial treatment.<sup>10,18</sup> Increased insurance uptake and a special access program at the study site may have contributed to increased patient access to novel agents.

Systematic monitoring of response according to IMWG criteria<sup>14</sup> could not be done because of the high cost of conducting regular quantitative electrophoresis and immunofixation tests. Nevertheless, 42.7% of patients achieved remission (serum M protein of 0 g/dL), which mirrors a finding by Fall et al<sup>18</sup> in Senegal where at least 14% of patients achieved partial response.

Median OS was dismal at 29 months. A trial investigating TD and MP regimens among older transplant ineligible patients reported a longer median OS of > 41 months after receiving induction with up to nine cycles followed by nine cycles of maintenance therapy with interferon alpha-2b with or without thalidomide.<sup>7</sup> In the current study, patients received a less intense course of treatment, particularly lacking in maintenance therapy, resulting in lower survival. Worth noting is that adherence in this study was impaired because of frequent drug supply interruptions at the study site and individual patient factors that were beyond the scope of this study. Moreover, patients may have presented late further contributing to adverse survival. The OS at 1 year was high (70%) and compared favorably with findings from a Ghanaian study (51.6%) in which the combination of vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone was the predominant regimen.<sup>10</sup> The TD regimen used by most patients in this study has been observed to render longer progression-free survival than vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone in transplant recipients.<sup>28</sup> This study suggests that similar survival benefits may be present in nontransplant recipients.

Several features of advanced disease including anemia, renal failure, and hypoalbuminemia were linked to poor survival similar to past studies.<sup>10,18,24</sup> Notably, age at diagnosis, which is a known prognostic factor, was not found to be associated with length of survival, echoing the findings of Acquah et al.<sup>10</sup> Additionally, male sex was associated with poor survival, a finding that although infrequent has been reported by Costa et al.<sup>29</sup> The effects of age and sex on survival may have been modified by other prognostic factors that could not be accounted for such as comorbid conditions and clinical stage.<sup>30</sup> Furthermore, income levels and insurance coverage may have influenced patients' ability to acquire treatment thereby indirectly affecting survival.<sup>29</sup>

The known survival benefits of bortezomib-based triple regimens, which are now considered standard treatment,<sup>31</sup> could not be demonstrated partly because of the small proportion of patients who received these regimens.

Despite limited testing, patients found to have responded to treatment appeared to have a survival advantage, underscoring the need for regular response monitoring to facilitate prognostication and inform appropriate changes in treatment.

This study reveals the survival pattern of patients receiving thalidomide-based regimens, which has not been addressed by previous research from sub-Saharan African cancer centers. A complete picture of how patients presented and the effect of treatment intensity on survival could however not be obtained because of missing data. Future research efforts should be directed toward prospectively determining the depth of response and survival of patients receiving bortezomib-based triple regimens that are increasingly being adopted as initial treatment options. Establishing the effect of socioeconomic factors on outcomes should also be a research priority because of the prolonged and costly nature of MM treatment.

In conclusion, although MM features of bone pain, anemia, renal failure, and hypercalcemia were readily observed, a considerable number of patients did not receive baseline imaging tests, staging tests, and tests required for monitoring of disease progression and treatment. Survival outcomes were modest despite increased use of chemotherapy regimens containing thalidomide and bortezomib. Improved access to proper staging and routine use of available combination chemotherapy are needed to increase survival of patients with MM in Kenya.

## **AFFILIATIONS**

<sup>1</sup>Directorate of Pharmacy and Nutrition, Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital, Eldoret, Kenya <sup>2</sup>AMPATH Oncology Institute, Eldoret, Kenya <sup>3</sup>Moi University, Eldoret, Kenya <sup>4</sup>Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN <sup>5</sup>International Cancer Institute, Eldoret, Kenya

#### **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**

Kelvin M. Manyega, MSc, Academic Model Providing Access To Healthcare, Eldoret, Kenya; e-mail: kelvinmogesa@gmail.com.

#### **EQUAL CONTRIBUTION**

T.A.V. and F.C.A. contributed equally to this work.

#### **PRIOR PRESENTATION**

Presented in part as an oral presentation at the Virtual Kenya International Cancer Conference by Kenya Society of Haematology and Oncology on November 21, 2020.

#### SUPPORT

Supported by Celgene Corporation and Indiana University.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Conception and design: Kelvin M. Manyega, Teresa C. Lotodo, Mercy A. Oduor, Diana F. Namaemba, Terry A. Vik, Fredrick C. Asirwa Administrative support: Teresa C. Lotodo, Mercy A. Oduor, Diana F. Namaemba, Austin A. Omondi, John Oguda, Fredrick C. Asirwa Provision of study materials or patients: Diana F. Namaemba, Austin A. Omondi, Yvette L. Oyolo, Fredrick C. Asirwa Collection and assembly of data: Mercy A. Oduor, Diana F. Namaemba, Austin A. Austin A. Omondi, Yvette L. Oyolo, John Oguda, Fredrick C. Asirwa Data analysis and interpretation: Kelvin M. Manyega, Mercy A. Oduor,

Diana F. Namaemba, John Oguda, Patrick J. Loehrer, Terry A. Vik Manuscript writing: All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors

Accountable for all aspects of the work: All authors

# AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated unless otherwise noted. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or ascopubs. org/go/authors/author-center.

Open Payments is a public database containing information reported by companies about payments made to US-licensed physicians (Open Payments).

#### Kelvin M. Manyega

Research Funding: Celgene

#### Patrick J. Loehrer

**Research Funding:** Novartis, Lilly Foundation, Taiho Pharmaceutical **Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property:** US PPA/61/499,988. Gene Expression Analysis of Thymic Neoplasms. Inventors: Sunil Badve, Yesim Gokmen-Polar, Patrick Loehrer

## Terry A. Vik

Research Funding: Takeda, Bristol-Myers Squibb Foundation

#### Fredrick C. Asirwa

Research Funding: Celgene, Takeda, Lilly, Bristol-Myers Squibb

No other potential conflicts of interest were reported.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors express their heartfelt gratitude to the leadership of the Directorate of Hemato-Oncology under Dr Naftali Busakhala and Dr Jesse Opakas; the clinical team: Dr Beatrice Melly, Dr Nicolus Kisilu, Dr Cosmas Sang, Dr Carole Kilach, Dr Linet Kugo, and Ms Joyce Chesum; and Denis Kigen for assisting with electronic data retrieval. They are thankful to Celgene Corporation and Indiana University who provided financial and administrative support. They are also grateful to Dr Imran Manji of the Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Moi Teaching and Referral Hospital, who offered administrative support for the study.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Rajkumar S, Dimopoulos M, Palumbo A, et al: International Myeloma Working Group updated criteria for the diagnosis of multiple myeloma. Lancet Oncol 15:e538-e548, 2014
- Ailawadhi S, Jagannath S, Lee H, et al: Association between race and treatment patterns and survival outcomes in multiple myeloma: A Connect MM Registry analysis. Cancer 126:4332-4340, 2020
- 3. Global Cancer Observatory: Kenya Fact Sheet. Lyon, France, International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2018
- 4. Durie B, Hoering A, Abidi M, et al: Bortezomib with lenalidomide and dexamethasone versus lenalidomide and dexamethasone alone in patients with newly diagnosed myeloma without intent for immediate autologous stem-cell transplant (SWOG S0777): A randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet 389:519-527, 2017
- 5. Piechota V, Jakob T, Langer P, et al: Multiple drug combinations of bortezomib, lenalidomide, and thalidomide for first-line treatment in adults with transplantineligible multiple myeloma: A network meta-analysis [review]. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2019:CD013487, 2019
- Leiba M, Kedmi M, Duek A, et al: Bortezomib-cyclophosphamide-dexamethasone (VCD) versus bortezomib-thalidomide-dexamethasone (VTD)-based regimens as induction therapies in newly diagnosed transplant eligible patients with multiple myeloma: A meta-analysis. Br J Haematol 166:702-710, 2014
- 7. Ludwig H, Hajek R, Tothova E, et al: Thalidomide-dexamethasone compared with melphalan-prednisolone in elderly patients with multiple myeloma. Blood 113:3435–3442, 2009
- 8. Ministry of Health: Kenya National Cancer Treatment Protocols. Nairobi, Kenya, Government of Kenya, 2019
- 9. Cowan AJ, Allen C, Barac A, et al: Global burden of multiple myeloma: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. JAMA Oncol 4:1221-1227, 2018
- 10. Acquah M, Hsing A, McGuire V, et al: Presentation and survival of multiple myeloma patients in Ghana: A review of 169 cases. Ghana Med J 53:52-58, 2019
- 11. Kiraka G, Etabale M, Riyat M: A review of 74 patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma at a tertiary referral hospital in Nairobi, Kenya. J Afr Cancer 6:70-74, 2014
- 12. Griepp P, San Miguel J, Durie B, et al: International Staging System for multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol 23:3412-3420, 2016
- 13. Naing L, Winn T, Rusli TN: Practical issues in calculating the sample size for prevalence studies. Arch Orofac Sci 1:9-14, 2006

- Kumar S, Paiva B, Anderson K, et al: International Myeloma Working Group consensus criteria for response and minimal residual disease assessment in multiple myeloma. Lancet Oncol 17:e328-e346, 2015
- Othieno-Abinya N, Abwao H, Nyabola L, et al: Experience with multiple myeloma in a public referral hospital in Nairobi, Kenya. J Clin Oncol 23, 2005 (abstr 6729; suppl June 1, 2005)
- 16. Madu A, Ocheni S, Nwagha T, et al: Multiple myeloma in Nigeria: An insight to the clinical, laboratory features, and outcomes. Niger J Clin Pract 17:212-217, 2014
- 17. Ailawadhi S, Aldoss I, Yang D, et al: Outcome disparities in multiple myeloma: A SEER-based comparative analysis of ethnic subgroups. Br J Haematol 158:91-98, 2012
- Fall S, Dieng F, Diouf C, et al: Profil diagnostique et évolutif du myélome multiple au Sénégal: Étude monocentrique de 2005 à 2016. Pan Afr Med J 27:262, 2017
- 19. Mwaba F, Kaile T, Sumbukeni K, et al: Clinical and radiological features of multiple myeloma patients at the University Teaching Hospital, Lusaka, Zambia. Med J Zambia 43:7-11, 2016
- Tiendrebeogo J, Ouedraogo D, Bagbila A, et al: Le Myelome Multiple A Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso: A Propos de 51 Cas. Ann l'Université Parakou Série Sci Santé 4:27-29, 2014
- 21. Husein A, Siddig A, Yousif O, et al: Neurological manifestations among Sudanese patients with multiple myeloma. Sudan J Med Sci 6:167-171, 2011
- 22. Pianko M, Terpos E, Roodman G, et al: Whole-body low-dose computed tomography and advanced imaging techniques for multiple myeloma bone disease. Clin Cancer Res 20:5888-5897, 2014
- 23. Doualla-Bija M, Ndongho E, Oben D, et al: Musculoskeletal presentation of multiple myeloma at General Hospital Douala, Cameroon. East Afr Med J 9:311-316, 2014
- 24. Fasola F, Eteng K, Shokunbi W, et al: Renal status of multiple myeloma patients in Ibadan, Nigeria. Ann Ib Postgrad Med 10:28-33, 2012
- 25. Nnonyelum O, Anazoeze M, Eunice M, et al: Multiple myeloma in Nigeria: A multi-centre epidemiological and biomedical study. Pan Afr Med J 22:292, 2015
- 26. Kyrtsonis M, Vassilakopoulos T, Kafasi N, et al: Prognostic value of serum free light chain ratio at diagnosis in multiple myeloma. Br J Haematol 137:240-243, 2007
- 27. Korubo K, Madu A, Okoye H, et al: Bortezomib prescription pattern for the treatment of multiple myeloma by hematologists in Nigeria. J Glob Oncol 4:1-7, 2017
- Vogl D, Liu S, Chong E, et al: Post-transplant outcomes of induction therapy for myeloma: Thalidomide and dexamethasone versus doxorubicin, vincristine, and dexamethasone prior to high-dose melphalan with autologous stem cell support. Am J Hematol 82:1071-1075, 2007
- Costa L, Brill I, Brown E: Impact of marital status, insurance status, income, and race/ethnicity on the survival of younger patients diagnosed with multiple myeloma in the United States. Cancer 122:3183-3190, 2016
- Palumbo A, Avet-Loiseau H, Oliva S, et al: Revised International Staging System for multiple myeloma: A report from International Myeloma Working Group. J Clin Oncol 33:2863-2869, 2015
- Kumar SK, Callander NS, Adekola K, et al (eds): NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology for Multiple Myeloma, Version 4.2021. Plymouth Meeting, PA, National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2020