

Research Paper | DOI: 10.2478/jofnem-2022-0029

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY

e2022-1 | Vol. 54

Complete Mitogenome of Cruznema tripartitum **Confirms Highly Conserved Gene Arrangement within Family Rhabditidae**

Hongrui Du¹, Fan Guo¹, Yuxia Gao¹, Xuan Wang^{1,2}, Xue Qing^{1,2,*} and Hongmei Li^{1,2}

¹Department of Plant Pathology, College of Plant Protection, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, 210095, China

²Key Laboratory of Integrated Management of Crop Disease and Pests, Ministry of Education, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, 210095, China

*E-mail: qingxue@njau.edu.cn

This paper was edited by Erik J. Ragsdale.

Received for publication March 4, 2022.

Abstract

Mitochondrial genomes have widely been used as molecular markers in understanding the patterns and processes of nematode evolution. The species in genus Cruznema are free-living bacterivores as well as parasites of crickets and mollusks. The complete mitochondrial genome of C. tripartitum was determined through high-throughput sequencing as the first sequenced representative of the genus Cruznema. The genome is comprised of 14,067 bp nucleotides, and includes 12 protein-coding, two rRNA, and 22 tRNA genes. Phylogenetic analyses based on amino acid data support C. tripartitum as a sister to the clade containing Caenorhabditis elegans and Oscheius chongmingensis. The analysis of gene arrangement suggested that C. tripartitum shares the same gene order with O. chongmingensis, Litoditis marina, Diplocapter coronatus, genus Caenorhabditis, and Pristionchus pacificus. Thus, the mitochondrial gene arrangement is highly conserved in the family Rhabditidae as well as some species in Diplogasteridae.

Keywords

Diplogasteridae, Genomics, Mitochondrial Genome, Nematode, Phylogeny, Rhabditina

Introduction

The nematode genus Cruznema Artigas, 1927 belongs to the family Rhabditidae Örley, 1880 under the order Rhabditida Chitwood, 1933. The species in the genus are either free-living bacterivores (Tahseen et al., 2012; Sultana and Pervez, 2019) or parasites of cricket (Reboredo and Camino, 1998; Camino and Achinelly, 2011) and mollusk (Grewal et al., 2011). Currently, seven valid species have been described, including Cruznema campestre Reboredo and Camino, 2000, Cruznema graciliformis (Goffart, 1935) Sudhaus, 1978, Cruznema lincolnense Reboredo and Camino, 1998, Cruznema minimus Sultana and Pervez, 2019, Cruznema scarabaeum (Sudhaus, 1978) Andrássy, 1983, C. tripartitum (von Linstow, 1906) Sudhaus, 1974, and Cruznema velatum Brzeski, 1989.

Inferring accurate phylogenies can provide significant insights into the patterns and mechanisms of evolution, and is thus a hot research topic that has been recurrently discussed among biologists. Within Nematoda, although a phylum-wide phylogeny has been established based on rRNA (Blaxter et al., 1998; Holterman et al., 2006; Bert et al., 2008), there are areas that do not seem amenable to resolution by this single nuclear gene. More recently, transcriptomes based phylogenome were introduced in Nematoda, and their role as a powerful tool in resolving phylogeny has been proven (Ahmed et al., 2021). However, the approach requires fresh nematodes, needs high sequencing depth, and involves substantial downstream bioinformatics work. In contrast, the complete mitochondrial genome (mitogenome) is a powerful alternative. It has multiple genes but in relatively small genome size, and is thus

Open Access. Published by Sciendo. © 2022 Du et al. This is an Open Access article licensed under the Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

easier to handle for sequencing and downstream phylogeny reconstruction. Moreover, mitogenome is independent from nuclear gene, and thus can provide extra information on evolution background. Besides, the differences in gene order arrangement, base composition, and codon usage can also be used for comparative genomics (Jameson *et al.*, 2003). Indeed, the mitogenome-based Nematoda phylogeny has yielded well-supported results for clades that were not well-resolved using other approaches (Kern *et al.*, 2020).

Although there are over 200 nematode mitogenomes that have been sequenced, most of them are from economically important species or zooparasitic species (Kern et al., 2020), and very rarely are they from the free-living taxa. This bias in selection of taxa is partly a result of sequencing obstacles, as a majority of genomes have been acquired by long PCR together with Sanger sequencing technology (e.g., Hu et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2015, 2017). Given that mitogenome is highly variable while a closely related reference genome is often missing, a successful primer design and PCR amplification is practically difficult and timeconsuming. The recent development of highthroughput approaches holds great promise for sequencing and annotating mitogenomes, but it has only been applied in a few nematodes (e.g., Ma et al., 2020; Qing et al., 2021).

In this study, through high-throughput sequencing in the Illumina platform, we determined the complete mitogenome of *Cruznema tripartitum*, which is the first representative of the genus. We further annotated the genome features, including gene content and genome architecture. These sequences were also used to infer the placement of *C. tripartitum* within the Nematoda by phylogenetic analysis.

Materials and Methods

Nematode extraction

The specimen of *C. tripartitum* were originally extracted from soil in a chestnut orchard in Guangzhou, China (GPS coordinates: 23°56′44″N, 114°42′15″E). This species is a free-living bacterivore, and the population was established through pure culture using nematode growth medium (NGM) supplemented with *Escherichia coli* OP50 and maintained at 24°C.

An approximate number of 8,000 living individual nematodes were used for genomic DNA extraction using Ezup Column Animal Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The DNA concentration was examined by a Qubit fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) using the Qubit 1X dsDNA HS Kit (Yeasen Biotech, Shanghai, China). A total of 200 ng DNA extraction was used for sequencing.

High-throughput sequencing and genome assembly

For library preparation, the TruSeq DNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina Inc. San Diego, CA, USA) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. Library concentration and fragment size distribution were determined using the Qubit fluorometer and Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Sequencing was conducted by Personalbio, Ltd. (Shanghai, China) using an Illumina NovaSeq platform.

For quality control and trimming, reads less than 50 bp, Q-score less than 20, or number of n > 3 were removed by FASTP (Chen et al., 2018). The resultant reads were mapped to the reference mitogenomes of 32 nematode species and 11 insect species using NextGenMap program (Sedlazeck et al., 2013) with a similarity threshold of 0.3. The candidate raw reads that matching at least one direction in the alignment results are extracted by SAMtools (Li et al., 2009). Reads were assembled in NOVOPlasty (Dierckxsens et al., 2017) using seedextend algorithm. Since no cox1 gene was available in GenBank for the genus Cruznema, a partial cox1 belonging to the closely related Pelodera strongyloides (GenBank accession number LR700242) was used as a "seed" sequence. A total of 554,098 reads were used for the final assembly that generated a circular DNA molecule.

Gene annotation and phylogenetic analyses

After mitogenome assembly, protein-coding genes (PCGs) and non-coding region were predicted using the invertebrate mitochondrial code (genetic code 5) on the MITOS web server (http://mitos. bioinf.uni-leipzig.de/index.py) (Bernt *et al.*, 2013). Secondary structures of transfer RNA (tRNA) genes were predicted using MiTFi (Jühling *et al.*, 2012) as implemented in MITOS and depicted using the web server FORNA (http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at/forna/) (Kerpedjiev *et al.*, 2015).

Multiple alignments of amino acid sequences of 12 PCGs were carried out individually using TranslatorX

(Abascal et al., 2010) under invertebrate mitochondrial genetic code and MAFFT approach. The concatenate sequence matrix was generated using Geneious (Biomatters, Auckland, New Zealand) and 12 PCG alignments were arranged in following order: nad6nad5-nad4-nad4L-nad3-nad2-nad1-cytb-cox3cox2-cox1-atp6. The phylogeny placement of the newly sequenced C. tripartitum was inferred by maximum likelihood (ML) method together with the full mitogenomes of 36 other nematode species. An isopod parasitic mermithid nematode Thaumamermis cosgrovei was selected as the outgroup. The phylogeny tree was constructed using RAxML 8.2.12 (Stamatakis et al., 2014) with MtZoa protein substitution model and under a total of 1,000 bootstrap replicates. The phylogeny analysis was performed in the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller et al., 2010).

Results

Mitogenome characterization

A total of *ca*.105 million reads (paired-end 150 bp) were generated and 99.04% of these reads were of high-quality (Q-score > 30). Approximately 10 GB raw data were mapped to the references, resulting in 0.53% mitochondrial reads; and these reads were subsequently used for mitogenome assembly.

The complete mitogenome of C. tripartitum (GenBank accession OM234676) is a 14,067 bp single circular DNA that contains 12 PCGs (atp6, cob, cox1-3, nad1-6, and nad4L), two ribosomal RNA genes, and 22 tRNA genes (Fig. 1). The atp8 gene was missing in the assembled mitogenome. All genes of C. tripartitum mitogenome are encoded in the same direction, which is a result similar to all other known chromadorean nematodes. The nucleotide composition is strongly biased toward A + T (78.7%), with 48.1% for T, 7.5% for C, 30.6% for A, and 13.8% for G. An intergenic non-coding region was found between *trnA* and *trnP* in a length of 696 bp. This region has much higher A + T content (87.1%) in comparison with any other part of mitogenome.

For PCGs, eight genes (*cox1*, *cox2*, *cox3*, *nad2*, *nad3*, *nad5*, *nad6*, and *nad4L*) use ATT as the start codon, while another two (*atp6* and *cob*) start with ATA, and two (*nad1* and *nad4*) start with TTG (Table 1). All PCGs are preferred to use TAA as their termination codon and have T-rich codons peference. A total of 22 tRNAs were recovered, ranging in size from 54 bp (*trnK*, *trnC*, *trnP*, *trnS2*, and *trnV*) to 62 bp (*trnK*) (Table 1 and Fig. 2). Two ribosomal RNA genes

are located between *trnE* and *trnS2* (*rrnS*, 697 bp) and between *trnH* and *nad3* (*rrnL*, 957 bp), respectively (Table 1). The A + T contents of *rrnS* and *rrnL* are 76.8% (36.6% A and 40.2% T) and 81.1% (37.9% A and 43.2% T), respectively.

Phylogeny and gene arrangement

Maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis of C. tripartitum was conducted using the translated amino acid data set of 12 PCGs (3,893 alignment characters) together with those of 36 other nematodes (32 chromadoreans and 4 enopleans; available in NCBI GenBank) as references (Fig. 3). In general, the phylogeny we revealed was congruent with previously published phylogeny inferred by rRNA genes (Blaxter et al., 1998; De Ley and Blaxter, 2004; Holterman et al., 2006; Meldal et al., 2007) or mitogenome (Kim et al., 2015, 2017). The family Rhabditidae is paraphyletic, containing a moderately supported major clade (BS = 87) and a separated Diploscapter coronatus sistering to several genera in Rhabditina, Tylenchina, and Spirurina. In the major clade of Rhabditidae, the newly sequenced C. tripartitum is sister to Oscheius chongmingensis, Litoditis marina, and species in the genus Caenorhabditis.

The analyses of gene arrangement suggested Rhabditidae representing by C. tripartitum, O. chongmingensis, L. marina, Diplocapter coronatus and genus Caenorhabditis are highly conserved in arrangement pattern, except for C. briggsae which alanine was not annotated (Fig. 4). A similar arrangement pattern was also found in some of Diplogasteridae species, i.e., Pristionchus pacificus shares exactly the same pattern, while Koerneria sudhausi only slightly differs in the placement of a few tRNAs (trnM, trnD, and trnA). Interestingly, such conserved arrangement may also extend to the suborder Tylenchina, e.g., the superfamily Aphelenchoidea represented by Aphelenchoides medicagus. Α. bessevi, Bursaphelenchus xylophilus, and B. mucronatus share identical arrangement of PCGs to those species from Rhabditidae, although translocations can apply to some of the tRNAs.

Discussion

The metazoan mitogenomes are approximately 14–20 kb in size and usually comprise 36–37 genes, including 12–13 PCGs (*atp6, atp8, cox1-3, cob, nad1-6,* and *nad4L*), two rRNA genes (small



Figure 1: Morphology and mitogenome structure of *Cruznema tripartitum*. (A, B): Head region; (C): Anterior part of body; (D): Female tail; (E): Lateral view of male tail; (F): Ventral view of male tail; (G): Mitogenome structure. The genes are denoted by the color block and encoded in the clockwise direction. The leucine and serine tRNA genes are separated by their anticodon sequences: L1 is *trnL*-cta, L2 is *trnL*-tta, S1 is *trnS*-aga, and S2 is *trnS*-tca. The region without color indicates the non-coding region.

Table 1. Mitochonanal genome organization in Oraznema tripartitari.	Table 1. Mitochondrial	genome organization in C	Cruznema tripartitum.
---	------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------

Gene name	Start	Stop	Size (bp)	Intergenic space	Codons
COX1	1	1575	1,575	0	ATT/TAA
<i>trnC</i> (tgc)	1587	1640	54	11	
<i>trnM</i> (atg)	1643	1702	60	2	
trnD (gac)	1703	1757	55	0	
trnG (gga)	1758	1812	55	0	
COX2	1813	2508	696	0	ATT/TAA
trnH (cac)	2512	2568	57	3	
rrnL	2569	3525	957	0	
ND3	3526	3861	336	0	ATT/TAA
ND5	3862	5445	1,584	0	ATT/TAA
trnA (gca)	5448	5502	55	2	
trnP (cca)	6199	6252	54	696	
<i>trnV</i> (gta)	6253	6306	54	0	
ND6	6307	6741	435	0	ATT/TAA
ND4L	6742	6975	234	0	ATT/TAA
<i>trnW</i> (tga)	6975	7031	57	-1	
trnE (gaa)	7037	7092	56	5	
rrnS	7093	7789	697	0	
<i>trnS2</i> (tca)	7788	7841	54	-2	
trnN (aac)	7842	7897	56	0	
<i>trnY</i> (tac)	7901	7957	57	3	
ND1	7955	8830	876	-3	TTG/TAA
ATP6	8860	9429	570	29	ΑΤΑ/ΤΑΑ
trnK (aaa)	9438	9499	62	8	
<i>trnL2</i> (tta)	9508	9563	56	8	
trnS1 (aga)	9564	9619	56	0	
ND2	9620	10465	846	0	ATT/TAA
trnl (atc)	10466	10526	61	0	
<i>trnR</i> (cgt)	10528	10583	56	1	
trnQ (caa)	10584	10638	55	0	
<i>trnF</i> (ttc)	10647	10703	57	8	
COB	10704	11816	1,113	0	ΑΤΑ/ΤΑΑ
<i>trnL1</i> (cta)	11821	11875	55	4	
COX3	11876	12643	768	0	ATT/TAA
trnT (aca)	12654	12708	55	10	
ND4	12709	13941	1,233	126	TTG/TAA

and large subunit ribosomal RNA), and 22 tRNA genes (Wolstenholme, 1992; Boore, 1999). The length of *C. tripartitum* mitogenome is between the range of 13,794 bp by *Caenorhabditis elegans* and

15,413 bp by *O. chongmingensis*. The assembled mitogenome consists of 12 PCGs, 22 tRNA genes, and two rRNA genes; this composition is identical to that of other reported species in Rhabditida



Figure 2: Predicted secondary structure of tRNA in the mitochondrial genome of *Cruznema tripartitum*. tRNA, transfer RNA.

JOURNAL OF NEMATOLOGY



Figure 3: Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree inferred from amino acid sequences of 12 concatenated protein-coding genes containing 3,893 alignment characters. The newly obtained sequence is indicated with asterisk.

(e.g., *C. elegans*), but different from that of the vertebrate parasite *Trichinella* (Lavrov and Brown, 2001) and many metazoans, in that the *atp8* gene is absent (Gissi *et al.*, 2008).

The mitogenome gene arrangement is considered as a useful tool to interpret phylogenetic relationships (Boore and Brown, 1998; Fritzsch et al., 2006). In this study, we recovered a highly conserved gene order in Rhabditidae and Diplogasteridae. This is in line with their intimate sister relationships revealed by both rRNA and mitogenome base phylogeny (Holterman et al., 2006; Park et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2015). Consequently, gene order can be used as an informative reference in higher level phylogeny. Conversely, gene order varies greatly among many Enoplea nematode species, and even congeneric species may have completely different arrangements. Therefore, the use of gene order to infer phylogenetic relationship within Enoplea is limited (Tang and Hyman, 2007; Hyman et al., 2011).

Complete mitogenome has shown promise as an additional independently evolving genome for developing phylogenetic hypotheses for nematodes (Hu and Gasser, 2006; Sultana et al., 2013; Humphreys-Pereira and Elling 2014; Sun et al., 2014; Kern et al., 2020). Regardless of their importance, the study of nematode mitogenome falls far behind those of other metazoans (Ye et al., 2014). Compared with more than 25,000 described nematodes (Zhang, 2013), only around 200 species were sequenced for mitogenome (Kern et al., 2020). One main bottleneck that limits nematode mitogenome sequencing is the primer selection in long PCR amplification. Indeed, a success primer design is often challenging for using few but high variable existing references. As a result, plenty of primer pairs were designed for a specific species (Humphreys-Pereira and Elling, 2014; Sun et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2015). With the development of high-throughput sequencing techniques, assembly of mitogenome from whole genome sequencing data

Cruznema tripartitum . Oscheius chongmingensis, Caenorhabditis elegans, Pristionchus pacificus, Caenorhabditis plicata, Caenorhabditis wallacei, Litoditis marina and Diploscapter coronatus
cox1 C M D G cox2 H rrnL nad3 nad5 A P V nad6 nad4L W E rrnS S2 N Y nad1 atp6 K L2 S1 nad2 I R Q F cytb L1 cox3 T nad4
Caenorhabditis briggsae
cox1 C M D G cox2 H rrnL nad3 nad5 P V nad6 nad4L W E rrnS S2 N Y nad1 atp6 K L2 S1 nad2 I R Q F cytb L1 cox3 T nad4
Koerneria sudhausi
cox1 C G cox2 H rrnL nad3 nad5 P V nad6 nad4L W E rrnS S2 N Y nad1 atp6 K L2 S1 nad2 I R Q F cytb L1 cox3 T nad4 M D A
Aphelenchoides medicagus, Aphelenchoides besseyi, Bursaphelenchus xylophilus and Bursaphelenchus mucronatus
cox1 C M D G cox2 H rrnL nad3 nad5 A P V nad6 nad4L W E rrnS S2 Y nad1 atp6 K L2 S1 nad2 I R Q F cytb L1 cox3 N T nad4
Aphelenchus avenae
cox1 L2 D nad2 I G P cox2 H rrnL M nad3 nad5 A T nad4 V nad6 nad4L E rrnS s2 Y nad1 K atp6 Q C R F cytb L1 cox3 N s1 W
Hoplolaimus columbus
cox1 T rrnS Y W nad1 L2 nad2 I cox3 N D G K C F nad6 nad4L cox2 H rrnL nad3 cytb L1 P nad4 M s2 atp6 nad5 Q A R V E s1
Meloidogyne graminicola
cox1 T rrnS Y W nad1 L2 nad2 I cox3 N F G K C nad6 nad4L cox2 H rrnL nad3 cytb L1 P nad4 D V M s2 atp6 nad5 Q A R E s1
Meloidogyne arenaria, Meloidogyne enterolobii, Meloidogyne incognita and Meloidogyne javanica
cox1 T rrnS W Y nad1 L2 nad2 I cox3 N G K C F nad6 nad4L cox2 H rrnL nad3 cytb L1 P nad4 D M s2 atp6 nad5 Q A R V E S1
Pratylenchus vulnus
cox1 H L1 rrnL nad3 cytb rrnS Y W nad1 L2 nad2 I cox3 N G K C F nad6 nad4L cox2 nad4 D M T S1 atp6 nad5 S2 Q A P R V E
Globodera ellingtonae
Chromosome I Chromosome II
Heterodera glycines
cox1 nad2 K I cox3 T nad4 H cytb V F L1 nad6 R D P S2 nad4L G N rrnS W Y nad1 M atp6 nad5 Q A L2 E S1 C cox2 rrnL nad3
Radopholus similis
cox1 P R nad6 nad4L V K S1 C L2 M T nad4 F cvtb D nad2 I cox3 N E G rrnS S2 Y W nad1 atp6 nad5 Q A cox2 H rrnL nad3 L1

Figure 4: Linearized mitochondrial gene arrangement patterns of *Cruznema tripartitum* (indicated in bold) and other related species in suborders Rhabditina and Tylenchina. All genes are encoded in the same direction. All 22 tRNA genes are designated by a single letter amino acid code, based on the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry code. The two leucine and two serine tRNA genes are labeled according to their distinct anticodon sequences as L1 (*trnL1*-cta), L2 (*trnL2*-tta), S1 (*trnS1*-aga), and S2 (*trnS2*-tca). The non-coding regions are not included.

became a feasible alternative. However, only few species have been sequenced using this approach, e.g., *Globodera ellingtonae*, *Hoplolaimus columbus*, and *A. medicagus* (Phillips *et al.*, 2016; Ma *et al.*, 2020; Qing *et al.*, 2021). Using the Illumina platform for sequencing and seed-extend algorithm assembly, we obtained the first mitogenome representative of the genus *Cruznema* and demonstrated that high-throughput sequencing is a promising option to acquire mitogenome in a time- and costefficient manner. Although substantially increased taxa sampling is still needed, our result updates mitogenome phylogeny and provides a valuable reference for future high-throughput sequencing based mitogenome research.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Wenli Chen for providing soil samples. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 32001876) and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (KJQN202108).

References

Abascal, F., Zardoya, R., and Telford, M. J. 2010. TranslatorX: Multiple alignment of nucleotide sequences guided by amino acid translations. Nucleic Acids Research 38:W7–W13.

Ahmed, M., Roberts, N. G., Adediran, F., Smythe, A. B., Kocot, K. M., and Holovachov, O. 2021. Phylogenomic analysis of the phylum Nematoda: Conflicts and congruences with morphology, 18S rRNA, and mitogenomes. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 9:769565.

Andrássy, I. 1983. A taxonomic review of the suborder Rhabditina (Nematoda-Secernentia). Paris: ORSTOM.

Artigas, P. 1927. Nematodes de invertebrados. V. *Cruznema cruznema* novo genero e nova especie. Boletin Biológico Laboratorie de Parasitologia Faculdade de Medicina de SãoPaulo 10:209–211.

Bernt, M., Donath, A., Jühling, F., Externbrink, F., Florentz, C., Fritzsch, G., Pütz, J., Middendorf, M., and Stadler, P. F. 2013. MITOS: Improved de novo metazoan mitochondrial genome annotation. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 69:313–319.

Bert, W., Leliaert, F., Vierstraete, A. R., Vanfleteren, J. R., and Borgonie, G. 2008. Molecular phylogeny of the Tylenchina and evolution of the female gonoduct (Nematoda: Rhabditida). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 48:728–744.

Blaxter, M. L., De Ley, P., Garey, J. R., Liu, L. X., Scheldeman, P., Vierstraete, A., Vanfleteren, J. R., Mackey, L. Y., Dorris, M., Frisse, L. M., Vida, J. T., and Thomas, W. K. 1998. A molecular evolutionary framework for the phylum Nematoda. Nature 392:71–75.

Boore, J. L. 1999. Animal mitochondrial genomes. Nucleic Acids Research 27:1767–1780.

Boore, J. L., and Brown, W. M. 1998. Big trees from little genomes: Mitochondrial gene order as a phylogenetic tool. Current Opinion in Genetics & Development 8:668–674.

Brzeski, M. W. 1989. *Cruznema velatum* sp. n. and observations on *C. tripartitum* (von Linstow) (Nematode: Rhabditidae). Annales Zoologici 434:71–75.

Camino, N. B., and Achinelly, M. F. 2011. Biodiversity of insect-parasitic nematodes in soil pest insect (Orthoptera, Gryllidae and Gryllotalpidae) in wheat fields of Buenos Aires, Argentina. Anales de Biología 33:15–21.

Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Gu, J. 2018. FASTP: An ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. Bioinformatics 34:i884–i890.

De Ley, P., and Blaxter, M. L. 2004. A new system for Nematoda: Combining morphological characters with molecular trees, and translating clades into ranks and taxa. *in* Proceedings of the Fourth International Congress of Nematology. Tenerife, Spain, 8–13.

Dierckxsens, N., Mardulyn, P., and Smits, G. 2017. NOVOPlasty: De novo assembly of organelle genomes from whole genome data. Nucleic Acids Research 45:e18.

Fritzsch, G., Schlegel, M., and Stadler, P. F. 2006. Alignments of mitochondrial genome arrangements: Applications to metazoan phylogeny. Journal of Theoretical Biology 240:511–520.

Gissi, C., Iannelli, F., and Pesole, G. 2008. Evolution of the mitochondrial genome of Metazoa as exemplified by comparison of congeneric species. Heredity 101:301–320.

Goffart, H. 1935. *Rhabditis gracilis* n. sp. (Rhabditidae, Nematoda) als Bewohner faulender Kakaofrüchte. Zoologischer Anzeiger 109:134–138.

Grewal, P. S., Grewal, S. K., Tan, L., and Adams, B. 2003. Parasitism of molluscs by nematodes: Types of associations and evolutionary trends. Journal of Nematology 35:146.

Holterman, M., van der Wurff, A., van den Elsen, S., van Megen, H., Bongers, T., Holovachov, O., Bakker, J., and Helder, J. 2006. Phylum-wide analysis of SSU rDNA reveals deep phylogenetic relationships among nematodes and accelerated evolution toward crown clades. Molecular Biology and Evolution 23:1792–1800.

Hu, M., and Gasser, R. B. 2006. Mitochondrial genomes of parasitic nematodes progress and perspectives. Trends in Parasitology 22:78–84.

Hu, M., Chilton, N. B., and Gasser, R. B. 2002. Long PCR-based amplification of the entire mitochondrial genome from single parasitic nematodes. Molecular and Cellular Probes 16:261–267.

Humphreys-Pereira, D. A., and Elling, A. A. 2014. Mitochondrial genomes of *Meloidogyne chitwoodi* and *M. incognita* (Nematoda: Tylenchina): Comparative analysis, gene order and phylogenetic relationships with other nematodes. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 194:20–32.

Hyman, B. C., Lewis, S. C., Tang, S., and Wu, Z. 2011. Rampant gene rearrangement and haplotype hyper variation among nematode mitochondrial genomes. Genetics 139:611–615.

Jameson, D., Gibson, A. P., Hudelot, C., and Higgs, P. G. 2003. OGRe: A relational database for comparative analysis of mitochondrial genomes. Nucleic Acids Research 31:202–216.

Jühling, F., Pütz, J., Bernt, M., Donath, A., Middendorf, M., Florentz, C., and Stadler, P. F. 2012. Improved systematic tRNA gene annotation allows new insights into the evolution of mitochondrial tRNA structures and into the mechanisms of mitochondrial genome rearrangements. Nucleic Acids Research 40:2833–2845.

Kern, E. M. A., Kim, T., and Park, J. K. 2020. The mitochondrial genome in nematode phylogenetics. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 8:250.

Kerpedjiev, P., Hammer, S., and Hofacker, I. L. 2015. FORNA (force-directed RNA): Simple and effective online RNA secondary structure diagrams. Bioinformatics 31:3377–3379.

Kim, J., Kern, E., Kim, T., Sim, M., Kim, J., Kim, Y., Park, C., Nadler, S. A., and Park, J. K. 2017. Phylogenetic analysis of two *Plectus* mitochondrial genomes (Nematoda: Plectida) supports a sister group relationship between Plectida and Rhabditida within Chromadorea. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 107:90–102.

Kim, T., Kim, J., Nadler, S. A., and Park, J. K. 2015. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Koerneria sudhausi* (Diplogasteromorpha: Nematoda)

Mitogenome of Cruznema tripartitum: Du et al.

supports monophyly of Diplogasteromorpha within Rhabditomorpha. Current Genetics 62:391–403.

Lavrov, D. V., and Brown, W. M. 2001. *Trichinella spiralis* mtDNA: A nematode mitochondrial genome that encodes a putative *ATP8* and normally structured tRNAs and has a gene arrangement relatable to those of coelomate metazoans. Genetics 157:621–637.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., and Durbin, R. 2009. The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078–2079.

Ma, X. Y., Agudelo, P., Richards, V. P., and Baeza, J. A. 2020. The complete mitochondrial genome of the Columbia lance nematode, *Hoplolaimus columbus*, a major agricultural pathogen in North America. Parasites Vectors 13:321.

Meldal, B. H., Debenhamb, N. J., De Ley, P., De Ley, I. T., Vanfleteren, J. R., Vierstraete, A. R., Bert, W., Borgonie, G., Moens, T., Tyler, P. A., Austen, M. C., Blaxter, M. L., Rogers, A. D., and Lambshead, P. J. 2007. An improved molecular phylogeny of the Nematoda with special emphasis on marine taxa. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 42:622–636.

Miller, M. A., Pfeiffer, W., and Schwartz, T. 2010. Creating the CIPRES science gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. *in* Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE). New Orleans, LA, Pp. 1–8.

Örley, L. 1880. Az anguillulidák magànrajza. (Monographie der Anguilluliden). Természetrajzi Füzetek (Budapest) 4:16–150.

Park, J. K., Sultana, T., Lee, S. H., Kang, S., Kim, H. K., Min, G. S., Eom, K. S., and Nadleer, S. A. 2011. Monophyly of clade III nematodes is not supported by phylogenetic analysis of complete mitochondrial genome sequences. BMC Genomics 12:392.

Phillips, W. S., Brown, A. M. V., Howe, D. K., Peetz, A. B., Blok, V. C., Denver, D. R., and Zasada, I. A. 2016. The mitochondrial genome of *Globodera ellingtonae* is composed of two circles with segregated gene content and differential copy numbers. BMC Genomics 17:706.

Qing, X., Du, H. R., Xue, H. Y., Wang, Y. H., Wang, X., and Li, H. M. 2021. Mitochondrial genome and phylogeny of *Aphelenchoides medicagus*. Biotechnology Bulletin 37:98–106(in Chinese).

Reboredo, G. R., and Camino, N. B. 1998. Two new species of nematodes (Rhabditida: Diplogasteridae and Rhabditidae) parasites of *Gryllodes laplatae* (Orthoptera: Gryllidae) in Argentina. Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 93:763–766.

Reboredo, G. R., and Camino, N. B. 2000. Two new Rhabditida species (Nematoda: Rhabditidae) parasites of *Cyclocephala signaticollis* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) in Argentina. Journal of Parasitology 86:819–821.

Sedlazeck, F. J., Rescheneder, P., and von Haeseler, A. 2013. NextGenMap: Fast and accurate

read mapping in highly polymorphic genomes. Bioinformatics 29:2790–2791.

Stamatakis, A. 2014. RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30:1312–1313.

Sudhaus, W. 1974. Zur Systematik, Verbreitung, Ökologie und Biologie neuer und wenig bekannter Rhabditiden (Nematoda)1. Teil. Zoologische Jahrbücher (Systematik) 101:173–212.

Sudhaus, W. 1978. Systematik, Phylogenie und Ökologieder holzbewohnenden Nematoden-Gruppe Rhabditis (*Mesorhabditis*) und das Problem "geschlechtsbezogener" Artdifferenzierung. Zoologische Jahrbücher (Systematik) 105:399–461.

Sultana, R., and Pervez, R. 2019. Description of *Cruznema minimus* sp. n. (Nematoda:Rhabditidae) and *Acrobeloides insignis* sp. n. (Nematoda; Cephalobidae) with a key to *Cruznema* species. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences 27:394–399.

Sultana, T., Kim, J., Lee, S. H., Han, H., Kim, S., Min, G. S., Nadler, S. A., and Park, J. K. 2013. Comparative analysis of complete mitochondrial genome sequences confirms independent origins of plant-parasitic nematodes. BMC Evolutionary Biology 13:12.

Sun, L., Zhuo, K., Lin, B., Wang, H., and Liao, J. 2014. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Meloidogyne graminicola* (Tylenchina): A unique gene arrangement and its phylogenetic implications. PLoS One 9:e98558.

Sun, L. H., Zhuo, K., Wang, H. H., Song, H. D., Chi, W. W., Zhang, L. H., and Liao, J. L. 2014. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Aphelenchoides besseyi* (Nematoda: Aphelenchoididae), the first sequenced representative of the subfamily Aphelenchoidinae. Nematology 16:1167–1180.

Tahseen, Q., Sultana, R., Khan, R., and Hussain, A. 2012. Description of two new and one known species of the closely related genera *Cruznema* Artigas, 1927 and Rhabpanus Massey, 1971 (Nematoda: Rhabditidae) with a discussion on their relationships. Nematology 14:555–570.

Tang, S., and Hyman, B. C. 2007. Mitochondrial genome haplotype hypervariation within the isopod parasitic nematode *Thaumamermis cosgrovei*. Genetics 176:1139–1150.

von Linstow, O. F. B. 1906. Neue und bekannte Helminthen. Zoologische Jahrbücher (Systematik) 24:1–20.

Wolstenholme, D. R. 1992. Animal mitochondrial DNA: Structure and evolution. International Review of Cytology 141:173–216.

Ye, F., Samuels, D. C., and Clark, T. 2014. Highthroughput sequencing in mitochondrial DNA research. Mitochondrion 17:157–163.

Zhang, Z. Q. 2013. Animal biodiversity: An outline of higher-level classification and survey of taxonomic richness (Addenda 2013). Zootaxa 3073:1–82.