COMMENTARY

ADDICTION

SSA

Commentary on Bischof et al.: Empirical and conceptual paradigms for studying secondary impacts of a person's substance use

Harm from alcohol and other drugs have effects that extend beyond the drinker to their social connections and produce much wider familial and societal impacts. The needs of the relatives, friends, co-workers and communities affected by the drinking of people in their social networks are seldom voiced, counted or responded to.

Drawing on a national cross-sectional study in Germany, Bischof et al. [1] measured the health and wellbeing of people living and not living with a family member with a substance use disorder (SUD). Bischof et al. [1] identified two complementary empirical paradigms measuring the harms beyond the substances' impact on individual consumers. They framed these as, first, 'harm to others', and including studies of an array of effects from others' substance use in general population surveys [2, 3]. Second, they described qualitative studies of impacts on family members that focused on more severe harm, often among people living with persons with diagnosed SUD [4].

A third way of measuring substance-related harms beyond the person using substances is to focus on systems. For instance, analyses of substance use treatment systems and a wider variety of service agency responses (including family violence services and emergency departments) can provide societal estimates of the number of people seriously affected (to the degree that they have sought professional help) [3, 5] and associated costs [6]. Data linkage, as often used in Nordic countries, enables links between such systems and provides enhanced surveillance and research [7]. This third perspective adds understanding of how family and clinical problems are managed and measures severity of harm amongst people affected.

To this point, we have largely described empirical models ways of counting and describing harm, yet what might be more insightful is a model that recognises that these harms, the actors involved and local community responses and influences sit in a much broader context. A confluence of factors is related to the harm and wellbeing of people in a range of social relationships with people who drink. In a public health framing [8], consumption of alcohol causes toxicity, intoxication, dependence and increases the risk of a wide range of harms, particularly intentional and non-intentional injuries and mental ill-health, to those that drink and those around them. The individual, family and wider connections form crucial supports. In the background, structural factors related to harm to others operate. These have been identified in the World Health Organization reports on alcohol [9] and violence against women [10, 11] and include intersecting oppressions such as socioeconomic and gender inequality, sexism and colonialism [12].

The authors' contribution is a cross-sectional national approach to the study of how people might be affected by the drinking of people they are related to. However, caution is needed. The paper, in presenting the millions of people affected in Germany, reports on the prevalence of people living with or related to people who have been identified by the participant as a person with a SUD. This likely generates stigma and could lead to underestimation of the number of people affected (if people decline to acknowledge someone they know is using alcohol or drugs) yet could also lead to overestimation, as not everyone who they know with a SUD will be adversely affecting the participant.

Importantly, Bischof et al. [1] found participants living with an affected family member were at significantly increased odds of experiencing poorer subjective health and depression. Bischof et al.'s [1] study shows an increase in harm-relative to participants who did not report a person affected by an addictive disorder. However, without knowing the baseline level of harm (how many people are categorised as unwell or depressed?) in the unaffected group, we can only conclude participants were at increased odds of being subjectively unwell and depressed. This is an important finding, but not one that shows that millions of people were affected just by being related to a person with a SUD. This and other studies [13] highlight a potential increase in the risk of harm, rather than harm per se. Moreover, Bischoff et al.'s [1] estimates of harms (people living with someone with a SUD) on a percentage basis are much smaller than the estimates produced in the general population studies published [3, 14-16].

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

^{© 2022} The Author. Addiction published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Society for the Study of Addiction.

Empirical paradigms measure and highlight that alcohol and other drugs have effects that extend beyond the person drinking or using to their social connections and produce much wider familial and societal impacts. The needs of the relatives, friends, co-workers and communities affected by the drinking or drug use of people in their social networks are often unvoiced, and few treatment systems exist to manage their specific concerns. These "Harms from substance use to social connections" or "Substances' harm to others" should be counted and responded to.

KEYWORDS

Alcohol's harm to others, concerned others, family, secondary harm, substance use, substances' harm to others

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A.M.L.'s work is supported by the Australian Research Council (LP190100698) and Veski (which funds eligible individuals who narrowly missed out on the 2021 National Health and Medical Research Council Investigator Grant funding in the Emerging Leaders 2 category, as part of the Victorian Health and Medical Research Workforce Project, on behalf of the Victorian Government and the Association of Australian Medical Research Institutes, with funding provided by the Victorian Department of Jobs, Precincts and Regions). Open access publishing facilitated by La Trobe University, as part of the Wiley - La Trobe University agreement via the Council of Australian University Librarians.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Anne-Marie Laslett: Conceptualization.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

None.

Anne-Marie Laslett^{1,2,3} 🝺

¹Centre for Alcohol Policy Research, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia

²National Drug Research Institute, Curtin University, Perth, Australia ³Melbourne School of Global and Population Health, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia Email: a.laslett@latrobe.edu.au

ORCID

Anne-Marie Laslett D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5652-7336

REFERENCES

- Bischof G, Bischof A, Velleman R, Orford J, Kuhnert R, Allen J, et al. Prevalence and self-rated health and depression of family members affected by addictive disorders: Results of a nationwide cross-sectional study. Addiction. 2022;117(12):3140–7. https://doi. org/10.1111/add.15960
- Room R, Ferris J, Laslett A-M, Livingston M, Mugavin J, Wilkinson C. The drinker's effect on the social environment: A conceptual

framework for studying alcohol's harm to others. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2010;7(4):1855–71. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph7041855

- 3. Laslett A-M, Catalano P, Chikritzhs T, Dale C, Doran C, Ferris J, et al. The range and magnitude of alcohol's harm to others Canberra: Alcohol Education and Rehabilitation Foundation; 2010.
- Orford J, Velleman R, Copello A, Templeton L, Ibanga A. The experiences of affected family members: A summary of two decades of qualitative research. Drugs: Educ Prevent Policy. 2010;17(s1): 44–62. https://doi.org/10.3109/09687637.2010.514192
- Nayak MB, Patterson D, Wilsnack SC, Karriker-Jaffe KJ, Greenfield TK. Alcohol's secondhand harms in the United States: New data on prevalence and risk factors. J Stud Alcohol Drugs. 2019;80(3):273–81.
- Jiang H, Doran CM, Room R, Chikritzhs T, Ferris J, Laslett A-M. Beyond the drinker: Alcohol's hidden costs in 2016 in Australia. J Stud Alcohol Drugs. 2022;83(4):512–24. https://doi.org/10. 15288/jsad.2022.83.512
- Raitasalo K, Holmila M. Parental substance abuse and risks to children's safety, health and psychological development. Drugs: Educ Prevent Policy. 2017;24(1):17–22.
- Rehm J, Room R, Graham K, Monteiro M, Gmel G, Sempos CT. The relationship of average volume of alcohol consumption and patterns of drinking to burden of disease: An overview. Addiction. 2003; 98(9):1209–28. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1360-0443.2003.00467.x
- 9. World Health Organization. Global Status Report on Alcohol and Health. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014.
- World Health Organization. Preventing intimate partner and sexual violence against women: Taking action and generating evidence. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010.
- Michau L, Horn J, Bank A, Dutt M, Zimmerman C. Prevention of violence against women and girls: Lessons from practice. The Lancet. 2015;385(9978):1672–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736 (14)61797-9
- 12. Our Watch. Change the story: A shared framework for the primary prevention of violence against women in Australia–Summary Second ed. Melbourne: Our Watch; 2021.
- Manning V, Best DW, Faulkner N, Titherington E. New estimates of the number of children living with substance misusing parents: Results from UK national household surveys. BMC Public Health. 2009;9(1):377-88. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-9-377
- Room R, Callinan S, Greenfield TK, Rekve D, Waleewong O, Stanesby O, et al. The social location of harm from others' drinking in ten societies. Addiction. 2019;114(3):425–33. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/add.14447
- Ramstedt M, Sundin E, Moan IS, Storvoll EE, Lund IO, Bloomfield K, et al. Harm experienced from the heavy drinking of family and friends in the general population: A comparative study of six Northern European countries. Subst Abuse: Res Treat. 2015;9(Suppl 2):107–18. https://doi.org/10.4137/SART.S23746
- Karriker-Jaffe KJ, Room R, Giesbrecht N, Greenfield TK. Alcohol's harm to others: Opportunities and challenges in a public health framework. J Stud Alcohol Drugs. 2018;79(2):239–43. https://doi.org/10.15288/jsad.2018.79.239

How to cite this article: Laslett A-M. Commentary on Bischof et al.: Empirical and conceptual paradigms for studying secondary impacts of a person's substance use. Addiction. 2022;117(12):3148–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/add.16049