

Emergency and surgery services of **Den** primary hospitals in the United Republic of Tanzania

Tom Penoyar,¹ Hillary Cohen,² P Kibatala,³ A Magoda,⁴ G Saguti,³ L Noel,⁵ S Groth,⁵ D H Mwakyusa,⁶ M Cherian⁵

ABSTRACT

To cite: Penovar T. Cohen H. Kibatala P, et al. Emergency and surgery services of primary hospitals in the United Republic of Tanzania. BMJ Open 2012;2:e000369. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2011-000369

Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files please visit the journal online (http:// bmjopen.bmj.com).

Received 6 October 2011 Accepted 14 December 2011

This final article is available for use under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 2.0 Licence; see http://bmjopen.bmj.com

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Tom Penovar; tpenovar@ bidmc.harvard.edu

Objective: The primary objective was to evaluate the capacity of first-referral health facilities in Tanzania to perform basic surgical procedures. The intent was to assist in planning strategies for universal access to life-saving and disability-preventing surgical services. Design: Cross-sectional survey.

Setting: First-referral health facilities in the United Republic of Tanzania.

Participants: 48 health facilities.

Measures: The WHO Tool for Situational Analysis to Assess Emergency and Essential Surgical Care was employed to capture a health facility's capacity to perform basic surgical (including obstetrics and trauma) and anaesthesia interventions by investigating four categories of data: infrastructure, human resources, interventions available and equipment. The tool queried the availability of eight types of care providers, 35 surgical interventions and 67 items of equipment.

Results: The 48 facilities surveyed served 18.6 million residents (46% of the population). Supplies for basic airway management were inconsistently available. Only 42% had consistent access to oxygen, and only six functioning pulse oximeters were located in all facilities surveyed. 37.5% of facilities reported both consistent running water and electricity. While very basic interventions (suturing, wound debridement, incision and drainage) were provided in nearly all facilities, more advanced life-saving procedures including chest tube thoracostomy (30/48), open fracture management (29/48) and caesarean section delivery (32/48) were not consistently available. Conclusions: Based on the results in this WHO country survey, significant gaps exist in the capacity for emergency and essential surgical services in Tanzania including deficits in human resources, essential equipment and infrastructure. The information in this survey will provide a foundation for

evidence-based decisions in country-level policy regarding the allocation of resources and provision of emergency and essential surgical services.

INTRODUCTION

Surgical services at the first-referral level are an essential component of comprehensive primary healthcare. Conditions that can be

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Article focus

- On-site visits to primary health centres in a developing nation.
- Evaluate capacity to deliver emergency and surgical care-identify gaps in equipment, skills and personnel.

Key messages

- Basic surgical procedures are being performed in nearly all health centres.
- Significant deficits in human resources, essential equipment and infrastructure.
- Pulse oximetry is rarely available.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Most comprehensive evaluation of a developing country's surgical capacity.
- Based on established well-accepted analysis tool.
- Relies on subjective measures and estimate.

treated with surgery account for an estimated 11% of the world's disability-adjusted life years.¹ Despite recent data estimating the global volume of surgery at 234 million surgical procedures annually and significant disparities between procedures performed in high- and low-income counties, global public health initiatives have traditionally neglected the necessity for the provision of surgical services.² Poor access to surgical services, particularly at rural facilities, results in excess morbidity and mortality from a broad range of treatable surgical conditions including injuries, complications of pregnancy, sequelae of infectious diseases, acute abdominal conditions and congenital anomalies. Improving the access to surgical services in low-income countries requires a systems-based approach addressing gaps in infrastructure, trained/skilled personnel, appropriate equipment and medications.

Tanzania, similar to other sub-Saharan African countries, faces significant challenges in the provision of health services. Infant mortality is 68 per 1000 live births and

Penovar T, Cohen H, Kibatala P, et al. BMJ Open 2012:2:e000369. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2011-000369

Emergency and surgery services in Tanzania

maternal mortality rate is 578 per 100 000 live births.³ The leading causes of maternal death (haemorrhage, unsafe abortion, eclampsia and obstructed labour) can all be addressed with appropriate emergency obstetric care, which often require surgical and/or anaesthesia interventions. In a 1999 Tanzanian Ministry of Health and Social Welfare (MoHSW) census, health facilities numbered 4714 with 280 hospitals, 479 health centres and 3955 dispensaries for a total of 32 000 beds (1:896 people). There were 110 surgeons (1/3 in cities, 1/3 in administration and 1/3 emigrated) and 16 anaesthesiologists. Human resources for health were critically absent, with fewer than 1/3 of posts filled in primary hospitals.⁴

As funders and public health experts adopt the expansion of primary healthcare services, the inclusion of surgical services at the first-referral level is critical. The purpose of this survey was to collect knowledge gained from comprehensive quantitative assessments of surgical capacity in sub-Saharan African countries such as Tanzania in order to assist in planning strategies for universal access to life-saving and disability-preventing surgical services.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The WHO Tool for Situational Analysis to Assess Emergency and Essential Surgical Care was developed as a comprehensive questionnaire to quantify the surgical capacity in a wide range of health facilities.⁵ This online tool captures a health facility's capacity to perform basic surgical (including obstetrics and trauma) and anaesthesia interventions by investigating four categories of data: infrastructure, human resources, interventions available and equipment. The tool queries the availability of eight types of care providers, 35 surgical interventions and 67 items of equipment.

WHO situation analysis tool to assess Emergency and Essential Surgical Care was completed at 48 health facilities representing 16 of 26 regions in Tanzania. The health facility data were obtained during site visits by representatives from the Tanzania MoHSW, WHO country office and members of Global Initiative for Emergency and Essential Surgical Care (GIEESC) between March 2009 and October 2010. Data on various indices were entered into and analysed from WHO Global DataCol Database for Emergency and Essential Surgical Care (table 1). Some results, such as the average distance travelled prior to admission, were expressed as a weighted mean to better reflect the distance travelled by the average patient seeking surgical care in the country. To calculate the weighted mean, we summed the products of annual admissions and average distance travelled for each facility and then divided by the sum of annual admissions for all facilities.

By local convention, a physician who has trained in general surgery is considered a surgical specialist. Further specialisation, such as urologic, orthopaedic or cardiothoracic surgery, is termed as super specialty. Facilities were asked the size of the 'population served', intending to quantify the population living in the catchment area. This value thus represents the number of residents who would use the facility as their firstreferral health facility, not the number of patients seen.

RESULTS

Forty-eight facilities, representing 16 of 26 regions and serving 18.6 million residents (46% of the population), completed the WHO Integrated Management for Emergency and Essential Surgical Care (IMEESC) Situational Analysis research tool. The average population served per facility was 425 000, though five facilities served 10 000 or fewer residents. A total of 9085 hospital beds were reported, averaging 189 beds per facility (range 15–350 beds). One hundred eighteen operating rooms were identified.

The weighted mean of distance travelled prior to admission was 119 km (74 miles). Figure 1 displays the locations of facilities with markers sized to the population served. This map demonstrates that the six facilities serving the largest population are located on the southern and northern periphery. The central regions are dominated by health facilities in rural areas serving small populations.

Annual admissions averaged 2001 per facility (range 350–5000). On average, 34% of all admissions required either minor or major surgical interventions.

A total of 4965 healthcare providers were reported in the 48 facilities. Sixty-four surgical specialists (ie, physicians with dedicated surgical training) were identified, and 56 (88%) of identified surgical specialists were employed by the six largest hospitals. The great majority of anaesthesia providers (176/203=87%) were nonphysicians, and only 11 formally trained anaesthesiologists were identified. Other medical staff providing surgical and anaesthesia services in the facilities included 4017 assistant medical officers (non-physician medical officers, paramedics and midwives).

Of the 35 basic interventions listed in the tool, only suturing was available at all facilities. Additionally, incision and drainage, male circumcision and wound debridement were widely available and provided at 98%, 98% and 92% of facilities, respectively. Caesarean section was available at 67% of facilities.

Equipment was largely inadequate, including a significant gap in availability of functioning anaesthesia machines. Running water and electricity were widely available with only two facilities having no access to either water or electricity. However, only 37.5% of facilities reported both consistent running water and electricity. Greater than half of facilities reported never using eye protection and 46% reported no access to this critical piece of personal protective equipment. Six facilities had all essential equipment consistently available: Bombo Regional Hospital, Dodoma Regional Hospital, St Francis District Hospital, Ilembula Hospital, Besha Health Centre and Muhimbili National Hospital. Oxygen supplies were inconsistent in many facilities.

Table 1 Results of Situational Analysis Tool

General and congenital Blood bank Personnel Electricity General physician performing surgery 113 Emergency guidelines Non-physicians performing surgery 122 Emergency room Paramedics and midwives 4017 Generator Physicians trained in surgery (specialist) 64 Haemoglobin and urine analysis Medical records Medical records Procedure P Running water Appendectomy 69 Surgery guidelines Biopsy 81 Cotton wool Burn care 90 Adhesive tape Cataract repair 25 Bandages sterile Congenital hernia repair 71 Batteries for flashlight Cystotomy 63 Bucket, plastic Hernia repair 69 Capped bottle, alcohol solution Hydrocele 88 Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19 Incision and drainage 98 Drum for sterile dressings	29 44 25 33 58 96 98 56 58 77 96 81 98 58 94 79 98	48 52 13 15 2 4 2 35 6 21 4 15 2 33 6	23 4 63 52 40 0 8 35 2 0 4 0 8
PersonnelBlood bank ElectricityGeneral physician performing surgery113Emergency guidelinesNon-physicians performing surgery122Emergency roomParamedics and midwives4017GeneratorPhysicians trained in surgery (specialist)64Haemoglobin and urine analysis Medical recordsProcedurePRunning waterAppendectomy69Surgery guidelinesBiopsy81Cotton woolBurn care90Adhesive tapeCataract repair25Bandages sterileCongenital hernia repair71Batteries for flashlightCystotomy63Bucket, plasticHernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	29 44 25 33 58 96 98 56 58 77 96 81 98 58 94 79 98	48 52 13 15 2 4 2 35 6 21 4 15 2 33 6	23 4 63 52 40 0 0 8 35 2 0 4 0 8
PersonnelElectricityGeneral physician performing surgery113Emergency guidelinesNon-physicians performing surgery122Emergency roomParamedics and midwives4017GeneratorPhysicians trained in surgery (specialist)64Haemoglobin and urine analysis Medical recordsProcedurePRunning waterAppendectomy69Surgery guidelinesBiopsy81Cotton woolBurn care90Adhesive tapeCataract repair35Apron, plastic, reusableCleft lip repair25Bandages sterileCongenital hernia repair71Batteries for flashlightCystotomy63Bucket, plasticHernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	44 25 33 58 96 98 56 58 77 96 81 98 58 94 79 98	52 13 15 2 4 2 35 6 21 4 15 2 33 6	4 63 52 40 0 8 35 2 0 4 0 8
General physician performing surgery113Emergency guidelinesNon-physicians performing surgery122Emergency roomParamedics and midwives4017GeneratorPhysicians trained in surgery (specialist)64Haemoglobin and urine analysis Medical recordsProcedurePRunning waterAppendectomy69Surgery guidelinesBiopsy81Cotton woolBurn care90Adhesive tapeCataract repair25Bandages sterileCongenital hernia repair71Batteries for flashlightCystotomy63Bucket, plasticHernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	25 33 58 96 98 56 58 77 96 81 98 58 94 79 98	13 15 2 4 2 35 6 21 4 15 2 33 6	63 52 40 0 8 35 2 0 4 0 8
Non-physicians performing surgery122Emergency roomParamedics and midwives4017GeneratorPhysicians trained in surgery (specialist)64Haemoglobin and urine analysis Medical recordsProcedurePRunning waterAppendectomy69Surgery guidelinesBiopsy81Cotton woolBurn care90Adhesive tapeCataract repair35Apron, plastic, reusableCleft lip repair25Bandages sterileCongenital hernia repair71Batteries for flashlightCystotomy63Bucket, plasticHernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	33 58 96 98 56 58 77 96 81 98 58 94 79 98	15 2 4 2 35 6 21 4 15 2 33 6	52 40 0 8 35 2 0 4 0 8
Paramedics and midwives4017GeneratorPhysicians trained in surgery (specialist)64Haemoglobin and urine analysis Medical recordsProcedurePRunning waterAppendectomy69Surgery guidelinesBiopsy81Cotton woolBurn care90Adhesive tapeCataract repair35Apron, plastic, reusableCleft lip repair25Bandages sterileCongenital hernia repair71Batteries for flashlightCystotomy63Bucket, plasticHernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	58 96 98 56 58 77 96 81 98 58 94 79 98	2 4 2 35 6 21 4 15 2 33	40 0 8 35 2 0 4 0 8
Physicians trained in surgery (specialist)64Haemoglobin and urine analysis Medical recordsProcedurePRunning waterAppendectomy69Surgery guidelinesBiopsy81Cotton woolBurn care90Adhesive tapeCataract repair35Apron, plastic, reusableCleft lip repair25Bandages sterileCongenital hernia repair71Batteries for flashlightCystotomy63Bucket, plasticHernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	96 98 56 58 77 96 81 98 58 94 79 98	4 2 35 6 21 4 15 2 33	0 8 35 2 0 4 0 8
Medical recordsProcedurePRunning waterAppendectomy69Surgery guidelinesBiopsy81Cotton woolBurn care90Adhesive tapeCataract repair35Apron, plastic, reusableCleft lip repair25Bandages sterileCongenital hernia repair71Batteries for flashlightCystotomy63Bucket, plasticHernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	98 56 58 77 96 81 98 58 94 79 98	2 35 6 21 4 15 2 33	0 8 35 2 0 4 0 8
ProcedurePRunning waterAppendectomy69Surgery guidelinesBiopsy81Cotton woolBurn care90Adhesive tapeCataract repair35Apron, plastic, reusableCleft lip repair25Bandages sterileCongenital hernia repair71Batteries for flashlightCystotomy63Bucket, plasticHernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	56 58 77 96 81 98 58 94 79 98	35 6 21 4 15 2 33	8 35 2 0 4 0 8
Appendectomy69Surgery guidelinesBiopsy81Cotton woolBurn care90Adhesive tapeCataract repair35Apron, plastic, reusableCleft lip repair25Bandages sterileCongenital hernia repair71Batteries for flashlightCystotomy63Bucket, plasticHernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	58 77 96 81 98 58 94 79 98	6 21 4 15 2 33	35 2 0 4 0 8
Biopsy81Cotton woolBurn care90Adhesive tapeCataract repair35Apron, plastic, reusableCleft lip repair25Bandages sterileCongenital hernia repair71Batteries for flashlightCystotomy63Bucket, plasticHernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	77 96 81 98 58 94 79 98	21 4 15 2 33	2 0 4 0 8
Burn care90Adhesive tapeCataract repair35Apron, plastic, reusableCleft lip repair25Bandages sterileCongenital hernia repair71Batteries for flashlightCystotomy63Bucket, plasticHernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	96 81 98 58 94 79 98	4 15 2 33	0 4 0 8
Cataract repair35Apron, plastic, reusableCleft lip repair25Bandages sterileCongenital hernia repair71Batteries for flashlightCystotomy63Bucket, plasticHernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	81 98 58 94 79 98	15 2 33	4 0 8
Cleft lip repair25Bandages sterileCongenital hernia repair71Batteries for flashlightCystotomy63Bucket, plasticHernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	98 58 94 79 98	2 33	0
Congenital hernia repair/1Batteries for flashlightCystotomy63Bucket, plasticHernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	58 94 79 98	33	8
Cystotomy63Bucket, plasticHernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	94 79 98		0
Hernia repair69Capped bottle, alcohol solutionHydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	79 98	0	0
Hydrocele88Disposable needles # 25, 21, 19Incision and drainage98Drum for sterile dressings	98	13	8
Incision and drainage 98 Drum for sterile dressings		2	0
	83	8	8
Laparotomy 75 Examination table	90	10	0
Male circumcision 98 Eye protection	40	15	46
Neonatal surgery 35 Face masks	69	25	6
Suturing 100 Forceps, Kocher	73	19	8
Tubal ligation/vasectomy /1 Forceps, artery	81	10	8
Urethral stricture 46 Gloves (non-sterile)	92	8	0
Gloves (sterile)	90	10	0
Kidney disnes, stainless steel	88	13	0
Light source (lamp and flashlight)	73	1/	10
Nali brush, scrubbing	85	10	5
Nasogastric tubes 10 to 16 FG	/1	1/	13
Needle noider	90	10	0
Needles, cutting and round	94	17	0
Retractors	11	17	0
Scalpel handle with blade	94	4	2
Scissors blunt 14 cm	83	15	2
Scissors straight 12 cm	11	21	2
Sharps disposal container	98	2	10
Sheeting, plastic for examitable	00	23	13
Sudp Starila gauza dragging	90	2	0
Sterilie yauze diessing	90	4	0
Suction nump (manual or electric)	00	13	2
Suture synthetic absorbable	90	10	0
Survinges 10 ml	100	0	0
Syringes 2 ml	100	0	0
Synnges 2 mil	901	1	0
Towel cloth	85	13	2
Urinany catheter disposable #12_14_18	58	33	2
Wash basin	04	1	2
Waste disposal container	94	2	0
Anaesthesiology/airway management	30	2	0
Δnaeetheeia quidalinee	27	4	60
Personnel Anaesthesia machine	67	- D	33
General practitioners performing 16 Riood pressure measuring equipment	98	2	00
anaesthesia	00	-	0
Non-physicians performing anaesthesia 176 Cricothyroidotomy set	27	21	52
Physicians trained in anaesthesiology (specialist) 11 Endotracheal tubes cuffed sizes 5.5 to 9	65	21	27
Endotracheal tubes, curred sizes 3.0 to 5.0	54	19	27
	5.		

Table 1 Continued

			С	I	Ν
Procedure	Р	IV cannula sizes 18, 22, 24	92	8	0
Airway foreign body	83	IV infusion set	90	10	0
Cricothyroidotomy	44	IV Infusor bags	73	10	17
General anaesthesia	65	Laryngoscope handle	71	15	15
Ketamine IV	67	Laryngoscope Macintosh blades (adult)	73	15	13
Regional anaesthesia	42	Laryngoscope Macintosh blades (paediatric)	46	21	33
Resuscitation	88	Magills forceps (adult)	56	27	17
Spinal anaesthesia	77	Magills forceps (paediatric)	38	23	40
		Mask and tubing to connect to oxygen supply	46	27	27
		Oropharyngeal airway (adult)	42	35	23
		Oropharyngeal airway (paediatric)	21	23	56
		Oxygen concentrator	75	13	13
		Oxygen cylinder	33	31	35
		Pain management guidelines	25	13	63
		Post-operative recovery room	29	10	60
		Pulse oximetry	13	4	83
		Resuscitator bag valve and mask (adult)	67	15	19
		Resuscitator bag valve and mask (paediatric)	38	1/	46
		Scalp vein infusion set	98	2	0
		Spare builds and batteries for laryngoscope	44	27	29
		Stethoscope	98	2	0
		Suction catheter sizes 16 Fr	//	15	8
Orthonocidios and traumatalagu		l'ongue depressor, wooden, disposable	83	13	4
Onnopaedics and traumatology		Padiagraphy	22	11	00
Procoduro	D	Chest tube insertion equipment	50	44 25	23
Chest tube placement	г 63	Splints for arm log	63	20	17
Clubfoot repair	35	Tourpiquet	96	21 /	0
Contracture release	33	roumiquet	30	-	U
Debridement	92				
Fracture management closed	88				
Fracture management, open	61				
Joint dislocation reduction	92				
Limb amputation	65				
Osteomyelitis/septic arthritis	63				
Obstetrics/gynaecology					
6, 6,		Vaginal speculum	90	10	27
Personnel		<u> </u>			
Physicians trained in OBGYN (specialists)	74				
Procedure	Р				
Caesarean delivery	67				
Dilation and curettage	77				
Obstetric fistula repair	21				
C, % of facilities with consistent access; I, % of facilities w	ith intermitt	ent access; N, % of facilities with no access; P, % of fac	ilities v	vhich	offer

the procedure.

Twenty facilities (42%) had uninterrupted access to oxygen, with most relying on oxygen concentrators. Fifteen facilities (32%) had no access to an anaesthesia machine of any kind. Of all facilities surveyed, only six pulse oximeters were located. In Tanzania, the regional blood bank system is independent of any hospital facility and 77% of facilities reported having a blood bank. The x-ray was fully functional in 33% of facilities and interrupted in 44%, leaving 23% of facilities with no radiographic capacity. All facilities have access to haemoglobin and urine analysis testing. Complete results from the evaluation are shown in table 1. Information was placed into one of four mutually exclusive and comprehensive medical fields. For simplification, in table 1, laboratory tests and other infrastructure (ie, blood bank, electricity) were included under equipment.

DISCUSSION

More than 5 million people die from injuries every year and many more are left with permanent disabilities. Significant disparities in care exist between high- and

Emergency and surgery services in Tanzania

Figure 1 Facilities evaluated. Ring size proportional to population served.



low-income countries for patients with surgically treatable conditions. An estimate of the global burden of surgery showed that only 26% of estimated surgical procedures were performed in low-income countries, despite these countries accounting for 70% of the global population.² Of the estimated 536 000 maternal deaths in 2005, developing countries accounted for 99% of these deaths⁶; much of this mortality could be prevented by timely access to emergency and basic surgical services.

The provision of surgical services has historically been neglected in public health programmes.⁷ It is often assumed that surgery and anaesthesia interventions are expensive, technologically demanding and can only be delivered in large hospitals and by specialists. However, limiting surgical care to large facilities in developing countries makes it inaccessible to the large segment of the population in decentralised areas. Experience shows that basic surgical services can be cost-effective and safely delivered even in settings with limited resources.⁸

Two studies have examined the cost-effectiveness of small hospitals performing basic surgical operations in resource poor settings.^{9 10} The cost per DALY averted in

each study for all patients seen was US\$10.93 and US \$32.78. Although these studies did not separate surgical from non-surgical patients in calculating cost/DALY, both hospitals had a significant percentage (29%-67%) of surgical diagnoses contributing to the calculation. These costs compare favourably with other primary health interventions in developing countries.¹

WHO developed the IMEESC toolkit that has been implemented in 37 countries including Tanzania in January 2007.⁵ Targeted activities to improve surgical capacity have included the formation of a formal 'Surgical Task force' in Tanzania MoHSW, training courses, the adoption of IMEESC toolkit by the Tanzania Surgical Association and hosting the biennial WHO GIEESC meeting in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.

WHO GIEESC was established in 2005 as a collaboration of local and international organisations, academia, health authorities and WHO, in response to the recognition of surgery as a critical component of population based health.⁵ The research arm of WHO GIEESC developed WHO situational analysis tool to provide data in surgical care capacity to assist ministries of health in low- and middle-income countries for making evidencebased improvements.

This study provides an overview of the capacity for surgical care in 16 regions of Tanzania and demonstrates the significant gaps in infrastructure, human resources, life-saving and disability-preventive surgical interventions and essential equipment.

Despite the introduction of WHO programme for emergency and essential surgical care in Tanzania in 2007 and the efforts by the Tanzanian MoHSW to train non-physicians to deliver select surgical services such as caesarean sections, skilled health personnel to deliver surgical services remain inadequate for a significant portion of the country. This deficit is most pronounced in the rural areas, where patients travel great distances to reach health facilities and consequently face significant delays in care.

Although most facilities had a functioning operating theatre, fewer than half had uninterrupted access to oxygen and a third of facilities did not have access to an anaesthesia machine as is seen in many sub-Saharan African countries.¹¹ Significant improvements in surgical mortality in developed countries have resulted from

improvements in the delivery of safe anaesthesia. The existing gap of safe anaesthesia services likely limits the availability of life-saving surgeries in Tanzania or results in significant complications and unnecessary patient suffering when anaesthesia is not available.

Of the 35 basic surgical interventions, many hospitals did not have the capacity to deliver all the basic services. As demonstrated in figure 2, this survey showed that facilities in the central and southern region had less capacity to provide basic surgical services. Additionally, the consistent lack of oxygen tubing, pulse oximeters and paediatric airway equipment is a significant barrier to the provision of life-saving services in the regions studied.

Delivery of surgical services is dependent on the availability of all components inherent in a functioning health system. Systematic changes that address human resources, supplies/equipment and infrastructure are necessary to improve mortality from surgically treatable conditions. The benefits of these changes will significantly impact the mortality of patients with obstetricrelated emergencies and traumatic injuries, particularly women and children. However, the efforts made to improve disease-specific surgical interventions will not



Figure 2 Rings sized on ratio of (population served: annual procedures). Large rings are underserved.

Emergency and surgery services in Tanzania

have an isolated impact on surgically treatable conditions and meet the Millennium Development Goals 4, 5 and 6. Systematic changes such as investments in oxygen and related equipment and appropriately trained surgical workforce will also serve to benefit patients suffering from a range of conditions including sepsis, pneumonia, HIV-related conditions and other infectious diseases.

There are several limitations to this survey. First, it provides only a brief overview of the capacity for surgical care and cannot be used for detailed programme planning. Second, an independent observer did not verify the answers provided in the survey by the health provider or director of the health facilities. Third, it does not capture data from every first-referral health facilities of the country.

This survey presents the first snapshot of life-saving surgical services in Tanzania using WHO Tool for Situational Analysis to Assess Emergency and Essential Surgical Care. This snapshot view provides additional evidence that investments in human resources, essential equipment and infrastructure are needed to strengthen district surgical services in Tanzania to benefit rural population. Addressing the unmet need of surgical (including anaesthesia, obstetrics and trauma) services within existing related national programmes for maternal and child health will strengthen health systems, particularly at the district level.¹² These investments will have the secondary effect of improving the overall healthcare system and the treatment of many nonsurgical conditions. Further research is needed to quantify the true burden of surgical disease in Tanzania and the cost-benefit of specific interventions to improve surgical services.

Author affiliations

¹Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

²Maimonides Medical Center, Brooklyn, New York, USA

³WHO Country Office, Dar es Salaam, United Republic of Tanzania

⁴St Francis Designated District Hospital, Ifakara, United Republic of Tanzania ⁵Emergency & Essential Surgical Care program, Department Essential Health Technologies, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland

⁶Ministry of Health and Social Welfare, United Republic of Tanzania

Acknowledgements The following members of GIEESC in Tanzania were instrumental in obtaining the reported data: Professor Neboth Mbembati, Professor Victor Mwafongo, Dr Paul Mareale, Dr Jasper Mbwambo, Dr Amani Malima, Dr Mtumwa Ibrahim and Dr Rashid Mayoka.

Contributors TP analysed and interpreted the data, drafted the article, revised it and finally approved the submitted version. HC contributed to conception and design, acquisition and interpretation of data, made critical revisions and finally approved the submitted version. PK contributed to acquisition of data, made critical revisions and finally approved the submitted version. AM contributed to acquisition of data, made critical revisions and finally approved the submitted version. GS contributed to acquisition of data, made critical revisions and finally approved the submitted version. LN contributed to conception and design, interpretation of data, made critical revisions and finally approved the submitted version. SG contributed to conception and design, interpretation of data, made critical revisions and finally approved the submitted version. SG contributed to conception and design, interpretation of data, made critical revisions and finally approved the submitted version. DHM contributed to acquisition of data, made critical revisions and finally approved the submitted version. MC contributed to conception and design, acquisition and interpretation of data, helped draft the article, made critical revisions and finally approved the submitted version.

Funding None.

Disclaimer The authors include staff members of WHO. They are responsible for the views expressed in this publication and do not necessarily represent the decisions or stated policy of WHO.

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available, all has been included.

REFERENCES

- Debas HT, Gosselin R, McCord C, et al. Surgery. In: Jamison D, ed. Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries. 2nd edn. New York: Oxford University Press, 2006:1245–59.
- Weiser TG, Regenbogen SE, Thompson KD, et al. An estimation of the global volume of surgery: a modeling strategy based on available data. Lancet 2008;372:139–44.
- World Health Organization (Internet site). Geneva: World Health Organization. http://www.who.int/countries/tza/en/ (accessed 18 Feb 2011).
- Mwakyusa D. The National Road Map Strategic Plan to Accelerate Reduction of Maternal, Newborn and child deaths in Tanzania 2008–2015. Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: Annual Summit of MoHSW, 2008:1–13.
- Emergency and essential surgical care (Internet site). Geneva: World Health Organization. http://www.who.int/surgery (accessed 18 Feb 2011).
- Maternal mortality in 2005: estimates developed by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA and the World Bank. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2007. http://www.who.int/reproductive-health/publications/ maternal_mortality_2005/mme_2005.pdf
- Cherian M, Choo S, Wilson I, et al. Building and retaining the neglected anaesthesia health workforce: is it crucial for health systems strengthening through primary health care? Bull WHO 2010;88:637–9.
- Laxminarayan R, Mills AJ, Breman JG, et al. Advancement of global health: key messages from the Disease Control Priorities Project. Lancet 2006;367:1193–208.
- Gosselin R, Thind A, Bellardinelli A. Cost/DALY averted in a small hospital in Sierra Leone: what is the Relative Contribution of Different services? World J Surg 2006;30:505–11.
- McCord C, Chowdhury J. A cost-effective small hospital in Bangladesh: what it can mean for emergency obstetric care. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2003;81:83–92.
- Belle J, Cohen H, Shindo N, *et al.* Influenza preparedness in lowresource settings: a look at oxygen delivery in 12 African countries. *J Inf Dev Count* 2010;4:419–24.
- Hedges JP, Mock CN, Cherian MN. The Political Economy of emergency and essential surgery in global health. *World J Surg* 2010;34:2003–6.