Identification of Cell-Binding Adhesins of *Leptospira interrogans*



Karen V. Evangelista^{1¤a,¤b}, Beth Hahn², Elsio A. Wunder Jr.³, Albert I. Ko³, David A. Haake^{4,5}, Jenifer Coburn^{1,2}*

1 Graduate Program in Microbiology, Immunology, and Molecular Genetics, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, United States of America, 2 Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, United States of America, 3 Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America, 4 Division of Infectious Diseases, VA Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System, Los Angeles, California, United States of America, 5 Departments of Medicine, Urology, and Microbiology, Immunology, and Molecular Genetics, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, California, United States of America

Abstract

Leptospirosis is a globally distributed bacterial infectious disease caused by pathogenic members of the genus Leptospira. Infection can lead to illness ranging from mild and non-specific to severe, with jaundice, kidney and liver dysfunction, and widespread endothelial damage. The adhesion of pathogenic Leptospira species (spp.), the causative agent of leptospirosis, to host tissue components is necessary for infection and pathogenesis. While it is well-established that extracellular matrix (ECM) components play a role in the interaction of the pathogen with host molecules, we have shown that pathogenic Leptospira interrogans binds to host cells more efficiently than to ECM components. Using in vitro phage display to select for phage clones that bind to EA.hy926 endothelial cells, we identified the putative lipoproteins LIC10508 and LIC13411, and the conserved hypothetical proteins LIC12341 and LIC11574, as candidate L. interrogans sv. Copenhageni st. Fiocruz L1–130 adhesins. Recombinant LIC11574, but not its L. biflexa homologue LBF1629, exhibited dose-dependent binding to both endothelial and epithelial cells. In addition, LIC11574 and LIC13411 bind to VE-cadherin, an endothelial cell receptor for L. interrogans. Extraction of bacteria with the non-ionic detergent Triton X-114 resulted in partitioning of the candidate adhesins to the detergent fraction, a likely indication that these proteins are outer membrane localized. All candidate adhesins were recognized by sera obtained from leptospirosis patients but not by sera from healthy individuals as assessed by western blot. This work has identified bacterial adhesins that are potentially involved in L. interrogans infection of the mammalian host, and through cadherin binding, may contribute to dissemination and vascular damage. Our findings may be of value in leptospirosis control and prevention, with the bacterial adhesins potentially serving as targets for development of diagnostics, therapeutics, and vaccines.

Citation: Evangelista KV, Hahn B, Wunder EA Jr, Ko Al, Haake DA, et al. (2014) Identification of Cell-Binding Adhesins of *Leptospira interrogans*. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 8(10): e3215. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003215

Editor: Pamela L. C. Small, University of Tennessee, United States of America

Received July 18, 2014; Accepted August 25, 2014; Published October 2, 2014

This is an open-access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the Creative Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

Data Availability: The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants R21 Al077560, R56 Al087835, and R21 Al093509 to JC, R01 Al 034431 to DAH, R01 Al 052473, R01 TW009504, and U01 Al088752 to AlK, and by a Veterans Affairs Merit Review award to DAH. None of the funders had any role in the design or analysis of experiments, the preparation of the manuscript, or the decision to publish.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* Email: jcoburn@mcw.edu

¤a Current address: VA Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System, Los Angeles, California, United States of America

xb Current address: Department of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, United States of America

Introduction

Leptospirosis, caused by pathogenic species of the genus *Leptospira*, is the most widespread zoonosis and has emerged as a major public health problem worldwide, particularly in the humid tropical and subtropical areas in developing countries. The clinical manifestations of human leptospirosis are diverse, ranging from mild illness to a severe disease form known as Weil's syndrome, characterized by jaundice, acute renal and hepatic failure, pulmonary distress, and hemorrhage. Specific groups at risk for infection include farmers, sewer workers, slaughterhouse workers, and veterinarians [1]. Additionally, outbreaks of this disease are associated with severe flooding events particularly in

urban slum areas [2–4]. During infection, *Leptospira* spp. can rapidly disseminate from the portal of entry to any tissue of the host, and persist in the proximal tubules of the kidney of reservoir hosts such as rodents. Leptospirosis is spread through the contact of mucous membranes, cuts, or abrasions with fresh water contaminated by the urine of infected animals [5–7].

The mechanisms involved in leptospiral pathogenesis are not well elucidated. However, it is generally thought that the adhesion of *Leptospira* spp. to host tissue components is a necessary step for infection and pathogenesis. Attachment to host cells, and extracellular matrix (ECM) components, is likely to be necessary for the ability of leptospires to penetrate, disseminate and persist in mammalian host tissues. It has been demonstrated that pathogenic

Author Summary

Leptospirosis, caused by pathogenic species of the genus Leptospira, is an infectious disease that has emerged as a globally important health problem. Infection can either lead to mild illness or can progress to a severe disease form manifested by jaundice, kidney and liver dysfunction, and widespread blood vessel damage. It is thought that the ability of the bacteria to recognize and bind to human and animal cells is important for *Leptospira* spp. to cause the disease. Using phage display, we were able to identify bacterial proteins that mediate the binding of the bacteria to host cells. One of the identified proteins, LIC11574, attaches to different types of host cells, and to VEcadherin, a cell surface protein previously identified as receptor for disease-causing L. interrogans. All bacterial proteins identified were recognized by sera obtained from leptospirosis patients but not by sera from healthy individuals. Our findings may be of value in leptospirosis control and prevention, with these bacterial surface proteins as new targets for serodiagnosis and vaccine development.

Leptospira binds to a variety of cell lines including fibroblasts, epithelial, endothelial, microglial and monocyte/macrophage [8–16], and ECM components [17–25] *in vitro*.

Many pathogenic bacteria express adhesive molecules (adhesins) on their surfaces that promote interaction with host cell receptors or with other macromolecules. Adhesins are surface-exposed proteins, examples of which include intimin in *Escherichia coli* [26] and invasin in *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* [27]. A number of *L. interrogans* proteins have been shown to bind different ECM components *in vitro*, including leptospiral immunoglobulin (Ig)-like repeat proteins LigA and LigB [20,22,24,28–31], LenA [21], and OmpL1 [25]. OmpL1, a 31-kDa transmembrane outer membrane protein (OMP), binds to laminin, plasma fibronectin, and plasminogen [25,32,33].

Although it is well established that ECM components play a role in the interaction of the pathogen with host molecules [17,20,21,23–25,30,34], recent data generated in our laboratory show that pathogenic *Leptospira* bind host cells more efficiently *in vitro* [8,35]. Attachment of *L. interrogans* to endothelial cell monolayers is mediated at least in part by the host cell surface protein VE-cadherin [35], although the leptospiral adhesin(s) involved in this interaction remained unknown.

In this study, we identified *L. interrogans* proteins involved in interactions with host cells using phage display. Phage display has been successful in identifying adhesins such as P66 in another spirochete, *Borrelia burgdorferi* [36,37]. This work also describes the characterization of the binding properties of the candidate adhesins, and provides evidence that these proteins are expressed during *Leptospira* infection.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

All work with animals was performed under guidelines of the Public Health Services Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Mice were euthanized by controlled CO2 administration followed by cardiac puncture.

The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Medical College of Wisconsin approved all work with animals. The protocol number is AUA2391.

Animals

BALB/c mice were purchased at the age of 3 weeks from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA). Mice were fed and watered *ad libitum* throughout the course of the experiment.

Bacterial strains

Leptospira interrogans serovar Copenhageni (pathogenic, strain Fiocruz L1-130) was reisolated by infection of hamsters, and then stored at passage 1 and 2 in liquid nitrogen. Frozen aliquots were thawed and passaged in liquid Ellinghausen-McCullough-Johnson-Harris (EMJH) medium [6] supplemented with 5-fluorouracil (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and 10% heat-inactivated rabbit serum (Gibco, Grand Island, NY) at 30°C. This strain has a 50% lethal dose range of $37-10^4$ in hamsters [38-40] and the genome sequence was previously reported [41]. L. biflexa serovar Patoc (avirulent; strain 23582) was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Manassas, VA) and grown in EMJH medium. Genomic DNA used to construct the phage library was obtained from growing 1 liter of L. interrogans. L. interrogans DNA was phenol- and chloroform-extracted, ethanol-precipitated and resuspended in 100 µl Tris-EDTA pH 8.0 as previously described [42].

Escherichia coli strain MC1061 (F⁻) was used as the host for the generation of the phage display library while *E. coli* strain TG1 (F⁺) was used to recover selected phage clones through infection. *E. coli* strain Top10 was utilized for gene cloning, and *E. coli* strain KS330 was used for protein expression and purification. *E. coli* were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) or 2x YT medium (BD, Sparks, MD) supplemented with 0.2% v/v dextrose at 30°C with shaking. For plating, the media were supplemented with 15 g/l agar. Antibiotics (tetracycline, 12.5 µg/ml, ampicillin, 100 µg/ml and chloramphenicol, 30 µg/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich) were added as appropriate.

Mammalian cell lines

Human epithelial and endothelial cell lines were used in this study, as these represent cell types that are relevant to Leptospira spp. infection. The human laryngeal epithelial cell line HEp-2 was purchased from the ATCC, and grown in Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (ATCC) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, Grand Island, NY) at 37°C under 5% CO₂. The human macrovascular endothelial cell line EA.hy926, a kind gift from Dr. C. J. Edgell (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC) [43,44], was grown at 37°C under 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) (Gibco) with 4.5 g/l glucose, supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 µM hypoxanthine-0.4 µM aminopterin-16 µM thymidine (Sigma-Aldrich), and 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco). The human microvascular endothelial cell line HMEC-1 [45] obtained from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), was grown in MCDB 131 medium (Gibco) and supplemented with 15% heat-inactivated FBS (Hyclone, Logan, UT), 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco), 10 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF) (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA), 1 µg/ml hydrocortisone (Sigma) and 25 mM HEPES (Gibco). All cell lines were grown in the presence of 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Gibco).

Construction of the L. interrogans phage display library

The display system used in this study is based on in-frame fusions to the phage minor coat protein encoded by gene III. The vector used to construct the filamentous phage library is derived from fdDOG [46] inserted with a stuffer region containing stop codons in all three reading frames upstream of gene III and flanked by *Sfi*I restriction sites [37]. The resultant vector, fdBUG, cannot express the minor coat protein which is necessary for infecting naïve $F^+ E$. *coli* cells, causing a reduction in infectious phage particle production [37]. The phage vector fdBUG was digested with *Sfi*I (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) for 4 hr at 50°C, which removes the stuffer region and leaves 3'-GTG overhangs. To prevent self-ligation, the digested vector was treated with alkaline phosphatase (calf intestinal, New England Biolabs) for 1 hr at 37°C.

L. interrogans genomic DNA was partially digested for 1 hr at 37°C using MseI (New England Biolabs), which leaves a 5'-TA overhang. The genomic DNA fragments were ligated with preannealed synthetic oligonucleotides (Table 1) at a molar ratio of 2 equimolar mixture of adaptors to 1 genomic DNA using T4 ligase (New England Biolabs). The three adaptors each have 5'-TA overhangs cohesive with the partially digested L. interrogans DNA at one end, and 3'-CAC overhangs cohesive with the ends left by SfiI digestion of the vector at the other end as previously described [37]. The adapted DNA was fractionated by agarose gel electrophoresis and fragments between 0.2 and 1 kilo base pairs (kbp) were extracted using a commercially available gel extraction kit (QIAquick Gel Extraction kit, QIAGEN, Germantown, MD). The size-selected L. interrogans DNA fragments were ligated with SfiI-digested, dephosphorylated fdBUG vector (20 insert: 1 vector molar ratio) using T4 ligase. The resulting ligation mixture was electroporated into E. coli MC1061 cells and selected on LB agar supplemented with 12.5 µg/ml tetracycline. As a control, fdBUG was transformed into E. coli MC1061. The presence of L. interrogans DNA inserts in transformants were assessed by performing colony PCR as previously described [37] on twentyfour randomly picked colonies per transformation using primers oDOG1 and oDOG5 (Table 1), which amplify the region flanked by the two SfiI restriction sites.

Selection of phage clones that bind to cells

Three rounds of selection for phage that bind to EA.hy926 cells were performed using five independent pools of the phage library. Phage particles from 100 ml overnight cultures were prepared as described previously [36,37] with the exception that the phage were resuspended in DMEM supplemented with 1% BSA and protease inhibitors 1 mM benzamidine and 0.01 trypsin inhibitory units (TIU)/ml aprotinin (2PI) (Sigma-Aldrich). To eliminate clones that bind to ECM, the phage library was initially preadsorbed on ECM. ECM was obtained from EA.hy926 cells grown in a 6-well plate (BD Falcon, Bedford, MA). Once the monolayers reached confluence, medium was removed and cells were washed with PBS. Two milliliters of 10 mM EDTA were added to each well and incubated for 10 min at RT on a rocker to lift the cells. The cells were removed by aspiration and the plates were visually assessed under a microscope to ensure complete removal of cells. The ECM left on the plate was washed three times with HBSC buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.8, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM MnCl₂, 1(mM MgCl2, 250((M CaCl2) prior to preadsorption of phage.

Six ml of a 1(100 dilution of the phage suspension (approximately 1013 phage particles) were added to ECM and incubated for 3 hr at 37°C under 5% CO2. The unbound phage suspension was collected and added to 6-well plates containing confluent EA.hy926 monolayers pre-washed three times with HBSC. After 2 hr of incubation at 37°C under 5% CO₂, the unbound phage particles were removed and the wells were washed 15x with HBSC. The phage clones that specifically bound to intact monolayers were eluted using 3 ml of glycine pH 2.2, and pH was then adjusted to pH 7.4 with 1 M Tris pH 10. The eluted phage particles were used to infect *E. coli* strain TG1. The infected clones were selected by overnight growth at 30°C on LB plates supplemented with 12.5 μ g/ml tetracycline. All colonies obtained from each elution step were pooled and used to make new phage stocks for the second round of selection, performed as described. The same steps were repeated for the third round of selection, after which the selected pools were kept at -80° C until further use. As a control, phage particles were also prepared from vector fdDOG, which has an intact gene III, and therefore can produce the minor coat protein and so is capable of infecting bacterial cells. Three rounds of selection for fdDOG were performed in parallel with the phage library.

Analysis of selected phage clones

After 3 rounds of selection, individual colonies of phage clones in E. coli TG1 were purified by plating pooled phage clones, then restreaking on LB plates supplemented with tetracycline. Individual colonies were picked and colony PCR was performed using primers oDOG1 and oDOG5 (Table 1). The amplified products were sent for sequencing (McLab, South San Francisco, CA). The sequences obtained were compared by Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) with the complete genome of L. interrogans sv. Copenhageni st. Fiocruz F1-130 at the NCBI site (http://blast. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) to identify open reading frames to which the selected DNA fragment corresponded. The L. interrogans DNA inserted in the phage genome was also checked for correct gene orientation and intact reading frame. The genes identified were analyzed for the presence of signal peptide using online versions of prediction programs SignalP 3.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/ services/SignalP-3.0) and LipoP 1.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/ services/LipoP). The β -barrel prediction program PRED-TMBB (http://biophysics.biol.uoa.gr/PRED-TMBB) was utilized to determine if any of the gene hits might encode transmembrane OMPs. The predicted protein sequences were also manually analyzed for the presence signal peptides recognized by the spirochaetal lipoprotein signal peptidase (Lsp) or leader peptidase (Lep) [47]. Selected phage clones containing fragments of genes that are predicted to encode proteins that contain secretion signal sequences were further assessed based on the following criteria: (a) their known or putative surface localization (predicted or shown experimentally), (b) the presence of a predicted transmembrane β barrel motif, and (c) whether they have homologues in pathogenic and/or saprophytic strains.

Cloning, expression and purification of recombinant *Leptospira* candidate adhesins

The genes encoding the Leptospira candidate adhesins LIC10508, LIC11574, LIC12341, and LIC13411 identified by phage display, LBF1629, which encodes the LIC11574 homologue in L. biflexa, and a known L. interrogans ECM adhesin OmpL1, were cloned in the expression vector pMalC2 (New England Biolabs) and expressed as fusions to maltose binding protein (MBP). The *Leptospira* genes without the sequences encoding the signal peptides were amplified by PCR using L. interrogans sv. Copenhageni st. Fiocruz F1-130 genomic DNA as template. The oligonucleotide primers containing restriction sites used in this study are listed in (Table 1). The amplified products were cleaved with appropriate restriction enzymes to generate non-compatible cohesive overhangs to facilitate directional cloning when ligated to pMalC2 digested with the same enzymes. The ligation products were transformed into E. coli strain Top10 by electroporation. The transformants were selected on LB plates supplemented with 100 µg/ml ampicillin. Plasmids from selected colonies were isolated using a commercially available kit (Plasmid Mini Kit,

Table 1. Oligonucleotides used in this study.

Digmuchoidies (5'-3') SourceReference Adaptor such to construct the /be// Houry of Linterrogen Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor such to construct the /be// Hour of Linterrogen Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor such to construct the /be// Hour of Linterrogen Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor Such Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor Such Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor Such Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor Such Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor Such Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor Such Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor Such Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor Such Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor Such Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor Such Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor Such Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor Such Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor Such Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Adaptor Such Cobum et al, 1999 [37] Cobum et al, 1999 [37] <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			
Adaptors used to construct the phage display library of L interrogans Coburn et al., 1999 [37] oLC13 GTGGTTGGCCAAAG oLC14 TACTTTGGCCACCAGCCAC oLC15 GTGGTTGGCCCAAAG oLC16 TACTTTGGCCACCAGCCAC oLC17 GCTTGGTTGGCCCAAAG oLC18 TACTTTGGCCACCAGCCAC oLC19 GTTGGTTGGCCCAAAG oLC19 GTTGGTGTCCCACCAGCCAC Phage vector sequences used for PCR amplification of inserted DMA Coburn et al., 1999 [37] oDOG5 CCTTTCTGGTCAGCGGTGCAT vector MalC2 sequences for PCR amplification of insert DMA Coburn et al., 1999 [37] oMal01 GGCTTTCTGGTAGTGCCGTGCGTA vector MalC2 sequences for PCR amplification of insert DMA Coburn et al., 1999 [37] oMal02 TCTCATCCCGCCAAAACGGCGTGCGTA UC10508 For GGTTCTGAGACGAGGCGTGTGGTGGCG UC10508 For GGTCTGAGCACACGCGGTGGTGGGGGGG UC10508 For GGTCTGAGCACACGCGGGGGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	Oligonucleotides (5'→3')		Source/Reference
old13GCGGTGGCCAAAGold14TATTIGGCCAACGACCAACCAAold15CTGGTGGCCAAAGold16TATTIGGCCAACGACCACold17GCTGGTGGCCAAAGold18TTTIGGCCAACGACCACold17TGTGGCCAACGACACCACold18TTTIGGCCAACGACGACold19TGTGTGGCCAAAGold19TGTGTGGCCAACGACGACold19TGTGTGGCCAACGACGACold19TGGCTTTCGAGACGTAGTold00GCTGCTTTCGAGACGTGGGTAold01CGTTCGGTAGCGGGGTAold02TCTCCGCCAAACAGCCAGAold03CGTCTCGGTACCAGGGGTAold03CGTCTCGGCGGAGTAGAGGAGAATGAGGAGAATGAGGAGAGATGGAGGA	Adaptors used to construct the p	hage display library of L. interrogans	Coburn <i>et al</i> ., 1999 [37]
bLC14TACTTTGGCCAACCAGCCACoJLC15CCTGGTTGGCCAAAAGoJLC16TACTTTGGCCAACCAGCCACoJLC17CCTGGTGGCCAAAAGoJLC18TACTTTGGCCAACCAGCCACoJLC18TACTTTGGCCAACCAGCCACoJLC18TACTTTGGCCAACCAGCCACoJLC18Coburn et al, 1999 [37]oDOG1GCTGTCTTCCAGACGTAGCCACoDOG5CCTTCTATTCGCAGACGTAGCCACoDOG5CCTTCTATTCGCAGACGTAGCCACoDOG5CCTTCTATTCGCAGACGTAGCCACoDOG1GCTCTCGGTATGCCCACAGGTCCVector pMalC2 sequences for PCR >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	oJLC13	GCTGGTTGGCCAAAG	
<table-container>JLISGTGGTTGGCCAAAGJLISATTTTGGCCAACGCCCJLIAGTTGGTGGCCAAAGJLIAGTTGGTGCCAACGCCCPage vector sequences used to ZInstreed DNAAbootGTGTTTCGCAACGCAGCTCJDOG1GTGTTTCGCAGCGTGGTGJDOG2GTTCTTCGCAGCGTGGCGTAJDOG3GCTTTCGGCGCGCGAGGTGJDOG3GTCTTCGGCGCGCGGCGGCGGCGGCGGGGGGGGGGGGG</table-container>	oJLC14	TACTTTGGCCAACCAGCCAC	
DIL16TACTITTGGCCAACCAGCCAAJLC17GCTTGGTGCCCAAAAGJLC18TACTITTGGCCAACCAAGCCADJL18TACTITTGCCCAACCAAGCCADDCG1GCGTCTTCCCACAGCGTAGTDDCG1GCGTCTTCCCACAGCGTCACGTCCDDCG5CCTTCTGTCTCCACAGCGTCACGTCCDDCG1GCGTCTTCGGTATGCCCGCGGCGTADDCG1GCGTCTTGCGTATCCCGCGGCGTADDCG1GCTTCTGGTATCCCGCGCGGTADDCG1GCTTCTGGTATCCCGCGCGGCADDG1GCTTCTGGTATCCCGCGCGGCADDG1GCTTCTGGTATCCCGCGCGGCADDG2GCTTCTGGTATCCCGCGCGGCADDG3GCTTCTGGTATCCCCGCGGCGADL10508 F07GGTCTAGAAAGAGCAAGTGAAAGAAGAAATTGAAGAGLC10508 R04GCTTCAACCGAACCTGACGTGGCGGCGLC10508 R04GCTCCAACCGAACTTGACATGGCLC10508 R04GCTTCAACCGAACTTGACATGGCGCGLC11574 F07AGTCTAGGGACCTTGGTGGCGGCGLC11574 R04GCTCCAACCGAACTTGACGGCGGCGLC11574 R04CACTCCGGCAGCTTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGTGGGCGGLC11574 R04GCTCCAACTGGACGCGCGGCGGCGLC11574 R05CCTCGCGGCAGACTTCCLC12341 R07GTGCGCCTAAAACTCGGCGAGGCLC12341 R07GTGCGCCGAACTTCCLC12341 R04ACCTCTCGGGAACTCGCLC1341 R05GCTGCCGAAACTCGGCAACTCGLC1341 R05GCTGCCGAAACTCGGCAACTCGLC1341 R05GCTGCCGAAACTCGGCAACTCGCLC1341 R05GCTGCGCAAACTCGGAACTCGCLC1341 R05GCTGCGCAAACCCGAACTCGCLC1341 R05GCTGCGCAAACCCGAACTCGGCAACTCGCLC1341 R05GCTGCGCAAACCCGAACCGCAACTCGCLC1341 R05GCTGCGCAAACCCGAACCGCAACTC	oJLC15	GCTGGTTGGCCAAAAG	
ollC17GCTTGGTGGCCAAAGollC18TACTTTGGCCAACGAGCCAGCCAGCCAGCCAGCCAGCCAG	oJLC16	TACTTTTGGCCAACCAGCCAC	
DIL18TACTTTTGGCCAACCAAGCCAAPhage vector sequences used for PCR amplification of inserted DNACoburn et al., 1999 [37]oDOG1GTCGTCTTCCCACAGCGCTAGTODG5CTTTCTTCCACAGTCCACAGTCCCVector pMalC2 sequences for PCR amplification of insert DNACoburn et al., 1999 [37]oMal01GCCTTTCTGGTATGCCGTGCGTAoMal02TCTCATCCGCAAACAGCCAAGColong and sequencing of candidata dhesins to generate MBP fusionsThis studyLIC10508 RevGTTCTAGCAGAGCCAATGCAGGCCCTCACAGGCLIC10508 RevGTTCGAGCAGCCAATCGAACAGGACCTTCACATGCLIC10508 RevGTTCGAGCAGCCCATACAACGGACCTTCACATGCLIC10508 RevGTTCGAGCAGCCCATACAACGGACCTTCACATGCLIC10508 RevGTTCGAGCAGCCCATACAACGGACCTTCACATGCLIC10508 RevGTTCGAGCAGCCCGTGTGTTGTTCGLIC11574 RevGTTCTGGAGCTGTGGTGTGTGGTCGLIC11574 RevGTTCTAAGCCAATTCGAGCGGAAATTTACLIC12341 RevCTATCTGGGGACTCCGGCAGALIC12341 RefGTGCGCTTAATATCTTCGLIC12341 RefGTGCGCCTAAATCTCGGCAGGAAATTTACLIC12341 RefGTGCGCCTAAATCTCGGCAGGTCLIC1341 RefGGTCGCGTCGAATCTCCLIC1341 RefGGTCGCGATAATTCCLIC1341 RefGGTCGCGATAATACTTCGLIC1341 RefGGTCGCGATAATACCTLIC1341 RefGGTCGCGATAATCGCCAAACCTLIC1341 RefGGTCGCGAAACTCCGCAACCTCLIC1341 RefGGTCGCGAAACTCCGCAAACCTCCCCCCCCLIC1341 RefGGTCGCGAAACCTCAAACATGGAATTGGACTLIC1341 RefGGTCGCGAAACCTCAAACATGGCAATTGGACTLIC1341 RefGGTCGCGAAAACCTACCGCAAACCTCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	oJLC17	GCTTGGTTGGCCAAAAG	
Phage vector sequences used for PCR sequences juiliant of inserted DNA Cobum et al., 1999 [37] eDOG CCITTCTACCAGAGCTCAGGTCC Cobum et al., 1999 [37] OMOI COTTCTGTATCCCAGAGCCCAGGTCC Cobum et al., 1999 [37] OMOI CCITTCTGTATCCCGTGCGTA Cobum et al., 1999 [37] OMOIO CCITTCTGGTATCCCGTGCGTA Cobum et al., 1999 [37] OMOIO CCITTCTGGTATCCCGTGCGTA Total cobum et al., 1999 [37] Colong and sequencing of condiduct Sequences for PCAGAGCAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAGAGAGGA Total cobum et al., 1999 [37] LCIOS08 For GCITCTAGCAAGAGCAAGAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAG	oJLC18	TACTTTTGGCCAACCAAGCCAC	
oDOG1GTCGTCTTTCCACAGCGTTAGToDOG5CCTTTCTATTCTCACAGTGCACAGGTCCVector pMa/C2 sequences for PCR arr/lifecation of insert DNACoburn et al., 1999 [37]oMal01CGCTTTCTGTGGTAGCCGGGGGAoMal02CGCTTCTCGGCCAAACAGCCAGGCloning and sequencing of candidex arr/lifecatifaccacGGAGAThis studyLIC10508 ForGGTTCTAGAAAGAGCAAATAGAACAGCAGTAGCLIC10508 RevTGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGCLIC10508 oF3GATCGAGAAGCCAGTATGAAATAGLIC10508 oF4GCTTCAACAACCAGAACTTGATAGALIC10508 RevGGTCGACAACCGAGACTTCATAGGLIC11574 ForAGTCGACTTAAGGCTGTTGTGTTGGLIC11574 oF3ACCTATCTTGGGATCTCGGCAGGLIC11574 oF4CTTCTTAATCCACTTCGGTCGGLIC11574 oF3CTTCTTGAGACAACTTGACGGGGAAATTCACLIC12341 PorCTTGTCGGGTCAGATTCCCLIC12341 NFTGCTGGCGGTCAGATTCCCLIC12341 NFTGCTGGCGTCAAATCGTCGLIC1341 NFAGGCTCCTATTTCCAAATCGGCGGCALIC1341 NFAGGCTCGCATATAATCCCGCAACGTCLIC1341 NFAGACCCCCTCAGAATCCTAACCGGCAACGTCLIC1341 NFAGACCCCCTCAGAATCCTAACCGGCACGTCLIC1341 NFAGACCCCCTCAGAATCCTAACCGLIC1341 NFAGACCCCCCGAAACCCCATACCGLIC1341 NFAGCACCCCCCGAAACCCCATACCGLIC1341 NFAGACCCCCCGAAACCCCATACCGLIC1341 NFAGACCCCCCCGAAACCCCATACCGDipLIForTGCGGCCCCAAACCCCATGCCCCCCCCCLIC1341 NFAGACCCCCCCGAAACCCCATGCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	Phage vector sequences used for PCR amplification of inserted DNA		Coburn <i>et al.</i> , 1999 [37]
DOGSCITTICTACAGIGGACAGIGCCVetor pMalC2 sequences for PCE witcain of insert DMAGobum et al., 1999 [37]oMal0GCTTICGGACGAGGACoMal0TCATCCGCCCAAAACGCCAGACAllang and sequencing of candUet witcains to generate MBP fusionThis studyLIC10508 ForGGTCTAGAAAGACCAGGACATIGAAAGAGALIC10508 RevTGTCGACCAAACCACGGACCTICACAGCLIC10508 RevGATCGAGAGACACAGTAGAAATAGLIC10508 RevGATCGAGAGACCAGTATGAAATAGLIC10508 RevGATCGAGAGACCAGTATGAAATAGLIC11574 ForGATCTAGAGCACTICATAGCLIC11574 RevGCTCTAGACGACTTCGGCGAGLIC11574 RevGCTGCAGCTTAGGCGCGGALIC11574 RevGTCTGTGGGACTTCGGCGAGLIC12341 RevGTCTGTGGGGAGAATTCTGCLIC12341 RevGTCGGCGTGAGTTCCCLIC12341 RevGTCGGCGGAGATTCCCLIC1341 RevGTGCGGCTAAATAGCTGCGCAGGLIC1341 RevGTGCGGCTGAGATTCCCLIC1341 RevGTGCGGCGAGATTCCCLIC1341 RevGTGCGGCTGAGATTCCCLIC1341 RevGTGCGGCGAGATCCCLIC1341 RevGGTGCGGAGATCCCLIC1341 RevGGTGCGAGATCCCGAAACCGGACAGCLIC1341 RevGGTGCGGAGCCCATAACCLIC1341 RevGGTGCGAGATCCGGAAACCGGAGACGCLIC1341 RevGGTGCGAGATCCGGAAACCGGAGCTTCACCLIC1341 RevGGTGCGGAGACCCGAAACCGGACGCCLIC1341 RevGGCGCCCGAAACCCGACACCGGACGCCLIC1341 RevGGCGCCCGAAACCCGACACCGGACGCCLIC1341 RevGGCGCCCGAAACCCGACACCGGACCTCACACCDipLIForTGCGACCTACAACCAGGACCTCACACCGDipLIForTGCGACCTACAACCA	oDOG1	GTCGTCTTTCCAGACGTTAGT	
Vector pMalC2 sequences for PCR amplification of insert DNA Coburn et al., 1999 [37] oMaI01 CGCTTTCTGGTATGCCGTGCGTA oMal02 TCTCATCCGCCAAAACAAGCCAGG Cloning and sequencing of candidate adhesins to generate MBP fusions This study LC10508 For GGTTCTAGAAAGAGCAAAGAAGAAGAAATTGAAAGAGG LC10508 Rev TGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGC LC10508 Rev GGTTCTAGAAAGAGCAATTGGA LC10508 0R4 GCTTCAACAACGAGACTTCATAAGG LC11574 For AGTTCTAGGACTTAGGACTTCGGCGG LC11574 ARA CCAGTTGGACTTAGGGCGATTGTGTTGTCG LC11574 oR4 CCTTATTGGGACTTCGGCGG LC11574 oR4 CTTATTGGGACTCTGGCGG LC11574 oR4 CTTATCTGGGTCGCGGGAAATTCTAC LC11574 oR4 CTTATCTAGGACAACTTGGCGGAAAATTCTAC LC12341 For CTTATCTAGGACAACTTGGCGGAAAATTCTAC LC12341 oR5 TCCTGCGGTCAGATTCCG LC13411 PR3 AACCTCTCTGGAAACATTGGACGACGC LC13411 oF4 GAACCACACTTCTTACACAACCGACGACTCACTGC LC13411 oF4 GAACCACACTTCTAGGAAACATTGGGAACTTCG LC13411 oF4 GAACCACACTTCTGCGAAACCATTGGCAGACTCCACGC LC13411 oF4 GAACCCCCTGAAACATATGCAATGGAGAGTTGGG </td <td>oDOG5</td> <td>CCTTTCTATTCTCACAGTGCACAGGTCC</td> <td></td>	oDOG5	CCTTTCTATTCTCACAGTGCACAGGTCC	
oMal01CGCTTTCGGGTAGCCGTGCGTAoMal02TCTCATCCGCCAAAACAGCCGAAGCloing and sequencing of candidet telesins to generate MBP fusionsThis studyLC10508 ForGGTCTAGAAAGAGCAAAGAGAAATTGAAAGAGGLC10508 ForGGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGCACTTCACATGCLC10508 RevGGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGCLC10508 RevGGTCGACGAACCTGAGACTTCACAGGLC10508 RevGGTCGACGAACCTGAGACGTGTGTGTGTGCGLC11574 ForAGTCGACGAACTTGATGGCAGLC11574 RevCGTCTTAGAGCACAACTTGGCGGALC11574 RevCGTCTTATCCACTTGGGATCTGGCGGLC11574 RevCTTCTTATCCACTTGGGATCTGGCGGAAATTTACLC12341 ForCTTCTTATCCACTTGGGATCTGGCGGAAATTCACLC12341 ForCTTCTGCGGCAGATTCTCLC12341 RevAGTCGCACTTATTCACTTCGLC13411RevGGTCTGCAGTTCTAACAGCGGAAAATCGLC13411 RFAGGTCTGCAGTTCTAAATAGTGGALC13411 RFAGGTCTGCAGTTCTAACCGCAACCTLC13411 RFAGACCTCTGAGGTCCAAACCLC13411 RFAGACCTCGAGGTCCAAACCLC13411 RFAGACCTCGGAGACCCATAACCLC13411 RFAGACCTCGAGGCCATAACCLC13411 RFAGACCCCGGAAACTTGTGGGAATTGGDmpLIForTGCGACCTAAACACAGGGACTTGCAGCLC13411 RFAGAACAACTTCTGGGAACTGCGGAATTGGDmpLIForTGTCGACCTAAACACAGGGCCTTCACAGCLG13411 RFAGGCGGAGCCCGGAACCTGCAGCCTTCACAGCLG13411 RFAGGCGGACCCGGAAACTTGGGAGATTGGGAGTTGGGGATTGGGDmpLIForTGTCGACCTAAACACAGGGCCTTCACAGCLG13411 RFAGGCGGAGCCCGGAACCTGCACCGCCGCAGCCTGCACCGCLG13411 RFAGGCGGGCGAACCTGCAGCACCTGCACCGCDmp	Vector pMalC2 sequences for PCR amplification of insert DNA		Coburn <i>et al.,</i> 1999 [37]
oMal02 CTCTACCCCCCAAAACAGCCAAG Cloning and sequencing of candidate whesins to generate MBP fusions This study LIC10508 For GGTICTAGGAAAGGACAAAGAAGAAAGAAGAAAGAAGAGA Study LIC10508 Rev TIGEGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGC Study LIC10508 oF3 GATICGAGACAGCAGATTGAAATTAG Study LIC10508 oF4 GTICTAGGACAACTTGATAACG Study LIC10508 oF4 GTICTAGGACAACTTGATAACG Study LIC10508 oF4 GTICTAGGACAACTTGATAACG Study LIC10508 oF4 GTICTAGGACAACTTGATAACG Study LIC11574 For AGTICTAGGACTTAGGACTGGCAG Study LIC11574 aF4 CAGTICGAGACACTTGCGCAGA Study LIC11574 oF3 ACCTATCTGGGACTCGGCAGA Study LIC12341 For CTTGCGGCTAAATTCGCGCAGGAAATTCTAC Study LIC1341 oF6 CAATCGCTGCAGATTCCC Study LIC1341 oF6 CACTCGCGAGACTCAGCACC Study LIC1341 oF6 GACTCCTGATATACTGCGCAACGT Study LIC1341 oF6 GAACCACTTCTACGACACCAGGACCT Study LIC13411 oF6 GAACCACTCTCTACCGAAACCAGAGCT <t< td=""><td>oMal01</td><td>CGCTTTCTGGTATGCCGTGCGTA</td><td></td></t<>	oMal01	CGCTTTCTGGTATGCCGTGCGTA	
Cloning and sequencing of candide whesins to generate MBP fusions This study LIC10508 For GGT_CTAGAAAGAGCAAAGAAAATIGAAAGAG LIC10508 Rev TIGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTICACATGC LIC10508 oF3 GATCGAGAAGCCAGTAGAAATAG LIC10508 oF4 GTTCAACAACGAGACTTCATAACG LIC10508 oF4 GATCGAGAAGCCTATAACG LIC10508 oF4 GATCGACAACGTAGTAGAAGT LIC10508 oF4 GATCGACAACGTAGTAGAGG LIC10508 oF4 GATCGACACGAGATTCATAACG LIC11574 For AGTCGACTTAAGGCGTGTGTGTGCG LIC11574 Rev CACTCATCTGGACATCGACGAG LIC11574 oF4 CTCTTTATTCGACTTCGGCAG LIC12341 For CTCTTTATTCCACTTCGGCAGA LIC12341 For CTCTGCGACTAATTCCC LIC12341 oF6 CACTGCGCTAAATACTTCG LIC1341 oF6 CACTGCGCTAATTCC LIC1341 INF GGTCGCAGTTCCAACACCTGCAACGT LIC1341 INF GGTCGCAGTTCCAAACACTGC LIC13411 OF4 GAACCACTCTGGAAACTACG LIC13411 OF5 GCTCCGAAACTCTTCGGAAACACG DmpLIFor TICAGACTCAAAACATGCAGAGCTTCACATGC OmpLIRev TICTGCACCTAACACCAGGACCTTCACATGC LIF1629For AGGTGGAGTCCGAAACCTGCACCCCACACGC LIF1629For GGTGGAGTCCGAAACCTGCACCTGCACCCG	oMal02	TCTCATCCGCCAAAACAGCCAAG	
LIC10508 For GGTTCTAGAAAGAGCAAAGAAGAAATTGAAAGAG LIC10508 Rev TIGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGC LIC10508 oF3 GATCGAGAAGCCAGTATGAAATTAG LIC10508 oF4 GCTTCAACACGAACTTCATAACG LIC11574 For AGTCTAGAGCAAACTTATCATACG LIC11574 Rev CAGTCGACTTAGGACTGTGTGTGTGTGCG LIC11574 Rev CAGTCGACTTAGGACTCGGCAG LIC11574 oF3 ACCTATCTTGGGATCTGGCAG LIC11574 oF4 CTTATTAGGACTCGGCAGAAATTCTAC LIC12341 For CTTATCTAGGACTACGCGAAAATTCTAC LIC12341 Rev ATGTCGACTTAATCCACTTTGGTCTCG LIC12341 NF5 TCCTGCGGCAGAATTCTCC LIC12341 Rev ATGTCGACTTAATCTGG LIC1341 NF6 GGTGTGCAGATTCCC LIC1341 NF7 GTGGACTCATAAATACTTCG LIC13411 NF3 ACCTCCTGAAGATCCAACTCG LIC13411 NF4 GAAACAACTTCTTCGAAACTACG UL13411 NF4 GAAACAACTTCTTCGAAACTACG UL13411 NF4 GAAACAACTTCTGGAACTACG OmpL1For TTCAGGACTCAAAACACAGGACCTTCACATGG OmpL1For TTCAGGACTCCGAAAACTACGGACCTTCACATGC UBF1629For AGGTGGACTCCGAAACCTCATCACTCCACCCC LBF1629For CGGTGGACTCCGAAACCTCATCACCCACGCC LB	Cloning and sequencing of candid	date adhesins to generate MBP fusions	This study
LIC10508 RevTIGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGCLIC10508 oF3GATCGAAAGCCAGTATGAAATTAGLIC10508 oF4GCTTCAACACGAACTTCATAACGLIC11574 ForAGTICTAAGACCAAACTTTATCATTCGTCGLIC11574 RevCAGTCGACTTAAGGCTGTTGTTGTTCGLIC11574 oF3ACCTATCTTGGGATCTCGGCAGLIC11574 oF4CTTCTTTAATTCCACTTTCGTCGLIC11574 oF4CTTCTTGACACAACTTCGACGGAAATTCTACLIC12341 ForCTTATCTAGACAAACTTCGACGGAAAATTCTACLIC12341 RevATGTCGACTTAATTTTTGCTCTTTTTTAATAAGAGCLIC12341 oF5TCCTTGCGGTCAGATTCTCCLIC1341 oF6CAATCGCTCATAATACTTCGLIC1341 IForTGTGGATCCTATTTCAAAATAGAAAAACGLIC13411 revGGTCTGCAGTTTCTAATCCGCAACGTCLIC13411 oF3AACCTCCTCGAAGTCCCATAACCLIC13411 oF4GAAACAACTTCTGGGAAACTACGOmpLIForTICAGGATCCCAAAACTAGCAAACTAGGOmpLIForTICAGGATCCCGAAACTACGAGACCTTCACATGCBF1629ForAGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTCATCACCCLBF1629RevCCTT <u>CTGCAG</u> TTAACAACGAGGTTGTTTTTGCATTGG	LIC10508 For	GGT <u>TCTAGA</u> AAGAGCAAAGAAGAAATTGAAAGAG	
LIC10508 oF3 GATCGAGAAGCCAGTATGAAATTAG LIC10508 oR4 GCTTCAACACGAACTTCATAACG LIC11574 For AGTCTAGAGCAAACTTTATCATTCCTTCG LIC11574 Rev CAGTCGACTTAAGGCTGTTGTTGTTGG LIC11574 oF3 ACCTATCTTGGGATCTCGGCAG LIC11574 oF4 CTTCTTAATTCCACTTTGGTCTGG LIC11574 oF3 ACCTATCTTGGGATCTCGGCAG LIC11574 oF4 CTTCTTAATTCCACTTTGGTCTGG LIC11574 oF5 CTTATCTAGCAAACTTCGACGGAAAATTCTAC LIC12341 For CTTATCTAGCACATAATTGCACGGAAAATTCTAC LIC12341 Rev ATGTCGACTTAATTTTTGCTTCTTTTTTAATAAGAGC LIC12341 oF6 CAATCGCTCATAATTCTCG LIC1341 IFor TGTGGATCCTATTTTCAAATAGAAAAACG LIC1341 IRev GGTCTGCAGTTTCTAATCCGCAACGTC LIC13411 NR3 AACCTCCTCGAAGTCCATAACC LIC13411 oF4 GAAACAACTTCTTGGGAAACTACG OmpLIFor TTCAGGATCCAAAACATATGCAATTGAGATTTGG OmpLIRev TGTCGACTAAACATATGCAATTGACGCATGC LBF1629For AGGTGGAGTCCCGAAACCTCACCATGC LBF1629For CCTTCTGCAGATTCACCACTCACCATGC LBF1629Rev CCTTCTGCAGTTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTTGCATTGG	LIC10508 Rev	TT <u>GTCGAC</u> CTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGC	
LIC10508 0R4GCTTCAACACGAACTTCATAACGLIC10574 ForAGTCATAGAGCAAACTTTATCCATTCCTTCGLIC11574 RevCAGTCGACTTAAGGCTGTTGTTGTTCGLIC11574 0F3ACCTATCTTGGGATCTCGGCAGLIC11574 0R4CTTCTTTAATTCCACTTTCGTCCGLIC12341 ForCTTATCTAGACAAACTTCGACGGAAAATTCTACLIC12341 RevATGTCGACTTAATTTTTGCTCTTTTTTAATAAGAGCLIC12341 NR5TCCTTGCGGTCAGATTCTCCLIC12341 oF6CAATCGCTCATAAATACTTCGLIC13411 ForTGTGGACTCATAAATACTTCGLIC13411 RevGGTCTGCAGTTCTAATCGCCACCLIC13411 RevGGTCTGCAGTTCTAATCGCACGTCLIC13411 NR3AACCTCCTCGAAGTCCATAAACLIC13411 oF4GAAACAACTTCTCGGAACTACGOmpL1ForTGTGGACTCATAAACATATGCAAATTGTAGGATTTGGOmpL1FevTGTCGACCTAAAAACATATGCAAATGGAACTTCGCLBF1629RevCCTTCTGCAGTTAACGAGGGTGTGTTTTGACATCGCCLBF1629RevCCTTCTGCAGTTAACGAGGGTGTGTTTTGCATTGGC	LIC10508 oF3	GATCGAGAAGCCAGTATGAAATTAG	
LlC11574 ForAGTTCTAGAGCAAACTTTATCATTCCTTCGLlC11574 RevCAGTCGACTTAAGGCTGTTGTTGTTCGLlC11574 oF3ACCTATCTTGGGATCTCGGCAGLlC11574 oR4CTTCTTTAATTCCACTTTCGTCTCGLlC12341 ForCTTATCTAGACAAACTTCGACGGAAAATTCTACLlC12341 RevATGTCGACTTAATTTTTGCTTCTTTTTAATAAGAGCLlC12341 oR5TCCTTGCGGTCAGATTCTCCLlC12341 oF6CAATCGCTCATAAATACTTCGLlC13411 ForTGTGGATCCTATTTTCAAAATAGAAAAACGLlC13411 ForGGTGCGGATCCTATTTTCAAAATAGAAAAACGLlC13411 RevGGTCTGCAGTTCTAATCCGCAACGTCLlC13411 oR3AACCTCCTCGAAGTCCATAATCGLlC13411 oF4GAAACAACTTCTTGGGAAACTACGOmpL1ForTTCAGGATCCAAAACATATGCAAACTAGGAATTGGOmpL1RevTIGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGCLBF1629ForAGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTCATCATCCCCTCCACCLBF1629RevCCTTCTGCAGTTAACGAGGTGTGTTTTTGCATTGG	LIC10508 oR4	GCTTCAACACGAACTTCATAACG	
LIC11574 Rev CAGTCGACTTAAGGCTGTTGTTGTTCG LIC11574 oF3 ACCTATCTTGGGATCTCGGCAG LIC11574 oF3 CTTCTTTAATTCCACTTTCGTCTG LIC12341 For CTTCATCAGACAAACTTCGACGGAAAATTCTAC LIC12341 Rev ATGTCGACTTAATTTTTGCTTCTTTTTTAATAAGAGC LIC12341 OR5 TCCTTGCGGTCAGATTCTCC LIC12341 oF6 CAATCGCTCATAATATCTTGG LIC13411 For TGTGGATCCTATTTTCAAAATAGAAAAAGG LIC13411 NF0 TGTGGATCCTATTTTCAAAATAGAAAAAAGG LIC13411 NF3 AACCTCCTCGAAGTTCCTAAATCGCCAACGTC LIC13411 oF4 GAACAACTTCTTCGGAAACTACG OmpL IFor TTCAGGATCCAAAACATATGCAATTGTAGGATTTGG OmpL IRev TTCTGGACTCAAACCAACACGCCTTCACATGC LBF1629For AGGTGGAATCCCGAAACCTCATCACCCCCCCCCC LBF1629Rev CCTT <u>CTGCAG</u> TTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTGCATTGG	LIC11574 For	AGT <u>TCTAGA</u> GCAAACTTTATCATTCCTTCG	
LIC11574 oF3ACCTATCTTGGGATCTCGGCAGLIC11574 oF4CTTCTTTAATTCCACTTTCGTCTGLIC12341 ForCTTATCTAGACAAACTTCGACGGAAAATTCTACLIC12341 RevATGTCGACTTAATTTTTTGCTTCTTTTTAATAAGAGCLIC12341 oF5TCCTTGCGGTCAGATTCTCLIC12341 oF6CAATCGCTCATAAATACTTCGLIC13411ForTGTGGATCCTATTTTCAAAATAGAAAAAGGLIC13411RevGGTCTGCAGTTTCTAATCGCGAACGTCLIC13411 RevGGTCTGCAGTTTCTAATCGGCAACGTCLIC13411 oF4GAAACAACTTCTGGGAAACTACGOmpL1ForTTCAGGATCCAAAACATATGCAATTGTAGGATTTGGOmpL1RevTTGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGCLBF1629ForAGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTACCACCCLBF1629RevCCTTCTGCAGTTAAACGAGGGTTGTGTTTTGCATTGG	LIC11574 Rev	CA <u>GTCGAC</u> TTAAGGCTGTTGTTGTTCG	
LIC11574 oR4CTTCTTAATTCCACTTTCGTCTGGLIC12341 ForCTTATCTAGACAAACTTCGACGGAAAATTCTACLIC12341 RevATGTCGACTTAATTTTTGCTTCTTTTTAATAAGAGCLIC12341 oR5TCCTTGCGGTCAGATTCTCCLIC12341 oF6CAATCGCTCATAATACTTCGLIC13411ForTGTGGATCCTATTTTCAAAATAGAAAAAACGLIC13411RevGGTCTGCAGTTCTAATCCGCAACGTCLIC13411 oF3AACCTCCTCGAAGTCCATAACCLIC13411 oF4GAAACAACTTCTTCGGAAACTACGOmpL1ForTTCAGGATCCAAAACATAGCAAACTACGOmpL1RevTIGTCGACCTAACAACACAGGACCTTCACATGCLBF1629ForAGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTACTCACCACCLBF1629RevCCTTCGCAGTTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTGCATTGG	LIC11574 oF3	ACCTATCTTGGGATCTCGGCAG	
LIC12341 ForCTTATCTAGACAAACTTCGACGGAAAATTCTACLIC12341 RevATGTCGACTTAATTTTTGGTTCTTTTTTAATAAGAGCLIC12341 oR5TCCTTGCGGTCAGATTCTCCLIC12341 oF6CAATCGCTCATAAATACTTCGLIC13411ForTGTGGATCCTATTTTCAAAATAGAAAAACGLIC13411 RevGGTCTGCAGTTTCTAATCCGCAACGTCLIC13411 oR3AACCTCCTGGAAGTCCATAACCLIC13411 oF4GAAACAACTTCTGGGAAACTACGOmpL1ForTTCAGGATCCAAAACAATAGCAATTGCAATTGTAGGATTTGGOmpL1RevTTGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGCLBF1629ForAGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTCATCATCCCTTCCACCLBF1629RevCCTTCTGCAGTTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTGCATTGG	LIC11574 oR4	CTTCTTTAATTCCACTTTCGTCTCG	
LIC12341 RevATGTCGACTTAATTTTTGCTTCTTTTTAATAAGAGCLIC12341 oR5TCCTTGCGGTCAGATTCTCCLIC12341 oF6CAATCGCTCATAAATACTTCGLIC13411ForTGTGGATCCTATTTTCAAAATAGAAAAAACGLIC13411RevGGTCTGCAGTTTCTAATCCGCAACGTCLIC13411 oR3AACCTCCTCGAAGTCCATAACCLIC13411 oF4GAAACAACTTCTTGGAAACTACGOmpL1ForTTCAGGATCCAAAACAATAGCAATTGCAATTGTAGGATTTGGOmpL1RevTTGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGCLBF1629ForAGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTCATCATCCCTTCCACCLBF1629FevCCTTCTGCAGTTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTGCATTGG	LIC12341 For	CTTA <u>TCTAGA</u> CAAACTTCGACGGAAAATTCTAC	
LIC12341 oR5TCCTTGCGGTCAGATTCTCCLIC12341 oF6CAATCGCTCATAATACTTCGLIC13411 ForTGTGGATCCTATTTTCAAAATAGAAAAAACGLIC13411 RevGGTCTGCAGTTTCTAATCCGCAACGTCLIC13411 oR3AACCTCCTCGAAGTCCATAACCLIC13411 oF4GAAACAACTTCTTCGGAAACTACGOmpL1ForTTCAGGATCCAAAACATATGCAATTGTAGGATTTGGOmpL1RevTTGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGCLBF1629ForAGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTCATCATCCCTTCCACCLBF1629RevCCTTCTGCAGTTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTGCATTGG	LIC12341Rev	AT <u>GTCGAC</u> TTAATTTTTGCTTCTTTTTTAATAAGAGC	
LIC12341 oF6 CAATCGCTCATAAATACTTCG LIC13411For TGTGGATCCTATTTTCAAAATAGAAAAACG LIC13411Rev GGTCTGCAGTTTCTAATCCGCAACGTC LIC13411 oR3 AACCTCCTCGAAGTCCATAACC LIC13411 oF4 GAAACAACTTCTTCGGAAACTACG OmpL1For TTCAGGATCCAAAACATATGCAATTGTAGGATTTGG OmpL1Rev TIGTCGACCTAACACACGC LBF1629For AGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTACCACTCCCCCCC LBF1629For CCTTCTGCAGTTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTGCATTGG	LIC12341 oR5	TCCTTGCGGTCAGATTCTCC	
LIC13411For TGTGGATCCTATTTTCAAAATAGAAAAACG LIC13411Rev GGTCTGCAGTTTCTAATCCGCAACGTC LIC13411 oR3 AACCTCCTCGAAGTCCATAACC LIC13411 oF4 GAAACAACTTCTTCGGAAACTACG OmpL1For TTCAGGATCCAAAACATATGCAATTGTAGGATTTGG OmpL1Rev TTGTCGACCTAACACCACGACCTTCACATGC LBF1629For AGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTCATCATCCCTTCCACC LBF1629Rev CCTTCTGCAGTTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTGCATTGG	LIC12341 oF6	CAATCGCTCATAAATACTTCG	
LIC13411Rev GGTCTGCAGTTTCTAATCCGCAACGTC LIC13411 oR3 AACCTCCTCGAAGTCCATAACC LIC13411 oF4 GAAACAACTTCTTCGGAAACTACG OmpL1For TTCAGGATCCAAAACATATGCAATTGTAGGATTTGG OmpL1Rev TTGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGC LBF1629For AGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTACTCATCACTCCCCC LBF1629Rev CCTTCTGCAGTTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTGCATTGG	LIC13411For	TGT <u>GGATCC</u> TATTTTCAAAATAGAAAAAACG	
LIC13411 oR3 AACCTCCTCGAAGTCCATAACC LIC13411 oF4 GAAACAACTTCTTCGGAAACTACG OmpL1For TTCAGGATCCAAAACATATGCAATTGTAGGATTTGG OmpL1Rev TTGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGC LBF1629For AGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTCATCATCCCTTCCACC LBF1629Rev CCTTCTGCAGTTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTGCATTGG	LIC13411Rev	GGT <u>CTGCAG</u> TTTCTAATCCGCAACGTC	
LIC13411 oF4 GAAACAACTTCTTCGGAAACTACG OmpL1For TTCAGGATCCAAAACATATGCAATTGTAGGATTTGG OmpL1Rev TTGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGC LBF1629For AGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTCATCATCCCTTCCACC LBF1629Rev CCTTCTGCAGTTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTGCATTGG	LIC13411 oR3	AACCTCCTCGAAGTCCATAACC	
OmpL1For TTCAGGATCCAAAACATATGCAATTGTAGGATTTGG OmpL1Rev TTGTCGACCTAACAAACAGGACCTTCACATGC LBF1629For AGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTCATCATCCCTTCCACC LBF1629Rev CCTTCTGCAGTTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTGCATTGG	LIC13411 oF4	GAAACAACTTCTTCGGAAACTACG	
OmpL1Rev TTGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGC LBF1629For AGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTCATCATCCCTTCCACC LBF1629Rev CCTTCTGCAGTTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTGCATTGG	OmpL1For	TTCAGGATCCAAAACATATGCAATTGTAGGATTTGG	
LBF1629For AGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTCATCCATCCATCCACC LBF1629Rev CCTTCTGCAGTTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTGCATTGG	OmpL1Rev	TTGTCGACCTAACAACCAGGACCTTCACATGC	
LBF1629Rev CCTT <u>CTGCAG</u> TTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTTGCATTGG	LBF1629For	AGGTGGATCCCGAAACCTCATCATCCCTTCCACC	
	LBF1629Rev	CCTT <u>CTGCAG</u> TTAACGAGGTTGTGTTTTTGCATTGG	

Underlined are the sequences recognized by restriction enzymes Xbal (TCTAGA), Sall (GTCGAC), BamH1 (GGATCC), and Pstl (CTGCAG). doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003215.t001

QIAGEN) and the presence of insert DNA was verified by PCR using vector specific primers oMal01 and oMal02 (Table 1), which hybridize to vector DNA sequences flanking the insertion site. Plasmid DNA was also sequenced in a commercial facility (McLab, San Francisco, CA) using oMal01, oMal02 and custom-designed internal gene primers (Table 1). The plasmids with appropriate inserts were transformed into *E. coli* expression strain KS330.

The production of MBP-fusions from 1 liter *E. coli* cultures in 2x YT was induced with 1 mM isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 hr at 30°C as previously described [48], and assessed by silver staining and by immunoblot analysis using an α -MBP antibody. Bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation and lysates were prepared by pressing the cells at 10,000 psi. The recombinant proteins were purified from the supernatant by amylose affinity chromatography. The protein concentrations of MBP fusions were determined using a Bradford method-based protein assay kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).

In vitro binding assays

To test the binding of purified phage particles or recombinant MBP fusions to cell monolayers, the epithelial cell line HEp-2, and endothelial cell lines EA.hy926 or HMEC-1, were seeded in 96-well tissue culture plates (BD Falcon) at 50% confluence and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 2 days until cells were confluent. In some cases, the cells were incubated for an additional 1–2 days to reach post-confluence, while cell viability was maintained.

Controls for binding included incubation of phage particles or recombinant proteins in medium alone (binding to plastic) or in ECM prepared from the cell lines as described above.

To test the ability of the phage particles to bind to cell monolayers, purified phage particles were prepared from individual selected clones as previously described [37]. Approximately 10¹⁰ phage particles resuspended in 50 µl DMEM supplemented with 1% BSA and protease inhibitors benzamidine and aprotinin were added to confluent monolayers in guadruplicate on a 96-well tissue culture plate. The plates were incubated for 2 hr at 37°C under 5% CO_2 to allow phage attachment. The unbound phages were removed by washing with HBSC, while the bound phages were fixed with 3% PFA in PBS. After washing with Tris-buffered saline (TBS), the phage particles bound to monolayers or ECM were detected using an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)-based assay. Briefly, wells were blocked for 1 hr with 1% BSA in HBSC. The plates were washed 3x with TBS, then incubated with rabbit anti-M13+ fd bacteriophage antibody (diluted 1:10,000; Abcam, Cambridge, MA). After 1 hr, the plates were washed 3x with TBS, followed by incubation with anti-rabbit IgG horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated antibody (diluted 1:10,000; Promega) for 40 min at RT. The blocking step and incubations with antibodies were performed at RT on a rocker. Plates were developed for 30 min with 3,3',5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB, Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.006% hydrogen peroxide in phosphate-citrate buffer (0.05 M Na₂HPO₄, 0.02 M citric acid, pH 5.0) for 30 min. The absorbance at 655 nm was determined using an automated plate reader (SpectraMax M5, Molecular Devices). Results were expressed as fold difference in the absorbance readings in the presence of cells over no cells.

To test the binding of recombinant Leptospira proteins to cells in vitro, cell monolayers were incubated with various concentrations (ranging from 0.1-3.0 µM) of MBP fusion proteins in DMEM supplemented with 1% BSA, 25 mM HEPES and 2PI for 1 hr at 37°C under 5% CO₂. The addition of recombinant proteins was done in quadruplicate wells. The plates were washed with 200 µl HBSC to remove the unbound proteins and fixed with 3% PFA in PBS. The wells were washed once with TBS, then the recombinant proteins bound to host cells were measured using an ELISA format. The wells were blocked with 1% BSA in TBS with 3% goat serum, washed, and then incubated with rabbit anti-MBP antibody (diluted 1:10,000; New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) for 1 hr at RT. After washing, HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody (diluted 1:10,000; Promega) was added to each well and incubated for 40 min at RT under constant shaking. Wells were developed for 25 min with TMB and 0.006% hydrogen peroxide in 0.05 M phosphate-citrate buffer (pH 5.0) and absorbance at 655 nm was read as described previously. The cell-specific attachment was determined by subtracting the absorbance signal of recombinant proteins in empty wells/plastic from the absorbance in wells containing cells, with each assay done in quadruplicate.

The attachment of recombinant proteins to purified receptors was also tested using the ELISA described above. The wells of prechilled 96-well Linbro plates (MP Biomedicals, OH) were coated with 50 μ l/well 0.01 μ M purified VE-cadherin (Human CD-144, cadherin-5) obtained from Bioclone Inc. (San Diego, CA) and diluted in HBSC supplemented with 2PI in quadruplicate wells. The amount of receptor used to coat wells was optimized and described previously by our group [35]. The plates were incubated overnight at 4°C with shaking. The controls used in the assay included HBSC buffer alone or BSA, coated at the same concentration as VE-cadherin. The plates were washed with HBSC and blocked with 1% BSA in DMEM for 1 h at 4°C, prior

to the addition of 0.001–1 μM recombinant adhesins. The addition and detection of MBP fusion proteins on plates were carried out as described above. Receptor binding was expressed as the difference in the absorbance (OD₆₅₅) readings between empty and VE-cadherin-coated wells.

Recognition of recombinant proteins by sera from leptospirosis patients

Sera used for this evaluation were from de-identified banked samples obtained from subjects enrolled in protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) committees of Yale University and Oswaldo Cruz Foundation. Convalescent-phase sera were obtained from Brazilian patients with confirmed leptospirosis [49]. Sera from control subjects were obtained from individuals residing in urban slum communities from the same site [4]. The serum from each individual at 1:500 dilution was precleared by incubation with a PVDF membrane blotted with whole cell lysate of *E. coli* expressing MBP- β -galactosidase. The purified recombinant proteins were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes for western blotting. The membranes were blocked with TBS containing 5% nonfat dry milk overnight at 4°C. After 3 washes with TBS, the membranes were incubated in pre-cleared human serum for 3 hr at RT on a rocker. The membranes were washed 3x with 1x TBS before probing with anti-human IgG AP-conjugated antibodies (diluted 1:10,000; Promega). Proteins reacting with the antisera were visualized by use of the chromogenic substrates BCIP and NBT.

Generation of antisera against candidate adhesins

Five 5-week old female BALB/c mice were immunized intraperitoneally with 100 μ g (in 100 μ l volume) of each recombinant MBP fusions to *L. interrogans* adhesins (LIC10508, LIC11574, LIC12341, LIC13411, and control β -galactosidase) with 100 μ l Alum adjuvant (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, II). The mice were boosted 3 times at 14 day intervals with the same amount of protein in Alum. At day 56, the mice were bled by cardiac puncture and sera were prepared from the collected blood. Sera obtained from control groups inoculated with PBS alone or PBS with Alum, showed no reactivity against any of the candidate adhesins or *L. interrogans* lysate.

Cellular fractionation of L. interrogans

The cellular localization of L. interrogans proteins was determined using fractionation and phase separation assays adapted from [50]. L. interrogans was grown in 800 ml EMJH medium at 30°C until late log phase ($\sim 10^8 - 10^9$ /ml). Bacteria were harvested by centrifugation for 30 min at 2,683 x g. Bacterial pellets were washed 3x with PBS pH 7.4 supplemented with 5 mM MgCl₂ at 4°C, then resuspended in ice cold 10 mM Tris-HCl, 2 mM EDTA (TE), pH 7.4 supplemented with protease inhibitors 0.01 TIU/ml aprotinin, 1 mM benzamidine and 10 µM pepstatin. L. interrogans concentration was adjusted to 5×10^9 bacteria/ml in a total volume of 15 ml. Ice-cold 10% TX-114 (Sigma-Aldrich) in TE supplemented with protease inhibitors was added to the bacteria to a final concentration of 1%. The solution was gently mixed and incubated at 4°C for 45 min on a rocker. Following incubation, the bacterial suspension was centrifuged for 15 min at 17,000 x g at 4°C. The supernatant was transferred to a sterile tube and CaCl2 was added to the supernatant to a final concentration of 20 mM. The supernatant fraction was kept at 4°C until use for phase separation steps. The pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of final sample buffer (FSB; 62.5 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8; 10% glycerol; 2% SDS supplemented

with aprotinin, benzamidine and pepstatin). This is the detergentinsoluble fraction containing the protoplasmic cylinder (PC).

Ice cold 10% TX-114 was added to the supernatant (detergent soluble fraction) to a final concentration of 2%. Phase separation was performed by warming the detergent-soluble supernatant to 37°C for 10 min followed by centrifugation for 10 min at 1,000 x g. Centrifugation allows the separation of the detergent-poor aqueous phase (AQ) found at top from the detergent-rich hydrophobic phase (DET) observed as an "oily droplet" at the bottom of the tube. The AQ fraction is made up mostly of periplasmic proteins, while outer membrane lipoproteins and transmembrane proteins are found in the DET phase. The DET and AQ were then washed four times in the following manner. The aqueous phase was chilled in an ice bath, and 10% TX-114 was added to a final concentration of 2%, followed by warming to 37°C, then centrifugation at 2,000 x g at RT. The detergent phase was chilled in an ice bath, diluted with nine parts TE buffer, warmed to 37°C, and centrifuged as described above. The AO and DET were precipitated with acetone and resuspended in FSB. L. interrogans whole cell lysates (WCL) were prepared by harvesting cultures, washing twice with PBS-MgCl, resuspended in PBS supplemented with protease inhibitors aprotinin and benzamidine, followed by sonication.

L. interrogans WCL, PC, DET and AQ fractions were run on a 12.5 or 15% SDS-PAGE gel, loading equivalents of 5×10^8 or 2.5×10^9 bacteria per lane. One gel was silver-stained and a duplicate gel was transferred to a PVDF membrane and blocked with 5% milk, 3% goat serum (GS) in TBS for 1 hr at RT. Immunoblots were incubated with antisera against control periplasmic protein flagellin A1 (FlaA1) (1:5,000) which would be attached to the inner membrane, cytoplasmic membrane lipoprotein LipL31 (1:5,000), outer membrane proteins OmpL47 (1:5,000), OmpL1 (1:5,000), and LipL21 (1:5,000), and candidate L. interrogans adhesins LIC10508 (1:100), LIC11574 (1:100), LIC12341 (1:100), and LIC13411 (1:500) in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. After washing 3x with TBS, the membranes were probed with 1:10,000 goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to AP or anti-mouse antibody conjugated to HRP for 40 min at RT. The membranes were washed with TBS 3x followed by visualization of protein bands using chromogenic substrates NBT and BCIP or by enhanced chemilumescent (ECL) substrate (Thermo Scientific).

Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed using Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, Inc) utilizing two-tailed Student's *t*-test. P values lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Identification and characterization of phage clones that bind mammalian host cells

To identify bacterial cell surface proteins that mediate attachment to host cells, a *L. interrogans* filamentous phage display library was constructed. The vector used in generating the library, fdBUG, cannot express the phage coat protein III because of the stop codons in all three reading frames near the 5' end of gene III [37]. Cloning of *L. interrogans* DNA fragments that encode an open reading frame continuous with that of the phage gene III allows expression of leptospiral peptides as fusions to the minor coat protein on the surface of the phage. Based on the average *L. interrogans* DNA fragment size of 500 bp (inserted in fdBUG vector) and its genome size of 4.5 Mbp, the number of clones necessary to represent the entire genome is ~41,800. The number of transformants recovered was 1.2×10^6 , with approximately 82% of the clones containing *L. interrogans* DNA inserted in the phage vector. It should be noted that the leptospiral DNA inserted in the phage vector was ligated with 3 pairs of adaptors, so one of the nine possible combinations will result in production of the protein encoded by the inserted DNA in frame with phage protein III. Therefore, the total number of suitable clones obtained is 1.1×10^5 , enough to represent the genome with approximately 2.6-fold redundancy.

To select for phage clones that bind specifically to cells, five independent pools of phage were pre-adsorbed on ECM and the unbound phage particles were subjected to three rounds of selection for phage that bind to EA.hy926 endothelial cells in vitro. The endothelial cell line EA.hy926 was used in this study because it represents a cell type that is relevant to Leptospira infection and because of its relative ease in cultivation. Additionally, L. interrogans exhibited attachment to EA.hy926 monolayers in vitro [8,11,35], and binding to the cells was stronger than to the ECM [35]. As a control, a parallel selection was also performed using fdDOG to assess non-specific binding of phage to cells. The number of clones eluted after each round of selection against endothelial cells increased as selection progressed. After the third round of selection, the number of colonies recovered from the phage library was on average 70-fold higher compared to the control fdDOG.

A total of 931 clones from the three rounds of selection for binding to endothelial cells were sequenced (Figure 1). To identify the *L. interrogans* gene fragments inserted in the selected phage, the sequences obtained were compared by BLAST searches with the complete genome of *L. interrogans* serovar Copenhageni strain Fiocruz L1–130. Out of the 931 sequences analyzed, 152 clones have inserts of *L. interrogans* DNA outside of annotated genes while 779 clones have DNA inserts corresponding to open reading frames, representing 185 unique genes (Figure 1). Many of the top gene hits in the selection encode proteins that do not possess a signal peptide (Table S1). Because the proteins encoded by these genes are not predicted to be present on the bacterial cell surface and there is no literature suggesting their surface



Figure 1. Schematic of analysis of phage clones that bind to endothelial EA.hy926 monolayers. Following three rounds of selection for phage clones that bind endothelial cells, 931 clones were sequenced and analyzed by BLAST. Seven hundred seventy-nine phage clones have DNA inserts of *L. interrogans* open reading frames, corresponding to 185 unique genes. Using prediction programs SignalP 3.0 and LipoP 1.0, 37 of the 185 identified genes contain signal peptide at the N-terminus of the predicted protein product. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003215.q001

localization, these gene hits were not further pursued. Although the number of hits may suggest strong selection to bind to endothelial cells, these interactions may not be biologically relevant if these proteins are not found on the surface of the bacterial cell. A similar phenomenon was previously observed using this system [37].

Since our aim was to identify bacterial proteins that interact with the host, L. interrogans genes encoding proteins that are predicted to be surface-localized or found extracellularly were of interest and priority. The gene hits were analyzed using programs SignalP 3.0 and LipoP 1.0 for the presence of a signal peptide at the N-terminus of the predicted protein product. All spirochetal OMPs studied have signal peptides that initiate export of the protein and are subsequently cleaved following translocation [47]. Out of the 185 genes analyzed, 37 were predicted to encode proteins that contain secretion signal sequences (Table S2), and therefore at least may be exported out of the cytoplasm. Four genes were prioritized for further screening based on additional criteria described in the Materials and Methods. These include L. interrogans genes predicted to express putative lipoproteins LIC10508 and LIC13411, and conserved hypothetical proteins LIC11574 and LIC12341 (Table 2).

Alignment of the *L. interrogans* peptides encoded in the selected phage clones revealed diverse sequences without any obvious consensus (Table S3). This is not surprising given that we selected phage clones using intact cells. It should be noted that each cell type expresses a number of different receptors that can potentially interact with clones in a phage display library [8,35]. This accounts for why we obtained a number of peptides with no clear consensus.

To confirm cell-specific attachment of the selected phage clones, purified phage particles were prepared from individual phage clones and were tested for binding to cell monolayers. Phage suspensions were incubated with confluent monolayers of EA.hy926 or HEp-2 cells. The unbound phages were washed off and the phages bound to cells were fixed and quantified in an ELISA-based assay using anti-M13 (fd phage coat) antibodies. Cell monolayers were also incubated with fdDOG as control. Results were expressed as fold difference in the absorbance readings in the presence of cells over no cells. All phage clones carrying L. interrogans gene fragments showed binding to EA.hy926 endothe lial cells (\geq 4 fold compared to vector control) (Figure 2). Phage clones expressing fragments of the LIC10508 and LIC11574 genes also adhered to the epithelial cell line HEp-2. Collectively, the selected phage clones bind more efficiently to endothelial as compared to epithelial monolayers, demonstrating that the selection process was successful in identifying clones that bind directly to this endothelial cell line. The observed disparity in the binding of phage clones between the two cell lines can be attributed to the likelihood that the receptors recognized by these phage clones are differentially expressed by the cell lines used.

Attachment of recombinant *L. interrogans* candidate adhesins to cell monolayers

To determine whether the *L. interrogans* proteins encoded by genes selected through phage display bind to host cells beyond the context of the phage particle, recombinant forms of LIC10508, LIC11574, LIC12341 and LIC13411 were generated. The genes were cloned in pMalC2 expression vector to generate the mature protein (without the signal peptide) fused to maltose binding protein (MBP) (Figure S1). The 43 kDa MBP was chosen as tag as it increases the solubility of many fusion proteins, and the recombinant proteins can easily be purified by amylose affinity chromatography. Recombinant forms of other known adhesins

Gene	Protein	SignalP3.0/ LipL1.0	*Lsp or Lep cleavage	PRED-TMBB	Predicted Protein Size (kDa)	Strains in which the gene is found	Known or putative surface localization as described in literature
LIC10508	Putative lipoprotein	+	Lsp	No β -barrel motif	21.0	Pathogen	[65]
LIC11574	Conserved hypothetical protein	+	Lep	2 β -barrel motifs	18.1	Saprophyte, pathogen	[65]
LIC12341	Conserved hypothetical protein	+	Lep	8 β -barrel motifs	21.2	Pathogen	ı
LIC13411	Putative lipoprotein	+	Lsp	No β -barrel motif	24.6	Saprophyte, pathogen	I

endothelial cells

5

L. interrogans genes encoding known or putative surface proteins selected for binding

ň

Table

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003215.t002



Figure 2. Selected phage clones bind to endothelial and epithelial cells. Individual phage clones (~10¹⁰) were added with confluent endothelial EA.hy926 or epithelial HEp-2 monolayers, or to wells containing cell medium only for 2 hr at 37°C under 5% CO₂. Phage attachment was quantified by ELISA using an anti-M13 (fd phage coat) antibody. Vector phage clone fdDOG [37] serves as a negative control. Binding is expressed as fold difference in the absorbance between monolayers vs. wells containing medium alone. Results are expressed as means \pm standard errors, representative of at least two independent experiments repeated in quadruplicate. Error bars represent standard error while asterisks (*) indicate significant difference in attachment of candidate adhesins to cells compared to fdDOG (two-tailed *t*-test, **P*< 0.05, ***P*<0.01, ****P*<0.001).

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003215.g002

such as invasin from *Y. pseudotuberculosis* and P66 of *Borrelia burgdorferi* have been successfully generated using this vector [37,48,51]. The relative binding capacities of the MBP fusions to endothelial and epithelial cells were determined using an ELISA format. For the binding assays, we chose to use the microvascular endothelial cell line HMEC-1 because of the physiological relevance of this cell type in the widespread hemorrhage observed in patients with leptospirosis.

Increasing concentrations of recombinant proteins were incubated with confluent cell monolayers for 1 hr. The protein bound to cells was quantified and expressed as the absorbance reading of proteins in wells containing cells minus background signal or plastic binding. MBP- β -galactosidase and MBP-invasin served as negative and positive controls, respectively. The recombinant form of the conserved hypothetical protein LIC11574 demonstrated binding to HMEC-1 cell monolayers in a dose-dependent and saturable manner. The putative lipoprotein LIC113411 also binds HMEC-1 cells (Figure 3A). Attachment of LIC11574 to epithelial HEp-2 cell monolayers was significantly higher as compared to control protein (Figure 3B), but was not saturable (Figure 3C). Both endothelial and epithelial cells bound the control protein, invasin efficiently (not shown).

LIC11574 has a homologue in the saprophytic *L. biflexa* sv. Patoc st. Patoc I (Ames) annotated as LBF1629 (Accession number YP_001962715). The 24.3 kDa protein product is annotated as conserved hypothetical protein containing a possible Type IV pilin N-terminal methylation site [52]. LBF1629 has a 33% identity and 55% similarity to LIC11574. To assess the cell-binding activity of the protein encoded by the saprophytic strain, a recombinant form of LBF1629 was tested in adhesion assays and its binding activity



Figure 3. Recombinant *L. interrogans* **candidate adhesins bind to cells.** Recombinant forms of *L. interrogans* candidate adhesins expressed as fusions to MBP, along with β -galactosidase (β -gal) were diluted to 0.3 μ M and incubated with confluent monolayers of endothelial HMEC-1 (Panel A) or epithelial HEp-2 (Panel B) cells for 1 hr at 37°C, 5% CO₂. The unbound proteins were removed by washing and the bound adhesins were detected by ELISA using anti-MBP antibody. Results were expressed as the difference in the absorbance reading between wells with or without cells. The data shown are means \pm standard errors from 8 independent assays with n = 8–28. Asterisks (*) indicate significant difference in binding when compared to the MBP fusion to β -gal, the negative control (two-tailed *t*-test, **P*<0.05, ****P*<0.001). Panel C shows concentration-dependent attachment of LIC11574 to endothelial and epithelial cells.

was compared to LIC11574. Results show that LBF1629 did not bind to either HMEC-1 endothelial or HEp-2 epithelial cells after 1 hr of incubation (Figure 4). This may suggest that despite some similarity in the amino acid sequences of these two proteins, there is a difference in the function of the proteins encoded by the pathogenic and saprophytic strains.

Binding of candidate adhesins to cadherins

Our group has previously identified the cadherins as receptors for *L. interrogans* [35]. To determine whether the candidate adhesins facilitate bacterial attachment to vascular endothelial (VE)-cadherin, we incubated MBP fusions of LIC10508, LIC11574, LIC12341, and LIC13411 with immobilized VEcadherin. The bound adhesins were quantified using the ELISA approach described above.

Both LIC11574 and LIC13411 exhibited higher adherence to VE-cadherin than did the negative control β-gal. Interestingly, both of these proteins also exhibited attachment to HMEC-1 cells, which have been previously shown to express VE-cadherin [35]. This result suggests possible roles of these adhesins in mediating bacterial attachment to endothelial cells through the cadherin receptors. A dose response assay was performed to quantify the binding of LIC11574 and LIC13411 to VE-cadherin vs. control BSA immobilized on wells (Figure 5). The results show that LIC11574 binds efficiently to VE-cadherin with an estimated $K_{\rm D} = 7.6 \pm 2.5$ nM. The binding of LIC13411 to VE-cadherin was also efficient, $K_{\rm D} = 0.4 \pm 0.2$ nM. The binding of both adhesins to VEcadherin was dose-dependent and saturable. LIC13411 also showed binding to BSA at high recombinant protein concentrations, but this was not saturable.



Figure 4. *L. interrogans* candidate adhesin LIC11574, but not its *L. biflexa* ortholog, LBF1629, binds to cells. MBP fusions of LIC11574 and LBF1629, along with control β-galactosidase (β-gal) were diluted to 0.3 µM and incubated with confluent monolayers of HMEC-1 endothelial (Panel A) or HEp-2 epithelial (Panel B) cells for 1 hr at 37°C, 5% CO₂. The unbound proteins were removed by washing and the bound adhesins were detected by ELISA using anti-MBP antibody. Results are expressed as the difference in the absorbance reading between wells with or without cells. The data shown are means ± standard errors from 8 independent assays with n = 16–28. Asterisks (*) indicate significant difference in binding when compared to the MBP fusion to β-gal, the negative control (two-tailed *t*-test, **P*<0.05, ****P*<0.001). Results show that LBF1629 (the *L. biflexa* ortholog of LIC11574) does not have cell-binding activity. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003215.g004

Recognition of recombinant proteins by sera from leptospirosis patients

To determine if our candidate adhesins are expressed during *Leptospira* infection, we screened sera from confirmed leptospirosis patients in the convalescent phase and from control individuals for reactivity to the adhesin proteins by immunoblot analysis. For this assay, sera were initially pre-cleared by incubation with membranes blotted with whole cell lysate of *E. coli* expressing MBP- β -galactosidase. MBP is expressed by *E. coli*, which is part of the gut flora of both humans and animals [53]. This step is to ensure that anti-MBP- or other anti-*E. coli*-specific antibodies are removed from the sera, and thus reduces non-specific signals.

None of the sera from healthy individuals recognize recombinant forms of LIC12341, LIC13411, LIC11574 or LIC10508 (Table 3). In contrast, all candidate adhesins were recognized by at least one of the five sera from patients with leptospirosis. All patient sera recognized OmpL1, a known OMP [54,55] that was used as the positive control for the test. β -galactosidase was used as



Figure 5. *L. interrogans* candidate adhesins LIC11574 and LIC13411 bind to the host protein VE-cadherin. *L. interrogans* proteins, LIC11574 and LIC13411 were expressed as fusions to MBP (diluted 1×10^{-5} -0.1 µM) and incubated for 1 hr with 0.01 µM VE-cadherin or control BSA immobilized on a Linbro plate. The unbound recombinant proteins were washed off and bound proteins were quantified by ELISA using an anti-MBP antibody. Attachment to VE-cadherin is expressed as the OD reading at 655 nm minus BSA and no receptor (or "plastic") binding. Results indicate that attachment of LIC1574 (K_D = 7.6±2.5 nM) and LIC13411 (K_D = 0.4±0.2 nM) to VE-cadherin, but not to BSA, is dose-dependent and saturable. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003215.g005

negative control and did not react with any of the pre-cleared sera tested.

Cellular localization of candidate adhesins

Cellular fractionation of L. interrogans using Triton X-114 followed by phase partitioning experiments was performed to determine cellular localization of candidate cell-binding adhesins. This non-ionic detergent allows solubilization of the outer membrane but keeps the cytoplasmic membrane intact. Shifting the temperature from 4°C to 37°C allows separation of hydrophilic and hydrophobic fractions. TX-114 has been extensively used in the study of spirochete OMPs [32,50,56-60]. L. interrogans Copenhageni was treated with TX-114 followed by centrifugation to separate the detergent-insoluble material or protoplasmic cylinder fraction (PC), made up of the inner membrane, bacterial components attached to the inner membrane, and cytoplasmic contents. Phase separation was performed by warming the detergent-soluble supernatant to 37°C followed by centrifugation to separate the detergent-rich hydrophobic phase (DET) from the detergent-poor aqueous phase (AQ). The AQ fraction is made up mostly of periplasmic proteins while outer membrane lipoproteins and transmembrane proteins are found in the DET phase. As a control, whole cell lysates (WCL) of leptospires were prepared by sonication. Different fractions were assessed by western blot using antisera against L. interrogans proteins with known cellular locations such as inner membraneassociated FlaA1 and LipL31, and outer membrane proteins OmpL47 and OmpL1.

The TX-114 fractionation analysis (Figure 6) showed that the periplasmic protein FlaA1 and inner membrane protein LipL31 remain in the protoplasmic cylinder fraction (PC), as expected. The transmembrane OMP OmpL47 was solubilized by the detergent but fractionates in the AQ phase. A similar result was observed by Pinne and Haake [50] which was unanticipated by the authors. However, additional assays such as surface proteolysis, immunofluorescence, membrane affinity and surface biotinylation confirmed that OmpL47 is indeed surface-exposed and membrane-integrated. OmpL1 is another transmembrane protein but behaves differently from OmpL47. Both OMPs LipL21 and

Table 3. Expression of candidate adhesins during Leptospira infection.

Candidate adhesins	Leptospirosis Patient Sera	Control Sera
LIC10508	4/5	0/5
LIC11574	3/5	0/5
LIC12341	4/5	0/5
LIC13411	1/5	0/5
β -galactosidase	0/5	0/5
OmpL1	5/5	0/5

Six hundred nanograms of MBP fusions to *L. interrogans* candidate adhesins were immobilized on membrane and probed with pre-cleared convalescent sera from patients diagnosed with leptospirosis or control individuals by western blot. Sera were used at 1:500 dilution.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003215.t003

OmpL1 are partially solubilized by TX-114 extraction but partition exclusively to the DET phase [32].

All candidate adhesins were observed in the DET fraction. LIC10508 and LIC11574 behaved similarly to LipL21 and OmpL1, with both proteins also detected in the protoplasmic



Figure 6. Localization of proteins after Triton X-114 fractionation of *L. interrogans* sv. Copenhageni. *L. interrogans* was phase partitioned using Triton X-114 as described in Materials and Methods. Equivalents of 5×10^8 or 2.5×10^9 (*) spirochetes/lane of the whole cell lysate (WCL), protoplasmic cylinder (PC), aqueous (AQ) and detergent (DET) fractions were separated on 12.5 or 15% SDS-PAGE electrophoresis, transferred to PVDF membrane and probed with rabbit immune sera against periplasmic protein flagellin A1 (FlaA1), inner membrane protein LipL31, outer membrane proteins OmpL47 and OmpL1, and mouse immune sera against candidate adhesins LIC10508, LIC11574, LIC12341, and LIC13411. A duplicate gel was silver stained. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003215.g006

cylinder after TX-114 treatment. LIC10508 has previously been reported to fractionate in the detergent phase, and to induce production of ICAM-1 and E-selectin by HUVEC cells, although direct adhesion to the cells or to extracellular matrix molecules was not demonstrated [61]. LIC10508 migrated at 23 kDa, slightly larger than the predicted 21 kDa mature protein product. Interestingly, two protein bands for LIC10508, 21 kDa and 23 kDa were observed at the DET phase. LIC11574 also showed two different-sized species in different fractions; a band in detergent migrated faster (20 kDa) than bands in detergentinsoluble fraction and in the whole cell lysate (25 kDa). Similarly, LIC12341 also showed two distinct species in different fractions. The different apparent molecular weights of LIC10508, LIC11574, and LIC12341 in different fractions, with the lower apparent Mr forms appearing in the detergent-soluble phase, suggests that the proteins may be undergoing processing. While differences in Mr between the protoplasmic cylinder fraction, which might include protein molecules that have not yet had secretion signal sequences removed, and the outer membrane fraction would not be surprising, the differences seen between the aqueous and detergent-soluble portions of the outer membrane fraction suggest that additional post-translational modifications might occur for these proteins. LIC11574 was observed in the AQ fraction when we increased the bacterial load to equivalents of 5×10^9 per lane. LIC12341 and LIC13411 were both found to be completely TX-114 soluble but found in DET and AO phases. Lower dilutions of the antisera against the cell-binding adhesins described here (1:100 or 1:500) were used to detect the L. interrogans candidate adhesins, in comparison to the sera used to detect other proteins used as markers of cellular fractionation. This low reactivity is likely due to the low abundance of proteins expressed by L. interrogans because all antisera recognized the recombinant candidate adhesins at 1:20,000 dilution; this is consistent with low transcript abundance for these adhesins [62].

Discussion

The binding of *Leptospira* spp. to ECM components of the host has long been established [17,20,21,23–25,30,34]. However, our group has shown that *L. interrogans* binds more efficiently to cells than to ECM *in vitro* [8,35], and that the host cell surface protein VE-cadherin contributes to bacterial attachment to endothelial cells [35]. With the limitations in the available genetic tools in *Leptospira* spp., phage display represents an alternative but powerful tool to identify *Leptospira* proteins with cell-binding activities, as well as the specific portions of the proteins with adhesin activities. This approach has also been employed in identifying *Leptospira* proteins that bind to ECM components [63]. Our experimental strategy eliminated adhesins that might bind to host molecules that might be deposited as extracellular matrix, or remain cell-associated. Our focus, however, was on cellsurface receptors due to the potential for trans-membrane signaling that could allow the bacteria to manipulate host cell biology to their own advantage.

The putative lipoproteins LIC10508 and LIC13411, and conserved hypothetical proteins LIC12341 and LIC11574 were identified using phage display and were prioritized for further screening. Studies focusing on these four candidate adhesins are sparse in the literature. Pinne et al. [64] described all four proteins as probable OMPs using a set of algorithms and criteria to identify candidate lipoproteins and transmembrane OMPs in L. interrogans sv. Copenhageni st. Fiocruz L1-130. Using a protein microarray screening assay, LIC13411, LIC11574 and LIC10508 all exhibited low binding activities to fibronectin [64]. Gamberini and colleagues also identified LIC10508 (putative lipoprotein; LipL23) and LIC11574 (conserved hypothetical protein; OmpL22) as surface proteins using in silico analysis [65]. Both proteins reacted with Leptospira-infected human sera suggesting that these proteins are expressed in the course of human infection. A serological cross reactivity assay performed by the group also demonstrated that LIC10508 is highly conserved among pathogenic strains. The cell-binding properties of these proteins are first described in this work.

One advantage of phage display is that it allows the mapping of the binding domains, providing information on the peptide sequence sufficient to establish interactions with the substrate. In this study, the alignment of the L. interrogans peptide fragments inserted in the cell-binding phage clones did not reveal any discernable pattern. This was not surprising as the selection of the phage library was carried out using intact monolayers rather than a homogenous substrate, suggesting that the different selected phages may have recognized and bound various components of the monolayer. It should be noted that this study started prior to the identification of cadherins and other proteins as L. interrogans receptors on host cell surfaces [35]. In addition, our finding that phage clones carrying L. interrogans gene fragments bind more efficiently to endothelial cells than epithelial monolayers was not surprising since selection was carried out using an endothelial cell line. This disparity in the binding activities may be attributed to differences in the level or type of receptors expressed between the two cell types.

We speculate that *L* interrogans adhesins recognize and bind specific receptors that are differentially expressed in different cell types. We have previously demonstrated that the production of host receptor proteins VE-cadherin, E-cadherin and ICAM-2 varies among the various endothelial and epithelial cell lines tested [35]. This finding suggests that pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. have evolved mechanisms to adhere to a variety of cell types through expression of numerous adhesins. The attachment of *L. interrogans* to host molecules is thought to be important to establish bacterial infection and dissemination resulting in leptospirosis.

Like any experimental approach, the use of phage display has its caveats. One limitation of this approach is that peptides displayed on the surface of the phage may be folded differently from the purified recombinant fusion forms or on the surface of the leptospires (*in vivo*). Phage display is limited to protein fragments that some host cell receptors may not bind if three-dimensional structures are required. This may explain the differences in the binding activities of the phage clones expressing adhesin peptide versus purified recombinant forms of the intact candidate adhesins. Both LIC11574 and LIC13411 displayed high affinity binding to VE-cadherin, a recently identified host protein receptor

for *L. interrogans* [35]. However, whether the abilities of LIC11574 and LIC13411 to bind to purified VE-cadherin contribute to the ability of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. to attach to endothelial cells, and whether multivalent interactions are required, need to be further explored. The interactions of pathogenic leptospires with VE-cadherin, in particular, is of interest due to the critical importance of VE-cadherin in maintenance of endothelial integrity [66,67] and the disruption thereof by pathogenic *Leptospira* species. The roles of LIC11574 and LIC13411 in pathogenesis as potential virulence factors also need to be established, and the potential for functional redundancy requires further exploration. Generation of targeted knockout mutants in each of these genes will be required to address these questions, and it is possible that inactivation of both genes will be required to detect a phenotype *in vivo*.

It is thought that the ability of the pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. to recognize and bind host surface components is an essential step in the pathogenesis of leptospirosis. Outer membrane proteins are likely mediators of these interactions, leading to the entry and dissemination of the pathogen. This has led to several studies identifying OMPs and evaluating their roles in virulence. OMPs that are unique to pathogenic strains are of interest to a number of investigators, as their presence exclusively in infectious strains may support their role in virulence. One of our candidate adhesins, LIC11574, has a homologue in the saprophytic strain L. biflexa. Only the OMP encoded by the pathogen demonstrated binding to cell monolayers. This suggests either there are distinct functions between protein homologues or adhesion is an additional role acquired during evolution in pathogenic strains to survive in hosts. Most studies focus on the roles of proteins unique to infectious strains but proteins shared by all Leptospira species should not be overlooked. Our findings may suggest that small differences in primary, secondary, or tertiary structures may bring about significant disparities in the roles of the proteins.

Development of classic genetic knock-outs to assess roles in virulence may not be ideal in this system because of the potential for redundancy in the functions of adhesins. Loss of a gene (inactivation) may not result in obvious phenotypic change if the function is redundant to that of another protein with the same activity. For example, site-directed mutagenesis through allelic exchange was successful in the inactivation of ligB [68], but the loss of this ECM-binding adhesin did not affect the ability of the pathogenic L. interrogans to infect hamsters. The expression of pathogen-specific LigB on saprophytic L. biflexa resulted in enhanced bacterial attachment to ECM and cells in culture [24]. Therefore, gain-of-function approaches by heterologous expression of adhesins from pathogens in saprophytic L. biflexa at least allowed confirmation of the role of this protein in adhesion to host cells in vitro. Interestingly, we recovered a fragment of LigB that did not contain any of the known ECM-binding domains [28,31] in our selection using endothelial cells (Table S2). This result will be pursued in the future.

The mechanism of host resistance to pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. is mediated largely by humoral responses [7,69,70]. The candidate adhesins identified by phage display, LIC12341, LIC13411, LIC11574 and LIC10508, are recognized by sera from convalescent leptospirosis patients but not by sera from control individuals. This implies expression of these proteins during infection. Because of their accessibility to host immune responses during infection, a number of surface-exposed *Leptospira* OMPs are considered as candidate vaccinogens. Leptospiral OMPs OmpL1 and LipL41 were protective immunogens when used in combination [71], which is promising in the development of subunit vaccines with greater protective effects and fewer side effects. Further tests are necessary to determine if the adhesins identified in this work have potential immunoprotective properties.

Supporting Information

Bacterial adhesive molecules have surface-exposed moieties that allow them to interact with host cells, and may play important roles in establishing bacterial attachment and dissemination in hosts. Therefore, determining surface localization is vital to support the role of pathogenic *Leptospira* OMPs in virulence. *In silico* approaches can help predict protein export from cytoplasm to periplasm [47] and lipidation of lipoproteins [72] however, direct examination is necessary to validate their cellular localization. We used a non-ionic detergent Triton X-114 as other groups were successful in removing spirochete outer membrane using this detergent [50,73].

Our data reveal that all candidate proteins are found in the DET phase suggesting possible surface localization. Although the majority of LIC10508 and LIC11574 partition in the DET, these adhesins were also observed in the protoplasmic cylinder. Similar results were observed with the transmembrane porin OmpL1 [32], LipL21 [58], and other known Leptospira OMPs. Several groups have suggested that the incomplete detergent solubilization of these proteins may be attributed to amphipathic properties of transmembrane OMPs [32,58]. The candidate adhesins LIC12341 and LIC13411 were completely solubilized in TX-114 but fractionated to both AQ and DET. Although the results were unexpected, similar findings were observed with the identified outer membrane protein OmpL54 [50]. We also observed low expression of the candidate adhesins, which corresponds to the paucity of their transcript levels [62]. This precluded the use other approaches such as immunofluorescence and surface proteolysis. However, multiple approaches to determining whether spirochetal proteins are localized on the outer surface of the bacterial cell, as outlined by Pinne and Haake [50,74], will be prioritized in further studies of our candidate adhesins.

Prior to this work, the attachment of pathogenic L. interrogans to host molecules was mainly attributed to the ability of bacterial OMPs to recognize and bind to ECM components. The study presented here provides evidence of direct binding of L. interrogans to host cells, and identifies bacterial components potentially involved in this interaction. Identification of molecular components necessary for the initial interaction between host and pathogen will greatly improve our understanding of Leptospira spp. pathogenesis. Surface-exposed OMPs that are recognized by the host immune response early during infection and play a role in bacterial virulence are ideal targets for diagnostic screening and development of vaccines. With the current robust leptospiral research output, in the near future we may see the development of simple and inexpensive diagnostic systems appropriate for highly endemic, resource-poor areas, as well as the application of state-ofthe-art technologies to vaccine development.

References

- Hartskeerl RA, Collares-Pereira M, Ellis WA (2011) Emergence, control and reemerging leptospirosis: dynamics of infection in the changing world. Clin Microbiol Infect 17: 494–501.
- Barcellos C, Sabroza PC (2000) Socio-environmental determinants of the leptospirosis outbreak of 1996 in western Rio de Janeiro: a geographical approach. Int J Environ Health Res 10: 301–313.
- Kupek E, de Sousa Santos Faversani MC, de Souza Philippi JM (2000) The relationship between rainfall and human leptospirosis in Florianopolis, Brazil, 1991–1996. Braz J Infect Dis 4: 131–134.
- Reis RB, Ribeiro GS, Felzemburgh RD, Santana FS, Mohr S, et al. (2008) Impact of environment and social gradient on *Leptospira* infection in urban slums. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2: e228.
- Adler B, Moctezuma A (2010) Leptospira and leptospirosis. Vet Microbiol 140: 287–296.
- Faine S, Adler B, Bolin C, Perolat P (1999) Leptospira and leptospirosis. Melbourne: Medisci.

Figure S1 Purified recombinant MBP fusions to *L. interrogans* proteins. *L. interrogans* proteins were expressed as fusions to maltose-binding protein (MBP) and purified by amylose affinity chromatography. Induction and expression of the pMalC2 vector alone yields MBP- β -galactosidase (β -gal). Three hundred ng of each MBP fusion were run on 12.5% SDS-PAGE gel and stained with Coomassie. (TIFF)

Table S1 *L. interrogans* genes inserted in selected phage clones with multiple hits. Phage clones after three rounds of selection in EA.hy926 cells were analyzed to identify the inserted *L. interrogans* serovar Copenhageni strain Fiocruz L1–130 gene. Out of the 931 phage clones selected, 779 phage clones have *L. interrogans* gene fragment inserts representing 185 unique genes. Shown below are the 10 *Leptospira interrogans* genes with the highest number of hits after three rounds of selection. None of the proteins encoded by these genes contain signal peptides as determined by the prediction programs SignalP 3.0 or LipoP 1.0. However, LIC11570 (*) was previously described as an OMP [75] and manual analysis of its amino acid sequence indicate the presence of a Lep-recognized signal peptide. (DOCX)

Table S2 Selected *L. interrogans* genes encoding proteins with signal sequences. Out of the 931 phage clones selected, 779 have *Leptospira* DNA inserts that represent 185 unique genes. Thirty-seven of these genes encode proteins containing signal sequence and are shown below. Those appearing in bold were prioritized for further characterization. * Known *L. interrogans adhesin* [20,22,24,28,30–31,63]. ^a Inserted *L. interrogans* DNA in opposite orientation of the gene. ^b Inserted *L. interrogans* DNA in the N-terminal signal sequence. (DOCX)

Table S3 The amino acid sequences encoded in the DNA fragments inserted in the selected phage clones. Alignment of the peptides did not reveal a common motif. (DOCX)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: KVE JC. Performed the experiments: KVE BH. Analyzed the data: KVE JC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: AIK EAW DAH. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: KVE JC.

- Ko A, Goarant C, Piccardeau M (2009) Leptospira: the dawn of molecular genetics era for an emerging zoonotic pathogen. Nat Rev Microbiol 7: 736–746.
- Breiner DD, Fahey M, Salvador R, Novakova J, Coburn J (2009) *Leptospira* interrogans binds to human cell surface receptors including proteoglycans. Infect Immun 77: 5528–5536.
- Ballard SA, Williamson M, Adler B, Vinh T, Faine S (1986) Interactions of virulent and avirulent leptospires with primary cultures of renal epithelial cells. J Med Microbiol 21: 59–67.
- Cinco M, Domenis R, Perticarari S, Presani G, Marangoni A, et al. (2006) Interaction of leptospires with murine microglial cells. New Microbiol 29: 193–199.
- Martinez-Lopez DG, Fahey M, Coburn J (2010) Responses of human endothelial cells to pathogenic and non-pathogenic *Leptospira* species. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 4: e918.
- Merien F, Baranton G, Perolat P (1997) Invasion of Vero cells and induction of apoptosis in macrophages by pathogenic *Leptospira interrogans* are correlated with virulence. Infect Immun 65: 729–738.

- Merien F, Truccolo J, Rougier Y, Baranton G, Perolat P (1998) In vivo apoptosis of hepatocytes in guinea pigs infected with Leptospira interrogans serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae. FEMS Microbiol Lett 169: 95–102.
- Thomas DD, Higbie LM (1990) In vitro association of leptospires with host cells. Infect Immun 58: 581–585.
- Vinh T, Faine S, Adler B (1984) Adhesion of leptospires to mouse fibroblasts (L929) and its enhancement by specific antibody. J Med Microbiol 18: 73–85.
- Tsuchimoto M, Niikura M, Ono E, Kida H, Yanagawa R (1984) Leptospiral attachment to cultured cells. Zentralbl Bakteriol Mikrobiol Hyg A 258: 268– 274.
- Barbosa AS, Abreu P, Neves FO, Atzingen MV, Watanabe MM, et al. (2006) A newly identified leptospiral adhesin mediates attachment to laminin. Infect Immun 74: 6356–6364.
- Ito T, Yanagawa R (1987) Leptospiral attachment to four structural components of extracellular matrix. Nihon Juigaku Zasshi 49: 875–882.
- Ito T, Yanagawa R (1987) Leptospiral attachment to extracellular matrix of mouse fibroblast (L929) cells. Vet Microbiol 15: 89–96.
- Matsunaga J, Medeiros M, Sanchez Y, Werneid K, Ko A (2007) Osmotic regulation of expression of two extracellular matrix-binding proteins and a haemolysin of *Leptospira interrogans*: differential effects on LigA and Sph2 extracellular release. Microbiol 153: 3390–3398.
- Stevenson B, Choy HA, Pinne M, Rotondi ML, Miller MC, et al. (2007) *Leptospira interrogans* endostatin-like outer membrane proteins bind host fibronectin, laminin and regulators of complement. PLoS One 2: e1188.
- Lin Y, Greenwood A, Yan W, Nicholson L, Sharma Y, et al. (2009) A novel fibronectin type III module binding motif identified on C-terminus of *Leptospira* immunoglobulin-like protein, LigB. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 389: 57–62.
- Oliveira TR, Longhi MT, Goncales AP, de Morais ZM, Vasconcellos SA, et al. (2010) LipL53, a temperature regulated protein from *Leptospira interrogans* that binds to extracellular matrix molecules. Microbes Infect 12: 207–217.
- 24. Figueira CP, Croda J, Choy HA, Haake DA, Reis MG, et al. (2011) Heterologous expression of pathogen-specific genes ligA and ligB in the saprophyte *Leptospira biflexa* confers enhanced adhesion to cultured cells and fibronectin. BMC Microbiol 11: 129.
- Fernandes LG, Vieira ML, Kirchgatter K, Alves IJ, de Morais ZM, et al. (2012) OmpL1 is an extracellular matrix- and plasminogen-interacting protein of *Leptospira* spp. Infect Immun 80: 3679–3692.
- Luo Y, Frey EA, Pfuetzner RA, Creagh AL, Knoechel DG, et al. (2000) Crystal structure of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* intimin-receptor complex. Nature 405: 1073–1077.
- Hamburger ZA, Brown MS, Isberg RR, Bjorkman PJ (1999) Crystal structure of invasin: a bacterial integrin-binding protein. Science 286: 291–295.
- Choy HA, Kelley MM, Chen TL, Moller AK, Matsunaga J, et al. (2007) Physiological osmotic induction of *Leptospira interrogans* adhesion: LigA and LigB bind extracellular matrix proteins and fibrinogen. Infect Immun 75: 2441– 2450.
- Matsunaga J, Sanchez Y, Xu X, Haake DA (2005) Osmolarity, a key environmental signal controlling expression of leptospiral proteins LigA and LigB and the extracellular release of LigA. Infect Immun 73: 70–78.
- Lin YP, Chang YF (2007) A domain of the *Leptospira* LigB contributes to high affinity binding of fibronectin. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 362: 443–448.
- Lin YP, Chang YF (2008) The C-terminal variable domain of LigB from Leptospira mediates binding to fibronectin. J Vet Sci 9: 133–144.
- Haake DA, Champion CI, Martinich C, Shang ES, Blanco DR, et al. (1993) Molecular cloning and sequence analysis of the gene encoding OmpL1, a transmembrane outer membrane protein of pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. J Bacteriol 175: 4225–4234.
- Oliveira R, Domingos RF, Siqueira GH, Fernandes LG, Souza NM, et al. (2013) Adhesins of *Leptospira interrogans* mediate the interaction to fibrinogen and inhibit fibrin clot formation in vitro. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 7: e2396.
- Lin YP, Lee D, McDonough SP, Nicholson LK, Sharma Y, et al. (2009) Repeated domains of *Leptospira* immunoglobulin-like proteins interact with elastin and tropoelastin. J Biol Chem 284: 19380–19391.
- Evangelista K, Franco R, Schwab A, Coburn J (2014) Leptospira interrogans binds to cadherins. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 8: e2672.
- Antonara S, Chafel RM, LaFrance M, Coburn J (2007) Borrelia burgdorferi adhesins identified using in vivo phage display. Mol Microbiol 66: 262–276.
- Coburn J, Chege W, Magoun L, Bodary SC, Leong JM (1999) Characterization of a candidate *Borrelia burgdorferi* beta3-chain integrin ligand identified using a phage display library. Mol Microbiol 34: 926–940.
- Barocchi MA, Ko AI, Ferrer SR, Faria MT, Reis MG, et al. (2001) Identification of new repetitive element in *Leptospira interrogans* serovar Copenhageni and its application to PCR-based differentiation of *Leptospira* serogroups. J Clin Microbiol 39: 191–195.
- Matsunaga J, Barocchi MA, Croda J, Young TA, Sanchez Y, et al. (2003) Pathogenic *Leptospira* species express surface-exposed proteins belonging to the bacterial immunoglobulin superfamily. Mol Microbiol 49: 929–945.
- Silva EF, Santos CS, Athanazio DA, Seyffert N, Seixas FK, et al. (2008) Characterization of virulence of *Leptospira* isolates in a hamster model. Vaccine 26: 3892–3896.
- Nascimento AL, Ko AI, Martins EA, Monteiro-Vitorello CB, Ho PL, et al. (2004) Comparative genomics of two *Leptospira interrogans* serovars reveals novel insights into physiology and pathogenesis. J Bacteriol 186: 2164–2172.

- Sadziene A, Thomas DD, Bundoc VG, Holt SC, Barbour AG (1991) A flagellaless mutant of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Structural, molecular, and *in vitro* functional characterization. J Clin Invest 88: 82–92.
- Edgell CJ, Haizlip JE, Bagnell CR, Packenham JP, Harrison P, et al. (1990) Endothelium specific Weibel-Palade bodies in a continuous human cell line, EA.hy926. In Vitro Cell Dev Biol 26: 1167–1172.
- Edgell CJ, McDonald CC, Graham JB (1983) Permanent cell line expressing human factor VIII-related antigen established by hybridization. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 80: 3734–3737.
- Ades EW, Candal FJ, Swerlick RA, George VG, Summers S, et al. (1992) HMEC-1: establishment of an immortalized human microvascular endothelial cell line. J Invest Dermatol 99: 683–690.
- Clackson T, Hoogenboom HR, Griffiths AD, Winter G (1991) Making antibody fragments using phage display libraries. Nature 352: 624–628.
- Cullen PA, Haake DA, Adler B (2004) Outer membrane proteins of pathogenic spirochetes. FEMS Microbiol Rev 28: 291–318.
- Leong JM, Fournier RS, Isberg RR (1990) Identification of the integrin binding domain of the Yersinia pseudotuberculosis invasin protein. EMBO J 9: 1979– 1989.
- Ko AI, Galvão Reis M, Ribeiro Dourado CM, Johnson WDJ, Riley LW (1999) Urban epidemic of severe leptospirosis in Brazil. Lancet 354: 820–825.
- Pinne M, Haake DA (2009) A comprehensive approach to identification of surface-exposed, outer membrane-spanning proteins of *Leptospira interrogans*. PLoS ONE 4: e6071.
- Isberg RR, Leong JM (1988) Cultured mammalian cells attach to the invasin protein of Yersinia pseudotuberculosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85: 6682–6686.
- Palaniappan R, Ramanujam S, Chang Y (2007) Leptospirosis: pathogenesis, immunity, and diagnosis. Curr Opin Infect Dis 20: 284–292.
- Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, Purdom E, Dethlefsen L, et al. (2005) Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. Science 308: 1635–1638.
- Dong H, Hu Y, Xue F, Sun D, Ojcius D, et al. (2008) Characterization of the ompL1 gene of pathogenic *Leptospira* species in China and cross-immunogenicity of the OmpL1 protein. BMC Microbiology 8: 223.
- Zhang X, Yu Y, He P, Zhang Y, Hu B, et al. (2005) Expression and comparative analysis of genes encoding outer membrane proteins LipL21, LipL32 and OmpL1 in epidemic leptospires. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin 37: 649–656.
- Blanco DR, Giladi M, Champion CI, Haake DA, Chikami GK, et al. (1991) Identification of *Treponema pallidum* subspecies pallidum genes encoding signal peptides and membrane-spanning sequences using a novel alkaline phosphatase expression vector. Mol Microbiol 5: 2405–2415.
- Cullen PA, Cordwell SJ, Bulach DM, Haake DA, Adler B (2002) Global analysis of outer membrane proteins from *Leptospira interrogans* serovar Lai. Infect Immun 70: 2311–2318.
- Cullen PA, Haake DA, Bulach DM, Zuerner RL, Adler B (2003) LipL21 is a novel surface-exposed lipoprotein of pathogenic *Leptospira* species. Infect Immun 71: 2414–2421.
- Cunningham TM, Walker EM, Miller JN, Lovett MA (1988) Selective release of the *Treponema pallidum* outer membrane and associated polypeptides with Triton X-114. J Bacteriol 170: 5789–5796.
- Skare JT, Shang ES, Foley DM, Blanco DR, Champion CI, et al. (1995) Virulent strain associated outer membrane proteins of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. J Clin Invest 96: 2380–2392.
- Gomez RM, Vieira ML, Schattner M, Malaver E, Watanabe MM, et al. (2008) Putative outer membrane proteins of *Leptospira interrogans* stimulate human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECS) and express during infection. Microb Pathog 45: 315–322.
- 62. Caimano MJ, Sivasankaran SK, Allard A, Hurley D, Hokamp K, et al. (2014) A model system for studying the transcriptomic and physiological changes associated with mammalian host-adaptation by *Leptospira interrogans* serovar Copenhageni. PLoS Pathog 10: e1004004.
- Ching AT, Favaro RD, Lima SS, Chaves Ade A, de Lima MA, et al. (2012) Leptospira interrogans shotgun phage display identified LigB as a heparin-binding protein. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 427: 774–779.
- Pinne M, Matsunaga J, Haake DA (2012) Leptospiral outer membrane protein microarray, a novel approach to identification of host ligand-binding proteins. J Bacteriol 194: 6074–6087.
- Gamberini M, Gómez RM, Atzingen MV, Martins EA, Vasconcellos SA, et al. (2005) Whole-genome analysis of *Leptospira interrogans* to identify potential vaccine candidates against leptospirosis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 244: 305–313.
- Dejana E, Orsenigo F, Lampugnani MG (2008) The role of adherens junctions and VE-cadherin in the control of vascular permeability. J Cell Sci 121: 2115– 2122.
- Dejana E, Vestweber D (2013) The role of VE-cadherin in vascular morphogenesis and permeability control. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci 116: 119– 144.
- Croda J, Figueira CP, Wunder EA, Jr., Santos CS, Reis MG, et al. (2008) Targeted mutagenesis in pathogenic *Leptospira* species: disruption of the ligB gene does not affect virulence in animal models of leptospirosis. Infect Immun 76: 5826–5833.
- Adler B, Faine S (1977) Host immunological mechanisms in the resistance of mice to leptospiral infections. Infect Immun 17: 67–72.
- 70. Levett P (2001) Leptospirosis. Clin Microbiol Rev 14: 296-326.

- Identification of Cell-Binding Adhesins of Leptospira interrogans
- 71. Haake DA, Mazel MK, McCoy AM, Milward F, Chao G, et al. (1999) Leptospiral outer membrane proteins OmpL1 and LipL41 exhibit synergistic immunoprotection. Infect Immun 67: 6572-6582.
- 72. Setubal JC, Reis M, Matsunaga J, Haake DA (2006) Lipoprotein computational
- prediction in spirochaetal genomes. Microbiol 152: 113–121. 73. Radolf JD, Robinson EJ, Bourell KW, Akins DR, Porcella SF, et al. (1995) Characterization of outer membranes isolated from *Treponema pallidum*, the syphilis spirochete. Infect Immun 63: 4244-4252.
- 74. Pinne M, Haake DA (2013) LipL32 is a subsurface lipoprotein of Leptospira interrogans: presentation of new data and reevaluation of previous studies. PLoS One 8: e51025.
- 75. Haake DA, Matsunaga J (2010) Leptospira: a spirochaete with a hybrid outer membrane. Mol Microbiol 77: 805–814.