# Journal of Nephropathology

# A randomized pilot trial on the effect of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor on antibody response in hemodialysis patients who had not responded to routine hepatitis B virus vaccine

Jamshid Roozbeh<sup>1,2</sup>, Kamran Bagheri-Lankarani<sup>3</sup>, Poopak Mohaghegh<sup>2</sup>, Ghanbarali Raeesjalali<sup>2</sup>, Saeed Behzadi<sup>2</sup>, Mehdi Sagheb<sup>2</sup>, Mehrdad Vossoughi<sup>4</sup>, Bahar Bastani<sup>5\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Shiraz Nephro-Urology Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

ABSTRACT

<sup>2</sup>Health Policy Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Department of Dental Public Health, School of Dentistry, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

<sup>5</sup>Division of Nephrology, Saint Louis University, School of Medicine, Saint Louis, Missouri, USA

# ARTICLE INFO

*Article type:* Original Article

Article history: Received: 7 September 2014 Accepted: 14 November 2014 Published online: 1 January 2015 DOI: 10.12860/jnp.2015.03

*Keywords:* Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor Hemodialysis Vaccination Hepatitis B Granulocyte-macrophage colonystimulating factor

*Background:* Various strategies have been applied to improve the response to hepatitis B virus (HBV) vaccination in hemodialysis patients.

*Objectives:* The present study was under taken to compare the seroconversion rate of hemodialysis patients who had not respond to 3 intramuscular (IM) doses (40  $\mu$ g each) of HBV vaccine, after a fourth IM dose (40  $\mu$ g) of HBV vaccine that was administered alone or with subcutaneous granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) (5  $\mu$ g/kg).

*Patients and Methods:* Twenty six hemodialysis patients who had not responded to 3 IM injections of HBV vaccine were randomized into 2 groups: Group 1 received a booster dose of 40  $\mu$ g HBV vaccine IM, group 2 received a booster dose of 40  $\mu$ g HBV vaccine IM plus 5  $\mu$ g/kg subcutaneous G-CSF. Antibody to hepatitis B surface antigen was measured 1 month after the booster dose.

*Results:* Seroconversion rate in group 1 was 40%. There was a trend towards a higher seroconversion rate at 60% in group 2 patients; however, because of the small number of patients it did not reach statistical significance.

*Conclusions:* Larger number of patients and other innovative strategies should be applied for vaccination of this group of patients. More prolonged follow up of the patients is needed to evaluate the duration of protection induced by each method of vaccination.

#### *Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:*

This study was performed on 26 hemodialysis patients who had not responded to three intramuscular (IM) injections of hepatitis B virus (HBV) vaccine. The patients were divided into two groups: Group 1 received a booster dose of 40  $\mu$ g HBV vaccine IM, group 2 received a booster dose of 40  $\mu$ g HBV vaccine IM plus 5  $\mu$ g/kg subcutaneous granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF). There was a trend towards a higher seroconversion rate (60% vs 40%) in group 2 compared to group 1 patients, however, because of the small number of patients it did not reach statistical significance. Larger number of patients should be applied to better find the impact of G-CSF treatment on intensification of seroconversion rate in hemodialysis patients.

*Please cite this paper as:* Roozbeh J, Bagheri-Lankarani K, Mohaghegh P, Raeesjalali G, Behzadi S, Sagheb M, *et al.* A randomized pilot trial on the effect of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor on antibody response in hemodialysis patients who had not responded to routine hepatitis B virus vaccine. J Nephropathol. 2015; 4(1):13-17. DOI: 10.12860/jnp.2015.03

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Prof. Bahar Bastani, Division of Nephrology, Saint Louis University Health Sciences Center, Saint Louis, Missouri, USA. Email: bastanib@slu.edu

# 1. Background

Patients with end stage renal disease who are maintained on hemodialysis are at increased risk of hepatitis B infection (1-3). Unlike healthy adults, patients undergoing dialysis who are infected with hepatitis B virus (HBV) usually have a mild and asymptomatic disease (2). However, up to 80% of them become chronic carriers of the virus, and most remain highly infectious, as indicated by a high prevalence of the hepatitis B surface antigenemia and hepatitis Be antigenemia (4,5). HBV infection becomes chronic in 30-60% of hemodialysis patients, as compared with less than 10% in nonuremic patients (6). Center for disease control (CDC) currently recommends that all dialysis patients should receive HBV vaccine (7). However, only 50% to 60% of dialysis patients develop sufficient hepatitis B surface antibodies (HBS-Ab) after recombinant HBV vaccine, as compared to over 90% response rate in patients who do not have renal failure (8-11).

Renal failure is associated with an acquired immunodeficiency state, as evidenced by reduced cellular immune in-vitro and in-vivo responses (12-15). Non-responsiveness to HBV vaccination was found to be associated with reduced interleukin-2 (IL-2) production by T-lymphocytes (14), which is essential for generating activated antigen-specific T-cells required for B-cell activation and antibody production (14). Nevertheless, a case-control study found that hemodialysis patients vaccinated against HBV had a 70% lower risk for infection as compared with non-vaccinated patients (16).

Various strategies have been applied to improve the response to HBV vaccination in hemodialysis patients. These include, doubling the dose of vaccine (i.e., 40  $\mu$ g per dose), increasing vaccine frequency (Heptavax 40  $\mu$ g intramuscular (IM) at 0, 1, and 6 months or Engerix 40  $\mu$ g IM at 0, 1, 2 and 6 months), administering the vaccine in deltoid muscle or intradermally (7,8,10,11,17-21) or by adding immunostimulants or adjuvants, e.g., thymopectin, AS04, or GM-CSF (granulocyte-monocyte colony-stimulating factor) or G-CSF (granulocyte-colony stimulating factor) (22-29).

# 2. Objectives

The present study was performed to compare the seroconversion rate of hemodialysis patients who had not respond to three IM doses (40  $\mu$ g each) of HBV vaccine (Heber Biovac, HerberBiotec, Havana, Cuba), after a fourth IM dose (40  $\mu$ g) of HBV vaccine that was administered alone or with subcutaneous G-CSF (5  $\mu$ g/kg).

# 3. Patients and Methods

# 3.1. Study patients

This study followed an earlier study by Roozbeh et al. (18) where 62 newly diagnosed end-stage renal failure (ESRD) patients who upon initial screening were found to have negative serology for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBS-Ag), hepatitis B surface antibody (HBS-Ab) and hepatitis B core antibody (HBC-Ab) received 3 IM doses of HBV vaccination. In 46 patients, HBS-Ab titer was checked 6 months after the last vaccine dose by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) technique (Diapro, Italy). Twenty-six (56.5%) patients did not respond to vaccination (HBS-Ab titer <10 IU/L). In the present prospective randomized clinical trial, these 26 patients were randomly divided into two groups: Group 1 (n=13) received another IM dose of 40 µg of recombinant HBV vaccine in deltoid muscle, group 2 (n=13) received the same dose vaccine in deltoid muscle plus 5 µg/kg of G-CSF (Neupogen, Roche) subcutaneously on the same side. A well-trained nurse in the hemodialysis center conducted all vaccinations. HBS-Ab titer was measured by ELISA technique in 23 of the 26 patients, 1 month after the last dose of vaccine. Three patients were lost in follow up. HBS-Ab titer equal or greater than 10 IU/L was regarded as positive. The response rate was compared between the two groups.

The patients' age, body mass index (BMI) [weight/ (height)2], serum albumin level, and underlying renal disease status were also recorded.

# 3.2. Ethical issues

1) The research followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki; 2) informed consent was obtained; and 3) the research was approved by the ethical committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences.

#### 3.2. Statistical analysis

Quantitative data were described using mean $\pm$  SD, and qualitative data were summarized using frequencies. Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were used to compare sex ratio and response rate (level >10 IU/L for HBS-Ab titer) between the two groups. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was employed to evaluate normality assumption for qualitative data. We used Student's t-test to compare mean of quantitative variables between the groups. Data analysis was used SPSS software. P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# 4. Results

There were no significant differences in mean age,

BMI, gender, and primary renal diseases between the two groups (all p>0.05). Tables 1 and 2 summarize the patients' data.

In group 1, three patients were lost at one month follow up, and thus, HBS-Ab titer was checked 1 month after vaccination in only 10 patients in group 1 and 13 patients in group 2.

Four (40%) of the 10 patients in group 1, and 8 (60%) of the 13 patients in group 2 developed protective level (>10 IU/L) of HBS-Ab titer. Due to small number of patients in each group this did not reach statistical significance (p=0.2).

#### 5. Discussion

The standard three doses of HBV vaccine at 0, 1 and 6 months result in a protective antibody response to HBV surface antigen (anti-HBS-Ab > 10 IU/ml) in more than 90% of healthy people (30-32). Half of the non-responders develop protective antibody titer after revaccination with high dose HBV vaccine (3 doses, 40 mcg IM each) or standard dose (3 doses, 10 mcg IM each, immediately after GM-CSF 125 mcg) (33). However, only 50-60% of dialysis patients develop sufficient anti-HBS-Ab after recombinant HBV vaccination (8-11).

GM-CSF and G-CSF are immunomodulatory cytokines. To date only a few studies have used GM-CSF as HBV vaccine adjuvant in healthy people, or have investigated the efficacy and safety of a single

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics	Table 1.	Demograph	ic and cl	linical cl	haracteristics
---	----------	-----------	-----------	------------	----------------

	Group 1 HBV vaccination	Group 2 HBV vaccination + G-CSF	Р
Age (years)	$48.9 \pm 15.8$	$53.3 \pm 15.1$	0.5
BMI (kg/m²)	$22.9\pm2.6$	23.7 ± 2.4	0.6
Albumin (g/dL)	$3.6 \pm 0.7$	$4.0\pm0.5$	0.4
Sex (male/female)	10/3	10/3	1.0
Developing protective level (+/-)*	4/9	8/5	0.2

\*HBS-Ab titer > 10 IU/L was considered as developing protective level (+) and values less than 10 IU/L was considered as negative (-).

#### Table 2. Primary renal diseases

Type of renal disease	Group 1 HBV vaccination	Group 2 HBV vaccination + G-CSF
Hypertension	3	4
Diabetic nephropathy	4	6
Infection	3	1
Glomerulonephritis	1	-
Unknown	2	2
Total	13	13

dose of GM-CSF as an adjuvant in healthy nonresponders (33). GM-CSF has also been investigated as an adjuvant therapy to enhance HBV vaccine response in hemodialysis patients in primary vaccination (24-29). Kapoor et al. noted a significant increase in seroconversion rate (100% vs. 44%), and mean HBS-Ab titer (70 vs. 22 IU/L) 1 month after 4 doses (40 µg each) of HBV vaccine (Engerix-B) plus one dose of GM-CSF (3 µg/kg) as compared to 4 doses of vaccine alone (25).

Amanda et al. showed a much higher response rate in hemodialysis patients who received three doses of HBV vaccine (40 mcg each, given in deltoid muscle) 24 hours after one dose of GM-CSF (4-5 mcg/kg, given subcutaneously) as compared to patients who received vaccine alone (83% vs. 33%) (26). They also showed that in hemodialysis patients who had failed standard double-dose HBV vaccine, a booster dose of HBV vaccine 24 hours after a dose of GM-CSF significantly increased response rate as compared with a booster dose vaccine alone (87.5% vs. 25%) (26). Hess et al. studied the efficacy of one dose of 0.5 µg/kg, 5 µg/kg or 10 µg/kg GM-CSF, administered subcutaneously 24 hours prior to a booster IM dose of 40 µg HBV vaccine in non-responder hemodialysis patients (i.e., had not responded to at least 3 standard vaccinations of 40 mcg HBV vaccine) and found 46.7% seroconversion rate 4 weeks after the booster dose vaccine. The best response rate (80%) was seen with 5 µg/kg GM-CSF (27). However, Evans et al. found no significant difference in seroconversion rate at day 21 when a single dose of 40 µg or 80 µg GM-CSF or placebo was administered with a 40 µg HBV vaccine in hemodialysis patients who had not seroconverted after at least 3 doses of recombinant HBV vaccine (28). The lower dose of GM-CSF (0.5-1 mcg/kg) used in that study was administered IM at the time of injection of HBV vaccine, rather than subcutaneously 24 hours earlier, and this may explain the lack of its efficacy (28).

The rationale for using GM-CSF lies in its multiple effects on the immune system, which include macrophage activation, increasing MHC class II antigen expression, enhancing memory cell generation via T and B cell activation, enhancing cell maturation and migration, increasing the number of circulating monocytes and enhancing their differentiation to professional antigen presenting cells, and enhancing dendritic cell maturation (especially type 2 dendritic cells) (29-34). However, in a study which nonresponder hemodialysis patients were given two additional booster vaccines, and both preceded by administration of GM-CSF the day before, the GM- CSF was an effective adjuvant for HBV vaccination while it paradoxically decreased the antigen presenting capacity of peripheral blood mononuclear cells and the number of circulating dendritic cells (29).

Moreover, in a randomized, placebo-controlled trial, subcutaneous administration of GM-CSF, as a vaccine adjuvant, at the time of vaccination did not augment the antibody response to influenza or hepatitis A, or cellular response to tetanus and diphtheria toxoid in healthy volunteers (35,36). Despite these contradictory results on the mechanism of action of GM-CSF, a recent meta-analysis has favored GM-CSF as compared to controls, and showed a significant dose/response effect of GM-CSF (37).

In contrast to GM-CSF, G-CSF is a lineage specific colony-stimulating factor. While it mainly affects neutrophils, it also affect antigen presenting cells (35). There is only one report of successfully using G-CSF (Neupogen) as a vaccine adjuvant in an individual who had previously failed three courses of conventional vaccination (38). Moreover, G-CSF has been suggested to be better tolerated than GM-CSF (39). Our study is unique in that we used G-CSF (Neupogen) as adjuvant to HBV vaccine in previously non-responder hemodialysis patients. In our study the seroconversion rate in non-responder hemodialysis patients, following 40 µg HBV vaccine plus 5 µg/kg subcutaneous G-CSF was 60% compared to 40%, if the booster dose of HBV vaccine was administered alone. The lack of statistically significant difference in response rates of the 2 groups could be due to the small number of patients studied. Moreover, the application of G-CSF was not associated with any adverse events.

#### 6. Conclusions

In summary, prospective studies with larger number of patients, and using G-CSF or other innovative methods to enhance antibody response to HBV vaccination are needed. Moreover, longer follow up of the patients is needed to evaluate the duration of protection induced by each vaccination strategy.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate generous free supply of G-CSF (Neupogen) by Amgen pharmaceuticals to perform this study.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors wrote the paper equally.

### **Conflict of interests**

The authors declared no competing interests.

#### **Funding/Support**

None.

#### References

- Tokars JI, Miller ER, Alter MJ, Arduino MJ. National surveillance of dialysis associated disease in the United States 1995. ASAIO J 1998; 44(1): 98-107.
- Descamps–Latscha B, Witko–Sarsat V, Jungers P. Infection and immunity in end-stage renal disease. In: Principles and Practice of Dialysis, 2nd edition. Lippicott Williams & Wilkins Co.; 1999. p. 272-84.
- Robinson WS. Hepatitis B virus and hepatitis D virus in: Mandell, Douglas and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases, 5th edition. Churchill Livingstone Co.; 2000. p. 1652-85.
- Harnett JD, Parfrey PS, Kennedy M, Zeldis JB, Steinman TI, Guttmann RD. The long-term outcome of hepatitis B infection in hemodialysis patients. Am J Kidney Dis 1988; 11(3): 210-3.
- Gahl GM, Hess G, Arnold W, Grams G. Hepatitis B virus markers in 97 long-term hemodialysis patients. Nephron 1979; 24(2): 58-63.
- Redeker AG. Viral hepatitis: Clinical aspects. Am J Med Sci 1975; 270(1): 9-16.
- Rangel MC, Coronado VG, Euler GL, Strikas RA. Vaccine recommendations for patients on chronic dialysis. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices and the American Academy of Pediatrics. Seminars Dial 2000; 13(2): 101-7
- Stevens CE, Alter HJ, Taylor PE, Zang EA, Harley EJ, Szmuness W. Hepatitis B vaccine in patients receiving hemodialysis. Immunogenicity and efficacy. N Engl J Med 1984; 311(8): 496-501.
- Krishnamurthy G, Kher V, Naik S. Immunogenicity and efficacy of hepatitis B vaccination in Indian chronic renal failure patients on hemodialysis and after renal transplantation. Nephron 1996; 74(2): 424-5.
- 10. Mettang T, Schenk U, Thomas S, Machleidt C, Kiefer T, Fischer FP, *et al.* Low-dose intradermal versus intramuscular hepatitis B vaccination in patients with end-stage renal failure. A preliminary study. Nephron. 1996; 72(2): 192-6.
- Propst T, Propst A, Lhotta K, Vogel W, Konig P. Reinforced intradermal hepatitis B vaccination in hemodialysis patients is superior in antibody response to intramuscular or subcutaneous vaccination. Am J Kidney Dis 1998; 32(6): 1041-5.
- Cappel R, Van Beers D, Liesnard C, Dratwa M. Impaired humoral and cell-mediated immune responses in dialyzed patients after influenza vaccination. Nephron 1983; 33(1): 21-5.
- Beyer WE, Versluis DJ, Kramer P, Diderich PP, Weimar W, Masurel N. Trivalent influenza vaccine in patients on haemodialysis: impaired seroresponse with differences for A-H3N2 and A-H1N1 vaccine components. Vaccine 1987; 5(1): 43-8.
- 14. Kurz P, Köhler H, Meuer S, Hütteroth T, Meyer zum Büschenfelde KH. Impairedcellular immune responses in chronic renal failure: evidence for a T cell defect.

Kidney Int 1986; 29(6): 1209-14.

- Chatenoud L, Dugas B, Beaurain G, Touam M, Drueke T, Vasquez A, *et al.* Presence of preactivated T cells in hemodialyzed patients: their possible role in altered immunity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1986; 83(19): 7457-61.
- Miller ER, Alter MJ, Tokars JI. Protective effect of hepatitis B vaccine in chronic hemodialysis patients. Am J Kidney Dis 1999; 33(2): 356-60.
- Saab S, Weston SR, Ly D, Brezina M, Yee HF Jr, Han SH, *et al.* Comparison of the cost and effectiveness of two strategies for maintaining hepatitis B immunity in hemodialysis patients. Vaccine 2002; 20(25-26): 3230-5.
- Roozbeh J, Moini M, Lankarani KB, Sagheb MM, Shahpoori S, Bastani B. Low dose intradermal versus high dose intramuscular hepatitis B vaccination in patients on chronic hemodialysis. ASAIO 2005; 51(3): 242-5.
- Marangi AL, Giordano R, Montanaro A, De Padova F, Schiavone MG, Dongiovanni G, *et al.* Hepatitis B virus infection in chronic uremia: long-term follow-up of a two-step integrated protocol of vaccination. Am J Kidney Dis 1994; 23(4): 537-42.
- Chau KF, Cheng YL, Tsang DN, Choi KS, Wong KM, Chak WL, *et al.* Efficacy and side effects of intradermal hepatitis B vaccination in CAPD patients: a comparison with the intramuscular vaccination. Am J Kidney Dis 2004; 43(5): 910-7.
- Charest AF, McDougall J, Goldstein MB. A randomized comparison of intradermal and intramuscular vaccination against hepatitis B virus in incident chronic hemodialysis patients. Am J Kidney Dis 2000; 36(5): 976-82.
- Donati D, Gastaldi L. Controlled trial of thymopentin in hemodialysis patients who failed to respond to hepatitis B vaccination. Nephron 1988; 50(2): 133-6.
- Tong NK, Beran J, Kee SA, Miguel JL, Sánchez C, Bayas JM, *et al.* Immunogenicity and safety of an adjuvanted hepatitis B vaccine in pre-hemodialysis and hemodialysis patients. Kidney Int 2005; 68(5): 2298-303.
- Singh NP, Mandal SK, Thakur A, Kapoor D, Anuradha S, Prakash A, *et al.* Efficacy of GM-CSF as an adjuvant to hepatitis B vaccination in patients with chronic renal failure. Renal Failure 2003; 25(2): 255-66.
- Kapoor D, Aggarwal SR, Singh NP, Thakur V, Sarin SK. Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor enhances the efficacy of hepatitis B virus in previously unvaccinated hemodialysis patients. J Viral Hepat 1999; 6(5): 405-9.
- Anandh U, Bastani B, Ballals S. Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor as an adjuvant to hepatitis B vaccination in maintenance hemodialysis patients. Am J Nephrol 2000; 20(1): 53-6.
- 27. Hess G, Kreiter F, Kosters W, Deusch K. The effect of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor

(GM-CSF) on hepatitis B vaccination in hemodialysis patients. J Viral Hepat 1996; 3(3): 149-53.

- 28. Evans TG, Schiff M, Graves B, Agosti J, Barritt ML, Garner D, *et al.* The safety and efficacy of GM-CSF as an adjuvant in hepatitis B vaccination of chronic hemodialysis patients who have failed primary vaccination. Clin Nephrol 2000; 54(2): 138-42.
- 29. Verkade MA, van de Wetering J, Klepper M, Vaessen LM, Weimar W, Betjes G. Peripheral blood dendritic cells and GM-CSF as an adjuvant for hepatitis B vaccination in hemodialysis patients. Kidney Int 2004; 66(2): 614-21.
- West DJ, Canlandra GB. Vaccine induced immunologic memory for hepatitis B surface antigen: implications for policy on booster vaccination. Vaccine 1996; 14(11): 1019-27.
- Bock HL, Kruppenbacher J, Sanger R, Hobel W, Clemens R, Jilg W. Immunogenicity of a recombinant hepatitis B vaccine in adults. Arch Intern Med 1996; 156(19): 2226-31.
- 32. Poland GA, Jacobson RM. Clinical practice: Prevention of hepatitis B with hepatitis B vaccine. N Engl J Med 2005; 351(27): 2832-8.
- 33. Kim MJ, Nafziger AN, Harro CD, Keyserling HL, Ramsey KM, Drusano GL, *et al.* Revaccination of healthy nonresponders with hepatitis B vaccine and prediction of seroprotection response. Vaccine 2003; 21(11-12): 1174-9.
- Lin R, Tarr PE, Jones TC. Present status of the use of cytokines as adjuvants with vaccines to protect against infectious diseases. Clinical Infectious Disease 1995; 21(6): 1439-49.
- Arpinati M, Green CL, Heimfeld S, Heuser JE, Anasetti C. Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor mobilizes T-helper 2 inducing denritic cells. Blood 2000; 95(8): 2484-90.
- Somani J, Lonial S, Rosenthal H, Resnick S, Kakhniashvili I, Waller EK. A randomized placebo controlled trial of subcutaneous administration of GM-CSF as a vaccine adjuvant: effect on cellular and humoral immune responses. Vaccine 2002; 21(3-4): 221-30.
- Cruciani M, Mengoli C, Serpelloni G, Mazzi R, Bosco O, Malena M. Granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor as an adjuvant for hepatitis B vaccination: A metaanalysis. Vaccine 2007; 25(4): 709-18.
- Tanwar S, Thursz M. Granulocyte colony stimulating factor as a novel adjunct to improve hepatitis B vaccination. World J Hepatol 2010; 2(3): 136-8.
- 39. Weaver CH, Schulman KA, Wilson-Relyea B, Birch R, West W, Buckner CD. Randomized trial of filgrastim, sargramostim, or sequential sargramostim and filgrastim after myelosuppressive chemotherapy for the harvesting of peripheral blood stem cells. J Clin Oncol 2000; 18(1): 43-53.

**Copyright** © 2015 The Author(s); Published by Society of Diabetic Nephropathy Prevention. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.