

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. of evidence-based protocol implementation and multidisciplinary care is associated with improved outcomes and reduced length of stay for mechanically ventilated patients.^{13,14} The application of such measures might allow early discharge of patients with COVID-19 and admission of new patients without the investment required to provide additional ICU beds. Optimising the use of scarce resources is even more challenging, but vital, in developing countries, where adherence to low V_{τ} and other process-of-care measures can be suboptimal.15

Considering the severity and unparalleled number of cases of COVID-19 pneumonia in ICUs, we must ensure the delivery of high-quality care for mechanically ventilated patients (figure). More than adjunctive treatments or expensive immune therapies, for which evidence of efficacy is lacking, the focus should be on the careful application of evidence-based approaches associated with improved outcomes in ARDS over the past three decades.

JIFS is supported in part by individual research grants from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ). We declare no competing interests

*Jorge I F Salluh, Fernando Ramos, Jean Daniel Chiche jorgesalluh@gmail.com

Department of Critical Care and Postgraduate Program in Translational Medicine, D'Or Institute for Research and Education, Rio de Janeiro, CEP 22281-100, Brazil (IIFS): Programa de Pós-Graduação em Clínica Médica. Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (JIFS); Intensive Care Department, Hospital BP Mirante, São Paulo, Brazil (FR); Anesthesiology, Pain and Intensive Care Department, Federal University of São Paulo-UNIFESP, São Paulo, Brazil (FR); Medical Intensive Care Unit, Hôpital Cochin, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France (JDC); and Institut Cochin, INSERM U1016, CNRS UMR 8104, Paris, France (JDC)

- Phua J, Weng L, Ling L, et al. Intensive care management of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): challenges and recommendations. Lancet Respir Med 2020; 8: 506-17.
- Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, et al. Baseline characteristics and 2 outcomes of 1591 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy region, Italy. JAMA 2020; 323: 1574-81.
- Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE, et al. Remdesivir for the treatment of 3 Covid-19 — preliminary report. N Engl J Med 2020; published online May 22. DOI:10.1056/NEJMoa2007764.
 - Alhazzani W, Møller MH, Arabi YM, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: guidelines on the management of critically ill adults with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Intensive Care Med 2020; 46: 854-87.
- Máca J, Jor O, Holub M, et al. Past and present ARDS mortality rates: a systematic review. Respir Care 2017; 62: 113-22.
- Weissman GE, Gabler NB, Brown SES, Halpern SD. ICU capacity strain and 6 adherence to prophylaxis guidelines. J Crit Care 2015; 30: 1303-09
- Bellani G, Laffey JG, Pham T, et al. Epidemiology, patterns of care, and mortality for patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome in intensive care units in 50 countries. JAMA 2016; 315: 788-800
- 8 Brower RG, Matthay MA, Morris A, Schoenfeld D, Thompson BT, Wheeler A. Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 2000; 342: 1301-08.
- Papazian L, Aubron C, Brochard L, et al. Formal guidelines: management of 9 acute respiratory distress syndrome. Ann Intensive Care 2019; 9: 69.
- Moss M, Huang DT, Brower RG, et al. Early neuromuscular blockade in the 10 acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 2019; 380: 1997-2008.
- 11 Devlin JW, Skrobik Y, Gélinas C, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the prevention and management of pain, agitation/sedation, delirium, immobility, and sleep disruption in adult patients in the ICU. Crit Care Med 2018; 46: 825-73.
- Helms J, Tacquard C, Severac F, et al. High risk of thrombosis in patients 12 with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study. Intensive Care Med 2020; published online May 4. DOI:10.1007/s00134-020-06062-x
- Soares M, Bozza FA, Angus DC, et al. Organizational characteristics, 13 outcomes, and resource use in 78 Brazilian intensive care units: the ORCHESTRA study. Intensive Care Med 2015; 41: 2149-60.
- Zampieri FG, Salluh JIF, Azevedo LCP, et al. ICU staffing feature phenotypes 14 and their relationship with patients' outcomes: an unsupervised machine learning analysis. Intensive Care Med 2019; 45: 1599-607.
- Cavalcanti AB, Bozza FA, Machado FR, et al. Effect of a quality 15 improvement intervention with daily round checklists, goal setting, and clinician prompting on mortality of critically ill patients: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2016; 315: 1480-90.
- Wiedemann HP, Wheeler AP, Bernard GR, et al. Comparison of two fluid-16 management strategies in acute lung injury. N Eng J Med 2006; 354: 2564-75.

(M) Health equity and distributive justice considerations in critical care resource allocation

Published Online lune 22, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2213-2600(20)30277-0

This online publication has been corrected. The corrected version first appeared at thelancet.com/respiratory on August 4, 2020 Amid the possibility of resource shortages in health care during a public health crisis, guiding principles established by several groups advocate for allocating lifesustaining treatments on the basis of a patient's chances of survival, resulting in an approach of saving the most lives possible.¹ To assist in this approach, many triage frameworks use acute illness scores to predict shortterm mortality.¹ The sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA)² score has received attention as a mortality prediction tool during the COVID-19 pandemic and is likely to be used by hospitals in some manner as a triage tool. Although the SOFA score's use has been validated for a variety of purposes in studies done in dozens of countries,² two clear limitations exist. First, there are insufficient data on how the SOFA score performs as a predictor of COVID-19 outcomes and on outcomes in specific populations based on features such as race and ethnicity. Second, even if the SOFA score predicts outcomes reliably, it is far from clear that using it as a tool for allocating critical care resources is fair. For example,

in the context of sepsis, a syndrome caused by infections such as COVID-19,³ African Americans, compared with white people, have disproportionately greater incidence of sepsis⁴ and worse physiological effects upon sepsis presentation;⁴ therefore, SOFA scores might be unfavourably higher in African Americans during this pandemic.

COVID-19's impact on the US population is resulting in a range of disparate health outcomes for minority communities, with African Americans accounting for the majority of COVID-19-attributable deaths in large urban cities and substantial portions in states overall.5-7 Shortages of critical care resources will therefore fall hardest on these populations. In this context, how can hospitals and state governments allocate scarce resources during the pandemic using triage scores (such as the SOFA score) in ways that will avoid reinforcing or multiplying the effects of existing systemic inequities? How should racial and social disparities be factored into triage approaches to resource allocation for critical resources during the COVID-19 pandemic? If they are not, then triage scores, as objective as they might seem, will perpetuate disparities in the worst way: allocating resources away from marginalised populations in the USA.8

We propose applying established health equity and distributive justice principles for triaging scarce resources during the COVID-19 pandemic. First, triage teams should undergo unconscious bias training designed to recognise and attenuate implicit prejudice.9 Such training should be implemented by each institution, overseen by faculty experts, and encompass self-evaluations as well as simulations provided several times throughout the year. Second, abiding by health equity principles will result in establishing frequent checkpoints to assess current trends in resource allocation and clinical outcomes, allowing both identification of resulting health disparities and clarification of whether allocation strategies are increasing disparities. Third, resources provided for and outcomes of patients with COVID-19 should be reviewed on the basis of sociodemographic variables-eg, race, ethnicity, gender, language spoken, insurance and access to health care, health literacy, census tract, and ability status. In the UK, there is a legal duty to make reasonable adjustments for people with disabilities when allocating critical care resources.¹⁰ In the USA, a similar legal duty applies but to a narrower range of situations. Fourth, such reviews should be done by an established multidisciplinary team within the hospital, separate from those making the triage decisions. The review team should be comprised of experts from various fields and sectors that have a role in advancing health equity, as well as people from the local community that a hospital serves who can provide insight into accessing those most at risk.

An important consideration in the review process would be how or whether to adjust for competing prioritiessaving the most lives versus health equity principles and distributive justice. If disparities in the allocation of scarce resources determined by a SOFA-based triage algorithm are discovered, then the protocol should be adapted by the multidisciplinary health equity team to account for resulting disparities. An adaptation to consider is a health equity adjustment factor in allocation frameworks and scoring systems that would create more equity for access to scarce life-saving resources. For example, using a correction factor based on (1) racial differences in patient outcomes; (2) the demographic characteristics of those who are allocated the scarce resources because of a hospital or health system's triage process; or (3) racial differences in comorbidities at the population level. Another approach that merits consideration is a reserve system, in which a specific number of resources are set aside for individuals from marginalised populations and the remaining resources are allocated via the traditional scoring system.¹¹ Alternatively, disadvantaged patients might be guaranteed a longer time with the resource, because a previous study showed that African Americans have a longer duration of stay in intensive care, but similar mortality rate to white people.¹² We must consider that allocating resources using a health equity adjustment factor might mean that patients with more severe disease who are receiving resources might not survive—justice might entail that saving the most lives possible should not be the primary priority. Regardless of the approach chosen, the health equity review team must meet with community leaders, especially those who serve an area's most under-resourced populations, to establish and maintain transparency at all times for all stakeholders. Community leaders would be chosen to reflect the demographics of a hospital catchment area.¹³ A pandemic is not the time to ignore the decades of work assuring that health equity has a rightly seated place among the priorities of medicine and science.



Objective scores for organ dysfunction that might be used to triage scarce critical care resources must be reviewed in the context of health equity. The SOFA score was not designed to consider or account for the complexity of historical socioeconomic marginalisation and injustice experienced by various racial, ethnic, and other marginalised groups. Objective scores such as SOFA can ostensibly be viewed as a way to reduce individual bias and are reasonable for prognostication if allocation teams are assessing populations with similar lived experiences. But many communities in the USA do not have similar lived experiences and exhibit health disparities resulting from structural racism and injustice. Using assessments such as the SOFA score without incorporating appropriate health equity principles might have the unintended consequence of reinforcing health disparities and further undermining trust in health care and health-care institutions at a critical moment in history.14 Medicine is a public trust, and must remain so by reaffirming its principles of justice at all times.

We declare no competing interests.

Panagis Galiatsatos, Allen Kachalia, Harolyn M E Belcher, Mark T Hughes, Jeffrey Kahn, Cynda H Rushton, Jose I Suarez, Lee Daugherty Biddison, *Sherita H Golden sahill@jhmi.edu

Office of Diversity, Inclusion, and Health Equity (PG, SHG), Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine (PG, LDB), Department of Medicine (PG, AK, MTH, CHR, LDB, SHG), Armstrong Institute for Patient Safety and Quality (AK, SHG), Department of Pediatrics (HMEB, CHR), and Division of Neurosciences Critical Care, Departments of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Neurology, and Neurosurgery (JIS), Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA; Medicine for the Greater Good, Johns Hopkins Bayview Medical Center, Baltimore, MD, USA (PG); Center for Diversity in Public Health Leadership Training, Kennedy Krieger Institute, Baltimore, MD, USA (HMEB); Berman Institute of Bioethics (MTH, JK, CHR) and Department of Epidemiology (SHG), Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA; and Johns Hopkins University School of Nursing, Baltimore, MD, USA (CHR)

- Maves RC, Downar J, Dichter JR, et al. Triage of scarce critical care resources in COVID-19 an implementation guide for regional allocation: an expert panel report of the task force for mass critical care and the American College of Chest Physicians. *Chest* 2020; published online April 11. DOI:10.1016/j.chest.2020.03.063.
- 2 Lambden S, Laterre PF, Levy MM, Francois B. The SOFA score-development, utility and challenges of accurate assessment in clinical trials. *Crit Care* 2019; 23: 374.
- 3 Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, et al. Baseline characteristics and outcomes of 1591 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy region, Italy. JAMA 2020; 323: 1574–81.
- 4 Soto GJ, Martin GS, Gong MN. Healthcare disparities in critical illness. Crit Care Med 2013; 41: 2784–93.
- 5 Ferdinand KC, Nasser SA. African-American COVID-19 mortality: a sentinel event. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020; 75: 2746–48.
- 6 Yancy CW. COVID-19 and African Americans. JAMA 2020; **323:** 1891.
- The Foundation for AIDS Research. amfAR study shows disproportionate impact of COVID-19 on Black Americans. May 5, 2020. https://www.amfar. org/amfAR-Study-Shows-Disproportionate-Impact-of-COVID-19-on-Black-Americans/ (accessed May 7, 2020).
- 8 Schmidt H. The way we ration ventilators is biased. New York Times 2020; April 15. https://www.nytimes.com/2020/04/15/opinion/covid-ventilatorrationing-blacks.html (accessed June 12, 2020).
- 9 Lai CK, Marini M, Lehr SA, et al. Reducing implicit racial preferences: I. A comparative investigation of 17 interventions. J Exp Psychol Gen 2014; 143: 1765–85.
- 10 Liddell K, Skopek JM, Palmer S, Martin S, Anderson J, Sagar A. Who gets the ventilator? Important legal rights in a pandemic. J Med Ethics 2020; published online May 11. DOI:10.1136/medethics-2020-106332.
- 11 Pathak PA, Sönmez T, Utku Ünver M, Bumin Yenmez M. Leaving no ethical value behind: triage protocol design for pandemic rationing. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research. April, 2020. https://www.nber. org/papers/w26951.pdf (accessed July 1, 2020).
- 12 Erickson SE, Shlipak MG, Martin GS, et al. Racial and ethnic disparities in mortality from acute lung injury. *Crit Care Med* 2009; **37:** 1–6.
- 13 Biddison ELD, Gwon HS, Schoch-Spana M, et al. Scarce resource allocation during disasters: a mixed-method community engagement study. *Chest* 2018; **153**: 187–95.
- 14 Williams DR, Cooper LA. COVID-19 and health equity—a new kind of "herd immunity". JAMA 2020; published online May 11. DOI:10.1001/ jama.2020.8051.