Alterations of MEN1 and E-cadherin/β-catenin complex in sporadic pulmonary carcinoids

SERENA VESCHI¹, ROSSANO LATTANZIO¹, GITANA MARIA ACETO¹, MARIA CRISTINA CURIA², SALVATORE MAGNASCO⁴, DOMENICO ANGELUCCI⁴, ALESSANDRO CAMA³, MAURO PIANTELLI¹ and PASQUALE BATTISTA¹

Departments of ¹Experimental and Clinical Sciences, ²Medical, Oral and Biotechnological Sciences and ³Drug Sciences, University 'G. d'Annunzio'-Chieti-Pescara; ⁴Division of Pathology, 'SS. Annunziata' Hospital, I-66013 Chieti, Italy

Received May 30, 2012; Accepted June 29, 2012

DOI: 10.3892/ijo.2012.1563

Abstract. Pulmonary carcinoids, distinct in typical and atypical, represent 2-5% of all primary lung tumors. The aim of this study was to investigate the molecular alterations correlated with the development of this form of neoplasms. A collection of 38 paraffin-embedded apparently sporadic carcinoids was investigated, through a combined study, for protein expression/ localization of menin, p53, β-catenin and E-cadherin and for mutational analysis of the MEN1, TP53 and CTNNB1 genes. Menin was expressed in 71% of cases, with a prevalent cytoplasmic (c) localization, β -catenin was expressed in 68.4% of cases, of which 36.8% with a membranous (m) and 31.6% with a cytoplasmic localization. Membranous E-cadherin immunoreactivity was detected in 84.2% cases, nuclear p53 expression in 5.3% of cases. Positive correlation was found between c-menin and c-\beta-catenin expression (rho=0.439, P=0.008). In addition, m-β-catenin showed a positive correlation with both c-β-catenin and E-cadherin expression (rho=0.380, P=0.022 and rho=0.360, P=0.040, respectively). With regard to the E-cadherin/β-catenin complex, we found also a significant positive correlation between c-menin and 'disarrayed' β-catenin expression (rho=0.481, P=0.007). MEN1 gene variants were characterized in 34% of cases. c-menin was more highly expressed in tumors with MEN1 variants, compared to tumors without MEN1 variants (P=0.023). Three nucleotide variants of TP53 were also detected. This study confirms the involvement of the MEN1 gene in the development of sporadic pulmonary carcinoids, demonstrates the accumulation of menin in the cytoplasm, and indicates that the disarrayed pattern of the complex significantly correlates with c-menin accumulation.

Introduction

Pulmonary carcinoids (PCs) account for ~2-5% of all primary lung tumors (1) and on the basis of the histopathological, biological and clinical features are distinct in typical and atypical carcinoids (TCs and ACs respectively) (2). This distinction is based on neuroendocrine morphology, number of mitoses, absence or presence of necrosis and size of primary tumor (3). Most PCs are confined to the main or lobar bronchi (4), however, 10-15% of cases present with regional lymph node metastases, thus they are classified as malignant, albeit low-grade, neoplasms (1). Distant metastases involving liver, bone, adrenal gland and brain occur in 15% of cases (5). The 5-year survival of TCs is 87-100%, whereas ACs have a more aggressive clinical course, with a 5-year survival of 37-71% (4).

PCs are rare and usually occur sporadically. Infrequently (5%) they arise in association with multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 (MEN1), an autosomal-dominant familial tumor syndrome characterized by a high frequency of endocrine neoplasms (6). The MEN1 gene is also implicated in the pathogenesis of sporadic PCs, and mutations of this gene have been the first genetic alterations identified in these tumors (6). Somatic MEN1 mutations have been detected in 35% of bronchial carcinoid tumors (7). Overall, inactivation of the MEN1 gene by mutation is detectable in ~47% of sporadic TCs and in ~70% of sporadic ACs (8). Recently, somatic inactivating mutations in MEN1 have been also reported in 44% of pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (9,10). Menin, the protein encoded by the MEN1 gene, is a component of histone methyltransferase complexes (11-13) and is ubiquitously expressed. It is predominantly a nuclear protein in non-dividing cells, but in dividing cells it is found mainly in the cytoplasm (7). Menin regulates gene transcription, cell proliferation, apoptosis and genomic stability. One of the proteins interacting with menin is β -catenin, an E-cadherin signaling component, that acts as a transcription factor and whose dysregulation has been associated with the development and progression of many solid tumors, including several types of endocrine tumors (14,15). The E-cadherin/ β -catenin complex localizes at the cell membrane in essentially all normal and hyperplastic neuroendocrine cells of the lower respiratory tract, giving rise to a membrane-linear immunostaining pattern. The expression of the E-cadherin/ β -catenin complex appears

Correspondence to: Professor Pasquale Battista, Department of Clinical and Experimental Sciences, University 'G. d'Annunzio' Via dei Vestini 31, I-66013 Chieti, Italy E-mail: p.battista@unich.it

Key words: pulmonary carcinoids, *MEN1*, *CTNNB1*, *TP53*, mutation, β-catenin, E-cadherin, immunohistochemistry

conserved in pulmonary neuroendocrine tumors. However, the subcellular compartmentalization of E-cadherin and \beta-catenin is profoundly heterogeneous in diverse tumor types, and reflects in a differential distribution of the membrane-linear/disarrayed immunostaining pattern ratio (13). Only a minority of lung neuroendocrine tumors show a nuclear translocation of β-catenin, most cases showing a membranous colocalization with E-cadherin. The β -catenin nuclear accumulation appears to be an exclusive feature of a subset of high-grade neuroendocrine tumors (14). Consistently, abnormal cytoplasmic and/ or nuclear localization of the E-cadherin/\beta-catenin complex are independent predictors of lymph node metastasis in ACs (14,15). Finally, LOH and point mutations of the TP53 locus on chromosome 17p13 have also been detected in 10% of TCs and in 45% of ACs, and were proposed to increase with the severity of the tumor type (16).

However, a comprehensive scenario of the molecular alterations associated with PCs and of their interactions is still missing. Hence, we investigated 38 sporadic PCs for protein expression/ localization (nuclear, cytoplasmic and membranous) of menin, p53, E-cadherin and β -catenin combined with mutational analysis of *MEN1*, *TP53*, *CTNNB1* genes. Our findings show correlations of specific alterations patterns in different sub-sets, thus suggesting different molecular mechanisms in tumor subgroups. This may reflect in differential molecular taxonomy of PCs.

Materials and methods

Tissue samples. Archived formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) blocks of 38 apparently sporadic PCs consecutively diagnosed between 2001-2008 at the Institute of Pathology, 'S.S.Annunziata' Hospital, Chieti, Italy were retrieved. All tumors were reviewed for diagnosis. Cases were classified as TC (30 cases) or AC (8 cases) carcinoid tumors (WHO classification) (2). For each case both tumor and normal tissues were available. The study was reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of the 'S.S. Annunziata' Hospital.

Tissue microarray (TMA) construction and IHC. TMA was constructed by extracting 2-mm diameter cores of histologically confirmed neoplastic area and re-embedding the cores into gridded paraffin blocks, using a precision instrument (Beecher Instruments, Sun Prairie, WI). TMA sections were stained using the anti-Menin polyclonal rabbit antibody (1:350 dilution, 30 min, Bethyl Laboratories Inc., Montgomery, TX). For β -catenin, in order to validate the results of the immunohistochemistry analysis, we used two commercially available mouse monoclonal antibodies raised against the C-terminal domain of β-catenin, clone 17C2 (1:100 dilution, 60 min, Novocastra, Laboratories Ltd., Newcastle, UK) and 14/β-catenin (1:150 dilution, 60 min, BD Transduction Laboratories, San Jose, CA). The anti-E-cadherin (1:50 dilution, 30 min, HECD-1, Zymed Laboratories Inc., San Francisco, CA) and the anti-p53 (1:50 dilution, 30 min, DO7, Novocastra) mouse monoclonal antibodies were also used. Antigen retrieval was performed by microwave treatment at 750 W for 10 min in 10 mM sodium citrate buffer pH 6.0 (S2031, Dako, Glostrup, Denmark), except for sections stained with the anti-p53 antibody that were treated in thermostatic bath at 96°C for 40 min in sodium citrate buffer (Dako) The anti-mouse and the anti-rabbit EnVision kits (Dako) were used for signal amplification, as appropriate. In control sections the specific primary antibody was omitted or replaced with non-immune serum or isotype-matched immunoglobulins.

Immunohistochemical results were evaluated by two pathologists (M. Piantelli and R. Lattanzio) by consensus without knowledge of the clinicopathologic information. Menin and p53 status were considered positive when $\geq 1\%$ of the tumor cells were stained. The immunostaining pattern of tumor cells for β -catenin and E-cadherin was defined as arrayed or disarrayed according to the immunohistochemical criteria proposed by Pelosi *et al* (14). Arrayed staining was defined as a membraneassociated, linear pattern of immunoreactivity for β -catenin and E-cadherin, which decorated entire the cell membrane. Disarrayed staining was defined as a membrane staining observed along with variable cytoplasmic accumulation or if a prevalent cytoplasmic staining with only minimal or absent membrane labeling.

DNA extraction. Representative areas of tumor and normal tissues were identified within hematoxylin-counterstained deparaffinized sections and separated by manual microdissection into 1.5 ml polypropilene vials. For DNA extraction we cut FFPE unsectioned core samples from the interior of the paraffin blocks selecting tumor and surrounding normal lung tissue areas. Tumor and non-tumor DNAs were extracted using the RecoverAll[™] Total Nucleic Acid Isolation kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Applied Biosystems, Forster City, CA). Purified DNA was easily amplifiable and suitable for denaturing high performance liquid chromatography (DHPLC) analysis.

Mutational analysis. DHPLC and direct sequence techniques were used to analyze the entire coding sequence of MENI gene, exons 5-8 of TP53 gene and exon 3 of the CTNNB1 gene for somatic mutations. DHPLC was performed using the Wave® Nucleic Acid Fragment Analysis system (Transgenomic Inc., San Jose, CA) and sequencing analysis using an ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Each sample was analysed for somatic nucleotide variants, by sequence comparison of tumor and non-tumor DNA. We analyzed PCR amplicons of DNA extracted from FFPE tissues by DHPLC. Tumor DNAs were analyzed for the entire MEN1 coding sequence, including intron-exon boundaries, using 13 PCR primer sets for exons 1-10, as previously described (17). We designed also a set of primers to amplify the last part of MENI exon 10 (forward: AACTCGAGCGCCATCAAGC; reverse: GGGCTCAGAGTTGGGGGGACTA).

For exons 5-8 of the *TP53* gene nested PCR amplifications were performed using primers previously described (18,19). Direct PCR for exon 3 of the *CTNNB1* gene was carried out using the forward primer designed in our laboratory (forward: TGATTTGATGGAGTTGGAC) and the reverse primer previously reported (15). Tolerability prediction of amino acid changes was tested by SIFT version 2 (available at http://blocks.fhcrc.org/sift/SIFT.html) (20). The fruitfly software (www.fruitfly.org) was used to assess *in silico* predicted effects on splicing of intron nucleotide variants.

Statistical data analysis. Comparisons between molecular markers were done by the Spearman's Rho correlation. The



Figure 1. Immunostaining in PCs. Cytoplasmic (a) and nuclear (b) menin staining; membranous staining (c) and prominent cytoplasmic staining (d) for β -catenin; membranous staining for E-cadherin (e); nuclear staining for p53 (f). Original magnification x40; scale bar, 20 μ m.

independent samples t-test was used to compare the expression of molecular markers in PCs according to *MEN1* gene status. The SPSS program (version 15.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. All cited P-values are twosided; P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Immunohistochemistry. The results of the IHC analysis for menin, β -catenin, E-cadherin and p53 expression performed on 38 PCs are reported in Table I and examples of specific immunohistochemical stainings are shown in Fig. 1. There were in total 27 menin positive tumors out of 38 (71.0%), of these, 26 out of 38 (68.4%) cases expressed menin in the cytoplasm of tumor cells (c-menin) with a mean value of positive tumor cells of 44.7±6.1 (mean ± SE) and 3 out of 38 (7.9%) tumors, two of which were also c-menin positive,

Table I. Expression of immunohistochemical markers in PCs.

	Posit	tive cases	
Marker	n	(%)	Positive tumor cells ^a
c-Menin	26	(68.4)	44.7±6.1
n-Menin	3	(7.9)	2.3±2.1
m-β-catenin	14	(36.8)	8.0±3.1
c-β-catenin	12	(31.6)	16.7±5.0
n-β-catenin	0		
E-cadherin	32	(84.2)	58.6±6.7
n-p53	2	(5.3)	2.1±1.9

^aValues given as mean (%) \pm SE; c, cytoplasmic; n, nuclear; m, membranous.

	Table II.	Spearman's	correlations	between	markers	in	PCs.
--	-----------	------------	--------------	---------	---------	----	------

А,

	c-Menin	n-Menin	m-β-catenin	c-β-catenin	E-cadherin
c-Menin					
Rho ^a	1	-0.093	0.196	0.439	-0.014
Р		0.597	0.259	0.008	0.936
n-Menin					
Rho	-0.093	1	-0.077	0.007	0.004
Р	0.597		0.661	0.960	0.983
m-β-catenin					
Rho	0.196	-0.077	1	0.380	0.360
Р	0.259	0.661		0.022	0.040
c-β-catenin					
Rho	0.439	0.007	0.380	1	0.172
Р	0.008	0.960	0.022		0.340
E-cadherin					
Rho	-0.014	0.004	0.360	0.172	1
Р	0.936	0.983	0.040	0.340	

Β,

	c-Menin	β-catenin (arrayed)	β-catenin (disarrayed)	E-cadherin (arrayed)	E-cadherin (disarrayed)
c-Menin					
Rho ^a	1	-0.479	0.481	0.213	0.054
Р		0.230	0.007	0.318	0.856
β-catenin					
arrayed					
Rho	-0.479	1	-0.049	-0.127	
Р	0.230			0.765	
β-catenin					
disarrayed					
Rho	0.481		1	0.081	0.029
Р	0.007			0.765	0.922
E-cadherin					
arrayed					
Rho	0.213	-0.127	0.081	1	
Р	0.318	0.765	0.765		
E-cadherin					
disarrayed					
Rho	0.054		0.029		1
Р	0.856		0.922		

^aRho, Spearman's coefficient correlation; significant correlations (P<0.05) in bold.

showed specific nuclear immunoreactivity for menin (n-menin) (2.3 ± 2.1) .

 β -catenin-positive cases were 26 out 38 (68.4%). No differences in the β -catenin immunostaining were observed

using the two different monoclonal antibodies. Membranous β -catenin (m- β -catenin) expression was observed in 14 out of 38 (36.8%) cases, whereas cytoplasmic β -catenin (c- β -catenin) was detected in 12 out of 38 (31.6%) cases. Coexpression of m-

00	
9	
·=	
·=	
ta	
S	
Q	
9	
Э	
Ξ	
E	
9	
. –	
n	
·=	
Ð	
Ē.	
÷	
a	
्र	
(TT	
Ч	
Ē	
а	
·=	
8	
<u>9</u>	
a	
\circ	
~	
3	
~	
5	
5	
بد	
Ĵ,	
÷Ħ	
ü	
ഉ	
Ξ	
ුව	
.н	
S	
ş	
e D	
6	
×	
G	
-	
Ľ.	
5	
ŭ	
Ē.	
õ	
ă	
H	
3	
~	
)e	
~	
· - -	
Ξ	
siti	
ositi	
positi	
f positi	
of positiv	
e of positir	
ge of positir	
age of positiv	
tage of positiv	
intage of positiv	
centage of positiv	
rcentage of positiv	
ercentage of positiv	
percentage of positiv	
percentage of positiv	
he percentage of positiv	
the percentage of positiv	
a the percentage of positiv	
th the percentage of positiv	
vith the percentage of positiv	
with the percentage of positiv	
s with the percentage of positiv	
ns with the percentage of positiv	
ons with the percentage of positiv	
tions with the percentage of positiv	
ations with the percentage of positiv	
slations with the percentage of positiv	
relations with the percentage of positiv	
prrelations with the percentage of positiv	
correlations with the percentage of positivity	
correlations with the percentage of positi	
d correlations with the percentage of positi	
nd correlations with the percentage of positi	
and correlations with the percentage of positi	
e and correlations with the percentage of positi	
ne and correlations with the percentage of positi	
ene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
I gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
VI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
ZNI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
'ENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
FMENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
ts of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
nts of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
ants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
riants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
ariants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
variants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
c variants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
lic variants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
elic variants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
llelic variants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
allelic variants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
1 allelic variants of <i>MEN1</i> gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
on allelic variants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
on allelic variants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positivity	
a on allelic variants of <i>MENI</i> gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
ata on allelic variants of <i>MEN1</i> gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
Data on allelic variants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
Data on allelic variants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
I. Data on allelic variants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
III. Data on allelic variants of <i>MEN1</i> gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
III. Data on allelic variants of $MENI$ gene and correlations with the percentage of positi-	
le III. Data on allelic variants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
ble III. Data on allelic variants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	
able III. Data on allelic variants of MENI gene and correlations with the percentage of positi	

Gase no. MENV variant Extor Effect c-menin m-p53 m-f-caterin c-f-caterin c-f-caterin)	4)	•	,	•)
TC 10° 10° 10° 10° 10° 2° 54° 2 $c.1234\Gamma$ 9 $pN418N$ 72 0 0 6 63 9 $c.1234C_{\circ}\Gamma$ 9 $pN418N$ 92 63 11 $c.1234C_{\circ}\Gamma$ 9 $pN418N$ 93 0 6 63 12 $c.1234C_{\circ}\Gamma$ 9 $pN418N$ 93 0 0 0 0 0 13 $c.234C_{\circ}\Gamma$ 9 $pN418N$ 90 <	Case no.	<i>MENI</i> variant	Exon	Effect	c-menin	n-menin	n-p53	m-ß-catenin	c-ß-catenin	E-cadherin
2 c.1254T 9 p.N418N 72 0 0 2 54 9 c.446.5CyT 1V32 No effect on splicing 100 0 6 6 53 1 c.1254CyT 9 p.N418N 100 0 0 6 6 53 1 c.1254CyT 9 p.N418N 93 0 0 9 92 11 c.1254CyT 9 p.N418N 93 0 9 92 12 c.445 CyT 19 p.N418N 9 0 9 9 13 c.1254CyT 9 p.N418N 100 0 0 9 9 14 0 p.1436N 100 0 0 9 3 15 c.435CyT 2 p.1436N 100 0 0 9 3 16 c.1254CyT 9 p.1436N 100 0 0 9 3	TC									
9 c.446-5C>T IVS2 No effect on splicing 100 0 6 63 11 c.1254C>T 9 p.N418N 9 p.N418N 9	7	c.1254T	6	p.N418N	72	0	0	7	54	12
c.1254C>T 9 pN418N 9 pN418N 92 9 pN418N 92 9 pN418N 92 9 pN418N 93 0 0 0 0 9 9 9 pN418N 92 9 pN418N 9 pN418N 9 pN418N 9 pN418N 9 0 </td <td>9</td> <td>c.446-5C>T</td> <td>IVS2</td> <td>No effect on splicing</td> <td>100</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>9</td> <td>63</td> <td>100</td>	9	c.446-5C>T	IVS2	No effect on splicing	100	0	0	9	63	100
II c.1234CxT 9 pN418N 93 0 88 92 I2 c.446.5CxT IVS2 No effection splicing 40 0		c.1254C>T	6	p.N418N						
12 c.446-5C-T IVS2 No effect on splicing 40 0 0 0 0 0 c.1254C-T 9 p.N418N 10 p.T541A 9 p.N418N 9 p.N418N 9 p.N418N 9 p.N418N 8 9	11	c.1254C>T	6	p.N418N	93	0	0	88	92	74
c:1254C>T 9 p.N418N 15 c:621A>G 10 p.T541A 16 c:1621A>G 10 p.T541A 16 c:1534C>T 2 p.S145S 98 0 43 23 c.433C>T 2 p.N418N 100 0 0 31 24 c.2154C>T 2 p.N418N 100 0 0 31 24 c.296A 2 p.S145S 0 0 0 3 0 37 c.1234C>T 9 p.N418N 0 0 7 8 24 c.296A 2 p.S145S 0 0 7 8 37 c.1234C>T 9 p.N418N 0 7 8 AC . c.1254C>T 9 p.N418N 0 7 8 AC . c.124C>T 9 p.N418N 0 0 0 0 27 c.1646D>A	12	c.446-5C>T	IVS2	No effect on splicing	40	0	0	0	0	26
c:1621A>G 10 pT541A 15 c:435C>T 2 pS145S 98 0 4 82 16 c:1254C>T 2 pS145S 98 0 0 0 31 23 c:435C>T 2 pL143fsX184 81 0 0 3 0 23 c:435C>T 2 pL143fsX184 81 0 0 3 0 24 c:296A 2 pS145S 0 0 0 7 8 24 c:296A 2 pS145S 0 0 0 0 0 0 24 c:296A 2 pS145S 0 0 0 0 0 0 37 c:1254C>T 9 pN418N 0 0 0 0 0 0 27 c.566G>A 3 pA216T 42 6 0 0 0 0 28 c.1254T 9 pN418N 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 </td <td></td> <td>c.1254C>T</td> <td>6</td> <td>p.N418N</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		c.1254C>T	6	p.N418N						
15 c.43SC>T 2 pS145S 98 0 4 82 16 c.1254C>T 9 pN418N 100 0 0 31 23 c.427delC 2 pL1435X184 81 0 0 31 24 c.435C>T 2 pS145S 0 0 0 3 0 24 c.296A 2 pS145S 0 0 0 3 0 24 c.296A 2 pS145S 0 0 0 3 0 37 c.1254C>T 9 pN418N 0 0 7 8 AC c.1254C>T 9 pN418N 0 7 8 AC 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 27 c.646G>A 3 pA49N 82 0 0 0 0 28 c.1254T 9 pN418N 49 0 0 0 0 0 0 29		c.1621A>G	10	p.T541A						
16 c.1254C>T 9 p.N418N 100 0 0 31 23 c.437delC 2 p.L143fsX184 81 0 0 3 0 24 c.435C>T 2 p.S145S 0 0 0 3 0 24 c.296A 2 p.S145S 0 0 0 0 0 0 37 c.1254C>T 9 p.N418N 0 0 0 7 8 AC c.1254CT 9 p.N418N 0 0 7 8 27 c.646G>A 3 p.A216T 42 4 68 0 0 28 c.1254T 9 p.N418N 49 0 0 1 0 29 c.147T>G 2 p.A49A 55 0 0 0 0 33 c.266T>G 9 p.N418N 55 0 0 0 0 0	15	c.435C>T	2	p.S145S	98	0	0	4	82	78
23 c.427delC 2 p.1143fx184 81 0 3 0 24 c.435C>T 2 p.S145S 0 0 0 0 0 0 24 c.435C>T 2 p.S145S 0 0 0 0 0 0 37 c.296A 2 p.G99S 0 0 0 7 8 37 c.1254C>T 9 p.N418N 0 0 7 8 AC 1 0 0 0 0 0 27 c.154T 9 p.N418N 49 0 0 42 0 0 28 c.1254T 9 p.N418N 49 0 0 1 0 <	16	c.1254C>T	6	p.N418N	100	0	0	0	31	67
24 c.435C>T 2 p.5145S 24 c.296A 2 p.699S 0 0 0 0 0 37 c.1254C>T 9 p.N418N 0 0 0 7 8 AC 2 p.699S 0 0 0 7 8 AC 2 c.1254C>T 9 p.N418N 42 4 68 0 0 27 c.646G>A 3 p.A216T 42 4 68 0 0 28 c.1254T 9 p.N418N 49 0 0 42 0 29 c.147T>G 9 p.189R 55 0 0 1 0 33 c.266T>G 9 p.189R 55 0 0 53 53 c.1254T 2 p.N418N 55 0 0 53 53 c.1254T 2 p.N418N 55 0 0 53 53 c.1254T 2 p.N418N 55 0	23	c.427deIC	2	p.L143fsX184	81	0	0	3	0	95
24 c.296A 2 p.G99S 0 0 0 0 0 0 37 c.1254C>T 9 p.N418N 0 0 0 7 8 AC . c.1254C>T 9 p.N418N 0 0 7 8 AC 8 . 8 27 c.646G>A 3 p.A216T 42 4 68 0 0 0 28 c.1254T 9 p.N418N 49 0 0 1 0 1 29 c.147T>G 2 p.A49A 82 0 0 1 0 1 33 c.266T>G 9 p.L89R 55 0 0 1 0 1 <		c.435C>T	2	p.S145S						
37 c.1254C>T 9 p.N418N 0 0 7 8 AC 27 c.646G>A 3 p.A216T 42 4 68 0 0 27 c.646G>A 3 p.A216T 42 4 68 0 0 28 c.1254T 9 p.N418N 49 0 0 4 0 0 29 c.1254T 2 p.A49A 82 0 0 1 0 0 33 c.266T>G 9 p.L89R 55 0 0 45 53 33 c.256TY 2 p.N418N 55 0 0 53 53 c.1254T 2 p.N418N 55 0 0 53 53 c.1254T 2 p.N418N 55 0 0 53 53 c.1254T 2 p.N418N 55 0 0 53 53	24	c.296A	2	p.G99S	0	0	0	0	0	41
AC 27 c.646G>A 3 p.A216T 42 4 68 0 0 28 c.1254T 9 p.N418N 49 0 0 1 42 0 29 c.147T>G 2 p.A49A 82 0 1 7 0 33 c.266T>G 9 p.L89R 55 0 7 1 0 c.1254T 2 p.N418N 55 0 7 7 55 0 0 0 7 1 0 c.1254T 2 p.N418N 55 0 0 0 7 1 7 0	37	c.1254C>T	6	p.N418N	0	0	0	L	8	0
27 c.646G>A 3 p.A216T 42 4 68 0 0 28 c.1254T 9 p.N418N 49 0 0 42 0 29 c.147T>G 2 p.A49A 82 0 0 1 0 33 c.266T>G 9 p.L89R 55 0 0 45 53 c.1254T 2 p.N418N 55 0 0 53 53 c.1254T 2 p.N418N 55 1 0 6 53 c.totoplasmic; m., membrane; n., nuclear. c.totoplasmic; m., membrane; n., nuclear. c.totoplasmic c	AC									
28 c.1254T 9 p.N418N 49 0 42 0 29 c.147T>G 2 p.A49A 82 0 1 0 33 c.266T>G 9 p.L89R 55 0 0 45 53 .c.1254T 2 p.N418N 55 0 0 45 53 .c.totoplasmic; m., membrane; n., nuclear.	27	c.646G>A	3	p.A216T	42	4	68	0	0	100
29 c.147T>G 2 p.A49A 82 0 1 0 33 c.266T>G 9 p.L89R 55 0 0 45 53 33 c.1254T 2 p.N418N 5 0 0 45 53 c., cytoplasmic; m-, membrane; n-, nuclear. c., cytoplasmic; m-, membrane; n-, nuclear. n n n	28	c.1254T	6	p.N418N	49	0	0	42	0	98
33 c.266T>G 9 p.L89R 55 0 0 45 53 c.1254T 2 p.N418N c.,cytoplasmic; m-, membrane; n-, nuclear.	29	c.147T>G	2	p.A49A	82	0	0	1	0	3
c., cytoplasmic; m-, membrane; n-, nuclear.	33	c.266T>G	6	p.L89R	55	0	0	45	53	96
c-, cytoplasmic; m-, membrane; n-, nuclear.		c.1254T	2	p.N418N						
	c-, cytoplası	nic; m-, membrane; n-	, nuclear.							

	Positive tun	sitive tumor cells		
	Mean \pm SE ^a	P-value ^b		
c-Menin				
PCs with <i>MEN1</i> mutations (n=13)	62.5±9.7	0.023		
PCs without <i>MEN1</i> mutations (n=25)	34.2±7.1			
n-Menin				
PCs with <i>MEN1</i> mutations (n=13)	0.3±0.3	0.485		
PCs without <i>MEN1</i> mutations (n=25)	3.4±3.2			

Table IV. Correlations between Menin expression and *MEN1* gene status.

^aPercent of positive tumor cells \pm standard error. ^bIndependent samples t-test.

and c- β -catenin was detected in 6 cases. The mean values of β -catenin-positive tumor cells were 8.0±3.1 for m- β -catenin and 16.7±5.0 for c- β -catenin. β -catenin was not expressed in the nucleus of tumor cells. E-cadherin immunoreactivity was observed exclusively in cell membrane and detected in 32 out 38 (84.2%) cases with a mean ± SE of positive tumor cells of 58.6±6.7. The nuclear expression of p53 (n-p53) was observed in 2 out of 38 (5.3%) cases, with a mean ± SE of tumor positive cells of 2.1±1.9.

Correlations between markers, were analyzed using the Spearman's coefficient correlation test. A significant positive correlation was found between c-menin and c- β -catenin expression (rho=0.439, P=0.008) (Table IIA). Furthermore, m- β -catenin showed a positive correlation with both c- β -catenin and E-cadherin expression (rho=0.380, P=0.022 and rho=0.360, P=0.040, respectively). With regard to the protein status of the E-cadherin/ β -catenin complex, following the criteria suggested by Pelosi *et al* (14) we found a significant positive correlation between c-menin and β -catenin disarrayed expression (rho=0.481, P=0.007) (Table IIB).

Mutational analysis. DHPLC and direct sequencing analyses were utilized to detect somatic mutations in MEN1 (entire coding sequence), TP53 (exons 5-8) and CTNNB1 (exon 3) genes. MEN1 gene variants (ENST00000312049) were identified in 13/38 (34%) cases, of which 9/30 TPCs (30%) and 4/8 APCs (50%) (Table III). Variants included the frameshift mutation c.427delC, which introduces a stop signal at codon 184 (p.L143fsX184, case no. 23) and 4 missense variants (p.T541A, case no. 12; p.G99S, case no. 24; p.A216T, case no. 27; p.L89R, case no. 33), whose tollerance of amino acid changes was tested through SIFT Version 2 program. In addition, we found 3 synonymous variants (p.S145S, cases no. 15 and 23; p.A49A, case nos. 29; p.N418N, case nos. 11, 12, 16 and 37 in heterozygosity, cases no. 2, 28 and 33 in homozygosity). Finally, we characterized also a novel intronic variant (c.446-5C>T, IVS2, case nos. 9 and 12) that, according to the *in silico* evaluation (www.fruitfly.org), was not predicted to affect splicing. In Table III the expression of c- and n-menin, n-p53, m- and c-β-catenin and E-cadherin are reported for each MEN1 mutated case. As shown, 11 out of 13 and 12 out of 13 MEN1 mutated cases expressed c-menin and E-cadherin, respectively. Nine out of 13 and 7 out of 13 MENImutated cases expressed m- and c-\beta-catenin, respectively, while the co-expression of m- and c- β -catenin were detected in 6 cases, c- and n-menin and n-p53 were co-expressed in only 1 case. Correlating the menin expression levels with the presence or absence of MEN1 nucleotide variants, we found that c-menin was significantly more expressed in tumors with MEN1 variants compared to tumors without MEN1 variants (P=0.023), whereas n-menin does not show a significance when compared with PCs with and without MEN1 variants (Table IV). No differences were found comparing m- and c-\beta-catenin, m-E-cadherin and n-p53 expression levels with MEN1 gene status, although a positive trend in the expression of c-\beta-catenin marker in MEN1 mutated cases was also observed (data not shown). Mutational analysis of TP53 exons 5-8 allowed to identify 3 nucleotide variants (p.A129A, case no. 21; pI255F, case no. 27; p.R213R, case no. 37) in exons 5, 7 and 6 respectively (Table V), i.e., outside TP53 hotspots of mutations (21). These nucleotide variants are reported in the IARC TP53 database (http://www-p53.iarc.fr/), where the tolerance of amino acid changes was tested through SIFT version 2 program (http://blocks.fhcrc.org/sift/SIFT.html) and AGVGD (http://agvgd.iarc.fr/). The variant c.763T at codon 255, reported to be deleterious, resulted associated with a high nuclear expression of p53 (68% of positive tumor cells). Finally, the mutational study of exon 3 of the CTNNB1 gene resulted negative and no nucleotide variants were detected.

Table V. Data on nucleotide variants of TP53, predicted effect on p53 protein and immunohistochemical expression.

					Predicted effect	t on the protein	
Histotype	TP53 variant	Exon	Effect	Status (Reference)	SIFT	AGVGD	IHC
TC	c.387C>T	5	p.A129A	IARC TP53 database	Silent	Silent	0
AC	c.763T	7	p.I255F	IARC TP53 database	Deleterious	Deleterious	68 ^a
TC	c.639A>G	6	p.R213R	IARC TP53 database	Silent	Silent	NA
	Histotype TC AC TC	Histotype TP53 variant TC c.387C>T AC c.763T TC c.639A>G	Histotype TP53 variant Exon TC c.387C>T 5 AC c.763T 7 TC c.639A>G 6	Histotype TP53 variant Exon Effect TC c.387C>T 5 p.A129A AC c.763T 7 p.I255F TC c.639A>G 6 p.R213R	HistotypeTP53 variantExonEffectStatus (Reference)TCc.387C>T5p.A129AIARC TP53 databaseACc.763T7p.I255FIARC TP53 databaseTCc.639A>G6p.R213RIARC TP53 database	HistotypeTP53 variantExonEffectStatus (Reference)Predicted effectTCc.387C>T5p.A129AIARC TP53 databaseSilentACc.763T7p.I255FIARC TP53 databaseDeleteriousTCc.639A>G6p.R213RIARC TP53 databaseSilent	HistotypeTP53 variantExonEffectStatus (Reference)Predicted effect on the proteinTCc.387C>T5p.A129AIARC TP53 databaseSilentSilentACc.763T7p.I255FIARC TP53 databaseDeleteriousDeleteriousTCc.639A>G6p.R213RIARC TP53 databaseSilentSilent

^aPercent of nuclear p53 immunostained cells.

Discussion

In this study we analyzed a series of 38 sporadic PCs for somatic mutations and for protein expression of genes that appear to be implicated in the development and progression of the disease. Combined genetic and IHC findings were used to identify relevant PC sub-groups and to help defining a combined role of genes potentially involved in the pathogenesis of PCs. This was done by evaluating the IHC expression of menin, p53, β -catenin and E-cadherin at subcellular level and by correlating the expression of these markers with the mutational spectra of the *MEN1*, *TP53* and *CTNNB1* genes in both TC and AC tumors.

A significant fraction of tumor samples (34%) harbored *MEN1* gene variants. Most samples showed a cytoplasmic, rather than nuclear, localization of menin. Cytoplasmic localization of menin was observed in tumors with and without *MEN1* variants. Only two cases with nuclear menin immunostaining did not display variants of the *MEN1* gene.

Notably, tumors carrying MEN1 variants showed significantly higher cytoplasmic expression of the menin, when compared with samples negative for MEN1 variants. The cytoplasmic localization of menin was detected both in TCs and ACs, the highest fraction being observed in ACs (data not shown), i.e., in cases with higher rate of mitosis. Thus, our data on PCs are in agreement with previous observations in pancreatic endocrine tumors in which a strong association between MEN1 variants and cytoplasmic localization of menin were observed (10). This is consistent with a role of this oncosuppressor gene in the pathogenesis of sporadic PCs. The analysis of the E-cadherin/\beta-catenin complex evidenced a significant correlation in the membranous and/or cytoplasmic expression of these two proteins. It is known that the complex plays a crucial role in cell-cell adhesion, and dysregulation of the E-cadherin/β-catenin-dependent adhesion complex has been associated with the development and progression of many solid tumors, including several types of endocrine tumors (14). Thus, we investigated a possible dysregulation of the complex in PCs. We observed β -catenin expression in the majority of tumor cases. However, in a fraction of PCs β -catenin tended to accumulate in the cytoplasm. Notably, we found also a significant direct relationship of c-β-catenin expression with c-menin expression and, following the immunohistochemical criteria suggested by Pelosi et al (13) who defined the immunostaining pattern of tumor cells for β -catenin and E-cadherin as arrayed or disarrayed, we searched for possible correlations between these patterns of immunostaining with the other markers analyzed. Intriguingly, we found a significant positive correspondence between the disarrayed expression of β -catenin with the c-menin expression. Consistent with the absence of nuclear β -catenin expression, we did not find mutations of the CTNNB1 gene (15), further indicating a role of this gene in PC pathogenesis, but not as a driver mutation. It is known that menin regulates gene transcription, cell proliferation, apoptosis and genomic stability and one of the proteins interacting with menin is β -catenin.

Single or multiple *MEN1* sequence variants, consisting in frameshift, missense and silent variants were characterized in 13 out of 38 cases, with a prevalence in ACs compared to TCs. Three of the 4 missense variants characterized in our study were not reported up to now in association with PCs. The p.T541A missense variant is a pathogenetic variant affecting the role

of menin in the apoptosis control (22). The missense mutation p.L89R and the polymorphism p.S145S were previously identified as somatic variants also in glucagonoma and parathyroid tumors. The p.L89R detected in case no. 33 was not tolerant using the SIFT Version 2 program and could interfere with menin protein structure and function. Cytoplasmic expression of menin and presence of nucleotide variants of the MEN1 gene may be indicative of a significant correlation, since cases with MEN1 nucleotide variants are characterized by a higher number of positive tumor cells expressing menin in the cytoplasmic compartment. It is noteworthy that high cytoplasmic expression of menin has been also detected in most of the cases bearing only the c.1254T polymorphism, suggesting a hypothetical possibility of this silent variant and c-menin accumulation in tumor cells. Thus, our data support the hypothesis that *MEN1* gene variants affect the subcellular localization of the protein causing its accumulation in the cytoplasm. For cases without MEN1 variants and cytoplasmic menin expression, it may be possible that other genes, partners of MEN1, are responsible for the impairment of menin function in PCs. The results are in agreement with other studies on sporadic lung carcinoids (6).

Somatic mutation analysis of exons 5-8 of the *TP53* gene, which encode the DNA-binding region where cancer-associated mutations most frequently occur (23-25), indicates that genetic alterations of this gene may be implicated in the development of a limited fraction of PCs. In this contest, it is relevant to note that the nucleotide variant c.763T at codon 255, detected in an APC with high immunohistochemical expression of p53, is reported to be deleterious in both the SIFT version 2 and AGVGD programs, where the tolerance of amino acid changes was tested. Thus, our results indicate that *TP53*, rather than playing a broad role in PCs (16,26), may operate in specific PC sub-groups, possibly by interacting with menin. Additional studies are required to test this model.

In conclusion, the present study confirmed the implication of *MEN1* gene in the development of sporadic PC. Furthermore, the mutational study of *MEN1* gene, associated with the IHC analysis of menin indicated that tumors displaying *MEN1* nucleotide variant were characterized by a higher accumulation of menin in the cytoplasm and, for our knowledge, this strong association between *MEN1* variants and cytoplasmic localization of menin has not been previously reported in sporadic pulmonary carcinoids, thus representing an interesting finding for this type of tumor.

In addition, this study also indicated that the subcellular compartmentalization of the E-cadherin/ β -catenin complex was altered in PCs and the disarrayed pattern of the complex significantly correlated with c-menin accumulation, thus suggesting a possible cooperative role of menin and E-cadherin/ β -catenin in the development and/or progression of this endocrine-related tumor.

References

- Bini A, Brandolini J, Cassanelli N, Davoli F, Dolci G, Sellitri F and Stella F: Typical and atypical pulmonary carcinoids: our institutional experience. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 7: 415-418, 2008.
- Travis WD, Brambilla E, Müller-Hermelink HK and Harris CC: WHO Classification of Tumors: Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of the Lung, Pleura, Thymus and Heart. IARC Press, Lyon, 2004.

- Travis WD, Rush W, Flieder DB, Falk R, Fleming MV, Gal AA and Koss MN: Survival analysis of 200 pulmonary neuroendocrine tumors with clarification of criteria for atypical carcinoid and its separation from typical carcinoid. Am J Surg Pathol 22: 934-944, 1998.
- Hage R, de la Rivière AB, Seldenrijk CA and van den Bosch JM: Update in pulmonary carcinoid tumors: a review article. Ann Surg Oncol 10: 697-704, 2003.
- Jeung MY, Gasser B, Gangi A, *et al*: Bronchial carcinoid tumors of the thorax: spectrum of radiologic findings. Radiographics 22: 351-365, 2002.
- Debelenko LV, Brambilla E, Agarwal SK, *et al*: Identification of MEN1 gene mutations in sporadic carcinoid tumors of the lung. Hum Mol Genet 6: 2285-2290, 1976.
- Lemos MC and Thakker RV: Multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 (MEN1): analysis of 1336 mutations reported in the first decade following identification of the gene. Hum Mutat 29: 22-32, 2008.
- Travis WD, Rush W, Flieder DB, Falk R, Fleming MV, Gal AA and Koss MN: Bronchopulmonary neuroendocrine tumors. Cancer 113: 5-21, 2008.
- Jiao Y, Shi C, Edil BH, et al: DAXX/ATRX, MEN1, and mTOR pathway genes are frequently altered in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Science 331: 1199-1203, 2011.
- Corbo V, Dalai I, Scardoni M, et al: MEN1 in pancreatic endocrine tumors: analysis of gene and protein status in 169 sporadic neoplasms reveals alterations in the vast majority of cases. Endocr Relat Cancer 17: 771-783, 2010.
- 11. Kim H, Lee JE, Cho EJ, Liu JO and Youn HD: Menin, a tumor suppressor, represses JunD-mediated transcriptional activity by association with an mSin3A-histone deacetylase complex. Cancer Res 63: 6135-6139, 2003.
- Hughes CM, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Milne TA, *et al*: Menin associates with a trithorax family histone methyltransferase complex and with the hoxc8 locus. Mol Cell 13: 587-597, 2004.
 Yokoyama A, Wang Z, Wysocka J, *et al*: Leukemia proto-
- Yokoyama A, Wang Z, Wysocka J, *et al*: Leukemia protooncoprotein MLL forms a SET1-like histone methyltransferase complex with menin to regulate Hox gene expression. Mol Cell Biol 24: 5639-5649, 2004.
- 14. Pelosi G, Scarpa A, Puppa G, et al: Alteration of the E-cadherin/ β-catenin cell adhesion system is common in pulmonary neuroendocrine tumors and is an independent predictor of lymph node metastasis in atypical carcinoids. Cancer 103: 1154-1164, 2005.

- Clavel CE, Nollet F, Berx G, *et al*: Expression of the E-cadherin/ β-catenin complex in lung neuroendocrine tumours. J Pathol 194: 20-26, 2001.
- Leotlela PD, Jauch A, Holtgreve-Grez H and Thakker RV: Genetics of neuroendocrine and carcinoid tumours. Endocr Relat Cancer 10: 437-450, 2003.
- Zhuang Z, Vortmeyer AO, Pack S, *et al*: Somatic mutations of the MEN1 tumor suppressor gene in sporadic gastrinomas and insulinomas. Cancer Res 57: 4682-4686, 1997.
- Catalano T, Curia MC, Aceto G, *et al*: Mutations in the p53 and Ki-ras genes, microsatellite instability and site of tumor origin in colorectal cancer. Oncol Rep 14: 625-631, 2005.
- Mahdavinia M, Bishehsari F, Verginelli F, et al: P53 mutations in colorectal cancer from northern Iran: Relationships with site of tumor origin, microsatellite instability and K-ras mutations. J Cell Physiol 216: 543-550, 2008.
- 20. Ng PC and Henikoff S: Accaounting for human polymorphisms predicted to affect protein function. Genome Res 2: 436-446, 2002.
- Petitjean A, Mathe E, Kato S, Ishioka C, Tavtigian SV, Hainaut P and Olivier M: Impact of mutant p53 functional properties on TP53 mutation patterns and tumor phenotype: lessons from recent developments in the IARC TP53 database. Hum Mutat 28: 622-629, 2007.
- Bazzi W, Renon M, Vercherat C, *et al*: MEN1 missense mutations impair sensitization to apoptosis induced by wild-type menin in endocrine pancreatic tumor cells. Gastroenterology 135: 1698-1709, 2008.
- Vogelstein B, Fearon ER, Hamilton SR, *et al*: Genetic alterations during colorectal-tumor development. N Engl J Med 319: 525-532, 1988.
- 24. Cho KR and Vogelstein B: Genetic alterations in the adenomacarcinoma sequence. Cancer 70: 1727-1731, 1992.
- Goh HS, Yao J and Smith DR: p53 point mutation and survival in colorectal cancer patients. Cancer Res 55: 5217-5221, 1995.
- Sugio K, Osaki T, Oyama T, *et al*: Genetic alteration in carcinoid tumors of the lung. Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 9: 149-154, 2003.