Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## 1-Fluoro-3,3-dimethyl-1,3-dihydro-1 $\lambda^3$ benzo[*d*][1,2]iodoxole

## Claude Y. Legault\* and Julie Prévost

Université de Sherbrooke, Département de chimie, 2500 boul. de l'Université, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada J1K 2R1 Correspondence e-mail: claude.legault@usherbrooke.ca

Received 16 March 2012; accepted 23 March 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 193 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.007 Å; R factor = 0.030; wR factor = 0.073; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.7.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound,  $C_9H_{10}FIO$ , contains two independent molecules which are weakly bound by intermolecular  $O\cdots I$  interactions [3.046 (4) and 2.947 (4) Å]. The two covalent I-F bonds are slightly longer than the two I-O bonds.

## **Related literature**

For information on the chemistry of hypervalent compounds, see: Zhdankin & Stang (2002); Wirth (2005). For the synthesis and structural analysis of the bromo analog of the title compound, see: Braddock *et al.* (2006). For the synthesis and structural analysis of the chloro analog of the title compound, see: Amey & Martin (1979); Niedermann *et al.* (2010). For related information on the *trans* effect in hypervalent iodine compounds, see: Ochiai *et al.* (2006).



## **Experimental**

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_9H_{10} \text{FIO} \\ M_r = 280.07 \\ \text{Triclinic, } P\overline{1} \\ a = 7.983 \ (6) \ \text{\AA} \\ b = 10.188 \ (8) \ \text{\AA} \\ c = 11.691 \ (5) \ \text{\AA} \\ \alpha = 83.13 \ (5)^{\circ} \\ \beta = 79.01 \ (5)^{\circ} \end{array}$ 

 $\gamma = 78.27 (6)^{\circ}$   $V = 910.6 (11) \text{ Å}^3$  Z = 4Mo K\alpha radiation  $\mu = 3.48 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 193 K $0.4 \times 0.4 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$ 

### Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4<br/>diffractometer3408 independent reflections<br/>2833 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ Absorption correction:  $\psi$  scan<br/>(NRCVAX; Gabe et al., 1989]<br/> $T_{\min} = 0.337, T_{\max} = 0.422$ 1 standard reflections every 100<br/>reflections<br/>intensity decay: none3408 measured reflectionsintensity decay: none

## Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$	217 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.073$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.05	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.64 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
3408 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 Table 1

 Selected bond lengths (Å).

C1-I1	2.085 (4)	F2-I2	2.046 (3)
C10-I2	2.094 (5)	I1-O1	2.022 (3)
F1-I1	2.045 (3)	I2-O2	2.017 (3)

Data collection: *DIFRAC* (Flack *et al.*, 1992); cell refinement: *DIFRAC*; data reduction: *NRCVAX* (Gabe *et al.*, 1989); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

This work was supported by the National Science and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada, the Fonds Québecois de Recherche – Nature et Technologies (FQRNT), the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI), the FQRNT Centre in Green Chemistry and Catalysis (CGCC), and the Université de Sherbrooke. We thank Daniel Fortin for the structural analysis.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LH5436).

### References

- Amey, R. L. & Martin, J. C. (1979). J. Org. Chem. 44, 1779-1784.
- Braddock, D. C., Cansell, G., Hermitage, S. A. & White, A. J. P. (2006). Chem. Commun. pp. 1442–1444.
- Flack, H. D., Blanc, E. & Schwarzenbach, D. (1992). J. Appl. Cryst. 25, 455–459.
- Gabe, E. J., Le Page, Y., Charland, J.-P., Lee, F. L. & White, P. S. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 384–387.

Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. **39**, 453–457.

- Niedermann, K., Welch, J. M., Koller, R., Cvengro, J., Santschi, N., Battaglia, P. & Togni, A. (2010). *Tetrahedron*, 66, 5753–5761.
- Ochiai, M., Sueda, T., Miyamoto, K., Kiprof, P. & Zhdankin, V. V. (2006). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 45, 8203–8206.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Wirth, T. (2005). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44, 3656-3665.
- Zhdankin, V. V. & Stang, P. J. (2002). Chem. Rev. 102, 2523-2584.

# supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, o1238 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812012822]

# 1-Fluoro-3,3-dimethyl-1,3-dihydro-1 $\lambda^3$ -benzo[d][1,2]iodoxole

## **Claude Y. Legault and Julie Prévost**

## Comment

Hypervalent iodine compounds have received a growing attention in recent years. This is not surprising considering that these reagents are polyvalent electrophiles and mild oxidants (Zhdankin & Stang, 2002; Wirth, 2005). In this family, haloiodanes are interesting yet under exploited electrophilic halogen sources. A research project currently underway in our group aims to exploit haloiodanes as electrophilic halogen sources. We developed a synthesis to obtain the title compound in order to evaluate and compare its reactivity with its chloro and bromo analogs. This is the first reported synthesis of the title compound.

In the crystal structure, two independent molecules, as shown in Fig. 1, are weakly bound by O…I interactions [3.046 (4) and 2.947 (4)Å]. The two I—O bonds observed measure 2.022 (3) Å and 2.017 (3) Å, respectively. These are shorter than the corresponding I—O bonds found in the chloro (2.042 (2) Å) (Amey & Martin, 1979; Niedermann *et al.*, 2010) and bromo (2.050 (5) Å) (Braddock *et al.*, 2006) analogs. This is consistent with the *trans* effect behavior described in a variety of hypervalent  $\lambda^3$ -iodane compounds (Ochiai *et al.*, 2006). In contrast to the bromo analog, the title compound was found to be completely unreactive for the fluorination of anisole. While the title compound is a stable solid, caution must be taken when drying the crude solution. The use of anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub> to dry the solution results in the displacement of the fluorine by a sulfate dianion. Drying by co-evaporation with benzene prevents this side reaction. A more in-depth study of the reactivity of this novel fluoroiodane is currently underway.

## Experimental

2-(2-Iodophenyl)-propan-2-ol (164 mg, 0.63 mmol) was dissolved in MeCN (3 ml) and SelectFluor (289 mg, 0.81 mmol) was added in one portion. The reaction was then stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 ml), washed once with water (10 ml), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was dried by coevaporation with benzene. Crystals were grown by slow diffusion of a pentane solution on a  $CH_2Cl_2$  solution of the title compound at room temperature.

## Refinement

The hydrogen atoms were placed at idealized calculated geometric positions and refined isotropically using a riding model.

## **Computing details**

Data collection: *DIFRAC* (Flack *et al.*, 1992); cell refinement: *DIFRAC* (Flack *et al.*, 1992); data reduction: *NRCVAX* (Gabe *et al.*, 1989); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).



## Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of the title compound, showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. The I···O interactions are shown by dotted lines. H atoms are depicted as circles of arbitrary size.

## **1-Fluoro-3,3-dimethyl-1,3-dihydro-1**λ<sup>3</sup>-benzo[*d*][1,2]iodoxole

Crystal data	
$C_{9}H_{10}FIO$ $M_{r} = 280.07$ Triclinic, P1 Hall symbol: -P 1 a = 7.983 (6) Å b = 10.188 (8) Å c = 11.691 (5) Å a = 83.13 (5)° $\beta = 79.01$ (5)° $\gamma = 78.27$ (6)° V = 910.6 (11) Å <sup>3</sup>	Z = 4 F(000) = 536 $D_x = 2.043 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 20 reflections $\theta = 10-12.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 3.48 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 193  K Prism, white $0.4 \times 0.4 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer Graphite monochromator $\omega$ scans Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan ( <i>NRCVAX</i> ; Gabe et al., 1989] $T_{min} = 0.337, T_{max} = 0.422$ 3408 measured reflections 3408 independent reflections	2833 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0$ $\theta_{max} = 25.6^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 1.8^{\circ}$ $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 12$ $l = -13 \rightarrow 14$ 1 standard reflections every 100 reflections intensity decay: none
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$ $wR(F^2) = 0.073$ S = 1.05	<ul><li>217 parameters</li><li>0 restraints</li><li>Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods</li><li>Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier</li></ul>
S = 1.05	Secondary atom site location. unterence rouner

map

3408 reflections

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0443P)^2 + 0.462P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.64 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -1.22 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

## Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. The *DIFRAC*(Flack, 1992) program was used for centering, indexing, and data collection. One standard reflection was measured every 100 reflections, no decay was observed during data collection. The data were corrected for absorption by empirical methods based on psi scans and reduced with the *NRCVAX* (Gabe, 1989) programs. They were solved using *SHELXS97*(Sheldrick, 2008) and refined by full-matrix least squares on F2 with *SHELXL97*(Sheldrick, 2008). The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}*/U_{ m eq}$
C1	0.5725 (6)	0.6621 (4)	0.4130 (4)	0.0211 (9)
C2	0.7221 (6)	0.5801 (5)	0.4421 (4)	0.0272 (10)
H2	0.8038	0.5305	0.3853	0.033*
C3	0.7484 (7)	0.5727 (5)	0.5550 (5)	0.0339 (12)
H3	0.849	0.5164	0.5777	0.041*
C4	0.6295 (6)	0.6467 (5)	0.6364 (4)	0.0288 (11)
H4	0.6489	0.6399	0.7148	0.035*
C5	0.4833 (6)	0.7300 (5)	0.6056 (4)	0.0270 (10)
Н5	0.4045	0.7827	0.6617	0.032*
C6	0.4513 (6)	0.7368 (4)	0.4917 (4)	0.0227 (9)
C7	0.2966 (6)	0.8263 (4)	0.4478 (4)	0.0227 (9)
C8	0.3279 (7)	0.9700 (5)	0.4218 (4)	0.0299 (11)
H8A	0.2272	1.0273	0.3935	0.045*
H8B	0.4316	0.9723	0.3618	0.045*
H8C	0.3451	1.0031	0.4933	0.045*
С9	0.1276 (6)	0.8183 (5)	0.5324 (4)	0.0309 (11)
H9A	0.0311	0.8776	0.5008	0.046*
H9B	0.1353	0.8467	0.6081	0.046*
H9C	0.1077	0.7255	0.5426	0.046*
C10	-0.0885 (6)	0.8468 (4)	0.0402 (4)	0.0215 (9)
C11	-0.2429 (6)	0.9114 (5)	0.0057 (5)	0.0320 (12)
H11	-0.3264	0.9704	0.0542	0.038*
C12	-0.2712 (6)	0.8866 (5)	-0.1023 (5)	0.0308 (11)
H12	-0.3758	0.9292	-0.1291	0.037*
C13	-0.1484 (6)	0.8006 (5)	-0.1711 (4)	0.0302 (11)
H13	-0.1687	0.7844	-0.2452	0.036*
C14	0.0050 (6)	0.7373 (4)	-0.1332 (4)	0.0245 (10)

H14	0.0887	0.678	-0.1814	0.029*	
C15	0.0367 (6)	0.7600 (4)	-0.0255 (4)	0.0212 (9)	
C16	0.1966 (6)	0.6918 (4)	0.0259 (4)	0.0204 (9)	
C17	0.3625 (6)	0.6881 (5)	-0.0644 (4)	0.0267 (10)	
H17A	0.4625	0.6434	-0.0281	0.04*	
H17B	0.3763	0.7802	-0.0937	0.04*	
H17C	0.3554	0.6384	-0.1296	0.04*	
C18	0.1750 (6)	0.5513 (4)	0.0787 (4)	0.0274 (10)	
H18A	0.2784	0.5075	0.1118	0.041*	
H18B	0.1601	0.4985	0.0178	0.041*	
H18C	0.0726	0.5574	0.1406	0.041*	
F1	0.7418 (4)	0.5802 (3)	0.1913 (3)	0.0372 (7)	
F2	-0.2633 (4)	0.9676 (3)	0.2491 (3)	0.0437 (8)	
I1	0.50006 (4)	0.68702 (3)	0.24847 (2)	0.02221 (10)	
I2	-0.01657 (4)	0.86419 (3)	0.20011 (2)	0.02531 (10)	
01	0.2750 (4)	0.7761 (3)	0.3428 (3)	0.0283 (7)	
02	0.2131 (4)	0.7722 (3)	0.1146 (3)	0.0250 (7)	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	<i>U</i> <sup>23</sup>
C1	0.025 (2)	0.018 (2)	0.022 (2)	-0.0066 (18)	-0.0071 (18)	0.0021 (17)
C2	0.022 (2)	0.027 (2)	0.031 (3)	0.000 (2)	-0.004 (2)	-0.0025 (19)
C3	0.031 (3)	0.032 (3)	0.041 (3)	-0.005 (2)	-0.018 (2)	0.005 (2)
C4	0.037 (3)	0.030 (3)	0.023 (2)	-0.010 (2)	-0.015 (2)	0.0036 (19)
C5	0.038 (3)	0.027 (2)	0.018 (2)	-0.012 (2)	-0.005 (2)	-0.0010 (18)
C6	0.024 (2)	0.020 (2)	0.024 (2)	-0.0023 (18)	-0.0066 (19)	0.0019 (17)
C7	0.022 (2)	0.025 (2)	0.020 (2)	-0.0004 (18)	-0.0024 (18)	-0.0050 (18)
C8	0.036 (3)	0.024 (2)	0.026 (2)	0.000 (2)	-0.003 (2)	0.0009 (19)
C9	0.027 (3)	0.034 (3)	0.027 (3)	-0.002 (2)	0.005 (2)	-0.003 (2)
C10	0.020 (2)	0.022 (2)	0.021 (2)	-0.0058 (18)	0.0004 (18)	-0.0009 (17)
C11	0.021 (2)	0.025 (2)	0.045 (3)	-0.001 (2)	0.000 (2)	0.002 (2)
C12	0.021 (2)	0.032 (3)	0.040 (3)	-0.007 (2)	-0.012 (2)	0.008 (2)
C13	0.033 (3)	0.027 (2)	0.034 (3)	-0.013 (2)	-0.012 (2)	0.007 (2)
C14	0.032 (3)	0.023 (2)	0.021 (2)	-0.009 (2)	-0.0069 (19)	-0.0009 (18)
C15	0.022 (2)	0.018 (2)	0.024 (2)	-0.0076 (18)	-0.0021 (18)	0.0011 (17)
C16	0.017 (2)	0.024 (2)	0.018 (2)	-0.0022 (18)	0.0009 (17)	-0.0054 (17)
C17	0.025 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.024 (2)	-0.002 (2)	-0.0009 (19)	-0.0056 (19)
C18	0.030 (3)	0.024 (2)	0.027 (2)	-0.002 (2)	-0.007 (2)	-0.0010 (19)
F1	0.0276 (16)	0.0464 (18)	0.0335 (16)	0.0017 (13)	0.0032 (13)	-0.0155 (13)
F2	0.0304 (17)	0.0502 (19)	0.0433 (18)	0.0012 (14)	0.0115 (14)	-0.0189 (15)
I1	0.02218 (17)	0.02611 (17)	0.01820 (16)	-0.00355 (12)	-0.00138 (12)	-0.00623 (11)
I2	0.02468 (18)	0.02723 (17)	0.02327 (17)	-0.00611 (13)	0.00320 (12)	-0.00869 (12)
01	0.0204 (17)	0.0395 (19)	0.0243 (17)	0.0040 (14)	-0.0070 (14)	-0.0113 (14)
02	0.0195 (16)	0.0316 (17)	0.0235 (16)	-0.0007 (13)	-0.0009 (13)	-0.0122 (14)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1-C6	1.374 (6)	C10—I2	2.094 (5)
C1—C2	1.386 (6)	C11—H11	0.950

C1—I1	2.085 (4)	C11—C12	1.385 (7)
C2—C3	1.367 (7)	C12—C13	1.377 (7)
С2—Н2	0.950	C13—C14	1.389 (7)
C3—C4	1.382 (7)	C14—C15	1.385 (6)
C4—C5	1.378 (7)	C15—C16	1.519 (6)
C5—C6	1.394 (6)	C16—O2	1.437 (5)
C6—C7	1.514 (6)	C16—C18	1.519 (6)
C7—O1	1.437 (5)	C16—C17	1.525 (6)
С7—С8	1.521 (6)	F1—I1	2.045 (3)
С7—С9	1.523 (6)	F2—I2	2.046 (3)
C10—C15	1.372 (6)	I1—O1	2.022 (3)
C10—C11	1.382 (6)	I2—O2	2.017 (3)
C6—C1—C2	123.2 (4)	H11—C11—C10	121.3
C6—C1—I1	111.5 (3)	C13—C12—C11	120.3 (5)
C2-C1-I1	125.3 (4)	C12—C13—C14	120.6 (5)
C3—C2—C1	117.9 (5)	C15-C14-C13	120.4 (4)
$H_2 - C_2 - C_1$	121.0	C10-C15-C14	117.3 (4)
$C_2 - C_3 - C_4$	120.4 (5)	C10-C15-C16	118 2 (4)
$C_{5} - C_{4} - C_{3}$	120.9(4)	$C_{14}$ $C_{15}$ $C_{16}$	1245(4)
C4-C5-C6	1197(5)	02-C16-C15	107.5(3)
C1 - C6 - C5	117.7(3)	02 - C16 - C18	107.3(3)
C1 - C6 - C7	117.7(4) 1181(4)	$C_{15}$ $C_{16}$ $C_{18}$	109.6(4)
$C_{1} = C_{0} = C_{1}$	124.2(4)	$0^{2}$ C16 C17	105.0(4)
$C_{3} = C_{0} = C_{7}$	124.2(4) 108 1 (4)	$C_{15} = C_{16} = C_{17}$	100.0(3)
01 - 07 - 08	100.1(4) 100.8(4)	$C_{13} = C_{10} = C_{17}$	111.3(4)
$C_{1} = C_{1} = C_{3}$	109.8(4)	01 $11$ $E1$	111.3(4)
$C_0 - C_7 - C_0$	109.9(4)	$O_1 = I_1 = F_1$	100.40(12)
01 - 07 - 09	104.8(4)		80.38 (10)
$C_0 - C_7 - C_9$	112.1 (4)	$\Gamma = \Pi = C \Gamma$	30.21(10)
$C_8 = C_7 = C_9$	111.8 (4)	02-12-F2	100.81 (13)
	124.0 (4)	02 - 12 - 010	80.30 (16)
	111.0 (3)	$F_2 = 12 = C_1 0$	87.13 (16)
	124.9 (4)		113.7 (3)
C10—C11—C12	117.4 (5)	C16—O2—I2	113.6 (3)
C6-C1-C2-C3	0.7 (7)	C13—C14—C15—C10	-0.2(6)
11 - C1 - C2 - C3	-1785(4)	$C_{13}$ $C_{14}$ $C_{15}$ $C_{16}$	177.5(4)
C1 - C2 - C3 - C4	-0.8(7)	C10-C15-C16-O2	-22.0(5)
$C_2 - C_3 - C_4 - C_5$	-0.6(8)	$C_{14}$ $C_{15}$ $C_{16}$ $C_{2}$	160.3(4)
$C_{2}^{-}$ $C_{3}^{-}$ $C_{4}^{-}$ $C_{5}^{-}$ $C_{6}^{-}$	21(7)	$C_{10}$ $C_{15}$ $C_{16}$ $C_{18}$	97.9 (5)
$C_2 - C_1 - C_6 - C_5$	2.1(7) 0.8(7)	$C_{14}$ $C_{15}$ $C_{16}$ $C_{18}$	-79.8(5)
11 C1 C6 C5	-179.8(3)	$C_{10}$ $C_{15}$ $C_{16}$ $C_{17}$	-1381(4)
$C_{2} = C_{1} = C_{6} = C_{7}$	179.8(3) 177.8(4)	$C_{10} = C_{15} = C_{10} = C_{17}$	138.1 (4)
11 - C1 - C6 - C7	-28(5)	$C_{1} = C_{1} = C_{1} = C_{1} = C_{1}$	$-11 \Lambda (2)$
11 - 01 - 00 - 07	2.0(3)	$C_{1}$	168 0 (4)
$C_{1} = C_{2} = C_{1} = C_{1}$	2.2(7)	$C_2 = C_1 = 11 = 01$	100.0(4)
$C_{1} = C_{0} = C_{0}$	1/7.0(4)	$C_{0} - C_{1} - C_{1$	1/1.9(3) _9 9 (4)
$C_1 = C_0 = C_7 = O_1$	21.0(3) -161.2(4)	$C_2 = C_1 = 11 = F_1$	12 5 (2)
$C_{1} = C_{1} = C_{1} = C_{1}$	-101.3(4)	$C_{13}$ $-C_{10}$ $-I_2$ $-C_2$	15.5 (5)
$U_1 - U_0 - U_1 - U_0$	-98.0(5)	$U_{11} - U_{10} - U_{2} - U_{2}$	-107.8 (4)

C5—C6—C7—C8	78.8 (5)	C15—C10—I2—F2	-170.5 (3)
C1—C6—C7—C9	136.9 (4)	C11—C10—I2—F2	8.2 (4)
С5—С6—С7—С9	-46.3 (6)	C6—C7—O1—I1	-31.0 (4)
C15—C10—C11—C12	-0.3 (7)	C8—C7—O1—I1	89.0 (4)
I2—C10—C11—C12	-178.8 (3)	C9—C7—O1—I1	-150.7 (3)
C10-C11-C12-C13	0.0 (7)	F1—I1—O1—C7	38.2 (7)
C11—C12—C13—C14	0.2 (7)	C1—I1—O1—C7	24.4 (3)
C12—C13—C14—C15	-0.1 (7)	C15—C16—O2—I2	33.1 (4)
C11-C10-C15-C14	0.4 (6)	C18—C16—O2—I2	-86.4 (4)
I2-C10-C15-C14	179.0 (3)	C17—C16—O2—I2	153.0 (3)
C11—C10—C15—C16	-177.4 (4)	F2—I2—O2—C16	-44.7 (7)
I2—C10—C15—C16	1.2 (5)	C10—I2—O2—C16	-26.9 (3)