MULTIMEDIA ARTICLE





Video Demonstration of Conversion from One Anastomosis Gastric Bypass to Sleeve Gastrectomy in a Patient with Protein Energy Malnutrition

Mohamed Hany^{1,2} ○ · Mohamed Ibrahim¹ · Anwar Ashraf Abouelnasr¹ · Bart Torensma³

Received: 2 May 2024 / Revised: 29 July 2024 / Accepted: 6 August 2024 / Published online: 24 August 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Keywords Conversion surgery · One anastomosis gastric bypass · Sleeve gastrectomy diarrhea · Protein energy malnutrition

Introduction

One-anastomosis gastric bypass (OAGB) is recognized as a metabolic bariatric surgery (MBS) by the International Federation for the Surgery of Obesity and Metabolic Disorders (IFSO) [1] and the American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery (ASMBS) [2]. It is noted for high weight loss (WL) rates and resolving medical issues [3]. Studies indicate that OAGB provides superior WL results, fewer complications, and quicker procedures than Roux en Y gastric bypass (RYGB) [4].

Key Points

- 1. Potential long-term complications following one-anastomosis gastric bypass include chronic bile reflux, malnutrition, dumping syndrome, hypoglycemia, marginal ulcers, weight regain, inadequate weight loss, and self-intolerance. Occasionally, revision surgery may be necessary.
- 2. The decision regarding revisional surgery depends on various factors, including indications for revision such as severe bile reflux, malnutrition, or weight regain.
- 3. For individuals experiencing severe malnutrition but aiming to sustain weight loss, conversion to sleeve gastrectomy can be a viable option.
- Mohamed Hany mohamed.ashour@alexu.edu.eg
- Department of Surgery, Medical Research Institute, Alexandria University, 165 Horreya Avenue, Hadara 21561, Alexandria, Egypt
- Madina Women's Hospital, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt
- ³ Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC), Leiden, The Netherlands

OAGB and Protein-energy Malnutrition

Despite its benefits, OAGB can cause long-term issues like chronic bile reflux, malnutrition, dumping syndrome, hypoglycemia, and marginal ulcers. Notably, severe proteinenergy malnutrition (PEM), with an average incidence of 26%, but also described in a systematic review (SR) from 28.6 to 54.8% after OAGB, is a severe complication that may require surgery [5]. Nevertheless, the literature does not consistently define PEM, its severity, or criteria for revision surgery. The etiology of PEM is likely multifactorial, involving altered gut-hormonal dynamics and other factors such as new-onset anorexia, psychiatric disorders, non-adherence to nutritional guidelines, and secondary pathologies like colitis, celiac disease, or small intestinal bacterial overgrowth [6].

Furthermore, there is no consensus on the appropriate biliopancreatic limb (BPL) length in OAGB. In two systematic reviews comprising 318 and 1075 patients, respectively, the outcomes of OAGB were evaluated in patients with a BMI \geq 50 kg/m² and those undergoing OAGB as revisional MBS. These studies reported no significant cases of malnutrition despite variations in BPL lengths ranging from 150 to 250 cm [7, 8]. A retrospective study involving 925 OAGB patients found that 2.3% required revisional surgery following the initial procedure. Of these, 0.5% necessitated intervention due to severe diarrhea, which was managed by shortening the BPL to 150 cm [9]. Therefore, most authors endorsed a fixed length of 200 cm [10, 11]. Some authors proposed a shorter BPL (150 cm) to avoid profound nutritional defects and increased causes of diarrhea [12].



Reversal to the Original Anatomy

Reversal to the original anatomy can result in weight regain and has technical risks like leaks and stenosis. The choice of revisional surgery after OAGB depends on specific needs. Conversion to RYGB is typical for severe bile reflux or persistent marginal ulcers but carries risks of malabsorption in severely malnourished patients.

LSG is preferred for severe protein-calorie malnutrition. It aims to maintain weight loss. It effectively resolves nutritional deficiencies while preserving body mass index [13, 14]. While the conversion of OAGB to LSG has been described in the literature, we aimed to present a video of the case.

Case Presentation

A 54-year-old female with a history of OAGB was referred to our clinic, Madina Women's Hospital, Alexandria, Egypt, and presented with weakness, dizziness, and frequent watery diarrhea three months after her operation in June 2020. Initially, her body mass index (BMI) was 41 kg/m², and her BMI decreased to 26 kg/ m² post-surgery despite treatments with loperamide and pancreatic enzymes. The patient did not consistently take her multivitamins. Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) were taken regularly for the first 6 months post-surgery and then used occasionally to manage gastric complaints such as heartburn and pain. Furthermore, she was thoroughly evaluated by a multidisciplinary team (MDT) before surgery. There were no other medical-associated problems, and the patient did not smoke. Additionally, her mental health status was stable, with no issues related to alcohol use or other associated medical problems. Multiple imaging studies, including upper and lower GI (GI) endoscopy, computed tomography (CT), and abdominal ultrasound, ruled out common GI issues. The gastric pouch volume was measured to be 250 cc, as indicated by the CT scan, which showed dilation. The pouch appeared short, approximately 10 cm. However, during the preoperative endoscopy, we did not observe excessive bile in the gastric pouch or the esophagus. Lab tests showed several deficiencies: albumin at 3 gm/dL, ferritin at 9 ng/mL, hemoglobin at 9.9 gm/dL, calcium at 8.4 mg/ dL, and vitamin D3 at 14 nmol/L. Treatment included nutritional support to correct these imbalances, successfully increasing her albumin to 3.8 g/dL, hemoglobin to 10.7 g/dL, and calcium to 8.7 mg/dL within a month. The patient underwent a glucose breath test, which yielded negative results. Thus, no treatment for small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) was required. Furthermore,

aminoleban and pravotin were administered to address hypoalbuminemia and iron deficiency anemia. The patient was offered several surgical options, including reversal to normal anatomy, shortening the biliopancreatic limb, and conversion to RYGB. She opted to reverse the surgery to maintain her weight. The patient provided written informed consent after extensive discussions about potential complications and intraoperative adjustments.

Reversal Surgery

In January 2022, the patient underwent a laparoscopic reversal of OAGB. The surgery started with adhesiolysis and measuring the entire small intestine, revealing a BPL of 250 cm and a common channel of 320 cm. The reversal involved horizontally dividing the gastrojejunostomy (GJ) anastomosis and constructing a side-to-side jejunojejunostomy to avoid stenosis. The remnant GJ was removed, and the proximal gastric pouch was resized using a 40-Fr tube. A side-to-side gastro gastrostomy (GG) was established between the gastric pouch and the antrum, followed by creating a new sleeve with linear staplers and closing the GG stoma with a 3/0 PDS V-Loc barbed suture (Covidien, Mansfield, MA, USA). Staple lines were reinforced and tested for leaks. The operation took 100 min.

Postoperative Course and Follow-up

The postoperative period was uneventful, with the patient tolerating oral fluids and maintaining stable vital signs. The tube drain was removed on the fourth day, and a CT scan confirmed no contrast leakage. At 1 month, she was consuming fluids and a soft diet without previous symptoms of diarrhea. Significant lab improvements at 1 year included hemoglobin at 11.2 g/dL, calcium at 9.2 mg/dL, and albumin at 4 g/dL. Her BMI stabilized at 27 kg/m², reflecting a successful surgical outcome and management.

Conclusion

Conversion from an OAGB to LSG is a viable option for managing protein-energy malnutrition, provided proper preparation is made. This approach maintains weight loss while addressing nutritional issues. Tailoring the management plan to each patient's specific needs ensures optimal outcomes.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11695-024-07456-2.

Funding Open access funding provided by The Science, Technology & Innovation Funding Authority (STDF) in cooperation with The Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB).



Data Availability Data is available with the corresponding author.

Declarations

Ethics Approval All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were by the ethical standards of the institutional and national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed Consent The patient provided written and oral informed consent.

Competing Interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- De Luca M, Piatto G, Merola G, et al. IFSO update position statement on one anastomosis gastric bypass (OAGB). Obes Surg. 2021;31:3251–78.
- American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery (ASMBS)
 [Internet]. Newberry, FL: The Society; [updated May 11, 2022;
 cited Oct 4, 2023]. ASMBS endorsed procedures and FDA
 approved devices. Available from: http://www.asmbs.org/resources/endorsed-procedures-and-devices.
- Lee W-J, Almalki OM, Ser K-H, et al. Randomized controlled trial of one anastomosis gastric bypass versus Roux-en-Y gastric bypass for obesity: comparison of the YOMEGA and Taiwan studies. Obes Surg. 2019;29:3047–53.

- Magouliotis DE, Tasiopoulou VS, Tzovaras G. One anastomosis gastric bypass versus Roux-en-Y gastric bypass for morbid obesity: an updated meta-analysis. Obes Surg. 2019;29:2721–30.
- Khrucharoen U, Juo Y-Y, Chen Y, et al. Indications, operative techniques, and outcomes for revisional operation following mini-gastric bypass-one anastomosis gastric bypass: a systematic review. Obes Surg. 2020;30:1564

 –73.
- Lange J, Königsrainer A. Malnutrition as a Complication of bariatric surgery – a clear and present danger? Visc Med. 2019;35:305–11.
- Parmar CD, Gan J, Stier C, et al. One anastomosis/mini gastric bypass (OAGB-MGB) as revisional bariatric surgery after failed primary adjustable gastric band (LAGB) and sleeve gastrectomy (SG): a systematic review of 1075 patients. Int J Surg. 2020;81:32–8.
- Parmar CD, Bryant C, Luque-de-Leon E, et al. One anastomosis gastric bypass in morbidly obese patients with BMI ≥ 50 kg/m²: a systematic review comparing it with Roux-en-Y gastric bypass and sleeve gastrectomy. Obes Surg. 2019;29:3039–46.
- Hussain A, Van Den Bossche M, Kerrigan DD, et al. Retrospective cohort study of 925 OAGB procedures The UK MGB/OAGB collaborative group. Int J Surg. 2019;69:13–8.
- Parikh M, Eisenberg D, Johnson J, et al. American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery review of the literature on one-anastomosis gastric bypass. Surg Obes Related Dis. 2018;14:1088-92.
- Hany M, Zidan A, Elmongui E, et al. Revisional Roux-en-Y gastric bypass versus revisional one-anastomosis gastric bypass after failed sleeve gastrectomy: a randomized controlled trial. Obes Surg. 2022;32:3491–503.
- Ahuja A. MGB-OAGB: Effect of biliopancreatic limb length on nutritional deficiency, weight loss, and comorbidity resolution. Obes Surg. 2018;28:3439–45.
- Chen C-Y, Lee W-J, Lee H-M, et al. Laparoscopic conversion of gastric bypass complication to sleeve gastrectomy: technique and early results. Obes Surg. 2016;26:2014–21.
- Lee W-J, Lee Y-C, Ser K-H, et al. Revisional surgery for laparoscopic minigastric bypass. Surg Obes Related Dis. 2011;7:486–91.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

