#### COMMENTARY

OPEN ACCESS OPEN ACCESS

Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

# ALT control, delete: FANCM as an anti-cancer target in Alternative Lengthening of Telomeres

Julienne J O'Rourke D<sup>a,b#</sup>, Rohan Bythell-Douglas D<sup>a</sup>, Elyse A Dunn D<sup>a</sup>, and Andrew J Deans D<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Genome Stability Unit, St. Vincent's Institute of Medical Research, Fitzroy, Australia; <sup>b</sup>Department of Medicine, (St Vincent's) University of Melbourne, Fitzroy, Australia

#### ABSTRACT

Break-induced replication is a specific type of DNA repair that has a co-opted role in telomere extension by telomerase-negative cancer cells. This Alternative Lengthening of Telomeres (or 'ALT') is required for viability in approximately 10% of all carcinomas, but up to 50% of the soft-tissue derived sarcomas. In several recent studies, we and others demonstrate that expression and activity of FANCM, a DNA translocase protein, is essential for the viability of ALT-associated cancers. Here we provide a summary of how and why FANCM depletion leads to deletion of ALT-controlled cancers, predominantly through a hyper-activation of break-induced replication. We also discuss how FANCM can and has been targeted in cancer cell killing, including potential opportunities in ALT and other genetic backgrounds.

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 2 August 2019 Revised 17 October 2019 Accepted 21 October 2019

#### KEYWORDS

Telomeres; DNA repair; Fanconi anemia; chemotherapy; breakinduced replication; precision medicine; synthetic lethal

# Mechanism of Alternative Lengthening of Telomeres (ALT)

Telomeres consist of 5'-TTAGGG-3' repeats at the ends of chromosomes. They are shortened by 50-200 base pairs per cell cycle [1] because the DNA replication machinery cannot catalyze the complete replication of linear molecules (the 'end replication problem' [2]). Telomere shortening creates a barrier to tumorigenesis and limits the lifespan of transformed cells [3]. The majority of carcinomas and almost all hematologic malignancies subvert this barrier through activation of a reverse transcriptase enzyme called telomerase that overcomes telomere shortening by synthesizing new telomeric DNA. Telomerase is a target in cancer therapy [4,5]; however, not all cancers use telomerase to maintain telomeres. Instead, about 10% of carcinomas and at least 40% of sarcomas use a recombination/ replication-based mechanism, called Alternativelengthening of telomeres (ALT) [6]. Sarcomas that use ALT are more refractory to treatment and carry a higher risk of patient death compared to telomerasepositive tumors [7]. The ALT mechanism, which is unique to tumor cells and only rarely seen in untransformed cells [8], therefore represents a potential target for new therapies.

Cancers utilizing ALT have several unique characteristics. First, their telomeres are usually massively longer than equivalent telomerase-positive cancer cells [9]. Second, the cells contain a large amount of extrachromosomal telomeric DNA, some of which is circular and containing regions of single-stranded DNA derived from the C-rich strand (C-circles) [10]. Third, the cells display a hyper-recombinogenic phenotype in both telomeric and non-telomeric regions [11]. All of these features point to an altered DNA repair process, or at least one that is conducive to elevated telomeric recombination. In particular, the chromatin of telomeres, normally regulated by histone H3.3 and the chromatin remodeler ATRX:DAXX to be repressive to transcription and recombination, becomes highly permissive. Indeed, ATRX or DAXX (mutually exclusive) and H3.3 are obligately mutated during the development of ALT-positive cancer [11,12].

Several recent breakthrough studies demonstrate that 'break-induced telomere synthesis' (BITS) (also known as telomeric MiDAS, Mitotic DNA synthesis) is necessary for the ALT mechanism [13–15]. BITS appears to require all the same factors as breakinduced replication (BIR), a specialized form of

CONTACT Andrew J Deans adeans@svi.edu.au 🗈 Genome Stability Unit, St Vincent's Institute of Medical Research, 9 Princes St Fitzroy, VIC 3056, Australia. 🕐 @GenomeStability

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>#</sup>Current address: Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.

<sup>© 2019</sup> The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

homologous recombination (HR). These factors include the RAD52, BLM and POLD3 proteins [16]. In proposed models for how BITS works, the process begins with the invasion of a resected damaged telomere end (G rich-strand) into a homologous template, forming a D-loop (Figure 1(a)) [14]. In ALT, there is evidence that this template is: (i) a centromere proximal sequence of the same chromosome (T-loop), (ii) circular extrachromosomal telomeric sequences (C-circles), (iii) homologous chromosomes, or (iv) other chromosomes (Figure 1(b)). ALT may arise via usage of a combination of some or all of these templates [8,17,18]. Importantly, because telomeres are highly repetitive, invasion between or within telomeres is not limited by the requirement in HR for extended homology. After D-loop formation, DNA polymerase  $\delta$  extends the invaded G-strand end,

copying material beyond the original breakpoint, leading to initiation of lagging strand synthesis of the C-strand, also by DNA polymerase  $\delta$  [13,19]. In canonical HR, the extension is limited by 'second end capture', but with broken telomere ends utilizing the aberrant templates mentioned above, there is no 'second end' to capture (Figure 1(a)) leading to extension of the telomere. The continued extension of the D-loop requires POLD3 and POLD4, accessory subunits of polymerase  $\delta$  that are not essential for the normal replicative role of this enzyme. The exact role of these two components is unclear, but *in vitro* they provide increased processivity to polymerase  $\delta$  [20]. Because BIR (and by extension, BITS) is restricted by topological constraints, increased processivity is critical for the extension of kilobases (or even megabases [9]) of telomeric DNA as a single unit.



Figure 1. Extension of telomeres during ALT by Break-Induced Telomere Synthesis (BITS) mechanism.

(a) Schematic of conservative replication of DNA by break-induced replication. (b) Four potential substrates of the proposed BITS mechanism that can lead to new telomere synthesis by ALT. Created with Biorender.com

The second feature of BITS and BIR is the production of a non-conservative DNA product; at the conclusion of the copying reaction, both strands contain entirely new DNA. This is different to canonical 'semi-conservative' replication, where one strand is newly synthesized, and the other comes from the original template. In this manner, BITS allows entire telomeric sequences to be copied from one chromosome to another, without affecting the length or integrity of the copied sequence. Recent work suggests that BIR proceeds via a D-loop migration model, which is supported by observation of non-conservative rather than semiconservative products of break-induced replication at ALT telomeres [16] and the D-loop-shaped products observed by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis at sites undergoing BIR [21].

Also important to the ALT process are DNA:RNA hybrids called R-loops. R-loops form in normal telomeres at low levels but are highly elevated in ALT cells [22]. Suppression of these so-called Telomere Extended Repetitive RNAs (TERRAs) by transcription inhibition or overexpression of RNase H (which specifically degrades RNA within a DNA:RNA hybrid) leads to reduced proliferation rates in ALT cells, and shortened telomeres [22,23]. TERRA R-loops are also elevated in ATRX-/- telomerase-positive cells [24]. This indicates that R-loops could be a consequence of the relaxed chromatin environment of ALT telomeres, but many R-loop processing factors appear to play a role specifically in ALT cells [22]. There is also a possibility that TERRAs are used as a substrate to initiate break-induced replication. In bacteria, or yeast that lack telomerase, RNA-mediated replication start is a commonly used mechanism of replication akin to BIR [25,26].

Several labs have now demonstrated loss of viability in ALT cells that lack FANCM [27–29]. As FANCM is a protein that can regulate recombination through displacement of D-loops (the first step in the recombination process), replication fork stability through promotion of fork reversal, and DNA-RNA hybrid levels through displacement of R-loops, it appears to be a critical regulator of ALT.

### FANCM is a DNA repair complex anchor

FANCM is a large, 2048 amino acid protein with multiple DNA binding domains and protein:protein



**Figure 2.** Domain architecture of FANCM protein at a replication fork. The FANCM polypeptide from N- to C-termini is shown in blue. Key domains are highlighted, including N-terminal PIP box that binds PCNA, MHF-interaction domain (MID), FANCF interaction domain (MM1) and RMI1–RMI2 interaction domain (MM2). The DNA binding translocase and ERCC4 domains (bound to FAAP24) are shown in dark blue. Where available, crystal structures are shown. Scale and arrangement are only an approximation. Created with Biorender.com.

interaction motifs (Figure 2). In particular, FANCM has been shown to bind DNA in a structure-specific manner [30]. Two DNA binding domains exist in the protein: an N-terminal DEAH domain required for recognition of fork-shaped DNA (described further below), and a C-terminal ERCC4 pseudo-nuclease domain, required for localizing the protein to specific DNA damage sites. Despite evolutionary similarity to restriction endonuclease domains, the ERCC4 domain does not cleave DNA, but instead binds structures containing dsDNA:ssDNA junctions [31]. EM investigations suggest that the N- and C-terminal DNA binding domains come together in the overall architecture of the protein [32]. Further DNA binding activity of FANCM comes from the association with FAAP24 (another ERCC4 domain-containing protein), and MHF1 and MHF2 (histone-fold containing proteins that promote the association of FANCM with DNA junctions) [33]. The extensive unstructured sequence between the N- and C-terminal DNA binding domains contains several protein:protein interactions sites, indicating a scaffold role in the recruitment of multiple protein complexes. In particular, this includes binding sites for complexes involved in the cancer predisposition disorders Fanconi anemia (the Fanconi core complex) and Bloom's syndrome (the Bloom's complex) [34] (Figure 2).

FANCM is so-named as it was first identified as homozygous mutated in an individual with Fanconi Anemia [35]; however, it was later demonstrated that this FA patient also had homozygous FANCA deficiency [36]. None-the-less, multiple lines of evidence demonstrate the FANCM does participate in the 'FA-BRCA pathway', in which the activity of at least 22 FANC proteins, including BRCA1 and BRCA2, converge on the conjugation of a single ubiquitin to the chromatin-associated FANCD2 protein [37]. Monoubiquitinated FANCD2 forms 'foci', the discrete localization of many molecules of FANCD2 at damaged replication forks, that signals further repair processes. FANCM is necessary for the complete activation of FANCD2 monoubiquitination, and essential for FANCD2 foci formation in human, mouse and chicken cells [34,38,39]. In addition to its role in the FA-BRCA pathway, FANCM also regulates and activates the Bloom's complex.

The Bloom's complex consists of four proteins: BLM (a helicase), TOP3A (a type 1A topoisomerase) and RMI1 and RMI2 (oligonucleotide-binding fold proteins). Mutation in any one of these proteins leads to the cancer predisposition disorder Bloom's Syndrome [40–42]. The tumor-suppressor function of Bloom's complex is likely due to its important role in genetic recombination. The Bloom's complex suppresses recombination by promoting Holliday junction 'dissolution', a process that unlinks catenated DNA recombination intermediates formed during homologous repair, for example, back to their prerecombination state [43]. BLM also suppresses recombination by promoting break-induced replication, which may be mediated by interaction with POLD4 (aka p12) and stimulation of polymerase  $\delta$ activity on D-loops [44]. Bloom's complex activity is also critical for the ALT mechanism [45]. For example, in the absence of BLM, telomeres are cleaved by the SMX (SLX4/Mus81/XPF) Holliday junction nuclease complex leading to senescence [18].

FANCM physically connects the FA core complex and the Bloom's Complex to form a nuclear complex termed BRAFT, indicating that the BLM and FA pathways are connected in genome maintenance [46]. In previous studies, the binding of the FA core complex and the Bloom's complex was shown to be mediated by two different fragments of FANCM [34]. The first fragment corresponding to residues 687–1104 contains the MM1 domain, which binds and recruits the FA core complex to DNA damage sites. A second FANCM fragment, corresponding to residues 1027 to 1362 interacted specifically with the Bloom's complex components RMI1 and RMI2 [34,47]. Within this fragment, a conserved MM2 domain was identified. Removal of the MM2 domain from full-length FANCM (FANCM∆1209-1251) resulted in complete loss of interactions with the Bloom's complex [48]. Within the MM2 motif, four phenylalanine residues and a string of acidic residues are highly conserved [34,47]. The X-ray crystal structure of both RMI1 and RMI2 bound to the MM2 peptide shows that key phenylalanine and other hydrophobic residues of the MM2 domain interact with RMI1 by a 'knobs into holes' arrangement. Mutation of each of these hydrophobic MM2 residues to alanine significantly decrease interaction of FANCM with the Bloom's complex, demonstrating the importance of this binding motif [47].

# FANCM is a motor protein that promotes DNA branch migration

FANCM contains an ATPase motor domain at the N-terminus of the protein (residues 64-684), where the two RecA-like folds are separated by an insertion domain (residues 298-433). FANCM falls within the RIG-I-like family of SF2 helicases, related to yeast Mph1 and archaeal HEF helicases [49]. These ATPases preferentially bind to and unwind branched oligonucleotide molecules [50]. For Mph1 and HEF, direct ATP-dependent unwinding of junction DNA to ssDNA (i.e. bona fide helicase activity) has been observed [50,51]. However, true helicase activity has not been observed for FANCM, which instead uses its ATPase motor to perform branch migration by translocation [30]. Translocation and helicase activity are similar processes as both depend on the concerted ATPase and DNA binding activity of the two RecAlike domains, which move the protein along the DNA molecule via an 'inchworm' mechanism [52]. The distinction between the two is the presence of a 'wedge' domain in helicases [53], which separates the two strands of DNA while the protein translocates, effectively peeling the two strands apart with each base pair step of translocation. FANCM on the other hand,

facilitates branch migration by pushing the branchpoint of the junction as the protein translocates along DNA, without the simultaneous generation of ssDNA. FANCM, therefore, lacks a 'snow plow' domain but possesses a DNA binding domain specific for branched structures. The coupling of this structurespecific DNA binding domain together with the translocase activity of the ATPase motor domain is what permits FANCM to migrate branched DNA structures.

Dependent upon the nature of the junction that is migrated by FANCM, several different outcomes are possible (Figure 3). First, the branch migration of stalled replication forks by FANCM can lead to replication fork reversal (Figure 3(i)). Fork reversal leads to formation of a more stable four-way junction (also known as a chicken-foot structure, which is structurally identical to a Holliday junction) that protects the DNA and permits replication restart without nuclease cleavage after repair is completed [54]. Second, the branch migration of recombination-mediated displacement loops (D-loops) by FANCM can suppress recombination [30] (Figure 3(ii)). Third, branch migration of three-stranded DNA:RNA hybrid structures by FANCM can suppress the persistence of R-loops [55] (Figure 3(iii)). This could have effects on transcription, recombination, or the removal of R-loops as barriers to DNA replication. There is in vitro and cell-based evidence that FANCM deficiency allows accumulation of unregressed replication forks [56], recombination intermediates [57] and R-loops [55].

## Proposed role of FANCM in BIR, BITS, and ALT

As outlined above there are many possible mechanisms by which FANCM could limit cellular toxicity of the ALT phenotype. However, the data from our published studies suggest that the dominant function is mediated by FANCM interaction with Bloom's complex, to suppress break-induced replication (BIR) at telomeres [28,29]. Our results are reminiscent of those observed in yeast, where Sgs1 (BLM-homolog) and Mph1 (FANCM homolog) positively and negatively regulate breakinduced replication, respectively [58]. Mph1 and Sgs1 co-purify from yeast [59], however in vertebrates the two enzymes have acquired a further integration of function through acquisition of RMI2, a protein that bridges the two complexes [47], and the MM2-domain in FANCM [34]. This integration of BLM and FANCM in a single complex supports the idea of a combined role in breakinduced replication. Importantly, BLM also directly binds to the POLD4 subunit of polymerase  $\delta$  to promote the *in vitro* processivity of this enzyme on D-loops [44].

Why would FANCM and BLM be brought together to function within the same complex? We put forward a hypothetical model (Figure 4). In this model, FANCM and BLM work cooperatively in linking anti- and pro-recombination functions of each enzyme into a machine that promotes D-loop migration, and DNA synthesis by polymerase  $\delta$ during BIR (Figure 4). First, Bloom's complex branch unwinds and *extends* D-loop structures. In doing so, BLM may act in place of the replicative



Figure 3. Branch migration of different DNA junction structures by FANCM and their associated products. Direction of branch migration by FANCM (blue protein) is indicated by blue arrows. Created with Biorender.com.



**Figure 4.** Proposed model for bubble branch migration and telomere synthesis by BLM, FANCM and pol  $\delta$ . (a) Steps (i)-(ii) FANCM and Bloom's complex are recruited as a complex to the D-loop. BLM helicase promotes DNA unwinding in direction of green arrows, to promote DNA synthesis of G-strand by polymerase delta. FANCM branch migration promotes dissociation of nascent DNA in direction of blue arrows. Steps (ii)-(iv) C-strand synthesis completes the generation of new telomeres. (b) step (ii) but in absence of either BLM, FANCM or both FANCM and BLM. (c) Outcomes of aberrant pathway. Created with Biorender.com.

MCM helicase, similar to what has previously been proposed for Pif1 helicase [60]. Second, BLM unwinding stimulates polymerase  $\delta$  to synthesize new G-strand leading strand DNA toward the end of the chromosome, using the C-rich strand of the telomere as a template. Third, pol ⊠ primase and additional polymerase  $\delta$  synthesize nascent lagging C-strand DNA, followed by the displacement of the now conservatively duplicated DNA. This displacement could also be BLM and/or FANCM mediated (Figure 4). Finally, FANCM acts to couple these leading and lagging strand synthesis steps. It does so by reversing or ultimately displacing any stalled 'BIR replisome'. This is analogous to the canonical functions of FANCM in replication fork reversal and D-loop dissociation [30,54], except that it is now tethered directly to a BIR replisome together with polymerase  $\delta$  and BLM complex in a migrating D-loop. In the context of an ALT-telomere, such stalling would most likely be due to additional damage on the C-rich leading strand template, which experimental evidence indicates is far more labile than the G-rich lagging strand template [61]. We propose that FANCM-mediated D-loop dissociation in the context of a BIR replisome would prevent uncontrolled lagging strand synthesis of the C-strand. This model requires no new activities, just the physical coupling of known functions of both BLM and FANCM.

The model proposed is wholly supported by the outcomes observed when one or other or both of FANCM and BLM are absent in ALT cells. In particular, we observed a large accumulation of C-strand ssDNA in FANCM deficiency, which is not due to new telomere synthesis [28]. This C-rich ssDNA must therefore originate from abnormally long regions of polymerase  $\delta$  displaced template C-strand, and not newly synthesized C-strand. This C-rich DNA, based on the model above, is hypothesized to originate from uncoupled lagging strand synthesis upon leading strand stalling. Indeed, our data using two-dimensional electrophoresis revealed a novel C-strand telomeric structure that uniquely appears upon FANCM depletion, and conceivably represents such an ssDNA region contained within a large D-loop structure. It is also possible that FANCM could directly displace RAD51-dependent or independent D-loop structures to prevent them being engaged by BIR at all. However, counter to this hypothesis, FANCM retains the ability to directly unwind D-loops even without an intact Bloom's complex interaction domain [62]. Experimental models using recombinant proteins or cells with defined genetic mutations could be used in the future to further demonstrate the feasibility of our model.

Other phenotypes of FANCM deficiency could also be explained by a role for the protein in D-loop displacement coupled with BIR. First, recent

studies have shown that FANCM can preserve the integrity of common fragile sites [63] and centromeric repeats [64] through an FA pathway independent function. Neither of these studies examined whether decreased stability of such repeats in the absence of FANCM was dependent upon BLM, but another study has shown that FANCM/BLM codepletion can greatly amplify tandem duplication events during a BIR dependent process called replication bypass restart [65]. Second, FANCM recruitment to forks actually requires interaction with the Bloom's complex, something which has also been demonstrated in telomerase-positive cells [66]. Third, the elevated meiotic crossovers (particularly nearer chromosome ends) observed in FANCM knockout organisms [67,68] could be due to an anti-BIR rather than a pro-HR role for FANCM in meiosis; although this requires further investigation.

# FANCM as a therapeutic target in cancer treatment?

There are several potential ways in which FANCM activity could be targeted as an anti-cancer agent. In the context of ALT, we have demonstrated that one of the best targets may be the MM2 domain. Inhibiting the interaction of FANCM and the Bloom's complex with ectopic MM2 peptide (that acts as a dominant decoy) was sufficient to inhibit colony formation of ALT-associated cancer cells, but not telomerase-positive cancer cells [28]. This peptide works as a dominant interfering binder to RM11:RMI2, and sequesters the Bloom's complex

Table 1. Other genetic interactions with FANCM deficiency.

away from FANCM [34]. As with FANCM depletion, this induces death through a 'hyper-ALT' phenotype, leading to increased telomeric ssDNA, C-circles and TIFs [28]. A recent *in vitro* highthroughput screen for small molecule inhibitors of MM2–RMI1:2 interaction lead to the discovery of PIP-199 [69]. We found that this experimental drug also showed some discriminatory activity in killing ALT-cells, compared to telomerase-positive cells [28]. The compound does appear to be more toxic overall than the peptide, probably owing to the fact that it has not been further optimized for cell-based use. Further development of PIP-199, or the identification of other MM2 mimetics would be a valuable strategy for ALT-based therapy.

Several other domains and functions of FANCM may also be amenable to drug development. Existing crystal structures of FANCM domains bound to FAAP24 [32] or MHF1:MHF2 heterotetramer [70] could be screened *in silico* against protein:protein interaction inhibitors. Alternatively, the ATPase motor domain activity is also essential for suppression of ALT, and could be screened against chemicals that target this enzyme fold [71]. Other approaches such as epitope-targeted degradation [72], siRNA based knockdown [73], or other target-tailored approaches to reduce FANCM expression levels are also potential strategies.

In addition to ALT, several other synthetic lethal interactions have been observed for FANCM that may widen the targetability of the protein in therapeutic use. The evidence and implications of some of these are highlighted in Table 1.

Gene	Genetic interaction	Evidence and implications	Citation
BRCA1	Synthetic lethal	FANCM and BRCA1 co-siRNA promotes increase in tandem duplications and cell death.	[27,65]
RAD52	Synthetic lethal	RAD52 shRNA in FANCM-/- HCT116 cells leads to loss of colony formation and loss of tumor formation in nude mice.	[63]
XPF	Synthetic lethal	XPF shRNA in FANCM-/- HCT116 cells leads to loss of colony formation. Elevated recombination intermediates in FANCM-/- cells is cleaved by XPF.	[74]
WEE1	Synthetic lethal	FANCM siRNA and WEE1 inhibitor combined in various cancer cell lines. WEE1 inhibition triggers direct mitotic entry without completing DNA synthesis, resulting in catastrophic chromosome fragmentation and apoptosis	[75]
BLM	Synthetic lethal	BLM shRNA in FANCM-/- HCT116 cells leads to loss of colony formation. Additive lethality in BLM siRNA treated ALT cells has also been reported.	[27,76]
FANCD2, FANCC, other FANC genes	Synthetic rescue	FANCM siRNA identified in a screen for rescuers of FANCD2- or FANC- deficient HAP1 cells. Suggests FANCM creates toxic intermediate during ICL repair that must be resolved by rest of FA pathway.	[77]
PARP1	Sensitivity	FANCM deficiency was shown to be a determinant of sensitivity to PARP inhibition	[78]

Importantly, FANCM deficiency can be tolerated in telomerase-positive human cancer cell lines such as HEK293 [34], HeLa [79], and HCT116 [80]. Absence of FANCM is also compatible with normal development in model organisms such as mouse [39], fruit fly [81], and arabidopsis [67]. Presumably, FANCM also restricts BIR or BITS in telomerase-positive cells, so why is its deletion specifically lethal in ALT? Some data suggest that heterochromatin acts as an additional barrier to 'runaway' DNA synthesis by BIR [82,83]. The altered chromatin state of ALT-positive cells means that this barrier is no longer intact, permitting more extensive BIR activity in the absence of FANCM. The genetic and biochemical evidence for a role of FANCM in unleashing a toxic 'hyper-ALT' phenotype of cancers using alternative lengthening of telomeres suggest that any experimental approach that disrupts the BLM-FANCM complex is likely to have therapeutic potential. The vital role of FANCM in ALT make it an excellent target candidate in these difficult to treat cancers.

### Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the input of unnamed peer reviewers to refinement of the model proposed. This work was supported by a grant from Cancer Australia (together with the National Breast Cancer Foundation), a grant-in-aid from Cancer Council Victoria, and the Victorian Government IOS program. JJO is the recipient of a Leukaemia Foundation PhD scholarship and AJD is a fellow of the Victorian Cancer Agency.

### Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

#### Funding

This work was supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council [GNT1139099]; National Breast Cancer Foundation (Australia); Victorian Cancer Agency.

### ORCID

Julienne J O'Rourke D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8816-0265 Rohan Bythell-Douglas D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3823-8749

Elyse A Dunn (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5941-2187 Andrew J Deans (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5271-4422

### References

- [1] Martens UM, Chavez EA, Poon SS, et al. Accumulation of short telomeres in human fibroblasts prior to replicative senescence. Exp Cell Res. 2000;256:291–299.
- [2] Levy MZ, Allsopp RC, Futcher AB, et al. Telomere end-replication problem and cell aging. J Mol Biol. 1992;225:951–960.
- [3] Van Ly D, Low RRJ, Frölich S, et al. Telomere loop dynamics in chromosome end protection. Mol Cell. 2018;71:510–525.e516.
- [4] Tefferi A, Lasho TL, Begna KH, et al. A pilot study of the telomerase inhibitor imetelstat for myelofibrosis. N Engl J Med. 2015;373:908–919.
- [5] Sugarman ET, Zhang G, Shay JW. In perspective: an update on telomere targeting in cancer. Mol Carcinog. 2019;58:1581–1588.
- [6] Heaphy CM, Subhawong AP, Hong S-M, et al. Prevalence of the alternative lengthening of telomeres telomere maintenance mechanism in human cancer subtypes. Am J Pathol. 2011;179:1608–1615.
- [7] Lawlor RT, Veronese N, Pea A, et al. Alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT) influences survival in soft tissue sarcomas: a systematic review with meta-analysis. BMC Cancer. 2019;19:232.
- [8] Neumann AA, Watson CM, Noble JR, et al. Alternative lengthening of telomeres in normal mammalian somatic cells. Genes Dev. 2013;27:18–23.
- [9] Varley H, Pickett HA, Foxon JL, et al. Molecular characterization of inter-telomere and intra-telomere mutations in human ALT cells. Nat Genet. 2002;30:301–305.
- [10] Henson JD, Cao Y, Huschtscha LI, et al. DNA C-circles are specific and quantifiable markers of alternative-lengthening-of-telomeres activity. Nat Biotechnol. 2009;27:1181–1185.
- [11] Lovejoy CA, Li W, Reisenweber S, et al. Loss of ATRX, genome instability, and an altered DNA damage response are hallmarks of the alternative lengthening of telomeres pathway. PLoS Genet. 2012;8:e1002772.
- [12] Schwartzentruber J, Korshunov A, Liu X-Y, et al. Driver mutations in histone H3.3 and chromatin remodelling genes in paediatric glioblastoma. Nature. 2012;482:226–231.
- [13] Dilley RL, Verma P, Cho NW, et al. Break-induced telomere synthesis underlies alternative telomere maintenance. Nature. 2016;539:54–58.
- [14] Zhang JM, Yadav T, Ouyang J, et al. Alternative lengthening of telomeres through two distinct break-induced replication pathways. Cell Rep. 2019;26:955–968.e953.
- [15] Min J, Wright WE, Shay JW. Clustered telomeres in phase-separated nuclear condensates engage mitotic DNA synthesis through BLM and RAD52. Genes Dev. 2019;33:814–827.
- [16] Min J, Wright WE, Shay JW. Alternative lengthening of telomeres mediated by mitotic DNA synthesis engages break-induced replication processes. Mol Cell Biol. 2017;37. DOI:10.1128/MCB.00226-17.

- [17] Muntoni A, Neumann AA, Hills M, et al. Telomere elongation involves intra-molecular DNA replication in cells utilizing alternative lengthening of telomeres. Hum Mol Genet. 2009;18:1017–1027.
- [18] Sobinoff AP, Allen JA, Neumann AA, et al. BLM and SLX4 play opposing roles in recombination-dependent replication at human telomeres. Embo J. 2017;36:2907–2919.
- [19] Donnianni RA, Zhou Z-X, Lujan SA, et al. DNA polymerase delta synthesizes both strands during break-induced replication. Mol Cell. 2019. DOI:10.1016/j.molcel.2019.07.033.
- [20] Zhou Y, Meng X, Zhang S, et al. Characterization of human DNA polymerase delta and its subassemblies reconstituted by expression in the MultiBac system. PLoS One. 2012;7: e39156.
- [21] Sneeden JL, Grossi SM, Tappin I, et al. Reconstitution of recombination-associated DNA synthesis with human proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41:4913–4925.
- [22] Arora R, Lee Y, Wischnewski H, et al. RNaseH1 regulates TERRA-telomeric DNA hybrids and telomere maintenance in ALT tumour cells. Nat Commun. 2014;5:5220.
- [23] Yu TY, Kao YW, Lin JJ. Telomeric transcripts stimulate telomere recombination to suppress senescence in cells lacking telomerase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111:3377–3382.
- [24] Nguyen DT, Voon HPJ, Xella B, et al. The chromatin remodelling factor ATRX suppresses R-loops in transcribed telomeric repeats. EMBO Rep. 2017;18:914–928.
- [25] Sun B, Singh A, Sultana S, et al. Helicase promotes replication re-initiation from an RNA transcript. Nat Commun. 2018;9:2306.
- [26] Asai T, Kogoma T. D-loops and R-loops: alternative mechanisms for the initiation of chromosome replication in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol. 1994;176:1807–1812.
- [27] Pan X, Drosopoulos WC, Sethi L, et al. FANCM, BRCA1, and BLM cooperatively resolve the replication stress at the ALT telomeres. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114:E5940-E5949.
- [28] Lu R, O'Rourke JJ, Sobinoff AP, et al. The FANCM-BLM-TOP3A-RMI complex suppresses alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT). Nat Commun. 2019;10:2252.
- [29] Silva B, Pentz R, Figueira AM, et al. FANCM limits ALT activity by restricting telomeric replication stress induced by deregulated BLM and R-loops. Nat Commun. 2019;10:2253.
- [30] Gari K, Decaillet C, Stasiak AZ, et al. The Fanconi anemia protein FANCM can promote branch migration of Holliday junctions and replication forks. Mol Cell. 2008;29:141–148.
- [31] Ciccia A, Ling C, Coulthard R, et al. Identification of FAAP24, a Fanconi anemia core complex protein that interacts with FANCM. Mol Cell. 2007;25:331–343.
- [32] Coulthard R, Deans A, Swuec P, et al. Architecture and DNA recognition elements of the Fanconi anemia FANCM-FAAP24 complex. Structure. 2013;21:1648–1658.
- [33] Yan Z, Delannoy M, Ling C, et al. A histone-fold complex and FANCM form a conserved DNA-remodeling complex to maintain genome stability. Mol Cell. 2010;37:865–878.

- [34] Deans AJ, West SC. FANCM connects the genome instability disorders bloom's syndrome and Fanconi anemia. Mol Cell. 2009;36:943–953.
- [35] Meetei AR, Medhurst AL, Ling C, et al. A human ortholog of archaeal DNA repair protein Hef is defective in Fanconi anemia complementation group M. Nat Genet. 2005;37:958–963.
- [36] Singh TR, Bakker ST, Agarwal S, et al. Impaired FANCD2 monoubiquitination and hypersensitivity to camptothecin uniquely characterize Fanconi anemia complementation group M. Blood. 2009;114:174–180.
- [37] Walden H, Deans AJ. The Fanconi anemia DNA repair pathway: structural and functional insights into a complex disorder. Annu Rev Biophys. 2014;43:257–278.
- [38] Mosedale G, Niedzwiedz W, Alpi A, et al. The vertebrate Hef ortholog is a component of the Fanconi anemia tumor-suppressor pathway. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2005;12:763–771.
- [39] Bakker ST, van de Vrugt HJ, Rooimans MA, et al. Fancmdeficient mice reveal unique features of Fanconi anemia complementation group M. Hum Mol Genet. 2009;18:3484–3495.
- [40] German J. Bloom's syndrome. XX. The first 100 cancers. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1997;93:100–106. S0165460896003366 [pii]
- [41] Hudson DF, Amor DJ, Boys A, et al. Loss of RMI2 increases genome instability and causes a Bloom-like syndrome. PLoS Genet. 2016;12:e1006483.
- [42] Martin C-A, Sarlós K, Logan CV, et al. Mutations in TOP3A cause a Bloom syndrome-like disorder. Am J Hum Genet. 2018;103:221–231.
- [43] Wu L, Hickson ID. The Bloom's syndrome helicase suppresses crossing over during homologous recombination. Nature. 2003;426:870–874.
- [44] Selak N, Bachrati CZ, Shevelev I, et al. The Bloom's syndrome helicase (BLM) interacts physically and functionally with p12, the smallest subunit of human DNA polymerase delta. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008;36:5166-5179.
- [45] Stavropoulos DJ, Bradshaw PS, Li X, et al. The Bloom syndrome helicase BLM interacts with TRF2 in ALT cells and promotes telomeric DNA synthesis. Hum Mol Genet. 2002;11:3135–3144.
- [46] Meetei AR, Sechi S, Wallisch M, et al. A multiprotein nuclear complex connects Fanconi anemia and Bloom syndrome. Mol Cell Biol. 2003;23:3417–3426.
- [47] Hoadley KA, Xue Y, Ling C, et al. Defining the molecular interface that connects the Fanconi anemia protein FANCM to the Bloom syndrome dissolvasome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109:4437–4442.
- [48] Alpi AF, Patel KJ. Monoubiquitylation in the Fanconi anemia DNA damage response pathway. DNA Repair (Amst). 2009. DOI:10.1016/j.dnarep.2009.01.019
- [49] Fairman-Williams ME, Guenther UP, Jankowsky E. SF1 and SF2 helicases: family matters. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2010;20:313–324.

- [50] Prakash R, Krejci L, Van Komen S, et al. Saccharomyces cerevisiae MPH1 gene, required for homologous recombination-mediated mutation avoidance, encodes a 3' to 5' DNA helicase. J Biol Chem. 2005;280:7854–7860.
- [51] Komori K, Hidaka M, Horiuchi T, et al. Cooperation of the N-terminal Helicase and C-terminal endonuclease activities of Archaeal Hef protein in processing stalled replication forks. J Biol Chem. 2004;279:53175–53185.
- [52] Velankar SS, Soultanas P, Dillingham MS, et al. Crystal structures of complexes of PcrA DNA helicase with a DNA substrate indicate an inchworm mechanism. Cell. 1999;97:75–84.
- [53] Singleton MR, Wigley DB. Modularity and specialization in superfamily 1 and 2 helicases. J Bacteriol. 2002;184:1819–1826.
- [54] Gari K, Décaillet C, Delannoy M, et al. Remodeling of DNA replication structures by the branch point translocase FANCM. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008;105:16107–16112.
- [55] Schwab RA, Nieminuszczy J, Shah F, et al. The Fanconi anemia pathway maintains genome stability by coordinating replication and transcription. Mol Cell. 2015;60:351–361.
- [56] Huang J, Zhang J, Bellani MA, et al. Remodeling of interstrand crosslink proximal replisomes is dependent on ATR, FANCM, and FANCD2. Cell Rep. 2019;27:1794–1808.e1795.
- [57] Blackford AN, Schwab RA, Nieminuszczy J, et al. The DNA translocase activity of FANCM protects stalled replication forks. Hum Mol Genet. 2013;21:2005–2016.
- [58] Mehta A, Beach A, Haber JE. Homology requirements and competition between gene conversion and break-induced replication during double-strand break repair. Mol Cell. 2017;65:515–526.e513.
- [59] Wild P, Susperregui A, Piazza I, et al. Network rewiring of homologous recombination enzymes during mitotic proliferation and meiosis. Mol Cell. 2019;75:859–874.e854.
- [60] Wilson MA, Kwon Y, Xu Y, et al. Pif1 helicase and Poldelta promote recombination-coupled DNA synthesis via bubble migration. Nature. 2013;502:393–396.
- [61] Zhang T, Zhang Z, Shengzhao G, et al. Strand break-induced replication fork collapse leads to C-circles, C-overhangs and telomeric recombination. PLoS Genet. 2019;15:e1007925.
- [62] Xue X, Sung P, Zhao X. Functions and regulation of the multitasking FANCM family of DNA motor proteins. Genes Dev. 2015;29:1777–1788.
- [63] Wang H, Li S, Oaks J, et al. The concerted roles of FANCM and Rad52 in the protection of common fragile sites. Nat Commun. 2018;9:2791.
- [64] Zafar F, Okita AK, Onaka AT, et al. Regulation of mitotic recombination between DNA repeats in centromeres. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45:11222–11235.
- [65] Willis NA, Frock RL, Menghi F, et al. Mechanism of tandem duplication formation in BRCA1-mutant cells. Nature. 2017;551:590–595.
- [66] Ling C, Huang J, Yan Z, et al. Bloom syndrome complex promotes FANCM recruitment to stalled replication forks

and facilitates both repair and traverse of DNA interstrand crosslinks. Cell Discov. 2016;2:16047.

- [67] Crismani W, Girard C, Froger N, et al. FANCM limits meiotic crossovers. Science. 2012;336:1588–1590.
- [68] Girard C, Chelysheva L, Choinard S, et al. AAA-ATPase FIDGETIN-LIKE 1 and Helicase FANCM antagonize meiotic crossovers by distinct mechanisms. PLoS Genet. 2015;11:e1005369.
- [69] Voter AF, Manthei KA, Keck JL. A high-throughput screening strategy to identify protein-protein interaction inhibitors that block the fanconi anemia DNA repair pathway. J Biomol Screen. 2016;21:626–633.
- [70] Tao Y, Jin C, Li X, et al. The structure of the FANCM-MHF complex reveals physical features for functional assembly. Nat Commun. 2012;3:782.
- [71] Simon N, Bochman M, Seguin S, et al. Ciprofloxacin is an inhibitor of the Mcm2-7 replicative helicase. Biosci Rep. 2013;33. DOI:10.1042/bsr20130083.
- [72] Salami J, Crews CM. Waste disposal-An attractive strategy for cancer therapy. Science. 2017;355:1163–1167.
- [73] Pai SI, Lin -Y-Y, Macaes B, et al. Prospects of RNA interference therapy for cancer. Gene Ther. 2006;13:464–477.
- [74] Li S, Lu H, Wang Z, et al. ERCC1/XPF is important for repair of DNA double-strand breaks containing secondary structures. iScience. 2019;16:63–78.
- [75] Aarts M, Bajrami I, Herrera-Abreu MT, et al. Functional genetic screen identifies increased sensitivity to WEE1 inhibition in cells with defects in Fanconi anemia and HR pathways. Mol Cancer Ther. 2015;14:865–876.
- [76] Wang H, Li S, Zhang H, et al. BLM prevents instability of structure-forming DNA sequences at common fragile sites. PLoS Genet. 2018;14:e1007816.
- [77] Moder M, Velimezi G, Owusu M, et al. Parallel genome-wide screens identify synthetic viable interactions between the BLM helicase complex and Fanconi anemia. Nat Commun. 2017;8:1238.
- [78] Stoepker C, Faramarz A, Rooimans MA, et al. DNA helicases FANCM and DDX11 are determinants of PARP inhibitor sensitivity. DNA Repair (Amst). 2015;26:54–64.
- [79] Kim JM, Kee Y, Gurtan A, et al. Cell cycle-dependent chromatin loading of the Fanconi anemia core complex by FANCM/FAAP24. Blood. 2008;111:5215–5222.
- [80] Wang Y, Leung JW, Jiang Y, et al. FANCM and FAAP24 maintain genome stability via cooperative as well as unique functions. Mol Cell. 2013. DOI:10.1016/ j.molcel.2012.12.010.
- [81] Kuo HK, McMahan S, Rota CM, et al. Drosophila FANCM helicase prevents spontaneous mitotic crossovers generated by the MUS81 and SLX1 nucleases. Genetics. 2014;198:935–945.
- [82] Kim J, Sun C, Tran AD, et al. The macroH2A1.2 histone variant links ATRX loss to alternative telomere lengthening. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2019;26:213–219.
- [83] Che J, Smith S, Kim YJ, et al. Hyper-acetylation of histone H3K56 limits break-induced replication by inhibiting extensive repair synthesis. PLoS Genet. 2015;11:e1004990.