

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### **Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmc

### Antiviral and cytotoxic activities of aminoarylazo compounds and aryltriazene derivatives

Michele Tonelli<sup>a</sup>, Iana Vazzana<sup>a</sup>, Bruno Tasso<sup>a</sup>, Vito Boido<sup>a</sup>, Fabio Sparatore<sup>a,\*</sup>, Maurizio Fermeglia<sup>b</sup>, Maria Silvia Paneni<sup>b</sup>, Paola Posocco<sup>b</sup>, Sabrina Pricl<sup>b</sup>, Paolo La Colla<sup>c</sup>, Cristina Ibba<sup>c</sup>, Barbara Secci<sup>c</sup>, Gabriella Collu<sup>c</sup>, Roberta Loddo<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze Farmaceutiche, Università di Genova, Viale Benedetto XV 3, 16132 Genova, Italy

<sup>b</sup> Dipartimento di Ingegneria Chimica, dell'Ambiente e delle Materie prime, Università di Trieste, Via Valerio 10, 34127 Trieste, Italy

<sup>c</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Biomediche, Università di Cagliari, Cittadella Universitaria, S.S. 554, Km 4.500, 09042 Monserrato (Cagliari), Italy

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 March 2009 Revised 30 March 2009 Accepted 7 May 2009 Available online 15 May 2009

Keywords: Aminoarylazo compounds Aryltriazene derivatives Antiviral activity BVDV RdRp targeting

### ABSTRACT

Twelve aminoarylazocompounds (A-C) and 46 aryltriazene 7 derivatives (D-G) have been synthesized and evaluated in cell-based assays for cytotoxicity and antiviral activity against a panel of 10 RNA and DNA viruses.

Eight aminoazocompounds and 27 aryltriazene derivatives exhibited antiviral activity, sometimes of high level, against one or more viruses. A marked activity against BVDV and YFV was prevailing among the former compounds, while the latter type of compounds affected mainly CVB-2 and RSV. None of the active compounds inhibited the multiplication of HIV-1, VSV and VV.

Arranged in order of decreasing potency and selectivity versus the host cell lines, the best compounds are the following; BVDV: 1 > 7 > 8 > 4; YFV: 7 > 5; CVB-2: 25 > 56 > 18; RSV: 14 > 20 > 55 > 38 > 18 > 19; HSV-1: **2**. For these compounds the EC<sub>50</sub> ranged from 1.6 µM (1) to 12 µM (18), and the S. I. from 19.4 (1) to 4.2 (2).

Thus the aminoarylazo and aryltriazene substructures appear as interesting molecular component for developing antiviral agents against ss RNA viruses, particularly against RSV and BVDV, which are important human and veterinary pathogens.

Finally, molecular modeling investigations indicated that compounds of structure **A–C**, active against BVDV, could work targeting the viral RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase (RdRp), having been observed a good agreement between the trends of the estimated  $IC_{50}$  and the experimental  $EC_{50}$  values.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

We have recently shown that some arylazoenamines (Fig. 1) are endowed with antiviral activity in vitro against RNA viruses, particularly CVB-2, RSV, BVDV, YFV and Sb-1, in many cases with  $EC_{50}$  in the range from 0.8 to 10  $\mu$ M.<sup>1</sup>

Arylazoenamines can be considered as substructures of *ortho*-(and *para-*) *aminoazocompounds*, many of which are endowed with antimicrobial and antiparasitic activities, but additional pharmacological activities have been also shown in particular cases (Fig. 2).

2,6-Diamino-3-phenylazopyridine (Pyridium) inhibits various cocci and coli bacilli, 5-(4-amino-1-naphthylazo)uracil is highly effective against *Schistosoma mansonii*,<sup>2</sup> while 1-[3-(4-phenylazo-5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphth-1-yl)amino]propylpiperidine<sup>3</sup> and 1-[3-(4-phenylazo-5,6,7,8-tetrahydro naphth-1-yl)amino]lupinane<sup>4</sup> are very active against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Antifungal and anti-

proliferative activities have been found in a peculiar class of cyclic aminoazocompounds (3,3-disubstituted-3,4-dihydro-1,2,4-benzo triazines), which, moreover, can display several other pharmacological activities depending on the substituents that are present on the bicyclic system.<sup>5,6</sup> Very potent relaxant activity on intestinal and uterine smooth muscles was displayed at nanomolar concentration by *N*-dialkylaminoalkyl derivatives of another cyclic aminoazo system, namely 11*H*-dibenzo-[1,2,5]-triazepine, which exhibited also local anesthetic and antithrombotic activities.<sup>7</sup>

Arylazoenamines could be also seen as vinylogues of *aryltriazenes* (Fig. 2), a class of compounds which hold an important



Figure 1. General structure of the previously studied arylazoenamines.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 010 512721; fax +39 010 3538358. *E-mail address:* sparator@unige.it (F. Sparatore).

<sup>0968-0896/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2009.05.020



Figure 2. Some biologically active aminoarylazo compounds and aryltriazene derivatives.

position as carcinogens and/or anticancer agents. 3,3-Dimethyl-1phenyltriazene and, even better, dacarbazine are able to methylate DNA; thus the former resulted a powerful carcinogen, while the latter is in clinical use for the treatment of malignant melanoma and Hodgkin's lymphoma.<sup>8</sup> Temozolamide,<sup>9</sup> closely related to dacarbazine, is currently used to treat malignant glyomas. A recent development of this cyclic aryltriazene is represented by benzotriazepinones,<sup>10</sup> that are very promising agents against breast cancer. It is worth noting that these compounds are weak alkylating agents and may damage DNA by a novel mechanism.

The triazene pharmacophore has been linked to other bioactive moieties in order to obtain chymeric compounds which should be able to exert a double cytotoxic mode of action. Thus, the triazene group was linked to 4-anilino-quinazoline backbone,<sup>11</sup> which is

known to inhibit the epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase (EGFR-TK), and also to a benzophenone residue,<sup>12</sup> that is present in an inhibitor of pharnesyl-transferase and of tubulinpolymerization.

The introduction of a triazene group on the molecule of pyrimethamine, a dihydrofolate reductase inhibitor, generated a compound that combines antitumoral potential with inhibition of *Pneumocystis jirovecii*<sup>13</sup> (*P. carinii*). The insertion of triazene group between two benzamidine units produced diminazene (Berenil), a powerful agent against the African trypanosomiasis.

To the best of our knowledge, no antiviral activity has been seen with either amino arylazocompounds or aryltriazenes, with the only exception for a patent claiming the activity of 1-phenyl-3,3-dimethyltriazene against the tobacco mosaic virus.<sup>14</sup> However,

antiviral activity has been shown by several benzotriazole derivatives, which could be formally considered as cyclic triazenes, though the resonance stabilization of the heterocycle could imply even substantial differences in the chemical and hence the biological behavior of the two kinds of compounds. Interestingly, some halogenated benzotriazoles have been shown to inhibit the NTPase/helicase activities of hepatitis C and related viruses.<sup>15</sup> Aromatic and heteroaromatic esters of 1-hydroxybenzotriazole were found to strongly inhibit ( $K_i$  = 7.5 nM) the 3CL-protease, which is essential for the replication of the coronavirus responsible of SARS (severe acute respiratory syndrome).<sup>16</sup> More recently, a set of benzotriazole derivatives have been found endowed with potent activity against RSV and moderate activity against YFV, BVDV and CVB-2.<sup>17</sup>

On this base we deemed interesting to investigate the possible antiviral activity of some assorted *aminoarylazo* compounds and *aryltriazene* derivatives, allotted in seven groups A-G (Fig. 3). On the whole 12 amino arylazo compounds (A-C) and 46 triazene derivatives (D-G) were evaluated in cell based assays for cytotoxicity and antiviral activity against a large panel of RNA and DNA viruses.

### 2. Chemistry

Thirty out of the 58 compounds, that were evaluated for antiviral activity, were already known and were prepared according to the literature. Compounds **7–12** were already described by some of us.<sup>4</sup> For 1,3-diaryltriazenes **13**, **15–17**, **20–24**, see;<sup>a–h</sup> for arylazo-pyrrolidines **34**, **36**, **38–42**, see;<sup>i–1</sup> for arylazopiperidines **45**, **49–51**, see;<sup>m,n</sup> for arylazopiperazine **52**, **54**, **55** see;<sup>o,p</sup> finally, for cytisine

derivative **57** see.<sup>q</sup> 1-(3-Nitrophenylazo)piperidine (**48**) is reported as known,<sup>r</sup> but we failed to find out any data concerning its characterization.

References,<sup>a-r</sup> concerning the previously described compounds, are available as Supplementary data.

The 28 novel compounds were prepared according to the following Schemes 1 and 2.

All new compounds were characterized by elemental analyses and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra.

The *N*-(3'-dimethylaminopropyl)-3-trifluoromethylaniline and the *N*-(lupinyl)-3-trifluoromethylaniline, required by Scheme 1, were already described by some of us.<sup>18</sup>

It is observed that the presence of a 3-trifluoromethyl group prevented completely the coupling of diazonium salt on the *para* position of the N-substituted anilines giving place only to the triazene derivatives **E**.

### 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Biological activity-general considerations

The prepared compounds (12 amino arylazocompounds A-C and 46 triazene derivatives D-G) were evaluated in vitro in parallel cell-based assays for cytotoxicity and antiviral activity (Tables 3–5) against viruses representative of two of the three genera of the Flaviviridae family, that is, Flaviviruses (YFV) and Pestiviruses (BVDV), as Hepaciviruses can hardly be used in routine cell-based assays. Title compounds were also tested against representatives of other virus families. Among ssRNA+ were a Retrovirus (Human Immuno-deficiency Virus type 1, HIV-1), two Picornaviruses (Coxsackie



Figure 3. Structures of the investigated compounds.



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) pH 7 (CH<sub>3</sub>COONa); Et<sub>2</sub>O; (b) CC (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Et<sub>2</sub>O): E, yields 13–68%; then Et<sub>2</sub>O + 5%MeOH: A, yields 3–10%.



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) oily anilines added to the diazonium salt solution, followed by CH<sub>3</sub>COONa; yields: 30–92%; (b) pH 7–8 (1 N NaOH); yields: 25–90%.

Virus type B2, CVB2, and Poliovirus type-1, Sabin strain, Sb-1); among ssRNA- were a Paramyxoviridae (Respiratory Syncytial Virus, RSV) and a Rhabdoviridae (Vesicular Stomatitis Virus, VSV) representative. Among double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) viruses was a Reoviridae representative (Respiratory Enteric Orphan Virus type-1, Reo-1). Two representatives of DNA virus families were also included: Herpes Symplex type 1, HSV-1 (Herpesviridae) and Vaccinia Virus, VV (Poxviridae).

AZT (3'-azido-thymidine), NM 108 (2'-C-methyl-guanosine), NM 176 (2'-C-ethynyl-cytidine), Ribavirin, NM 299 (6-azauridine), M 5255 (mycophenolic acid) and ACG (acyclovir) were used as reference inhibitors of ssRNA+, ssRNA– and DNA viruses, respectively.

Interestingly, 35 (8 aminoazocompounds and 27 triazene derivatives) over 58 tested compounds exhibited antiviral activity against one or more viruses; in particular 16 compounds exhibited a selective activity against a single virus, while 13, 4 and 2 were, respectively, active against two, three and four viruses. On the other hand, 23 compounds (4 aminoarylazo and 19 triazene derivatives) were not able to inhibit the multiplication of any virus at concentrations up to 100  $\mu$ M.

None of the active compounds inhibited the multiplication of HIV-1, VSV and VV, but an increasing number of them exhibited antiviral activity against, in the order, Reo-1 (4), Sb-1 (7), HSV-1 (8), BVDV (9), YFV (9), RSV (12) and CVB-2 (13) (Tables 1 and 2). Nineteen

#### Table 1

Number of active compounds of structures A-C on susceptible viruses and range of their  $\text{EC}_{50}$ 

over 12 tested compounds <sup>b</sup> compo	No. of active compounds(range of EC <sub>50</sub> , μM)			
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} Reo-1^c & 1 & & / \\ HSV-1^d & 2 & & 1 \ (6) \\ BVDV^e & 7 & & 5 \ (1, 6) \\ YFV^e & 4 & & 3 \ (7-1) \\ RSV^f & 1 & & / \\ CVB-2^e & 1 & & / \end{array}$	1 (12) 1 (12) 5-9) 1 (16) 10) 1 (12) / 1 (11)	   1 (30)   1 (25) 		

<sup>a</sup> HIV-1, VSV, VV and Sb-1 were unaffected by all tested compounds of structure **A-C**.

 $^{b}$  Compounds with EC\_{50} > 100  $\mu M,$  or higher than CC\_{50} for the host cells are considered inactive.

<sup>c</sup> Double stranded RNA virus.

d DNA virus.

<sup>e</sup> Single-stranded, positive RNA virus.

<sup>f</sup> Single-stranded, negative RNA virus.

compounds have shown an  $EC_{50} \le 10 \ \mu$ M, 28 had  $EC_{50}$  between 11 and 30  $\mu$ M and only 15 had  $EC_{50}$  in the range 31–90  $\mu$ M.

Therefore, both the [(dialkylaminoalkyl)amino]azobenzene and the aryltriazene molecular patterns represent interesting pharmacophore for developing novel antiviral agents, particularly against ssRNA viruses.

Cytotoxicity and antiviral activities of tested and reference compounds are reported in Tables 3–5. In these Tables, viruses for which no active compounds have been found are not indicated.

### 3.2. Cytotoxicity on host cells

Test compounds showed different degrees of cytotoxicity against the confluent cell monolayers (in stationary growth) used to support the multiplication of the different viruses.

The most susceptible to toxicity were the exponentially growing lymphoblastoid human cells (MT-4) used to grow HIV-1, while the non-human host cell lines exhibited a progressively reduced sensitivity in the order Vero-76 > BHK > MDBK. On the other hand, it is observed that the toxicity is not evenly distributed among the different types of test compounds, being mainly shown by the triazene derivatives of structure **E** and by the amino azo compound **A**– **C**, which are characterized by the presence of the basic dimethylaminopropyl and lupinyl moieties. Indeed the most toxic compounds were **29** and **12**, with a mean of the CC<sub>50</sub> values for the host cells of 17.5 and 21.5  $\mu$ M, respectively.

The other groups of triazene derivatives of structure **D**, **F** and **G** are relatively non toxic, exhibiting in most cases  $CC_{50} \ge 100 \ \mu\text{M}$ .

### 3.3. Structure-activity relationships

As already seen, eight [(dialkylaminoalkyl)amino]azobenzenes (**A–C**) and 27 triazene derivatives (**D–G**) have shown antiviral activity, sometimes of high level against one or more viruses.

From Tables 1 and 3 appears that compounds of structure **A–C** have a marked activity against BVDV and YFV, with only occasional, though still of high level, activity against HSV-1, Reo-1, CVB-2 and RSV.

On the whole the triazene derivatives **D**–**G** are characterized by the most frequent activity against CVB-2 and RSV, to which are associated, with decreasing frequency, the activity on Sb-1, HSV-1, YFV, Reo-1 and BVDV (Tables 2, 4 and 5).

However, considering separately each subgroup of triazene derivatives, it is observed that the activity against Sb-1 is mainly associated to the pyrrolidine-related triazenes **F**, while activity against HSV-1 is associated with the diaryltriazenes that bear a dimethylaminopropyl chain. The importance of a basic chain for the activity against HSV-1 is underlined by the high activity shown by compounds **2** and **4**, bearing the same dimethylaminopropyl chain, even linked to the aminoazobenzene pharmacophore (**A**), but also by the moderate activity of triazene **53**, which contains a basic *N*-methylpiperazine residue.

Conversely it is worth noting that the activity against BVDV, largely diffused among the amino azo compounds A-C, is very rarely present (2 over 46 compounds) among the triazene derivatives D-G, even among those (E) sharing the basic chain of the former groups.

Active and inactive compounds are found side by side in all groups **A**–**G**, thus their molecular patterns seem to address the activity against the different type of viruses, while the substituents on the aromatic nucleus are the determinants for activity or inactivity. However, attempts to define general structure-activity relationships resulted rather frustrating, since observations that hold for the activity against most viruses may not hold for some others.

Indeed the *meta* substitution with halogens, trifluoromethyl and nitro groups favors the display of activity in the groups A-E and G, but in compounds of group F is the *para* substitution that promotes the activity; however occasional exceptions are observed in both cases.

An unsubstituted aromatic ring can be found in active (1, 7, 9, 21, 25, 52, 54-56) and inactive (13, 34, 45) compounds, while the presence of electron-releasing groups as CH<sub>3</sub> and OCH<sub>3</sub> (32, 42) or of a benzyl residue (15, 22) is always detrimental for the expression of activity.

Despite the high antiviral activity of many compounds, the corresponding selectivity index (S. I.) are generally low, due to the rather high cytotoxicity exerted on the host cells. Only few compounds exhibited a S. I. higher than 10 for one or more viruses, while 13

Table 2	
---------	--

Number of active compounds of structures D-G on susceptible viruses and range of their EC<sub>50</sub>

Virus <sup>a</sup>	No. of active triazene derivatives ( <b>D</b> – <b>G</b> ) over 46 tested compounds <sup>b</sup>	No. of active compounds (range of $EC_{50}$ , $\mu$ M)							
Reo-1 <sup>c</sup>	3	1	1	1 (30)	1 (33)	1 (80)			
Sb-1 <sup>d</sup>	7	Ì	1 (15)	3 (25-30)	2 (42, 44)	1 (51)			
HSV-1 <sup>e</sup>	6	Ì	3 (12-14)	1 (30)	1	2 (55, 64			
BVDV <sup>d</sup>	2	Ì	1 (19)	1	j	1 (90)			
YFV <sup>d</sup>	5	, I	1 (20)	2 (23, 24)	1 (50)	1 (80)			
RSV <sup>f</sup>	11	6 (3–10)	3 (15-20)	1	1	2 (60, 73			
CVB-2 <sup>d</sup>	12	4 (6-10)	3 (12–14)	2 (25, 30)	2 (35, 50)	1 (71)			

<sup>a</sup> HIV-1, VSV and VV were unaffected by all tested compounds of structure **D**-**G**.

<sup>b</sup> Compounds with  $EC_{50} > 100 \mu$ M, or higher than  $CC_{50}$  for the host cells are considered inactive.

<sup>c</sup> Double stranded RNA virus.

<sup>d</sup> Single-stranded, positive RNA virus.

e DNA virus.

<sup>f</sup> Single-stranded, negative RNA virus.

#### Table 3

Cytotoxicity against MT-4, MDBK, BHK and Vero-76 cell lines and BVDV, YFV, Reo-1, CVB-2, RSV and HSV-1 inhibitory activity of amino azocompounds of structure A-C

Compd <sup>a</sup>	R	MT-4 CC <sub>50</sub> <sup>b</sup>	MDBK CC <sub>50</sub> <sup>c</sup>	BVDV EC <sub>50</sub> <sup>d</sup>	BHK-21 CC <sub>50</sub> e	YFV EC <sub>50</sub> <sup>f</sup>	Reo-1 EC <sub>50</sub> g	VERO-76 CC <sub>50</sub> <sup>h</sup>	CVB-2 EC <sub>50</sub> <sup>i</sup>	RSV EC <sub>50</sub> j	HSV-1 EC <sub>50</sub> <sup>k</sup>
1	Н	17	58	1.6	31	≥31	>31	30	>30	25	>30
<b>2</b> <sup>1</sup>	3-NO <sub>2</sub>	14	33	16	20	7	>20	25	11	>25	6
3	4-NO <sub>2</sub>	19	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	60	>60	>60	>60
<b>4</b> <sup>1</sup>	2,5-diF	16	59	9	33	12	>33	30	>30	>30	12
5	2,6-diF	35	20	≥20	43	10	12	65	>65	>65	>65
6	4-CH <sub>3</sub>	17	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	50	>50	>50	>50
7	Н	31	48	2.5	47	9	>47	60	>60	>60	>60
8	3-CF <sub>3</sub>	77	52	7	≥100	>100	>100	80	>80	>80	>80
9	Н	100	>100	30	>100	≥100	>100	80	>80	>80	>80
10	3-CF <sub>3</sub>	15	30	7	14	>14	>14	50	>50	>50	>50
11	2,5-diF	40	64	>64	38	>38	>38	80	>80	>80	>80
12	2,4-diF	51	11	>11	9	>9	>9	15	>15	>15	>15
NM 108 (2'-C-meth	ylguanosine)	>100	>100	1.7	90	1.8	2.4	>100	20	>100	>100
NM 299 (6-azaurid	ine)	2	>100	>100	>100	26	>100	20	>20	1.2	>20
ACG (acycloguanos	ine)	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	3
Ribavirin		31	>100	7	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	7	>100
NM 176 (2'-C-ethy	nylcytidine)	≥100	>100	38	>100	>100	>100	>100	24	>100	>100
M 5255 (mycopher	nolic acid)	0.2	42	>42	>100	>100	>100	≥13	>13	0.6	>13

<sup>a</sup> None of these compounds inhibited the multiplication of HIV-1, VSV, VV and Sb-1 viruses.

<sup>b</sup> Compound concentration (μM) required to reduce the viability of mock-infected MT-4 (CD4+ human T cells containing an integrated HTLV-1 genome) cells by 50%, as determined by the MTT method.

<sup>c</sup> Compound concentration (μM) required to reduce the viability of mock-infected MDBK (Bovine normal kidney) cells by 50%, as determined by the MTT method.

<sup>d</sup> Compound concentration (µM) required to achieve 50% protection of MDBK cells from BVDV (Bovine Viral Diarrhea Virus) induced cytopathogenicity, as determined by

the MTT method.

<sup>e</sup> Compound concentration (μM) required to reduce the viability of mock-infected BHK (Hamster normal kidney fibroblast) monolayers by 50%, as determined by the MTT method.

<sup>f</sup> Compound concentration (μM) required to achieve 50% protection of BHK cells (kidney fibroblast) from YFV (Yellow Fever Virus) induced cytopathogenicity, as determined by the MTT method.

<sup>g</sup> Compound concentration (μM) required to achieve 50% protection of BHK cells (kidney fibroblast) from Reo-1 induced cytopathogenicity, as determined by the MTT method.

<sup>h</sup> Compound concentration (μM) required to reduce the viability of mock-infected VERO-76 (monkey normal kidney) monolayers by 50%.

<sup>i</sup> Compound concentration ( $\mu$ M) required to reduce the plaque number of CVB-2 (Coxsackie Virus B 2) by 50% in VERO-76 monolayers.

<sup>j</sup> Compound concentration (µM) required to reduce the plaque number of RSV (Respiratory Syncytial Virus) by 50% in VERO-76 monolayers.

<sup>k</sup> Compound concentration (μM) required to reduce the plaque number of HSV-1 (Herpes Simplex virus, Type-1) by 50% in VERO-76 monolayers.

<sup>1</sup> Tested as hydrochloride.

compounds had a S. I. in the range from 9 to 5 and the remaining had S. I. below this value.

Taking into account the  $EC_{50}$  and S. I. values, the best compounds were the following, which are arranged in the order of decreasing potency and selectivity; BVDV: 1 > 7 > 8 > 4; YFV: 7 > 5; CVB-2: 25 > 56 > 18; RSV: 14 > 20 > 55 > 38 > 18 > 19 > 23; Sb-1: 41; HSV-1: 2. For these compounds the  $EC_{50}$  ranged from 1.6  $\mu$ M (1) to 15  $\mu$ M (23, 41), while the corresponding S. I. values were in the range from 19.4 (1) to 4.2 (2).

Though the activity shown by the above compounds may appear as not particularly impressive, it must be noted that, at the present, very few experimental agents are known to act against those viruses and that, moreover, they often display high cytotoxicity.

A particular interest is deserved by compounds displaying good activity against RSV and BVDV. RSV, is responsible of serious respiratory tract infections in infants, elderly and immunocompromised patients with high mortality rate.

Very potent anti-RSV agents, interfering with the virus-host fusion process, have been developed in the last years, as the benzimidazole derivative BMS-433771 which exhibited an  $EC_{50}$  as low as 21 nM; however, attempts to demonstrate therapeutic efficacy were not successful,<sup>19</sup> probably due to the rapid emergence of resistance.

Quite recently a chiral 1,4-benzodiazepine derivative, acting through a different mechanism, though much less potent than BMS-433771, showed very promising characteristics and is being examined in phase II clinical studies.<sup>20</sup>

Nevertheless the chirality-associated developability issue cannot be underestimate, since the antiviral activity was found to reside mainly in the *S*-enantiomer (RSV-604), that exhibited an  $EC_{50}$  = 0.9  $\mu$ M, while racemate had IC<sub>50</sub> = 3.5  $\mu$ M, a value comparable with that of compound **14**.

On the other hand, BVDV is the prototype of pestiviruses, which commonly affect cloven-hoofed animals (cattle, swine, sheep). BVDV infection is responsible of reduced dairy production and increased (even up to 30%) cattle mortality throughout the world.<sup>21</sup>

Thus, the availability of effective and inexpensive anti-pestivirus drugs is of importance to relieve such an heavy economic burden.

The development of anti-BVDV drugs and the understanding of their mechanism of action is of further interest because it may provide valuable informations for the design of agents active against hepatitis C virus (HCV).<sup>22</sup> HCV, which like BVDV belongs to the *Flaviviridae* family, infects about 3% of world population. Since chronic HCV infection can progress to fatal cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma, the development of effective and safe anti-HCV agents is urgently needed. The current combination therapy with pegylated interferon and ribavirin is effective in less than 60% of the treated patients and is associated with heavy side effects.

For the understanding of some aspects of virus replication, BVDV has been considered even more advantageous than the currently used HCV subgenomic replicon system.<sup>23</sup>

In the last years, several potent anti-BVDV agents have been developed and shown to target the RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase (RdRp), even if resulting almost inactive on the purified enzyme. Compounds VP32947 (3-[(dipropylaminoethyl)thio]-5*H*-1,2,4-triazino[5,6-*b*]indole)<sup>24</sup> and BPIP (5-[4-bromobenzyl]-2-phenyl-5*H*-imidazo[4,5-*c*]pyridine)<sup>23</sup> exhibited EC<sub>50</sub> as low as 30– 40 nM. Other compounds (as the thiazolylurea DPC-A69280-29,<sup>25</sup>

4	4	3	1

 Table 4

 Cytotoxicity against MT-4, MDBK, BHK and Vero-76 cell lines and BVDV, YFV, Reo-1, CVB-2, RSV, HSV-1 and Sb-1 inhibitory activity of 1,3-diaryltriazenes of structure D and E

Compd <sup>a</sup>	Х	R	R′	MT-4 CC <sub>50</sub> <sup>b</sup>	MDBK CC <sub>50</sub> °	BVDV EC <sub>50</sub> <sup>d</sup>	BHK-21 CC <sub>50</sub> e	YFV EC <sub>50</sub> <sup>f</sup>	Reo-1 EC <sub>50</sub> g	VERO-76 CC <sub>50</sub> <sup>h</sup>	CVB-2 EC <sub>50</sub> <sup>i</sup>	RSV EC <sub>50</sub> j	HSV-1 EC <sub>50</sub> <sup>k</sup>	Sb-1 EC <sub>50</sub>
13	н	Н	Н	47	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	90	>90	≥90	>90	>90
14	Н	Н	3-CF3	>100	>100	>100	>100	≥100	>100	15	8	3	>15	>15
15	Н	Н	CH <sub>2</sub> -Ph <sup>m</sup>	42	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	100	>100	>100	>100	>100
16	Н	3-NO <sub>2</sub>	H	40	94	19	38	>38	>38	33	>33	>33	>33	>33
17	Н	3-NO <sub>2</sub>	3-NO2	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
18	Н	2,5-diF	H	100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	80	12	10	55	>80
19	Н	2,5-diF	3-CF <sub>3</sub>	>100	>100	>100	>100	80	>100	80	>80	10	>80	>80
20	Н	2,5-diF	2,5-diF	≥100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	≥100	50	8	>100	>100
21	CH₃	Н	Н	55	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	50	>50	20	>50	>50
22	CH <sub>3</sub>	Н	CH <sub>2</sub> -Ph <sup>m</sup>	≥100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	≥100	>100	>100	>100	>100
23	CH <sub>3</sub>	3-NO <sub>2</sub>	Н	>100	82	>82	>100	>100	33	>100	>100	15	>100	29
24	CH <sub>3</sub>	4-CH <sub>3</sub>	Н	45	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	58	>58	>58	>58	>58
25	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> -NMe <sub>2</sub>	Н	Н	33	95	≥95	50	>50	>50	35	6	>35	12	>35
26	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> -NMe <sub>2</sub>	Н	3-CF3	14	38	>38	49	≥49	>49	20	≥20	>20	14	>20
27	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> -NMe <sub>2</sub>	3-NO <sub>2</sub>	Н	14	37	>37	54	24	>54	40	>40	>40	30	>40
28	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> -NMe <sub>2</sub>	4-NO2	Н	20	39	≥39	36	≥36	>36	40	>40	>40	>40	>40
29	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> -NMe <sub>2</sub>	2,5-diF	Н	13	25	>25	25	>25	>25	7	>7	>7	>7	>7
30	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> -NMe <sub>2</sub>	2,5-diF	3-CF3	16	>100	90	54	>54	>54	30	>30	>30	>30	>30
31	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> -NMe <sub>2</sub>	2,6-diF	Н	23	45	>45	52	20	>52	25	9	≥25	12	>25
32	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> -NMe <sub>2</sub>	4-CH <sub>3</sub>	Н	18	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	100	>100	>100	>100	>100
33	Lupinyl <sup>n</sup>	Н	3-CF3	17	22	>22	40	23	>40	75	>75	>75	>75	>75
NM 108				>100	>100	1.7	90	1.8	2.4	>100	20	>100	>100	>100
NM 299				2	>100	>100	>100	26	>100	20	>20	1.2	>20	>20
ACG				>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	3	>100
Ribavirin				31	>100	7	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	7	>100	>100
NM 176				≥100	>100	38	>100	>100	>100	>100	24	>100	>100	20
M 5255				0.2	42	>42	>100	>100	>100	≥13	>13	0.6	>13	>13

<sup>a</sup> None of compounds **13–33** inhibited the multiplication of HIV-1, VSV and VV viruses.

 $^{b-k}$  For the meaning see Table 3.

<sup>1</sup> Compound concentration (μM) required to reduce the plaque number of Sb-1 (Poliovirus Type-1, Sabin strain) by 50% in Vero-76 monolayers.

<sup>m</sup> In compounds **15** and **22** the R'-substituted phenyl ring is replaced by a benzyl residue.

<sup>n</sup> (1*S*,9a*R*-Octahydro-2*H*-quinolizin-1-yl)methyl.

the benzimidazolone  $1453^{26}$  and 7-amino-1,3-dihydroxy-10methyl-6-[4-(2-pyridinyl)-1-piperazinyl]-9(10*H*)acridone<sup>27</sup>) have EC<sub>50</sub> in the range 0.6–1.5  $\mu$ M, that are comparable with those of the presently described aminoaryl azocompounds **1** and **7** (1.6 and 2.5  $\mu$ M, respectively). The structural simplicity of these compounds, which allows a wide range of easy and inexpensive molecular modifications, make them an attractive model to develop more active and less toxic anti-pestivirus agents.

In view of these considerations, we deemed interesting to perform some molecular modeling investigations to study wether the BVDV RdRp could be the target of also our compounds **1**, **2**, **4** and **7–10**.

### 3.4. Modeling of the A–C series of compounds versus BVDV RdRp

BVDV, the best-studied pestivirus, has a genome that consists of an approximately 12.6-kb positive sense ssRNA. The BVDV genome is translated into a single polyprotein which is processed into at least four structural and six nonstructural (NS) proteins required for viral assembly and replication. Among the nonstructural proteins, the NS5B is an RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase (RdRp) enzyme responsible for genome replication as a part of a larger, membrane associated replicase complex. The crystal structure of RdRp from several families of single- or double-strand RNA viruses, including HCV<sup>28–30</sup> and BVDV,<sup>31,32</sup> have been recently made available in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) repository.

Despite the low sequence identity between different polymerases, the crystal structures of these proteins (from BVDV, HCV and other families of ss and dsRNA viruses) all present the shape of a right hand with fingers, palm, and thumb domains. In particular, the BVDV RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase core domain (residues 139–679) has a dimension of approximately  $74 \times 60 \times 58$  Å around a central cavity,<sup>31</sup> which serves as for RNA template binding, nucleotides recruitment, and polymerization reaction (see Fig. 4a). In addition, there is an N-terminal region (residues 71–138) of which residues 71–91 are disordered in the relevant crystal structure. A thorough search of a putative binding site for our molecules onto BVDV RdRp was conducted following our successful recipe developed for studying allosteric inhibitors of Polio-virus helicase.<sup>33</sup> The portion of the enzyme making up the binding site interacting with the inhibitors is located in the fingers domain (residues 139–313 and 351–410), consisting of 12  $\alpha$ -helices and 11  $\beta$ -strands (see Fig. 4a).

In BVDV RdRp, as in other viral RdRps, the N terminus of the fingers domain, together with a long insert in the fingers domain (residues 260–288), form the fingertip region that associates with the thumb domain. This region is characterized by a three-strand conformation, and since the fingers and the thumb domains are associated through this fingertip region, the conformational change induced by the RNA template binding into the central channel is somewhat limited. The remainder of the fingers domain is comprised of a  $\beta$ -strand rich region ( $\beta$ -fingers) and an  $\alpha$ -helix rich region ( $\alpha$ -fingers) close to the palm domain.

According to the procedure adopted, all compounds were characterized by a similar docking mode in the putative binding site of the BVDV RdRp, as exemplified by compound **1** in Figure 5.

In particular, the residues lining the pocket (see Fig. 5d) include the side chains of residues from N217 to F224 belonging to a loop between the two strands  $\beta$ 3 and  $\beta$ 4, and those of residues from K263 to E265 of a loop between strands  $\beta$ 5 and  $\beta$ 6. Amino acids from I287 to E291 contribute to binding from the  $\beta$ -sheet portion  $\beta$ 8, whilst another loop, connecting two  $\alpha$ -helical motifs ( $\alpha$ 19 and  $\alpha$ 20, respectively), concurs with residues from R529 and L530.

Going into details, the aminoalkyl part of the molecule is engaged in stabilizing nonbonded interactions with the apolar side chains of residues I261, I287, A221, and A222, while the diarylazo Table 5

Cytotoxicity against MT-4, MDBK, BHK and Vero-76 cell lines and YFV, Reo-1, CVB-2, RSV, HSV-1 and Sb-1 inhibitory activity of triazene derivatives of structure F and G

Compd <sup>a</sup>	Х	R	MT-4	MDBK	BHK-21	YFV	Reo-1	VERO-76	CVB-2	RSV	HSV-1	Sb-1
			$CC_{50}$	$CC_{50}$	$CC_{50}$	EC50	EC50°	$CC_{50}$	EC50	EC50"	EC <sub>50</sub>	EC50
34	Nil	Н	>100	>100	≥100	>100	>100	>100	>100	≥100	>100	>100
35	Nil	3-Cl	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	≥100	>100	>100	>100	≥100
36	Nil	3-Br	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	≥100	>100	>100	>100	>100
37	Nil	$3-CF_3$	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
38	Nil	3-NO <sub>2</sub>	66	>100	42	>42	>42	80	>80	8	>80	30
39	Nil	4-Cl	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	42
40	Nil	4-Br	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	≥100	>100	>100	>100	51
41	Nil	4-NO <sub>2</sub>	≥100	>100	≥100	>100	>100	90	>90	60	>90	15
42	Nil	4- 0CH₃	68	60	≥100	>100	>100	90	>90	>90	>90	>90
43	Nil	2,5-diF	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	35	>100	>100	44
44	Nil	3,4- diCl	75	70	50	>50	>50	58	>58	20	>58	25
45	CH <sub>2</sub>	Н	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
46	CH <sub>2</sub>	3-Cl	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
47	CH <sub>2</sub>	3-Br	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	85	>85	>85	>85	>85
48	CH <sub>2</sub>	3-NO <sub>2</sub>	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	83	>83	>83	>83	>83
49	CH <sub>2</sub>	4-Cl	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100
50	CH <sub>2</sub>	4-Br	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	25	73	>100	>100
51	CO	Н	>100	≥100	90	>90	>90	60	13	>60	>60	>60
52	N-CH <sub>3</sub>	Н	65	>100	70	>70	>70	45	14	>45	>45	>45
53	N-CH <sub>3</sub>	2,5-diF	>100	>100	>100	50	30	≥100	71	>100	64	>100
54	N-COOC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	Н	100	>100	>100	>100	>100	90	30	>90	>90	>90
55	N-Ph	Н	33	>100	>100	>100	>100	≥100	>100	8	>100	>100
56	N-2- Pyrimidinyl	Н	90	>100	>100	>100	>100	65	10	>65	>65	>65
57	5 5	4-Cl	46	97	85	>85	>85	55	>55	>55	>55	>55
58		3-NO <sub>2</sub>	>100	>100	>100	>100	80	90	>90	≥90	>90	>90
	NM-108	-	>100	>100	90	1.8	2.4	>100	20	>100	>100	>100
	NM 299		2	>100	>100	26	>100	20	>20	1.2	>20	>20
	ACG		>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	3	>100
	Ribavirin		31	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	7	>100	>100
	NM 176		≥100	>100	>100	>100	>100	>100	24	>100	>100	20
	M 5255		0.2	42	>100	>100	>100	≥13	>13	0.6	>13	>13

<sup>a</sup> None of compounds 34-58 inhibited the multiplication of HIV-1, BVDV, VSV and VV viruses.

<sup>b-1</sup> For the meaning see Tables 3 and 4.

moiety is nicely encased in a subsite lined by the side chains of N217, N264, E265, K266, R529, and L530. More importantly, however, this molecular scaffold is anchored in place by three persistent hydrogen bonds (HBs). A first HB bridge involves the nitrogen atom of the N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> substituent group on **1** and the – OH group of Y289 side chain, with an average dynamic length (ADL) of  $3.08 \pm 0.3$  Å. The second HB interaction engages the terminal amino group of K263 and the N atom of the inhibitor secondary amino group (ADL =  $3.18 \pm 0.2$  Å). Finally, one of the nitrogen atoms belonging to the azo group characterizing compound **1** is involved in the third HB interaction with the backbone –NH group of E265 (ADL =  $2.92 \pm 0.3$  Å).

Importantly, quantitative information about the affinities of our ligands towards BVDV RdRp can be inferred by applying the MM/ PBSA methodology to estimate the free energy of binding,  $\Delta G_{\text{bind}}$ , and its components. The calculated  $\Delta G_{\rm bind}$  values for the dimethylaminopropyl derivatives 1, 2, 4, and the quinolizidinylmethyl derivatives 7-10 are listed in Table 6. Generally speaking, and in harmony with our previous findings,<sup>33,34</sup> both the nonbonded mechanical energy components of  $\Delta G_{\text{bind}}$ ,  $\Delta E_{\text{vdW}}$  and  $\Delta E_{\text{el}}$ , afford a substantial, favorable contribution to binding for both series of compounds. On the other hand, due the polar character of the azo group, the desolvation penalty paid by these molecules upon binding  $(\Delta G_{PB})$  is also quite substantial, so that the net, resulting electrostatic contribution to the affinity of these inhibitors to their enzyme receptor are notably unfavorable. Specifically, for this series of compounds, the mean value of the electrostatic energy  $(\Delta E_{\rm el} + \Delta G_{\rm PB})$  is 26 kcal/mol, whilst the corresponding mean values of the van der Waals and hydrophobic overall interaction energies  $(\Delta E_{vdW} + \Delta G_{np})$  are -46 kcal/mol.

Accordingly, it follows that the association between the ligands and the RdRp is mainly driven by more favorable nonpolar interactions in the complex than in the solution, in harmony with a proposed general scheme for noncovalent association.<sup>33,34</sup> However, as indicated for instance by the energy components of compound **2**, this driving force can be weakened when the polar groups on the molecule do not find an adequate bonding pattern in the protein compared to water. The free energy penalty for this  $(\Delta E_{el} + \Delta G_{PB})$  term is least for compound **1** and this, together with its substantial van der Waals contribution and moderate entropic unfavorable term, consequently leads to one of the highest binding affinity in this set of inhibitors.

One of the most important benchmark in this study, however, is the correspondence between the estimated free energies of binding and the experimental measured  $EC_{50}$  values. Indeed, we can observe a good agreement between the trend exhibited by the  $IC_{50}$ values reported in Table 6 and the corresponding biological activity determined for these compounds in BVDV infected cell line (see Table 3). Although we obviously cannot directly compare the computed binding free energy (and hence the corresponding  $IC_{50}$ ) with the  $EC_{50}$  values deriving from experiment, we can observe that, in most cases, the rank of the inhibitors with respect to their activity towards their putative targets, the RdRps of BVDV, is maintained, although with some discrepancies. In fact, all estimated  $IC_{50}$  values are systematically higher than those determined by in vitro experiments with infected cell lines.

The overall results obtained from molecular modeling deserve some more comments. First of all, the binding site identified by our procedure is very close to the putative binding site proposed for two allosteric inhibitors of BVDV RdRp, VP32947 and BPIP,<sup>23</sup>



SMSYTWTGALITPCAAEESK

AYLKLKDFIEEEEKKPRVKDTVIREHNKWILKKIRFQGNLNTKKMLNPGK С LPINALSN-SLLAHHNM EVTWEOLEA \* \*\* .\* . \* \* \* \* \* \* \* • . . • .\*: \*. \* .\* .\* .\*. . \* • OYSPGORVEFLVNTWKSKKNPMGFSYDTRCFD KTPLFNI FDKVRKEWDSFNEPVAVSFDTKAWD .: : : \*.\* ::\*:..\*:\*\*:.:\*: \*\*.:\*: ASGVLTTS RGSGOPDTSAGNSMLN .\* : . \* \* ... CGNTLTCYLKASAACRAAKLQDCTMLVNGDDLVVICES-AGTQEDAASLR VLTMMYAFCESTGVPYKSFNRVARI HVCGDDGFLI TEKGLGLKFANKGMO · \* \*\*\* .:\* \*. .. ..... VFTEAMTRYSAPPGDPPQPEYDLELITSCSSNVSVAHDASGKRVYYLTRD I LHEAGKPOKI TEGEKMKVAYRFED IEFCSHTPVPVRWSDNTSSHMAGRD TAVILSKMATRLDSSGERGTTAYEKAVAFSFLLMYSWNPLVRRICLLVLS :: LAQEQLEKALDCQIYGACYSIEPLDLPQIIERLHG-LSAF QQPETDPSKHATYYYKGDPIGAYKDVIGRNLSELKRTGFEKLANLNLSLS SLHSYSPGEINRVASCLRKLGVPP-LRVWRHRARSVRAALLSQGGRAAT TLGVWTKHTSKRI IODCVAIGKEEGNWLVKPDRLISSKTGHLY IPDKGFT :\*: CGKYLFNWAVK LOGKHYEOLOL

**Figure 4.** (a) Overview of the entire structure of the RdRp of BVDV. The protein domains are colored as follows: pink, N-terminal domain (residues 71–138); light green, fingers domain (residues 139–313 and 351–410); palm domain, kaki (residues 314–350 and 411–500); sienna, thumb domain (residues 501–679). (b) Overlay of the 3D models of RdRp of BVDV (purple) and HCV (red). (c) BVDV and HCV polymerase amino acid alignment: top line, BVDV (residues 92–679); bottom line, HCV (residues 1–531). The alignment of the fingers domain is highlighted in purple (BVDV) and red (HCV).

and involves residue F224 (see Fig. 5d), a residue found mutated into S224 in BVDV BPIP-resistant clones. The binding pocket is located in a turn of the fingers domain between two  $\beta$ -sheets which is believed to be involved in finger flexibility for RNA template/product translocation,<sup>35</sup> dimerization of the RdRp in the replication complex, or protein/protein interactions,<sup>36,37</sup> enabling the assembly of an active replication complex. According to our docking results, then, the hypothetical binding of our compounds to the polymerase could affect the finger flexibility or impair the capacity of the protein to translocate its template/product during RNA polymerization. Alternatively, the diarylazo moiety could possibly act by hampering the entrance of the template RNA in the template binding cavity.

Although our in silico data clearly show the same trend of the corresponding experimental  $EC_{50}$  values, at the same time they yield no evidence that binding of these inhibitors onto the BVDV RdRp result in a real allosteric inhibition of the enzyme or in a reduced template-binding ability. One of the explanations why we find IC<sub>50</sub> values higher than the corresponding  $EC_{50}$  could be explained by the following rationale. Recently an attempt of co-crystallize the BVDV RdRp with the inhibitor VP32947 failed do to a dimer interface near the putative binding site of VP32947 in the BVDV RdRp crystal. The authors of the work proposed that the for-

mation of this dimer could constitute an important point in the replication complex. Moreover, it was also hypothesized that the top of the fingers domain could be a protein-docking site pivotal to the interactions with other enzymes of the replicase complex. As the VP32947 binding site is part of the putative binding pocket for our molecular series, it could be that, upon binding, our compounds hinder the interactions among different proteins making up the replicase complex.

Last but not least, it is important to recall that RdRp functions in virus-infected cells in the context of membrane-bound replication complexes, that usually consist of several virus-encoded proteins, host proteins, and various forms of viral RNA. The RNA-dependent RNA polymerase may then have a role in interacting with these other components and/or in the stability of the replication complexes. If one or more of these putative actions of the RdRp in infected cells is sensitive to our inhibitors, viral RNA synthesis may be disrupted in a manner which is distinct from the one estimated by our in silico assays.

Now, given the similarity among the polymerases of pestiviruses, hepaciviruses, and flaviviruses, any knowledge gained from any one of these viral systems is likely to foster progress in the others. Given the high importance of the hepatitis C pandemic and the



**Figure 5.** Overall (a, top left) and detailed (b, top right) space filling representation of the BVDV RdRp molecular surface and compound **1** docked into the protein putative binding site. The inhibitor is in CPK representation, with carbons in cyan, nitrogens in blue, and hydrogens in white. (c, bottom left) Ribbon diagram of BVDV RdRp/**1** complex structure as resulting from the applied docking/MD procedure. The protein is colored light blue. The inhibitor **1** is represented as a stick model with carbons in gray and nitrogens in blue. (d, bottom right) Details of compound **1** (in a stick representation) in the binding pocket in the enzyme fingers domain. Color scheme as above. The side chains of all residues that form the primary binding pocket interacting with compound **1** are shown as stick models, and the atom color-coding is as follows: N217, firebrick; A221, orange; A222, dark kaki; F224, red; E258, dim gray; T259, rosy brown; I261, green; K263, hot pink; N264, tan; E265, sienna; K266, dark magenta; I287, gold; Q288, navy blue; Y289, purple; P290, dark slate blue; E291, pink; R295, olive drab; R529, coral; L530, kaki. Hydrogen bonds are highlighted as light gray broken lines. Hydrogen atoms, counterions, and water molecules are omitted for clarity.

stringent need for efficient therapeutics for this pathology, it is expected that new molecular entities such as those described in this work can provide meaningful insights towards the identification of possible anti-HCV agents. Interestingly, the overall sequence identity between BVDV and HCV RdRp proteins is quite low, approximately 30%. If we consider the alignment of BVDV and HCV RdRp reported in Figure 4c, and in particular focus on the highlighted fingers domain part, we can immediately speculate that: (i) the F224 residue in the BVDV protein has no counterpart in the corresponding HCV enzyme; accordingly, if the drug would bind in the same binding pocket with the same binding mode, there should in principle be no problem of resistant mutant at this position. (ii) The important region of K263–K266 in the BVDV RdRp is highly conserved in the corresponding HCV polymerase (KNEK in BVDV and KNEV in HCV, respectively, see Fig. 4c); importantly, both K263

and E265 are engaged in fundamental stabilizing hydrogen bonds with the inhibitors in the BVDV case but, being conserved, they are able to establish the same interactions with the compounds also in the case of HCV. (iii) The residue Y289 of BVDV RdRp is not conserved in the corresponding HCV polymerase, being replaced by a phenylalanine. Therefore, the anchoring hydrogen bond between the Y289 –OH group and, for instance, the tertiary nitrogen of 1 can no longer take place. Taken all together, these evidences can justify a minor potency of this molecular series towards the HCV RdRp as a target with respect to the BVDV counterpart. At the same time, in the case of both viruses, the binding pockets on the respective RdRps do share a sufficient degree of structural and sequence homology; thus, since these regions involve the fingers domains, which overhang the palm domains of the enzymes, they might be involved in RNA substrate recognition and, hence, the

Table	6
Tuble	•

Free energy components and total	oinding free energies	for compounds of the seri	es A–C on BVDV RdRp

	-		-	-			
	1	2	4	7	8	9	10
$\Delta E_{vdW}$	$-40.2 \pm 0.3$	-38.6± 0.2	$-39.5 \pm 0.4$	$-39.5 \pm 0.3$	$-39.8 \pm 0.2$	$-39.3 \pm 0.3$	$39.4 \pm 0.2$
$\Delta E_{el}$	$-32.5 \pm 0.2$	$-34.2 \pm 0.3$	$-33.9 \pm 0.3$	$-29.3 \pm 0.3$	$-29.8 \pm 0.1$	$-28.7 \pm 0.3$	$-29.4 \pm 0.3$
$\Delta E_{MM}$	$-72.7 \pm 0.2$	$-72.8 \pm 0.2$	$-73.4 \pm 0.3$	$-68.8 \pm 0.3$	$-69.6 \pm 0.2$	$-68.0 \pm 0.2$	$-68.8 \pm 0.3$
$\Delta G_{PB}$	57.5 ± 0.5	$60.0 \pm 0.4$	$52.6 \pm 0.4$	59.6 ± 0.3	$55.7 \pm 0.4$	55.3± 0.4	$55.6 \pm 0.3$
$\Delta G_{np}$	$-6.3\pm0.0$	$-7.1 \pm 0.0$	$-6.7 \pm 0.1$	$-9.1 \pm 0.0$	$-8.7 \pm 0.1$	$-7.9 \pm 0.1$	$-7.8 \pm 0.0$
$\Delta G_{sol}$	$51.2 \pm 0.6$	$52.9 \pm 0.4$	52.9 ± 0.5	$46.6 \pm 0.2$	$48.4 \pm 0.4$	$47.4 \pm 0.3$	47.8 ± 0.3
$\Delta G_{\rm MM/PBSA}$	$-21.5 \pm 0.5$	$-19.9 \pm 0.4$	$-20.5 \pm 0.3$	$-22.2 \pm 0.2$	$-21.2 \pm 0.3$	$-20.7\pm0.4$	$-21.0 \pm 0.3$
$T\Delta S_{\text{solute}}$	14.3	14.0	14.3	15.2	14.8	15.0	14.7
$\Delta G_{\text{bind}}$	-7.2	-5.9	-6.2	-7.0	-6.4	-5.7	-6.3
IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>a</sup>	5	38	28	7	22	66	25

All values are in kcal/mol. IC50 values are in µM.

<sup>a</sup> IC<sub>50</sub> values were obtained using the following relationship:  $\Delta G_{\text{bind}} = RT \ln IC_{50}^{33,34}$ 

inhibitor molecules may affect the RdRps interactions with the incoming RNA molecule.

### 4. Conclusions

Twelve aminoarylazocompounds of structures A-C, and 46 aryltriazene derivatives D-G have been synthesized and assayed for antiviral activity against a panel of 10 RNA and DNA viruses.

Thirty-five (8 aminoazocompounds and 27 triazene derivatives) over 58 tested compounds exhibited antiviral activity against one or more viruses and many of them had shown an  $EC_{50} \le 10 \,\mu$ M against at least one virus. None of the active compounds inhibited the multiplication of HIV-1, VSV and VV.

Aminoazocompounds **A–C** have a marked activity against BVDV and YFV, while the triazene derivatives **D–G** are characterized by the most frequent activity against CVB-2 and RSV.

Despite the high antiviral activity of many compounds, the corresponding selectivity index (S. I.) are generally low, due the rather high cytotoxicity exerted on the host cell lines.

Taking into account the  $EC_{50}$  and S. I. values, the best compounds are the following, arranged in order of decreasing potency and selectivity; BVDV: 1 > 7 > 8 > 4; YFV: 7 > 5; CVB-2: 25 > 56 > 18; RSV: 14 > 20 > 55 > 38 > 18; HSV-1: 2. For these compounds the  $EC_{50}$  ranged from 1.6  $\mu$ M (1) to 12  $\mu$ M (18), while the corresponding S. I. values were in the range from 19.4 (1) to 4.2 (2).

A particular interest is deserved by compounds displaying good activities against RSV (as **14** and **20**) and BVDV (as **1** and **7**). The former virus is responsible of serious respiratory tract infections in humans, with high mortality rate, while the latter is responsible of severe epidemic outbreaks in livestock, but is also a surrogate model for the evaluation of novel, urgently needed agents against HCV, an emerging threat to human health worldwide.

The above compounds represent valuable starting models for developing better (more potent and less toxic) and inexpensive antiviral agents through the variation of the dialkylaminoalkyl chain and/or the substituents on the aromatic rings.

In view of these considerations, molecular modeling investigations were performed to study wether the active compounds of structures **A-C** could target the BVDV RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase (RdRp), which shares some structural similarity with HCV RdRp.

Indeed a good agreement was observed between the trend exhibited by the  $IC_{50}$  (calculated from the estimated free energies of binding) and the corresponding biological activities determined for these compounds in BVDV infected MDBK cell line.

The overall sequence identity between BVDV and HCV RdRp proteins is approximately 30%, but the important region K263-K266 in the BVDV RdRp is highly conserved in the corresponding HCV polymerase, thus targeting of HCV RdRp by the **A-C** molecular series could be expected, even if with a minor potency in respect to the BVDV counterpart.

### 5. Experimental

### 5.1. General

Chemicals, solvents and reagents used for syntheses were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich, Fluka or Lancaster, and were used without any further purification.

Column chromatography (CC): neutral alumina (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), activity 1 (Merck). Mps: Büchi apparatus, uncorrected. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra: Varian Gemini-200 spectrometer; CDCl<sub>3</sub>;  $\delta$  in ppm rel. to Me<sub>4</sub>Si as internal standard. *J* in hertz. Elemental analyses were performed on a Carlo

Erba EA-1110 CHNS-O instrument in the Microanalysis Laboratory of the Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences of Genoa University.

## 5.2. Aminoazocompounds of structure A and triazenes of structure E. General method

A solution of arylamine (8 mmol) in 1.56 mL of conc. hydrochloridric acid and 1 mL of water was cooled to 0 °C and slowly diazotized with a solution of sodium nitrite (8 mmol) in 2 mL of water. The diazonium salt solution was added dropwise, during about 10–20 min, to a cold water solution of the *N*-(3-dimethylaminopropyl/lupinyl)aniline monoacetate (8 mmol), and maintaining carefully pH 7 with the simultaneous addition of a saturated solution of sodium acetate. After stirring the reaction mixture for 45 min at 0–5 °C, the oil product was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O, dried, then vacuum-distilled (0.1–0.2 torr) at 80–90 °C to remove the unreacted N-substituted aniline. The residue was fractionated by CC, eluting firstly with Et<sub>2</sub>O the triazene derivative (**E**) and then with Et<sub>2</sub>O + 5%-MeOH the isomeric azocompound (**A**) in quite lower yield.

The isolated compounds were further purified either by crystallization or, when oily, by a second CC or distillation.

### 5.2.1. [*N*,*N*-Dimethyl-*N*'-(4-phenylazophenyl)]propane-1,3diamine (1)

Yield: 6%. Oil (CC: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O). Bp (p = 0.1-0.2 torr) 100–105°. TLC:  $R_f = 0.4 \text{ (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O + 2%Et<sub>2</sub>NH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.74 (q, 2H, C(2)); 2.20 (s, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); 2.37 (t, <math>J = 6.2, 2H, C(3)$ ); 3.24 (t, J = 7.0, 2H, C(1)); 5.19 (s, NH); 6.58 (d, J = 10.0, 2 arom. H); 7.28–7.48 (m, 5 arom. H); 7.75 (d, J = 10.0, 2 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{22}N_4$ : C, 72.31; H, 7.85; N, 19.84. Found: C, 72.15; H, 8.05; N, 19.74.

### 5.2.2. *N*,*N*-Dimethyl-*N*'-[4-(3-nitrophenylazo)phenyl]propane-1,3-diamine (2)

Yield: 4%. Mp 205–208 °C (EtOH abs.). TLC:  $R_f = 0.3$  (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O + 2%Et<sub>2</sub>NH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.91 (q, 2H, C(2)); 2.07 (s, NH); 2.25 (s, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); 2.37 (t, *J* = 6.9, 2H, C(3)); 4.34 (t, *J* = 7.6, 2H, C(3)); 7.11–8.40 (m, 8 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. HCI: C, 56.12; H, 6.09; N, 19.25. Found: C, 56.31; H, 6.20; N, 18.88.

### 5.2.3. *N*,*N*-Dimethyl-*N*'-[4-(4-nitrophenylazo)phenyl]propane-1,3-diamine (3)

Yield: 3%. Mp 116–119 °C (hexane). TLC:  $R_f = 0.3$  (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O + 2%Et<sub>2</sub>NH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.76 (q, 2H, C(2)); 2.21 (s, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); 2.38 (t, *J* = 6.3, 2H, C(3)); 3.24 (t, *J* = 6.9, 2H, C(1)); 5.72 (s, NH); 6.54 (d, *J* = 10.0, 2 arom. H); 7.75–7.90 (m, 4 arom. H); 8.25 (d, *J* = 10.1, 2 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 62.37; H, 6.47; N, 21.39. Found: C, 62.06; H, 6.68; N, 21.00.

### 5.2.4. *N*'-[4-(2,5-Difluorophenylazo)phenyl]-*N*,*N*-dimethylpropane-1,3-diamine (4)

Yield: 11%. Oil (CC: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O). TLC:  $R_f = 0.4$  (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O + 2%Et<sub>2</sub>NH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.76 (q, 2H, C(2)); 2.20 (s, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); 2.38 (t, *J* = 6.7, 2H, C(3)); 3.23 (t, *J* = 7.0, 2H, C(1)); 5.49 (s, NH); 6.55 (d, *J* = 9.0, 2 arom. H); 6.88–7.44 (m, 3 arom. H); 7.78 (d, *J* = 9.2, 2 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>20</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>·HCl: C, 57.54; H, 5.97; N, 15.79. Found: C, 57.43; H, 6.10; N, 15.73.

### 5.2.5. *N*'-[4-(2,6-Difluorophenylazo)phenyl]-*N*,*N*-dimethylpropane-1,3-diamine (5)

Yield: 15%. Oil (CC:  $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Et_2O$ ). TLC:  $R_f = 0.3$  ( $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Et_2O + 2\%Et_2NH$ ). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.70 (q, 2H, C(2)); 2.19 (s, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); 2.36 (t, *J* = 6.5, 2H, C(3)); 3.23 (t, *J* = 6.7, 2H, C(1)); 5.43 (s, NH); 6.56 (d, *J* = 10.0, 2 arom. H); 6.85–7.20 (m, 3 arom. H); 7.75 (d, *J* = 9.0, 2 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{20}F_2N_4$ : C, 64.14; H, 6.33; N, 17.60. Found: C, 63.90; H, 6.61; N, 17.52.

### 5.2.6. *N*,*N*-Dimethyl-*N*'-4-(4'-tolylazo)phenylpropane-1,3-diamine (6)

Yield: 10%. Mp 78–79 °C (Et<sub>2</sub>O). TLC:  $R_f = 0.4$  (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O + 2%Et<sub>2</sub>NH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.84 (q, 2H, C(2)); 1.96 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>); 2.34 (s, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); 2.61 (t, *J* = 6.6, 2H, C(3)); 3.21 (t, *J* = 7.1, 2H, C(1)); 4.65 (s, NH); 6.56 (d, *J* = 10.1, 2 arom. H); 7.20 (d, *J* = 10.0, 2 arom. H); 7.62–7.78 (m, 4 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>4</sub>: C, 72.94; H, 8.16; N, 18.90. Found: C, 72.68; H, 8.50; N, 19.20.

# 5.2.7. *N*,*N*-Dimethyl-1-(1,3-diphenyltriazen-3-yl)-3-propanamine (25)

Yield: 31%. Oil (CC: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O). Bp (p = 0.1–0.2 torr) 100– 105 °C. TLC:  $R_f$  = 0.7 (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O + 2%Et<sub>2</sub>NH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.80 (q, 2H, C(2)); 2.20 (s, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); 2.31 (t, J = 7.1, 2H, C(3)); 4.27 (t, J = 7.3, 2H, C(1)); 6.52–7.83 (m, 10 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>4</sub>: C, 72.31; H, 7.85; N, 19.84. Found: C, 72.31; H, 7.87; N, 19.88.

### 5.2.8. *N*,*N*-Dimethyl-1-[1-(3-trifluoromethylphenyl)-3-phenyltriazen-3-yl]-3-propanamine (26)

Yield: 13%. Oil (CC: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O). Bp (p = 0.1–0.2 torr) 80–85 °C. TLC:  $R_f$  = 0.8 (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O + 2%Et<sub>2</sub>NH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.83 (q, 2H, C(2)); 2.20 (s, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); 2.31 (t, J = 6.8, CH<sub>2</sub>(3)); 4.28 (t, J = 7.0, CH<sub>2</sub>(1)); 7.17–7.78 (m, 9 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>21</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>: C, 61.70; H, 6.04; N, 15.99. Found: C, 61.54; H, 6.08; N, 15.93.

### 5.2.9. 1-[1-(3-Nitrophenyl)-3-phenyltriazen-3-yl]-*N*,*N*-dimethyl-3-propanamine (27)

Yield: 58%. Oil (CC:  $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Et_2O$ ). TLC:  $R_f = 0.7$  ( $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Et_2O + 2\%Et_2NH$ ). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.86 (q, 2H, C(2)); 2.20 (s, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); 2.32 (t, *J* = 6.9, 2H, C(3)); 4.29 (t, *J* = 7.5, 2H, C(1)); 7.06-8.35 (m, 9 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{21}N_5O_2$ : C, 62.37; H, 6.47; N, 21.39. Found: C, 62.55; H, 6.61; N, 21.46.

# 5.2.10. *N*,*N*-Dimethyl-1-[1-(4-nitrophenyl)-3-phenyltriazen-3-yl]-3-propanamine (28)

Yield: 51%. Mp 74–75 °C (Et<sub>2</sub>O). TLC:  $R_f = 0.8$  (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O + 2%Et<sub>2</sub>NH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.86 (q, 2H, C(2)); 2.18 (s, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); 2.32 (t, *J* = 7.1, 2H, C(3)); 4.30 (t, *J* = 7.8, 2H, C(1)); 7.10–7.50 (m, 5 arom. H); 7.59 (d, *J* = 10.2, 2 arom. H); 8.19 (d, *J* = 10.2, 2 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 62.37; H, 6.47; N, 21.39. Found: C, 62.27; H, 6.59; N, 21.56.

# 5.2.11. 1-[1-(2,5-Difluorophenyl)-3-phenyltriazen-3-yl]-*N*,*N*-dimethyl-3-propanamine (29)

Yield: 48%. Mp 61–64 °C (pentane). TLC:  $R_f = 0.7$  (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O + 2%Et<sub>2</sub>NH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.89 (q, 2H, C(2)); 2.18 (s, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); 2.30 (t, *J* = 6.9, 2H, C(3)); 4.28 (t, *J* = 7.2, 2H, C(1)); 6.70–7.60 (m, 8 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>20</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>: C, 64.14; H, 6.33; N, 17.60. Found: C, 64.30; H, 6.55; N, 17.35.

### 5.2.12. 1-[1-(2,5-Difluorophenyl)-3-(3-trifluoromethylphenyl)-triazen-3-yl]-*N*,*N*-dimethyl-3-propanamine (30)

Yield: 57%. Oil (CC:  $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Et_2O$ ). TLC:  $R_f = 0.7$  ( $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Et_2O + 2\%Et_2NH$ ). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.86 (q, 2H, C(2)); 2.18 (s, N(CH\_3)\_2); 2.29 (t, J = 6.7, 2H, C(3)); 4.30 (t, J = 7.2, 2H, C(1)); 6.77–7.80 (m, 7 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{18}H_{19}F_5N_4$ : C, 55.96; H, 4.96; N, 14.50. Found: C, 55.95; H, 4.76; N, 14.66.

# 5.2.13. 1-[1-(2,6-Difluorophenyl)-3-phenyltriazen-3-yl]-*N*,*N*-dimethyl-3-propanamine (31)

Yield: 41%. Oil (CC:  $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Et_2O$ ). TLC:  $R_f = 0.7$  ( $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Et_2O + 2\%Et_2NH$ ). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.90 (q, 2H, C(2)); 2.19 (s, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); 2.33 (t, *J* = 7.0, 2H, C(3)); 4.26 (t, *J* = 7.6, 2H, C(1)); 6.81–7.47 (m, 8 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{20}F_2N_4$ : C, 64.14; H, 6.33; N, 17.60. Found: C, 63.98; H, 6.49; N, 17.55.

### 5.2.14. N,N-Dimethyl-1-[3-phenyl-1-(p-tolyl)triazen-3-yl]-3-propanamine (32)

Yield: 64%. Oil (CC: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O). TLC:  $R_f = 0.8$  (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O + 2%Et<sub>2</sub>NH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.82 (q, 2H, C(2)); 2.19 (s, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); 2.24–2.37 (m, 2H, C(3) and 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>-Ph); 4.24 (t, *J* = 7.6, 2H, C(1)); 6.97–7.47 (m, 9 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>4</sub>: C, 72.94; H, 8.16; N, 18.90. Found: C, 72.61; H, 8.24; N, 19.40.

# 5.2.15. 3-[(1*S*,9a*R*)-Octahydro-2*H*-quinolizin-1-ylmethyl]-1-phenyl-3-(trifluoromethylphenyl)triazene (33)

Yield: 47%. Mp 102–103 °C (pentane). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 0.66– 2.20 (m, 14H of quinolizidine); 2.80–2.96 (m, 2H $\alpha$  near N of quinolizidine); 4.23 (*dd*, *J* = 14.8, 4.0, 1H of *CH*<sub>2</sub>-Quinolizidine); 4.96 (*dd*, *J* = 15.0, 11.0, 1H of *CH*<sub>2</sub>-Quinolizidine); 7.23–7.76 (m, 9 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>27</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>: C, 66.32; H, 6.54; N, 13.45. Found: C, 66.58; H, 6.59; N, 13.03.

### 5.3. Aryltriazenes of structure D and F. General method

The aromatic amine (25 mmol) was dissolved in 7.3 mL of conc. hydrochloridric acid and 10 mL of water, cooling in a ice bath. The solution was kept at 0-5 °C, while adding drop by drop a solution of sodium nitrite (25 mmol) in 10 mL of water. The diazonium salt was then coupled with the relevant amine (25 mmol) dissolved in 45 mL of 1 N NaOH (pH 7–8). After 20 min of stirring, the precipitate was collected by filtration. The solid was crystallized from the suitable solvent.

In the case of compounds **14**, **18** and **19** the proper oily aniline was added to the diazonium salt solution, followed by a solution of sodium acetate to reduce acidity.

When the coupling-product separated as an oil (compounds **37**, **43**, **53**) it was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O and after removing the solvent the oil was purified by CC. The cytisine derivative **58** was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>, and the solid obtained was crystallized from acetone.

### 5.3.1. 1-Phenyl-3-(3'-trifluoromethylphenyl)triazene (14)

Yield: 92%. Mp 95–96 °C (hexane). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.08–7.69 (m, 9 arom. H); 9.68 (s, NH). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{13}H_{10}F_3N_3$ : C, 58.87; H, 3.80; N, 15.84. Found: C, 58.66; H, 4.20; N, 15.97.

### 5.3.2. 1-(2,5-Difluorophenyl)-3-phenyltriazene (18)

Yield: 30%. Mp 125–126 °C (pentane). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.60–7.50 (m, 8 arom. H); 9.70 (s, NH). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{12}H_{9}F_{2}N_{3}$  + 0.5H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 57.37; H, 3.58; N, 16.76. Found: C, 57.69; H, 3.52; N, 16.61.

## 5.3.3. 1-(2,5-Difluorophenyl)-3-(3'-trifluoromethylphenyl) triazene (19)

Yield: 61%. Mp 103–104 °C (hexane). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.64–7.72 (m, 7 arom. H); 9.71 (s, NH). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{13}H_8F_5N_3$ : C, 51.84; H, 2.68; N, 13.95. Found: C, 52.14; H, 2.66; N, 13.99.

### 5.3.4. 1-(3-Chlorophenyl)azopyrrolidine (35)

Yield: 90%. Mp 49–50.5 °C (hexane). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.96 (br s, 4H, C(3, 4)); 3.73 (br s, 4H, C(2, 5)); 6.96–7.37 (m, 4 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{10}H_{12}ClN_3$ : C, 57.28; H, 5.77; N, 20.04. Found: C, 57.30; H, 5.65; N, 20.25.

### 5.3.5. 1-(3-Trifluoromethylphenyl)azopyrrolidine (37)

Yield: 81%. Oil (CC:  $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Et_2O$ ) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.97 (br s, 4H, C(3, 4)); 3.66 (br s, 2H); 3.80 (br s, 2H); 7.20–7.70 (m, 4 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{11}H_{12}F_3N_3$ : C, 54.32; H, 4.97; N, 17.27. Found: C, 54.33; H, 5.14; N, 17.48.

### 5.3.6. 1-(2,5-Difluorophenyl)azopyrrolidine (43)

Yield: 89%. Oil (CC: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.97 (br s, 4H, C(3, 4)); 3.64 (br s, 2H); 3.88 (br s, 2H); 6.60–7.20 (m, 3 arom. H).

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{10}H_{11}F_2N_3$ : C, 56.87; H, 5.25; N, 19.89. Found: C, 56.76; H, 5.54; N, 20.19.

### 5.3.7. 1-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)azopyrrolidine (44)

Yield: 65%. Mp 63–65 °C (hexane). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.97 (br s, 4H, C(3, 4)); 3.59 (br s, 2H); 3.83 (br s, 2H); 7.06–7.49 (m, 3 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{10}H_{11}Cl_2N_4$ : C, 49.20; H, 4.54; N, 17.21. Found: C, 49.18; H, 4.57; N, 17.12.

### 5.3.8. 1-(3-Chlorophenyl)azopiperidine (46)

Yield: 30%. Mp 32–33°C (hexane). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.65 (br s, 6H, C(3, 4, 5)); 3.73 (br s, 4H, C(2, 6)); 7.00–7.44 (m, 4 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{11}H_{14}ClN_3$ : C, 59.00; H, 6.58; N, 19.12. Found: C, 59.06; H, 6.31; N, 18.78.

### 5.3.9. 1-(3-Bromophenyl)azopiperidine (47)

Yield: 28%. Mp 30 °C (hexane). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.65 (br s, 6H, C(3, 4, 5)); 3.73 (br s, 4H, C(2, 6)); 7.05–7.56 (m, 4 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{11}H_{14}BrN_3$ : C, 49.27; H, 5.26; N, 15.67. Found: C, 49.28; H, 4.99; N, 15.82.

#### 5.3.10. 1-(3-Nitrophenyl)azopiperidine (48)

Yield: 40%. Mp 33–35 °C (hexane). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.66 (br s, 6H, C(3, 4, 5)); 3.74 (br s, 4H, C(2, 6)); 7.32–8.20 (m, 4 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{11}H_{14}N_4O_2$ : C, 56.40; H, 6.02; N, 23.92. Found: C, 56.26; H, 6.16; N, 24.06.

### 5.3.11. 1-Methyl-4-(2,5-difluorophenylazo)piperazine (53)

Yield: 71%. Oil (CC: Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Et<sub>2</sub>O). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 2.31 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>); 2.50 (t, J = 5.2, 4H, C(2, 6)); 3.83 (t, J = 5.2, 4H, C(3, 5)); 6.65–7.22 (m, 3 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>14</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>: C, 54.99; H, 5.87; N, 23.32. Found: C, 54.88; H, 5.98; N, 23.61.

### 5.3.12. 4-Phenylazo-1-(pyrimidin-2'-yl)piperazine (56)

Yield: 48%. Mp 74–75 °C (hexane). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 3.84 (t, J = 5.5, 4H, C(2, 6)); 3.95 (t, J = 5.5, 4H, C(3, 5)); 6.48 (t, J = 4.8, 1H, C(5')); 7.07–7.46 (m, 5 arom. H); 8.28 (d, J = 4.8, 2H, C(4', 6')). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>6</sub>: C, 62.67; H, 6.01; N, 31.32. Found: C, 62.39; H, 6.16; N, 31.63.

### 5.3.13. 3-(3-Nitrophenylazo)cytisine (58)

Yield: 25%. Mp 201–203 °C (acetone). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.95–2.19 (m, 2H, C(13)); 2.58–2.75 (m, 1H, C(5)); 3.12–3.27 (m, 5H, C(1,2,4)); 3.74–3.92 (m, 1H, C(6)); 4.20–4.30 (m, 1H, C(6)); 6.05 (d, J = 6.7, 1H, C(9)); 6.32 (d, J = 8.4, 1H, C(11)); 7.12–8.12 (m, 1H, C(10) and 4 arom. H). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 60.17; H, 5.05; N, 20.64. Found: C, 60.27; H, 5.33; N, 20.31.

#### 5.4. Cell-based assays

#### 5.4.1. Compounds

Compounds were dissolved in DMSO at 100 mM and then diluted in culture medium.

### 5.4.2. Cells and viruses

Cell lines were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The absence of mycoplasma contamination was checked periodically by the Hoechst staining method. Cell lines supporting the multiplication of RNA and DNA viruses were the following: CD4<sup>+</sup> human T-cells containing an integrated HTLV-1 genome (MT-4); Madin Darby Bovine Kidney (MDBK); Baby Hamster Kidney (BHK-21) and Monkey kidney (Vero 76) cells.

### 5.4.3. Cytotoxicity assays

For cytotoxicity tests, run in parallel with antiviral assays, MDBK and BHK cells were resuspended in 96 multiwell plates at an initial density of  $6 \times 10^5$  and  $1 \times 10^6$  cells/mL, respectively, in maintenance medium, without or with serial dilutions of test compounds. Cell viability was determined after 48–96 h at 37 °C in a humidified CO<sub>2</sub> (5%) atmosphere by the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) method.<sup>38</sup> Vero 76 cells were resuspended in 24 multiwell plates at an initial density of  $4 \times 10^5$  cells/mL. The cell number of Vero 76 monolayers was determined by staining with the crystal violet dye.

For cytotoxicity evaluations, exponentially growing cells derived from human hematological tumors [CD4<sup>+</sup> human T-cells containing an integrated HTLV-1 genome (MT-4)] were seeded at an initial density of  $1 \times 10^5$  cells/mL in 96 well plates in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 100 units/mL penicillin G and 100 µg/mL streptomycin. Cell cultures were then incubated at 37 °C in a humidified, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere in the absence or presence of serial dilutions of test compounds. Cell viability was determined after 96 h at 37 °C by the MTT method.

### 5.4.4. Antiviral assay

Activity of compounds against Human Immunodeficiency virus type-1 (HIV-1) was based on inhibition of virus-induced cytopathogenicity in MT-4 cells acutely infected with a multiplicity of infection (m.o.i.) of 0.01. Briefly, 50  $\mu$ L of RPMI containing 1  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> MT-4 were added to each well of flat-bottom microtitre trays containing 50  $\mu$ L of RPMI, without or with serial dilutions of test compounds. Then, 20  $\mu$ L of a HIV-1 suspension containing 100 CCID<sub>50</sub> were added. After a 4-day incubation, cell viability was determined by the MTT method.

Activity of compounds against Yellow fever virus (YFV) and Reo virus type-1 (Reo-1) was based on inhibition of virus-induced cytopathogenicity in acutely infected BHK-21 cells. Activities against Bovine viral diarrhoea virus (BVDV), in infected MDBK cells, were also based on inhibition of virus-induced cytopathogenicity.

BHK and MDBK cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of  $5 \times 10^4$  and  $3 \times 10^4$  cells/well, respectively, and were allowed to form confluent monolayers by incubating overnight in growth medium at 37 °C in a humidified CO<sub>2</sub> (5%) atmosphere. Cell monolayers were then infected with 50 µL of a proper virus dilution (in serum-free medium) to give a m.o.i = 0.01. One hour later, 50 µL of MEM Earle's medium, supplemented with inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS), 1% final concentration, without or with serial dilutions of test compounds, were added. After 3–4 days of incubation at 37 °C, cell viability was determined by the MTT method.

Activity of compounds against Coxsackie Virus type B2 (CVB-2), Polio Virus type-1 Sabin strain (Sb-1), Vesicular Stomatitis Virus (VSV), Vaccinia Virus (VV), Herpes Virus 1 (HSV-1) and Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV), A-2 strain, in infected Vero 76 cells, was determined by plaque reduction assays in Vero 76 cell monolayers. To this end, Vero 76 cells were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of  $2 \times 10^5$  cells/well and were allowed to form confluent monolayers by incubating overnight in growth medium at 37 °C in a humidified CO<sub>2</sub> (5%) atmosphere. Then, monolayers were infected with 250 µL of proper virus dilutions to give 50-100 PFU/well. Following removal of unadsorbed virus. 500 uL of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 1% inactivated FCS and 0.75% methyl-cellulose, without or with serial dilutions of test compounds, were added. Cultures were incubated at 37 °C for 2 (Sb-1 and VSV), 3 (CVB-2, VV and HSV-1) or 5 days (RSV) and then fixed with PBS containing 50% ethanol and 0.8% crystal violet, washed and air-dried. Plaques were then counted. EC<sub>50</sub> (50% effective concentration) was calculated by linear regression technique.

### 5.5. Molecular modeling

All molecular dynamics simulations were carried out using the sander and pmemd module within the AMBER 9 suite of programs,<sup>39</sup> and the *parm99* all-atom force field,<sup>40</sup> working in parallel on 32 processors of the Tartaglia cluster at the University of Trieste (Trieste, Italy). The crystallographic coordinates of the RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase (RdRp) of BVDV (PDB entry 1S48.pdb)<sup>31</sup> and HCV (PDB entry 1CSJ.pdb)<sup>28</sup> were employed as starting geometries for protein simulations in complex with the most active compounds (1, 2, 4, 7-10). Missing hydrogen atoms were added to the protein backbone and side chains with LEaP module of AMBER 9. All ionizable residues were considered in the standard ionization state at neutral pH. The geometry of added hydrogens and ions was refined for 200 steps (steepest descent) in vacuum using the parm94 force field.<sup>40</sup> Further protein geometry refinement was carried out using the *sander* module of AMBER 9 via a combined steepest descent-conjugate gradient algorithm, using as a convergence criterion for the energy gradient the root-mean-square of the Cartesian elements of the gradient equal to 0.01 kcal/(mol Å). The generalized Born/surface area (GB/SA) continuum solvation model<sup>41</sup> was used to mimic a water environment. As expected, no relevant structural changes were observed between RdRp relaxed models and the original 3-D structure.

The putative binding site for our compounds on the BVDV RdRp was determined using the ActiveSite\_Search option of the Binding Site module of InsightII (v. 2001, Accelrys, San Diego, USA).<sup>33</sup> Active-Site\_Search identifies protein active sites or binding sites by locating cavities in the protein structure. According to the Site\_Search algorithm employed, the protein is first mapped onto a grid which covers the complete protein space. The grid points are then defined as free points and protein points. The protein points are grid points, within 2 Å from a hydrogen atom or 2.5 Å from a heavy atom. Then, a cubic eraser moves from the outside of the protein toward the center to remove the free points until the opening is too small for it to move forward. Those free points not reached by the eraser will be defined as site points. After a site is located, it can be modified by expanding or contracting the site. One layer of grid points at the cavity opening site will be added or removed by each expand or contract operation, respectively.

The model structures of all ligand molecules were built and subjected to an initial energy minimization. The convergence criterion was set to 10<sup>-4</sup> kcal/(mol Å). A conformational search was carried out using a well-validated, ad-hoc developed combined molecular mechanics/molecular dynamics simulated annealing (MDSA) protocol.<sup>33,34</sup> Accordingly, the relaxed structures were subjected to five repeated temperature cycles (from 310 K to 1000 K and back) using constant volume/constant temperature (NVT) MD conditions. At the end of each annealing cycle, the structures were again energy minimized to converge below  $10^{-4}$  kcal/ (mol Å), and only the structures corresponding to the minimum energy were used for further modeling. The atomic partial charges for the geometrically optimized compounds were obtained using the RESP procedure,<sup>42</sup> and the electrostatic potentials were produced by single-point quantum mechanical calculations at the Hartree-Fock level with a 6-31G<sup>\*</sup> basis set, using the Merz-Singh-Kollman van der Waals parameters.43 Eventual missing force field parameters for the compounds considered were generated as follows: AM1 geometry optimization of the structure was followed by RHF/6-31G<sup>\*</sup> single point calculation to obtain the electrostatic potentials. Next, the RESP method was used for charge fitting. The missing bond, angle torsion or van der Waals parameters not included in the parm99 were generated using the antechamber module of AMBER 9.

The optimized structures of the inhibitors were docked into the putative enzyme allosteric binding site by applying a consolidated

procedure;<sup>33,34</sup> accordingly, it will be briefly reported below. The software AUTODOCK  $3.0^{44}$  was employed to estimate the possible binding orientations of all compounds in the receptor. In order to encase a reasonable region of the protein surface and interior volume, centered on the binding site, the grids were 60 Å on each side. Grid spacing (0.375 Å), and 120 grid points were applied in each Cartesian direction so as to calculate mass-centered grid maps. AM-BER 12-6 and 12-10 Lennard-Jones parameters were used in modeling van der Waals interactions and hydrogen bonding (N-H, O-H and S-H), respectively. In the generation of the electrostatic grid maps, the distance dependent relative permittivity of Mehler and Solmajer was applied.<sup>45</sup> For the docking of each compound to the proteins, three hundred Monte Carlo/Simulated Annealing (MC/ SA) runs were performed, with 100 constant temperature cycles for simulated annealing. For these calculations, the GB/SA implicit water model<sup>41</sup> was used to mimic the solvated environment. The rotation of the angles  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$ , and the angles of side chains were set free during the calculations. All other parameters of the MC/ SA algorithm were kept as default. Following the docking procedure, the structure of all compounds were subjected to cluster analysis with a tolerance of 1 Å for an all-atom root-mean-square deviation from a lower-energy structure representing each cluster family. In the absence of any relevant crystallographic information for our compounds, the structure of each resulting complex characterized by the lowest interaction energy in the prevailing cluster was selected for further evaluation.

Each best substrate/RdRp complex resulting from the automated docking procedure was further refined in the AMBER 9 suite using the quenched molecular dynamics (QMD) method.<sup>33,34</sup> In this case, 1 ns MD simulation at 310 K were employed to sample the conformational space of the substrate–enzyme complex in the GB/SA continuum solvation environment. The integration step was equal to 1 fs and the *parm99* force field parameters were applied in the simulations. After each ps, the system was cooled to 0 K, the structure was extensively minimized, and stored. To prevent global conformational changes of the enzyme, the backbone of the protein binding site was constrained by a harmonic force constant of 100 kcal/Å, whereas the amino acid side chains and the ligands were allowed moving without any constraint.

The best energy configuration of each complex resulting from the previous step was allowed to relax in a 55-Å radius sphere of TIP3P water molecules.<sup>46</sup> The resulting system was minimized with a gradual decrease in the position restraints of the protein atoms. At the end of the relaxation process, all water molecules beyond the first hydration shell (i.e., at a distance > 3.5 Å from any protein atom) were removed. Finally, to achieve electroneutrality, a suitable number of counterions were added to neutralize the system using the leap module of AMBER 9, removing eventually overlapping water molecules. To reduce computational time to reasonable limits, all proteins residues with any atom closer than 20 Å from the center of mass of each bounded ligand were chosen to be flexible in the dynamic simulations. Subsequently, a spherical TIP3P water cap of radius equal to 20 Å was centered on each inhibitor in the corresponding RdRp complex, including the hydrating water molecules within the sphere resulting from the previous step. After energy minimization of the new water cap for 10 ps, keeping the protein, the ligand, and the pre-existing waters rigid, followed by a MD equilibration of the entire water sphere with fixed solute for 1 ns. further unfavorable interactions within the structures were relieved by progressively smaller positional restraints on the solute (from 25 to 0 kcal/(mol Å<sup>2</sup>) for a total of 2 ns. Each system was gradually heated to 310 K in three intervals, allowing a 1 ns interval per each 100 K, and then equilibrated for 5 ns at 310 K, followed by 10 ns of data collection runs, necessary for the estimation of the free energy of binding (vide infra). The MD simulations were performed at constant T = 310 K using the Berendsen et al. coupling algorithm<sup>47</sup> with separate coupling of the solute and solvent to the heat, an integration time step of 2 fs, and the applications of the Shake algorithm to constrain all bonds to their equilibrium values, thus removing high frequency vibrations. Longrange nonbonded van der Waals interactions were truncated by using a dual cutoff of 9 and 13 Å, respectively, where energies and forces due to interactions between 9 and 13 Å were updated every 20 time steps. The particle mesh Ewald method was used to treat the long-range electrostatics. For the calculation of the binding free energy between the RdRp and each inhibitor in water, a total of 100 snapshots were saved during the MD data collection period described above, one snapshot per each 0.1 ns of MD simulation.

The binding free energy  $\Delta G_{\text{bind}}$  of each RdRp/drug complex in water was calculated according to the procedure termed Molecular Mechanic/Poisson–Boltzmann Surface Area (MM/PBSA), and originally proposed by Srinivasan et al.<sup>48</sup> In the MM/PBSA framework of theory, the binding free energy of a given ligand to its receptor protein can be evaluated as

$$\Delta G_{\text{bind}} = G_{\text{complex}} - (G_{\text{protein}} + G_{\text{ligand}}) \tag{1}$$

The individual terms of the MM/PBSA approach that contribute to the free energy of a molecule are

$$G_{\rm mol} = E_{\rm MM} + G_{\rm solv} - TS_{\rm solute} \tag{2}$$

where  $E_{\rm MM}$  denotes the sum of intra- and intermolecular mechanical (MM) energies of a molecule in the gas phase,  $G_{\rm solv}$  is its solvation free energy, and  $-TS_{\rm solute}$  represents an estimate of the solute entropy.  $E_{\rm MM}$  can be further divided into terms arising from electrostatic ( $E_{\rm el}$ ), van der Waals ( $E_{\rm vdW}$ ), and internal ( $E_{\rm int}$ ) (i.e., bond, angle, and torsional energies):

$$E_{\rm MM} = E_{\rm el} + E_{\rm vdW} + E_{\rm int} \tag{3}$$

The solvation free energy:

$$G_{solv} = G_{PB} + G_{np} \tag{4}$$

consists of a polar solvation energy component,  $G_{PB}$ , which is calculated in a continuum solvent, usually a finite-difference Poisson-Boltzmann (PB) model, and a nonpolar term,  $G_{np}$ , which is proportional to the solvent-accessible surface area (SA).

The ensemble of structures for the uncomplexed reactants are generated either running separate MD simulations for them, or by using the trajectory of the complex, simply removing the atoms of the protein or ligand. In this work we applied the latter variant. Accordingly, the term E<sub>int</sub> in Eq. 2 cancels out in the calculation of the free energy of binding. The calculations of the polar solvation term G<sub>PB</sub> were done with the *DelPhi* package,<sup>49</sup> with interior and exterior dielectric constants equal to 1 and 80, respectively. A grid spacing of 2/Å, extending 20% beyond the dimensions of the solute, was employed. The non-polar component  $G_{NP}$  was obtained using the following relationship:  $G_{\text{NP}} = \gamma \text{SA} + \beta$ , in which  $\gamma = 0.00542 \text{ kcal/(mol Å}^2)$ ,  $\beta$  = 0.92 kcal/mol, and the surface area was estimated by means of the MSMS software.<sup>50</sup> The last parameter in Eq. 1, that is, the change in solute entropy upon association -TS<sub>solute</sub>, was calculated through normal-mode analysis. In the first step of this calculation, an 8-Å sphere around the ligand was cut out from an MD snapshot for each ligand-protein complex. This value was shown to be large enough to vield converged mean changes in solute entropy. On the basis of the size-reduced snapshots of the complex, we generated structures of the uncomplexed reactants by removing the atoms of the protein and ligand, respectively. Each of those structures was minimized, using a distance-dependent dielectric constant  $\varepsilon$  = 4*r*, to account for solvent screening, and its entropy was calculated using classical statistical formulas and normal mode-analysis. To minimize the effects due to different conformations adopted by individual snapshots we averaged the estimation of entropy over 50 snapshots.

### Acknowledgments

Financial support from Italian MIUR (FIRB RBNE01J3SK01) and BIOMEDICINE PROJECT is gratefully acknowledged. The authors thanks O. Gagliardo for performing the elemental analyses.

#### Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2009.05.020.

#### **References and notes**

- Tonelli, M.; Boido, V.; Canu, C.; Sparatore, A.; Sparatore, F.; Paneni, M. S.; Fermeglia, M.; Pricl, S.; La Colla, P.; Casula, L.; Ibba, C.; Collu, D.; Loddo, R. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2008, 16, 8447.
- 2. Elslager, E. F.; Worth, D. F. J. Med. Chem. 1963, 6, 444.
- Werbel, L. M.; Elslager, E. F.; Fisher, M. V.; Gavrilis, Z. B.; Phillips, A. A. J. Med. Chem. 1968, 11, 411.
- 4. Vazzana, I.; Sparatore, F.; Fadda, G.; Manca, C. Farmaco 1993, 48, 737.
- 5. Novelli, F.; Sparatore, F. Farmaco 2002, 57, 871. and references cited therein.
- Barbieri, F.; Sparatore, A.; Alama, A.; Novelli, F.; Bruzzo, C.; Sparatore, F. Oncol. Res. 2003, 13.
- 7. Sparatore, F.; Sommovigo, P. G.; Boido, V. Boll. Chim. Farm. 1974, 113, 219.
- Gesher, J. A.; Hickman, J. A.; Simmonds, R. G.; Stevens, M. F. G.; Vaughan, K. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1981, 30, 89.
- 9. Prous, J.; Graul, A.; Castañer, J. Drugs Fut. 1994, 19, 746.
- Dumont-Hornebeck, B.; Strube, Y. N.; Vasilescu, D.; Jean-Claude, B. J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2000, 10, 2325.
- Matheson, S. L.; Mc Namee, J.; Jean-Claude, B. J. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 2003, 51, 11.
- 12. Manolov, J.; Machulla, H.-J.; Momekov, G. Pharmazie 2006, 61, 6.
- Stevens, M. F. G.; Phillips, K. S.; Rathbone, D. L.; O' Shea, D. M.; Queener, S. F.; Schwalbe, C. H.; Lambert, P. A. J. Med. Chem. **1997**, 40, 1886.
- 14. Yamada, Y.; Saito, J.; Kudamatsu, A.; Katsumata, O. Ger. Offen. 2147259, 1972; *Chem. Abstr.* **1972**, 77, 5145a.
- Borowski, P.; Deinert, J.; Schalinski, S.; Bretner, M.; Ginalski, K.; Kulikowski, T.; Shugar, D. Eur. J. Biochem. 2003, 270, 1645.
- Wu, C.-Y.; King, K.-J.; Kuo, C.-J.; Fang, J.-M.; Wu, J.-T.; Ho, M.-Y.; Liao, C.-L.; Shie, J.-J.; Liang, P.-H.; Wong, C.-H. *Chem. Biol* **2006**, *13*, 261.
- Tonelli, M.; Paglietti, G.; Boido, V.; Sparatore, F.; Marongiu, F.; Marongiu, E.; La Colla, P.; Loddo, R. Chem. Biodiversity 2008, 5, 2386.
- 18. Boido, V.; Sparatore, F. Farmaco Ed. Sci. 1974, 29, 526.
- 19. Meanwell, N. A.; Krystal, M. Drugs Fut. 2007, 32, 441. and references cited therein.
- Henderson, E. A.; Alber, D. G.; Baxter, R. C.; Bithell, S. K.; Budworth, J.; Carter, M. C.; Chub, A.; Cockerill, G. S.; Dowdell, V. C. L.; Fraser, I. J.; Harris, R. A.; Keegan, S. J.; Kelsey, R. D.; Lumley, J. A.; Stables, J. N.; Weerasekera, N.; Willson, L. J.; Powell, K. L. J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 1685.
- 21. Houe, H. Biologicals 2003, 31, 137.
- 22. Buckwold, V. E.; Beer, B. E.; Donis, R. O. Antiviral Res. 2003, 60, 1.
- Paeshuyse, J.; Leyssen, P.; Mabery, E.; Boddeker, N.; Vrancken, R.; Froeyen, M.; Ansari, I. H.; Dutartre, H.; Rozenski, J.; Gil, L. H. V. G.; Letellier, C.; Lanford, R.; Canard, B.; Koenen, F.; Kerkhofs, P.; Donis, R. O.; Herdewijn, P.; Watson, J.; De Clercq, E.; Puerstinger, G.; Neyts, J. J. Virol. 2006, 80, 149.
- Baginski, S. G.; Pevar, D. C.; Seipel, M.; Sun, S. C.; Benetatos, C. A.; Chunduru, S. K.; Rice, C. M.; Collett, M. S. Proc. Natl. Acd. Sci. U.S.A. 2000, 97, 7981.
- King, R. W.; Scarnati, H. T.; Priestley, E. S.; De Lucca, I.; Bansal, A.; Williams, J. K. Antivir. Chem. Chemother. 2002, 13, 315.
- Sun, J. H.; Lemm, J. A.; O'Boyle, D. R., II; Racela, J.; Colonno, R.; Gao, M. J. Virol. 2003, 77, 6753.
- Tabarrini, O.; Manfroni, G.; Fravolini, A.; Cecchetti, V.; Sabatini, S.; De Clercq, E.; Rozenski, J.; Canard, B.; Dutartre, H.; Paeshuyse, J.; Neyts, J. *J. Med. Chem.* 2006, 49, 2621.
- Bressanelli, S.; Tomei, L.; Roussel, A.; Incitti, I.; Vitale, R. L.; Mathieu, M.; De Francesco, R.; Rey, F. A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1999, 96, 13034.
- Ago, H.; Adachi, T.; Yoshida, A.; Yamamoto, M.; Habuka, N.; Yatsunami, K.; Miyano, M. Structure 1999, 7, 1417.
- Lesburg, C. A.; Cable, M. B.; Ferrari, E.; Hong, Z.; Mannarino, A.; Weber, P. C. Nat. Struct. Biol. 1999, 6, 937.
- Choi, K. H.; Groarke, J. M.; Young, D. C.; Kuhn, R. J.; Smith, J. L.; Pevear, D. C.; Rossmann, M. G. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2004, 101, 4425.
- 32. Choi, K. H.; Gallei, A.; Becher, P.; Rossmann, M. G. Structure 2006, 14, 1107.
- Carta, A.; Loriga, M.; Paglietti, G.; Ferrone, M.; Ferrmeglia, M.; Pricl, S.; Sanna, T.; Ibba, C.; La Colla, P.; Loddo, R. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2007, 15, 1914.
- Mazzei, M.; Nieddu, E.; Miele, M.; Balbi, A.; Ferrone, M.; Ferrmeglia, M.; Mazzei, M. T.; Pricl, S.; La Colla, P.; Marongiu, F.; Ibba, C.; Loddo, R. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2008, 16, 2591.
- Lai, V. C.; Kao, C. C.; Ferrari, E.; Park, J.; Uss, A. S.; Wright-Minogue, J.; Hong, Z.; Lau, J. Y. J. Virol. 1999, 73, 10129.
- Dimitrova, M.; Imbert, I.; Kieny, M. P.; Schuster, C. J. Virol. 2003, 77, 5401.

- Piccininni, S.; Varaklioti, A.; Lardelli, M.; Dave, B.; Raney, D. A.; McCarthy, J. E. J. Biol. Chem. 2002, 277, 45670.
- Pauwels, R.; Balzarini, J.; Baba, M.; Snoeck, R.; Schols, D.; Herdewijn, P.; Desmyter, J.; De Clercq, E. J. Virol. Meth. 1988, 20, 309.
- Case, D. A.; Darden, T. A.; Cheatham, T. E., III; Simmerling, C. L.; Wang, J.; Duke, R. E.; Luo, R.; Merz. K. M.; Pearlman, D. A.; Crowley, M.; Walker, R. C.; Zhang, W.; Wang, B.; Hayik, S.; Roitberg, A.; Seabra, G; Wong, K. F.; Paesani, F.; Wu, X.; Brozell, S.; Tsui, V.; Gohlke, H.; Yang, L.; Tan, C.; Mongan, J.; Hornak, V.; Cui, G.; Beroza, P.; Mathews, D. H.; Schafmeister, C.; Ross, W. S.; Kollman, P. A., AMBER 9, University of California, San Francisco, CA USA, 2006.
- 40. Wang, J.; Cieplak, P.; Kollman, P. A. J. Comput. Chem. 2000, 21, 1049.
- 41. Feig, M.; Onufriev, A.; Lee, M.; Im, W.; Case, D. A.; Brooks, C. L., III J. Comput. Chem. 2004, 25, 265.

- 42. Bayly, C. I.; Cieplak, P.; Cornell, W. D.; Kollman, P. A. J. Phys. Chem. **1993**, 97, 10269.
- 43. Besler, B. H.; Merz, K. M.; Kollman, P. A. J. Comput. Chem. 1990, 11, 431.
- 44. Morris, G. M.; Goodsell, D. S.; Halliday, R. S.; Huey, R.; Hart, W. E.; Belew, R. K.; Olson, A. J. *J. Comput. Chem.* **1998**, *19*, 1639.
- 45. Mehler, E. L.; Solmajer, T. Protein Eng. **1991**, 4, 903.
- Jorgensen, W. L.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Madura, J. D.; Impey, R. W.; Klein, M. L. J. Chem. Phys. 1983, 79, 926.
- Berendsen, H. J. C.; Postma, J. P. M.; Van Gunsteren, W. F.; DiNola, A.; Haak, J. R. J. Chem. Phys. **1984**, 81, 3684.
- 48. Srinivasan, J.; Cheatham, T. E.; Cieplak, P.; Kollman, P. A.; Case, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, *120*, 9401.
- 49. Gilson, M. K.; Sharp, K. A.; Honig, B. H. J. Comput. Chem. 1988, 9, 327.
- 50. Sanner, M. F.; Olson, A. J.; Spehner, J. C. Biopolymers 1996, 38, 305.