Main Manuscript for

Evolutionary adaptation under climate change: *Aedes* sp. demonstrates potential to adapt to warming

Authors: Lisa I. Couper ^{1,2}, Tristram O. Dodge ¹, James A. Hemker ¹, Bernard Y. Kim ¹, Moi Exposito-Alonso ³, Rachel B. Brem ⁴, Erin A. Mordecai ¹, Mark C. Bitter ¹

Authors: Lisa I. Couper ^{1,2}, Tristram O. Dodge ¹, James A. Hemker ¹, Bernard Y. Kim ¹, Moi Exposito-Alonso ^{3,4}, Rachel B. Brem ⁵, Erin A. Mordecai ¹, Mark C. Bitter ¹

Affiliations:

- 1. Stanford University, Department of Biology
- 2. University of California, Berkeley, Division of Environmental Health Sciences
- 3. University of California, Berkeley, Department of Integrative Biology
- 4. Howard Hughes Medical Institute
- 5. University of California, Berkeley, Department of Plant & Microbial Biology

Corresponding author: Lisa Couper Email: lcouper@alumni.stanford.edu

Author contributions: L.I.C, E.A.M., and M.C.B. designed research; L.I.C. conducted experiments, L.I.C, T.O.D, J.A.H., B.Y.K, and M.C.B. conducted analyses, L.I.C., M.E.A., R.B.B., E.A.M., and M.C.B. interpreted data and findings; L.I.C., M.C.B, and E.A.M. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and revised the manuscript.

Competing Interest Statement: authors declare no competing interest.

Classification: Biological Sciences; Evolution

Keywords: evolutionary adaptation, climate warming, mosquito, mosquito-borne disease, Aedes

Data availability

All R scripts, bioinformatic scripts, and intermediate and final analysis files are available in an external data repository hosted on GitHub: <u>https://github.com/lcouper/MosquitoThermalSelection</u>

This PDF file includes:

Main Text Figures 1 to 4

Abstract

Climate warming is expected to shift the distributions of mosquitoes and mosquito-borne diseases, facilitating expansions at cool range edges and contractions at warm edges. However, whether mosquito populations could maintain their warm range edges through evolutionary adaptation remains unknown. Here, we investigate the potential for thermal adaptation in *Aedes sierrensis*, a congener of the major disease vector species that experiences large thermal gradients in its native range, by assaying tolerance to prolonged and acute heat exposure, and their genetic basis in a diverse, field-derived population. We found pervasive evidence of heritable genetic variation in acute heat tolerance, which phenotypically trades off with tolerance to prolonged heat exposure. A simple evolutionary model based on our data shows that, under most scenarios, the estimated maximum rate of evolutionary adaptation in mosquito heat tolerance exceeds that of projected climate warming. Our findings indicate that natural mosquito populations likely have the potential to track projected warming via genetic adaptation. Prior climate-based projections may thus underestimate the range of mosquito and mosquito-borne disease distributions under future climate conditions.

Significance Statement

Global change may have profound impacts on the distribution of mosquito-borne diseases, which collectively cause nearly one million deaths each year. Accurately predicting these impacts is critical for disease control preparedness, and will depend, in part, on whether mosquitoes can adapt to warming—a key open question. Using experimental and genomic data from a relative of major vector species that already experiences a wide thermal gradient, we find that natural mosquito populations have high levels of genetically-based variation in heat tolerance that could enable adaptation on pace with warming. Incorporating the potential for adaptive responses may therefore be necessary for accurate predictions of mosquito-borne disease distributions under warming, which is critical for preparing mosquito control interventions.

Main Text

Introduction

Climate warming is expected to alter the global distributions of mosquitoes that transmit pathogens, disrupting existing vector control measures and changing the landscape of disease risk (1, 2). Mosquito species ranges are constrained by their thermal limits, which dictate the suitable temperatures over which they can survive, develop, and reproduce. Consequently, mosquito ranges are predicted to shift with warming, expanding polewards and towards higher altitudes as temperatures become newly suitable at current cool range edges, and contracting at current warm edges as temperatures become newly prohibitive (3-5). For mosquitoes that transmit diseases that collectively cause nearly one million deaths annually, including dengue and malaria (6), this process is already underway, as warming-related range expansions have been observed for several species of Anopheles (7–9), Aedes (10, 11), and Culex (12, 13) mosquitoes. However, most mosquito and vector-borne disease models project that mosquito distributions will also contract at warm edges as temperatures begin to exceed their upper physiological limits. Whether such warming-driven contractions will actually occur, or whether evolutionary adaptation may enable populations to maintain their warmer range edges as temperatures increase, is unknown (14-16). Determining thermal adaptive potential for mosquito species will both augment our understanding of species responses to climate change and inform management strategies for controlling disease spread as climate change progresses.

To persist near current warm edges, mosquitoes may need to rapidly adapt to temperatures beyond their current upper thermal limits. Several common properties of mosquitoes indicate that rapid adaptation is feasible, including short generation times, large population sizes, and steep declines in fitness above their thermal optima (reviewed in (15)). However, the extent of variation and heritability in heat tolerance-fundamental components of thermal adaptive potential—remain poorly understood for most mosquito species. Several prior studies have found phenotypic variation in heat tolerance for populations of Aedes (17), Anopheles (18), Culex (19-22), and Wyeomyia (23) species when assessed under constant temperature exposures in the lab. While this phenotypic variation putatively reflects heritable variation for thermal tolerance as the studies typically controlled for direct environmental effects (*i.e.*, by using common garden experimental designs), the genomic basis and extent of genetic variation in heat tolerance were not directly investigated. Further, while prior studies have investigated mosquito thermal performance for several life history traits (e.g., larval development rates, pupal survival, adult lifespan)(17-19, 21, 24), the extent to which thermal tolerance at juvenile stages is predictive of tolerance at later developmental stages, and whether such crossstage tolerances are genetically correlated, remains unknown. Finally, several additional studies have found strong direct evidence for heritable variation in response to acute heat shock in adult Ae. aegypti (24, 25), but the underlying mechanisms and genetic basis of this variation were not identified.

The pace at which mosquitos adaptively track warming temperatures may in large part hinge upon the underlying genetic architecture of thermal tolerance, including the number of independent loci underpinning phenotypic variation in tolerance and the distribution of these loci throughout the genome (26, 27). Across a diverse range of taxa, traits involved in climate adaptation typically exhibit a polygenic basis, whereby hundreds to thousands of genes underpin adaptive phenotypes (28–33). These adaptive loci have often been shown to cluster within chromosomal inversions, a form of structural mutation in which segments of DNA are broken off and become reattached in the reverse orientation. Suppressed recombination within inversion breakpoints can then facilitate the co-segregation of adaptive alleles and augment their spread within populations (34, 35). In *Anopheles* spp., inversions have been found to underscore adaptive traits including desiccation resistance, larval thermal tolerance, insecticide resistance, host preference, and ecotype formation (36–42). Inversions have also been found to be abundant in *Aedes* spp., however their role in climate adaptation remains largely unknown (43–45). Overall, the underlying genetic architecture of heat tolerance, including the role of inversions, remains poorly understood for most mosquito species, hindering efforts to predict the capacity for adaptive evolution on pace with climate warming.

Here, we investigated the potential for evolutionary adaptation in heat tolerance using the western tree hole mosquito, *Aedes sierrensis*, as a model system. In addition to being a major pest species and vector of canine heartworm in western North America, as well as a congener of the major human disease vector species (*i.e., Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*), *Ae. sierrensis* is abundant, distributed across a wide climate gradient (ranging from Baja California to British Columbia)(46), and easy to identify, sample, and manipulate in the lab. Leveraging these properties, we sought to answer the following specific research questions: (i) How much standing variation in heat tolerance exists in natural mosquito populations? (ii) How does prolonged heat exposure at the larval stage impact acute heat tolerance at the adult stage? (iii) What is the genetic architecture of these short- and long-term heat tolerance traits? (iv) Could standing variation in thermal tolerance enable natural populations to adapt on pace with climate warming, altering projections of future range shifts?

To answer these questions, we conducted a thermal selection experiment in which we reared a genetically diverse starting population, derived from the center of the Ae. sierrensis range, at high (30°C) or control (22°C) temperatures. Using surviving individuals from both temperature conditions, we assaved acute heat tolerance using a thermal knockdown assay, and conducted a genome-wide association analysis of acute and prolonged heat tolerance. We found large phenotypic variation in acute heat tolerance within the study population, and a putative trade-off in heat tolerance to prolonged versus acute exposure, whereby individuals reared under high temperatures during larval development had significantly lower acute heat tolerance as adults. Our genomic analysis revealed a polygenic architecture of both heat tolerance traits, and a putative role of chromosomal inversions underpinning thermal adaptation within the species. Lastly, using parameter estimates derived from our experimental and genomic data, we estimated that the maximum rate of evolutionary adaptation in mosquito heat tolerance exceeds that of projected climate warming under most scenarios. This finding suggests that natural populations may harbor the potential to adapt on pace with warming, and that prior climate-based projections that do not incorporate adaptation may underestimate the ranges of mosquitoes and mosquitoborne disease transmission under future climate conditions.

Results

Extent of variation in acute heat tolerance

Our thermal selection experiment was conducted on a large, diverse starting population of Ae. sierrensis collected from tree hole habitats across Solano County. CA (mean $\pi = 0.0015$; see Supplemental Figures S1-S2 and Supplemental Table S4 for additional population diversity metrics). From this starting population, we found large individual-level variation in acute heat tolerance. Specifically, we reared field-collected individuals at common temperatures (22°C) for two generations, then implemented a selection experiment design in which F₃ larvae were randomly distributed into a series of replicated control (22°C) and high temperature (30°C) treatments, approximately capturing the mean and maximum daily stemperatures, respectively, for this population (n = 790 total control larvae, 1,943 heat-selected larvae; see Supplemental Table S1 for sample numbers per experimental round)(17). Surviving individuals from both treatments were returned to control conditions (22°C) at pupation and reared to adulthood. These temperature conditions generated substantial differences in larval survival between treatments: survival dropped from 57.8% in the control group to 24.2% in the heat-selected group (across all experimental rounds, see Supplemental Table S1 for rates per round). Although individuals from both groups experienced the same temperature as pupae (22°C), individuals in the heat-selected group retained lower survival rates at this life stage than the control group (74.3% and 93.2%) pupal to adult survival, respectively). This resulted in overall survival rates from larvae to adulthood of 18.0% in the heat-selected group and 53.5% in the control group. Using all individuals that survived to adulthood from either treatment (n = 122 control, 105 heat-selected individuals), we conducted a thermal knockdown assay-the time to loss of motor function in a warm water bath-a frequently used proxy for acute heat tolerance whereby longer knockdown times indicate greater heat tolerance (24, 25, 47, 48). Our results indicate large individual-level variation in acute heat tolerance (Figure 2), with adults from the control group ranging in knockdown times from 32.7 to 67.6 minutes (median: 48.8 minutes) and those from the heatselected group ranging from 19.8 to 64.8 minutes (median: 46.2 minutes)(t = 2.65, p < 0.01; difference between groups discussed further below). The variance in knockdown times was marginally larger for the heat-selected group (83.8 and 76.9 for males and females, respectively) than the control group (56.9, 55.7) (F = 0.071, p = 0.06 for both sexes combined; F = 0.68, p = 0.15 for males only; F = 0.72, p = 0.23 for females only).

Impact of prolonged larval heat exposure on acute adult heat tolerance

We found that mosquitoes that underwent heat-selection as larvae had significantly shorter knockdown times as adults than the control group (LMM, t = -2.15, p = 0.03; Figure 2A). Specifically, heat-selected larvae knocked down, on average, 3.6 minutes earlier than control larvae (46.1 ± 8.9, 49.7 ± 7.4 minutes for heat-selected and control larvae, respectively). To identify potential mechanisms underlying variation in acute heat tolerance, we measured the wing length—a validated proxy for overall mosquito body size—of each individual used in the thermal knockdown assay (49). We found that adults that underwent heat-selection as larvae had significantly smaller wing lengths than those from the control group (LMM, t = -16.21, p < 0.001, Figure 2B). Specifically, the average wing length for the heat-selected group was 0.31 mm smaller than the control group (2.51 ± 0.19 and 2.86 ± 0.30mm, respectively; Supplemental Table S2). However, while wing lengths differed between treatment groups, wing length itself was not a significant predictor of knockdown time (LMM, t = -1.17 p = 0.24; Supplemental Table S3). Wing lengths also varied significantly by sex (LMM, t = -22.26, p < 0.001, Figure 2), with the average female wing length being 0.47 mm larger than that of males (2.96 ± 0.25 and 2.49 ± 0.15 mm, respectively; Supplemental Table S2).

Genomic architecture of prolonged and acute heat tolerance

We conducted whole genome sequencing on all 227 adult *Ae. sierrensis* adults used in the experiment, obtaining an average of 95 million reads and a sequencing depth of ~10X per individual (see Supplemental Tables S4-S5 for per-sample summary statistics). We aligned these reads to our de novo *Ae. sierrensis* reference genome assembly (1.183 Gb; available at NCBI BioProject ID: PRJNA1119052 upon manuscript acceptance), and, after quality control and variant filtering, identified 583,889 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) segregating in our study population. We leveraged these polymorphic sites to identify genomic regions associated with prolonged and acute heat tolerance. For prolonged heat tolerance, we used an F_{ST} outlier approach to identify SNPs with elevated differentiation between control and heat-selected groups and, in addition, a case-control genome-wide association (GWA) between control and heat-selected individuals (see Methods: *Identifying genetic variants associated with heat tolerance*). We leveraged the overlap in these approaches to more robustly identify genes underpinning differences in survival between treatments. For acute heat tolerance, we implemented a standard genome-wide association (GWA) analysis using adult knockdown time as the dependent variable.

We identified hundreds of candidate SNPs, distributed across all three chromosomes, associated with thermal tolerance. Specifically, we identified 351 and 113 outlier SNPs associated with prolonged larval heat tolerance via the F_{st} outlier (q < 0.05 and $F_{st} \ge 0.05$) and case-control GWA (FDR-corrected p < 0.01), respectively (Figure 3A, Supplemental Figure S3). Both approaches produced clusters of significant SNPs in distinct regions of chromosome one, two, and three (Figure 3A, Supplemental Figure S3), putatively indicating a set of tightly linked genes, or larger structural variants, driving the signal in these regions. The GWA of knockdown time yielded 120 candidate SNPs, but with a much more diffuse distribution across the genome (Figure 3B). As expected, across all candidate SNPs, we quantified systematic allele frequency differences between treatment groups (Figure 3D-E). That is, we found significantly larger differences in candidate SNP frequency between control and heat-selected individuals, or between individuals with high (upper 50% of phenotypic distribution) and low (bottom 50%) knockdown times, relative to a set of matched controls (see Methods: Estimating allele frequency shifts)(Figure 3D-E. Supplemental Figure S4). Together, these findings indicate that heat tolerance—to both prolonged and acute heat stress—results from allele frequency shifts at SNPs located throughout the genome, ultimately suggesting that polygenic adaptation may underpin population persistence under warming.

The large chromosomal regions enriched in SNPs associated with prolonged thermal tolerance (Figure 3A) indicated that larger structural variants, or regions of co-adapted gene complexes, may underpin phenotypic variation in this trait. To investigate this, we used genomic data to assess whether chromosomal inversions, which are known to play a pronounced role in climate adaptation in ectotherms including mosquito species (37, 50), segregate in our study population and potentially differentiate individuals based on their thermal tolerance. Using consensus results from four short-read structural variant callers, we identified 444 inversions segregating in our focal populations (after filtering based on size between 1-200 Mb and frequency >5%). These were indeed enriched in the specific chromosomal regions where we observed a dramatic elevation in the number of SNPs associated with prolonged, larval heat tolerance (Figure 3A, Supplemental Methods). That is, 59% (n = 261) of the inversions occurred within these regions of interest, which span approximately 31% of the genome. We identified a total of nine inversions that were significantly differentiated in frequency between control and heat-selected individuals, five of which fell within these regions of interest (Figure 3A, Supplemental Figure S5, Supplemental Table S6). We further identified five inversions that were significantly differentiated between individuals from the top 25% and bottom 25% of knockdown times, after controlling for treatment and sex (Supplemental Table S6). While the patterns observed here, in combination with mounting research in congeners (37, 51, 52), suggests inversions may underpin climate adaptation in a range of mosquito species, inferences from short-read sequencing data are limited and future research leveraging long-read sequencing data to validate and resolve the particular inversions segregating and driving phenotypic differentiation is warranted.

We next generated a list of genes putatively underlying outlier SNP differentiation. Specifically, by identifying all genes within 50 kb of each focal SNP, we identified 311, 108, and 114 annotated genes for the aforementioned three approaches, respectively (*i.e.*, F_{st} and GWA between control and heat-selected individuals, and GWA on knockdown time) out of a total of 30,554 predicted genes across the genome (Supplemental Figure S6). We found that the number of overlapping candidate genes between the two approaches capturing prolonged heat tolerance (n = 13) was significantly greater than expected by chance (95% confidence interval from expectation: 1.39 - 1.59, p < 0.01)(Supplemental Figure S6), supporting the robustness of these two methods at capturing similar candidate genes from the same phenotypic data. These overlapping genes mapped to genes previously associated with heat or environmental stress responses in other ectotherm species including histone H3 (involved in heat shock memory (53, 54)), profilin (an actin-binding protein that may function as a molecular chaperone (55, 56)), and cytochrome P450 (involved in thermal stress responses in a wide range of taxa (57-59))(Supplemental Table S7). The number of overlapping genes between the prolonged and acute heat tolerance approaches (n = 3, 3) was also significantly greater than expected by chance (95% CI: 1.47 - 1.69, 0.47 - 0.59, p < 0.01 for both)(Supplemental Figure S6), potentially suggesting shared genetic pathways between prolonged and acute heat tolerance phenotypes.

Investigating potential to adapt on pace with warming

We investigated whether the level of standing variation in heat tolerance observed here could fuel adaptation on pace with climate warming using a simple evolutionary rescue model parameterized by our experimental data (note that this model did not incorporate phenotypic plasticity or impacts of concurrent abiotic/biotic stressors; see Methods: Estimating adaptive potential). Specifically, we estimated the maximum rate of evolutionary adaptation in prolonged larval heat tolerance, and compared this to recently observed and projected rates of increase in annual mean temperature (*i.e.*, RCP 4.5 and 6.0). We found that estimated rates of adaptation exceed rates of warming under nearly all values for model parameters considered here (maximum mosquito population growth rate (r_{max}) , selection strength, and heritability and phenotypic variation in larval heat tolerance) and climate warming scenarios (Figure 4). That is, the maximum estimated rate of evolutionary change derived from our experimental and genomic data (i.e., point estimates for selection strength, heritability, and phenotypic variation) and previously estimated rates of maximum mosquito population growth ranged from 0.032 - 0.049 °C/year (for rmax = 0.15 and 0.35, respectively), exceeding rates of current and projected change in mean temperatures (approximately 0.024 – 0.029 °C/year). This provides empirical support for the potential for evolutionary adaptation in this population in response to climate warming.

Discussion

Nearly all climate-based projections of future mosquito and mosquito-borne disease distributions assume that mosquitoes will migrate tracking their niche rather than evolving in response to temperature change (15, 24, 60). We examined the potential for thermal adaptation using a selection experiment conducted on the western tree hole mosquito, *Aedes sierrensis*, a congener of major disease vector species, *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus*. Our results suggest that the 'no evolution' assumption is likely invalid and could underestimate the future geographic ranges of mosquitoes under climate warming.

Large within-population variation in acute heat tolerance

We found a high level of standing phenotypic variation in heat tolerance within a single, fieldderived mosquito population, underpinned by several hundred genes and an abundance of chromosomal inversions. In particular, when exposed to acute high temperature stress, we found

that the time to loss of motor function (*i.e.*, 'knockdown time') varied from 32.7 to 67.6 minutes between individuals from a single starting population (here, the control group), despite having experienced the same thermal environment for the prior two generations (*i.e.*, 22°C). This variation in acute heat tolerance was even larger—ranging from 19.8 to 64.8 minutes—in individuals that were exposed to prolonged heat stress as larvae (*i.e.*, treatment group, 30°C). These findings match recent observations of large and heritable variation in acute heat tolerance in related *Aedes* species (24, 25). As genetically-based trait variation is critical for adapting to changing conditions (61, 62), our results suggest that *Ae. sierrensis* may harbor standing variation in heat tolerance that could enable adaptation to aspects of climate warming.

Prolonged heat exposure as larvae led to lower acute heat tolerance at the adult stage

Our selection experiment also revealed potential costs or trade-offs between prolonged heat exposure during rearing and acute heat tolerance in subsequent life stages. In particular, we found that individuals reared at 30°C ('heat-selected') as larvae had significantly lower acute heat tolerance as adults (as evidenced by quicker knockdown times) than those reared at 22°C ('control'). This finding appears to contrast with prior empirical and theoretical work in thermal biology finding that exposure to high temperatures at early life-stages leads to acclimation and higher heat tolerance in adulthood for ectotherms (63–70), including in related *Aedes* species (71). However, our result may be explained by well-supported mechanisms including variation in adult body size resulting from developmental temperature and/or an accumulation of thermal injury (discussed below).

We found substantial differences in adult body size based on rearing temperature, wherein individuals reared at 22°C had approximately 10% larger wing lengths than those at 30°C. This finding aligns with the temperature-size rule—one of the most consistently observed rules in biology, positing that ectotherms reared at cooler temperatures grow more slowly but achieve larger adult body sizes (72, 73)—and has previously been observed across mosquito species (74–77). Prior work on *Ae. sierrensis* specifically has shown that wing to body length ratios are consistent across populations, suggesting our use of wing length is a valid measure of body size (49). Larger adults, in turn, are typically able to endure longer durations of thermal stress due to slower rates of resource depletion and water loss under stress, and higher thermal inertia (36, 78–81). Accordingly, prior studies in ectotherms, including *Aedes*, have found that larger adult body sizes are associated with higher upper thermal limits, as measured by longer knockdown times (49, 80, 82–84). Our results suggest a link between these prior findings, with warmer developmental temperatures leading to smaller adult mosquito body sizes, which may in turn drive the observed reduction in acute heat tolerance (though we note that wing length alone was not a significant predictor of knockdown time in our experiment).

Our finding of lower acute heat tolerance following heat exposure during rearing could also be due to an accumulation of thermal injury, whereby physiological stress incurred during prolonged heat exposure at the juvenile life stage compromises acute responses to thermal stress at the adult life stage. In our experiment, survivorship differed markedly between treatments, with approximately 18% of heat-selected individuals surviving from larvae to adulthood compared to 54% of control individuals, suggesting that high temperature exposure during rearing caused differences in survival probability (and thus fitness) in our single, genetically-diverse, source population. Sublethal high temperature exposures are well known to reduce ectotherm trait performance (85-89), and the negative impacts of thermal stress may be cumulative (90, 91). Whether high temperature exposure results in thermal acclimation versus injury depends, in part, on the intensity and duration of heat experienced (81, 87, 90–95)—a finding that has led to varying estimates of upper thermal limits in ectotherms based on experimental methodology (e.g., static versus dynamic thermal knockdown assays). In our experiment, surviving individuals from the heat-selected group experienced several days at 30°C. a temperature that may be close to their upper thermal limits for larval survival as measured under constant temperatures (17). We transferred all surviving individuals to control temperatures (22°C) upon pupation, thereby providing several days for heat injury repair and recovery prior to

the knockdown assay. However, despite surviving prolonged heat exposure, individuals may have incurred heat damage that was irreparable and/or that required substantial energetic allocation to repair, a mechanism supported by the lower survival of pupae that experienced heat treatment as larvae. Differentiating between these potential mechanisms—body size and energetic reserve variation and thermal injury—which are non-mutually exclusive, will ultimately require rearing individuals across thermal environments for several generations (*e.g.*, (25)).

Polygenic architecture of prolonged and acute heat tolerance

Our investigation of the genomic architecture of thermal tolerance used a de novo chromosomelevel reference genome assembly for *Ae. sierrensis* (1.183 Gb, available at NCBI BioProject ID: PRJNA1119052 upon manuscript acceptance) and revealed a polygenic architecture for both prolonged and acute heat tolerance. That is, we identified hundreds of candidate single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) distributed across the *Ae. sierrensis* genome that were associated with surviving prolonged heat exposure or resisting acute thermal stress. These candidate SNPs were identified using both genomic differentiation (F_{ST}) and genome-wide association (GWA) approaches, and rigorous quality filtering to reduce false positives. Further, they displayed significantly larger differences in frequency between groups (*i.e.*, heat-selected versus control individuals or short versus long knockdown times) than did control SNPs of similar starting frequency and chromosomal position, strengthening inference of their association with thermal tolerance.

The genomic regions with an elevated signal of SNPs associated with thermal tolerance could indicate regions of selection in which structural genomic changes, such as chromosomal inversions, insertions, deletions, and/or duplications, are present. As inversions have previously been implicated in mosquito climate adaptation and pathogen infection susceptibility (37, 51, 102), we quantified their potential role in the genomic patterns of selection observed in our experiment. We found inversions to be putatively pervasive within the Ae. sierrensis genome, whereby we identified approximately 450 inversions between 1-200 Mb in length and at >5% frequency segregating in our focal populations. These were enriched in the specific chromosomal regions where we observed a dramatic elevation in the number of SNPs associated with larval heat tolerance (Figure 3A, Supplemental Methods). Further, five inversions within this region exhibited systematic frequency differences between control and heat-selected larvae, suggesting their role in mosquito heat stress responses. This is consistent with a large body of literatureincluding in Anopheles spp.—finding that inversions are an important mechanism of ecological adaptation as suppressed recombination between the inversion breakpoints can lead to coadapted gene complexes and/or preserved combinations of locally adapted alleles (34-42, 103, 104). In particular, the acquisition of inversions 2La and 2Rb through introgression from An. arabiensis is thought to have enabled An. gambiae to expand its ecological niche and become the dominant malaria vector in much of sub-Saharan Africa (38, 39, 105–107). Similarly, several chromosomal inversions in An. funestus—an additional key malaria vector in tropical Africawere found to underscore adaptation to an anthropogenic larval habitat (irrigated rice fields), enabling niche diversification that may challenge vector control efforts (37). Investigating the extent to which thermal tolerance interacts directly and indirectly with vector competence is an intriguing area of future research. In particular, whether warm-adapted genotypes are more or less susceptible to becoming competent vectors-a dynamic that could alter disease transmission patterns under warming-is unknown. Finally, we note that the short-read sequencing data used in this analysis is not ideal for calling structural variants (though inversions with systematic differences between treatments are unlikely to be technical artifacts), and the use of long-read sequencing technology and/or cytogenetic analysis to resolve the inversions segregating in our focal species is an exciting area of future research.

By assigning the candidate thermal tolerance SNPs to genes, we found several that mapped to genes previously associated with responses to heat or other environmental stressors in a range of ectotherms (Supplemental Table S7). In particular, genes associated with prolonged heat tolerance in our experiment included histone H3, previously implicated in heat shock

memory and enhanced survival under subsequent heat exposure (53, 54); profilin, an actinbinding protein that may function as a molecular chaperone and play an important role in the heat stress response (55, 56); and cytochrome P450, a class of proteins implicated in the thermal stress response in plants (57), corals (58), and insects (59). We also identified several genes that were associated with both prolonged and acute heat tolerance, exceeding expectations of genomic overlap due to chance (*i.e.*, 6 observed overlapping genes versus ~2 expected out of a total of 474 candidate genes). These overlapping genes mapped to genes previously found to be involved in DNA damage repair in ectotherms ('DNA repair endonuclease')(108–110), and sensory perception in *Ae. aegypti* ('dopamine receptor-1')(111) or *Ae. albopictus* ('sensory neuron membrane protein 2')(112). As the candidate genes identified here largely align with prior associations of abiotic stress resistance in other ectotherms, they may be valuable targets for future transcriptomic and functional studies to clarify their role in mosquito heat tolerance.

Potential to adapt on pace with warming

Our data suggest that natural mosquito populations may harbor the potential to adapt on pace with climate warming, and thus incorporating this adaptive potential is critical to accurately projecting the range of mosquitoes and other disease vectors under future climates. In particular, we parameterized a simple evolutionary model estimating the maximum potential rate of evolutionary change in larval thermal tolerance in comparison with expected rates of change in annual mean temperature. We found that, under most plausible parameter values, estimated rates of adaptation exceeded that of recently observed and projected rates of warming (i.e., RCP 4.5 and 6.0). This suggests that the warm edge limits of the species (and similar disease vector congeners) may not contract as quickly as assumed in most models, and the overall suitable range for the species may increase under global warming. We note that our evolutionary model did not incorporate the presence of daily and seasonal temperature variation, concurrent stressors in other abiotic or biotic factors (e.g., drought, resource availability, land use change, human insecticide applications), or phenotypic plasticity, which may alter rates of adaptive evolution (61, 99, 101, 113, 114). In particular, phenotypic plasticity may be a key mechanism of mosquito responses to warming, particularly to short-term thermal extremes (22, 25, 48, 115), and may trade off with basal heat tolerance (116–118). However, the extent of phenotypic plasticity in natural mosquito populations and its relationship to basal thermal tolerance and adaptive potential was not directly explored here and remain poorly understood. Another limitation of this modeling approach is that the strength of selection was simply estimated as the difference in survival between our experimentally-imposed temperature treatments. Therefore, it is not specific to the current temperature regimes or projected shift in temperatures that natural populations may experience. That is, natural populations currently experience temperature variation on multiple time scales and may experience future warming as gradual, punctuated, and/or accelerating changes in temperature over time, each of which may impose different strengths of selection which could be lesser or greater than our estimate (119-121). Lastly, our model considered only evolutionary adaptation in prolonged heat tolerance at the larval stage, which may have ecological trade-offs, and/or a distinct genetic underpinning from other heat tolerance traits. In general, evolutionary models such as that used here have rarely been validated in natural settings, thus we interpret these as results under idealized conditions that warrant further investigation under more ecologically realistic settings.

Despite these caveats, the evidence for climate adaptive potential presented here aligns with several prior studies finding high levels of phenotypic or genomic variation in heat tolerance in natural mosquito populations (24, 25, 39, 122), phenotypic shifts in heat tolerance over time (25), and rapid genomic shifts when invading novel climates (60). Collectively, these findings provide compelling evidence that evolutionary adaptation could enable mosquito populations to persist in regions where they are otherwise expected to decline. For example, projections based on fixed mosquito heat tolerance predict declines in *Aedes albopictus*-transmitted arboviruses in the tropics (5) and in *Anopheles gambiae*-transmitted malaria in western Africa (123) in coming decades. Our findings suggest that, if these species have similar levels of standing genetic

variation in thermal tolerance as our focal *Ae. sierrensis*, these predictions may underestimate the habitable range of mosquito species and mosquito-borne disease transmission under climate warming.

Materials and Methods

Source population

Larval *Ae. sierrensis* were collected by Solano County Mosquito Abatement District personnel from various tree holes in Vacaville, California in spring, 2019. Average temperatures in this region during early larval collection (roughly December – March) are approximately 10 - 14°C and average and maximum temperatures in the late spring are approximately 21 - 23°C and 31 - 33°C, respectively (124). Collected larvae were reared for two generations at 20 - 22°C at the Solano County Mosquito Abatement District to minimize direct environmental effects on thermal tolerance (*i.e.*, 'phenotypic plasticity') and maternal/cross-generational effects. Approximately 300 females from the F2 generation were blood-fed and produced eggs for use in the experiment. Prior to experimentation, eggs were transported to the Mordecai lab at Stanford University and maintained at 20 - 22°C and 6h/18h light/dark cycles to prevent diapause. Our focus on patterns of variation within a single population allowed the identification of trait variation to be minimally impacted by population substructure, which can drive spurious signals in association-based studies (125). We further selected our focal population to be from the center of the species range, and thus most likely harboring mutations present across the range and insensitive to idiosyncratic patterns of diversity that can accumulate at range edges (126).

Selection experiment set-up

The selection experiment began with Ae. sierrensis at the egg stage (Figure 1). Egg paper containing approximately 200 eggs each were hatched in plastic trays containing 1L boiled distilled water cooled to room temperature and 1 tablespoon larval food (4 parts high protein cat chow: 4 parts alfalfa pellets: 1 part nutritional yeast). All eggs were hatched at 22°C under 14h/10h light/dark cycles. Upon hatching, individual larvae were then designated to either the control or treatment group, which each consisted of four identical replicates (Figure 1). Individuals were randomly assigned to a replicate such that approximately 30% of larvae were designated to replicates in the control group and 70% to replicates the treatment ('heat-selected') group. This uneven assignment was due to expected reduced survivorship in the heat-selected groups, as observed during pilot experiments. Control group individuals were maintained at 22°C through to adulthood (approximately 18 days after hatching). Treatment group larvae were placed in incubators that were ramped from 25 to 30°C over the course of 3 days. This ramping period was used because prior pilot experiments in the lab found high larval mortality (>95%) when transferring 1st instar larvae directly from 22 to 30°C. To reduce accumulated thermal stress across the lifetime, treatment group larvae were transferred to the control temperature (22°C) upon pupation (approximately 14 days after hatching) and remained here through adulthood (approximately 4 days after pupation). Thus, our selection specifically aimed to target prolonged thermal tolerance at the larval stage, leading to genotype frequency differences between the control and heat-selected adults that reflect this early life-history selection event. Individuals from each replicate of the control and treatment groups were maintained in plastic cups and fed 1 teaspoon larval food every three days. Once reaching the adult life stage, individuals were transferred to breeding cages (BioQuip). The heat-tolerance assay was performed on adults that had eclosed 48-72 hours prior and had not been sugar of blood-fed. This procedure-from hatching to knockdown assay—was conducted three times to ensure our results were robust to minor variation in laboratory experimental conditions.

Heat-tolerance assay

We used a thermal knockdown assay to assess the upper heat tolerance of adult *Ae. sierrensis* from the control and treatment groups. This is a commonly used assay to measure upper thermal limits of arthropods, including mosquitoes, and has been shown to accurately predict insect species distributions in the field and to be a relevant proxy for fitness under heat stress (17, 24,

25, 47, 48, 127), (but note that methodological details such as the initial and final temperature conditions have varied between studies). We followed the thermal knockdown protocols of Mitchell *et al.* 2011 (128) and van Heerwaarden *et al.* 2016 (129). Specifically, adult *Ae. sierrensis* were placed in individual 5-mL plastic vials and immersed in a water bath set initially to 28°C. After a 15-minute acclimation period, the water bath temperature was increased to 38°C at a rate of 0.5°C per minute (130). Heat tolerance was scored as the 'knockdown time'—the time after immersion at which an individual loses muscle function and can no longer right itself from a dorsal position. This assay thus represents the acute thermal tolerance of all control individuals and individuals that had survived prolonged exposure to thermal stress at the larval stage. Immediately after knockdown, samples were placed in individual tubes and stored at -80°C. Thermal knockdown assays were performed on adults that had eclosed 48 - 72 hours prior and had not been sugar or blood fed. Assays were performed on eight adults at a time and the same observer performed all assays.

Body size estimates

To estimate the body size of each individual used in the experiment, we measured mosquito wing length—a commonly used proxy, including in *Aedes* spp. mosquitoes (131–136). The wing length-body size relationship has been validated in *Ae. sierrensis* specifically, and corroborated with measurements of thorax lengths, with no systematic differences identified in wing:thorax length ratios across temperatures (49). Prior to DNA extraction, we detached the left wing using fine forceps and attached it to a microscope calibration slide. We then took an image of the microscope field and used *ImageJ* (137) to measure the length of the wing as the distance from the alula to the wing tip, excluding the wing scales (Supplemental Figure S7)(138, 139). We repeated each measurement and herein report the average of these two measurements. We excluded any wings that were damaged during removal or the knockdown assay.

Statistical analysis on knockdown assay

To investigate variation in knockdown times by treatment, we used a linear mixed-effects model implemented using the 'Ime4' package in R (140). We used knockdown time as the outcome variable and treatment and sex as categorical fixed effects. To account for potential variation between biological replicates (as the experiment was repeated in three rounds) and knockdown assays, we included these as random intercepts in the model.

DNA extraction and sequencing

We extracted DNA from each individual using an AllPrep DNA/RNA kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), according to the manufacturer's protocol. We quantified the extracted DNA using a Qubit and assessed the quality using Nanodrop. As the Nanodrop results indicated low 260/230 ratios, we performed a 1.8x clean-up using Illumina Purification Beads. Following quantification, we proceeded with library preparation using approximately 25ng genomic DNA per sample. We prepared libraries using the Illumina DNA prep kit, following manufacturer's protocols. The libraries were pooled and sequenced as 150-bp paired-end reads on four lanes (58-59 samples / lane) of a NovaSeq 600 Illumina at the Stanford Genome Sequencing Service Center. Summary statistics for each sample are available in Supplemental Tables S4-S5.

Reference genome assembly

We assembled a de novo reference genome for *Aedes sierrensis* to facilitate genomic analysis in the absence of a previously available reference for this species (available at NCBI BioProject ID: PRJNA1119052 upon manuscript acceptance)(Supplemental Methods). Briefly, we selected a single adult female *Ae. sierrensis* that was field-collected from Eugene, OR for PacBio HiFi sequencing. After high molecular weight genomic DNA was extracted, two libraries were prepared from this sample—a Low and Ultra-Low DNA library—each using the SMRTbell

Express Prep kit and following manufacturer's protocols. Each library was loaded onto a separate 8M SMRT Cell and sequenced on a Sequel II System at the University of Oregon Genomics and Cell Characterization Core Facility.

We then assembled the genome using default parameters in Hifiasm v 0.16—a haplotype resolved assembler optimized for PacBio HiFi reads (Cheng *et al.* 2021). Evaluating the assembly for missing or duplicated genes indicated a high level of completeness (97.1%) and duplication rates on par with that of recent de novo assemblies in other mosquito species (Supplemental Methods)(141–143). We used the *Aedes aegypti* Aaeg L5 genome (NCBI BioProject ID: PRJNA940745) to scaffold the draft assembly into chromosomes using RagTag (144), and found that 96% (1.139 Gb) of our assembly scaffolded to this Aaeg L5 genome.

Genome annotation

We first identified and masked repetitive elements in our reference genome assembly using RepeatModeler v2.0.1 with a custom repeat library (145) and RepeatMasker 4.1.6. (146). We then annotated the genome for protein-coding genes using *BRAKER2*—a fully automated pipeline that uses the tools *GENEMARK-ES/ET* (147) and *AUGUSTUS* (148) for gene structure prediction (149). Specifically, we conducted *ab initio* gene prediction in BRAKER2 v 2.1.6, using the genome file only (*i.e.*, without additional evidence from RNA-Seq or protein data, as these are unavailable) and a minimum contig length of 10,000.

Read trimming and variant calling

Raw reads were first quality filtered and trimmed using *Trimmomatic* V0.39 (150) with the following parameters: ILLUMINACLIP:TruSeq3-PE.fa:2:30:10 LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 MINLEN:35 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15. We then aligned these reads to the scaffolded reference genome using *BWA-MEM* v0.7.12, with default parameters (151). We marked and removed duplicate reads using *picard* v2.0.1. We then identified single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in our samples using *bcftools* v1.18 (152) and filtered variants using *vcftools* v0.1.16 (153) with the following parameters: minor allele frequency of 0.05, minimum depth of 10x, minimum average quality of 40, and a maximum variant missing of 0.995. We then filtered out any SNPs with multiple alleles using *bcftools*, keeping only bi-allelic polymorphisms. This retained 3,564,483 SNPs. As large linkage blocks have been found in related *Aedes* species (154), we filtered for linkage disequilibrium (LD) in this SNP set using an LD-based SNP pruning algorithm in *plink* v1.9 (155). Specifically, we used a sliding window of 50 SNPs, a window shift increment of 5 SNPs, and variance inflation factor (VIF) of 1.5, which corresponds to an R² of 0.3 for the focal SNP regressed against all other SNPs in the window (156, 157). This yielded 583,889 independent SNPs that were retained for downstream analysis.

Population diversity metrics

To estimate the genetic diversity of our starting population, we calculated the individual-level heterozygosity and population-level nucleotide diversity (π) in 10 kb windows using *vcftools* v0.1.16 (153). We estimated these metrics using only the control individuals that survived to adulthood, as representative of the population prior to heat selection.

Identifying genetic variants associated with heat tolerance

To investigate the genetic basis of heat tolerance, we used a combination of principal components analysis (PCA)(Supplemental Figures S8-S9), statistical tests of genomic differentiation (F_{ST}), and genome-wide association (GWA) approaches. We first visualized overall genomic variation through PCA on the allele frequency matrix (after centering and scaling) using the *prcomp* function in R. We used these PCA visualizations to briefly explore whether there was a dominant signal of treatment or sex, as well as experimental round, as a quality control

measure (Supplemental Figure S8). We then detected candidate SNPs underlying heat tolerance using the following approaches: 1) genomic differentiation (F_{ST}) between control and heatselected individuals, 2) a case-control GWA analysis between control and heat-selected individuals, and 3) GWA using knockdown time as the phenotype. Approaches one and two are aimed at identifying genetic variants associated with tolerance to prolonged heat exposure during development. We adopted two independent but complementary approaches in order to compare SNPs identified under the varying assumptions and approaches of each method and to ultimately refine the candidate SNP list (*i.e.*, SNPs identified by both approaches are less likely to be false positives). Approach three is designed to detect variants associated with acute heat tolerance at the adult life stage using a standard GWA approach (*i.e.*, regression of continuous trait value on genotype status). Approach one (F_{ST}-based approach), was implemented using R package OutFLANK v0.2, which calculates F_{ST} at each SNP using the Weir and Cockerham method (158) then identifies SNPs that deviate from an inferred neutral F_{st} distribution (159). We considered SNPs below a q-value threshold of 0.05 and with F_{st} > 0.05 as candidate SNPs putatively underlying heat tolerance. Our second approach to identify SNPs associated with prolonged, larval thermal tolerance was a modified GWA, whereby the 'phenotype' used in the regression was the treatment of the sequenced individual. We implemented a logistic regression and included sex as a covariate to control for sex-specific variation in mosquito heat tolerance (Andersen et al. 2006), using plink v1.9 (155). Finally, we conducted a standard GWA for adult, acute thermal tolerance using knockdown time as the phenotype, including sex as a covariate as above, and additionally including heat selection treatment and wing length as covariates to account for effects of larval rearing temperatures and body size on acute adult heat tolerance (71)(also conducted using plink v1.9). To correct for residual linkage disequilibrium in both GWAbased approaches, we performed an LD-based 'clumping' procedure, wherein SNP-based results from the association analyses are grouped based on estimates of LD between SNPs. We implemented this procedure in *plink* using default parameters (*i.e.*, 0.0001 significance threshold for the focal SNP, 0.01 significance threshold for clumped SNPs, 0.50 R2 threshold for clumping, and a 250 kb window for clumping). We defined candidate SNPs as those with p < 0.01 after Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) correction.

To identify the genes associated with these candidate SNPs, we assigned SNPs to genes based on their position and the BRAKER gene annotation. For SNPs that did not fall within a BRAKER-annotated gene, we assigned it to the closest gene if this was within 50 kb, otherwise we removed it from downstream analysis on candidate gene overlap and function.

Using the candidate gene list from each approach, we then investigated the genomic basis of prolonged versus acute heat exposure. Specifically, we compared the genes identified through F_{ST} or GWA on control and heat-selected individuals (*i.e.*, representing prolonged heat exposure), and through GWA on knockdown time (*i.e.*, representing acute heat exposure). Next, we sought to determine whether the number of shared genes identified by these approaches was more or less than that expected by chance, to identify candidate genes identified by multiple independent approaches, and determine whether or not the pathways related to heat tolerance between life-stages (and at long-term vs acute scales) were similar. To do so, we developed a null distribution of gene overlap by drawing random samples from the available gene set wherein, for each focal gene, we selected a random gene that was a) on the same chromosome and b) within one standard deviation of gene length. We did this for the focal genes identified through each approach, then determined the number of overlapping genes in each of 500 iterations to generate a null distribution of gene overlap. If the true overlap between genes identified in each approaches was greater than the expectation based on this null distribution, we inferred that the approaches were identifying shared pathways.

Lastly, to identify the putative biological function of focal genes identified herein, we mapped the gene sequences to annotated transcriptomes of related *Aedes* species (*i.e.*, *Ae. albopictus*, NCBI accession: GCF_006496715.1; *Ae.aegypti*, NCBI accession: GCF_002204515.2) using BLASTN. In the case of multiple hits for a given sequence, we used the result with the lowest E-value and highest Max score.

Investigating structural variation

We used four short-read structural variant callers, *Manta* v1.6.0, *Delly2* v1.2.6, *Lumpy* (via *smoove* v0.2.8), and *GRIDSS2* v2.13.2, to identify inversions in each of our samples (160–163). *GRIDSS2* was run with the flag --skipsoftcliprealignment, while the other three callers were run with default settings. For each sample, the sets of variants from each of the four callers were merged together with *Jasmine* v1.1.5, requiring support from at least two callers to keep a variant in the final set for that sample (using the min_support=2 argument)(164). Finally, variant sets from all samples were merged into a single, population-level VCF with *Jasmine*. In both instances, *Jasmine* was run with the arguments spec_reads=8, spec_len=35, --dup-to-ins --mark-specific, and --normalize-type. To minimize spurious detection (*e.g.*, due to misalignment of transposable elements or repetitive sequences), the full set of variants across samples was filtered to only include inversions of size 1 to 200 Mb and frequency >5% in the population (n=444) with *bcftools* v1.17 and custom bash scripts.

To identify inversions that may be associated with heat tolerance, we compared the frequency of each inversion between either the control and heat-selected individuals or between individuals from the top 25% and bottom 25% of knockdown times (controlling for treatment and sex) using a Chi-squared test. We then compared the observed Chi-squared test statistic to that obtained when comparing inversion frequency after randomly shuffling the group labels (n = 500 permutations). We considered inversions as significantly differentiated between groups if their observed Chi-squared statistic was significantly greater than expected from the permutations at p<0.05 after Bonferroni adjustment for multiple testing. Lastly, to compare the genomic position of inversions and candidate SNPs, we investigated whether inversions occurred in specific chromosomal regions in which we observed an elevated number of SNPs associated with larval heat tolerance (Figure 3A, Supplemental Figure S5). These regions of interest were explicitly defined based on SNP signals within sliding windows following methods in Rudman et al. 2022 (165)(Supplemental Methods).

Estimating allele frequency shifts

For candidate loci underlying differences between control and heat-selected individuals, we investigated shifts in allele frequencies between these groups, relative to a set of matched controls. For each focal SNP, we generated a random set of 10 matched control SNPs with the following criteria: presence on the same chromosome, +/- 2.5% baseline allele frequency (*i.e.*, allele frequency in the control individuals), and at least 100 kb away from the focal SNP (to account for linkage disequilibrium). We then compared differences in the distribution of allele frequency shifts in the focal SNPs relative to their matched controls using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test, and compared shifts in allele frequency based on starting median allele frequency (MAF)(Supplemental Figure S4). We repeated this process to investigate allele frequency differences between individuals with high (upper 50% of phenotypic distribution) or low (bottom 50%) knockdown times relative to their treatment and sex. Herein, for each focal SNP identified from the GWA on knockdown time, we generated a set of 10 matched controls based on presence on the same chromosome and at least 100 kbps separation from the focal SNP.

Estimating adaptive potential

To investigate whether the standing variation in thermal tolerance observed here may enable adaptation to climate warming, we used an evolutionary rescue model framework (15, 61, 62). These models compare the maximum potential rate of evolutionary change for a population to the projected rate of environmental change. If evolutionary rates exceed that of environmental change, populations may persist through evolutionary adaptation (114, 166–170). Evolutionary rescue models have provided useful estimates of climate adaptive potential across a variety of taxa (171–174). However, there are few examples of their validation in natural settings, thus we pose this analysis as a means of estimating adaptive potential under idealized conditions that

warrant further investigation under more ecologically realistic settings, rather than an attempt to estimate a precise rate of warming to which mosquito populations may adapt. Here, we specifically consider the rate of evolutionary change in the thermal tolerance of larval survival and compare it to observed and projected rates of change in annual mean temperatures. We use the analytic, quantitative-genetic formulation of the evolutionary rescue model below, based on Lynch and Lande 1993 and Chevin *et al.* 2010 (61, 62)(see Supplemental Methods for derivation).

$$\eta_{c} = \sqrt{\frac{2 r_{max} \gamma}{T}} \times h^{2} \sigma_{p}^{2}$$
 [Eqn. 1]

Here, η_c is the maximum rate of environmental change under which the population could persist (which is equivalent to the maximum rate of adaptive evolution), r_{max} is the maximum rate of population growth under optimal conditions, γ is the strength of selection, T is the generation time, h^2 is the heritability of the trait, and σ_p^2 is the phenotypic variance. We note that this formulation does not incorporate phenotypic plasticity, which could modify the strength of selection and rate of change in the trait under warming (61).

We parameterized the model using estimates from our experimental and genomic results, and prior estimates of maximum mosquito population growth rate and Ae. sierrensis generation time (Supplemental Table S8). Namely, prior studies have estimated rmax for Ae. aegypti, An. gambiae, and Cx. pipiens as 0.24 - 0.335, 0.187, 0.379, respectively, based on laboratory experiments that varied larval competition and/or temperature (15, 175, 176). As r_{max} for mosquito populations in natural settings remains largely unknown, we estimate adaptive potential over a range of r_{max} from 0.15 - 0.35, based on these prior estimates. We estimated the strength of selection, γ , as the difference in larval survival between treatments (*i.e.*, = 1 - (survival in heatselected group / survival in control group)(177) across all experimental rounds collectively (γ = 0.578), as well as each individual experimental round ($\gamma = 0.463, 0.606, 0.590$). An important limitation in our parameterization of γ is that it was not estimated under the same temperature conditions as expected under warming. That is, we estimated by comparing larval survival at 22° and 30°C—a temperature differential that may be larger than that experienced by natural populations in coming decades, causing us to overestimate selection strength (though this estimate may also be an underestimate during extreme heat events when temperatures far exceed expected mean shifts). For this population, 22°C and 30°C approximately denote the mean and maximum daily temperatures in the spring (the period of larval development)(17), indicating the selective regime we imposed is likely to be biologically realistic. However, in the absence of theoretical or experimental approaches to estimate y under environmental conditions that are changing continuously and non-linearly with respect to time, we use the estimate of γ made here and interpret our results cautiously. To estimate heritability and phenotypic variance. we used GCTA—a tool developed to estimate these parameters for complex traits based on genome-wide SNPs (178). First, the pairwise genetic relatedness between all individuals is estimated based on all SNPs. As the GCTA method relies heavily on linkage disequilibrium between SNPs, and can overestimate heritability under certain LD scenarios, we performed this step using the SNP list both before and after LD-pruning (see Methods: Read trimming and variant calling). The resulting genetic relationship matrix is then used to estimate the variance in the larval heat tolerance phenotype explained by the SNPs using restricted maximum likelihood. Herein, all SNPs are used, rather than solely those identified as focal SNPs from F_{st} or GWA approaches, to avoid overestimating effect sizes (i.e., the 'Winner's Curse' issue in genetic association studies)(163). We included sex as a covariate in this estimation to control for any sexspecific differences in survival. Using these parameter estimates (Supplemental Table S8), we estimate the maximum rate of evolutionary change, and compare it to changes in annual mean temperature as observed in recent years (~ 0.027°C per year since 1981)(164), and as projected in coming decades under representative concentration pathways (RCP) 4.5 and 6.0 (~0.024 and 0.029°C per year, respectively)(181).

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge Bret Barner from Solano County Mosquito Abatement District, Kristen Holt from Marina/Sonoma Mosquito and Vector Control, and Angie Nakano from San Mateo County Mosquito and Vector Control District for assisting with larval sampling and optimizing mosquito rearing protocols. We thank Kelsey Lyberger and Johannah Farner for assisting with mosquito rearing in the Mordecai lab as well as Dmitri Petrov, Molly Schumer, and members of the Moi lab for providing insights that helped shape the analytical approaches herein. This work was supported by the Philippe Cohen Graduate Fellowship, the Pacific Southwest Center of Excellence in Vector-Borne Diseases Training Grant, the Stanford Center for Computational, Evolutionary and Human Genomic Sequencing, and the NSF graduate research fellowship awarded to TOD. EAM was supported by NSF grant DEB-2011147 with Fogarty International Center, NIH grants R35GM133439, R01AI168097, and R01AI102918, and seed grants from the Stanford Doerr School of Sustainability, Woods Institute for the Environment, King Center on Global Development, and Center for Innovation in Global Health.

References

- 1. A. M. Kilpatrick, S. E. Randolph, Drivers, dynamics, and control of emerging vector-borne zoonotic diseases. *The Lancet* **380**, 1946–1955 (2012).
- 2. J. N. Mills, K. L. Gage, A. S. Khan, Potential Influence of Climate Change on Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases: A Review and Proposed Research Plan. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **118**, 1507–1514 (2010).
- 3. M. A. Kulkarni, C. Duguay, K. Ost, Charting the evidence for climate change impacts on the global spread of malaria and dengue and adaptive responses: a scoping review of reviews. *Globalization and Health* **18**, 1 (2022).
- S. J. Ryan, Mapping Thermal Physiology of Vector-Borne Diseases in a Changing Climate: Shifts in Geographic and Demographic Risk of Suitability. *Curr Envir Health Rpt* 7, 415–423 (2020).
- S. J. Ryan, C. J. Carlson, E. A. Mordecai, L. R. Johnson, Global expansion and redistribution of Aedes-borne virus transmission risk with climate change. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 13, e0007213 (2019).
- 6. World Health Organization, "Vector-borne disease" (2020).
- 7. C. J. Carlson, E. Bannon, E. Mendenhall, T. Newfield, S. Bansal, Rapid range shifts in African Anopheles mosquitoes over the last century. *Biology Letters* **19** (2023).
- 8. M. A. Kulkarni, *et al.*, 10 Years of Environmental Change on the Slopes of Mount Kilimanjaro and Its Associated Shift in Malaria Vector Distributions. *Frontiers in Public Health* **4** (2016).
- 9. L. L. Pinault, F. F. Hunter, New highland distribution records of multiple Anopheles species in the Ecuadorian Andes. *Malar J* **10**, 236 (2011).
- 10. M. Equihua, *et al.*, Establishment of Aedes aegypti (L.) in mountainous regions in Mexico: Increasing number of population at risk of mosquito-borne disease and future climate conditions. *Acta Tropica* **166**, 316–327 (2017).
- 11. M. U. G. Kraemer, *et al.*, Past and future spread of the arbovirus vectors Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus. *Nat Microbiol* **4**, 854–863 (2019).
- J. Kasper, B. Tomotani, A. Hovius, M. McIntyre, M. Musicante, Changing distributions of the cosmopolitan mosquito species Culex quinquefasciatus Say and endemic Cx. pervigilans Bergroth (Diptera: Culicidae) in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Zoology 0, 1–19 (2022).
- 13. D. Roth, *et al.*, West Nile Virus Range Expansion into British Columbia. *Emerg Infect Dis* **16**, 1251–1258 (2010).
- 14. R. F. Andriamifidy, N. B. Tjaden, C. Beierkuhnlein, S. M. Thomas, Do we know how mosquito disease vectors will respond to climate change? *Emerg Top Life Sci* **3**, 115–132 (2019).
- 15. L. I. Couper, *et al.*, How will mosquitoes adapt to climate warming? *eLife* **10**, e69630 (2021).
- 16. L. H. V. Franklinos, K. E. Jones, D. W. Redding, I. Abubakar, The effect of global change on mosquito-borne disease. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* **19**, e302–e312 (2019).
- 17. L. I. Couper, J. E. Farner, K. P. Lyberger, A. S. Lee, E. A. Mordecai, Mosquito thermal tolerance is remarkably constrained across a large climatic range. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **291** (2024).
- 18. V. M. Chu, *et al.*, Regional variation in life history traits and plastic responses to temperature of the major malaria vector Nyssorhynchus darlingi in Brazil. *Scientific Reports* **9**, 5356 (2019).
- B. L. Dodson, L. D. Kramer, J. L. Rasgon, Effects of larval rearing temperature on immature development and West Nile virus vector competence of Culex tarsalis. *Parasites* & Vectors 5, 199 (2012).
- W. Reisen, Effect of Temperature on Culex tarsalis (Diptera: Culicidae) from the Coachella and San Joaquin Valleys of California. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 32, 636–645 (1995).

- 21. J. E. Ruybal, L. D. Kramer, A. M. Kilpatrick, Geographic variation in the response of Culex pipiens life history traits to temperature. *Parasites & Vectors* **9**, 116 (2016).
- 22. A. S. Vorhees, E. M. Gray, T. J. Bradley, Thermal Resistance and Performance Correlate with Climate in Populations of a Widespread Mosquito. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* **86**, 73–81 (2013).
- 23. P. A. Zani, *et al.*, Geographic Variation in Tolerance of Transient Thermal Stress in the Mosquito Wyeomyia Smithii. *Ecology* **86**, 1206–1211 (2005).
- 24. N. L. Dennington, *et al.*, Phenotypic adaptation to temperature in the mosquito vector, Aedes aegypti. *Global Change Biology* **30**, e17041 (2024).
- 25. F. Ware-Gilmore, M. Novelo, C. M. Sgrò, M. D. Hall, E. A. McGraw, Assessing the role of family level variation and heat shock gene expression in the thermal stress response of the mosquito Aedes aegypti. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **378**, 20220011 (2023).
- 26. D. E. Terasaki Hart, I. J. Wang, Genomic architecture controls multivariate adaptation to climate change. *Global Change Biology* **30**, e17179 (2024).
- 27. M. Yamamichi, How does genetic architecture affect eco-evolutionary dynamics? A theoretical perspective. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **377**, 20200504 (2022).
- L.-J. Ma, *et al.*, Rapid and Repeated Climate Adaptation Involving Chromosome Inversions following Invasion of an Insect. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **41**, msae044 (2024).
- 29. N. Barghi, J. Hermisson, C. Schlötterer, Polygenic adaptation: a unifying framework to understand positive selection. *Nat Rev Genet* **21**, 769–781 (2020).
- 30. E. R. Hager, *et al.*, A chromosomal inversion contributes to divergence in multiple traits between deer mouse ecotypes. *Science* **377**, 399–405 (2022).
- 31. N. H. Rose, R. A. Bay, M. K. Morikawa, S. R. Palumbi, Polygenic evolution drives species divergence and climate adaptation in corals. *Evolution* **72**, 82–94 (2018).
- K. Csilléry, A. Rodríguez-Verdugo, C. Rellstab, F. Guillaume, Detecting the genomic signal of polygenic adaptation and the role of epistasis in evolution. *Molecular Ecology* 27, 606– 612 (2018).
- 33. T. M. Healy, R. S. Brennan, A. Whitehead, P. M. Schulte, Tolerance traits related to climate change resilience are independent and polygenic. *Global Change Biology* **24**, 5348–5360 (2018).
- 34. A. A. Hoffmann, C. M. Sgrò, A. R. Weeks, Chromosomal inversion polymorphisms and adaptation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **19**, 482–488 (2004).
- 35. M. Wellenreuther, L. Bernatchez, Eco-Evolutionary Genomics of Chromosomal Inversions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **33**, 427–440 (2018).
- C. Fouet, E. Gray, N. J. Besansky, C. Costantini, Adaptation to Aridity in the Malaria Mosquito Anopheles gambiae: Chromosomal Inversion Polymorphism and Body Size Influence Resistance to Desiccation. *PLOS ONE* 7, e34841 (2012).
- 37. S. T. Small, *et al.*, Standing genetic variation and chromosome differences drove rapid ecotype formation in a major malaria mosquito. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **120**, e2219835120 (2023).
- 38. D. Ayala, A. Ullastres, J. González, Adaptation through chromosomal inversions in Anopheles. *Front. Genet.* **5** (2014).
- M. Coluzzi, A. Sabatini, V. Petrarca, M. A. Di Deco, Chromosomal differentiation and adaptation to human environments in the Anopheles gambiae complex. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 73, 483–497 (1979).
- M. Coluzzi, A. Sabatini, A. della Torre, M. A. Di Deco, V. Petrarca, A Polytene Chromosome Analysis of the Anopheles gambiae Species Complex. *Science* 298, 1415– 1418 (2002).
- 41. B. J. White, *et al.*, Localization of Candidate Regions Maintaining a Common Polymorphic Inversion (2La) in Anopheles gambiae. *PLOS Genetics* **3**, e217 (2007).
- 42. E. M. Gray, K. A. Rocca, C. Costantini, N. J. Besansky, Inversion 2La is associated with enhanced desiccation resistance in Anopheles gambiae. *Malar J* **8**, 215 (2009).

- K. L. Bennett, W. O. McMillan, J. R. Loaiza, The genomic signal of local environmental adaptation in Aedes aegypti mosquitoes. *Evolutionary Applications* 14, 1301–1313 (2021).
- 44. S. A. Bernhardt, C. Blair, M. Sylla, C. Bosio, W. C. Black IV, Evidence of multiple chromosomal inversions in Aedes aegypti formosus from Senegal. *Insect Molecular Biology* **18**, 557–569 (2009).
- 45. S. N. Redmond, *et al.*, Linked-read sequencing identifies abundant microinversions and introgression in the arboviral vector Aedes aegypti. *BMC Biol* **18**, 26 (2020).
- 46. R. F. Darsie, R. A. Ward, Identification and geographical distribution of the mosquitoes of north america, north of mexico. *Mosquito Systematics* 327 (1981).
- 47. F. Ware-Gilmore, *et al.*, Microbes increase thermal sensitivity in the mosquito Aedes aegypti, with the potential to change disease distributions. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **15**, e0009548 (2021).
- 48. B. F. Oliveira, W. I. G. Yogo, D. A. Hahn, J. Yongxing, B. R. Scheffers, Community-wide seasonal shifts in thermal tolerances of mosquitoes. *Ecology* **102**, e03368 (2021).
- K. Lyberger, J. E. Farner, L. Couper, E. A. Mordecai, Plasticity in mosquito size and thermal tolerance across a latitudinal climate gradient. *Journal of Animal Ecology* n/a (2024).
- 50. A. A. Hoffmann, L. H. Rieseberg, Revisiting the Impact of Inversions in Evolution: From Population Genetic Markers to Drivers of Adaptive Shifts and Speciation? *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **39**, 21–42 (2008).
- 51. C. Cheng, *et al.*, Ecological Genomics of Anopheles gambiae Along a Latitudinal Cline: A Population-Resequencing Approach. *Genetics* **190**, 1417–1432 (2012).
- C. Cheng, J. C. Tan, M. W. Hahn, N. J. Besansky, Systems genetic analysis of inversion polymorphisms in the malaria mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **115** (2018).
- 53. L. Pratx, P. Wendering, C. Kappel, Z. Nikoloski, I. Bäurle, Histone retention preserves epigenetic marks during heat stress-induced transcriptional memory in plants. *The EMBO Journal* **42**, e113595 (2023).
- 54. A. Pajoro, E. Severing, G. C. Angenent, R. G. H. Immink, Histone H3 lysine 36 methylation affects temperature-induced alternative splicing and flowering in plants. *Genome Biology* **18**, 102 (2017).
- 55. T. Fan, R. Wang, Y. Xiang, L. An, S. Cao, Heat stress induces actin cytoskeletal reorganization and transcript profiles of vegetative profilins and actin depolymerizing factors (ADFs) in Arabidopsis. *Acta Physiol Plant* **38**, 37 (2016).
- 56. H. Son, *et al.*, Functional Characterization of an Arabidopsis Profilin Protein as a Molecular Chaperone under Heat Shock Stress. *Molecules* **27**, 5771 (2022).
- 57. B. A. Pandian, R. Sathishraj, M. Djanaguiraman, P. V. V. Prasad, M. Jugulam, Role of Cytochrome P450 Enzymes in Plant Stress Response. *Antioxidants* **9**, 454 (2020).
- N. N. Rosic, M. Pernice, S. Dunn, S. Dove, O. Hoegh-Guldberg, Differential Regulation by Heat Stress of Novel Cytochrome P450 Genes from the Dinoflagellate Symbionts of Reef-Building Corals. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **76** (2010).
- 59. X. Shen, W. Liu, F. Wan, Z. Lv, J. Guo, The Role of Cytochrome P450 4C1 and Carbonic Anhydrase 3 in Response to Temperature Stress in Bemisia tabaci. *Insects* **12**, 1071 (2021).
- 60. A. Egizi, N. H. Fefferman, D. M. Fonseca, Evidence that implicit assumptions of 'no evolution' of disease vectors in changing environments can be violated on a rapid timescale. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **370**, 20140136 (2015).
- 61. L.-M. Chevin, R. Lande, G. M. Mace, Adaptation, Plasticity, and Extinction in a Changing Environment: Towards a Predictive Theory. *PLoS Biol* **8** (2010).
- 62. M. Lynch, R. Lande, Evolution and extinction in response to environ mental change. *Biotic Interactions and Global Change* 234–250 (1993).
- 63. K. Bowler, Acclimation, heat shock and hardening. *Journal of Thermal Biology* **30**, 125–130 (2005).

- 64. A. R. Gunderson, M. E. Dillon, J. H. Stillman, Estimating the benefits of plasticity in ectotherm heat tolerance under natural thermal variability. *Functional Ecology* **31**, 1529–1539 (2017).
- 65. A. R. Gunderson, J. H. Stillman, Plasticity in thermal tolerance has limited potential to buffer ectotherms from global warming. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **282**, 20150401 (2015).
- 66. T. M. Healy, A. K. Bock, R. S. Burton, Variation in developmental temperature alters adulthood plasticity of thermal tolerance in Tigriopus californicus. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **222**, jeb213405 (2019).
- S. A. Morley, L. S. Peck, J. Sunday, S. Heiser, A. E. Bates, Physiological acclimation and persistence of ectothermic species under extreme heat events - Morley - 2019 - Global Ecology and Biogeography - Wiley Online Library. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* (2019).
- 68. N. E. Moyen, R. L. Crane, G. N. Somero, M. W. Denny, A single heat-stress bout induces rapid and prolonged heat acclimation in the California mussel, Mytilus californianus. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* (2020). https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.2561.
- 69. N. E. Moyen, G. N. Somero, M. W. Denny, Effects of heat acclimation on cardiac function in the intertidal mussel *Mytilus californianus* : can laboratory-based indices predict survival in the field? *Journal of Experimental Biology* **225**, jeb243050 (2022).
- 70. P. Pottier, *et al.*, Developmental plasticity in thermal tolerance: Ontogenetic variation, persistence, and future directions. *Ecology Letters* **25**, 2245–2268 (2022).
- 71. H. I. Sasmita, W.-C. Tu, L.-J. Bong, K.-B. Neoh, Effects of larval diets and temperature regimes on life history traits, energy reserves and temperature tolerance of male Aedes aegypti (Diptera: Culicidae): optimizing rearing techniques for the sterile insect programmes. *Parasites & Vectors* **12**, 578 (2019).
- 72. M. J. Angilletta, T. D. Steury, M. W. Sears, Temperature, Growth Rate, and Body Size in Ectotherms: Fitting Pieces of a Life-History Puzzle. *Integr Comp Biol* **44**, 498–509 (2004).
- 73. D. Atkinson, Temperature and organism size-A biological law for ectotherms? Advances in *Ecological Research* **25** (1994).
- 74. J. S. Barr, *et al.*, Temperature and age, individually and interactively, shape the size, weight, and body composition of adult female mosquitoes. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **148**, 104525 (2023).
- 75. C. C. Murdock, M. V. Evans, T. D. McClanahan, K. L. Miazgowicz, B. Tesla, Fine-scale variation in microclimate across an urban landscape shapes variation in mosquito population dynamics and the potential of Aedes albopictus to transmit arboviral disease. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **11**, e0005640 (2017).
- 76. W. K. Reisen, M. M. Milby, M. E. Bock, The effects of immature stress on selected events in the life history of Culex tarsalis. *Mosquito News* **44**, 385–395 (1984).
- 77. C. J. Westbrook, M. H. Reiskind, K. N. Pesko, K. E. Greene, L. P. Lounibos, Larval Environmental Temperature and the Susceptibility of Aedes albopictus Skuse (Diptera: Culicidae) to Chikungunya Virus. *Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases* **10** (2010).
- A. G. Gibbs, A. K. Louie, J. A. Ayala, Effects of Temperature on Cuticular Lipids and Water Balance in a Desert Drosophila: is Thermal Acclimation Beneficial. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 201, 71–80 (1998).
- L. S. Peck, M. S. Clark, S. A. Morley, A. Massey, H. Rossetti, Animal temperature limits and ecological relevance: effects of size, activity and rates of change. *Functional Ecology* 23, 248–256 (2009).
- 80. I. Peralta-Maraver, E. L. Rezende, Heat tolerance in ectotherms scales predictably with body size. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **11**, 58–63 (2021).
- 81. E. L. Rezende, L. E. Castañeda, M. Santos, Tolerance landscapes in thermal ecology. *Functional Ecology* **28**, 799–809 (2014).
- 82. A. A. Hoffmann, S. L. Chown, S. Clusella-Trullas, Upper thermal limits in terrestrial ectotherms: how constrained are they? *Functional Ecology* **27**, 934–949 (2013).

- 83. T. J. K. Strang, A review of published temperatures for the control of pest insects in museums. *Collection Forum* **8**, 41–67 (1992).
- 84. J. M. Sunday, A. E. Bates, N. K. Dulvy, Global analysis of thermal tolerance and latitude in ectotherms. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **278**, 1823–1830 (2010).
- 85. M. E. Feder, G. E. Hofmann, HEAT-SHOCK PROTEINS, MOLECULAR CHAPERONES, AND THE STRESS RESPONSE: Evolutionary and Ecological Physiology. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **61**, 243–282 (1999).
- D. González-Tokman, *et al.*, Insect responses to heat: physiological mechanisms, evolution and ecological implications in a warming world. *Biological Reviews* 95, 802–821 (2020).
- 87. J. G. Kingsolver, H. A. Woods, Beyond Thermal Performance Curves: Modeling Time-Dependent Effects of Thermal Stress on Ectotherm Growth Rates. *The American Naturalist* **187**, 283–294 (2016).
- 88. L. Tomanek, Variation in the heat shock response and its implication for predicting the effect of global climate change on species' biogeographical distribution ranges and metabolic costs. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **213**, 971–979 (2010).
- 89. J. G. Sørensen, T. N. Kristensen, V. Loeschcke, The evolutionary and ecological role of heat shock proteins. *Ecology Letters* **6**, 1025–1037 (2003).
- 90. L. B. Jørgensen, H. Malte, M. Ørsted, N. A. Klahn, J. Overgaard, A unifying model to estimate thermal tolerance limits in ectotherms across static, dynamic and fluctuating exposures to thermal stress. *Sci Rep* **11**, 12840 (2021).
- 91. L. S. Peck, S. A. Morley, J. Richard, M. S. Clark, Acclimation and thermal tolerance in Antarctic marine ectotherms. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **217**, 16–22 (2014).
- 92. H. Colinet, B. J. Sinclair, P. Vernon, D. Renault, Insects in Fluctuating Thermal Environments. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **60**, 123–140 (2015).
- 93. B. van Heerwaarden, C. Sgrò, V. M. Kellermann, Threshold shifts and developmental temperature impact trade-offs between tolerance and plasticity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **291**, 20232700 (2024).
- 94. C.-S. Ma, G. Ma, S. Pincebourde, Survive a Warming Climate: Insect Responses to Extreme High Temperatures. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **66**, 163–184 (2021).
- 95. M. Ørsted, L. B. Jørgensen, J. Overgaard, Finding the right thermal limit: a framework to reconcile ecological, physiological and methodological aspects of CTmax in ectotherms. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **225**, jeb244514 (2022).
- A. E. Bates, S. A. Morley, Interpreting empirical estimates of experimentally derived physiological and biological thermal limits in ectotherms. *Can. J. Zool.* 98, 237–244 (2020).
- 97. S. Clusella-Trullas, T. M. Blackburn, S. L. Chown, Climatic Predictors of Temperature Performance Curve Parameters in Ectotherms Imply Complex Responses to Climate Change. *The American Naturalist* **177**, 738–751 (2011).
- 98. A. Kovacevic, G. Latombe, S. L. Chown, Rate dynamics of ectotherm responses to thermal stress. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* **286**, 20190174 (2019).
- 99. M. Santos, L. E. Castañeda, E. L. Rezende, Making sense of heat tolerance estimates in ectotherms: lessons from Drosophila. *Functional Ecology* **25**, 1169–1180 (2011).
- 100. C. M. Sgrò, *et al.*, A comprehensive assessment of geographic variation in heat tolerance and hardening capacity in populations of Drosophila melanogaster from eastern Australia. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **23**, 2484–2493 (2010).
- 101. J. S. Terblanche, J. A. Deere, S. Clusella-Trullas, C. Janion, S. L. Chown, Critical thermal limits depend on methodological context. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* **274**, 2935–2943 (2007).
- 102. M. M. Riehle, *et al.*, The Anopheles gambiae 2La chromosome inversion is associated with susceptibility to Plasmodium falciparum in Africa. *eLife* **6**, e25813 (2017).
- 103. R. Faria, K. Johannesson, R. K. Butlin, A. M. Westram, Evolving Inversions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **34**, 239–248 (2019).
- 104. M. Kirkpatrick, N. Barton, Chromosome Inversions, Local Adaptation and Speciation. *Genetics* **173**, 419–434 (2006).

- A. della Torre, L. Merzagora, J. R. Powell, M. Coluzzi, Selective Introgression of Paracentric Inversions Between Two Sibling Species of the Anopheles gambiae Complex. *Genetics* 146, 239–244 (1997).
- 106. N. J. Besansky, *et al.*, Semipermeable species boundaries between Anopheles gambiae and Anopheles arabiensis: Evidence from multilocus DNA sequence variation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **100**, 10818–10823 (2003).
- 107. F. J. Ayala, M. Coluzzi, Chromosome speciation: Humans, Drosophila, and mosquitoes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **102**, 6535–6542 (2005).
- 108. M. L. Sottile, S. B. Nadin, Heat shock proteins and DNA repair mechanisms: an updated overview. *Cell Stress and Chaperones* **23**, 303–315 (2018).
- V. Ly, *et al.*, Interactions between Arabidopsis DNA repair genes UVH6, DDB1A, and DDB2 during abiotic stress tolerance and floral development. *Plant Science* 213, 88–97 (2013).
- 110. M. B. S. Mota, M. A. Carvalho, A. N. A. Monteiro, R. D. Mesquita, DNA damage response and repair in perspective: Aedes aegypti, Drosophila melanogaster and Homo sapiens. *Parasites Vectors* **12**, 533 (2019).
- 111. C. Vinauger, *et al.*, Visual-Olfactory Integration in the Human Disease Vector Mosquito Aedes aegypti. *Current Biology* **29**, 2509-2516.e5 (2019).
- 112. L. M. Gomulski, *et al.*, Transcriptional variation of sensory-related genes in natural populations of Aedes albopictus. *BMC Genomics* **21**, 547 (2020).
- 113. H. Padmanabha, C. C. Lord, L. P. Lounibos, Temperature induces trade-offs between development and starvation resistance in Aedes aegypti (L.) larvae. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* **25**, 445–453 (2011).
- 114. A. A. Hoffmann, C. M. Sgrò, Climate change and evolutionary adaptation. *Nature* **470**, 479–485 (2011).
- D. D. Chadee, R. Martinez, Aedes aegypti (L.) in Latin American and Caribbean region: With growing evidence for vector adaptation to climate change? *Acta Tropica* 156, 137– 143 (2016).
- 116. J. H. Stillman, Acclimation Capacity Underlies Susceptibility to Climate Change. *Science* **301**, 65–65 (2003).
- 117. B. Van Heerwaarden, V. Kellermann, Does Plasticity Trade Off With Basal Heat Tolerance? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **35**, 874–885 (2020).
- 118. J. M. Barley, *et al.*, Limited plasticity in thermally tolerant ectotherm populations: evidence for a trade-off. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **288**, 20210765 (2021).
- 119. J. G. Kingsolver, L. B. Buckley, Evolution of plasticity and adaptive responses to climate change along climate gradients. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **284**, 20170386 (2017).
- 120. S. Salinas, S. E. Irvine, C. L. Schertzing, S. Q. Golden, S. B. Munch, Trait variation in extreme thermal environments under constant and fluctuating temperatures. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **374**, 20180177 (2019).
- 121. A. Husby, M. E. Visser, L. E. B. Kruuk, Speeding Up Microevolution: The Effects of Increasing Temperature on Selection and Genetic Variance in a Wild Bird Population. *PLOS Biology* **9**, e1000585 (2011).
- 122. F. Simard, *et al.*, Ecological niche partitioning between Anopheles gambiae molecular forms in Cameroon: the ecological side of speciation. *BMC Ecology* **9**, 17 (2009).
- 123. E. A. Mordecai, S. J. Ryan, J. M. Caldwell, M. M. Shah, A. D. LaBeaud, Climate change could shift disease burden from malaria to arboviruses in Africa. *The Lancet Planetary Health* **4**, e416–e423 (2020).
- 124. ECMWF, European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts. Deposited 2023.
- 125. J. J. Berg, *et al.*, Reduced signal for polygenic adaptation of height in UK Biobank. *eLife* **8**, e39725 (2019).
- 126. D. C. Hardie, J. A. Hutchings, Evolutionary ecology at the extremes of species' ranges. *Environ. Rev.* **18**, 1–20 (2010).

- 127. J. G. Sørensen, J. Dahlgaard, V. Loeschcke, Genetic variation in thermal tolerance among natural populations of Drosophila buzzatii: down regulation of Hsp70 expression and variation in heat stress resistance traits. *Functional Ecology* **15**, 289–296 (2001).
- K. A. Mitchell, C. M. Sgrò, A. A. Hoffmann, Phenotypic plasticity in upper thermal limits is weakly related to Drosophila species distributions: Plasticity is weakly related to Drosophila distributions. *Functional Ecology* 25, 661–670 (2011).
- 129. B. van Heerwaarden, V. Kellermann, C. M. Sgrò, Limited scope for plasticity to increase upper thermal limits. *Functional Ecology* 1947–1956 (2016).
- L. B. Jørgensen, H. Malte, J. Overgaard, How to assess Drosophila heat tolerance: Unifying static and dynamic tolerance assays to predict heat distribution limits. *Functional Ecology* 33, 629–642 (2019).
- M. Carlassara, *et al.*, Population-specific responses to developmental temperature in the arboviral vector Aedes albopictus: Implications for climate change. *Global Change Biology* 30, e17226 (2024).
- 132. L. L. Robert, J. K. Olson, Effects of sublethal dosages of insecticides on Culex quinquefasciatus. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc* **5**, 239–246 (1989).
- 133. B. Ameneshewa, M. W. Service, The relationship between female body size and survival rate of the malaria vector Anopheles arabiensis in Ethiopia. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* **10**, 170–172 (1996).
- E. O. Lyimo, W. Takken, Effects of adult body size on fecundity and the pre-gravid rate of Anopheles gambiae females in Tanzania. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 7, 328–332 (1993).
- 135. R. S. Nasci, Relationship of Wing Length to Adult Dry Weight in Several Mosquito Species (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology* **27**, 716–719 (1990).
- 136. E. Van Handel, J. F. Day, Correlation between wing length and protein content of mosquitoes. *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association* **5** (1989).
- 137. M. D. Abramoff, P. J. Magalhães, S. J. Ram, Image processing with ImageJ. *Biophotonics international* **11**, 36–42 (2004).
- 138. D. L. Huestis, *et al.*, Variation in metabolic rate of Anopheles gambiae and A. arabiensis in a Sahelian village. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **214**, 2345–2353 (2011).
- P. A. Ross, N. M. Endersby, H. L. Yeap, A. A. Hoffmann, Larval Competition Extends Developmental Time and Decreases Adult Size of wMelPop Wolbachia-Infected Aedes aegypti. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* **91**, 198–205 (2014).
- 140. D. Bates, M. Maechler, B. Bolker, S. Walker, Ime4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and S4. (2014). Deposited 2014.
- 141. J. H. Boyle, *et al.*, A Linkage-Based Genome Assembly for the Mosquito Aedes albopictus and Identification of Chromosomal Regions Affecting Diapause. *Insects* **12**, 167 (2021).
- 142. C. Peng, *et al.*, A Draft Genome Assembly of *Culex pipiens pallens* (Diptera: Culicidae) Using PacBio Sequencing. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **13**, evab005 (2021).
- 143. J. Soghigian, T. G. Andreadis, T. P. Livdahl, From ground pools to treeholes: convergent evolution of habitat and phenotype in Aedes mosquitoes. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **17**, 262 (2017).
- 144. M. Alonge, *et al.*, Automated assembly scaffolding using RagTag elevates a new tomato system for high-throughput genome editing. *Genome Biol* **23**, 258 (2022).
- J. M. Flynn, *et al.*, RepeatModeler2 for automated genomic discovery of transposable element families. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 9451–9457 (2020).
- 146. A. Smit, R. Hubley, P. Green, RepeatMasker2. (2021). Deposited 2021.
- A. Lomsadze, V. Ter-Hovhannisyan, Y. O. Chernoff, M. Borodovsky, Gene identification in novel eukaryotic genomes by self-training algorithm. *Nucleic Acids Research* 33, 6494– 6506 (2005).
- 148. M. Stanke, M. Diekhans, R. Baertsch, D. Haussler, Using native and syntenically mapped cDNA alignments to improve *de novo* gene finding. *Bioinformatics* **24**, 637–644 (2008).

- T. Brůna, K. J. Hoff, A. Lomsadze, M. Stanke, M. Borodovsky, BRAKER2: automatic eukaryotic genome annotation with GeneMark-EP+ and AUGUSTUS supported by a protein database. *NAR Genomics and Bioinformatics* 3, Iqaa108 (2021).
- 150. A. M. Bolger, M. Lohse, B. Usadel, Trimmomatic: A flexible read trimming tool for Illumina NGS data. (2014). Deposited 2014.
- 151. H. Li, Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. (2013). Deposited 2013.
- 152. P. Danecek, *et al.*, Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience* **10**, giab008 (2021).
- 153. P. Danecek, *et al.*, The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2156–2158 (2011).
- 154. B. R. Evans, *et al.*, A Multipurpose, High-Throughput Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism Chip for the Dengue and Yellow Fever Mosquito, Aedes aegypti. *G3 Genes*|*Genomes*|*Genetics* **5**, 711–718 (2015).
- 155. S. Purcell, *et al.*, PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* **81**, 559–575 (2007).
- 156. C. C. Chang, *et al.*, Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* **4**, s13742-015 (2015).
- 157. S. Soudi, *et al.*, Genomic signatures of local adaptation in recent invasive Aedes aegypti populations in California. *BMC Genomics* **24**, 311 (2023).
- 158. B. S. Weir, C. C. Cockerham, Estimating F-Statistics for the Analysis of Population Structure. *Evolution* **38**, 1358–1370 (1984).
- M. C. Whitlock, K. E. Lotterhos, Reliable Detection of Loci Responsible for Local Adaptation: Inference of a Null Model through Trimming the Distribution of FST. *The American Naturalist* **186**, S24–S36 (2015).
- 160. D. L. Cameron, *et al.*, GRIDSS2: comprehensive characterisation of somatic structural variation using single breakend variants and structural variant phasing. *Genome Biol* **22**, 202 (2021).
- 161. X. Chen, *et al.*, Manta: rapid detection of structural variants and indels for germline and cancer sequencing applications. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 1220–1222 (2016).
- 162. R. M. Layer, C. Chiang, A. R. Quinlan, I. M. Hall, LUMPY: a probabilistic framework for structural variant discovery. *Genome Biol* **15**, R84 (2014).
- 163. T. Rausch, *et al.*, DELLY: structural variant discovery by integrated paired-end and splitread analysis. *Bioinformatics* **28**, i333–i339 (2012).
- 164. M. Kirsche, *et al.*, Jasmine and Iris: population-scale structural variant comparison and analysis. *Nat Methods* **20**, 408–417 (2023).
- 165. S. M. Rudman, *et al.*, Direct observation of adaptive tracking on ecological time scales in Drosophila. *Science* **375**, eabj7484 (2022).
- 166. G. Bell, A. Gonzalez, Evolutionary rescue can prevent extinction following environmental change. *Ecology Letters* **12**, 942–948 (2009).
- 167. R. Gomulkiewicz, R. G. Shaw, Evolutionary rescue beyond the models. *Philos Trans R* Soc Lond B Biol Sci **368** (2013).
- 168. A. Gonzalez, O. Ronce, R. Ferriere, M. E. Hochberg, Evolutionary rescue: an emerging focus at the intersection between ecology and evolution. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **368**, 20120404 (2013).
- S. M. Carlson, C. J. Cunningham, P. A. H. Westley, Evolutionary rescue in a changing world. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 29, 521–530 (2014).
- 170. G. Bell, Evolutionary Rescue. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics **48**, 605–627 (2017).
- 171. P. Gienapp, *et al.*, Predicting demographically sustainable rates of adaptation: can great tit breeding time keep pace with climate change? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **368**, 20120289 (2013).
- 172. A. Bush, *et al.*, Incorporating evolutionary adaptation in species distribution modelling reduces projected vulnerability to climate change. *Ecology Letters* **19**, 1468–1478 (2016).

- 173. O. Cotto, *et al.*, A dynamic eco-evolutionary model predicts slow response of alpine plants to climate warming. *Nature Communications* **8** (2017).
- 174. J. A. F. Diniz-Filho, *et al.*, A macroecological approach to evolutionary rescue and adaptation to climate change. *Ecography* **42**, 1124–1141 (2019).
- P. J. Huxley, K. A. Murray, S. Pawar, L. J. Cator, The effect of resource limitation on the temperature dependence of mosquito population fitness. *Proc Biol Sci* 288, 20203217 (2021).
- P. J. Huxley, K. A. Murray, S. Pawar, L. J. Cator, Competition and resource depletion shape the thermal response of population fitness in Aedes aegypti. *Commun Biol* 5, 1–11 (2022).
- 177. C. R. Linnen, H. E. Hoekstra, Measuring Natural Selection on Genotypes and Phenotypes in the Wild. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* **74**, 155–168 (2009).
- 178. J. Yang, S. H. Lee, M. E. Goddard, P. M. Visscher, GCTA: A Tool for Genome-wide Complex Trait Analysis. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* **88**, 76–82 (2011).
- A. Forde, G. Hemani, J. Ferguson, Review and further developments in statistical corrections for Winner's Curse in genetic association studies. *PLOS Genetics* 19, e1010546 (2023).
- 180. National Centers for Environmental Information, "Annual 2022 Global Climate Report" (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association, 2022).
- 181. P. T. Brown, K. Caldeira, Greater future global warming inferred from Earth's recent energy budget. *Nature* **552**, 45–50 (2017).

Prolonged heat exposure Acute heat tolerance **Diverse starting** Whole genome Thermal Rearing population knockdown assay sequencing 22°C control A STA 20 2 generations (22°C) 30°C → 22°C A heatselected Wing length A measurement Repeated 3 times

Figure 1. **Assessing chronic and acute heat tolerance in a genetically diverse field-derived population of mosquitoes.** A diverse starting population was obtained from tree hole habitats across Solano County, CA. Individuals were reared under lab conditions for two generations, and the resulting F₃ eggs were used in the experiment. Eggs were hatched at 22°C, and 24-h larvae were randomly designated into replicated control or heat-selected groups. Individuals were reared at 22°C (control) or 30°C (heat-selected) as larvae. All individuals were maintained at 22°C at the pupal and adult life stages. Acute heat tolerance was assayed via thermal knockdown on individuals 48-72h after eclosion. All individuals were then preserved for DNA sequencing and body size approximation. The full experiment was conducted 3 times for biological replication.

Figures and Tables



Figure 2. Acute adult thermal tolerance and wing length are reduced in individuals that experienced larval heat selection. Variation in (A) thermal knockdown time (a metric of upper heat tolerance) and (B) wing length (a metric of body size) measured in control (blue) and heat-selected (red) *Ae. sierrensis* adults. Each point denotes the knockdown time or wing length of a single assayed individual. Heat-selected individuals knocked down significantly quicker and had smaller body sizes than control individuals (p = 0.03, < 0.001). Open and filled circles denote females and males, respectively. Points are jittered to aid in visualization. The dashed horizontal line at 40 minutes on the left plot denotes the time the water bath reached the final set temperature of 38°C. Points below this line thus denote individuals that knocked down at a lower temperature.



Figure 3: The genomic architecture of thermal tolerance (A) Genomic position of candidate SNPs significantly associated with larval heat treatment (a measure of prolonged heat tolerance). The black horizontal line indicates the threshold for significance as candidate SNPs (*i.e.*, q < 0.05and F_{ST} > 0.05). Gray shaded rectangles denote regions with an enrichment of SNPs differentiating treatment groups, relative to the genome-wide average. Red horizontal lines denote the location of chromosomal inversions significantly differentiated in frequency between control and heat-selected larvae. (B) Genomic position of candidate SNPs significantly associated with knockdown time (a measured of acute heat tolerance). Here, the black horizontal line indicates the threshold for significance as candidate SNPs based on FDR-corrected p < 0.001. (C) Distribution of selection coefficients, |s|, for candidate SNPs associated with prolonged heat tolerance at the larval stage. The red vertical line denotes the mean. (D) Difference in allele frequency distributions for focal SNPs (dark gray) versus their matched controls (light gray) for the larval heat treatment. Here, the focal SNPs from the F_{ST} and case-control GWA approaches are shown together. (E) Difference in allele frequency distribution for focal SNPs (dark gray) versus their matched control (light gray) for adult thermal knockdown. The black line in each boxplot denotes the median allele frequency difference. See Supplemental Figure S4 for allele frequency shifts based on starting minor allele frequency.



Figure 4. Evolutionary adaptation can permit population persistence under most warming scenarios. Colors denote the maximum rate of warming (°C/year) to which populations may adapt based on maximum estimated rates of evolutionary change. The x-axis denotes potential values for the product of heritability (h^2) and phenotypic variance (σ_p^2), and the y-axis denotes potential values for selection strength (γ). The black circle on each plot denotes the point estimate for these three parameter values from our experimental and genomic data and the error bars capture the range of these parameters made under varying model assumptions (see Methods: *Estimating Adaptive Potential*). Isolines denote recently observed rates of increase in annual mean temperature (0.027°C/year), and those projected under RCP 4.5 (0.024°C/year) and RCP 6.0 (0.029°C/year). Error bars and point estimates to the right of a given isoline reflect scenarios under which the population's estimated maximum rate of evolutionary change exceeds the rate of warming. The three panels span previously estimated rates of maximum mosquito population growth rates ($r_{max} = 0.15, 0.25, and 0.35$ for the left, center, and right panels, respectively).