

Psychosocial Intervention



https://journals.copmadrid.org/pi

Virtual Reality-based Cognitive Intervention for Enhancing Executive Functions in Community-dwelling Older Adults

Pattrawadee Makmee and Peera Wongupparaj

Cognitive Science and Innovation Research Unit, College of Research Methodology and Cognitive Science, Burapha University, Thailand

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Received 4 February 2022 Accepted 22 April 2022 Available online 3 June 2022

Keywords: Nonpharmacological cognitive intervention Inhibition Shifting Updating A short-term intervention

Palabras clave:

farmacológica

Actualización

Inhibición Cambio

Intervención cognitiva no

Intervención a corto plazo

With the rapid growth of the older population globally, it is anticipated that age-related cognitive decline in the prodromal phase and more severe pathological decline will increase. Moreover, currently, no effective treatment options for the disease exist. Thus, early and timely prevention actions are promising and prior strategies to preserve cognitive functions by preventing symptomatology from increasing the age-related deterioration of the functions in healthy older adults. This study aims to develop the virtual reality-based cognitive intervention for enhancing executive functions (EFs) and examine the EFs after training with the virtual reality-based cognitive intervention in community-dwelling older adults. Following inclusion/exclusion criteria, 60 community-dwelling older adults aged 60-69 years were involved in the study and randomly divided into passive control and experimental groups. Eight 60 min virtual reality-based cognitive intervention sessions were held twice a week and lasted for 1 month. The EFs (i.e., inhibition, updating, and shifting) of the participants were assessed by using standardized computerized tasks, i.e., Go/NoGo, forward and backward digit span, and Berg's card sorting tasks. Additionally, a repeated-measure ANCOVA and effect sizes were applied to investigate the effects of the developed intervention. The virtual reality-based intervention significantly improved the EFs of older adults in the experimental group. Specifically, the magnitudes of enhancement were observed for inhibitory as indexed by the response time, F(1) = 6.95, p < .05, $\eta_0^2 = .11$, updating as represented by the memory span, F(1) = 12.09, p < .01, η_0^2 = .18, and the response time, R(1) = 4.46, p = .04, $\eta_p^2 = .07$, and shifting abilities as indexed by the percentage of correct responses, F(1) = 5.30, p = .03, $\eta_n^2 = .09$, respectively. The results indicated that the simultaneous combined cognitivemotor control as embedded in the virtual-based intervention is safe and effective in enhancing EFs in older adults without cognitive impairment. Nevertheless, further studies are required to investigate the benefits of these enhancements to motor functions and emotional aspects relating to daily living and the well-being of older populations in communities.

Intervención cognitiva con realidad virtual para mejorar las funciones ejecutivas de las personas mayores que viven en la comunidad

RESUMEN

Ante el rápido crecimiento de la población mayor a nivel mundial se prevé un aumento del deterioro cognitivo propio de la edad en la fase prodrómica y un mayor deterioro patológico. Además no hay en la actualidad opciones eficaces de tratamiento de la enfermedad. Por tanto, las medidas preventivas tempranas y puntuales suponen estrategias prometedoras para preservar las funciones cognitivas al evitar que la sintomatología aumente el deterioro de las funciones asociado a la edad de personas mayores sanas. El presente estudio tiene como obejetivo desarrollar una intervención cognitiva basada en la realidad virtual para mejorar las funciones ejecutivas (FE) y examinarlas tras el entrenamiento de intervención cognitiva con realidad virtual en personas mayores que viven en su domicilio. Teniendo en cuenta criterios de inclusión y exclusión se contó para el estudio con 60 personas mayores de entre 60 y 69 años que vivían en su domicilio, a los que se asignó aleatoriamente a un grupo de control pasivo y a un grupo experimental. Se realizaron ocho sesiones de 60 minutos de intervención cognitiva con realidad virtualización y cambio) de los participantes se evaluaron mediante tareas informatizadas estandarizadas, i.e., Go/NoGo, amplitud de dígitos hacia delante y hacia atrás y clasificación de cartas de Berg. Además, se utilizó un ANCOVA de medidas repetidas y el tamaño del efecto para medir el efecto de la intervención desarrollada. La intervención con realidad virtual mejoró significativamente las funciones ejecutivas de

Cite this article as: Makmee, P. & Wongupparaj, P. (2022). Virtual reality-based cognitive intervention for enhancing executive functions in community-dwelling older adults. *Psychosocial Intervention*, *31*(3), 133-144. https://doi.org/10.5093/pi2022a10

Funding: This research is funded by National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT 367-2563), and it is part of the research project entitled 'Development of a virtual reality program for enhancing executive functions in the elderly'. This research is partially supported by the Cognitive Science and Innovation Research Unit (CSIRU), College of Research Methodology and Cognitive Science, Burapha University. Correspondence: peera.wo@go.buu.ac.th (P. Wongupparaj).

ISSN:1132-0559/© 2022 Colegio Oficial de la Psicología de Madrid. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

los mayores del grupo experimental. En concreto se observaron más mejoras en inhibición, reflejada en el tiempo de respuesta, F(1) = 6.95, p < .05, $\eta_p^2 = .11$, actualización, representada por la amplitud de memoria, F(1) = 12.09, p < .01, $\eta_p^2 = .18$, y el tiempo de respuesta, F(1) = 4.46, p = .04, $\eta_p^2 = .07$, y las habilidades de cambio, como refleja el porcentaje de respuestas correctas, F(1) = 5.30, p = .03, $\eta_p^2 = .09$, respectivamente. Los resultados indican que el control cognitivomotor combinado simultáneamente, tal y como se aplica en la intervención virtual, es seguro y eficaz para conseguir aumentar las funciones ejecutivas de personas mayores sin deterioro cognitivo. No obstante, se necesitan más estudios para investigar los beneficios de estas mejoras en las funciones motoras y los aspectos emocionales de la vida cotidiana, así como el bienestar de las personas mayores que viven en la comunidad.

The proportion of older adults has been increasing in the majority of countries (Shetty, 2012; World Health Organization, 2021). The number of older adults outnumbered younger children in several countries, suggesting an increase in the pace of population aging (United Nations Report, 2019b; World Health Organization, 2021). Furthermore, the increase in the share of older adults aged over 60 years was projected to approximately double between 2015 and 2020 (12%-22%) (Livingston et al., 2020; World Health Organization, 2021). Additionally, improved and adequate access to healthcare systems is related to an increase in life expectancy at older ages (Hao et al., 2020; United Nations Report, 2019a).

Nonetheless, with the rapid growth of the older population globally, it is anticipated that age-related cognitive decline in the prodromal phase (i.e., mild cognitive impairment) and more severe pathological decline will increase (i.e., dementia or Alzheimer's disease) (Jia et al., 2020; Livingston et al., 2020; Nguyen et al., 2019b) due to increased risk and accessibility of cognitive screening tests for older adults (Thabtah et al., 2020; van Dalen et al., 2022). Another warning sign of the aging population is that it is projected to include 152 million older adults with dementia by 2050 (Editorial, 2017). The most recent data have indicated that the prevalence of neurodegenerative diseases will double in Europe and triple globally by 2050 (Scheltens et al., 2021). Multiple and progressive symptom manifestations of mild cognitive impairment and dementia are characterized by a decline from a previously attained cognitive level; however, in dementia, the profound decline also affects social functioning and daily living (Livingston et al., 2017; Mitchell, 2015). Furthermore, the enormous burden of dementia on patients, families, and society costs approximately \$818 billion - \$1 trillion annually (Editorial, 2017; Levey, 2021).

Dementia has been regarded as a progressive, inexorable, and incurable illness (Levey, 2021; Mitchell, 2015). Meanwhile, arduous research has been undertaken to seek more effective therapeutic interventions for patients with dementia (Cummings, 2004). Nevertheless, currently, no effective treatment options for the disease exist (Yiannopoulou & Papageorgiou, 2020). Thus, promoting healthy cognitive functions has been a necessity for reducing individual and societal burdens related to impaired cognition in older adults (Alves et al., 2013). On the basis of the current evidence, it is concluded that early and timely preventative actions are promising strategies to delay the onset of cognitive impairment and dementia (Grande et al., 2020; Livingston et al., 2020).

There has been growing interest in enhancing cognitive functions in older adults via cognitive and brain interventions (Meltzer et al., 2021; Nguyen et al., 2019b; Wollesen et al., 2020). It is also evident that pure cognitive training and cognitive training with additional physical activity can be effective for leading stronger long-term effects on several aspects of cognitive functioning in healthy older adults (Gavelin et al., 2021; Rahe et al., 2015; Reijnders et al., 2013). Further, cognitive training can enhance cognitive self-efficacy (Goghari & Lawlor-Savage, 2018) as well as reliable neural effects in healthy older adults (Duda & Sweet, 2020). Consequently, various cognitive functions have been used as targets for training programs, i.e., memory, reasoning, processing speed (Rebok et al., 2014), cognitive plasticity (Nguyen et al., 2019a), and executive functions (EFs) (Mowszowski et al., 2016). Specifically, it is plausible that EFs might be the first cognitive functions to decline in older adults, and EF dysfunction was generally disproportionally higher than other cognitive impairments (Reuter-Lorenz et al., 2021). EFs have been considered as important and high-level cognitive processes for the successful completion of basic and complex daily activities in older adults (Ferguson et al., 2021). To carry out everyday functioning, older adults demand executive functioning to be able to independently perform complex, self-serving, and goal-directed behaviors, while ignoring irrelevant information (Bell-McGinty et al., 2002; Veríssimo et al., 2022). The key components of EFs include inhibition, updating/ working memory (WM), and shifting/cognitive flexibility (Miyake & Friedman, 2012). Additionally, EFs have been found to decline in the 30s (at the earliest), especially WM, inhibitory control, and planning (Ferguson et al., 2021).

Moreover, cognitive training aiming at enhanced EFs yields a reduction in functional decline and immediate and long-term improvements in cognitive performance during everyday activities (Nguyen et al., 2019b; Willis et al., 2006). Furthermore, in longitudinal studies, EF improvement in older adults shows protective effects (or cognitive reserve) against cognitive decline (Holtzer et al., 2012; Ihle et al., 2020; Oosterman et al., 2021). Accordingly, several commercially available cognitive games have focused on enhancing EFs of older adults without cognitive impairment (Bonnechère et al., 2020). Additionally, combined physical and cognitive interventions on EFs in older adults have been widely investigated and the results have suggested positive outcomes for delaying the decrease of EFs (Guo et al., 2020). Nevertheless, previous studies have suggested a combination of physical exercise and cognitive training was not significantly more effective than each training alone (Gavelin et al., 2021; Guo et al., 2020).

Expectedly, combined intervention should improve EFs, everyday functioning, and well-being; however, the varying results have suggested that the combined training did not yield expected synergic effects (Desjardins-Crépeau et al., 2016; Fraser et al., 2017). An interesting avenue in the investigation of synergetic effect would be to consider the effect of simultaneous (instead of sequential) cognitive and physical activities (e.g., cognitive motor functions) on cognitive functions using technology-based platforms in older adults (e.g., virtual reality) (Dermody et al., 2020; Desjardins-Crépeau et al., 2016; Wollesen et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2021).

VR has several applications for improving (or training) older adults. Although exposure to VR application may result in symptoms of motion sickness for some people (e.g., eye fatigue, headaches, and nausea) (Park et al., 2019), its advantages include a secure environment, high ecological validity, and an inexpensive aid to support active aging (Appel et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2021; Syed-Abdul et al., 2019). A previous study revealed the acceptance (or positive perception) of older adults toward using VR (Mascret et al., 2020), namely, they perceived the VR to be useful, an enjoyable experience, and easy to use (Mascret et al., 2020; Syed-Abdul et al., 2019). Additionally, several studies have implemented the VR intervention in clinical settings with children and adolescent populations, but there have been fewer studies focusing on the older adult population (Skurla et al., 2021). Moreover, the effectiveness of the VR-based intervention on cognitive functions in older adults has remained underinvestigated. Additionally, the extant literature on the VR-based training has been generated from high-income countries; therefore, it remains unclear if this emerging technology can be translated to other regions of the world (Skurla et al., 2021). Accordingly, the present study aimed to investigate the effectiveness of the VR-based cognitive intervention for enhancing EFs in older adults living in communities.

Method

Study Design and Participants

The pretest-posttest control group design (Edmonds & Kennedy, 2017) was applied at the Brain Stimulation and Development Center, College of Research Methodology and Cognitive Science, Burapha University in Thailand. In this design, participants were randomly assigned to passive control (nonintervention) and experimental groups. The dependent variables (i.e., EFs) were assessed twice (i.e., pretest and posttest measurement) for both groups. The initial EFs scores of the participants were recorded for comparison to the posttraining scores. The older adults living in communities aged 60-69 years from Chonburi province in the Eastern region of Thailand were invited to participate in the study. Subsequently, the interested individuals were assessed according to inclusion and exclusion criteria for hearing, vision, cognitive functions, and a history of brain injury and psychiatric disorders.

All participants had normal hearing and vision. Additionally, these participants had normal cognitive function as being assessed by the Mini-Mental Status Examination-Thai version (MMSE-Thai) (Muangpaisan et al., 2015) and no history of brain injury and psychiatric disorders. During the training, four participants in

the experimental group did not complete the VR-based cognitive intervention due to illness (i.e., nausea and oculomotor effects). In total, 60 eligible older adults were randomly and equally assigned to the passive control (n = 30) and experimental (n = 30) groups.

All participants were fully informed of the purpose of the study, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The protocol of this study was approved by Burapha University-Institutional Review Board (BUU-IRB: HUU003/2564). The study was conducted according to the Guideline for Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki (World Medical Association, 2013). The participants received \$30 for their participation and travel costs. The protocol of this project was approved by Burapha University-Institutional Review Board (BUU-IRB: HUU003/2564). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

VR-Based Cognitive Intervention

To enhance EFs of older adults, the VR-based cognitive intervention was developed according to the extant literature suggesting the strong links between EFs, visuospatial WM (Brown et al., 2012; Miyake et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2018), and visuomotor adaptation (Li et al., 2021; Stirling et al., 2013) in an aging population. Furthermore, the VR content was developed to fulfill six psychological processes (i.e., sensory memory, encoding, planning, movement control, active manipulation, and adaptation). Additionally, the analysis, design, development, implementation, and evaluation (ADDIE) model is a common instructional system design to develop the instructional and evaluate the VR system (Kim, 2021; Yu et al., 2021). Thus, the ADDIE model was utilized as an important phase for improving the VR learning environment and performance of the participants in the study.

The VR immersive environment was delivered to each participant using an Oculus Quest head-mounted display (HMD) with a refresh

Table 1. Summary of the	VR-based Cognitive I	ntervention fo	r Older Adults
-------------------------	----------------------	----------------	----------------

Places	Objects	Activities	length (min)
Living room	Eight older adults' characters	Choosing a character	-
Kitchen	Microwave oven, refrigerator, dinner table, pan, pot, solid turner, knife, fork, spoon, dish, bowl, glass, milk carton, bottle, a chopping block, and egg	 Picking a red pot, a white spoon, a blue dish, a green milk carton, a yellow bottle, a black knife, a purple glass, a pick spoon, an orange pan, and a white egg, respectively. Bringing animated objects and then placing them at the right locations such as grabbing a red pot and hanging it on the wall, grabbing a white fork and dropping it on a white dish, grabbing a blue dish and placing it on a blue table, grabbing a green milk carton and placing it on a shelf, grabbing a yellow bottle and placing it on a microwave oven, and 'grabbing a black knife and laying it on a shelf, grabbing a yellow bottle and placing it on a microwave oven, and 'grabbing a black knife and laying it on a chopping block, respectively. Grouping/categorizing animated objects in the kitchen by selecting only red, white, blue, green, yellow, black, purple, pink, and orange objects, respectively. Arranging animated objects in the kitchen by returning the objects into the previous positions in line with previous instructions and activities. 	160
Bedroom	Pillow, blanket, lamb, TV, pajamas, Buddhist bible, comb, table, chair, wallet, talcum powder, and wall clock	 Picking a red pillow, a blue wall clock, a blue wall clock, a yellow Buddhist bible, a green wallet, a black TV, a purple blanket, a pink lamb, an orange pajama, and a white talcum powder, respectively. Bringing animated objects and then placing them at the right locations such as grabbing a white comb and placing it on a dressing table, holding a black television and laying it on a shelf, grabbing a blue wall clock and hanging it on the wall, grabbing a yellow Buddhist bible and laying it on a top of the TV, grabbing a purple blanket and laying it on a bed, grabbing a pink lamb and placing it under a table, and grabbing a white talcum powder and placing it on a chair, respectively. Grouping/categorizing animated objects in the bedroom by selecting only red, white, blue, green, yellow, black, purple, pink, and orange objects, respectively. Arranging animated objects in the bedroom by returning the objects into the previous positions in line with previous instructions and activities. 	160
Garden	Table, chair, dog, bird, tree, flower, fish, bicycle, grass, and stone	 Picking a red fish, a white chair, a blue bird, a green tree, yellow grass, a black dog, a purple bicycle, a pink flower, an orange table, and a white stone, respectively. Bringing animated objects and then placing them at the right locations such as grabbing a red fish and dropping it near the pool, grabbing a white chair and placing it near the tree, grabbing a blue bird and placing it on a branch, grabbing agent tree, and placing it near the fence, grabbing ablue bird and placing it near the flower, and holding a black dog and laying it near a white bicycle, respectively. Grouping/categorizing animated objects in the garden by selecting only red, white, blue, green, yellow, black, purple, pink, and orange objects, respectively. Arranging animated objects in the garden by returning the objects into the previous positions in line with previous instructions and activities. 	160



Figure 1. (A) Participant in the Experimental Group Wore HMD, Sat 100 cm in front of the Computer Screen, and was Supervised by a Well-trained Research Assistant; (B) Wireless Joystick Controllers; and (C) Eight Elderly VR Characters with Four Men and Women.

rate of 72 Hz, a resolution of 1440 x 1600 per eye, and USB-C 3.0 connectivity controllers (see Figure 1A). The software used in the VR-based cognitive intervention was built in the Unity3D game engine. The VR system operated on a PC (CPU: AMD FX (tm)-6100 six-core; processor: 3.30 GHz; RAM: 128 GB DDR4; GPU: NVIDIA GeForce; GTX1050: 2GB GDDR5 128-bit memory; Microsoft Windows 10 64-bit OS). Additionally, two wireless joystick controllers (see Figure 1B) were applied to perform involving touching, selecting, grabbing, grouping/categorizing, and arranging animated objects in several environments.

In Figure 1A participants in the experimental group wore HMD, sat 100 cm in front of the computer screen, and were supervised by

well-trained research assistants, Figure 1B presents wireless joystick controllers, and in Figure 1C eight elderly VR-characters with four men and women appear.

Before starting the intervention, participants were allowed to select a character out of eight models (see Figure 1C). VR scenarios (places, sounds, and objects) were designed (see Figure 2) according to the findings of a focus group with five older adults. Table 1 reports the places, objects, activities, and total session lengths of the VR experiences. The motion sickness was assessed for all participants by using an interview questionnaire. Two older adults (6.67%) reported mild motion sickness during the first session; thus, a short break was allowed to alleviate their symptoms. The experimental group received



Figure 2. Screenshots of VR Kitchen (Upper Panel), Garden (Middle Panel), and Bedroom Scenarios (Lower Panel).

the VR training in the form of two 60 min sessions on a weekly basis for 4 weeks. After 4 weeks of training, the computer-based tests of EFs were used to assess the participants in both the control and experimental groups, and the posttest scores were compared with the pretest score.

Measures

All participants completed three standardized EF assessment tests (i.e., Go/noGo, Forward/backward digit span, and Berg's Card Sorting) in the lab during the pretraining and posttraining sessions, approximately 30 days apart. The three EF tests were implemented using the Psychology Experiment Building Language Test Batteryversion 2 (Mueller & Piper, 2014; Piper et al., 2012).

Inhibition

The Go/noGo test was used to index the inhibitory control as a central component of EFs (Diamond, 2013). The Go/noGo test has been reported in several studies to gauge the effective inhibition of a prepotent response in older adults (Falkenstein et al., 2002; Hong et al., 2016; Najberg et al., 2020). During the test, participants were required to watch a sequential presentation on a computer screen of letters (i.e., P or R) and respond to a target letter by pressing



Figure 3. Screenshot of PEBL's Go/noGo (Left Panel), Forward/Backward Digit Span (Middle Panel), and Berg's Card Sorting Tests (Right Panel).

a button on a computer keyboard. A single letter (P or R) was presented in one of the 2 x 2 arrays with four stars for a duration of 500 ms with an interstimulus interval (ISI) of 1,500 ms. The Go/ noGo test was composed of two conditions: the P-Go condition with 160 trials and the R-Go condition with 160 trials (see Figure 3, left panel).

For the P-Go condition, participants were asked to respond by pressing the right shift key on the keyboard in response to the target letter "P" but to withhold their response to the nontarget letter "R." The proportion of the target vs. nontarget letters was 80:20. Subsequently, a second reversal condition (R-Go) was administered requiring participants to respond to the target letter "R" but to withhold the response to the nontarget letter "P." The ratio and the number of trials were identical to the P-Go condition. Together, the two conditions are composed of 320 trials with a mean response accuracy (RA) in percentage and a mean response time (RT) in ms used as the primary outcomes. The duration of the Go/noGo test was approximately 8 min.

Updating

Forward and backward digit span tests were applied to tax abilities to update and manipulate information in the WM (Nyberg & Eriksson, 2015; Wager & Smith, 2003). Forward and backward digit span tests (FDS/BDS) uniquely reflect passive and active updating of information, respectively (Waris et al., 2015). The FDS represents as a pure measure of attention, while the BDS relies more upon WM skills (Cullum, 1998; Cullum & Larrabee, 2010). The updating WM reflects a core of EFs, in which it is responsible for the reviewing of incoming information, elimination of extraneous information, and replacement of discarded information with more relevant content (Daucourt et al., 2018). The digit span tests were divided into two conditions, namely, the FDS/BDS tests. The FDS/ BDS tests were reported to measure updating abilities of EFs in older populations (Fisk & Sharp, 2004; Grogan et al., 2018; Heinzel et al., 2014).

During the tests, strings of digits of increasing length, beginning with three and ending with ten, were presented on the computer screen. Each length of digit list consisted of two trials, with ISI of 1,000 ms and intertrial stimulus of 1,500 ms (see Figure 3, middle panel) and each trial of which was scored 0 or 1 point. Participants were asked to repeat by pressing the target numbers on the computer keyboard in forward or backward orders. If participants failed to repeat both trials or obtained scores of 0 on both trials of the same block of digit, the tests were immediately terminated. No time limit was placed on the participants. The primary outcomes were the number of trials completed correctly or memory span and the total response time in minutes. The duration of the FDS/BDS tests was approximately 14 min in total.

Shifting

Berg's Card Sorting Test (BCST) was used to measure the EF featuresswitching task. The shifting/switching ability is often regarded as a key aspect of EFs, reflecting the ability to modify attention and behavior in coping with changing demands and circumstances (Rabinovici et al., 2015). The BCST-like card-sorting tests have been widely used to index the shifting ability in older adults (Gamboz et al., 2009; Lange et al., 2016; Ridderinkhof et al., 2002). During the test, participants were presented with a 64-card deck displayed on a computer screen. Each stimulus card contained a different combination of one red triangle, two green stars, three yellow crosses, and four blue circles (see Figure 3, right panel).

Participants were required to sort a card into piles by using a computer mouse and determine the underpinning classification rule by trial and error. The feedback was provided after each card was sorted. Once the participants achieved card matching for 10 consecutive cards, the classification rule was changed (Fox et al., 2013; Piper et al., 2015). No time limit was imposed on the participants. The percentage of correct responses, percentage of perseverative errors, and the number of trials to complete the first category were used as the primary outcomes (Gunner et al., 2012; Miranda et al., 2020). The duration of the BCST was approximately 12 min.

Data Analysis

Descriptive (i.e., mean, standard deviation, skewness, kurtosis, and effect size) and inferential statistics (i.e., chi-square test, independent t-test, and a repeated-measures ANCOVA were used to (i) summarize information concerning sex, age group, level of education, health status, and the EFs parameters; (ii) examine the normality assumption of dependent variables across the EFs parameters; and (iii) test the within- and between-group differences, respectively. Additionally, the group x time interaction was investigated to examine the pattern of change for the groups (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2001). Partial eta squared (η_n^2) was calculated to estimate the effect sizes or magnitudes of differences for the EF enhancement from the pretraining to the posttraining and between the posttraining of the control and experimental groups. The calculated η_p^2 values of .01, .06, and .17 can be interpreted as small, moderate, and large effects, respectively (Lakens, 2013). Additionally, descriptive and inferential statistics were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 27.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA).

Results

Sixty community-dwelling older adults were recruited and equally and randomly assigned to the control (n = 30) and experimental groups (n = 30). The mean age of all participants was 65.87 ± 4.18 years (min 60 - max 77), 63% were female, and 100% were Thais. The

able 2. Participant Characteristics of the Control and Experimental Groups across Outcome Measures																
		Mean (SD)			Min-Max			Skewness			Kurtosis					
Variable		С		E C		E		1		C		E C		С		
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	F	
Inhibition																
Go/noGo (1) RA (2) RT in ms	.90 (.12) 939.25 (102.51)	.91 (.08) 915.67 (117.79)	.91 (.08) 912.89 (121.14)	.92 (.08) 991.27 (108.88)	.5099 713.63- 1096.21	.5798 659.73- 1078.70	.6199. 586.01- 1150.94	66-1.00 730.91- 1299.38	-2.58 -1.29	-2.75 -0.47	-1.80 -0.65	-1.67 0.59	6.43 0.52	9.70 -0.91	4 0	
Updating																
FDS (1) Memory span (2) RT in min	6.53 (2.56) 3.48 (1.17)	5.70 (2.35) 3.22 (0.98)	6.87 (2.61) 3.77 (0.93)	7.87 (2.92) 4.10 (1.08)	3-12 2.02-5.75	2-12 1.40-5.73	3-12 1.83-5.89	2-13 1.50-6.08	0.38 0.72	0.88 0.37	0.84 0.32	0.27 -0.29	-1.02 -0.83	0.88 0.37	-0 -0	
BDS (1) Memory span (2) RT in min	4.50 (3.22) 3.43 (2.93)	3.97 (2.61) 2.69 (1.76)	4.60 (4.01) 3.71 (2.46)	4.97 (3.90) 3.50 (2.35)	1-10 0.74-9.99	1-13 1.15-8.29	1-14 1.11-9.99	1-14 1.06-8.92	0.32 1.20	1.87 2.04	1.34 1.14	1.29 1.10	-1.53 0.29	4.26 3.71	0 0	
Shifting BCST (1) PA	75 78 (752)	7/16(799)	76 /6 (0 /5)	Q11/ (7/1)	50 28 85 04	50 38 85 04	54 60 8750	50 38 02 10	0.38	013	111	126	0.83	102	0	
BCST (1) RA	75.78 (7.52)	74.16 (7.88)	76.46 (9.45)	81.14 (7.41)	59.38-85.94	59.38-85.94	54.69-87.50	59.38-92.19	-0.38	0.13	-1.11	-1.26	-0.83	-1.	02	

0-39.06

0-61

0-39.06

0-63

469-2188 625-2344

10-30

0.12

1.27

-0.07

1.76

0.62

0.68

-126

2.22

-104

1.34

-0.07

1.76

10-41

Table 2. Participant Characteristics of the Control and Experi-	imental Groups across Outcome Measures
---	--

Note. C = control: E = experimental.

(2) Perseverative errors

(3) Number of trials to

complete the 1st category

mean year of education was 6.16 ± 4.11 years (min 1 – max 18). The majority of participants were healthy (73%), the rest had hypertension and type II diabetes (27%). Accordingly, no significant difference was found between two groups in terms of sex (χ^2 = 3.52, *p* = .06), year of education (t = 1.91, p = .06), and health status ($\chi^2 = 0.09$, p = .77). Nonetheless, the mean age differed between the two groups (67.30 \pm 4.86 and 64.43 \pm 2.79 for the control and experimental groups, t = -2.80, p = .01), so that this variable was used as a covariate in the model. No missing data were found.

15.64(12.45) 14.73(9.73) 12.44(4.35) 12.66(4.90)

16.41 (16.36) 16.82 (14.91) 16.23 (8.31) 13.13 (5.02)

Table 3. ANCOVA	Results	for Outcome	Measures
-----------------	---------	-------------	----------

Outcomes	Effect	F	df_1, df_2	р	η_p^2
Go/noGo					
RA	Group	0.22	1, 57	.64	< .01
	Time	1.47	1, 57	.23	.03
	Group ´ time	0.02	1, 57	.89	< .01
RT in milliseconds	Group	1.23	1, 57	.27	.02
	Time	0.50	1, 57	.48	.01
	Group time	6.95	1, 57	.01	.11
FDS					
Memory span	Group	3.98	1, 57	.05	.07
	Time	3.38	1, 57	.07	.06
	Group ´ time	12.09	1, 57	< .01	.18
RT in min.	Group				
	Time	3.15	1, 57	.08	.05
	Group´time	4.46	1, 57	.04	.07
BDS					
Memory span	Group	0.43	1, 57	.52	.01
	Time	4.18	1, 57	.05	.07
	Group ´ time	2.12	1, 57	.15	.04
RT in min.	Group	1.04	1.57	.31	.02
	Time	< 0.01	1, 57	.99	< .01
	Group ´ time	0.64	1, 57	.43	.01
BCCT					
Percentage of correct	Group				
responses	Time	0.03	1 57	87	< 01
responses	Group ' time	5.30	1, 57	.03	.09
Dorsovorativo orrors	Croup	2.10	1 57	07	06
reiseverative errors	Time	0.55	1, 57	.07	.00
	Croun' time	0.55	1, 57	.40	< 01
	Group time	0.10	1, 57	.70	× .01
Number of trials to	Group	1.93	1, 57	.17	.03
complete the first	Time	0.67	1, 57	.42	.01
category	Group time	< .01	1, 57	.99	< .01

Note. Age as a covariate; significant differences in bold font.

Table 2 indicates mean, SD, min-max, and skewness and the kurtosis values for the control and experimental groups across several parameters of EF tests. The normality assumption was tested to obtain accurate results for multivariate analysis. Specifically, the pretest scores for parameters of the Go/noGo, FDS/BDS, and BCST revealed a normal distribution as indexed by the skewness and kurtosis values (Brown, 2006). The skewness values ranged from 0.12 to -2.58 and the kurtosis values ranged from -0.01 to 6.43. The posttest scores for parameters of the same tests indicated the normal distribution. The skewness and kurtosis values were not greater than -3 - 3 and -10 - 10, respectively (i.e., -0.07 - 2.75 and ± 0.04 - 9.70) (Brown, 2006).

For the Go/noGo test, an ANCOVA revealed no main and interaction effects for RA, F(1, 57) = 0.22, p = .64, $\eta_p^2 = <.01$; F(1, 57) = 1.47, p = .23, η_n^2 = .03. The significant interaction effect for group x time was found for RT, F(1, 57) = 6.95, p = .01, $\eta_p^2 = .11$, suggesting that the posttest of the experimental group was significantly higher than those of the control group and the effect size was moderate (see Table 3 and Figure 4A).

For the FDS test, the interaction effects were evident for both memory span and RT. The interaction effect of group x time for memory span was statistically significant, F(1, 57) = 12.09, p < .01, $\eta_{\rm p}^2$ = .18, indicating that the posttest of the experimental group was significantly greater than those of the control group and the effect size was large (see Table 3 and Figure 4B). Similarly, the significant interaction effect of group x time was also found for RT, F(1, 57) =4.46, p = .04, $\eta_{p}^{2} = .07$, revealing that the posttest of the experimental group was significantly higher than those of the control group and the effect size was moderate (see Table 3 and Figure 4C).

Although the pretest and posttest of the BDS test indicated a downward trend for memory span, F(1, 57) = 4.18, p = .05, $\eta_p^2 = .07$, the main and interaction effects were not evident for two parameters of the BDS test, Group_{memory span}: F(1, 57) = 0.43, p = .52, $\eta_p^2 = .01$; Group x Time_{memory span}: F(1, 57) = 2.12, p = .15, $\eta_p^2 = .04$; Group_{RT}: F(1, 57) = 1.04, p = .31, $\eta_p^2 = .02$; Time_{RT}: F(1, 57) = < .01, p = .99, $\eta_p^2 = < .01$; Group x Time_{pt}: F(1, 57) = 0.64, p = .43, $\eta_p^2 = .01$.

Finally, only RA differed significantly between groups for posttest of the BCST, F(1, 57) = 5.30, p = .03, $\eta_p^2 = .09$ (see Table 3). Figure 4D indicates that the posttest for RA was significantly greater than those of the control group, and the effect size was moderate.

F Pre

4.76 0.73

-0.33

-0.01

0.35

0.33

0.35

0.04

3.63

Post

2.71

1.74

-0.54

-0.19

0.53

-0.04

2.36

-043

4.81



Figure 4. Significant Interaction Effects for (A) Go/NoGo: RA, (B) FDS: Memory Span, (C) FDS: RT, and (D) BCST: Percentage of Correct Responses, with Age as a Covariate in the Model.

Discussion

In line with previous studies, this study addresses the research gaps on the VR-based cognitive intervention in older adults living in communities. Specifically, limited evidence exists to demonstrate the combined cognitive motor training using VR technology in older adults, especially in developing countries (Seifert & Schlomann, 2021; Skurla et al., 2021). Additionally, the effectiveness of an intensive and short-term cognitive intervention on the enhancement of EFs has been underinvestigated in healthy older adults (Muñoz et al., 2022). Thus, this study aimed to investigate the EF enhancement from participating in VR-based cognitive intervention in older adults. The visuospatial WM and visuomotor adaptation were inputted and utilized as multimodal domains for enhancing EFs in the intensive VR activities.

The participants in the control and experimental groups were comparable in sex, year of education, and health status. The mean year of education for both groups was approximately 6 years, and this number is higher than those of older adults in other developing countries (Jin et al., 2022; Lu & Lou, 2022). Moreover, a higher level of education may increase positive attitude toward or adoption of VR technology in older adults (Huygelier et al., 2019). Motion sickness or cybersickness occurred during and after exposure to the VR intervention for the first session, but it was well tolerated (i.e., 6.67% withdrawals). This finding aligns with the recent review, indicating approximately 4%-5% cybersickness withdrawals across different stimuli and HMDs (Caserman et al., 2021).

Previously, evidence has suggested the support of enhancing cognitive functioning in healthy older adults by using cognitive-based trainings (Gavelin et al., 2020; Sanjuán et al., 2020). Nevertheless, despite the diversity among applied cognitive interventions in healthy older adults, the VR-based intervention has not been widely adopted for boosting EFs (Kim et al., 2021). EFs (i.e., inhibitory control, WM, and attentional flexibility) has been linked with daily activities since these functions include the ability to evaluate, organize, plan, perform, and achieve goals as well as the capacity to flexibly adapt to changed circumstances (Diamond, 2013; Lezak, 1982). Thus, cognitive and functional decline might be prevented or delayed via an effective intervention targeting multiple domains of executive functioning. The current findings highlighted that the benefits of the multiple domains of the VR-based cognitive intervention enhance key aspects of higher cognitive functions (i.e., multidimensional EFs). To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to highlight the positive outcomes of the VR-based intervention on EF performances in older adults without cognitive impairment.

Particularly, these findings suggest the greater effects on the training group for inhibition, updating, and shifting abilities. The inhibitory control, as a key process of EFs, was impaired in older age according to the inhibitory deficit hypothesis wherein older adults are less able to ignore irrelevant thoughts and actions in comparison with young adults (Rey-Mermet & Gade, 2018). The eight-week intervention increased processing speed as measured using RT for the Go/noGo test. These findings are contrary to previous studies in that cognitive training induced a faster RT for the Go/noGo test in older

adults (Schroder et al., 2020; Wilkinson & Yang, 2015). Consequently, it is plausible that older adults in the training group have greater recruitment of attentional control systems and this may increase the speed of cognitive processing (Campbell et al., 2020). Similarly, the VR-trained older adults may adopt a more cautious approach when performing the inhibition task (Shao & Lee, 2014).

The FDS and BDS were used to index updating abilities of older adults. The FDS score reflects passive updating capacity, but the BDS score indicates active updating capacity (Waris et al., 2015). This study demonstrated an improved memory span and reduced cognitive processing of older adults in the experimental group. Nevertheless, the null effect was found for the BDS. These findings align with previous studies in that the FDS test was less sensitive to decline because of aging (Sun et al., 2005) but more sensitive to change because of cognitive training than those of the BDS test (Borella et al., 2013). Likewise, previous studies also suggested the FDS score showed less g-loaded measures and implies a major environment influence (i.e., practice and training effects) (Bartels et al., 2010; Ganzach, 2016; Roediger & Karpicke, 2006; te Nijenhuis & van der Flier, 2013; Wongupparaj et al., 2017). The FDS and BDS tests measures share cognitive processing in that the FDS reflects the passive updating processing, but the BDS requires beyond mere storage of representation (i.e., manipulation and processing) (Bopp & Verhaeghen, 2005). Specifically, the BDS is fundamentally a more attentionally demanding test than the FDS (Coalson et al., 2010; Wongupparaj et al., 2017). Therefore, a longer period of the intervention may accordingly benefit more complex cognitive functions (i.e., active updating of WM).

The VR-based training showed an improved shifting ability of older adults in the experimental group as indexed by the percentage of correct response (i.e., RA). These results align with the previous study in that the correct response was improved after the training (Grönholm-Nyman et al., 2017). The null effects for other shifting parameters (i.e., perseverative errors and the number of trials to complete the first category) may reflect a slower update of the cognitive function relating to schema thresholds within the basal ganglia and a decline in sensitivity to feedback (Caso & Cooper, 2021). In sum, the present results highlighted the benefits of the VR-based cognitive intervention for enhancing EFs of older adults. The underlying mechanism of the training on EFs may reflect the effectiveness of the current VR protocol wherein it was developed according to the initial findings of the pilot study in older adults. The training activities also focused on two EF-related constructs (the visuospatial WM and visuomotor adaptation) and complied with the ADDIE model.

Moreover, the indirect effects of the use of VR environments may be influential as indicated in previous studies suggesting the positive effects of VR intervention on participants in terms of increasing engagement and motivation (Makransky et al., 2019; Mouatt et al., 2020), enhancing ecological validity (Bauer & Andringa, 2020), improving embodied cognition (Peeters & Segundo-Ortin, 2019), and stimulating multisensory integration for learning (Bauer & Andringa, 2020). It is evident that the VR-based intervention showed a powerful real-life impact and a good stability of results over time, similar to that of the classical evidence-based treatments (Morina et al., 2015; Opri s et al., 2012). Further, the VR therapeutic technique demonstrated several potential clinical uses, and it might also be considered for routine use in rehabilitation (Geraets et al., 2021; Tennant et al., 2020; Triegaardt et al., 2020). Nonetheless, much of the research with technologies behind the VR-based trainings and interventions is still in the intervention development phase. Building on the promising results of the current study, further studies will begin to observe intervention efficacy studies in terms of specific components of the intervention, dosing, frequency of intervention, intensity, number of repetitions, and measurement tool (Proffitt & Lange, 2015; Ridout et al., 2021).

Finally, this study comprises several limitations that deserve comment. First, this study excluded the follow-up; thus, the middle- and long-term effects of the VR-based intervention were unobserved. Second, there was no subgroup analysis on sex for the VR-based intervention. Third, emotional and well-being-related effects of the VR-based intervention should also be further investigated. Beside cognitive effects, the intervention based on emotional and well-being aspects can yield positive outcomes for aging adaptation and quality of life of older adults (Delhom et al., 2020). Fourth, further study should also evaluate motor functions of the VR-based intervention.

Conclusion

The findings of this study can provide stronger support for the VR-based intervention on cognitive abilities in older adults without cognitive impairment, especially in a higher cognitive function (i.e., EFs). Additionally, the current findings support the generalization of the effectiveness of VR training in developing countries. Nevertheless, future research should therefore continue to utilize the VR-based cognitive intervention in tandem with other treatment modes, brain stimulation, or traditional rehabilitation in older patients. Given the immediate beneficial training effects of several EF parameters, further studies should investigate middleand long-term training periods and the benefits resulting from the VR-based cognitive intervention.

Conflict of Interest

The authors of this article declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to sincerely thank the research assistants of this project, that is, Miss Chanmeardey Chiv, Mr Oussa Em, Miss Wanvipha Hongnaphadol, and Miss Natthapat Thammasittivate.

References

- Alves, J., Magalhães, R., Machado, A., Gonçalves, O. F., Sampaio, A., & Petrosyan, A. (2013). Non-pharmacological cognitive intervention for aging and dementia: Current perspectives. World Journal of Clinical Cases, 1(8), 233-241. https://doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v1.i8.233
- Appel, L., Appel, E., Bogler, O., Wiseman, M., Cohen, L., Ein, N., Abrams, H. B., & Campos, J. L. (2020). Older adults with cognitive and/or physical impairments can benefit from immersive virtual reality experiences: A feasibility study. Frontiers in Medicine, 6, 329-329. https://doi. org/10.3389/fmed.2019.00329
- Bartels, C., Wegrzyn, M., Wiedl, A., Ackermann, V., & Ehrenreich, H. (2010). Practice effects in healthy adults: A longitudinal study on frequent repetitive cognitive testing. *BMC Neuroscience*, 11(1), Article 118. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-11-118
- Bauer, A. C. M., & Andringa, G. (2020). The potential of immersive virtual reality for cognitive training in elderly. *Gerontology*, 66(6), 614-623. https://doi.org/10.1159/000509830
- Bell-McGinty, S., Podell, K., Franzen, M., Baird, A. D., & Williams, M. J. (2002). Standard measures of executive function in predicting instrumental activities of daily living in older adults. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 17(9), 828-834. https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.646
- Bonnechère, B., Langley, C., & Sahakian, B. J. (2020). The use of commercial computerised cognitive games in older adults: A meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), Article 15276. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-72281-3
- Bopp, K. L., & Verhaeghen, P. (2005). Aging and verbal memory span: A meta-analysis. The Journals of Gerontology: Series B, 60(5), P223-P233. https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/60.5.P223
- Borella, E., Carretti, B., Zanoni, G., Zavagnin, M., & De Beni, R. (2013). Working memory training in old age: An examination of transfer and maintenance effects. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology, 28(4), 331-347. https://doi.org/10.1093/arclin/act020
- Brown, L. A., Brockmole, J. R., Gow, A. J., & Deary, I. J. (2012). Processing speed and visuospatial executive function predict visual working memory

ability in older adults. *Experimental Aging Research*, *38*(1), 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1080/0361073X.2012.636722

Brown, T. A. (2006). Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research. Guilford Press.

- Campbell, K. L., Lustig, C., & Hasher, L. (2020). Aging and inhibition: Introduction to the special issue. *Psychology and Aging*, 35(5), 605-613. https://doi.org/10.1037/pag0000564
- Caserman, P., Garcia-Agundez, A., Gámez Zerban, A., & Göbel, S. (2021). Cybersickness in current-generation virtual reality head-mounted displays: systematic review and outlook. *Virtual Reality*, 25(4), 1153-1170. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-021-00513-6
- Caso, A., & Cooper, R. P. (2021). Executive functions in aging: An experimental and computational study of the Wisconsin Card Sorting and Brixton Spatial Anticipation Tests. *Experimental Aging Research*, 48(2), 99-135. https://doi.org/10.1080/0361073X.2021.1932202
- Coalson, D. L., Raiford, S. E., Saklofske, D. H., & Weiss, L. G. (2010). WAIS-IV: Advances in the assessment of intelligence. In L. G. Weiss, D. H. Saklofske, D. L. Coalson, & S. E. Raiford (Eds.), WAIS-IV clinical use and interpretation (Chapter 1, pp. 3-23). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-375035-8.10001-1
- Cullum, C. M. (1998). 4.11 Neuropsychological assessment of Adults. In A. S. Bellack & M. Hersen (Eds.), Comprehensive clinical psychology (pp. 303-347), Pergamon. https://doi.org/10.1016/B0080-4270(73)00227-3
- 347). Pergamon. https://doi.org/10.1016/B0080-4270(73)00227-3
 Cullum, C. M., & Larrabee, G. J. (2010). WAIS-IV use in neuropsychological assessment. In L. G. Weiss, D. H. Saklofske, D. L. Coalson, & S. E. Raiford (Eds.), WAIS-IV clinical use and interpretation (Chapter 6, pp. 167-187). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-375035-8.10006-0
- Cummings, J. L. (2004). Alzheimer's disease. New England Journal of Medicine, 351(1), 56-67. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra040223
- Daucourt, M. C., Schatschneider, C., Connor, C. M., Al Otaiba, S., & Hart, S. A. (2018). Inhibition, updating working memory, and shifting predict reading disability symptoms in a hybrid model: Project KIDS [Original Research]. Frontiers in Psychology, 9, Article 238). https://doi. org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00238
- Delhom, I., Satorres, E., & Meléndez, J. C. (2020). Can we improve emotional skills in older adults? Emotional intelligence, life satisfaction, and resilience. *Psychosocial Intervention*, 29(3), 133-139. https://doi. org/10.5093/pi2020a8
- Dermody, G., Whitehead, L., Wilson, G., & Glass, C. (2020). The role of virtual reality in improving health outcomes for community-dwelling older adults: Systematic review [Review]. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 22(6), e17331. https://doi.org/10.2196/17331
- Desjardins-Crépeau, L., Berryman, N., Fraser, S. A., Vu, T. T. M., Kergoat, M.-J., Li, K. Z., Bosquet, L., & Bherer, L. (2016). Effects of combined physical and cognitive training on fitness and neuropsychological outcomes in healthy older adults. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, 11, 1287-1299. https://doi. org/10.2147/cia.s115711
- Diamond, A. (2013). Executive functions. Annual Review of Psychology, 64(1), 135-168. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143750
- Duda, B. M., & Sweet, L. H. (2020). Functional brain changes associated with cognitive training in healthy older adults: A preliminary ALE metaanalysis. Brain Imaging and Behavior, 14(4), 1247-1262. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11682-019-00080-0
- Editorial. (2017). Dementia burden coming into focus. *The Lancet, 390*(10113), 2606. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)33304-4
- Edmonds, W., & Kennedy, T. (2017). An applied guide to research designs: Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods (2nd ed.). https://doi. org/10.4135/9781071802779
- Falkenstein, M., Hoormann, J., & Hohnsbein, J. (2002). Inhibition-related ERP components: Variation with modality, age, and time-on-task. *Journal* of Psychophysiology, 16(3), 167-175. https://doi.org/10.1027/0269-8803.16.3.167
- Ferguson, H. J., Brunsdon, V. E. A., & Bradford, E. E. F. (2021). The developmental trajectories of executive function from adolescence to old age. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), Article 1382. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-80866-1
- Fisk, J. E., & Sharp, C. A. (2004). Age-related impairment in executive functioning: Updating, inhibition, shifting, and access. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 26(7), 874-890. https://doi. org/10.1080/13803390490510680
- Fox, C. J., Mueller, S. T., Gray, H. M., Raber, J., & Piper, B. J. (2013). Evaluation of a short-form of the Berg Card Sorting Test. *PloS one*, 8(5), e63885-e63885. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063885
- Fraser, S. A., Li, K. Z.-H., Berryman, N., Desjardins-Crépeau, L., Lussier, M., Vadaga, K., Lehr, L., Minh Vu, T. T., Bosquet, L., & Bherer, L. (2017). Does combined physical and cognitive training improve dual-task balance and gait outcomes in sedentary older adults? [Original research]. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 10, Article 688. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fnhum.2016.00688
- Gamboz, N., Borella, E., & Brandimonte, M. A. (2009). The role of switching, inhibition, and working memory in older adults' performance in the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test. Aging, Neuropsychology, and Cognition, 16(3), 260-284. https://doi.org/10.1080/13825580802573045
- Ganzach, Y. (2016). Another look at the Spearman's hypothesis and relationship between Digit Span and General Mental Ability. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 45, 128-132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2015.12.026

- Gavelin, H. M., Dong, C., Minkov, R., Bahar-Fuchs, A., Ellis, K. A., Lautenschlager, N. T., Mellow, M. L., Wade, A. T., Smith, A. E., Finke, C., Krohn, S., & Lampit, A. (2021). Combined physical and cognitive training for older adults with and without cognitive impairment: A systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Ageing Research Reviews*, 66, Article 101232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2020.101232
- Gavelin, H. M., Lampit, A., Hallock, H., Sabatés, J., & Bahar-Fuchs, A. (2020). Cognition-oriented treatments for older adults: A systematic overview of systematic reviews. *Neuropsychology Review*, 30(2), 167-193. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11065-020-09434-8
- Geraets, C. N. W., van der Stouwe, E. C. D., Pot-Kolder, R., & Veling, W. (2021). Advances in immersive virtual reality interventions for mental disorders: A new reality? *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 41, 40-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2021.02.004
- Goghari, V. M., & Lawlor-Savage, L. (2018). Self-perceived benefits of cognitive training in healthy older adults [Original research]. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience, 10, Article 112. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2018.00112
- Neuroscience, 10, Article 112. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2018.00112
 Grande, G., Qiu, C., & Fratiglioni, L. (2020). Prevention of dementia in an ageing world: Evidence and biological rationale. Ageing Research Reviews, 64, Article 101045. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2020.101045
- Grogan, J. P., Knight, L. E., Smith, L., Irigoras Izagirre, N., Howat, A., Knight, B. E., Bickerton, A., Isotalus, H. K., & Coulthard, E. J. (2018). Effects of Parkinson's disease and dopamine on digit span measures of working memory. *Psychopharmacology*, 235(12), 3443-3450. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00213-018-5058-6
- Grönholm-Nyman, P., Soveri, A., Rinne, J. O., Ek, E., Nyholm, A., Stigsdotter Neely, A., & Laine, M. (2017). Limited effects of set shifting training in healthy older adults. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 9, Article 69. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2017.00069
- Gunner, J. H., Miele, A. S., Lynch, J. K., & McCaffrey, R. J. (2012). Performance of non-neurological older adults on the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test and the Stroop Color–Word Test: Normal variability or cognitive impairment? Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology, 27(4), 398-405. https://doi.org/10.1093/arclin/acs047
- Guo, W., Zang, M., Klich, S., Kawczyński, A., Smoter, M., & Wang, B. (2020). Effect of combined physical and cognitive interventions on executive functions in older adults: A meta-analysis of outcomes. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(17), 6166. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17176166
- Hao, L., Xu, X., Dupre, M. E., Guo, A., Zhang, X., Qiu, L., Zhao, Y., & Gu, D. (2020). Adequate access to healthcare and added life expectancy among older adults in China. *BMC Geriatrics*, 20(1), Article 129. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12877-020-01524-9
- Heinzel, S., Schulte, S., Onken, J., Duong, Q.-L., Riemer, T. G., Heinz, A., Kathmann, N., & Rapp, M. A. (2014). Working memory training improvements and gains in non-trained cognitive tasks in young and older adults. *Aging, Neuropsychology, and Cognition, 21*(2), 146-173. https://doi.org/10.1080/13825585.2013.790338
- Holtzer, R., Wang, C., Lipton, R., & Verghese, J. (2012). The protective effects of executive functions and episodic memory on gait speed decline in aging defined in the context of cognitive reserve. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 60(11), 2093-2098. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2012.04193.x
- Hong, X., Liu, Y., Sun, J., & Tong, S. (2016). Age-related differences in the mModulation of small-world brain networks during a Go/Nogo task [Original research]. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience, 8, Article 100. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2016.00100
- Huygelier, H., Schraepen, B., van Ee, R., Vanden Abeele, V., & Gillebert, C. R. (2019). Acceptance of immersive head-mounted virtual reality in older adults. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 4519-4519. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-019-41200-6
- Ihle, A., Gouveia, É. R., Gouveia, B. R., & Kliegel, M. (2020). Cognitive reserve moderates the predictive role of memory complaints for subsequent decline in executive functioning. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders Extra*, 10(2), 69-73. https://doi.org/10.1159/000508363
- Jia, L., Du, Y., Chu, L., Zhang, Z., Li, F., Lyu, D., Li, Y., Li, Y., Zhu, M., Jiao, H., Song, Y., Shi, Y., Zhang, H., Gong, M., Wei, C., Tang, Y., Fang, B., Guo, D., Wang, ... Qiu, Q. (2020). Prevalence, risk factors, and management of dementia and mild cognitive impairment in adults aged 60 years or older in China: A cross-sectional study. *The Lancet Public Health*, *5*(12), e661-e671. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2468-2667(20)30185-7
 Jin, S., Li, C., Cao, X., Chen, C., Ye, Z., & Liu, Z. (2022). Association of lifestyle
- Jin, S., Li, C., Cao, X., Chen, C., Ye, Z., & Liu, Z. (2022). Association of lifestyle with mortality and the mediating role of aging among older adults in China. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics, 98, Article 104559. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2021.104559
- Kim, D. (2021). Development and effect of virtual reality practice program for improving practical competency of caregivers specializing in dementia. *Healthcare*, 9(10), Article 1390. https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9032/9/10/1390
- Kim, E., Han, J., Choi, H., Prié, Y., Vigier, T., Bulteau, S., & Kwon, G. H. (2021). Examining the academic trends in neuropsychological tests for executive functions using virtual reality: Systematic literature review [Review]. JMIR Serious Games, 9(4), Article e30249. https://doi. org/10.2196/30249
- Lakens, D. (2013). Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: A practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs [Review].

Frontiers in Psychology, 4. Article 863. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpsyg.2013.00863

- Lange, F., Kröger, B., Steinke, A., Seer, C., Dengler, R., & Kopp, B. (2016). Decomposing card-sorting performance: Effects of working memory load and age-related changes. Neuropsychology, 30(5), 579-590. https://doi.org/10.1037/neu0000271
- Levey, A. I. (2021). Progress with treatments for Alzheimer's disease. New England Journal of Medicine, 384(18), 1762-1763. https://doi. org/10.1056/NEJMe2103722
- Lezak, M. D. (1982). The problem of assessing executive functions. International Journal of Psychology, 17(1-4), 281-297. https://doi. org/10.1080/00207598208247445
- Li, N., Chen, G., Xie, Y., & Chen, Z. (2021). Aging effect on visuomotor adaptation: Mediated by cognitive decline [Original research]. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience, 13, Article 714. https://doi. org/10.3389/fnagi.2021.742928
- Livingston, G., Huntley, J., Sommerlad, A., Ames, D., Ballard, C., Banerjee, S., Brayne, C., Burns, A., Cohen-Mansfield, J., Cooper, C., Costafreda, S. G., Dias, A., Fox, N., Gitlin, L. N., Howard, R., Kales, H. C., Kivimäki, M., Larson, E. B., Ogunniyi, A., ... Mukadam, N. (2020). Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the Lancet Commission. *The Lancet*, *396*(10248), 413-446. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(20)30367-6
- Livingston, G., Sommerlad, A., Orgeta, V., Costafreda, S. G., Huntley, J., Ames, D., Ballard, C., Banerjee, S., Burns, A., Cohen-Mansfield, J., Cooper, C., Fox, N., Gitlin, L. N., Howard, R., Kales, H. C., Larson, E. B., Ritchie, K., Rockwood, K., Sampson, E. L., ... Mukadam, N. (2017). Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. The Lancet, 390(10113), 2673-2734. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(17)31363-6
- Lu, N., & Lou, V. W. Q. (2022). Community social capital and cognitive function among older adults in rural north-east China: The moderator role of income. Health & Social Care in the Community, 30(1), 225-234. https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.13395
- Makransky, G., Borre-Gude, S., & Mayer, R. E. (2019). Motivational and cognitive benefits of training in immersive virtual reality based on multiple assessments. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 35(6), 691-707. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12375
- Mascret, N., Delbes, L., Voron, A., Temprado, J.-J., & Montagne, G. (2020). Acceptance of a virtual reality headset designed for fall prevention in older adults: Questionnaire study. Journal of Medical Internet
- Research, 22(12), Article e20691. https://doi.org/10.2196/20691 Meltzer, J. A., Kates Rose, M., Le, A. Y., Spencer, K. A., Goldstein, L., Gubanova, A., Lai, A. C., Yossofzai, M., Armstrong, S. E. M., & Bialystok, E. (2021). Improvement in executive function for older adults through smartphone apps: A randomized clinical trial comparing language learning and brain training. Aging, Neuropsychology, and Cognition, 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1080/13825585.2021.1991262
- Miranda, A. R., Franchetto Sierra, J., Martínez Roulet, A., Rivadero, L., Serra, S. V., & Soria, E. A. (2020). Age, education and gender effects on Wisconsin card sorting test: Standardization, reliability and validity in healthy Argentinian adults. Aging, Neuropsychology, and Cognition, 27(6), 807-825. https://doi.org/10.1080/13825585.2019.1693491
- Mitchell, S. L. (2015). Advanced dementia. New England Journal of Medicine, 372(26), 2533-2540. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMcp1412652 Miyake, A., & Friedman, N. P. (2012). The nature and organization of
- individual differences in executive functions: Four general conclusions. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 21(1), 8-14. https://doi. org/10.1177/0963721411429458
- Miyake, A., Friedman, N. P., Rettinger, D. A., Shah, P., & Hegarty, M. (2001). How are visuospatial working memory, executive functioning, and spatial abilities related? A latent-variable analysis. Journal of *Experimental Psychology: General, 130*(4), 621-640. https://doi. org/10.1037//0096-3445.130.4.621
- Morina, N., Ijntema, H., Meyerbröker, K., & Emmelkamp, P. M. G. (2015). Can virtual reality exposure therapy gains be generalized to real-life? A meta-analysis of studies applying behavioral assessments. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 74, 18-24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. brat.2015.08.010
- Mouatt, B., Smith, A. E., Mellow, M. L., Parfitt, G., Smith, R. T., & Stanton, T. R. (2020). The Use of virtual reality to influence motivation, Affect, enjoyment, and engagement during exercise: A scoping review [Systematic review]. Frontiers in Virtual Reality, 1. Article 564664. https://doi.org/10.3389/frvir.2020.564664
- Mowszowski, L., Lampit, A., Walton, C. C., & Naismith, S. L. (2016). Strategybased cognitive training for improving executive functions in older adults: A systematic review. Neuropsychology Review, 26(3), 252-270. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-016-9329
- Muangpaisan, W., Assantachai, P., Sitthichai, K., Richardson, K., & Brayne, C. (2015). The distribution of Thai Mental State Examination Scores among non-demented elderly in suburban Bangkok metropolitan and associated factors. Journal of Medical Association of Thailand, 98(9), 916-924.
- Mueller, S. T., & Piper, B. J. (2014). The psychology experiment building language (PEBL) and PEBL Test Battery. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 222, 250-259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2013.10.024 Muñoz, J. E., Montoya, M. F., & Boger, J. (2022). From exergames to immersive
- virtual reality systems: Serious games for supporting older adults. In

M.-A. Choukou & S. Syed-Abdul (Eds.), Smart home technologies and services for geriatric rehabilitation (Chapter 7, pp. 141-204). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-85173-2.00011-4

- Najberg, H., Wachtl, L., Anziano, M., Mouthon, M., & Spierer, L. (2020). Aging modulates prefrontal plasticity induced by executive control training. Cerebral Cortex, 31(2), 809-825. https://doi.org/10.1093/ cercor/bhaa259
- Nguyen, L., Murphy, K., & Andrews, G. (2019a). Cognitive and neural plasticity in old age: A systematic review of evidence from executive functions cognitive training. *Ageing Research Reviews, 53*, Article 100912. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2019.100912
- Nguyen, L., Murphy, K., & Andrews, G. (2019b). Immediate and long-term efficacy of executive functions cognitive training in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 145(7), 698-733. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000196
- Nyberg, L., & Eriksson, J. (2015). Working memory: Maintenance, uUpdating, and the realization of intentions. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, 8(2), a021816-a021816. https://doi.org/10.110/cshperspect.a021816 Oosterman, J. M., Jansen, M. G., Scherder, E. J. A., & Kessels, R. P. C. (2021).
- Cognitive reserve relates to executive functioning in the old-old. Aging Clinical and Experimental Research, 33(9), 2587-2592. https://doi. org/10.1007/s40520-020-01758-y Opriş, D., Pintea, S., García-Palacios, A., Botella, C., Szamosközi, Ş., & David,
- D. (2012). Virtual reality exposure therapy in anxiety disorders: A quantitative meta-analysis. Depression and Anxiety, 29(2), 85-93. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/da.20910 Park, M. J., Kim, D. J., Lee, U., Na, E. J., & Jeon, H. J. (2019). A literature
- overview of virtual reality (VR) in treatment of psychiatric disorders: Recent advances and limitations [Mini review]. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 10, Article 505. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00505
- Peeters, A., & Segundo-Ortin, M. (2019). Misplacing memories? An enactive approach to the virtual memory palace. Consciousness and Cognition, 76, Article 102834. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.concog.2019.102834 Piper, B. J., Li, V., Eiwaz, M. A., Kobel, Y. V., Benice, T. S., Chu, A. M., Olsen, R.
- H. J., Rice, D. Z., Gray, H. M., Mueller, S. T., & Raber, J. (2012). Erratum to: Executive function on the psychology experiment building Language tests. Behavior Research Methods, 44(1), 124-124. https:// doi.org/10.3758/s13428-011-0173-x
- Piper, B. J., Mueller, S. T., Geerken, A. R., Dixon, K. L., Kroliczak, G., Olsen, R. H. J., & Miller, J. K. (2015). Reliability and validity of neurobehavioral function on the Psychology Experimental Building Language Test Battery in young adults. PeerJ, 3, Article e1460. https://doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.1460
- Proffitt, R., & Lange, B. (2015). Considerations in the efficacy and effectiveness of virtual reality interventions for stroke rehabilitation: Moving the field forward. Physical Therapy, 95(3), 441-448. https:// doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20130571
- Rabinovici, G. D., Stephens, M. L., & Possin, K. L. (2015). Executive dysfunction. Behavioral Neurology and Neuropsychiatry, 21(3), 646-659. https://doi.org/10.1212/01.CON.0000466658.05156
- Rahe, J., Petrelli, A., Kaesberg, S., Fink, G. R., Kessler, J., & Kalbe, E. (2015). Effects of cognitive training with additional physical activity compared to pure cognitive training in healthy older adults. Clinical Interventions *in Aging, 10*, 297-310. https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S74071 Rebok, G. W., Ball, K., Guey, L. T., Jones, R. N., Kim, H.-Y., King, J. W., Marsiske,
- M., Morris, J. N., Tennstedt, S. L., Unverzagt, F. W., & Willis, S. L. (2014). Ten-year effects of the advanced cognitive training for independent and vital elderly cognitive training trial on cognition and everyday functioning in older adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 62(1), 16-24. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.12607 Reijnders, J., van Heugten, C., & van Boxtel, M. (2013). Cognitive interventions
- in healthy older adults and people with mild cognitive impairment: A systematic review. *Ageing Research Reviews*, *12*(1), 263-275. https:// doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2012.07.003
- Reuter-Lorenz, P. A., Festini, S. B., & Jantz, T. K. (2021). Chapter 5 executive functions and neurocognitive aging. In K. W. Schaie & S. L. Willis (Eds.), Handbook of the psychology of aging (9th ed., pp. 67-81). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-816094-7.00019-2
- Rey-Mermet, A., & Gade, M. (2018). Inhibition in aging: What is preserved? What declines? A meta-analysis. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 25(5), 1695-1716. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-017-1384-7
- Ridderinkhof, K. R., Span, M. M., & van der Molen, M. W. (2002). Perseverative behavior and adaptive control in older adults: Performance monitoring, rule induction, and set shifting. Brain and Cognition, 49(3), 382-401. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1006/brcg.2001.1506
- Ridout, B., Kelson, J., Campbell, A., & Steinbeck, K. (2021). Effectiveness of virtual reality interventions for adolescent patients in hospital dettings: Systematic review [Review]. Journal of Medival Internet
- dettings: Systematic review [Review]. Journal of Medival Internet Resestvh, 23(6), Article e24967. https://doi.org/10.2196/24967
 Roediger, H. L., & Karpicke, J. D. (2006). Test-enhanced learning: Taking memory tests improves long-term retention. Psychological Science, 17(3), 249-255. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01693.x
 Sanjuán, M., Navarro, E., & Calero, M. D. (2020). Effectiveness of cognitive interventions in older adults: A review. European Journal of Investigation in Health. Psychology and Education 10(3), 876-898
- Investigation in Health, Psychology and Education, 10(3), 876-898. https://www.mdpi.com/2254-9625/10/3/63

- Scheltens, P., De Strooper, B., Kivipelto, M., Holstege, H., Chételat, G., Teunissen, C. E., Cummings, J., & van der Flier, W. M. (2021). Alzheimer's disease. *The Lancet*, 397(10284), 1577-1590. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(20)32205-4
- Schroder, E., Dubuson, M., Dousset, C., Mortier, E., Kornreich, C., & Campanella, S. (2020). Training inhibitory control induced robust neural changes when behavior is affected: A follow-up study using cognitive event-related potentials. *Clinical EEG and Neuroscience*, 51(5), 303-316. https://doi.org/10.1177/1550059419895146
- Seifert, A., & Schlomann, A. (2021). The use of virtual and augmented reality by older adults: Potentials and challenges [Opinion]. Frontiers in Virtual Reality, 2. https://doi.org/10.3389/frvir.2021.639718
- Shao, R., & Lee, T. (2014). Aging and risk taking: Toward an integration of cognitive, emotional, and neurobiological perspectives. *Neuroscience* and Neuroeconomics, 3, 47-62. https://doi.org/10.2147/NAN.S35914
- Shetty, P. (2012). Grey matter: Ageing in developing countries. *The Lancet*, 379(9823), 1285-1287. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60541-
- Skurla, M. D., Rahman, A. T., Salcone, S., Mathias, L., Shah, B., Forester, B. P., & Vahia, I. V. (2021). Virtual reality and mental health in older adults: A systematic review. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 34(2), 1-13. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S104161022100017X
- Stirling, L. A., Lipsitz, L. A., Qureshi, M., Kelty-Stephen, D. G., Goldberger, A. L., & Costa, M. D. (2013). Use of a tracing task to assess visuomotor performance: Effects of age, sex, and handedness. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, 68(8), 938-945. https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/ glt003
- Sun, X., Zhang, X., Chen, X., Zhang, P., Bao, M., Zhang, D., Chen, J., He, S., & Hu, X. (2005). Age-dependent brain activation during forward and backward digit recall revealed by fMRI. *NeuroImage*, 26(1), 36-47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.01.022
- Syed-Abdul, S., Malwade, S., Nursetyo, A. A., Sood, M., Bhatia, M., Barsasella, D., Liu, M. F., Chang, C.-C., Srinivasan, K., M, R., & Li, Y.-C. J. (2019). Virtual reality among the elderly: A usefulness and acceptance study from Taiwan. *BMC Geriatrics*, 19(1), Article 223. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12877-019-1218-8
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2001). Using multivariate statistics (4th ed.). Allyn & Bacon.
- te Nijenhuis, J., & van der Flier, H. (2013). Is the Flynn effect on g? A meta-analysis. Intelligence, 41(6), 802-807. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. intell.2013.03.001
- Tennant, M., McGillivray, J., Youssef, G. J., McCarthy, M. C., & Clark, T.-J. (2020). Feasibility, acceptability, and clinical implementation of an immersive virtual reality intervention to address psychological well-being in children and adolescents with cancer. Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing, 37(4), 265-277. https://doi.org/10.1177/1043454220917859
- Thabtah, F., Peebles, D., Retzler, J., & Hathurusingha, C. (2020). A review of dementia screening tools based on Mobile application. *Health and Technology*, 10(5), 1011-1022. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12553-020-00426-5
- Triegaardt, J., Han, T. S., Sada, C., Sharma, S., & Sharma, P. (2020). The role of virtual reality on outcomes in rehabilitation of Parkinson's disease: Meta-analysis and systematic review in 1031 participants. *Neurological Sciences*. 41(3), 529-536. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-019-04144-3
- Sciences, 41(3), 529-536. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-019-04144-3 United Nations Report. (2019a). World population ageing 2019 (WorldPopulationAgeing2019-Highlights.pdf). https://www.un.org/ en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/ageing/
- United Nations Report. (2019b). World population prospects https:// population.un.org/wpp/Graphs/Probabilistic/OADR/60plus/15-59/900

- van Dalen, J. W., Brayne, C., Crane, P. K., Fratiglioni, L., Larson, E. B., Lobo, A., Lobo, E., Marcum, Z. A., Moll van Charante, E. P., Qiu, C., Riedel-Heller, S. G., Röhr, S., Rydén, L., Skoog, I., van Gool, W. A., & Richard, E. (2022). Association of systolic blood pressure with dementia risk and the role of age, U-shaped associations, and mortality. JAMA Internal Medicine, 182(2), 142-152. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2021.7009
 Veríssimo, J., Verhaeghen, P., Goldman, N., Weinstein, M., & Ullman, M. T.
- Veríssimo, J., Verhaeghen, P., Goldman, N., Weinstein, M., & Ullman, M. T. (2022). Evidence that ageing yields improvements as well as declines across attention and executive functions. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 6(1), 97-110. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01169-7
- Wager, T. D., & Smith, E. E. (2003). Neuroimaging studies of working memory: A meta-analysis. Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience, 3(4), 255-274. https://doi.org/10.3758/cabn.3.4.255
- Wang, L., Bolin, J., Lu, Z., & Carr, M. (2018). Visuospatial working memory mediates the relationship between executive functioning and spatial ability [Original research]. Frontiers in Psychology, 9, Article 2302. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02302
- Waris, O., Soveri, A., & Laine, M. (2015). Transfer after working memory updating training. *PloS One*, 10(9), e0138734-e0138734. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0138734
- Wilkinson, A. J., & Yang, L. (2015). Long-term maintenance of inhibition training effects in older adults: 1- and 3-year follow-up. *The Journals* of Gerontology: Series B, 71(4), 622-629. https://doi.org/10.1093/ geronb/gbu179
- Willis, S. L., Tennstedt, S. L., Marsiske, M., Ball, K., Elias, J., Koepke, K. M., Morris, J. N., Rebok, G. W., Unverzagt, F. W., Stoddard, A. M., Wright, E., & ACTIVE Study Group, f. t. (2006). Long-term effects of cognitive training on everyday functional outcomes in older adults. JAMA, 296(23), 2805-2814. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.296.23.2805
- 266(23), 2805-2814. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.296.23.2805
 Wollesen, B., Wildbredt, A., van Schooten, K. S., Lim, M. L., & Delbaere, K. (2020). The effects of cognitive-motor training interventions on executive functions in older people: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Review of Aging and Physical Activity*, 17(1), Article 9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s11556-020-00240-y
- Wongupparaj, P., Wongupparaj, R., Kumari, V., & Morris, R. G. (2017). The Flynn effect for verbal and visuospatial short-term and working memory: A cross-temporal meta-analysis. *Intelligence*, 64, 71-80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2017.07.006
- World Health Organization. (2021). Ageing and health. https://www.who. int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ageing-and-health
- World Medical Association. (2013). World Medical Association declaration of Helsinki: Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. JAMA, 310(20), 2191-2194. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.2013.281053
- Yianopoulou, K. G., & Papageorgiou, S. G. (2020). Current and future treatments in Alzheimer disease: An update. Journal of Central Nervous System Disease, 12, 1179573520907397. https://doi. org/10.1177/1179573520907397
- Yu, S.-J., Hsueh, Y.-L., Sun, J. C.-Y., & Liu, H.-Z. (2021). Developing an intelligent virtual reality interactive system based on the ADDIE model for learning pour-over coffee brewing. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 2*, Article 100030. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. caeai.2021.100030
- Zhu, S., Sui, Y., Shen, Y., Zhu, Y., Ali, N., Guo, C., & Wang, T. (2021). Effects of virtual reality intervention on cognition and motor function in older adults with mMild cognitive impairment or dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis [Systematic review]. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience, 13, Article 217. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fnagi.2021.586999