

Deep brain stimulation in the treatment of depression

Sibylle Delaloye, MD; Paul E. Holtzheimer, MD



Major depressive disorder is a worldwide disease with debilitating effects on a patient's life. Common treatments include pharmacotherapy, psychotherapy, and electroconvulsive therapy. Many patients do not respond to these treatments; this has led to the investigation of alternative therapeutic modalities. Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is one of these modalities. It was first used with success for treating movement disorders and has since been extended to the treatment of psychiatric disorders. Although DBS is still an emerging treatment, promising efficacy and safety have been demonstrated in preliminary trials in patients with treatment-resistant depression (TRD). Further, neuroimaging has played a pivotal role in identifying some DBS targets and remains an important tool for evaluating the mechanism of action of this novel intervention. Preclinical animal studies have broadened knowledge about the possible mechanisms of action of DBS for TRD. Given that DBS involves neurosurgery in patients with severe psychiatric impairment, ethical questions concerning capacity to consent arise; these issues must continue to be carefully considered.

© 2014, AICH – Servier Research Group

Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2014;16:83-91.

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a common disorder with a prevalence of 4.7% (4.4% to 5.0%) worldwide,¹ and a 7% prevalence in the United States.² It is a disorder that affects a patient's ability to work and function in society; it leads to increased morbidity and consequently increased use of health resources. In a World Health Organization study from 2004, it ranked third in worldwide contribution to disease burden and first in high-income countries for individuals under 60 years of age.³ From 1990 to 2010, MDD has advanced worldwide from 15th to 11th place in contributing to years lost due to disability, indicating a 37% increase during these 20 years.⁴

The most common current treatments available for MDD are antidepressant medications and evidence-based psychotherapy. Although many patients respond to these treatments, only a third enter complete and sustained remission.⁵ Patients with treatment-resistant depression (TRD) have increased disability and a higher risk of relapse. Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) can be efficacious in patients with TRD,⁶⁻⁸ but has several drawbacks. First, it must be done in a center that can provide anesthesia and associated monitoring, thus limiting access. Second, ECT is associated with cognitive side effects that can be significant in a minority of patients.⁹⁻¹² Third, 10% to 50% of TRD patients do not achieve and/or maintain remission with ECT.^{13,14} Ablative neurosurgical procedures have been used to treat the most

Keywords: mood disorder; serotonin; cortex; stress; development; antidepressant

Author affiliations: Department of Psychiatry, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Lebanon, New Hampshire, USA

Address for correspondence: Paul E. Holtzheimer, Mood Disorders Service, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center, One Medical Center Drive, Lebanon, NH 03756, USA (e-mail: paul.e.holtzheimer@hitchcock.org)

Clinical research

Selected abbreviations and acronyms

DBS	<i>Deep brain stimulation</i>
ECT	<i>Electroconvulsive therapy</i>
NAc	<i>Nucleus accumbens</i>
SCC	<i>Subcallosal cingulate</i>
TRD	<i>Treatment-resistant depression</i>
VC/VS	<i>Ventral capsule/ventral striatum</i>

severely ill TRD patients for whom all other treatment approaches have failed.¹⁵ These irreversible surgical interventions have shown efficacy in some patients, but have also been associated with infection, permanent cognitive side effects, and seizures.¹⁵⁻¹⁷

Over the past two decades, novel treatment approaches for TRD have emerged. Two devices for performing repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation are now Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved for the treatment of MDD with a modest degree of treatment resistance.^{18,19} However, repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation is likely not as efficacious as ECT²⁰ and requires daily treatments over several weeks—this may present a significant logistical barrier to some patients. Another minimally invasive treatment being investigated for treating modestly resistant depression is transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS). Although preliminary studies have shown some evidence of antidepressant efficacy, these data are mixed, and results from larger, placebo-controlled trials are lacking.²¹⁻²⁴ A vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) device has been approved by the FDA for TRD. VNS is more invasive than ECT, TMS, and tDCS, requiring minor surgery to implant the stimulation electrode and the battery pack/controller.²⁵ Efficacy of VNS is somewhat controversial.^{26,27} The only randomized and sham-controlled trial of VNS for TRD showed no difference between active and sham stimulation after 10 weeks.²⁸ The remaining efficacy data are limited to open-label long-term results in comparison with a nonrandomized treatment-as-usual control group. These data suggest some benefit for long-term VNS in TRD, though absolute response and remission rates are relatively low.²⁹

Deep brain stimulation (DBS) involves a neurosurgical procedure to stereotactically implant electrodes into a specific brain region; these electrodes are connected to a subcutaneous implantable pulse generator that controls stimulation and provides the power source for the DBS system. Typically, continuous electrical stimulation is provided. DBS is a relatively well-tolerated therapy, the most common adverse events being associ-

ated with the neurosurgical procedure: infection, hemorrhage, perioperative headache, seizure, and lead fracture.^{30,31} Specific side effects can be associated with acute and chronic stimulation.

The target for DBS electrode placement can vary significantly based on the disorder being treated and the neuroanatomical models of the disorder. DBS devices have been approved by the FDA for the treatment of movement disorders and have shown good efficacy in treatment of Parkinson's disease, essential tremor, and dystonia.³² Additionally, DBS has been explored in several neuropsychiatric disorders. The first neuropsychiatric application of DBS was for obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD),³³ with electrodes placed in the anterior limb of the internal capsule—a previous ablative target for treating severe, treatment-refractory OCD. Subsequent studies have suggested a modest, but clinically significant benefit for DBS in patients with severe, treatment-refractory OCD.³⁴ A DBS system has received a Humanitarian Device Exemption from the FDA for the treatment of OCD. The first cases of using DBS for Gilles de la Tourette syndrome occurred around the same time as for OCD,³⁵ and in larger studies efficacy has been demonstrated for various targets.³⁶ DBS has also been proposed for the treatment of severe, treatment-resistant addiction, where a small dataset supported efficacy in treating this disorder.³⁷ The unexpected observation of cognitive improvement in dementia in a study of DBS for obesity³⁸ has led to its evaluation as a treatment for Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's dementia.³⁹

Significant interest has been generated by the potential for DBS to treat severe TRD. In this review, the clinical data on safety and efficacy of DBS in TRD will be presented. The role of neuroimaging in the development and optimization of DBS will be discussed, as well as its role in studying mechanisms of action. Further, preclinical animal data on potential mechanisms of DBS for TRD will be reviewed. Finally, critical ethical issues related to decision-making capacity and informed consent for TRD patients considering DBS will be examined.

Clinical data on deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression

Subcallosal cingulate

The first target investigated for DBS for TRD was the subcallosal cingulate (SCC) white matter, occasionally

referred to as Cg25 or Brodmann area 25.⁴⁰ This target was chosen based on a neuroimaging database which suggested that this region was critical for depression and the antidepressant response—especially in TRD.⁴¹ In an initial proof-of-concept study, four of six patients with extreme TRD were in or near remission following 6 months of open-label chronic SCC DBS. An expanded study of 20 patients showed a 60% response rate at 1 year and a remission rate around 50%—results that were generally maintained over several years.^{31,40,42} Of note, most symptoms of depression improved, with insomnia, decreased energy, interest and psychomotor speed, disturbed social contact, apathy, anhedonia, poor concentration, and planning all showing improvement after 3, 6, and 12 months of follow-up.

Subsequent open-label studies of SCC DBS in TRD have demonstrated remission rates ranging from 33% to 58% with chronic stimulation (12 to 36 months).⁴³⁻⁴⁷ A case report showed efficacy for SCC DBS in a patient who previously had a dorsal anterior cingulotomy (which was initially beneficial, but followed by a depressive relapse).⁴⁸ In one study, blinded discontinuation was associated with a significant increase in depression that improved when stimulation was reinstated.⁴⁴ Across these various studies, no adverse effects were seen with acute or chronic SCC DBS. No cognitive impairments were found with long-term stimulation, and improvements were noted⁴⁹ (Moreines et al, unpublished data). In one study including seven patients with bipolar II disorder, none showed hypomania or mania with acute or chronic stimulation.⁴⁴

Ventral capsule/ventral striatum and nucleus accumbens

The ventral internal capsule/ventral striatum (VC/VS) was the first target for the treatment of OCD, based on previous lesional therapies. Interestingly, depression also improved in OCD patients treated with DBS in this region.^{34,50} This observation led to an open-label pilot study of VC/VS DBS in TRD, which demonstrated a 53% response rate and 40% remission rate at last follow-up (between 6 and 51 months of stimulation).⁵¹ These encouraging preliminary data led to a pivotal, double-blind, randomized, sham-controlled trial of VC/VS DBS in 30 patients with TRD. Unfortunately, no statistically significant efficacy was seen for active vs sham (off) DBS after 4 months of chronic treatment.

Response rates were 20% and 14.3% in the active and sham groups, respectively.⁵² In studies of VC/VS DBS for OCD and depression, a number of mood, anxiety, and other changes have been associated with acute stimulation (eg, panic attacks, euphoria, facial muscle activity). However, these changes could be eliminated with adjustment of stimulation parameters and did not appear to relate to long-term efficacy.

The nucleus accumbens (NAc) comprises the majority of the ventral striatal aspect of the VC/VS DBS target. More focal DBS of the NAc for TRD was hypothesized to have potential efficacy based on its importance in reward-seeking behavior (recognizing the prominent role of anhedonia in the syndrome of depression).^{53,54} Indeed, in initial testing, anhedonia was one of the first symptoms to improve during NAc stimulation in TRD.^{54,55} In 11 patients with TRD, 12 months of chronic, open-label NAc DBS resulted in a 45% response rate and 9% remission rate.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ Acute return of depressive symptoms was seen with discontinuation of stimulation in three patients; reinitiation of stimulation resulted in the return of the antidepressant effects.⁵⁴ This study of NAc DBS reported similar acute effects of stimulation as with VC/VS DBS; as with VC/VS DBS, these effects could be ameliorated with stimulation parameter adjustment. No negative neuropsychological effects were identified with either acute or chronic VC/VS or NAc DBS.

Medial forebrain bundle

A more recent DBS target for TRD is the medial forebrain bundle (MFB), which includes ascending and descending white matter fibers connecting the ventral tegmental area with the nucleus accumbens. As with the NAc, a role for the MFB in TRD was hypothesized based on its role in reward processing.^{57,58} In an open-label, proof-of-concept study, rapid antidepressant effects were seen in six of seven TRD patients with MFB DBS, with benefits maintained for at least 12 to 33 weeks.⁵⁸ Vision/eye movement changes were seen in all patients, related to specific stimulation parameters. No cognitive impairments were noted following months of stimulation.

Other targets

Other targets considered for DBS for TRD include: (i) the inferior thalamic peduncle⁵⁹—this target may also

Clinical research

have benefits for OCD^{60,61}; (ii) the lateral habenular complex⁶²; and (iii) the rostral cingulate gyrus.⁶³

Summary

Preliminary studies of DBS in the treatment of TRD have suggested safety and efficacy for several targets. The most experience to date is with the SCC target. Unique among these studies are data on the MFB target which suggest more rapid antidepressant efficacy than with the other targets. However, in interpreting these data, caution is warranted. The majority of the studies are small and open-label. The one sham-controlled study of a DBS target (VC/VS) showed no separation between active and sham stimulation for antidepressant efficacy. This highlights the importance of sham-controlled trials before embracing treatment modalities with encouraging preliminary data.

Application of neuroimaging to studies of deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression

As above, functional neuroimaging played a pivotal role in the development of the SCC DBS target for TRD,⁴¹ and also helped validate the lateral habenula as a potential DBS target for TRD treatment.⁶⁴ Intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may help improve accuracy of lead placement for DBS and assist in evaluating acute changes associated with neurosurgery, such as hemorrhage, intracranial air, or brain shift.⁶⁵ Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) an MRI technique especially useful for imaging white matter and providing a white matter tractography, was used to locate the MFB target in a patient-specific manner.⁵⁸ DTI may eventually be helpful in optimizing electrode placement for other DBS targets for TRD.⁶⁶⁻⁷⁰ Much of this work suggests that using patient-specific tractography activation models would improve targeting; these models calculate the volume of stimulation/activation from the electrode and perform patient-specific tractography from these volumes.^{67,68,71,72}

Additionally, neuroimaging has been used to assess the mechanism of action of DBS. One DTI study showed high interconnectivity between multiple targets used in DBS for patients with TRD,⁷³ and other identified key areas of overlap in projections from these targets suggesting common downstream regions that may need to be impacted for antidepressant efficacy.⁷⁰

Similarly, functional neuroimaging (primarily using positron emission tomography) has shown changes in brain activity associated with successful DBS for TRD with the SCC,⁴⁰ and the NAc targets.^{54,55} A resting-state electroencephalography study assessed brain activity before and after SCC DBS for TRD and found that baseline prefrontal/anterior cingulate theta activity predicted which patients would have a greater antidepressant effect with chronic stimulation.⁷⁴ Additionally, this theta activity showed differential changes over time in responders vs nonresponders.⁷⁴ This is consistent with prior studies showing that prefrontal/anterior cingulate theta activity is related to symptoms of depression, such as attention, emotional regulation, and memory,⁷⁵ as well as studies associating prefrontal theta activity with antidepressant response to medication.^{76,77} Functional MRI studies have been utilized less in the postoperative study of DBS, due to concerns about patient safety. Generally, the brain regions implicated by the diffusion tensor imaging and functional neuroimaging studies overlap, helping to confirm that the structural and functional connectivity of these regions with the DBS target are critical to the success of the intervention.

Preclinical studies of deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression

In contrast to the typical way of evaluating new treatment modalities for depression, DBS in TRD was first investigated in patients rather than animal models. This was largely based on the safety/efficacy of DBS in patients with movement disorders, a history of relatively safe/efficacious ablative surgery in humans with severe psychiatric illness, strength of neuroimaging data delineating the presumed neural circuitry of depression, and the absence of adequate animal models for TRD. However, once preliminary safety and efficacy of DBS for TRD was demonstrated in humans, many investigators have turned to animal studies to help investigate potential mechanisms of action for this intervention. In rats, high-frequency stimulation of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC, a homologue of the SCC) has been associated with antidepressant-like effects using the forced swim test.^{78,79} Both vmPFC and NAc stimulation have been shown to reverse anhedonic-like states in rats exposed to chronic stress.^{80,81} In a mouse model of enhanced depression- and anxiety-like behavior, NAc DBS induced antidepressant and anxiolytic responses in

affected animals, but no behavioral changes in normal depression/anxiety animals.⁸²

Animal studies have additionally helped clarify effective parameter sets. For the vmPFC/SCC target, a series of studies showed that: (i) high-frequency stimulation (130 Hz) was more effective than low-frequency stimulation (20 Hz); (ii) prelimbic (PL) cortical stimulation was more effective than infralimbic (IL) stimulation⁷⁸; (iii) a current intensity between 100 to 300 microA was more effective than 400 microA; and (iv) unilateral left-sided stimulation was as effective as bilateral stimulation.⁷⁸ Simple ablation of the PL/IL region was not associated with significant antidepressant-like effects,⁷⁹ though other studies have shown antidepressant-like effects with ablation or inactivation of the IL target.^{83,84} Interestingly, lesions of the local gray matter, while preserving white matter fibers of passage, was associated with antidepressant-like effects.⁷⁹ This suggests the mechanism of DBS for TRD may not be simply due to local inhibitory effects, but may involve stimulation of white matter tracts—similar to findings seen in Parkinson’s disease.⁸⁵

For the NAc target, it has been shown that continuous stimulation was more effective than intermittent stimulation.⁸⁶ Consistent with imaging studies in humans, DBS of the NAc has been associated with remote brain activity changes in the prefrontal cortex, insula, cingulate, and parahippocampus in a pig model.⁸⁷

Depletion of serotonin blocks the antidepressant-like effects of medial frontal stimulation in rats, while depletion of norepinephrine does not⁷⁹; this suggests a critical role for serotonin (among other monoamines) in the mechanism of action of DBS for TRD. Stimulation of the NAc has been associated with increased monoamine levels in rats corresponding to improvement in depressive- and/or anxiety-like behaviors,^{86,88,89} though another study showed that internal capsule stimulation resulted in greater anxiolytic effects.⁹⁰ Beyond the monoamines, stimulation of the vmPFC, NAc, or ventral tegmental area has been associated with increased brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) levels in rats using a chronic mild stress paradigm^{80,81,90}; prior to stimulation the rats prone to depressive-like behavior showed lower BDNF levels than control rats.⁹¹ Therefore, as with other antidepressant treatments (including medication and ECT), the mechanism of DBS for TRD may involve upregulation of neurotrophic systems.^{92,93}

Ethical concerns associated with deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression

Ethical considerations in medicine include beneficence, non-maleficence, and autonomy.^{94,95} Consequently, regulations and supervision need to be implemented for clinical trials, especially considering the potential impairment in decision-making inherent to neuropsychiatric illnesses and the invasiveness of DBS.^{96,97} In depression, one must consider the goal of treatment: happiness versus euthymia. If a treatment induces “joy” or “feeling good,” then how much is too much? Rather than simply treating depression, the effects of treatment might be seen as an end itself, similar to studies of intracranial self-stimulation in animals.⁹⁸ This is a potential concern with some DBS targets for neurologic and neuropsychiatric disorders where euphoria, and even frank mania, can be induced with stimulation.^{34,51,55,99-101} However, in these studies, primary efficacy could be obtained without these side effects via careful selection of stimulation parameters for chronic stimulation. For depression studies, the goal should be euthymia and normal mood regulation, not heightened hedonic response above a patient’s nondepressed baseline.

Another important ethical concern is decision-making capacity. Can patients with severe TRD (often with some degree of suicidal ideation) truly give free, informed consent to participate in a study with potentially serious/life-threatening risks? Additionally, patients may have unrealistic expectations related to the intervention.^{102,103} Recognizing these concerns, groups are beginning to assess this in conjunction with clinical trials. Some groups have advocated for extensive external review boards to monitor and approve patients for study inclusion; this is largely based on a concern that DBS not be viewed in the same vein as prefrontal leucotomy^{104,105} and protect patients whose decision-making capacity and judgment might be impaired by their severe psychiatric illness.¹⁰⁵ Therefore, these recommendations were largely theoretical and not evidence-based, encouraging investigators in this field to “be on the safe side.” However, based on a careful review of the literature, Dunn et al have argued that no *additional* specific safeguards are needed in obtaining informed consent from patients with severe TRD compared with other patients with severe, life-threatening, disabling medical conditions—depression, in and of itself, does not uniquely impair decision-making

Clinical research

capacity or judgment.¹⁰⁶ However, decision-making capacity, as well as understanding of the study must be carefully assessed. To this end, a study-specific MacArthur Competence Assessment Tool for Clinical Research (MacCAT-CR) was used in a trial of SCC DBS for TRD patients and found that patients with TRD showed no significant deficits in study understanding, though there was a trend for patients with more severe depression to have greater “therapeutic misconception”: ie, on average, subjects gave answers that appeared to show that they misunderstood the purpose of the study, likelihood of personal benefit, or individualization of treatment (eg, overestimating likelihood of benefit, underestimating risk).¹⁰⁷ However, a more detailed analysis of these data and patient’s specific comments validated that patients demonstrated intact decision-making capacity and informed consent procedures were appropriate.¹⁰⁸

As the indications for DBS expand, concerns related to specific populations will arise: eg, how should the informed consent process be conducted in “vulnerable” populations such as children, patients with dementia, and patients with severe cognitive disorders?⁹⁷ On the one hand, these patients should not be denied enrollment in trials of a potentially efficacious treatment simply because of limited decision-making capacity.¹⁰⁹ On the other, very careful attention must be paid to voluntariness, consent/assent, and appropriateness for inclusion. To this end, eligibility criteria should be carefully considered (to insure scientific validity for studies likely to

have a small sample size), and the informed consent process should include mechanisms to evaluate decision-making capacity as well as patients’ understanding and appreciation of the risks/potential benefits of the study. Ideally, a comprehensive registry of efficacy and safety should be created. In developing guidelines for such studies, input from all stakeholders should be considered.⁹⁷

Conclusion

DBS is emerging as a potential intervention for patients with severe depression for whom no reasonable treatment options are available. Data remain quite preliminary for the various targets that have been investigated. Beyond simple demonstration of safety and efficacy, a growing number of human and animal studies are beginning to delineate potential mechanisms of action for DBS for TRD. As the field expands (to larger studies and new indications), a number of ethical concerns should be considered, especially related to voluntariness, informed consent, and the possibility of therapeutic misconception. With careful and considered study, the hope is that DBS might become an important treatment option for some of the most severely affected patients with neuropsychiatric diseases, as it has in the field of neurology. □

Disclosures: PEH has received consulting fees from St Jude Medical Neuromodulation and Cervel Neurotech; honorarium from Johnson and Johnson; grants from NIMH, Otsuka and Cervel Neurotech.

REFERENCES

1. Ferrari AJ, Somerville AJ, Baxter AJ, et al. Global variation in the prevalence and incidence of major depressive disorder: a systematic review of the epidemiological literature. *Psychol Med*. 2013;43:471-481.
2. Kessler RC, Chiu WT, Demler O, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2005;62:617-627.
3. World Health Organization. *The Global Burden of Disease: 2004 Update*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2008.
4. Murray CJ, Vos T, Lozano R, et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2012;380:2197-2223.
5. Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Wisniewski SR, et al. Acute and longer-term outcomes in depressed outpatients requiring one or several treatment steps: a STAR*D report. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2006;163:1905-1917.
6. Kho KH, van Vreeswijk MF, Simpson S, Zwinderman AH. A meta-analysis of electroconvulsive therapy efficacy in depression. *J ECT*. 2003;19:139-147.
7. Prudic J, Sackeim HA, Devanand DP. Medication resistance and clinical response to electroconvulsive therapy. *Psychiatry Res*. 1990;31:287-296.
8. Devanand DP, Sackeim HA, Prudic J. Electroconvulsive therapy in the treatment-resistant patient. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*. 1991;14:905-923.
9. Sackeim HA, Prudic J, Fuller R, Keilp J, Lavori PW, Olfson M. The cognitive effects of electroconvulsive therapy in community settings. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2007;32:244-254.

10. Sackeim HA. Memory and ECT: from polarization to reconciliation. *J ECT*. 2000;16:87-96.
11. Donahue AB. Electroconvulsive therapy and memory loss: a personal journey. *J ECT*. 2000;16:133-143.
12. Zervas IM, Calev A, Jandorf L, et al. Age-dependent effects of electroconvulsive therapy on memory. *Convuls Ther*. 1993;9:39-42.
13. Tokutsu Y, Umene-Nakano W, Shinkai T, et al. Follow-up study on electroconvulsive therapy in treatment-resistant depressed patients after remission: a chart review. *Clin Psychopharmacol Neurosci*. 2013;11:34-38.
14. Nordenskjold A, von Knorring L, Engstrom I. Predictors of time to relapse/recurrence after electroconvulsive therapy in patients with major depressive disorder: a population-based cohort study. *Depress Res Treat*. 2011;2011:470985.
15. Patel SR, Aronson JP, Sheth SA, Eskandar EN. Lesion procedures in psychiatric neurosurgery. *World Neurosurg*. 2013;80:S31.e9-e16.
16. Dashti SR, Baharvahdat H, Spetzler RF, et al. Operative intracranial infection following craniotomy. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2008;24:E10.
17. Ochsner KN, Kosslyn SM, Cosgrove GR, et al. Deficits in visual cognition and attention following bilateral anterior cingulotomy. *Neuropsychologia*. 2001;39:219-230.
18. Rossi S, Hallett M, Rossini PM, Pascual-Leone A. Safety, ethical considerations, and application guidelines for the use of transcranial magnetic stimulation in clinical practice and research. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2009;120:2008-2039.
19. Medical devices; neurological devices; classification of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation system. Final rule. *Fed Regist*. 2011;76:44489-44491.

La estimulación cerebral profunda en el tratamiento de la depresión

El trastorno depresivo mayor es una enfermedad a nivel mundial que deteriora la vida del paciente. Los tratamientos habituales incluyen farmacoterapia, psicoterapia y terapia electroconvulsiva. Ya que muchos pacientes no responden a estos tratamientos se ha generado la investigación de otras alternativas de intervención terapéutica. La estimulación cerebral profunda (ECP) es una de estas modalidades. Esta terapia se empleó inicialmente con éxito para el tratamiento de trastornos motores y luego se ha extendido al tratamiento de trastornos psiquiátricos. Aunque la ECP todavía es un tratamiento naciente, en los ensayos preliminares en pacientes con depresión resistente al tratamiento (DRT) se ha demostrado su prometedora eficacia y seguridad. Además, las neuroimágenes han jugado un papel central en la identificación de algunos blancos para la ECP y se mantienen como una importante herramienta para la evaluación del mecanismo de acción de esta nueva intervención. Los estudios animales preclínicos han ampliado el conocimiento sobre los posibles mecanismos de acción de la ECP para las DRT. Dado que la ECP involucra neurocirugía en pacientes con deterioro psiquiátrico grave, surgen aspectos éticos respecto a la capacidad de consentir y estos temas deben ser tomados en cuenta con mucho cuidado.

Stimulation cérébrale profonde dans le traitement de la dépression

L'épisode dépressif caractérisé est une pathologie mondiale aux effets débilissants sur la vie des patients. La pharmacothérapie, la psychothérapie et l'électroconvulsivothérapie sont des traitements courants. De nombreux patients ne répondent pas à ces traitements, ce qui a conduit à la recherche de traitements alternatifs. La stimulation cérébrale profonde (SCP) en est un. Elle a d'abord été utilisée avec succès pour le traitement des dyskinésies et a depuis été élargie au traitement des troubles psychiatriques. Bien que la SCP soit encore un traitement récent, des études préliminaires chez des patients déprimés résistants au traitement (DRT) ont montré une efficacité et une sécurité prometteuses. De plus, la neuro-imagerie a joué un rôle pivot dans l'identification des cibles pour la SCP et reste un outil important pour évaluer le mécanisme d'action de cette nouvelle technique. Des études précliniques chez l'animal ont élargi la connaissance sur les mécanismes d'action possibles de la SCP pour les DRT. La SCP impliquant un geste de neurochirurgie chez des patients ayant un trouble psychiatrique sévère, des questions éthiques se posent quant à la capacité de consentement de patients. Ces questions doivent continuer à être soigneusement prises en considération.

20. Xie J, Chen J, Wei Q. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation versus electroconvulsive therapy for major depression: a meta-analysis of stimulus parameter effects. *Neurol Res.* 2013;35:1084-1091.
21. Brunoni AR, Schestatsky P, Lotufo PA, Bensenor IM, Fregni F. Comparison of blinding effectiveness between sham tDCS and placebo sertraline in a 6-week major depression randomized clinical trial. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2013;125:298-305.
22. Boggio PS, Rigonatti SP, Ribeiro RB, et al. A randomized, double-blind clinical trial on the efficacy of cortical direct current stimulation for the treatment of major depression. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2008;11:249-254.
23. Fregni F, Boggio PS, Nitsche MA, Marcolin MA, Rigonatti SP, Pascual-Leone A. Treatment of major depression with transcranial direct current stimulation. *Bipolar Disord.* 2006;8:203-204.
24. Loo CK, Alonzo A, Martin D, Mitchell PB, Galvez V, Sachdev P. Transcranial direct current stimulation for depression: 3-week, randomised, sham-controlled trial. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2012;200:52-59.
25. Groves DA, Brown VJ. Vagal nerve stimulation: a review of its applications and potential mechanisms that mediate its clinical effects. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2005;29:493-500.
26. Berry SM, Broglio K, Bunker M, Jayewardene A, Olin B, Rush AJ. A patient-level meta-analysis of studies evaluating vagus nerve stimulation therapy for treatment-resistant depression. *Med Devices (Auckl).* 2013;6:17-35.
27. Blumberger DM, Mulsant BH, Daskalakis ZJ. What is the role of brain stimulation therapies in the treatment of depression? *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2013;15:368.
28. Rush AJ, Marangell LB, Sackeim HA, et al. Vagus nerve stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: a randomized, controlled acute phase trial. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2005;58:347-354.
29. Martin JL, Martin-Sanchez E. Systematic review and meta-analysis of vagus nerve stimulation in the treatment of depression: variable results based on study designs. *Eur Psychiatry.* 2012;27:147-155.
30. Appleby BS, Duggan PS, Regenberg A, Rabins PV. Psychiatric and neuropsychiatric adverse events associated with deep brain stimulation: a meta-analysis of ten years' experience. *Mov Disord.* 2007;22:1722-1728.
31. Lozano AM, Mayberg HS, Giacobbe P, Hamani C, Craddock RC, Kennedy SH. Subcallosal cingulate gyrus deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2008;64:461-467.
32. Johnson MD, Miocinovic S, McIntyre CC, Vitek JL. Mechanisms and targets of deep brain stimulation in movement disorders. *Neurotherapeutics.* 2008;5:294-308.
33. Nuttin B, Cosyns P, Demeulemeester H, Gybels J, Meyerson B. Electrical stimulation in anterior limbs of internal capsules in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Lancet.* 1999;354:1526.
34. Greenberg BD, Rauch SL, Haber SN. Invasive circuitry-based neurotherapeutics: stereotactic ablation and deep brain stimulation for OCD. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2010;35:317-336.

Clinical research

35. Vandewalle V, van der Linden C, Groenewegen HJ, Caemaert J. Stereotactic treatment of Gilles de la Tourette syndrome by high frequency stimulation of thalamus. *Lancet*. 1999;353:724.
36. Porta M, Servello D, Zanaboni C, et al. Deep brain stimulation for treatment of refractory Tourette syndrome: long-term follow-up. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 2012;154:2029-2041.
37. Pierce RC, Vassoler FM. Deep brain stimulation for the treatment of addiction: basic and clinical studies and potential mechanisms of action. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2013;229:487-491.
38. Hamani C, McAndrews MP, Cohn M, et al. Memory enhancement induced by hypothalamic/fornix deep brain stimulation. *Ann Neurol*. 2008;63:119-123.
39. Laxton AW, Lozano AM. Deep brain stimulation for the treatment of Alzheimer disease and dementias. *World Neurosurg*. 2012;80:S28.e1-8.
40. Mayberg HS, Lozano AM, Voon V, et al. Deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Neuron*. 2005;45:651-660.
41. Mayberg HS. Targeted electrode-based modulation of neural circuits for depression. *J Clin Invest*. 2009;119:717-725.
42. Kennedy SH, Giacobbe P, Rizvi SJ, et al. Deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: follow-up after 3 to 6 years. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2011;168:502-510.
43. Puigdemont D, Perez-Egea R, Portella MJ, et al. Deep brain stimulation of the subcallosal cingulate gyrus: further evidence in treatment-resistant major depression. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2011;1-13.
44. Holtzheimer PE, Kelley ME, Gross RE, et al. Subcallosal cingulate deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant unipolar and bipolar depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2012;69:150-158.
45. Lozano AM, Giacobbe P, Hamani C, et al. A multicenter pilot study of subcallosal cingulate area deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *J Neurosurg*. 2012;116:315-322.
46. Merkl A, Schneider GH, Schonecker T, et al. Antidepressant effects after short-term and chronic stimulation of the subgenual cingulate gyrus in treatment-resistant depression. *Exp Neurol*. 2013;249:160-168.
47. Ramasubbu R, Anderson S, Haffenden A, Chavda S, Kiss ZH. Double-blind optimization of subcallosal cingulate deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: a pilot study. *J Psychiatry Neurosci*. 2013;38:325-332.
48. Neimat JS, Hamani C, Giacobbe P, et al. Neural stimulation successfully treats depression in patients with prior ablative cingulotomy. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2008;165:687-693.
49. McNeely HE, Mayberg HS, Lozano AM, Kennedy SH. Neuropsychological impact of Cg25 deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: preliminary results over 12 months. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 2008;196:405-410.
50. Aouizerate B, Cuny E, Martin-Guehl C, et al. Deep brain stimulation of the ventral caudate nucleus in the treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder and major depression. Case report. *J Neurosurg*. 2004;101:682-686.
51. Malone DA Jr, Dougherty DD, Rezaei AR, et al. Deep brain stimulation of the ventral capsule/ventral striatum for treatment-resistant depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009;65:267-275.
52. Dougherty DD, Carpenter LL, Bhati MT, et al. A randomized sham-controlled trial of DBS of the VCVS for treatment-resistant depression. Paper presented at: 67th Annual Meeting of the Society of Biological Psychiatry; May 3-5, 2012; Philadelphia, PA.
53. Sesack SR, Grace AA. Cortico-basal ganglia reward network: microcircuitry. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2010;35:27-47.
54. Schlaepfer TE, Cohen MX, Frick C, et al. Deep brain stimulation to reward circuitry alleviates anhedonia in refractory major depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2008;33:368-377.
55. Bewernick BH, Hurlmann R, Matusch A, et al. Nucleus accumbens deep brain stimulation decreases ratings of depression and anxiety in treatment-resistant depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010;67:110-116.
56. Bewernick BH, Kayser S, Sturm V, Schlaepfer TE. Long-term effects of nucleus accumbens deep brain stimulation in treatment-resistant depression: evidence for sustained efficacy. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2012;37:1975-1985.
57. Coenen VA, Panksepp J, Hurwitz TA, Urbach H, Madler B. Human medial forebrain bundle (MFB) and anterior thalamic radiation (ATR): imaging of two major subcortical pathways and the dynamic balance of opposite affects in understanding depression. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2012;24:223-236.
58. Schlaepfer TE, Bewernick BH, Kayser S, Madler B, Coenen VA. Rapid Effects of Deep Brain Stimulation for Treatment-Resistant Major Depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2013;73:1204-1212.
59. Jimenez F, Velasco F, Salin-Pascual R, et al. A patient with a resistant major depression disorder treated with deep brain stimulation in the inferior thalamic peduncle. *Neurosurgery*. 2005;57:585-593.
60. Jimenez F, Velasco F, Salin-Pascual R, et al. Neuromodulation of the inferior thalamic peduncle for major depression and obsessive compulsive disorder. *Acta Neurochir Suppl*. 2007;97(Pt 2):393-398.
61. Jimenez F, Nicolini H, Lozano AM, Piedimonte F, Salin R, Velasco F. Electrical stimulation of the inferior thalamic peduncle in the treatment of major depression and obsessive compulsive disorders. *World Neurosurg*. 2012;80:S30.e17-25.
62. Sartorius A, Kiening KL, Kirsch P, et al. Remission of major depression under deep brain stimulation of the lateral habenula in a therapy-refractory patient. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010;67:e9-e11.
63. Sakas DE, Panourias IG. Rostral cingulate gyrus: A putative target for deep brain stimulation in treatment-refractory depression. *Med Hypotheses*. 2006;66:491-494.
64. Morris JS, Smith KA, Cowen PJ, Friston KJ, Dolan RJ. Covariation of activity in habenula and dorsal raphe nuclei following tryptophan depletion. *Neuroimage*. 1999;10:163-172.
65. Huston OO, Watson RE, Bernstein MA, et al. Intraoperative magnetic resonance imaging findings during deep brain stimulation surgery. *J Neurosurg*. 2011;115:852-857.
66. Bhatia KD, Henderson L, Ramsey-Stewart G, May J. Diffusion tensor imaging to aid subgenual cingulum target selection for deep brain stimulation in depression. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg*. 2012;90:225-232.
67. Lujan JL, Chaturvedi A, Malone DA, Rezaei AR, Machado AG, McIntyre CC. Axonal pathways linked to therapeutic and nontherapeutic outcomes during psychiatric deep brain stimulation. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2012;33:958-968.
68. Lujan JL, Chaturvedi A, Choi KS, et al. Tractography-activation models applied to subcallosal cingulate deep brain stimulation. *Brain Stimul*. 2013;6:737-739.
69. Johansen-Berg H, Gutman DA, Behrens TE, et al. Anatomical connectivity of the subgenual cingulate region targeted with deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Cereb Cortex*. 2008;18:1374-1383.
70. Gutman DA, Holtzheimer PE, Behrens TE, Johansen-Berg H, Mayberg HS. A tractography analysis of two deep brain stimulation white matter targets for depression. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009;65:276-282.
71. McIntyre CC, Miocinovic S, Butson CR. Computational analysis of deep brain stimulation. *Expert Rev Med Devices*. 2007;4:615-622.
72. Butson CR, Cooper SE, Henderson JM, Wolgamuth B, McIntyre CC. Probabilistic analysis of activation volumes generated during deep brain stimulation. *Neuroimage*. 2011;54:2096-2104.
73. Schoene-Bake JC, Parpaley Y, Weber B, Panksepp J, Hurwitz TA, Coenen VA. Tractographic analysis of historical lesion surgery for depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2010;35:2553-2563.
74. Broadway JM, Holtzheimer PE, Hilimire MR, et al. Frontal theta coherence predicts 6-month antidepressant response to subcallosal cingulate deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: a pilot study. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2012;37:1764-1772.
75. Knyazev GG. Motivation, emotion, and their inhibitory control mirrored in brain oscillations. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2007;31:377-395.
76. Pizzagalli D, Pascual-Marqui RD, Nitschke JB, et al. Anterior cingulate activity as a predictor of degree of treatment response in major depression: evidence from brain electrical tomography analysis. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2001;158:405-415.
77. Leuchter AF, Cook IA, Hunter AM, Korb AS. A new paradigm for the prediction of antidepressant treatment response. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*. 2009;11:435-446.
78. Hamani C, Diwan M, Isabella S, Lozano AM, Nobrega JN. Effects of different stimulation parameters on the antidepressant-like response of medial prefrontal cortex deep brain stimulation in rats. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2010;44:683-687.
79. Hamani C, Diwan M, Macedo CE, et al. Antidepressant-like effects of medial prefrontal cortex deep brain stimulation in rats. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010;67:117-124.

80. Hamani C, Machado DC, Hipolide DC, et al. Deep brain stimulation reverses anhedonic-like behavior in a chronic model of depression: role of serotonin and brain derived neurotrophic factor. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2012;71:30-35.
81. Gersner R, Toth E, Isserles M, Zangen A. Site-specific antidepressant effects of repeated subconvulsive electrical stimulation: potential role of brain-derived neurotrophic factor. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010;67:125-132.
82. Schmuckermair C, Gaburro S, Sah A, Landgraf R, Sartori SB, Singewald N. Behavioral and neurobiological effects of deep brain stimulation in a mouse model of high anxiety- and depression-like behavior. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2013;38:1234-1244.
83. Scopinho AA, Scopinho M, Lisboa SF, Correa FM, Guimaraes FS, Joca SR. Acute reversible inactivation of the ventral medial prefrontal cortex induces antidepressant-like effects in rats. *Behav Brain Res*. 2010;214:437-442.
84. Slattery DA, Neumann I, Cryan JF. Transient inactivation of the infralimbic cortex induces antidepressant-like effects in the rat. *J Psychopharmacol*. 2010;10:1295-1303.
85. McIntyre CC, Grill WM, Sherman DL, Thakor NV. Cellular effects of deep brain stimulation: model-based analysis of activation and inhibition. *J Neurophysiol*. 2004;91:1457-1469.
86. Falowski SM, Sharan A, Reyes BA, Sikkema C, Szot P, Van Bockstaele EJ. An evaluation of neuroplasticity and behavior after deep brain stimulation of the nucleus accumbens in an animal model of depression. *Neurosurgery*. 2011;69:1281-1290.
87. Knight EJ, Min HK, Hwang SC, et al. Nucleus accumbens deep brain stimulation results in insula and prefrontal activation: a large animal fMRI study. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e56640.
88. Meng H, Wang Y, Huang M, Lin W, Wang S, Zhang B. Chronic deep brain stimulation of the lateral habenula nucleus in a rat model of depression. *Brain Res*. 2011;1422:32-38.
89. van Dijk A, Klompmaekers AA, Feenstra MG, Denys D. Deep brain stimulation of the accumbens increases dopamine, serotonin, and noradrenaline in the prefrontal cortex. *J Neurochem*. 2012;123:897-903.
90. van Dijk A, Klanker M, van Oorschot N, et al. Deep brain stimulation affects conditioned and unconditioned anxiety in different brain areas. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2013;3:e289.
91. Friedman A, Frankel M, Flaumenhaft Y, et al. Programmed acute electrical stimulation of ventral tegmental area alleviates depressive-like behavior. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2009;34:1057-1066.
92. Altar CA, Laeng P, Jurata LW, et al. Electroconvulsive seizures regulate gene expression of distinct neurotrophic signaling pathways. *J Neurosci*. 2004;24:2667-2677.
93. Duman RS, Monteggia LM. A neurotrophic model for stress-related mood disorders. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2006;59:1116-1127.
94. Synofzik M, Schlaepfer TE. Stimulating personality: ethical criteria for deep brain stimulation in psychiatric patients and for enhancement purposes. *Biotechnol J*. 2008;3:1511-1520.
95. Synofzik M, Schlaepfer TE. Electrodes in the brain—ethical criteria for research and treatment with deep brain stimulation for neuropsychiatric disorders. *Brain Stimul*. 2011;4:7-16.
96. Kuhn J, Gaebel W, Klosterkoetter J, Woopen C. Deep brain stimulation as a new therapeutic approach in therapy-resistant mental disorders: ethical aspects of investigational treatment. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2009;259 (suppl 2):S135-S141.
97. Rabins P, Appleby BS, Brandt J, et al. Scientific and ethical issues related to deep brain stimulation for disorders of mood, behavior, and thought. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2009;66:931-937.
98. Jacques S. Brain stimulation and reward: “pleasure centers” after twenty-five years. *Neurosurgery*. 1979;5:277-283.
99. Schilbach L, Weiss PH, Kuhn J, Timmermann L, Klosterkötter J, Huff W. Pharmacological treatment of deep brain stimulation-induced hypomania leads to clinical remission while preserving motor benefits. *Neurocase*. 2012;18:152-159.
100. Ulla M, Thobois S, Llorca PM, et al. Contact dependent reproducible hypomania induced by deep brain stimulation in Parkinson's disease: clinical, anatomical and functional imaging study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2011;82:607-614.
101. Chang CH, Chen SY, Hsiao YL, Tsai ST, Tsai HC. Hypomania with hypersexuality following bilateral anterior limb stimulation in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *J Neurosurg*. 2010;112:1299-1300.
102. Schlaepfer TE, Bewernick B, Kayser S, Lenz D. Modulating affect, cognition, and behavior - prospects of deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant psychiatric disorders. *Front Integr Neurosci*. 2011;5:29.
103. Schermer M. Ethical issues in deep brain stimulation. *Front Integr Neurosci*. 2011;5:17.
104. Fins JJ. From psychosurgery to neuromodulation and palliation: history's lessons for the ethical conduct and regulation of neuropsychiatric research. *Neurosurg Clin N Am*. 2003;14:303-319, ix-x.
105. Nuttin B, Gybels J, Cosyns P, et al. Deep brain stimulation for psychiatric disorders. *Neurosurg Clin N Am*. 2003;14:xv-xvi.
106. Dunn LB, Holtzheimer PE, Hoop JG, Mayberg HS, Roberts LW, Appelbaum PS. Ethical issues in deep brain stimulation research for treatment-resistant depression: focus on risk and consent. *AJOB Neuroscience*. 2011;2:29-36.
107. Fisher CE, Dunn LB, Christopher PP, et al. The ethics of research on deep brain stimulation for depression: decisional capacity and therapeutic misconception. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2012;1265:69-79.
108. Christopher PP, Leykin Y, Appelbaum PS, Holtzheimer PE 3rd, Mayberg HS, Dunn LB. Enrolling in deep brain stimulation research for depression: influences on potential subjects' decision making. *Depress Anxiety*. 2012;29:139-146.
109. Clausen J. Ethical brain stimulation - neuroethics of deep brain stimulation in research and clinical practice. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2010;32:1152-1162.