



Article Infections in G6PD-Deficient Hospitalized Patients—Prevalence, Risk Factors, and Related Mortality

Diaa Alrahmany¹, Ahmed F. Omar², Salima R. S. Al-Maqbali³, Gehan Harb⁴ and Islam M. Ghazi^{5,*}

- ¹ Pharmaceutical Care Department, Directorate General of Medical Supplies, Ministry of Health, Muscat HCX8+9PP, Oman; diaa.alrahmany@yahoo.com
- ² General Medicine Department, Suhar Hospital, Sohar 8MGH+JFH, Oman; farouqmail2000@yahoo.com
- ³ Department of Pathology and Blood Bank, Suhar Hospital, Sohar 8MGH+JFH, Oman; almoq96@yahoo.com
- ⁴ GH Statistics, Cairo 21524, Egypt; gehan.harb74@gmail.com
- ⁵ Arnold and Marie Schwartz College of Pharmacy, Long Island University, Brooklyn, NY 11201, USA
 - Correspondence: esl_ghz@hotmail.com; Tel.: +1-215-268-8908

Abstract: G6PD deficiency is a genetic disease that weakens the immune system and renders affected individuals susceptible to infections. In the Sultanate of Oman resides a high number of recorded G6PD cases due to widespread consanguineous marriage, which may reach 25% of the population. We studied the infection patterns and risk factors for mortality to provide antimicrobial stewardship recommendations for these patients. After obtaining ethical approval, a registry of recorded cases was consulted retrospectively to include G6PD-deficient adult patients admitted to Suhar hospital over 5 years with microbiologically confirmed infections. Patient demographics, health-related information, infection causes, treatment, and clinical outcomes were studied. Data were analyzed to describe infection patterns and risk factors. Several variables, including underlying comorbidities and hospitalization details, such as length of stay, admission to critical care unit, blood transfusion, or exposure to an invasive procedure, were statistically associated with the acquisition of multidrugresistant and hospital-acquired infections. Meanwhile, these infections were associated with a high mortality rate (28%), significantly associated with the patient's health status and earlier exposure to antimicrobial treatment due to previous bacterial infection. The high prevalence of G6PD deficiency among the Omani population should alert practitioners to take early action when dealing with such cases during infection that requires hospitalization. Strict infection control measures, Gram-negative empiric coverage, hospital discharge as early as possible, and potent targeted antimicrobial therapy in this patient population can ameliorate the treatment outcomes and should be emphasized by the antimicrobial stewardship team.

Keywords: G6PD deficiency; bacterial infections; prevalence; hospitalization; mortality; hospitalacquired infections; MDR-related infections

1. Introduction

Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) is a crucial enzyme for the proper functioning of red blood cells (RBCs). Genes encoding G6PD enzyme production are found on the long distal arm of the X chromosome [1]. The G6PD enzyme stimulates the reduction of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP) in the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) to generate NADPH; the latter is a substrate for NADPH oxidase responsible for regenerating the antioxidant glutathione that protects red blood cells (RBCs) against oxidative stress [2].

Genetic abnormalities causing deficiency of the G6PD enzyme lead to uncontrolled premature hemolysis of RBCs triggered by viral or bacterial infections, sulpha-containing drugs, and certain types of food, manifested mainly as fatigue, pallidness, jaundice, shortness of breath, tachycardia, dark urine, and splenomegaly [3]. Clinical symptom severity in G6PD-deficient patients corresponds to the level of G6PD activity in the affected cells. In



Citation: Alrahmany, D.; Omar, A.F.; Al-Maqbali, S.R.S.; Harb, G.; Ghazi, I.M. Infections in G6PD-Deficient Hospitalized Patients—Prevalence, Risk Factors, and Related Mortality. *Antibiotics* 2022, *11*, 934. https:// doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11070934

Academic Editor: Masafumi Seki

Received: 7 June 2022 Accepted: 6 July 2022 Published: 12 July 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). the absence of oxidative stress, even with substantially reduced enzyme activity, there may be few or no clinical symptoms.

A reduced NADPH pool causes reduced NADPH oxidase activity, leading to the defective production of reactive oxygen species (ROS)-related neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) required for the antimicrobial activity of phagocytes and leukocytes, leading to recurrent bacterial and fungal infections [4–6]. As one of the forefront immune cells recruited during infections, neutrophils exhibit a wide range of mechanisms to counteract bacterial invasion via phagocytosis and the production of ROS, proteases, and NETs. As a result, any failure to recruit neutrophils to an infection site fosters the propagation of systemic infection [7,8].

Clinically, G6PD deficiency was found to be more prevalent in infected males than in the matched groups and suggested to be a predictor of hospitalization and severe infections [9].

A plethora of bacterial and fungal infections was identified in several studies that described the infections in G6PD-deficient patients, among which pneumonia, gastrointestinal, osteomyelitis, cerebrospinal, and septicemia were more common. Most of these infections were caused by *Chromobacterium violaceum* [10], *Staphylococcus aureus* [11], *Escherichia coli* [12], *Serratia marcescens* [13], *Acinetobacter baumannii* [14], *Klebsiella pneumoniae* [15], *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [16], *Salmonella species*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Clostridium difficile* [17], and *Aspergillus species* [6].

G6PD deficiency is the most prevalent genetic enzyme deficiency affecting approximately 400 million individuals worldwide [18,19], with the highest prevalence in sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East's second-highest estimates [19]. Sultanate of Oman harbors one of the highest recorded cases of G6PD worldwide, almost 25% in males and 10% in females, due to the high rate of consanguinity marriage [20]. This opportunity may not exist in other communities due to the scarcity of G6PD deficiency cases to study and document the pattern of infectious diseases in patients suffering from this rare genetic blood disease. Landscaping the infection patterns and identifying patients at high risk of mortality may aid in developing clinical care algorithms that optimize treatment outcomes for this patient cohort.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Population

Genetically tested adult G6PD-deficient patients (>18 years) with infections confirmed by microbiological laboratory who were admitted over the period (1 January 2017, to 31 December 2021) to our tertiary care facility were included in this investigation. After the study was approved by the Ministry of Health's Research and Ethical Review Committee, patient-relevant data was collected from the hospital's electronic medical records.

We examined the patients' age, gender, clinical symptoms of infection (to exclude patients with colonization), existing comorbid conditions, diabetes mellitus (DM), chronic renal failure (CRF), active malignancy, immuno-suppressed, chronic cardiac diseases (CCD), chronic respiratory disease (CRD), exposure to invasive procedures (endotracheal tube insertion, urinary catheterization, wound debridement, venous catheterization, lumbar puncture or similar procedures) during admission, 90-day prior exposure to surgery, and 90-day history of infections. Hospitalization details included diagnosis at admission, discharge status, length of stay (LOS), and admission ward. Microbiological details included laboratory-confirmed microbiological cultures, infection sites, specimen type, susceptibility pattern, resistance phenotype, prior infections, and concurrent infections. Only the first episode was selected for patients with several admissions with identical cultures. Patients with no Suhar hospital ID, patients with positive cultures who were not admitted, died before receiving a single dose of antibiotics, and pediatric patients (>18 years) were excluded.

2.2. Definitions

Hospital-acquired infections occurred \geq 72 h of the admission date; all other episodes were considered community-acquired infections (CAI) [21]. Admission to an intensive care unit (ICU), cardiac care unit (CCU), or burn unit (BU) for more than 24 h is considered a critical care stay. A complete or partial resolution of infection signs, normalization of laboratory values of white blood cell count (WBC) and C-reactive protein (CRP), or negative culture of the exact source of the original infection was used to determine the clinical prognosis at the end of treatment. On days 14 and 28 of hospitalization, mortality was considered if the symptomatic patient had a positive culture and died before resolving infection signs.

The treatment of infection using a single antibiotic is considered monotherapy, while combined therapy is using 2 or more antibiotics with antimicrobial action against the causative organism during the infection episode.

Multidrug-resistant (MDR) infection was defined following CLSI 2010 M100-S20 guidance [22], as the isolate resistant to one antibiotic of three or more different antimicrobial classes. Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales (CREs) were phenotypically detected according to CLSI—as the isolates that showed inhibition zones <23 mm with (ertapenem 10 μ g or meropenem 10 μ g) and tested resistant to one or more antibiotics in cephalosporin subclass III (e.g., cefotaxime, ceftazidime, and ceftriaxone). Confirmed CRE was reported as resistant for all penicillins, cephalosporins, carbapenems, and aztreonam.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

The data were analyzed using R software statistical programming language, version 3.6.2 (2019-12-12) (R Foundation for Statistical Computing platform). Median and interquartile ranges (IQRs) were used to describe numerical data and analyzed using linear regression analysis after the normality was tested using Shapiro–Wilk normality test. Categorical data are analyzed using binary logistic regression and expressed using *p*-values, odds ratios (ORs), and confidence intervals (CIs). All tests were two-sided; *p*-values < 0.05 are considered significant, at a 95% confidence level.

3. Results

Medical records of 3334 registered G6PD-deficient patients between 1 January 2017 and 31 December 2021 were reviewed; 2512 patients were excluded because they were <18 years when they had a laboratory-documented bacterial infection during the study period (2017–2021), while 620 other adult patients were excluded as they did not have any microbiological cultures or hospitalization details. The remaining 202 patients' records were examined over the 5-year period, and (379) microbiological cultures corresponding to hospital admissions were recorded and studied. See Figure 1



Figure 1. A chart of the patients screened for inclusion inn ther study.

3.1. Patients' Demographics

The majority of the microbiological cultures belonged to male patients (69.9%). The study cohort's median (IQR) age at admission was 59.9 (41–77), with patients' ages evenly

distributed around the age of 60 years (\leq 60 years, 50.1%, and >60 years, 49.9%). In total, 72% of the patients were diagnosed with an infectious disease upon admission to the hospital, and the vast majority of the patients were admitted to medical wards (38.5%) with a median (IQR) LOS 12 (5–31). The hospital stay ended in death in 27.7% of cases, with a high incidence of short-term deaths (14-day mortality of 52% of total mortality).

The vast majority of these patients (89%) were suffering from underlying chronic diseases, with a median (IQR) of 3 (2–4) chronic diseases; CCD (84%), DM (75%), and CRF (67%) were the most prevalent of these conditions. A total of 10.3% of the patients possessed a 90-day surgical history, 75.2% underwent invasive procedures during admission sessions, and 55.9% needed a blood transfusion of packed RBCs. Heparin/LMWH was prescribed for prophylaxis/treatment in 63.6% of the cases, inotropes in 32.5%, and vasodilators in 27.4%. Table 1 describes patient demographics.

Included (n = 379)Included (n = 379)N (%) N (%) 69.9% Age on admission—Median (IQR) 599 (41 - 77)265 Male Female 114 30.1% <60 Years 190 50.1% Length of stay (LOS) Median (IQR) (5 - 31)>60 years 189 49.9% 12 \leq 14 days 184 48.5% Admission Diagnosis >14 days 195 51.5% Infectious disease diagnosis 273 72.0% Discharge outcome Non-infectious diagnosis 28.0% 106 105 27.7% Death Admission ward Recovery 274 72.3% Critical care area 117 30.9% 55 14-day mortality 52.4% Medical ward 38.5% 146 28-day mortality 22 21.0% Surgical ward 30.6% 116 >28-day mortality 28 26.7% Other Risk Factors 285 75.2% **Underlying Comorbid conditions** Invasive procedure during admission Number of comorbid conditions 3 Need for blood transfusion 55.9% (2-4)212 338 Surgery 90-day history 10.3% Any comorbidity 89.2% 39 Chronic Cardiac Diseases 282 83.4% Medication received Diabetes 25475.1% Analgesics 283 74.7% 228 Chronic renal failure 67.5% Proton pump inhibitor 269 71.0% Heparin/LMWH Others 179 53.0% 241 63.6% Chronic Resp. Disease 70 20.7% Diuretics 206 54.4%Immuno-suppressed 24 7.1% Cortico-steroids 124 32.7% Inotropes Sickle Cell 19 5.6% 123 32.5% Vasodilators 27.4% Active malignancy 14 4.1% 104 HIV follow-up 1 0.3% Albumin 66 17.4% Culture sample type Organism causing infections 103 107 Skin and soft tissue 27.2% 28.2% Gram-positive bacteria Gram-negative bacteria Urine 96 25.3% 227 59.9% Respiratory 91 24.0% Fungal 31 8.2% SARS-CoV2 Blood 88 23.2% 14 3.7% Body fluids 0.3% **Place of Acquisition** 1 **Bacterial Resistant Phenotype** 212 55.9% Community-acquired infection 181 54.2% Sens Hospital-acquired infection 167 44.1% MDR 67 20.1% 90 days occurrence of any infection 136 35.9% 50 15.0% ESBL. 90-day previous infections 23.0% 87 CRE 24 7.2% Gram-negative 48 55.2% MRSA 12 3.6% Gram-positive 34 39.1% Prior exposure to antimicrobials 158 41.7% SARS-CoV-2 20 23.0% 105 66.5% Cephalosporins Fungal 4 4.6% 70 44.3% Antimicrobial treatment **B**-lactams 250 66.0% Quinolones 64 40.5% Monotherapy β -lactam/ β -lactamase 58 36.7% Combined therapy 129 34.0% 34 21.5% 157 41.4% Macrolides Cephalosporin-based Glycopeptides 33 20.9% B-lactam/B-lactamase inhibitor-based 131 34.6% Nitroimidazole 29 18.4%Pip/Taz based 99 26.1% 25 Quinolones-based 45 11.9% Aminoglycosides 15.8% 19 12.0% 10.0% Tetracyclines Vancomycin-based 38 Glycylcycline 3 1.9% B-lactam-based 37 9.8% 2 Oxazolidinones 1.3% Antifungal treatment 31 8.2% Colistin 1 0.6% Tetracycline-based 30 7.9%

Table 1. Patient demographics and relevant clinical details.

	Included (<i>n</i> = 379)			Include	d (<i>n</i> = 379)
	Ν	(%)		N	(%)
Concomitant infections			Macrolide-based	28	7.4%
Polymicrobial infections (Yes)	224	59.1%	Meropenem based	28	7.4%
Gram-negative	169	75.4%	Colistin-based	24	6.3%
Gram-positive	106	47.3%	Aminoglycosides-based	22	5.8%
Fungal	59	26.3%	Tigecycline-based	10	2.6%
SARS-ČoV-2	7	31%	Linezolid based	2	0.5%

Table 1. Cont.

3.2. Infection Patterns

The microbiological samples originated in almost an equal proportion from soft tissues (27%), urinary tract (25%), respiratory system (24%), and blood (23%). Gram-negative bacteria dominated the majority of infections (60%), with *Klebsiella* sp. (27%), *Pseudomonas* sp. (26%), *E. coli* (19%), *Acinetobacter* sp. (14%), and others (15%). Meanwhile, Gram-positive bacteria accounted for (28%) of the cases as follows: *Staphylococcus coagulase-negative* (CoNS) (37%), *Methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) (21%), *Enterococcus* sp. (16%), *Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) (11%), and *Streptococcus* sp. (14%). Fungal infections were detected in 8% of the samples, with *Candida albicans* accounting for 84% of the infections and other fungi accounting for the remainder. We also tracked severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 infections and found only 14 cases that required hospitalization. Polymicrobial infections occurred in 59% of the cases, among which concurrent infections with Gram-negative was 75%, with Gram-positive was 47%, with Fungi was 26%, and 3% with SARS-CoV-2.

Infections were caused by susceptible bacterial phenotypes in 54% of cases, with the remaining cases caused by resistant isolates, which were distributed as follows: MDR (20%), extended-spectrum β -lactamase bacteria ESBL (15%), CRE (7%), and MRSA (4%). While 56% of the infections were community-acquired (CAIs), hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) accounted for more than one-third of all cases, 44%. Within 90 days, a new infection with a different organism occurred in 36% of the cases. See Figure 2.



Figure 2. Susceptibility pattern of top 4 Gram-positive bacteria.

90-day infections prior to index admission occurred in 23% of cases, of which 55% were Gram-negative, 39% were Gram-positive, 23% were SARS-CoV-2, and 5% were fungal.

3.3. Susceptibility Pattern

Acinetobacter sp. (14%), E. coli (19%), Klebsiella sp. (27%), P. mirabilis (7%), and Pseudomonas sp. (26%) represented 93% of the total Gram-negative pathogens; they showed high susceptibility to colistin and tigecycline (98% and 92%, respectively), and moderate susceptibility to amikacin, meropenem, and piperacillin/tazobactam (~75%). Meanwhile, they showed higher resistance to cephalosporins, ciprofloxacin, and cotrimoxazole. See Figure 3.



Figure 3. Susceptibility pattern of top 5 Gram-negative bacteria.

3.4. Antimicrobial Treatment

A total of 42% had been exposed to antimicrobials 90 days prior to index admission, primarily cephalosporins (66%), β -lactams (44%), quinolones (41%), β -lactam/ β -lactamase (37%), and other antimicrobials. A total of 66% of the study cohort received antimicrobial monotherapy, while 34% received combined therapy, mainly cephalosporin-based (41%), B-lactam/B-lactamase inhibitor-based (35%), piperacillin/tazobactam-based (26%), quinolones-based (12%), and vancomycin-based therapy (10%). Table 1 details the antimicrobial treatment received.

3.5. MDR-Related Infections

MDR-related infection was significantly associated to >28-day mortality [p < 0.026, OR: 2.44], prolonged LOS >14 days [p < 0.000, OR: 2.41], more than 2 comorbidities [p < 0.032, OR: 1.17], mainly CCD [p < 0.016, OR: 1.84], blood transfusion during admission [p < 0.001, OR: 2.01], infection with Gram-negative bacteria [p < 0.000, OR: 3.08], prior infection with SARS-CoV-2 [p < 0.009, OR: 3.69], concurrent infections with Gram-negative and fungal infections [p < 0.040, OR: 1.54] and [p < 0.000, OR: 2.96], respectively, and HAIs [p < 0.000, OR: 2.90]. MDR-related infections required combined therapy [p < 0.049, OR: 1.54], mainly piperacillin/tazobactam-based [p < 0.000, OR: 2.75], Meropenem-based [p < 0.000, OR: 6.16], Colistin-based [p < 0.000, OR: 8.35], and Tigecycline-based therapy [p < 0.022, OR: 6.18]. See Table 2.

		Hospital-Acquired Infections ($n = 167$)			MDR Infection ($n = 153$)			
Included (<i>n</i> = 379)	N (%)	N (%)	p OR	CI	N %	p OR	CI	
Age on admission—median (IQR)	59.9 (41–77)	59 (36.5–77)	0.399 1.00	(0.99, 1.01)	63 (41–77)	0.848 1.00	(0.99, 1.01)	
Age > 60 years	189 49.9%	81 48.5%	0.91	(0.60, 1.36)	80 52.3%	1.18	(0.78, 1.77)	
Length of stay (LOS) median (IQR)	12 (5–31)	34 (17–60)	0.000 1.10	(1.08, 1.13)	22 (8–41.5)	0.000 1.01	(1.01, 1.02)	
LOS > 14 days	195 51.5%	139 83.2%	$0.000 \\ 18.42$	(10.92, 31.07)	94 61.4%	0.000 2.41	(1.58, 3.67)	
Admission to critical care area	117 30.9%	94 56.3%	0.000 10.58	(6.23, 17.98)	64 41.8%	0.000 2.35	(1.50, 3.66)	
Number of comorbid conditions Median (IQR)	3 (2–4)	3 (2–4)	0.010 1.21	(1.05, 1.40)	2 (0–3)	0.032 1.17	(1.01, 1.36)	
Any comorbidity	338 89.2%	149 89.2%	0.982 1.01	(0.52, 1.94)	139 90.8%	0.391 1.35	(0.68, 2.66)	
Chronic Cardiac Diseases	282 83.4%	137 82.0%	0.003 2.11	(1.29, 3.44)	124 81.0%	0.016 1.84	(1.12, 3.02)	
Diabetes	254 75.1%	104 62.3%	0.082 0.68	(0.44, 1.05)	99 64.7%	0.431 0.84	(0.54, 1.29)	
Chronic renal failure	228 67.5%	108 64.7%	0.112 1.40	(0.92, 2.13)	100 65.4%	0.089 1.44	(0.95, 2.21)	
Chronic Resp. Disease	70 20.7%	43 25.7%	0.001 2.38	(1.39, 4.05)	34 22.2%	0.123 1.51	(0.89, 2.54)	
Immuno-suppressed	24 7.1%	15 9.0%	0.066 2.23	(0.95, 5.22)	9 5.9%	0.767 0.88	(0.37, 2.06)	
Active malignancy	14 4.1%	9 5.4%	0.131 2.36	(0.78, 7.17)	5 3.3%	0.718 0.81	(0.27, 2.48)	
Invasive procedure during admission	285 75.2%	137 82.0%	0.000 8.15	(4.26, 15.59)	101 66.0%	0.150 1.43	(0.88, 2.33)	
Need for blood transfusion	212 55.9%	155 92.8%	0.000 8.34	(5.14, 13.55)	121 79.1%	0.001 2.01	(1.37, 3.08)	
Surgery 90-day history	39 10.3%	15 9.0%	0.458 0.77	(0.39, 1.53)	15 9.8%	0.798 0.91	(0.46, 1.81)	
Infection due to Gram-positive bacteria	107 28.2%	28 16.8%	0.000 0.34	(0.21, 0.55)	38 24.8%	0.195 0.74	(0.46, 1.17)	
Infection due to Gram-negative bacteria	227 59.9%	123 73.7%	0.000 2.90	(1.88, 4.49)	115 75.2%	0.000 3.08	(1.96, 4.83)	
Community-acquired infections	212 55.9%	* *	*	*	62 40.5%	0.35	(0.23, 0.53)	
Hospital-acquired infections	167 44.1%	* *	*	*	91 59.5%	0.000 2.90	(1.89, 4.43)	
Gram-negative 90-day previous infection	48 55.2%	16 18.4%	0.328 0.73	(0.39, 1.37)	21 13.7%	0.610 1.17	(0.64, 2.16)	
Gram-positive 90-day previous infection	34 39.1%	10 11.5%	0.474 0.77	(0.37, 1.58)	14 9.2%	0.920 1.04	(0.51, 2.12)	
SARS-CoV-2 90-day previous infection	20 23.0%	15 17.2%	0.001 27.09	(3.59, 204.55)	14 9.2%	0.009 3.69	(1.39, 9.84)	
Fungal 90-day previous infection	4 4.6%	2 2.3%	0.810 1.27	(0.18, 9.13)	2 1.3%	0.695 1.48	(0.21, 10.65)	

Table 2. Variables related to hospital-acquired infections/acquisition of MDR infections (Binary logistic regression). Check Appendix A for comprehensive statistical values.

CI: confidence intervals, OR: odds ratio IQR: Interquartile range, LOS: Length of stay, SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2019. * Value can't be produced by software.

3.6. Hospital-Acquired Infections (HAIs)

HAIs were significantly associated with bacteraemia [p < 0.033, OR: 0.58] and thus more related to 14-day mortality [p < 0.011, OR: 2.13], prolonged LOS > 14 days [p < 0.000, OR: 18.42], admission to critical care [p < 0.000, OR: 10.58], cumulative number of comorbidities [p < 0.010, OR: 1.21], especially CCD p < 0.003, OR: 2.11] and CRD [p < 0.001, OR: 2.38], infection with Gram-negative bacteria [p < 0.000, OR: 2.90], infection with MDR phenotypes [p < 0.000, OR: 4.10], and 90-day prior exposure to metronidazole [p < 0.046, OR: 2.21].

Monotherapies [p < 0.054, OR: 1.53] were more likely prescribed for HAIs. Mainly, the prescribed regimens were meropenem-based [p < 0.029, OR: 2.44], colistin-based [p < 0.000, OR: 7.07], and tigecycline-based therapy [p < 0.037, OR: 5.28]. Polymicrobial infections significantly occurred during HAI [p < 0.000, OR: 9.00], most likely Gram-negative [p < 0.000, OR: 7.60], Gram-positive [p < 0.000, OR: 2.97], and Fungal infections [p < 0.000, OR: 3.85]. See Table 2.

3.7. Fourteen-Day Mortality Risk Factors

Fourteen-day mortality was significantly related to males [p < 0.000, OR: 6.55], patient age >60 years [p < 0.000, OR: 5.63], admission to critical care areas [p < 0.005, OR: 2.30], bacteraemia [p < 0.005, OR: 2.37], HAIs [p < 0.011, OR: 2.13], invasive procedures during admission [p < 0.001, OR: 10.51], cumulative number of comorbidities [p < 0.000, OR: 1.54], especially CCD [p < 0.010, OR: 3.19] and CRF [p < 0.004, OR: 2.69], and immunosuppressed patients or those with active malignancy ([p < 0.042, OR: 2.63] and [p < 0.005, OR: 4.84], respectively). See Table 3.

Table 3. Risk factors for 14 and 28-day mortalities (Binary logistic regression). Check Appendix A for comprehensive statistical values.

		14-I	Day Mortality (n	28-Day Mortality (<i>n</i> = 22)			
Included (<i>n</i> = 379)	N (%)	N (%)	p OR	CI	N (%)	p OR	CI
Male	265 69.9%	51 92.7%	0.000 6.55	(2.31, 18.60)	16 73%	0.768 1.16	(0.44, 3.04)
Age on admission—Median (IQR)	59.9 (41–77)	74 (65.81.5)	0.000 1.04	(1.02, 1.06)	78 (69–84)	0.000 1.05	(1.02, 1.09)
>60 years	189 49.9%	45 81.8%	0.000 5.63	(2.74, 11.55)	19 86%	0.002 6.97	(2.03 <i>,</i> 23.95)
Length of stay (LOS) Median (IQR)	12 (5–31)	15 (4–22)	0.043 0.99	(0.97, 0.99)	33 (26–39.3)	0.021 1.01	(1.00, 1.02)
Admission to the critical care area	117 30.9%	26 47.3%	0.005 2.30	(1.28, 4.11)	8 36%	0.566 1.30	(0.53, 3.19)
Admission to a medical ward	146 38.5%	27 49.1%	0.084 1.66	(0.93, 2.95)	14 64%	0.017 2.98	(1.22, 7.29)
Number of comorbid conditions Median (IQR)	3 (2–4)	3 (2–4)	$0.000 \\ 1.54$	(1.22, 1.95)	2 (2.8–4)	0.003 1.72	(1.19, 2.48)
Any comorbidity	338 89.2%	54 98.2%	0.000 1.54	(1.22, 1.95)	22 100%	*	*
Chronic Cardiac Diseases	282 83.4%	49 89.1%	0.010 3.19	(1.32, 7.7025)	20 91%	0.086 3.63	(0.83, 15.81)
Chronic renal failure	228 67.5%	43 78.2%	0.004 2.69	(1.37, 5.30)	17 77%	0.100 2.35	(0.85, 6.52)
Other comorbid conditions	179 53.0%	35 63.6%	0.009 2.19	(1.21, 3.95)	13 59%	0.255 1.66	(0.69, 3.99)
Chronic Respiratory Disease	70 20.7%	12 21.8%	0.490 1.28	(0.64, 2.58)	11 50%	0.000 5.05	(2.09 <i>,</i> 12.19)
Immunosuppressed	24 7.1%	7 12.7%	0.042 2.63	(1.04, 6.68)	1 5%	0.724 0.69	(0.09, 5.37)

		14-]	Day Mortality (n	= 55)	28-Day Mortality (<i>n</i> = 22)		
Included (<i>n</i> = 379)	N (%)	N (%)	p OR	CI	N (%)	p OR	CI
Active malignancy	14 4.1%	6 10.9%	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005\\ 4.84 \end{array}$	(1.61, 14.54)	1 5%	0.828 1.26	(0.16, 10.10)
Invasive procedure during admission	285 75.2%	53 96.4%	0.001 10.51	(2.51, 44.01)	22 100%	* *	*
Need for blood transfusion	212 55.9%	36 65.5%	0.126 1.59	(0.88, 2.89)	20 91%	0.004 8.59	(1.98, 37.32)
Bacteraemia	88 23.2%	21 38.2%	0.005 2.37	(1.29, 4.35)	5 23%	0.955 0.97	(0.35, 2.71)
Hospital-acquired infections	167 44.1%	33 60.0%	0.011 2.13	(1.19, 3.81)	14 64%	0.063 2.33	(0.96, 5.70)
Prior exposure to antimicrobials	158 41.7%	20 36.4%	0.387 0.77	(0.43, 1.39)	14 64%	0.037 2.59	(1.06, 6.33)
90-day exposure to Cephalosporins	105 66.5%	16 80.0%	0.804 1.08	(0.58, 2.04)	11 79%	0.020 2.80	(1.17, 6.67)
90-day exposure to β-lactams	70 44.3%	5 25.0%	0.060 0.40	(0.15, 1.04)	9 64%	0.008 3.36	(1.37, 8.21)
90-day exposure to β-lactam/β-lactamase	58 36.7%	12 60.0%	0.150 1.69	(0.83, 3.47)	8 57%	0.007 3.51	(1.40, 8.79)
90-day exposure to Macrolides	34 21.5%	2 10.0%	0.152 0.34	(0.08, 1.48)	6 43%	$\begin{array}{c} 0.004\\ 4.41\end{array}$	(1.60, 12.15)
Concomitant infections with Gram-negative	169 75.4%	27 71.1%	0.468 1.24	(0.69, 2.19)	18 82%	0.001 6.14	(2.04, 18.51)
Concomitant infections with Gram-positive	106 47.3%	10 26.3%	0.084 0.53	(0.26, 1.09)	15 68%	0.000 6.26	(2.48, 15.85)
Concomitant infections with Fungi	59 26.3%	6 15.8%	0.306 0.63	(0.26, 1.54)	10 45%	0.000 5.24	(2.15, 12.78)

Table 3. Cont.

CI: confidence interval, OR: odds ratio IQR: Interquartile range, LOS: length of stay. * Value can't be produced by software.

3.8. Twenty-Eight-Day Mortality Risk Factors

Twenty-eight-day mortality occurred more frequently in the following: patients >60 years [p < 0.002, OR: 6.97], prolonged LOS [p < 0.021, OR: 1.01], cumulative number of comorbidities [p < 0.003, OR: 1.72], especially CRD [p < 0.000, OR: 5.05], concurrent infection with either Gram-negative [p < 0.001, OR: 6.14] or Gram-positive bacteria [p < 0.000, OR: 6.26], 90-day history of exposure to antimicrobials [p < 0.037, OR: 2.59], especially cephalosporins [p < 0.020, OR: 2.80], β -lactams [p < 0.008, OR: 3.36], and macrolides [p < 0.004, OR: 4.41]. See Table 3.

4. Discussion

In our practice, identifying patients with this genetic blood disease that may affect their immune response to infections is an integral part of infection control plans and offering optimal therapeutic options pursuant to antibiotic stewardship efforts.

In the Arabian Peninsula, consanguineous marriage widespread within the same tribe resulted in a large spread of G6PD deficiency. Oman is one of the region's countries with a high number of such cases [23]. Since our tertiary care hospital serves more than a third of the nation's population and has a considerable number of registered cases of G6PD, we had an excellent opportunity to landscape infectious diseases in a decent number of hospitalized G6PD patients and identify the factors that may cause HAIs, infection with MDR bacteria, and the mortality rates associated with these infections.

Over five years, all adult G6PD-deficient patients admitted to the hospital with microbiological proof of infection at admission or during hospitalization were studied. The vast majority of microbiological cultures were isolated from male patients; a study by Rostami and colleagues corroborated this finding, perhaps due to linkage to the sex chromosome [24]. Gram-negative bacteria dominated the majority of infections (60%), mainly *Klebsiella* sp., *Pseudomonas* sp., *E. coli*, and *Acinetobacter* sp. Meanwhile, Gram-positive bacteria accounted for (28%) of the cases, mainly *CoNS*, *S. aureus*, *Enterococcus* sp., *MRSA*, and *Streptococcus* sp., while fungal infections were detected in 8% of the samples, with *C. albicans* accounting for 84% of the infections. Although we could not find any previous research that comprehensively identified bacterial infections in G6PD patients prior to writing this article, numerous reports for individual cases described the infectious pathogens observed in this study [6,11–15].

4.1. Risk Factors for MDR-Related Infections

Prolonged LOS contributed significantly to the acquisition of MDR-related infections; both have been linked together in several studies [25–27], leading us to believe that attempting early discharge, especially for this type of patient, may reduce the risk of acquiring MDR-related infections while also lowering the cost of hospitalization and treatment.

Patients admitted to critical care areas were almost 2-fold liable for acquiring MDR infection compared to other wards, which is consistent with the finding of Tosi and colleagues [28]. This may be explained by the extensive antibiotic pressure in these areas and other factors such as older age, chronic comorbidities, and suppressed immunity.

Patients with more than two comorbidities were more likely to acquire MDR-related infections; thus, the early medical stabilization of such cases may significantly impact clinical outcomes. Meanwhile, multiple studies statistically correlated the acquisition of MDR-related infections with blood transfusion during admission [29,30], which could be an opportunity to reduce the acquisition of MDR-related infections when adopting robust infection control measures, and commitment to clearly indicated rather than routine blood transfusion, especially since the hospital-acquisition of MDR bacteria in our cohort was very significant.

MDR Gram-negative bacteria were the primary causative organisms; this can be explained by a large proportion of patients having previously been exposed to Gramnegative infection, which may have resulted in the transfer of resistance determinants to current strains of bacteria. Patients with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection were more likely to acquire MDR-related infection; multiple retrospective studies duplicated the same finding [31–33]. A total of 65% of MDR infections were polymicrobial, mainly with Gram-negative and fungal infections.

4.2. Risk Factors for HAI

MDR pathogens were the leading cause of HAIs; patients with HAIs were suffering from chronic comorbidities and required admission to critical care, which explains the high rates of 14-day mortality related to HAIs. Increased antimicrobial treatment exposure increases the prevalence of virulent nosocomial bacterial phenotypes. Adopting better multidisciplinary infection control practices, regular disinfection of patient care equipment, reducing unnecessary admissions through updated outpatient practices, and increasing outpatient care resources contribute to a reduction in HAIs [34].

4.3. Risk Factors for Mortality

Age was consistently a risk factor for 14-day and 28-day mortality; patients >60 years had 6-fold higher mortality rates compared to those below 60 years; this is probably due to deteriorated health status and organ dysfunction, as well as immune deficiency caused by immune cell inefficiency in these patients due to G6PD.

The cumulative number of comorbidities significantly contributed to early and lateonset mortalities, mainly in patients with CCD, CRF, CRD, and immunocompromised patients (see Table 3 for details). Chang and colleagues identified illness severity, duration of mechanical ventilation, prior hospitalization, and underlying conditions as predictors of mortality [35], implying that concurrent comorbidities to infections must be clinically stabilized as soon as possible to improve outcomes.

Fourteen-day mortality was significantly related to bacteremia, primarily due to HAI; most of those patients needed to be admitted to critical care areas and required invasive procedures during admission; intuitively, patients admitted to critical care areas usually suffer a diminished health status, weakened immunity, and are more vulnerable to invasive maneuvers and highly virulent pathogens, which necessitate regular disinfection of the ICU environment and patient equipment, as well as optimal intubation practice and avoidance of unnecessary catheterization [34].

As for 28-day mortality, patients with polymicrobial infections were 6-fold liable to death compared to those with monomicrobial; isolates able to disseminate resistance determinants via horizontal gene transfer (HGT) may trigger the high acquisition of MDR infections, which eventually leads to death in immunocompromised patients, given that most of our study cohort suffered severe hemolytic anemia that required blood transfusion during hospitalization.

5. Conclusions

The high prevalence of G6PD deficiency among the Omani population should alert practitioners to consider the possible reduced immune status of this patient population. Evidencebased protocolization of hospital admissions, blood transfusion, and intubation is a crucial clinical step to reduce HAIs and MDR pathogen acquisition in this unique patient group.

Parallel to the early stabilization of underlying comorbid conditions, strict infections, control measures, Gram-negative empiric coverage, the early starting of potent targeted antimicrobial therapy, and hospital discharge at the earliest time possible, in general, and particularly in this patient population, can ameliorate the treatment outcomes and should be emphasized by the antimicrobial stewardship team.

Author Contributions: Data collection, D.A., A.F.O., S.R.S.A.-M. and G.H.; manuscript writing, D.A., G.H. and I.M.G.; statistical analsis, D.A., I.M.G., S.R.S.A.-M. and G.H.; conception, D.A., A.F.O. and I.M.G.; execution, D.A. and I.M.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by Research, Ethical Review and Approve Committee—North Batinah Governorate, ethical approval number: MH/DHGS/NBG/1/2018, dated: 4 January 2018. for studies involving humans.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was waived due to retrospective nature of the study.

Data Availability Statement: Raw data are available at Suhar Hospital database, upon written request and approval.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

BU	Burn unit
CAIs	Community-acquired infections
CCD	Chronic cardiac diseases
CCU	Cardiac care unit
CI	Confidence intervals
CLSI	Clinical And Laboratory Standards Institute
CoNS	Staphylococcus, coagulase-negative
CRD	Chronic respiratory disease
CRE	Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales
CRF	Chronic renal failure
CRP	C-reactive protein
DM	Diabetes mellitus

ESBL	Extended-spectrum β-lactamase bacteria
G6PD	Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase
HAIs	Hospital-acquired infections
ICU	Intensive care unit
IQR	Interquartile ranges
LMWH	Low molecular weight heparin
LOS	Length of stay
MDR	Multidrug-resistant
MRSA	Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus
NADP	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NETs	Neutrophil extracellular traps
OR	Odds ratio
р	Probability value
RBCs	Red blood cells
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
SARS-CoV-2	Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2019
WBC	White blood cell count

Appendix A

Table A1. Variables related to acquisition of MDR and Hospital-acquired infections. (Binary logistic regression).

		Hospital-A	cquired In	fections (<i>n</i> = 167)	MDR Infection ($n = 153$)		
Included (<i>n</i> = 379)	N (%)	N (%)	p OR	CI	N %	<i>p</i> Value OR	CI
Gender							
Male	265 69.9%	109 65.3%	0.67	(0.43, 1.05)	101 66.0%	0.173 0.73	(0.47, 1.14)
Female	114 30.1%	58 34.7%	0.080 1.48	(0.95, 2.30)	52 34.0%	1.36	(0.87, 2.12)
Age on admission-Median (IQR)	59.9 (41–77)	59 (36.5–77)	0.399 1.00	(0.99, 1.01)	63 (41–77)	0.848 1.00	(0.99, 1.01)
\leq 60 Years	190 50.1%	86 51.5%	0.637 1.10	(0.74, 1.65)	73 47.7%	0.438 0.85	(0.56, 1.28)
> 60 years	189 49.9%	81 48.5%	0.91	(0.60, 1.36)	80 52.3%	1.18	(0.78, 1.77)
Length of stay (LOS) Median (IQR)	12 (5–31)	34 (17–60)	0.000 1.10	(1.08, 1.13)	22 (8–41.5)	0.000 1.01	(1.01, 1.02)
\leq 14 days	184 48.5%	28 16.8%	0.05	(0.03, 0.09)	59 38.6%	0.42	(0.27, 0.63)
> 14 days	195 51.5%	139 83.2%	0.000 18.42	(10.92, 31.07)	94 61.4%	0.000 2.41	(1.58, 3.67)
Admission Diagnosis							
Infectious disease diagnosis	273 72.0%	115 68.9%	0.223 0.76	(0.48, 1.19)	113 73.9%	0.515 1.17	(0.74, 1.85)
Non-infectious diagnosis	106 28.0%	52 31.1%	1.32	(0.84, 2.08)	40 26.1%	0.86	(0.54, 1.36)
Discharge outcome							
Death	105 27.7%	73 43.7%	0.000 4.37	(2.69, 7.09)	47 30.7%	0.281 1.28	(0.81, 2.02)
Recovery	274 72.3%	94 56.3%	0.23	(0.14, 0.37)	106 69.3%	0.78	(0.49, 1.23)

	N	Hospital-A	Acquired Inf	fections (<i>n</i> = 167)	MDR Infection ($n = 153$)		
Included (<i>n</i> = 379)	IN (%)	N (%)	p OR	CI	N %	<i>p</i> Value OR	CI
Time to death							
14-day mortality	55 52.4%	33 19.8%	0.011 2.13	(1.19, 3.81)	21 13.7%	0.721 0.90	(0.50, 1.62)
28-day mortality	22 21.0%	14 8.4%	0.063 2.33	(0.95, 5.70)	9 5.9%	0.952 1.02	(0.43, 2.46)
>28-day mortality	28 26.7%	26 15.6%	0.000 19.36	(4.52, 82.87)	17 11.1%	0.026 2.44	(1.11, 5.37)
Admission ward							
Critical care area	117 30.9%	94 56.3%	0.000 10.58	(6.23, 17.98)	64 41.8%	0.000 2.35	(1.50, 3.66)
Medical ward	146 38.5%	42 25.1%	0.000 0.35	(0.22, 0.54)	50 32.7%	0.055 0.66	(0.43, 1.01)
Surgical ward	116 30.6%	31 18.6%	0.000 0.34	(0.21, 0.55)	39 25.5%	0.076 0.66	(0.42, 1.04)
Underlying Comorbid conditions							
Number of comorbid conditions Median (IQR)	3 (2–4)	3 (2–4)	0.010 1.21	(1.05, 1.40)	2 (0–3)	0.032 1.17	(1.01, 1.36)
Any comorbidity	338 89.2%	149 89.2%	0.982 1.01	(0.52, 1.94)	139 90.8%	0.391 1.35	(0.68, 2.66)
Chronic Cardiac Diseases	282 83.4%	137 82.0%	0.003 2.11	(1.29, 3.44)	124 81.0%	0.016 1.84	(1.12, 3.02)
Diabetes	254 75.1%	104 62.3%	0.082 0.68	(0.44, 1.05)	99 64.7%	0.431 0.84	(0.54, 1.29)
Chronic renal failure	228 67.5%	108 64.7%	0.112 1.40	(0.92, 2.13)	100 65.4%	0.089 1.44	(0.95, 2.21)
Others	179 53.0%	87 52.1%	0.093 1.42	(0.94, 2.13)	79 51.6%	0.158 1.35	(0.89, 2.03)
Chronic Resp. Disease	70 20.7%	43 25.7%	0.001 2.38	(1.39, 4.05)	34 22.2%	0.123 1.51	(0.89, 2.54)
Immuno-suppressed	24 7.1%	15 9.0%	0.066 2.23	(0.95, 5.22)	9 5.9%	0.767 0.88	(0.37, 2.06)
Sickle Cell	19 5.6%	3 1.8%	0.019 0.22	(0.06, 0.78)	7 4.6%	0.748 0.86	(0.33, 2.22)
Active malignancy	14 4.1%	9 5.4%	0.131 2.36	(0.78, 7.17)	5 3.3%	0.718 0.81	(0.27, 2.48)
HIV follow-up	1 0.3%	0 0.0%	0.970 **	**	0 0.0%	0.970 **	**
Other Risk Factors							
Invasive procedure during admission	285 75.2%	137 82.0%	0.000 8.15	(4.26, 15.59)	101 66.0%	0.150 1.43	(0.88, 2.33)
Need for blood transfusion	212 55.9%	155 92.8%	0.000 8.34	(5.14, 13.55)	121 79.1%	0.001 2.01	(1.37, 3.08)
Surgery 90-day history	39 10.3%	15 9.0%	0.458 0.77	(0.39, 1.53)	15 9.8%	0.798 0.91	(0.46, 1.81)

Table A1. Cont.

	N	Hospital-A	Acquired Inf	fections (<i>n</i> = 167)	MDR Infection ($n = 153$)		
Included (<i>n</i> = 379)	IN (%)	N (%)	p OR	CI	N %	p Value OR	CI
Medication							
Analgesics	283 74.7%	131 78.4%	0.135 1.44	(0.89, 2.31)	115 75%	0.856 1.04	(0.65, 1.68)
Proton pump inhibitor	269 71.0%	143 85.6%	0.000 4.07	(2.44, 6.79)	116 76%	0.088 1.50	(0.94, 2.38)
Heparin/LMWH	241 63.6%	138 82.6%	0.000 5.04	(3.12, 8.16)	114 75%	0.000 2.28	(1.45, 3.57)
Diuretics	206 54.4%	108 64.7%	0.000 2.13	(1.40, 3.23)	94 61%	0.023 1.62	(1.07, 2.467)
Cortico-steroids	124 32.7%	79 47.3%	0.000 3.33	(2.13, 5.21)	52 34%	0.665 1.10	(0.71, 1.70)
Inotropes	123 32.5%	78 46.7%	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000\\ 1.40\end{array}$	(0.92, 2.13)	54 35%	0.332 1.24	(0.80, 1.92)
Vasodilators	104 27.4%	59 35.3%	0.002 2.03	(1.28, 3.20)	37 24%	0.243 0.76	(0.47, 1.21)
Albumin	66 17.4%	45 26.9%	0.000 3.25	(2.08, 5.09)	24 16%	0.466 0.8151	(0.47, 1.41)
Culture sample type							
Skin and soft tissue	103 27.2%	30 18.0%	0.747 0.93	(0.59, 1.46)	35 22.9%	0.122 0.69	(0.43, 1.11)
Urine	96 25.3%	0 0.0%	0.264 1.30	(0.82, 2.07)	47 30.7%	0.048 1.60	(1.00, 2.55)
Respiratory	91 24.0%	46 27.5%	0.154 1.41	(0.88, 2.26)	33 21.6%	0.360 0.80	(0.49, 1.29)
Blood	88 23.2%	44 26.3%	0.033 0.58	(0.35, 0.96)	38 24.8%	0.540 1.16	(0.72, 1.89)
Body fluids	1 0.3%	47 28.1%	** **	**	0 0.0%	** **	**
Organism causing infections							
Gram-positive bacteria	107 28.2%	28 16.8%	0.000 0.34	(0.21, 0.55)	38 24.8%	0.195 0.74	(0.46, 1.17)
Gram-negative bacteria	227 59.9%	123 73.7%	0.000 2.90	(1.88, 4.49)	115 75.2%	0.000 3.08	(1.96, 4.83)
Fungal	31 8.2%	15 9.0%	0.613 1.21	(0.58, 2.52)	** **	** **	**
SARS-CoV-19	14 3.7%	1 0.6%	0.022 0.09	(0.01, 0.71)	**	**	**
Resistant Phenotype for bacterial infections (n-334)							
Sens	181 54.2%	76 45.5%	0.000 0.35	(0.23, 0.53)	** **	** **	**
MDR	67 20.1%	48 28.7%	0.000 4.10	(2.29, 7.31)	67 43.8%	** **	**
ESBL	50 15.0%	25 15.0%	0.365 1.32	(0.73, 2.39)	50 32.7%	**	**
CRE	24 7.2%	17 10.2%	0.008 3.32	(1.34, 8.20)	24 15.7%	**	**
MRSA	12 3.6%	1 0.6%	0.035 0.11	(0.01, 0.86)	12 7.8%	**	**

Table A1. Cont.

	• •	Hospital-A	Acquired Inf	fections ($n = 167$)	MDR Infection ($n = 153$)		
Included (<i>n</i> = 379)	N (%)	N (%)	p OR	CI	N %	<i>p</i> Value OR	CI
Place of Acquisition							
Community	212 55.9%	** **	** **	**	62 40.5%	0.35	(0.23, 0.53)
Hospital	167 44.1%	** **	** **	**	91 59.5%	0.000 2.90	(1.89, 4.43)
90 days ocurrence of any infection	136 35.9%	61 36.5%	0.817 1.05	(0.69, 1.60)	59 38.6%	0.371 1.21	(0.79, 1.86)
Prior exposure to antimicrobials	158 41.7%	60 35.9%	0.044 0.65	(0.43, 0.99)	69 45.1%	0.268 1.26	(0.83, 1.92)
90-day exposure to Cephalosporins	105 66.5%	32 19.2%	0.001 0.45	(0.28, 0.73)	46 30.1%	0.398 1.22	(0.77, 1.92)
90-day exposure to B-lactams	70 44.3%	34 20.4%	0.203 1.44	(0.82, 2.52)	32 20.9%	0.314 1.31	(0.78, 2.21)
90-day exposure to Quinolones	64 40.5%	25 15.0%	0.377 0.78	(0.45, 1.35)	32 20.9%	0.087 1.60	(0.93, 2.75)
90-day exposure to B-lactam/B-lactamase	58 36.7%	30 18.0%	0.203 1.44	(0.82, 2.52)	27 17.6%	0.298 1.35	(0.77, 2.37)
90-day exposure to Macrolides	34 21.5%	15 9.0%	0.995 1.00	(0.49, 2.04)	19 12.4%	0.057 1.99	(0.98, 4.06)
90-day exposure to Glycopeptides	33 20.9%	7 4.2%	0.008 0.31	(0.13, 0.74)	17 11.1%	0.175 1.64	(0.80, 3.36)
90-day exposure to Nitroimidazole	29 18.4%	18 10.8%	0.046 2.21	(1.01, 4.81)	16 10.5%	0.095 1.91	(0.89, 4.10)
90-day exposure to Aminoglycosides	25 15.8%	4 2.4%	0.007 0.22	(0.08, 0.66)	8 5.2%	0.380 0.68	(0.29, 1.61)
90-day exposure to Tetracyclines	19 12.0%	2 1.2%	0.009 0.14	(0.03, 0.61)	8 5.2%	0.874 1.08	(0.42, 2.75)
90-day exposure to Glycylcycline	3 1.9%	1 0.6%	0.709 0.63	(0.06, 7.04)	2 1.3%	0.374 2.98	(0.27, 33.16)
90-day exposure to Oxazolidinones	2 1.3%	0 0.0%	**	**	1 0.7%	0.782 1.48	(0.09, 23.85)
90-day exposure to Colistin	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	**	**	0 **	**	**
Antimicrobial treatment							
Monotherapy	250 66.0%	119 71.3%	0.054 1.53	(0.99, 2.37)	92 60.1%	0.65	(0.42, 0.99)
Combined therapy	129 34.0%	48 28.7%	0.65	(0.42, 1.01)	61 39.9%	0.049 1.54	(1.00, 2.37)
Cephalosporin-based therapy	157 41.4%	34 20.4%	0.003 0.53	(0.35, 0.81)	46 30.1%	0.000 0.45	(0.29, 0.69)
B-lactam/B-lactamase inhibitor-based therapy	131 34.6%	35 21.0%	0.781 1.06	(0.69, 1.63)	65 42.5%	0.008 1.79	(1.16, 2.75)
Pip/Taz based therapy	99 26.1%	31 18.6%	0.206 1.35	(0.85, 2.13)	58 37.9%	0.000 2.75	(1.72, 4.41)
Quinolones-based therapy	45 11.9%	10 6.0%	0.708 1.13	(0.60, 2.10)	17 11.1%	0.706 0.88	(0.47, 1.68)

Table A1. Cont.

		Hospital-A	Acquired In	fections (<i>n</i> = 167)	MDR Infection (<i>n</i> = 153)		
Included (<i>n</i> = 379)	N (%)	N (%)	p OR	CI	N %	<i>p</i> Value OR	CI
Vancomycin-based therapy	38 10.0%	5 3.0%	0.010 0.36	(0.17, 0.78)	16 10.5%	0.818 1.08	(0.55, 2.14)
B-lactam-based treatment	37 9.8%	10 6.0%	0.105 1.76	(0.89, 3.499)	24 15.7%	0.002 3.05	(1.49, 6.19)
Tetracycline-based treatment	30 7.9%	7 4.2%	0.111 0.52	(0.23, 1.16)	12 7.8%	0.966 0.98	(0.46, 2.11)
Macrolide-based treatment	28 7.4%	1 0.6%	$\begin{array}{c} 0.040\\ 0.40\end{array}$	(0.16, 0.96)	7 4.6%	0.091 0.47	(0.19, 1.13)
Meropenem based therapy	28 7.4%	8 4.8%	0.029 2.44	(1.09, 5.44)	22 14.4%	0.000 6.16	(2.43, 15.58)
Colistin-based therapy	24 6.3%	8 4.8%	0.000 7.07	(2.37, 21.13)	20 13.1%	0.000 8.35	(2.79, 24.94)
Aminoglycosides-based therapy	22 5.8%	1 0.6%	0.759 0.87	(0.36, 2.09)	9 5.9%	0.958 1.02	(0.43, 2.46)
Tigecycline-based therapy	10 2.6%	7 4.2%	0.037 5.28	(1.11, 25.22)	8 5.2%	0.022 6.18	(1.29, 29.51)
Linezolid based therapy	2 0.5%	2 1.2%	** **	**	2 1.3%	** **	**
90-day previous infections	87 23.0%	30 18.0%	0.934 0.98	(0.60, 1.59)	39 25.5%	0.335 1.27	(0.78, 2.06)
Gram-negative	48 55.2%	16 18.4%	0.328 0.73	(0.39, 1.37)	21 13.7%	0.610 1.17	(0.64, 2.16)
Gram-positive	34 39.1%	10 11.5%	0.474 0.77	(0.37, 1.58)	14 9.2%	0.920 1.04	(0.51, 2.12)
SARS-CoV-19	20 23.0%	15 17.2%	0.001 27.09	(3.59, 204.55)	14 9.2%	0.009 3.69	(1.39, 9.84)
Fungal	4 4.6%	2 2.3%	0.810 1.27	(0.18, 9.13)	2 1.3%	0.695 1.48	(0.21, 10.65)
Concomitant infections							
Polymicrobial infections (Yes)	224 59.1%	101 60.5%	0.000 9.00	(5.42, 14.95)	99 64.7%	0.068 1.48	(0.97, 2.26)
Gram-negative	169 75.4%	90 53.9%	0.000 7.60	(4.81, 12.02)	78 51.0%	0.040 1.54	(1.02, 2.33)
Gram-positive	106 47.3%	51 30.5%	0.000 2.97	(1.87, 4.73)	50 32.7%	0.094 1.47	(0.94, 2.32)
Fungal	59 26.3%	24 14.4%	0.000 3.85	(2.10, 7.07)	37 24.2%	0.000 2.96	(1.66, 5.26)
SARS-CoV-19	7 3.1%	3 1.8%	0.163 3.24	(0.62, 16.92)	3 2.0%	0.892 1.11	(0.24, 5.03)
Time between admission and sampling Median (IQR)	2 (0–15)	17 (10–29)	0.000 52.51	(14.02, 196.66)	7 (1–25.5)	0.000 1.03	(1.01, 1.03)

Table A1. Cont.

** Value can't be produced by software.

	14-Day Mortality (<i>n</i> = 55)					28-Day Mortality (<i>n</i> = 22)			
Included (<i>n</i> = 379)	N (%)	N (%)	p OR	CI	N (%)	p OR	CI		
Gender									
Male	265 69.9%	51 92.7%	0.000 6.55	(2.31, 18.60)	16 73%	0.768 1.16	(0.44, 3.04)		
Female	114 30.1%	4 7.3%	0.15	(0.05, 0.43)	6 27%	0.86	(0.33, 2.27)		
Age on admission-Median (IQR)	59.9 (41–77)	74 (65.81.5)	0.000 1.04	(1.02, 1.06)	78 (69.4– 84.2)	0.000 1.05	(1.02, 1.09)		
≤ 60 Years	190 50.1%	10 18.2%	0.18	(0.09, 0.37)	3 14%	0.14	(0.04, 0.49)		
>60 years	189 49.9%	45 81.8%	0.000 5.63	(2.74, 11.55)	19 86%	0.002 6.97	(2.03, 23.95)		
Length of stay (LOS) Median (IQR)	12 (5–31)	15 (4–22)	0.043 0.99	(0.97, 0.99)	33 (26–39.3)	0.021 1.01	(1.00, 1.02)		
≤14 days	184 48.5%	27 49.1%	0.90	(0.51, 1.59)	0 0%	** **	**		
>14 days	195 51.5%	28 50.9%	0.705 1.12	(0.63, 1.99)	22 100%	** **	**		
Admission Diagnosis									
Infectious disease diagnosis	273 72.0%	43 78.2%	0.274 1.46	(0.74, 2.90)	19 86%	0.136 2.57	(0.74, 8.87)		
Non-infectious diagnosis	106 28.0%	12 21.8%	0.68	(0.35, 1.35)	3 14%	0.39	(0.11, 1.34)		
Admission ward									
Critical care area	117 30.9%	26 47.3%	0.005 2.30	(1.28, 4.11)	8 36%	0.566 1.30	(0.53, 3.19)		
Medical ward	146 38.5%	27 49.1%	0.084 1.66	(0.93, 2.95)	14 64%	0.017 2.98	(1.22, 7.29)		
Surgical ward	116 30.6%	2 3.6%	0.000 0.07	(0.02, 0.29)	0 0%	** **	**		
Underlying Comorbid conditions									
Number of comorbid conditions Median (IQR)	3 (2–4)	3 (2–4)	0.000 1.54	(1.22, 1.95)	2 (2.8–4)	0.003 1.72	(1.19, 2.48)		
Any comorbidity	338 89.2%	54 98.2%	$0.000 \\ 1.54$	(1.22, 1.95)	22 100%	** **	**		
Chronic Cardiac Diseases	282 83.4%	49 89.1%	0.010 3.19	(1.32, 7.7025)	20 91%	0.086 3.63	(0.83, 15.81)		
Diabetes	254 75.1%	38 69.1%	0.724 1.12	(0.60, 2.07)	19 86%	0.059 3.29	(0.95, 11.33)		
Chronic renal failure	228 67.5%	43 78.2%	0.004 2.69	(1.37, 5.30)	17 77%	0.100 2.35	(0.85, 6.52)		
Others	179 53.0%	35 63.6%	0.009 2.19	(1.21, 3.95)	13 59%	0.255 1.66	(0.69, 3.99)		
Chronic Resp. Disease	70 20.7%	12 21.8%	0.490 1.28	(0.64, 2.58)	11 50%	0.000 5.05	(2.09, 12.19)		
Immuno-suppressed	24 7.1%	7 12.7%	0.042 2.63	(1.04, 6.68)	1 5%	0.724 0.69	(0.09, 5.37)		
Sickle Cell	19 5.6%	1 1.8%	0.265 0.31	(0.04, 2.41)	0 0%	**	**		

Table A2. Risk factors for 14 and 28-day mortalities (Binary logistic regression).

Included (n = 379)

Active malignancy

HIV follow-up
Other Risk Factors
Invasive procedure during admission

Need for blood transfusion

Surgery 90-day history
Medication
Analgesics

Proton pump inhibitor

Heparin/LMWH

Diuretics

Cortico-steroids

Inotropes

Vasodilators

Albumin

Culture sample type

Skin and soft tissue

Urine

Respiratory

Blood

Body fluids

Organism causing infections

Gram-positive bacteria

Gram-negative bacteria

Fungal

SARS-CoV-19

N (%)	14-]	Day Mortali	ty $(n = 55)$	28-Day Mortality ($n = 22$)			
	N (%)	p OR	CI	N (%)	p OR	CI	
14 4.1%	6 10.9%	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005\\ 4.84\end{array}$	(1.61, 14.54)	1 5%	0.828 1.26	(0.16, 10.10)	
1 0.3%	1 1.8%	** **	**	0 0%	** **	**	
285 75.2%	53 96.4%	0.001 10.51	(2.51, 44.01)	22 100%	**	**	
212 55.9%	36 65.5%	0.126 1.59	(0.88, 2.89)	20 91%	0.004 8.59	(1.98, 37.32)	
39 10.3%	4 7.3%	0.429 0.65	(0.22, 1.90)	0 0%	**	**	
283 74.7%	39 70.9%	0.489 0.80	(0.42, 1.51)	13 59%	0.090 0.47	(0.19, 1.13)	
269 71.0%	47 85.5%	0.013	(1.23, 5.92)	22 100%	** **	**	

(2.04, 10.60)

(1.03, 3.45)

(0.88, 2.85)

(11.37, 66.95)

(0.81, 2.73)

(2.79, 9.70)

(0.12, 0.69)

(0.46, 1.76)

(0.64, 2.34)

(1.29, 4.35)

**

(0.62, 2.17)

(0.44, 1.38)

(0.42, 3.12)

(0.44, 6.08)

**

**

0.005

5.76

0.708

1.19

0.000

24.66 0.611

0.77

0.074

2.36

0.322

1.58

0.090

0.2798

0.380

1.52

0.955

0.97

**

**

0.556

0.74

0.599

0.79

0.343

1.86

0.186

2.88

**

(1.67, 19.80)

(0.48, 2.91)

(5.66, 107.4)

(0.28, 2.13)

(0.92, 6.03)

(0.64, 3.88)

(0.06, 1.22)

(0.60, 3.84)

(0.35, 2.71)

**

(0.26, 2.05)

(0.33, 1.88)

(0.52, 6.65)

(0.60, 13.73)

22

100%

19

86%

8

36%

20

91%

5

23%

7

32%

8

36%

2

9%

7

32%

5

23%

0

0%

12

55%

5

23%

3

14%

2

9%

Table A2. Cont.

241

63.6%

206

54.4%

124

32.7%

123

32.5%

104

27.4%

66

17.4%

103

27.2%

96

25.3%

91

24.0%

88

23.2%

1

0.3%

107

28.2%

227

59.9%

31

8.2%

14

3.7%

48

87.3%

37

67.3%

23

41.8%

49

89.1%

19

34.5%

24

43.6%

6

10.9%

13

23.6%

15

27.3%

21

38.2%

0

0.0%

17

30.9%

30

54.5%

5

9.1%

3

5.5%

0.000

4.65

0.040

1.89

0.122

1.59

0.000

27.59

0.204

1.48

0.000

5.20

0.005

0.29

0.755

0.90 0.541

1.22

0.005

2.37

**

0.634

1.16

0.382

0.77

0.790

1.15

0.458

1.64

	14-Day Mortality (<i>n</i> = 55)				28-Day Mortality (<i>n</i> = 22)			
Included (<i>n</i> = 379)	N (%)	N (%)	p OR	CI	N (%)	p OR	CI	
Resistant Phenotype for bacterial infections (n-334)								
Sens	181 54.2%	34 61.8%	0.721 1.11	(0.62, 2.00)	13 59%	0.958 0.98	(0.41, 2.34)	
MDR	67 20.1%	14 25.5%	0.105 1.75	(0.89, 3.43)	5 23%	0.524 1.40	(0.50, 3.94)	
ESBL	50 15.0%	3 5.5%	0.079 0.34	(0.10, 1.13)	4 18%	0.479 1.50	(0.49, 4.64)	
CRE	24 7.2%	3 5.5%	0.773 0.83	(0.24, 2.89)	0 0%	**	**	
MRSA	12 3.6%	1 1.8%	0.543 0.53	(0.07, 4.16)	0 0%	**	**	
Place of Acquisition								
Community	212 55.9%	22 40.0%	0.47	(0.26, 0.84)	8 36%	0.43	(0.18, 1.05)	
Hospital	167 44.1%	33 60.0%	0.011 2.13	(1.19, 3.81)	14 64%	0.063 2.33	(0.96, 5.70)	
90 days occurrence of any infection	136 35.9%	** **	** **	**	** **	** **	**	
Prior exposure to antimicrobials	158 41.7%	20 36.4%	0.387 0.77	(0.43, 1.39)	14 64%	0.037 2.59	(1.06, 6.33)	
90 days exposure to Cephalosporins	105 66.5%	16 80.0%	0.804 1.08	(0.58, 2.04)	11 79%	0.020 2.80	(1.17, 6.67)	
90 days exposure to B-lactams	70 44.3%	5 25.0%	$0.060 \\ 0.40$	(0.15, 1.04)	9 64%	0.008 3.36	(1.37, 8.21)	
90 days exposure to quinolones	64 40.5%	8 40.0%	0.617 0.81	(0.36, 1.82)	5 36%	$0.454 \\ 1.49$	(0.53, 4.18)	
90 days exposure to B-lactam/B-lactamase	58 36.7%	12 60.0%	0.150 1.69	(0.83, 3.47)	8 57%	0.007 3.51	(1.40, 8.79)	
90 days exposure to Macrolides	34 21.5%	2 10.0%	0.152 0.34	(0.08, 1.48)	6 43%	$\begin{array}{c} 0.004\\ 4.41\end{array}$	(1.60, 12.15)	
90 days exposure to Glycopeptides	33 20.9%	4 20.0%	0.684 0.80	(0.27, 2.37)	0 0%	** **	**	
90 days exposure to Nitroimidazole	29 18.4%	7 35.0%	0.132 2.00	(0.81, 4.94)	3 21%	0.286 2.01	(0.56, 7.24)	
90 days exposure to Aminoglycosides	25 15.8%	4 20.0%	0.827 1.13	(0.37, 3.43)	0 0%	**	**	
90 days exposure to Tetracyclines	19 12.0%	3 15.0%	0.871 1.11	(0.31, 3.95)	0 0%	**	**	
90 days exposure to glycylcycline	3 1.9%	0 0.0%	**	**	0 0%	**	**	
90 days exposure to Oxazolidinones	2 1.3%	0 0.0%	**	**	0 0%	**	**	
90 days exposure to Colistin	1 0.6%	0 0.0%	** **	**	0 0%	** **	**	
Antimicrobial treatment								
Monotherapy	250 66.0%	33 60.0%	0.74	(0.41, 1.33)	16 73%	0.492 1.40	(0.54, 3.67)	
Combined therapy	129 34.0%	22 40.0%	0.314 1.35	(0.75, 2.43)	6 27%	0.71	(0.27, 1.87)	
Cephalosporin-based therapy	157 41.4%	28 50.9%	0.124 1.57	(0.88, 2.78)	8 36%	0.620 0.80	(0.33, 1.95)	

Table A2. Cont.

	14-Day Mortality (<i>n</i> = 55)				28-Day Mortality (<i>n</i> = 22)			
Included (<i>n</i> = 379)	Ν	Ν	p	CI	Ν	p p	CI	
	(%)	(%)	OR	Ci -	(%)	OR	Ci -	
B-lactam/B-lactamase inhibitor-based therapy	131 34.6%	23 41.8%	0.223 1.44	(0.80, 2.58)	10 45%	0.272 1.63	(0.685, 3.87)	
Pip/Taz based therapy	99 26.1%	16 29.1%	0.588 1.19	(0.63, 2.24)	7 32%	0.532 1.34	(0.53, 3.40)	
Quinolones-based therapy	45 11.9%	1 1.8%	0.036 0.12	(0.02, 0.87)	1 5%	0.296 0.34	(0.04, 2.58)	
Vancomycin-based therapy	38 10.0%	5 9.1%	0.803 0.88	(0.33, 2.37)	4 18%	0.199 2.11	(0.68, 6.59)	
B-lactam-based treatment	37 9.8%	4 7.3%	0.503 0.69	(0.24, 2.04)	0 0%	** **	**	
Antifungal treatment	31 8.2%	5 9.1%	0.790 1.15	(0.42, 3.12)	3 14%	0.343 1.86	(0.52, 6.65)	
Tetracycline-based treatment	30 7.9%	2 3.6%	0.219 0.40	(0.09, 1.72)	0 0%	** **	**	
Macrolide-based treatment	28 7.4%	8 14.5%	0.033 2.59	(1.08, 6.21)	2 9%	0.754 1.27	(0.28, 5.75)	
Meropenem based therapy	28 7.4%	3 5.5%	0.555 0.69	(0.20, 2.37)	0 0%	** **	**	
Colistin-based therapy	24 6.3%	3 5.5%	0.773 0.83	(0.24, 2.89)	2 9%	0.587 1.52	(0.33, 6.94)	
Aminoglycosides-based therapy	22 5.8%	5 9.1%	0.266 1.81	(0.64, 5.11)	1 5%	0.795 0.76	(0.09, 5.94)	
Tigecycline-based therapy	10 2.6%	0 0.0%	** **	**	0 0%	** **	**	
Linezolid based therapy	2 0.5%	0 0.0%	** **	**	0 0%	** **	**	
90-day previous infections	87 23.0%	5 9.1%	0.012 0.30	(0.11, 0.77)	5 23%	0.979 0.99	(0.35, 2.76)	
Gram-negative	48 55.2%	5 100.0%	0.392 0.65	(0.25, 1.73)	2 40%	0.606 0.68	(0.15, 2.99)	
Gram-positive	34 39.1%	2 40.0%	0.152 0.34	(0.08, 1.48)	0 0%	** **	**	
SARS-CoV-19	20 23.0%	0 0.0%	** **	**	3 60%	0.086 3.16	(0.85, 11.72)	
Fungal	4 4.6%	0 0.0%	** **	**	0 0%	** **	**	
Concomitant infections								
Polymicrobial infections (Yes)	224 59.1%	38 69.1%	0.106 1.66	(0.89, 3.06)	22 100%	** **	**	
Gram-negative	169 75.4%	27 71.1%	0.468 1.24	(0.69, 2.19)	18 82%	0.001 6.14	(2.04, 18.51)	
Gram-positive	106 47.3%	10 26.3%	0.084 0.53	(0.26, 1.09)	15 68%	0.000 6.26	(2.48, 15.85)	
Fungal	59 26.3%	6 15.8%	0.306 0.63	(0.26, 1.54)	10 45%	0.000 5.24	(2.15, 12.78)	
SARS-CoV-19	7 3.1%	7 18.4%	0.961 **	**	0 0%	** **	**	
Time between admission and sampling Median (IQR)	2 (0–15)	8 (0–17)	0.799 1.00	(0.99, 1.02)	12 (1–23.5)	0.009 1.02	(1.01, 1.03)	

Table A2. Cont.

** Value can't be produced by software.

References

- 1. Beutler, E. G6PD: Population genetics and clinical manifestations. Blood Rev. 1996, 10, 45–52. [CrossRef]
- 2. Wood, T. Physiological functions of the pentose phosphate pathway. Cell Biochem. Funct. 1986, 4, 241–247. [CrossRef]
- 3. Cappellini, M.D.; Fiorelli, G. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency. *Lancet* 2008, 371, 64–74. [CrossRef]
- Meissner, F.; Seger, R.A.; Moshous, D.; Fischer, A.; Reichenbach, J.; Zychlinsky, A. Inflammasome activation in NADPH oxidase defective mononuclear phagocytes from patients with chronic granulomatous disease. *Blood* 2010, *116*, 1570–1573. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rada, B.K.; Geiszt, M.; Káldi, K.; Timar, C.; Ligeti, E. Dual role of phagocytic NADPH oxidase in bacterial killing. *Blood* 2004, 104, 2947–2953. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bianchi, M.; Niemiec, M.J.; Siler, U.; Urban, C.F.; Reichenbach, J. Restoration of anti-Aspergillus defense by neutrophil extracellular traps in human chronic granulomatous disease after gene therapy is calprotectin-dependent. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 2011, 127, 1243–1252. [CrossRef]
- Gonzalez, A.; Hung, C.-Y.; Cole, G.T. Absence of phagocyte NADPH oxidase 2 leads to severe inflammatory response in lungs of mice infected with Coccidioides. *Microb. Pathog.* 2011, *51*, 432–441. [CrossRef]
- 8. Nathan, C. Neutrophils and immunity: Challenges and opportunities. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2006, 6, 173–182. [CrossRef]
- 9. Clark, M.; Root, R.K. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency and infection: A study of hospitalized patients in Iran. *Yale J. Biol. Med.* **1979**, *52*, 169–179.
- 10. Thwe, P.M.; Ortiz, D.A.; Wankewicz, A.L.; Hornak, J.P.; Williams-Bouyer, N.; Ren, P. The Brief Case: Recurrent Chromobacterium violaceum Bloodstream Infection in a Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase (G6PD)-Deficient Patient with a Severe Neutrophil Defect. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2020**, *58*, 2. [CrossRef]
- 11. Hsieh, Y.-T.; Lin, M.-H.; Ho, H.-Y.; Chen, L.-C.; Chen, C.-C.; Shu, J.-C. Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase (G6PD)-Deficient Epithelial Cells Are Less Tolerant to Infection by Staphylococcus aureus. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e79566. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Abu-Osba, Y.K.; Mallouh, A.A.; Hann, R.W. Incidence and causes of sepsis in glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase-deficient newborn infants. *J. Pediatr.* **1989**, *114*, 748–752. [CrossRef]
- 13. Cooper, M.R.; DeChatelet, L.R.; McCall, C.E.; La Via, M.F.; Spurr, C.L.; Baehner, R.L. Complete Deficiency of Leukocyte Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase with Defective Bactericidal Activity. J. Clin. Investig. **1972**, *51*, 769–778. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Yang, C.-H.; Chen, K.-J.; Wang, C.-K. Community-acquired Acinetobacter pneumonia: A case report. J. Infect. 1997, 35, 316–318. [CrossRef]
- Mallouh, A.A.; Abu-Osba, Y.K. Bacterial infections in children with glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency. *J. Pediatr.* 1987, 111 Pt 1, 850–852. [CrossRef]
- 16. Harthan, A.A.; Heger, M.L. Stenotrophomonas Infection in a Patient with Glucose-6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Deficiency. *J. Pediatr. Pharmacol. Ther.* **2013**, *18*, 137–141. [CrossRef]
- 17. Lodha, A.; Kamaluddeen, M.S.; Kelly, E.; Amin, H. Clostridium difficile infection precipitating hemolysis in glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase-deficient preterm twins causing severe neonatal jaundice. *J. Perinatol.* **2008**, *28*, 77–78. [CrossRef]
- 18. WHO Working Group. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency. Bull World Health Organ. 1989, 67, 601-611.
- 19. Nkhoma, E.T.; Poole, C.; Vannappagari, V.; Hall, S.A.; Beutler, E. The global prevalence of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Blood Cells Mol. Dis.* **2009**, *42*, 267–278. [CrossRef]
- 20. Al Riyami, A.A.; Suleiman, A.J.; Afifi, M.; Al Lamki, Z.M.; Daar, S. A community-based study of common hereditary blood disorders in Oman. *EMHJ East. Mediterr. Health J.* 2001, 7, 1004–1011. [CrossRef]
- 21. Falagas, M.E.; Koletsi, P.K.; Bliziotis, I.A. The diversity of definitions of multidrug-resistant (MDR) and pandrug-resistant (PDR) Acinetobacter baumannii and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J. Med Microbiol.* **2006**, *55 Pt* 12, 1619–1629. [CrossRef]
- 22. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Standards Development Policies and Process October 2013. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/labtraining/trainingcourses/master/antimicrobial-susceptibility-clsi-standards.html (accessed on 28 February 2022).
- 23. Al-Riyami, A.; Ebrahim, G.J. Genetic Blood Disorders Survey in the Sultanate of Oman. *J. Trop. Pediatr.* 2003, 49 (Suppl. S1), i1–i20. [PubMed]
- 24. Rostami-Far, Z.; Ghadiri, K.; Rostami-Far, M.; Shaveisi-Zadeh, F.; Amiri, A.; Zarif, B.R. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency (G6PD) as a risk factor of male neonatal sepsis. *J. Med. Life* **2016**, *9*, 34–38.
- 25. Blot, S.; Vandijck, D.; Lizy, C.; Annemans, L.; Vogelaers, D. Estimating the Length of Hospitalization Attributable to Multidrug Antibiotic Resistance. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2010**, *54*, 4046–4047. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Madrazo, M.; Esparcia, A.; López-Cruz, I.; Alberola, J.; Piles, L.; Viana, A.; Eiros, J.M.; Artero, A. Clinical impact of multidrugresistant bacteria in older hospitalized patients with community-acquired urinary tract infection. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2021, 21, 1232. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nelson, R.E.; Hyun, D.; Jezek, A.; Samore, M.H. Mortality, Length of Stay, and Healthcare Costs Associated with Multidrug-Resistant Bacterial Infections Among Elderly Hospitalized Patients in the United States. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2022, 74, 1070–1080. [CrossRef]
- 28. Tosi, M.; Roat, E.; De Biasi, S.; Munari, E.; Venturelli, S.; Coloretti, I.; Biagioni, E.; Cossarizza, A.; Girardis, M. Multidrug resistant bacteria in critically ill patients: A step further antibiotic therapy. *J. Emerg. Crit. Care Med.* **2018**, *2*, 103. [CrossRef]

- Khoharo, H.K.; Almani, S.A.; Naseer, A.; Maheshwari, S.K.; Maroof, P.; Naseer, R. Current trends of drug resistance patterns of Acinetobacter baumannii infection in blood transfusion-dependent thalassemia patients. J. Glob. Infect. Dis. 2017, 9, 135–138. [CrossRef]
- Muzaheed; Alzahrani, F.M.; Sattar Shaikh, S. Acinetobacter baumannii Infection in Transfusion Dependent Thalassemia Patients with Sepsis. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2017, 2017, 2351037.
- Gasperini, B.; Cherubini, A.; Lucarelli, M.; Espinosa, E.; Prospero, E. Multidrug-Resistant Bacterial Infections in Geriatric Hospitalized Patients before and after the COVID-19 Outbreak: Results from a Retrospective Observational Study in Two Geriatric Wards. *Antibiotics* 2021, 10, 95. [CrossRef]
- Tarazona-Castro, Y.; Troyes, L.; Martins-Luna, J.; Cabellos-Altamirano, F.; Aguilar-Luis, M.A.; Carrillo-Ng, H.; Valle, L.J.D.; Kiem, S.M.; Miranda, S.; Silva-Caso, W.; et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 IgM Antibodies in Febrile Patients from an Endemic Region of Dengue and Chikungunya. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 2022, *116*, S53–S54. [CrossRef]
- 33. Marino, A.; Pampaloni, A.; Scuderi, D.; Cosentino, F.; Moscatt, V.; Ceccarelli, M.; Gussio, M.; Celesia, B.M.; Bruno, R.; Borraccin, S.; et al. High-fow nasal cannula oxygenation and tocilizumab administration in patients critically ill with COVID-19: A report of three cases and a literature review. *World Acad. Sci. J.* 2020, *2*, 23. [CrossRef]
- Mehta, Y.; Gupta, A.; Todi, S.; Myatra, S.; Samaddar, D.P.; Patil, V.; Bhattacharya, P.K.; Ramasubban, S. Guidelines for prevention of hospital acquired infections. Indian journal of critical care medicine: Peer-reviewed, official publication of. *Indian Soc. Crit. Care Med.* 2014, 18, 149–163.
- Liu, C.-P.; Shih, S.-C.; Wang, N.-Y.; Wu, A.Y.; Sun, F.-J.; Chow, S.-F.; Chen, T.-L.; Yan, T.-R. Risk factors of mortality in patients with carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii bacteremia. J. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect. 2016, 49, 934–940. [CrossRef]