## *Review Article*

# Use of Adult Stem Cells for Cartilage Tissue Engineering: Current Status and Future Developments

## Catherine Baugé<sup>1,2</sup> and Karim Boumédiene<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Normandie Université, 14032 Caen, France <sup>2</sup>UNICAEN, EA4652 MILPAT, 14032 Caen, France

Correspondence should be addressed to Catherine Baugé; catherine.bauge@unicaen.fr

Received 30 September 2014; Revised 16 March 2015; Accepted 17 March 2015

Academic Editor: Abhijit De

Copyright © 2015 C. Baugé and K. Boumédiene. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Due to their low self-repair ability, cartilage defects that result from joint injury, aging, or osteoarthritis, are the most often irreversible and are a major cause of joint pain and chronic disability. So, in recent years, researchers and surgeons have been working hard to elaborate cartilage repair interventions for patients who suffer from cartilage damage. However, current methods do not perfectly restore hyaline cartilage and may lead to the apparition of fibro- or hypertrophic cartilage. In the next years, the development of new strategies using adult stem cells, in scaffolds, with supplementation of culture medium and/or culture in low oxygen tension should improve the quality of neoformed cartilage. Through these solutions, some of the latest technologies start to bring very promising results in repairing cartilage from traumatic injury or chondropathies. This review discusses the current knowledge about the use of adult stem cells in the context of cartilage tissue engineering and presents clinical trials in progress, as well as in the future, especially in the field of bioprinting stem cells.

## 1. Cartilage, a Tissue with Low Capacity of Repair

Articular cartilage is a stable tissue that functions for decades to keep normal joint movement possible. It is a hyaline tissue with no blood, lymphatic or nerve supply. It contains a single type of cells, called chondrocytes (cell density: 1,500 to 2,000/mm<sup>3</sup>), maintained in an abundant connective tissue (Figure 1). This highly hydrated extracellular matrix (70-80%) is composed of collagen fibers, mainly type II collagen, and proteoglycan aggregates, mainly aggrecan, attached along a filament of hyaluronic acid. Collagens provide tensile strength, while proteoglycans are responsible for the compressive strength. The whole forms a viscoelastic structure well suited for both functions of cartilage: the absorption and distribution of forces and the sliding of the joint surfaces with a very low coefficient of friction. Thus, cartilage protects the subchondral bone acting as a lubricant and a shock absorber [1, 2]. Chondrocytes are responsible for the synthesis of this matrix. Indeed, these cells respond to growth factors, cytokines, or joint loading, by synthesizing extracellular matrix molecules. At opposite, chondrocytes can also express enzymes such as metalloproteases, favoring cartilage turnover and renewal.

During life, articular cartilage defects may happen and form areas of damaged or missing cartilage. These defects are often caused by acute trauma. Biochemical changes due to age may also stimulate the degradation of cartilage matrix and at term lead to chronic diseases such as osteoarthritis. These defects are the most often irreversible, since articular cartilage has very limited self-repair capability. This is partially due to its avascularity. With the lack of blood supply, a set of complex biochemical events that take place in order to repair the damage fails to occur. In addition, wound healing in hyaline cartilage is prevented by the dense extracellular matrix, which impairs the migration of chondrocytes [3–5]. However, a repair process may be initiated by undifferentiated mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) from the bone marrow tissue of subchondral bone [6, 7]. Small full thickness defects can be repaired by formation of hyaline cartilage, whereas large osteochondral defects are only repaired by formation of scar tissue (fibrous tissue) or fibrocartilage. This fibrocartilage is



FIGURE 1: An overview of a typical joint structure. Hyaline cartilage, the most common type of cartilage, is located at the extremity of bones. It protects the subchondral bone acting as a lubricant and a shock absorber. It contains a single type of cells, called chondrocytes, maintained in an abundant matrix rich in collagen and proteoglycans.

a poorly organized tissue containing significant amounts of collagen type I. It exhibits inferior mechanical and biochemical characteristics compared to normal hyaline articular cartilage. The matrix of fibrocartilage breaks down with time and loading, leading to development of secondary OA in injured cartilage.

Consequently, when damaged, cartilage repair and regeneration is a major challenge. Currently, therapies such as microfracture, abrasion, drilling, and osteochondral grafting are applied and help to reduce pain to some degree. However, complications and injuries are huge and the results are largely unsatisfactory. In this context, regenerative cartilage medicine has been developing since 1987, with the first transplantation of autologous chondrocytes [8]. More recently, cell therapies based on adult stem cells have emerged.

## 2. Cartilage Tissue Engineering by Autologous Cell Implantation

Cartilage is an attractive candidate for use in tissue-engineering therapies since this tissue is avascular and has a limited capacity for repair. With regard to chondrocyte implantation, an autologous strategy is preferred because of the risks associated with allogenic strategies, such as triggering an immunogenic response or transferring diseases. Autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI) procedures take place in three stages (Figure 2). First, chondrocytes are extracted arthroscopically from the patient's healthy articular cartilage (nonload-bearing area of either the intercondylar notch or the superior ridge of the femoral condyles). Then, chondrocytes are expanded in vitro for approximately four to six weeks. Finally, once a sufficient number of cells have been obtained, the patient undergoes a second surgery where the cultured and amplified chondrocytes are applied to the damaged area. These transplanted cells grow in their new environment, forming new articular cartilage [9].

The use of autologous chondrocyte implantation may represent a promising technology for cartilage repair in orthopedic research. However, we and other investigators have established that, during monolayer expansion of chondrocytes in vitro, this cell population loses its phenotype, as illustrated by a switch in collagen production from type II (typical of hyaline cartilage) toward types I and III (typical of fibrocartilage) [10–12]. The result of these phenotype changes is the production of an extracellular matrix with inferior biomechanical properties. In addition, the limited capacity of the donor site to provide a large amount of chondrocytes, as well as donor site morbidity, is major obstacles for autologous chondrocytes. Therefore, use of stem cells, such as mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), may be preferred. MSCs can be relatively easily harvested and the procedures using them are less invasive or destructive than articular cartilage harvesting procedures.

## 3. Sources of Mesenchymal Stem Cells for Cartilage Engineering

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have been heralded as the next major development in the repair and regeneration of cartilage. They are considered as an appropriate candidate owing to several specific characteristics (inherent chondrogenic property, easy availability, and cell homing potential). However, their use is still limited, with only 16% of reported cell therapy procedures for cartilage repair using MSCs, with the remaining chondrocytes [13].

*3.1. Bone Marrow MSCs.* MSCs, as nonhematopoietic cells, are originally derived from bone marrow tissue. In the 60s, scientists found that marrow cells are able to produce cartilage and bone-like tissue *in vivo* [14], but they were unable to determine the cells responsible for this property. Then, a fibroblastic population was isolated and described as the cellular equivalent of chondrogenic and osteogenic features of marrow tissue. Initially referred to as colony forming unit fibroblasts, these cells are now called mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs).

MSCs possess two important properties, long-term selfrenewal ability and the capacity to differentiate along multiple cell lineages, such as bone, cartilage, and adipose cells. In spite of their limited numbers in bone marrow aspirate (the frequency of MSCs in the whole bone marrow of skeletally mature adults ranges from 1 in 50 000 to 1 in 100 000 cells, corresponding to a yield of a few hundred MSCs/milliliter of marrow), mesenchymal stem cells are easily expandable through standard culture techniques. Upon cultivation, they assume a spindly shaped morphology. MSC primary culture has been reported to be heterogeneous, containing multiple colonies with various differentiation capacities: nearly one-third of these colonies have osteogenic, adipogenic, and chondrogenic differentiation potentials, while the other two thirds exhibit either bipotent or unipotent capacity to differentiate into osteogenic/chondrogenic and adipogenic lineages, respectively [15].



FIGURE 2: Schematic representation of autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI) procedures. First, chondrocytes are extracted arthroscopically from the patient's healthy articular cartilage (nonload-bearing area of either the intercondylar notch or the superior ridge of the femoral condyles). Then, chondrocytes are expanded *in vitro* for approximately four to six weeks. Finally, once a sufficient number of cells have been obtained, the patient undergoes a second surgery where the cultured and amplified chondrocytes are applied to the damaged area. These transplanted cells grow in their new environment, forming new articular cartilage.

3.2. Other Sources of MSCs. Besides bone marrow, multiple tissues have been reported to contain MSCs. These include adipose tissue, trabecular bone, periosteum, synovial membrane, and skeletal muscle, as well as teeth and umbilical cord [16]. Furthermore, some researchers have paid special attention to synovial membrane as a potent source of stem cells with good chondrogenic potential.

Unlike bone marrow MSCs, adipose MSCs can be isolated in large quantities with minimal morbidity and discomfort [17, 18]. The frequency of MSCs in adipose tissue is in the order of 1 in 100 cells, about 500-fold more than that found in bone marrow [19]. In view of these practical advantages, MSCs from adipose tissue could be considered an alternative option for bone marrow MSCs in cell-based cartilage regeneration strategies.

MSCs derived from synovial membranes also possess multilineage potential. These cells can be stimulated to undergo chondrogenesis *in vitro* with appropriate inducers. A study by Shirasawa et al. [20] showed that human synovialderived cells have greater chondrogenic potential than bone marrow MSCs, adipose MSCs, and periosteal- or musclederived cells from the same patients. Furthermore, a followup study by the same authors indicated that synovial-derived MSCs produce consistently larger cartilage than bone marrow MSCs from the same patients [21].

In light of their availability, MSCs from skeletal muscle are also attractive sources of cells for use in cartilage tissue engineering because it is the largest organ in the body and only a minimally invasive procedure is required to harvest the tissue *via* muscle biopsy. Muscle has been extensively investigated as a potential source for isolation of pluripotent stem cells that can differentiate into various lineages, including myogenic, hematopoietic, and osteogenic [22, 23]. Concerning chondrogenic differentiation, it has been reported that the use of mice muscle-derived stem cells implantation to repair articular defects improved the healing of the defect, by inducing hyaline cartilage formation with an efficiency equivalent to chondrocyte transplantation [24–26].

Finally, another promising source of progenitor cells is umbilical cord blood (CB), even if it contains heterogeneous populations of stromal cells. Nevertheless, they were described as less mature than bone marrow MSCs and therefore open larger potential in regenerative medicine. Several subclinical or clinical trials are performed for now in the field of cartilage therapy [27]. However, classification of umbilical CB progenitor cells in subclasses is still uncompleted and further isolation of new markers will help in the characterization of the precise population that triggers chondrogenesis.

#### 4. Differentiation towards Chondrogenesis

Repair or regeneration of cartilage depends on several parameters. When used, adult stem cells trigger chondrogenesis through a combination of events, including adaptation to a hypoxic milieu and activation of Sox proteins pathway that promote cells to produce their own cartilaginous matrix (type II collagen and aggrecan) [11]. Trophic factors are also of importance in this process. Among them, TGF beta members are widely used according to their well-stated role in the promotion of cartilage markers by MSC. However, it is likely that they act by a specific and sequential manner as described recently in a study that used a miRNA that regulates early chondrogenesis [28, 29]. Hence, the role of miRNAs emerges also as crucial in chondrogenesis and its elucidation will give more accurate information in the process of chondrogenesis. Finally, terminal differentiation is achieved by mechanical loading as well as hydrodynamic pressure, known to activate Sox-9 pathway and therefore chondrogenesis [30].

## 5. Culture Medium

The differentiation of adult stem cells into different cell types, especially to produce cartilage tissue, is reliant on the local microenvironment, growth factors, and extracellular matrix [31, 32].

Cell culture confers a highly controlled artificial environment on cells that can greatly modify their behavior. Classically, expansion protocols use a base medium, such as Dulbecco's modified eagle medium (DMEM), containing 10% fetal calf serum, maintained in a controlled 37°C atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and ambient oxygen (~20%). Taking this to represent baseline conditions, numerous attempts have been made to modify the culture conditions in order to maintain a more stem-like nature of the resulting cells or favor chondrogenic differentiation.

A defined medium for *in vitro* chondrogenesis of MSCs was first reported, in 1998, by Johnstone et al., who used micromass culture with TGF- $\beta$  and dexamethasone [32]. More recently, the necessity of cultured MSCs in medium containing dexamethasone to induce chondrogenic differentiation [33] and to add growth factors belonging to the TGF- $\beta$  superfamily that constitute the earliest signals in chondrogenic condensation [34] was reported. Thus, medium is frequently supplemented with TGF- $\beta$  superfamily members.

TGF- $\beta$ 1 is an important growth factor in tissue engineering for cartilage repair. It has been shown to promote chondrocyte proliferation and differentiation, both of which are important features of effective cartilage regeneration [35]. TGF- $\beta$  is also known to be a potent inducer of stem cells chondrogenic differentiation and to favor the differentiation of MSCs to form ectopic cartilage in vivo. Supplementation with TGF- $\beta$ 1 could initiate and promote chondrogenesis of synovium-derived stem cell, but TGF- $\beta$ 1 alone was insufficient to fully differentiate these cells into chondrocytes. In muscle stem cells, our group also confirms the importance of TGF- $\beta$ 1 not only in enhancing the chondrogenesis, but also in maintaining the chondrogenic phenotype. In these stem cells, we showed that TGF- $\beta$ 1 enhances GAGs deposition, aggrecan, and type II collagen synthesis. Moreover, type I and type X collagen syntheses were inhibited, particularly in CD56-cells, suggesting that chondrocytes did not demonstrate significant hypertrophy. This data was further supported by the inhibition of nuclear proteins binding activity on Cbfal consensus sequence in TGF- $\beta$ 1-treated muscle stem cells, which could explain TGF- $\beta$ 1-induced downregulation of type I and type X collagens expressions [26]. TGF- $\beta$ 1 has also been shown to inhibit terminal differentiation of chondrocytes [36, 37].

However, findings concerning TGF- $\beta$ 1 effect on MSCs are still controversy and underline the fact that its effect on osteoblastic or chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs depends on culture conditions and doses used. *In vitro* experiments demonstrated that its use may lead to hypertrophic differentiation, with subsequent formation of an inadequate tissue instead of hyaline cartilage [38, 39]. In addition, a high dose of TGF- $\beta$ 1 *via* intra-articular injection is known to induce chemotaxis and activation of inflammatory cells, resulting in characteristic cartilage defects such as fibrosis and osteophyte formation [35]. Therefore, it is evident that TGF- $\beta$ 1 should be administered in a controlled manner to minimize adverse effects.

Several other growth factors are known to influence the anabolic and catabolic processes of chondrocytes. Therefore, a number of these growth factors have been used in cartilage tissue engineering studies *in vitro* to promote the chondrogenic phenotype, to stimulate extracellular matrix production, and to promote chondrogenesis of MSCs. Among others, TGF $\beta$ -3 stimulates the synthesis of proteoglycans and collagens matrix components [40] and is necessary for different steps during chondrogenesis. It probably acts by inducing the expression of Sry-related high-mobility-group box-9 (Sox-9) [41], which in turn regulates the expression of aggrecan and collagen type II, type IX, and type XI during chondrocyte differentiation.

Furthermore, research has indicated that addition of bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) also enhances chondrogenesis and can be used for the development of cartilage engineering strategy. BMPs have multiple important roles during skeletal formation [35]. BMP-2, -5, and -6 maintain and promote later stages of chondrocyte differentiation rather than initiation of maturation [42], while BMP-7 (also referred to as osteogenic protein 1, OP-1) promoted chondrocyte proliferation and inhibited terminal differentiation [43]. BMP-2 also regulates the chondrogenic differentiation and the maturation of mesenchymal progenitors and stimulates the synthesis of chondrocyte matrix components, [44]. For this reason, BMP-2 has been proposed as a tool for cartilage repair and as an inducer of chondrogenesis.

In cultures of human mesenchymal stem cells, BMP-2 as well as BMP-9 increases the synthesis of cartilage-specific proteins [35]. Comparing the ability of BMP-2, BMP-4, and BMP-6 to promote the differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells from bone marrow toward chondrocyte showed that BMP-2 appears to be the most effective. However, under BMP-2, mesenchymal stem cells can possibly continue their differentiation to hypertrophy and osteogenesis, characterized by type X collagen and Runx2 expression. Finding a way to reduce this adverse effect is subsequently essential to the development of an efficient strategy of tissue engineering for cartilage repair. Some researchers propose to cotreat cells with TGF- $\beta$  and BMP-2. Pretreatment with TGF- $\beta$ could prevent fully differentiation of MSCs into osteoblasts [45]. Although BMP-2 induces osteogenic and chondrogenic phenotypes in adipose-derived stem cells, TGF- $\beta$ 1 can inhibit BMP-2-induced differentiation of the osteogenic lineage, and combined growth factor treatment shows a synergistic effect on the expression of cartilage-specific genes and elevated release of cartilage-specific ECM proteins [46].

GDF-5 (growth differentiation factor-5) also known as BMP-14 or cartilage-derived morphogenetic protein (CDMP-1) shows also some capacity to stimulate cartilage matrix synthesis. It induces the differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells into chondrocytes and promoted increased accumulation of GAG and type II collagen during pellet culture [47].

Other cytokines, such as insulin-like growth factor (IGF), or parathyroid hormone related peptide (PTHrP) had been tried for better differentiation of stem cells, but it is still difficult to obtain "*in vitro*" MSC-based cartilage formation comparative to native cartilage tissue [32].



FIGURE 3: Schematic representation of cell therapy for cartilage based on stem cell implantation. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are isolated from different sources: bone marrow, fat tissues, or umbilical cord. Synovial-derived cells or MSCs derived from skeletal muscle can also be used. Then, these cells are either injected into damaged zone of cartilage or amplified *in vitro*. After amplification, stem cells are injected into damaged cartilage directly or after a stage of activation toward chondrogenesis by addition of growth factors, cultured in hypoxia and in an adapted scaffold.

#### 6. Biomaterials and Scaffolds

As evidenced by previous paragraph, growth factors are essential to induce chondrogenic differentiation of adult stem cells. However, to promote/maintain cartilage differentiation/phenotype in culture, another critical requirement is to provide a 3D microenvironment. Indeed, research has demonstrated that MSCs hardly differentiate into cartilage cell lineage in a 2D culture system.

The initial technique for chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs was the micromass culture system. The cells were placed in a tube and centrifuged into a condensed aggregate. To date, this technique is still widely used to evaluate chondrogenic potential of MSCs *in vitro*. MSCs cultured in micromass increase expression of type II collagen, a marker of chondrocyte phenotype, but they also increase the expression of hypertrophic marker, such as type X collagen [32].

For applications of cartilage tissue replacement, most investigators preferred transplantation of cells combined with scaffold. So, a huge expansion in biomaterial technologies and scaffolds took place to create functional tissue replacement to treat cartilage defects or osteoarthritis. Numerous biomaterials and scaffolds are being developed, influenced by the knowledge of the anatomical and structural complexity of articular cartilage. In addition to being biocompatible and accommodating cell adhesion, proliferation, and matrix synthesis, an ideal biomaterial scaffold for cartilage regeneration should be bioactive, biomimetic, biodegradable, and bioresponsive, providing signaling with spatiotemporal control and response that is selective to defined stimuli.

Natural and synthetic scaffolds have been developed. Natural materials, such as collagen, hyaluronic acid, or alginate, have advantage to be biodegradable, easily available, and bioactive. For their part, synthetic materials, such as polyethylene glycol (PEG), polyglycolic acid (PGA), polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA), or polylactide-co-glycolide (PLGA), are inert and have a long shelf-life. Their physical proprieties can also be easily changed (porosity, degradation time...) [9].

## 7. Clinical Trials

Many clinical trials have been registered at https://www .clinicaltrial.gov/ regarding application of stem cells for regenerating cartilage. About 40 studies (phase 1 to 3) are in progress or are completed worldwide (Table 1). Most of them aim to repair cartilage defects or treat degenerative damage, in knee, ankle, or hip, due to osteoarthritis. One clinical trial aims to implant a partial larynx.

Different strategies are tested (Figure 3). The simplest and most frequent one consists of intra-articular injection of mesenchymal stem cells, either directly after collection (i.e., fresh non cultured and expanded cells), or after amplification and culture during 2–4 weeks. Another strategy aims to mix stem cells with a scaffold for implantation. For this, different biomaterials are testing collagen hydroxylapatite scaffold, collagen I scaffold, or decellularized human donor scaffolds. Three sources of stem cells are used: bone marrowderived mesenchymal stem cells, adipose-derived stem cells, and umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells.

Some preliminary results have been published and are promising. A 31-year-old male patient having received MSC/collagen gel into cartilage defects in his knee returned to a normal life seven months after implantation and the formation of hyaline cartilage in the histological sections has been observed [48]. Similarly, Wakitani et al. transplanted autologous MSCs combined with collagen gel into five patients with full thickness articular cartilage defects in their patellofemoral joints and observed significant improvements in patients' pain and walking ability six months after transplantation. However, one year after transplantation, histological examination of the repair tissue from one patient revealed that the defect was repaired by fibrocartilaginous

	Results	MSC therapy may be a valid alternative treatment for chronic knee osteoarthritis. The intervention is simple, does not require	hospitalization or surgery, provides pain reliet, and significantly improves cartilage quality. Orozco et al., 2013 [56]; Orozco et al., 2014 [55]						
0	Estimated enrollment	12	30	104	60	Q	40	IS	۵
	Age of patients	18–76	18–75	18 and older	18-65	18–65	18–65	18–65	45-60
·····	Intervention	Autologous bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (MSV) by articular injection	Allogenic mesenchymal stromal cells injection (compared to hyaluronic acid injection)	Cartistem (allogeneic-unrelated, umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells, <i>ex</i> <i>vivo</i> , combined with sodium hyaluronate) (compared to microfracture treatment)	Bone marrow mesenchymal cell transplantation; (compared to placebo)	Mesenchymal stem cells injection	Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell (compared to placebo)	Autologous mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) knee implantation after " <i>ex vivo</i> " expansion	Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells mixed with collagen I scaffold
<b>1</b>	Conditions	Osteoarthritis, knee; knee degenerative disease; knee Osteoarthritis	Osteoarthritis, knee; arthritis of knee; knee osteoarthritis	Cartilage injury; osteoarthritis	Rheumatoid arthritis	Osteoarthritis	Osteoarthritis	Osteoarthritis, knee; knee Injuries; joint diseases; diseases; cartilage diseases;	knee osteoarthritis
	Phase	1 and 2	1 and 2	ŝ	2 and 3	7	7	1 and 2	П
	Status	Completed with results	Completed with results	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed
	Date	2010 to 2014	2012 to 2014	2009 to 2011	2009 to 2011	2009 to 2010	2010 to 2012	2010 to 2013	2008 to 2010
	Country	Spain	Spain	Korea	Iran	Iran	Iran	Spain	Iran
	Title	Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis with Autologous Mesenchymal Stem Cells	Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis with Allogenic Mesenchymal Stem Cells	Study to Compare the Efficacy and Safety of Cartistem and Microfracture in Patients with Knee Articular Cartilage Injury or Defect	Transplantation of Bone Marrow-Derived Mesendymal Stem Cells in Affected Knee Osteoarthritis Py Rheunatoid Arthritis	Articular Cartilage Resurfacing With Mesenchymal Stem Cells In Osteanthritis Of Knee Joint	The Effects of Intra-articular Injection of Mesendymal Stem Cells in Knee Joint Osteoarthritis	Adult Stem Cell Therapy for Repairing Articular Cartilage in Gonarthrosis	Autologous Transplantation of Mesendymal Stem Cells (MSCs) and Scaffold in Full-thickness

TABLE 1: List of clinical trials referenced in https://clinicaltrials.gov/ for the terms "stem cells and cartilage."

	Results										
	Estimated enrollment	9	9	140	16	12	10	12	24	33	12
	Age of patients	18–65	18–65	15-50	40-68	18 and older	25-65	40-65	40-75	40-80	18–80
1: Continued.	Intervention	Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cell	Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell injection	Bone marrow-derived cells transplantation on collagen scaffold	Autologous mesenchymal stem cells	Cartistem (allogeneic-unrelated, umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells, <i>ex vivo</i> , combined with sodium hyaluronate)	Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells	Mesenchymal stem cells	Mesenchymal stem cell suspension	Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (compared to Platelet Rich plasma (PRGF))	Mesenchymal stromal cells
TABLE	Conditions	Osteoarthritis	Hip osteoarthritis	Osteochondritis	Articular cartilage disorder of knee; osteoarthritis, knee	Osteoarthritis, degenerative, degenerative injury, traumatic injury, knee	Osteoarthritis	Osteoarthritis of knee	Osteoarthritis, knee	Knee osteoarthritis	Graft -versus- host-disease
	Phase		1	б	7	l, 2 and 3	1 and 2	1 and 2	1 and 2	1 and 2	l and 2
	Status	Completed	Completed	Recruiting	Recruiting	Recruiting	Recruiting	Recruiting	Recruiting	Recruiting	Recruiting
	Date	2010 to 2011	2010 to 2011	2013 to 2016	2014 to 2015	2012 to 2015	2012 to 2014	2015 to 2021	2013 to 2014	2014 to 2017	2014 to 2017
	Country	Iran	Iran	Italy	Jordan	USA and Korea	Brazil	Canada	India	Spain	Sweden
	Title	Side Effects of Autologous Mesenchymal Stem Cell Transplantation in Ankle Joint Osteoarthritis	Mesenchymal Stem Cell Transplantation in Osteoarthritis of Hip Joint	"One-step" Bone Marrow Mononuclear Cell Transplantation in Talar Osteochondral Lesions	Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Knee Cartilage Injuries	Evaluation of Safety and Exploratory Efficacy of CARTISTEM, a Cell Therapy Product for Articular Cartilage Defects	Autologous Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells Transplantation for Articular Cartilage Defects Renair	Human Autologous MSCs for the Treatment of Mid to Late Stage Knee OA	Mesenchymal Stem Cells Enhanced With PRP Versus PRP In OA Knee	Treatment of Osteoarthritis by Intra-articular Injection of Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells With Platelet Rich Plasma	Mesenchymal Stem Cells as a Treatment for Oral Complications of Graft-versus-host Disease

## Stem Cells International

	Results									
	Estimated enrollment	25	100	40	10	12	103	ŝ	30	32
	Age of patients	18 and older	18–65	18-40	30-70	20-85	18 and older	18-45	50-80	18 and older
LE 1: Continued.	Intervention	Autologous bone marrow aspirate	Bone marrow stromal cell (BMSC) infusion	adipose derived stem cells (compared to microfracture)	Autologous bone marrow stem cells	Autologous bone marrow stem cells	Cartistem (allogeneic-unrelated, umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells, <i>ex vivo</i> , combined with sodium hyaluronate) (compared to microfracture procedure)	Mesenchymal stromal cells mixed with fibrin cell carrier	Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells	Autologous adipose tissue stromal vascular fraction and platelet rich plasma
TABI	Conditions	Bilateral primary osteoarthritis of knee	Inflammatory bowel disease	Degenerative lesion of articular cartilage of knee	Osteoarthritis	Osteoarthritis	Degenerative osteoarthritis; defect of articular cartilage	Foreign-body reaction; inflammation; effusion (L) knee; knee pain swelling	Osteoarthritis	Knee osteoarthritis
	Phase	-	1		1 and 2	1 and 2	ε	1 and 2	1 and 2	1 and 2
	Status	Recruiting	Recruiting	Recruiting	Enrolling by invitation	Enrolling by invitation	Active, not recruiting	Active, not recruiting	Active, not recruiting	Active, not recruiting
	Date	2013 to 2014	2013 to 2019	2014 to 2019	2010 to 2012	2015	2012 to 2015	2013 to 2015	2012 to 2015	2013 to 2015
	Country	USA	USA	USA	India	Russian	Korea	Netherland	Spain	Vietnam
	Title	Use of Autologous Bone Marrow Aspirate Concentrate in Painful Knee	Octom Marrow Bone Marrow Stromal Cells for Inflammatory Bowel Diseases	Microfracture Versus Adipose Derived Stem Cells for the Treatment of Arricular Cartilage Deforts	Safety and Efficacy of Autologous Bone Marrow Stem Cells for Treating Osteoarthritis	Effectiveness and Safety of Autologous ADRC for Treatment of Degenerative Damage of Knee Articular Cartilage	Follow-Up Study of CARTISTEM Versus Microfracture for the Treatment of Knee Articular Cartilage Injury or Defect	IMPACT: Safety and Feasibility of a Single-stage Procedure for Focal Cartilage Lesions of the Knee.	Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis by Intra-articular Injection of Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Colls	Autologous Adipose Stem Cells and Platelet Rich Plasma Therapy for Patients With Knee Osteoarthritis

	Results									
	Estimated enrollment	50	20	10	25	50	200	30	50	50
	Age of patients	18–50	18-75	18 and older	15-55	18–70	18–70	18–55	30-75	18–50
l: Continued.	Intervention	Mesenchymal stem cells or chondrocytes under a commercial available membrane	Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells	Stem cell based tissue engineered partial laryngeal implants (autologous-derived cells and decellularized human donor scaffolds)	Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell implantation (after culture expansion in pellet)	Autologous bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (compared to Hyaluronic Acid)	Umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells (UC-MSCs)/rheumatoid arthritis with disease-modifying drugs (DMARDs)/: UC-MSC + DMARDS	Mesenchymal stem cells derived from adipose tissue	Transplantation of nonculture expanded autologous bone marrow stem cells stimulated with a protein matrix and mixed in a collagen hydroxyapatite scaffold	Peripheral blood-derived stem cell and hyaluronic acid
TABLE	Conditions	Defect of articular cartilage	Cartilage diseases; osteoarthritis	Disorder of upper respiratory system; laryngostenosis; tracheal stenosis	Degenerative arthritis; chondral defects; ostochondral defects	Osteoarthritis	Rheumatoid arthritis	Articular cartilage lesion of the femoral condyle	Osteoarthritis; knee osteoarthritis; osteochondritis dissecans; osteonecrosis	Damaged articular cartilage
	Phase	П	1	1 and 2	2 and 3	7	1 and 2	1 and 2	0	
	Status	Active, not recruiting	Not yet recruiting	Not yet recruiting	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
	Date	2009 to 2018	2014 to 2016	2015 to 2018	2006 to 2014	2011 to 2014	2013 to 2014	2011 to 2012	2010 to 2014	2009 to 2012
	Country	Norway	China	United Kingdom	Egypt	Malaysia	China	Spain	France	Malaysia
	Title	Mesenchymal Stem Cells in a Clinical Trial to Heal Articular Cartilage Defects	Human Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stem Cell Transplantation in Articular Cartilage Defect	Clinical Trial of Stem Cell Based Tissue Engineered Laryngeal Implants	The Use of Autologous Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells in the Treatment of Articular Cartilage Defecta	Intra-Articular Autologous Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells Transplantation to Treat Mild to Moderate Osteoarthritis	Safety and Efficacy Study of Umbilical Cord-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells for Rheumatoid Arthritis	Autologous Mesenchymal Stem Cells versus Chondrocytes for the Repair of Chondral	Transplantation of Bone Marrow Stem Cells Stimulated by Proteins Scaffold to Heal Defects Articular Cartilage of the Knee	Peripheral Blood-derived Stem Cell Trial on Damaged Knee Cartilage

## Stem Cells International

tissue [49, 50]. The same group made also some attempts to treat osteoarthritic joints. In these clinical trials, adherent cells from bone marrow aspirates were embedded in collagen gel and transplanted into articular cartilage defects in the medial femoral condyle of 12 patients, while the other 12 subjects served as cell-free controls. Outcomes indicated that although clinical improvement was not significantly different, the treatment group showed a better arthroscopic and histological grading score [51]. In the abovementioned study, MSCs were introduced through an invasive approach (surgery) into the defective area. Some authors have attempted to introduce the cells by injection. Using this approach, Centeno et al. [52] applied culture expanded autologous MSCs and transplanted the cells through an intraarticular injection into the knee of a 46-year-old OA patient. They reported that 90% of the patient's pain was reduced two years after injection. Furthermore, Davatchi et al. [53], Emadedin et al. [54], and Orozco et al. [55, 56] used this strategy to introduce the cells into knee joints of OA patients and reported the strategy as an encouraging method.

#### 8. Pitfalls and Future Developments

In spite of the above-mentioned potential, there are some pitfalls associated with MSC application for articular cartilage regeneration. One is the qualities and mechanic properties of neoformed cartilage, and the second is the fabrication of anatomically relevant 3D engineered tissue and its integration into surrounding native joint tissues.

8.1. Improving Quality of Cartilage Implant. Quality of cartilage obtained after implantation of stem cells in joints is a major issue. Indeed, it has been reported that the thickness of the regenerated cartilage by MSCs transplanted into cartilage defects is too thin to resemble mature cartilage [57], and histological examination of the repair tissue from patients revealed that the defect was repaired by fibrocartilaginous tissue. A fear is also the risk of ossification of cartilage tissue [58–61]. Another major limitation for clinical applications is the presence of serum and growth factors in chondrogenic medium to induce chondrocyte phenotype, what raises cost of protocols and causes important ethic and regulation problems.

A major improvement of current methods to differentiate stem cells into chondrocytes will be developing culture conditions which will require neither serum nor growth factors. Several investigators, including us, have exploited low oxygen tension as a strategy for differentiated stem cells into chondrocytes. These studies have shown that hypoxia (1–3%  $O_2$ ) enhances COL2A1 and aggrecan expression and reduces expression of collagen types I and X [11]. Interestingly, 3D culture (alginate beads) associated with hypoxic environment is sufficient to differentiate human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells into chondrocytes, without addition of any growth factor [11].

Furthermore, gene therapy approaches could become a promising strategy for efficient promotion of regeneration in cartilage defects. MSC-based gene therapy offers some advantages for articular cartilage repair. Using this approach, specific genes could be overexpressed in MSCs before transplantation of these modified cells into articular cartilage defect. This in turn could enhance the structural features of the repair tissue formed at the defect site. Furthermore, MSC-based gene therapy is an applicable approach to deliver genes with complementary mechanisms of action (i.e., chondrogenic and proliferative factors) into a cartilage defect. In many studies, MSC-mediated gene delivery has been applied for cartilage repair using a variety of chondrogenic growth factors, such as IGF-1, TGF- $\beta$ 1 or BMP-2 [62–65], BMP-4 [25], and growth differentiation factor 5 [66].

Another way to improve cartilage engineering is to use other sources of stem cells. A recent evolution consists of studying potential of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) to differentiate in chondrocytes. iPSCs have pluripotency and self-renewal similar to embryonic stem cells (ESCs) but are not associated with the ethical issues related to sacrificing embryos for the generation of ESCs. The iPSCs were first generated, in 2006, by transducing mouse fibroblasts with four factors (c-Myc, Klf4, Oct3/4, and Sox-2), called reprogramming factors [65]. The efficiency of iPSC generation has been greatly improved since its initial discovery. Blood cells can also be converted to iPSCs [67], although the efficiency is lower than that associated with generating iPSCs from fibroblasts. When iPSCs are implanted into immunodeficient mice, teratomas are formed. Interestingly, hyaline cartilage is contained in these teratomas, confirming that iPSCs have the ability to differentiate into chondrocytes. Various approaches have been developed to induce the differentiation of iPSCs toward chondrocytes [68]. As a whole, the reports on these methods showed that the resultant cells expressed chondrocytic markers but rarely showed that the resultant cells could actually generate scaffold-free hyaline cartilage. It remains to be proven that chondrocytes differentiated from human iPSCs can definitely generate cartilage with the same characteristics as native tissue. In addition, the use of iPSCs is associated with a potential risk of tumor formation. However, several studies have implanted human iPSC-derived chondrocytes into immunosuppressed rats [69]. Cartilage was formed in the defects created in the articular cartilage of these rats, without any teratoma or tumor formation, suggesting that iPSC-derived chondrocytes are a promising source of cells for transplantation. The efficacy and safety of such transplantation remain to be investigated in more immunodeficient animals and larger animal models which would allow for a more accurate assessment of the repair capacity of the cells.

8.2. Improving Anatomy and Zonal Organization of Neoformed Cartilage. Another challenge in cartilage engineering approaches is the generation of cell-seeded implants with structures that mimic native tissue, in both anatomic geometries and cellular distributions [70]. The ideal implanted tissue should integrate with existing native cartilage and be able to repair lesions of different sizes and thicknesses. Existing processes are incapable of easily creating cartilage responding to these criteria, that is, with the required spatial heterogeneities and accurate anatomical geometries. Threedimensional bioprinting should solve this difficulty in the next years. This technology consists in delivering living cells in suspension or with a gel as an ink, in layer-bylayer process. It should allow bringing cells with appropriate matrix material in a defined and organized manner, at the targeted location, in adequate numbers and within the right environment. One of the most critical challenges for use of 3D printing for tissue engineering is the integration of a vascular network, without which the engineered 3D tissue or organ cannot receive sufficient nutrients or gas for its regeneration [71]. However, cartilage has a relatively simple structure with no vessels or nerves, making cartilage a suitable tissue for 3D bioprinting.

Inkjet printing [72, 73], laser-based direct writing of cells [74–76], and extrusion-based cell-laden hydrogel deposition [77-79] are the most widely used technologies in development for tissue reconstruction. With these technologies, cells, scaffolds, and growth factors can be precisely deposited to the desired two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) locations rapidly. The bioprinting approach often utilizes naturally derived hydrogels, as inks to construct tissues due to their superior biocompatibility and low toxicity on the cells. For instance, collagen scaffold can be printed at 4°C and physically crosslinked at elevated temperature [80]. Alginate [72] and fibrin [81, 82] scaffolds can also be generated and used to print cells. For example, cartilage progenitor cells encapsulated in sodium alginate and printing through a pressure-assisted robotic bioprinting system are able to survive after bioprinting and to undergo differentiation with high-level cartilage-associated gene expression. However, some cells died probably due to mechanical stimulation during bioprinting [83]. These natural printed scaffolds have limited mechanical properties because of their nature, and the crucial phenomenon of integration with surrounding native tissues directly may be difficult. Therefore, developing of printable biomaterials, capable of simultaneous polymerization during printing with mechanical properties matched to native tissue, is critical for cartilage engineering. Synthetic hydrogels may be adapted. Thus, formulated from poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) macromers are able to maintain chondrocyte viability and induce ECM deposition containing proteoglycans and type II collagen [84, 85]. Furthermore, polyethylene glycol dimethacrylate (PEGDMA) with human chondrocytes was also used to repair defect in osteochondral plugs in layer-by-layer assembly utilizing a 3D thermal inkjetbased bioprinting/biopolymerization method. Viability of printed cells was better in simultaneous polymerization than polymerized after printing. Interestingly, the printed PEG gel was firmly bound to the native tissue [86]. Despite its ability to control the scaffold porosity, the synthetic scaffold may not provide the proper affinitive environment to the cells due to the polymer hydrophobicity properties. In this context, hybrid scaffolds using both synthetic polymers and hydrogel materials may also provide a favorable environment within the hydrogel for the cells to grow and can possess more adequate mechanical properties permitting implants to bear loads [87].

#### 9. Conclusion

Although initially considered as a tissue with a simple structure, reproducing the finely balanced structural interactions of cartilage has proven to be difficult. First clinical trials of cartilage regeneration using autologous chondrocyte implantation chondrocyte show major limits. In this context, adult stem cells, especially MSCs, appear as more appropriate cell candidates for regenerating incurable defects of articular cartilage due to the following characteristics: inherent chondrogenic property, easy availability, cell homing potential, and immunomodulatory function. However, special attention must be given to improve the quality of repair tissue formed following stem cells transplantation into the cartilage defect. Efficient protocols and optimal biomaterials must be developed to prevent hypertrophy of chondrocytes produced by MSC differentiation and to favour integration of implant into surrounding joint tissue. In addition, bioprinting technology, which has advantage to deliver cells, growth factors, and biomaterial scaffold precisely to the desired 3D position, may be the ultimate solution to engineer cartilage tissue.

#### **Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

#### References

- J. P. Pujol, "Le cartilage articulaire: structure, fonction, réparation," *Symposia*, pp. 159–171, 2000.
- [2] J.-P. Pujol, C. Chadjichristos, F. Legendre et al., "Interleukin-1 and transforming growth factor- $\beta$  1 as crucial factors in osteoarthritic cartilage metabolism," *Connective Tissue Research*, vol. 49, no. 3-4, pp. 293–297, 2008.
- [3] Y. Hiraki, C. Shukunami, K. Iyama, and H. Mizuta, "Differentiation of chondrogenic precursor cells during the regeneration of articular cartilage," *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage/OARS*, *Osteoarthritis Research Society*, vol. 9, supplement A, pp. S102– S108, 2001.
- [4] M. L. G. Duynstee, H. L. Verwoerd-Verhoef, C. D. A. Verwoerd, and G. J. V. M. van Osch, "The dual role of perichondrium in cartilage wound healing," *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, vol. 110, no. 4, pp. 1073–1079, 2002.
- [5] C. J. Xian and B. K. Foster, "Repair of injured articular and growth plate cartilage using mesenchymal stem cells and chondrogenic gene therapy," *Current Stem Cell Research & Therapy*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 213–229, 2006.
- [6] B. S. Dhinsa and A. B. Adesida, "Current clinical therapies for cartilage repair, their limitation and the role of stem cells," *Current Stem Cell Research & Therapy*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 143–148, 2012.
- [7] S. R. Frenkel and P. E. di Cesare, "Degradation and repair of articular cartilage," *Frontiers in Bioscience: A Journal and Virtual Library*, vol. 4, pp. D671–D685, 1999.
- [8] M. Brittberg, A. Lindahl, A. Nilsson, C. Ohlsson, O. Isaksson, and L. Peterson, "Treatment of deep cartilage defects in the knee with autologous chondrocyte transplantation," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 331, no. 14, pp. 889–895, 1994.

- [9] G. Musumeci, P. Castrogiovanni, R. Leonardi et al., "New perspectives for articular cartilage repair treatment through tissue engineering: a contemporary review," *World Journal of Orthopaedics*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 80–88, 2014.
- [10] C. Baugé, E. Duval, D. Ollitrault et al., "Type II TGFβ receptor modulates chondrocyte phenotype," Age, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 1105– 1116, 2013.
- [11] E. Duval, C. Baugé, R. Andriamanalijaona et al., "Molecular mechanism of hypoxia-induced chondrogenesis and its application in in vivo cartilage tissue engineering," *Biomaterials*, vol. 33, no. 26, pp. 6042–6051, 2012.
- [12] E. Duval, N. Bigot, M. Hervieu et al., "Asporin expression is highly regulated in human chondrocytes," *Molecular Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 7-8, pp. 816–823, 2011.
- [13] I. Martin, H. Baldomero, C. Bocelli-Tyndall et al., "The survey on cellular and engineered tissue therapies in Europe in 2011," *Tissue Engineering Part A*, vol. 20, no. 3-4, pp. 842–853, 2014.
- [14] A. J. Friedenstein, I. I. Piatetzky-Shapiro, and K. V. Petrakova, "Osteogenesis in transplants of bone marrow cells," *Journal of Embryology and Experimental Morphology*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 381–390, 1966.
- [15] M. F. Pittenger, A. M. Mackay, S. C. Beck et al., "Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells," *Science*, vol. 284, no. 5411, pp. 143–147, 1999.
- [16] M. B. Eslaminejad and E. M. Poor, "Mesenchymal stem cells as a potent cell source for articular cartilage regeneration," *World Journal of Stem Cells*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 344–354, 2014.
- [17] P. A. Zuk, M. Zhu, P. Ashjian et al., "Human adipose tissue is a source of multipotent stem cells," *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 4279–4295, 2002.
- [18] J. K. Fraser, I. Wulur, Z. Alfonso, and M. H. Hedrick, "Fat tissue: an underappreciated source of stem cells for biotechnology," *Trends in Biotechnology*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 150–154, 2006.
- [19] Y. Sakaguchi, I. Sekiya, K. Yagishita, and T. Muneta, "Comparison of human stem cells derived from various mesenchymal tissues: superiority of synovium as a cell source," *Arthritis & Rheumatism*, vol. 52, no. 8, pp. 2521–2529, 2005.
- [20] S. Shirasawa, I. Sekiya, Y. Sakaguchi, K. Yagishita, S. Ichinose, and T. Muneta, "In vitro chondrogenesis of human synoviumderived mesenchymal stem cells: optimal condition and comparison with bone marrow-derived cells," *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, vol. 97, no. 1, pp. 84–97, 2006.
- [21] F. Granero-Moltó, J. A. Weis, M. I. Miga et al., "Regenerative effects of transplanted mesenchymal stem cells in fracture healing," *Stem Cells*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1887–1898, 2009.
- [22] K. A. Jackson, T. Mi, and M. A. Goodell, "Hematopoietic potential of stem cells isolated from murine skeletal muscle," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 96, no. 25, pp. 14482–14486, 1999.
- [23] B. Cao, B. Zheng, R. J. Jankowski et al., "Muscle stem cells differentiate into haematopoietic lineages but retain myogenic potential," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 5, no. 7, pp. 640–646, 2003.
- [24] N. Adachi, K. Sato, A. Usas et al., "Muscle derived, cell based ex vivo gene therapy for treatment of full thickness articular cartilage defects," *The Journal of Rheumatology*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 1920–1930, 2002.
- [25] R. Kuroda, A. Usas, S. Kubo et al., "Cartilage repair using bone morphogenetic protein 4 and muscle-derived stem cells," *Arthritis & Rheumatism*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 433–442, 2006.

- [26] R. Andriamanalijaona, E. Duval, M. Raoudi et al., "Differentiation potential of human muscle-derived cells towards chondrogenic phenotype in alginate beads culture," *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*, vol. 16, no. 12, pp. 1509–1518, 2008.
- [27] S. Liu, K. D. Hou, M. Yuan et al., "Characteristics of mesenchymal stem cells derived from Wharton's jelly of human umbilical cord and for fabrication of non-scaffold tissue-engineered cartilage," *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, vol. 117, no. 2, pp. 229–235, 2014.
- [28] Y. Sang, W. Zang, Y. Yan et al., "Study of differential effects of TGF-beta3/BMP2 on chondrogenesis in MSC cells by gene microarray data analysis," *Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry*, vol. 385, no. 1-2, pp. 191–198, 2014.
- [29] C. Hou, Z. Yang, Y. Kang et al., "MiR-193b regulates early chondrogenesis by inhibiting the TGF-beta2 signaling pathway," *FEBS Letters*, vol. 589, no. 9, pp. 1040–1047, 2015.
- [30] T. Juhász, C. Matta, C. Somogyi et al., "Mechanical loading stimulates chondrogenesis via the PKA/CREB-Sox9 and PP2A pathways in chicken micromass cultures," *Cellular Signalling*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 468–482, 2014.
- [31] K. Miyanishi, M. C. D. Trindade, D. P. Lindsey et al., "Effects of hydrostatic pressure and transforming growth factor-β3 on adult human mesenchymal stem cell chondrogenesis *in vitro*," *Tissue Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 1419–1428, 2006.
- [32] B. Johnstone, M. Alini, M. Cucchiarini et al., "Tissue engineering for articular cartilage repair—the state of the art," *European Cells and Materials*, vol. 25, pp. 248–267, 2013.
- [33] A. Derfoul, G. L. Perkins, D. J. Hall, and R. S. Tuan, "Glucocorticoids promote chondrogenic differentiation of adult human mesenchymal stem cells by enhancing expression of cartilage extracellular matrix genes," *Stem Cells*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1487– 1495, 2006.
- [34] R. Tuli, S. Tuli, S. Nandi et al., "Transforming growth factorbeta-mediated chondrogenesis of human mesenchymal progenitor cells involves N-cadherin and mitogen-activated protein kinase and Wnt signaling cross-talk," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 278, no. 42, pp. 41227–41236, 2003.
- [35] C. Baugé, N. Girard, E. Lhuissier, C. Bazille, and K. Boumediene, "Regulation and role of TGF $\beta$  signaling pathway in aging and osteoarthritis joints," *Aging and Disease*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 394–405, 2014.
- [36] C. M. Ferguson, E. M. Schwarz, P. R. Reynolds, J. E. Puzas, R. N. Rosier, and R. J. O'Keefe, "Smad2 and 3 mediate transforming growth factor-betal-induced inhibition of chondrocyte maturation," *Endocrinology*, vol. 141, no. 12, pp. 4728–4735, 2000.
- [37] K. Kawamura, C. R. Chu, S. Sobajima et al., "Adenoviralmediated transfer of TGF- $\beta$ 1 but not IGF-1 induces chondrogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells in pellet cultures," *Experimental Hematology*, vol. 33, no. 8, pp. 865–872, 2005.
- [38] H.-L. Ma, T.-H. Chen, L. L.-T. Ho, and S.-C. Hung, "Neocartilage from human mesenchymal stem cells in alginate: implied timing of transplantation," *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A*, vol. 74, no. 3, pp. 439–446, 2005.
- [39] E. Lieb, T. Vogel, S. Milz, M. Dauner, and M. B. Schulz, "Effects of transforming growth factor β1 on bonelike tissue formation in three-dimensional cell culture. II. Osteoblastic differentiation," *Tissue Engineering*, vol. 10, no. 9-10, pp. 1414– 1425, 2004.
- [40] A. Spagnoli, "Mesenchymal stem cells and fracture healing," Orthopedics, vol. 31, no. 9, pp. 855–856, 2008.

- [41] D. Magne, C. Vinatier, M. Julien, P. Weiss, and J. Guicheux, "Mesenchymal stem cell therapy to rebuild cartilage," *Trends in Molecular Medicine*, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 519–526, 2005.
- [42] T. Kameda, C. Koike, K. Saitoh, A. Kuroiwa, and H. Iba, "Analysis of cartilage maturation using micromass cultures of primary chondrocytes," *Development Growth and Differentiation*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 229–236, 2000.
- [43] A. Haaijman, E. H. Burger, S. W. Goei et al., "Correlation between ALK-6 (BMPR-IB) distribution and responsiveness to osteogenic protein-1 (BMP-7) in embryonic mouse bone rudiments," *Growth Factors*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 177–192, 2000.
- [44] W. S. Toh, Z. Yang, H. Liu, B. C. Heng, E. H. Lee, and T. Cao, "Effects of culture conditions and bone morphogenetic protein 2 on extent of chondrogenesis from human embryonic stem cells," *Stem Cells*, vol. 25, pp. 950–960, 2007.
- [45] A. T. Mehlhorn, H. Schmal, S. Kaiser et al., "Mesenchymal stem cells maintain TGF-β-mediated chondrogenic phenotype in alginate bead culture," *Tissue Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 1393–1403, 2006.
- [46] A. T. Mehlhorn, P. Niemeyer, K. Kaschte et al., "Differential effects of BMP-2 and TGF-β1 on chondrogenic differentiation of adipose derived stem cells," *Cell Proliferation*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 809–823, 2007.
- [47] X. Bai, Z. Xiao, Y. Pan et al., "Cartilage-derived morphogenetic protein-1 promotes the differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells into chondrocytes," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 325, no. 2, pp. 453–460, 2004.
- [48] R. Kuroda, K. Ishida, T. Matsumoto et al., "Treatment of a full-thickness articular cartilage defect in the femoral condyle of an athlete with autologous bone-marrow stromal cells," *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage/OARS, Osteoarthritis Research Soci*ety, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 226–231, 2007.
- [49] S. Wakitani, M. Nawata, K. Tensho, T. Okabe, H. Machida, and H. Ohgushi, "Repair of articular cartilage defects in the patellofemoral joint with autologous bone marrow mesenchymal cell transplantation: three case reports involving nine defects in five knees," *Journal of Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 74–79, 2007.
- [50] S. Wakitani, T. Mitsuoka, N. Nakamura, Y. Toritsuka, Y. Nakamura, and S. Horibe, "Autologous bone marrow stromal cell transplantation for repair of full-thickness articular cartilage defects in human patellae: two case reports," *Cell Transplantation*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 595–600, 2004.
- [51] S. Wakitani, K. Imoto, T. Yamamoto, M. Saito, N. Murata, and M. Yoneda, "Human autologous culture expanded bone marrow-mesenchymal cell transplantation for repair of cartilage defects in osteoarthritic knees," *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 199–206, 2002.
- [52] C. J. Centeno, D. Busse, J. Kisiday, C. Keohan, M. Freeman, and D. Karli, "Increased knee cartilage volume in degenerative joint disease using percutaneously implanted, autologous mesenchymal stem cells," *Pain Physician*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 343–353, 2008.
- [53] F. Davatchi, B. S. Abdollahi, M. Mohyeddin, F. Shahram, and B. Nikbin, "Mesenchymal stem cell therapy for knee osteoarthritis. Preliminary report of four patients," *International Journal of Rheumatic Diseases*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 211–215, 2011.
- [54] M. Emadedin, N. Aghdami, L. Taghiyar et al., "Intra-articular injection of autologous mesenchymal stem cells in six patients with knee Osteoarthritis," *Archives of Iranian Medicine*, vol. 15, no. 7, pp. 422–428, 2012.

- [55] L. Orozco, A. Munar, R. Soler et al., "Treatment of knee osteoarthritis with autologous mesenchymal stem cells: twoyear follow-up results," *Transplantation*, vol. 97, no. 11, pp. e66– e68, 2014.
- [56] L. Orozco, A. Munar, R. Soler et al., "Treatment of knee osteoarthritis with autologous mesenchymal stem cells: a pilot study," *Transplantation*, vol. 95, no. 12, pp. 1535–1541, 2013.
- [57] H. Koga, L. Engebretsen, J. E. Brinchmann, T. Muneta, and I. Sekiya, "Mesenchymal stem cell-based therapy for cartilage repair: a review," *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthro*scopy, vol. 17, no. 11, pp. 1289–1297, 2009.
- [58] K. Pelttari, A. Winter, E. Steck et al., "Premature induction of hypertrophy during in vitro chondrogenesis of human mesenchymal stem cells correlates with calcification and vascular invasion after ectopic transplantation in SCID mice," *Arthritis* and Rheumatism, vol. 54, no. 10, pp. 3254–3266, 2006.
- [59] F. Mwale, D. Stachura, P. Roughley, and J. Antoniou, "Limitations of using aggrecan and type X collagen as markers of chondrogenesis in mesenchymal stem cell differentiation," *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 1791–1798, 2006.
- [60] F. Mwale, P.-L. Girard-Lauriault, H. T. Wang, S. Lerouge, J. Antoniou, and M. R. Wertheimer, "Suppression of genes related to hypertrophy and osteogenesis in committed human mesenchymal stem cells cultured on novel nitrogen-rich plasma polymer coatings," *Tissue Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 2639– 2647, 2006.
- [61] I. Sekiya, J. T. Vuoristo, B. L. Larson, and D. J. Prockop, "In vitro cartilage formation by human adult stem cells from bone marrow stroma defines the sequence of cellular and molecular events during chondrogenesis," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 99, no. 7, pp. 4397–4402, 2002.
- [62] K. Gelse, K. von der Mark, T. Aigner, J. Park, and H. Schneider, "Articular cartilage repair by gene therapy using growth factorproducing mesenchymal cells," *Arthritis & Rheumatism*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 430–441, 2003.
- [63] L. Longobardi, L. O'Rear, S. Aakula et al., "Effect of IGF-I in the chondrogenesis of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells in the presence or absence of TGF-β signaling," *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 626–636, 2006.
- [64] L. A. Solchaga, K. Penick, J. D. Porter, V. M. Goldberg, A. I. Caplan, and J. F. Welter, "FGF-2 enhances the mitotic and chondrogenic potentials of human adult bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells," *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, vol. 203, no. 2, pp. 398–409, 2005.
- [65] K. Takahashi and S. Yamanaka, "Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors," *Cell*, vol. 126, no. 4, pp. 663–676, 2006.
- [66] R. Katayama, S. Wakitani, N. Tsumaki et al., "Repair of articular cartilage defects in rabbits using CDMP1 gene-transfected autologous mesenchymal cells derived from bone marrow," *Rheumatology (Oxford, UK)*, vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 980–985, 2004.
- [67] K. Okita, T. Yamakawa, Y. Matsumura et al., "An efficient nonviral method to generate integration-free human-induced pluripotent stem cells from cord blood and peripheral blood cells," *Stem Cells*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 458–466, 2013.
- [68] N. Tsumaki, M. Okada, and A. Yamashita, "iPS cell technologies and cartilage regeneration," *Bone*, vol. 70, pp. 48–54, 2015.
- [69] J.-Y. Ko, K.-I. Kim, S. Park, and G.-I. Im, "In vitro chondrogenesis and in vivo repair of osteochondral defect with human

induced pluripotent stem cells," *Biomaterials*, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 3571–3581, 2014.

- [70] S.-J. Shieh, S. Terada, and J. P. Vacanti, "Tissue engineering auricular reconstruction: in vitro and in vivo studies," *Biomaterials*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 1545–1557, 2004.
- [71] I. T. Ozbolat and Y. Yu, "Bioprinting toward organ fabrication: challenges and future trends," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 691–699, 2013.
- [72] T. Boland, T. Xu, B. Damon, and X. Cui, "Application of inkjet printing to tissue engineering," *Biotechnology Journal*, vol. 1, no. 9, pp. 910–917, 2006.
- [73] T. Xu, J. Jin, C. Gregory, J. J. Hickman, and T. Boland, "Inkjet printing of viable mammalian cells," *Biomaterials*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 93–99, 2005.
- [74] J. A. Barron, P. Wu, H. D. Ladouceur, and B. R. Ringeisen, "Biological laser printing: a novel technique for creating heterogeneous 3-dimensional cell patterns," *Biomedical Microdevices*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 139–147, 2004.
- [75] L. Koch, S. Kuhn, H. Sorg et al., "Laser printing of skin cells and human stem cells," *Tissue Engineering Part C: Methods*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 847–854, 2010.
- [76] D. J. Odde and M. J. Renn, "Laser-guided direct writing for applications in biotechnology," *Trends in Biotechnology*, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 385–389, 1999.
- [77] S. Khalil and W. Sun, "Biopolymer deposition for freeform fabrication of hydrogel tissue constructs," *Materials Science and Engineering C*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 469–478, 2007.
- [78] T. H. Ang, F. S. A. Sultana, D. W. Hutmacher et al., "Fabrication of 3D chitosan-hydroxyapatite scaffolds using a robotic dispensing system," *Materials Science and Engineering C*, vol. 20, no. 1-2, pp. 35–42, 2002.
- [79] Y. Yan, Z. Xiong, Y. Hu, S. Wang, R. Zhang, and C. Zhang, "Layered manufacturing of tissue engineering scaffolds via multi-nozzle deposition," *Materials Letters*, vol. 57, no. 18, pp. 2623–2628, 2003.
- [80] S. Deitch, C. Kunkle, X. Cui, T. Boland, and D. Dean, "Collagen matrix alignment using inkjet printer technology," in *Proceedings of the Materials Research Society Symposium*, vol. 1094, pp. 52–57, 2008.
- [81] T. Xu, C. A. Gregory, P. Molnar et al., "Viability and electrophysiology of neural cell structures generated by the inkjet printing method," *Biomaterials*, vol. 27, no. 19, pp. 3580–3588, 2006.
- [82] X. Cui and T. Boland, "Human microvasculature fabrication using thermal inkjet printing technology," *Biomaterials*, vol. 30, no. 31, pp. 6221–6227, 2009.
- [83] Y. Yu, Y. Zhang, J. A. Martin, and I. T. Ozbolat, "Evaluation of cell viability and functionality in vessel-like bioprintable cellladen tubular channels," *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering*, vol. 135, no. 9, Article ID 091011, 2013.
- [84] J. Elisseeff, W. McIntosh, K. Anseth, S. Riley, P. Ragan, and R. Langer, "Photoencapsulation of chondrocytes in poly(ethylene oxide)-based semi-interpenetrating networks," *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 164–171, 2000.
- [85] S. J. Bryant and K. S. Anseth, "Hydrogel properties influence ECM production by chondrocytes photoencapsulated in poly(ethylene glycol) hydrogels," *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 63–72, 2002.
- [86] X. Cui, K. Breitenkamp, M. G. Finn, M. Lotz, and D. D. D'Lima, "Direct human cartilage repair using three-dimensional bioprinting technology," *Tissue Engineering, Part A*, vol. 18, no. 11-12, pp. 1304–1312, 2012.

[87] T. Xu, K. W. Binder, M. Z. Albanna et al., "Hybrid printing of mechanically and biologically improved constructs for cartilage tissue engineering applications," *Biofabrication*, vol. 5, no. 1, Article ID 015001, 2013.