Regenerative Therapy 1 (2015) 45-56

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Regenerative Therapy



journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/reth

Regulatory approval for autologous human cells and tissue products in the United States, the European Union, and Japan



Kazuo Yano ^{a, b, 1}, Natsumi Watanabe ^{a, c, 2}, Kenichiro Tsuyuki ^{c, 1}, Taisuke Ikawa ^{c, 1}, Hiroshi Kasanuki ^{b, c, 3}, Masayuki Yamato ^{a, c, *}

^a Institute of Advanced Biomedical Engineering and Science, Tokyo Women's Medical University, 8-1 Kawada-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 162-866, Japan

^b Research Institute for Science and Engineering, Waseda University, 8-1 Kawada-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 162-866, Japan

^c Cooperative Major in Advanced Biomedical Sciences, Joint Graduate School of Tokyo Women's Medical University and Waseda University,

8-1 Kawada-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 162-866, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 June 2014 Accepted 11 October 2014

Keywords: Autologous cell Chondrocyte Epithelial cell Fibroblast Macrophage Regenerative medicine Regulatory science Tissue engineering

ABSTRACT

Human cells and tissue products belong to a relatively new class of medical products. Therefore, limited information is available on the classification and premarket evaluation of human cells and tissue products in the United States (US), the European Union (EU), and Japan. In this study, the definition, legislation, and approval system of these products were surveyed. A total of nine autologous human cells and tissue products approved until October 2013 were collected. The definitions of human cells and tissue products were compatible among the US, the EU and Japan. The products were classified as human cells, tissue, and cellular and tissue-based products (HCT/Ps) in the US, advanced therapy medicinal products (ATMPs) in the EU, and cell/tissue-engineered products in Japan. These products were categorized as biologics and medical device in the US and Japan, and drug in the EU. The issuance of new guidance induced regulatory impact for manufacturer, especially in the US. These products are subjected to the accelerated approval of biological product, the humanitarian device exemption approval, the premarket application approval, the biologics license application approval, and new drug application approval with specific targeting of postapproval registry or surveillance. Of nine autologous human cells and tissue products, four products had been evaluated using clinical experiences or open clinical trials with small subjects, although the rests of products had been evaluated using comparative clinical trials with control treatment. Our survey suggests that autologous human cells and tissue products would need postmarket-oriented evaluation rather than premarket-oriented evaluation for doctors and patients.

© 2014 the Japanese Society for Regenerative Medicine. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

* Corresponding author. Institute of Advanced Biomedical Engineering and Science, Tokyo Women's Medical University, 8-1 Kawada-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 162-866, Japan. Tel.: +81 3 5367 9945x6211; fax: +81 3 3359 6046. Regenerative medicine and tissue engineering are novel ways of treating acute and chronic diseases and are expected to enable the regeneration of tissue-specific functions. Because they are derived from human cells and tissues, the products are regulated as biologics that differ significantly from chemically synthesized drugs [1]. Recently, human cells or tissues intended for implantation, transplantation, infusion, or transfer into a human recipient have been regulated as human cells, tissues, and cellular and tissuebased products (HCT/Ps) in the United States (US) [2], somatic cell therapy medicinal products or tissue-engineered products of advanced therapy medicinal products (ATMPs) in the European

2352-3204/© 2014 the Japanese Society for Regenerative Medicine. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: yano.kazuo@twmu.ac.jp (K. Yano), watanabe.natsumi@twmu. ac.jp (N. Watanabe), ken.tsuyuki@akane.waseda.jp (K. Tsuyuki), taisuke.ikawa@fuji. waseda.jp (T. Ikawa), hkasanuki@jheart.or.jp (H. Kasanuki), yamato.masayuki@ twmu.ac.jp (M. Yamato).

Peer review under responsibility of the Japanese Society for Regenerative Medicine.

¹ Tel.: +81 3 5367 9945x6211; fax: +81 3 3359 6046.

² Tel.: +81 3 5367 9945x6223; fax: +81 3 3359 6046.

³ Tel.: +81 3 5369 7331; fax: +81 3 5269 9062.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.reth.2014.10.001

Union (EU) [3], and cell/tissue-engineered products in Japan [4,5]. Although these innovative advanced products primarily belong to the class of cell-based medical products for human use because they contain or consist of living cells or tissues, the products have two lateral aspects that allow them to be classified as drugs or medical devices according to the primary mode of action [6] and to be divided based on their origins as autologous and allogeneic [2-5].

The definition of human cells and tissue products is similar but definitely not the same among the US, the EU, and Japan. The categorization or classification of human cells and tissue products is different among the US, the EU, and Japan [2-5].

The therapeutic areas of approved human cells and tissue products vary from epidermal transplantation for emergency use [7,8], fibroblast injection for cosmetic surgical repair [9], transplantation of tissue engineered cartilage [10–12], chondrocyte injection for orthopedic trauma [10,11,13] to activated mononuclear cell infusion for cancer treatment [14,15]. The premarket evaluation of human cells and tissue products also varies, including the accelerated approval [11], the humanitarian device exemption (HDE) [7], the ATMP approval [10,12,15], and the premarket approval [8–10,14].

However, few studies have been conducted to elucidate the similarity or difference of the definition, category, premarket approval evaluation by regulatory authorities, and adverse events of human cells and tissue products. Recently, we have published the regulation of allogeneic human cells and tissue products [16] that are seven products on the market in the US only, and five of seven products had evaluated using comparative clinical trials with control treatment. Otherwise, there is no such detailed study of autologous human cells and tissue products. In these products, some products had been on the market as cell bank and the target population of some indications such as orphan diseases is very small. We developed a hypothesis about premarket approval evaluation of autologous human cells and tissue products that may be limited information, and may need a special market evaluation system.

The aim of this study was to provide detailed information to enhance the discussion regarding the regulatory approval of human autologous cells and tissue products which have little consideration about transplant graft rejection, and microbiological or viral infections.

2. Materials and methods

This study included the autologous cells and tissue products in the US, the EU or Japan by October 2013. The classifications and definitions of the human cells and tissue products were different among these two countries and the EU. In the US, the HCT/Ps were defined under sections 351 and 361 of the Public Health Service (PHS) Act (42 the United State Code) according to Title 21, Part 1271.10 and 1271.20 in the Code of Federal Regulation (21CFR1271), respectively [2]. We focused on the HCT/Ps under section 351 of the PHS Act which require premarket approval. The HCT/Ps under section 361 were excluded due to the absence of a requirement for premarket approval. The information on the definition and classification of HCT/Ps was obtained from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) website [2]. The information on the approved HCT/Ps was obtained from the FDA website of the approved cell therapy products [17] and the listing of the Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) HDE [18]. The information from the review reports for each HCT/P was obtained from the appropriate FDA websites for Carticel[™] [19], Epicel[®] [20], Provenge[®] [21], and Laviv[®] [22]. In the EU, an ATMP is defined as a gene therapy medicinal product, a somatic cell

therapy medicinal product, and a tissue-engineered product. We focused only on ATMPs because of a similar definition for HCT/Ps under section 351 of the PHS Act in the US. The information on the definition and classification of the ATMPs was obtained from the European Medicine Agency (EMA) website [3]. The information from the public assessment report for ChondroCelect[®] [23], MACI [24], and Provenge [25] as AMTPs was obtained from the EMA website because of central authorization. Although Glybera (generic name: alipogene tiparvovec) was approved as the second ATMP on October 25, 2012, in the EU [26], it was excepted from this article because of allogeneic human cells and tissue product. In Japan, the information on the definition and classification of cell/tissue-engineered products was obtained from the website of the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW) Legislation Database, especially for Pharmaceutical and Food Safety Bureau (PFSB) notification No 0912006/2008 [4], and No 0208003/2008 [5]. The information from the review report for JACE [27] and JACC [28] were obtained from the website of the Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency (PMDA). The generic name and trade name, cell origin, approval date, market authorization holder, authority, indication and category of medicinal product for each product were obtained from the regulatory information. The history of regulatory action, preapproval and postapproval clinical evaluation was obtained from the appropriate review reports or public assessment reports.

3. Results

3.1. Approved autologous cells and tissue products in the US, the EU, and Japan

A total of nine approved autologous cells and tissue products in the US, the EU, and Japan were on the market as of October 2013 (Table 1, Fig. 1). Four products derived from autologous chondrocytes for the repair of cartilaginous defects of the femoral condyle were approved by the FDA, the EMA, and the MHLW in Japan. Two products derived from autologous epidermis for use in patients with deep dermal or full thickness burns (total body surface area greater than or equal to 30%) were approved by the FDA and the MHLW. Two products derived from autologous peripheral blood mononuclear cells to treat hormone refractory prostate cancer and a product from autologous fibroblasts to improve the appearance of nasolabial wrinkles were approved by the FDA and the EMA.

3.2. Classification, definition, and regulation of human cells and tissue products in the US, the EU, and Japan

The human cells and tissue products were classified and defined as HCT/Ps in the US, as ATMPs in the EU and as cell/ tissue-engineered products in Japan (Table 2). The approved HCT/Ps were regulated under sections 351 of the PHS Act according to 21CFR1271, which were categorized as drug or biological product or medical device. The approved AMTP was regulated as the somatic cell therapy medicinal product and the tissue engineering product under Regulation (EC) No 1394/2007 of the European Parliament and the Council of 13 November, 2007, which was categorized as medicinal product (drug). In Japan, the approved cell/tissue-engineered products were regulated as medical devices by adapting existing legislation under clause 2 of the Pharmaceutical Affairs Law (PAL). The PFSB notification of No. 0280003/2008 describes the definition of cell/ tissue-engineered products, and the quality and safety of these autologous products.

Table 1

Approved autologous human cells and tissue products in the US, EU, and Japan.

Generic name (trade name)	Cell origin	Approval date	Marketing authorization holder	Authority	Indication	Category
US Autologous cultured chondrocytes (Carticel™)	Autologous chondrocytes	August 22, 1997	Genzyme Tissue Repair, Cambridge, MA, US	FDA/CBER	Repair of clinically significant, systematic, cartilaginous defects of the femoral condyle caused by acute or repetitive trauma. In 2000, the indication has been changed to narrow that "in patients who have had an inadequate response to a prior arthroscopic or other surgical response to recodure"	Biologics
Cultured epidermal autografts (Epicel®)	Autologous epidermis	October 25, 2007	Genzyme Biosurgery,	FDA/CDRH	Use in patients who have deep dermal or full thickness burns comprising a total body surface	Medical device
Sipuleucel-T (Provenge®)	Autologous peripheral blood mononuclear	April 29, 2010	Cambridge, MA, US Dendreon Co., Seattle, WA, US	FDA/CBER	area of greater than or equal to 30%. Treatment of asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic metastatic hormone refractory prostate cancer.	Biologics
Azficel-T (Laviv®)	cells Autologous fibroblasts	June 21, 2011	Fibrocell Science Inc., Boulder, CO, US	FDA/CBER	Improvement of the appearance of moderate to severe nasolabial fold wrinkles in adults.	Biologics
EU Characterized viable autologous cartilage cells expanded <i>ex vivo</i> expressing specific marker proteins (Chondro Celect [®])	Autologous chondrocytes	October 5, 2009	Tigenix NV, Leuven, Belgium	EMA/CHMP	Repair of single symptomatic cartilaginous defects of the femoral condyle of the knee (ICRS grade III or IV) in adults.	ATMP (Drug)
(chondrocelect [*]) Matrix-applied characterized autologous cultured chondrocutes (MACI)	Autologous chondrocytes	June 27, 2013	Genzyme Biosurgery ApS, Kastrup, Denmark	EMA/CHMP	Repair of symptomatic, full-thickness cartilage defects of the knee (grade III and IV of the Modified Outerbridge Scale) of 3–20 cm ² in skeletally mature adult patients	ATMP (Drug)
Autologous peripheral- blood mononuclear cells activated with prostatic acid phosphatase granulocyte- macrophage colony- stimulating factor (Sipuleucel-T) (Provenge)	Autologous peripheral blood mononuclear cells	September 6, 2013	Dendreon UK Ltd., London, UK	EMA/CHMP	Treatment of asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic metastatic (non-visceral) castrate resistant prostate cancer in male adults in whom chemotherapy is not yet clinically indicated.	ATMP (Drug)
Japan Other surgical/ orthopedic materials; autologous cultured epidermis (IACE)	Autologous epidermis	October 29, 2007	Japan Tissue Engineering Co., Ltd., Gamagori, Japan	MHLW-PMDA/ OB	Use in patients with serious, extensive burns when sufficient donor sites for autologous skin graft are not available and the total area of deep dermal and full-thickness burns is 30% or the total of surface area	Medical device
Human autologous cells and tissues (JACC)	Autologous chondrocytes	July 27, 2012	Japan Tissue Engineering Co., Ltd., Gamagori, Japan	MHLW-PMDA/ OB	An autologous cultured cartilage to alleviate clinical symptoms by implanting it in the affected site of traumatic cartilage deficiency and osteochondritis dissecans (excluding knee osteoarthritis) in the knee joints with a cartilage defective area of 4 cm ² or more for which there are no other options.	Medical device

The US, the United States; The EU, the European Union; MA, Massachusetts; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; CBER, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research; CDRH, Center for Devices and Radiological Health; MHLW, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare; PMDA, Pharmaceuticals Medical Device Administration; OB, Office of Biologics; EMA, European Medicines Agency; CHMP, Committee for Human Medicinal Products; AMTP, Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products; WA, Washington; CO, Colorado; UK: United Kingdom.

3.3. History of regulatory action, preapproval and postapproval clinical evaluation of autologous human cells and tissues products in the US, the EU, and Japan

In the US, prior to issuing of the guidance regarding manipulated autologous structural (MAS) cells on May 28, 1996 [29], three autologous human cells and tissues products such as Epicel[®], CarticelTM and Laviv[®] had been on the market from cell banks. After issuing the guidance, Epicel[®] and CarticelTM were submitted as BLA and HDE, respectively in 1996. In compliance of FDA's regulation of somatic cell therapy, Laviv[®] was removed to file Investigation New Drug Application (IND) in 1999 and BLA approval process. Provenge[®] was submitted as IND to conduct clinical trials in 1996 (Fig. 1).

After being submitted as BLA in 1996, the product derived from autologous chondrocytes, autologous cultured chondrocytes (Carticel[™], Genzyme Tissue Repair, Cambridge, MA, US) was approved on August 22, 1997 for the accelerated approval of biological products for serious or life-threating illnesses (21CFR601.40) [30] using the Swedish clinical experience of 153 patients and the US



Fig. 1. Pathway of approved autologous human cells and tissue products. In the US, as the first guidance regarding manipulated autologous structural (MAS) cells was issued on May 28, 1996, three products such as Epicel®, CarticelTM and Laviv[®] had been on the market. CarticelTM was submitted as the biologics license application (BLA) in 1996, and approved on August 22, 1997 for the accelerated approval of biological products for serious or life-threating illness under 21CFR601.40. Epicel® was filed as the humanitarian device exemption (HDE) application on February 5, 1997, designated as the humanitarian use device (HUD) on November 30, 1998, and approved as the HDE on October 25, 2007. Laviv[®] was submitted as the BLA on March 6, 2009 after completing clinical trials under the investigational new drugs (IND) on October 12, 1999, and approved as a biologic product on June 21, 2011. Provenge® was submitted to the IND on December 22, 1996 and as the BLA on August 21, 2006 after completing clinical trials, and approved as a biologic product on April 29, 2010. In Japan, after issuing of the notifications with regard to medicinal products using human cells and tissues, JACE was submitted to the new medical device application on October 6, 2004 using clinical trial data collected after confirming preclinical data, and approved as a new medical device on October 29, 2007. JACC was submitted to the new medical device application on August 24, 2009 using clinical trial data collected after confirming preclinical data, and approved as a new medical device on July 27, 2012. In the EU, after issuing the Directive 2001/83/EC (medicinal products directive), the clinical trial of ChondroCelect® was conducted from 2002 to 2006. According to the Regulation (EC) 726/ 2004 regarding to EU-wide marketing authorization, ChondroCelect® was submitted through the centralized procedure on June 1, 2007, and approved as the ATMP on October 5, 2009. Prior to the introduction of the Regulation (EC) 1394/2007, MACI was available in certain European countries (i.e. Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, The Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, and the United Kingdom) and Australia, in accordance with national legislation since 1998, MACI was submitted through the centralized procedure on September 1, 2011, and approved as the ATMP on June 27, 2013. Provenge was submitted through the centralized procedure on December 30, 2011, and approved as the ATMP on September 6, 2013.

registry data of 191 patients. The basis for the efficacy determination involved evidence such as functional outcomes compared with literature, in the same patients, and histological finding on biopsy that was judged to meet the standards for the accelerated approval of biological products under 21CFR601.40. Under the accelerated approval regulations, postapproval studies were required to confirm the long-term clinical benefits including Randomized clinical trial (RCT) with placebo, but due to the license holder's request, the indication of CarticelTM has been approved to narrow to second line therapy in 2000. Postapproval clinical studies, including the registry-based study (RBS) of 97 US patients and the study of the treatment of articular repair (STAR) of 154 patients [31], were conducted to ensure the benefit and safety of this product (Table 3).

The product derived from autologous epidermis, cultured epidermal autografts (Epicel[®], Genzyme Biosurgery, Cambridge, MA, US), was approved under the HDE on October 29, 2007 using

clinical experience data (552 patients from 1989 to 1996 and 734 patients from 1997 to 2006) and a physician-sponsored study of 44 patients after being designated as a humanitarian use device (HUD, 21CFR814.100) that was intended to benefit patients by treating a disease affecting fewer than 4000 individuals per year in the US [32] (Table 3). Based on the preclinical and limited clinical data. Epicel[®] had been judged that it would not expose patients to an unreasonable risk or significant risk of illness or injury, and the probable benefit to health from using the device would outweigh the risk of illness or injury.

The product derived from autologous peripheral blood mononuclear cells, Sipuleucel-T (Provenge®, Dendreon Co., Seattle, WA, US), was approved on April 29, 2010 using the data from five clinical trials with a total of 1026 patients. The pivotal study with 512 patients (Provenge[®], 341 patients vs. Placebo, 171 patients) revealed that the use of Provenge[®] prolonged overall survival (median 25.8 months) among men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer compared with the placebo (median 21.7 months). The primary analysis showed a statistically significant difference in overall survival favoring Provenge[®] (*p*-value of 0.032) with hazard ratio of death of 0.075 (95% CI: 0.614, 0.979) [33]. Currently, the postapproval clinical study titled the registry of Sipuleucel-T therapy in men with advanced prostate cancer (PROCEED) is ongoing to enroll 1500 patients (Table 3).

The product of autologous fibroblasts, Azficel-T (Laviv[®], Fibrocell Science Inc., Boulder, CO, US), was approved on June 21, 2011 using the data from seven clinical trials with a total of 907 patients. Two pivotal studies (IT-R-005 and IT-R-006) with total 421 patients (Laviv[®], 210 patients vs. vehicle-control, 211 patients) showed that the co-primary endpoints of subject wrinkle assessment and evaluator wrinkle assessment of Laviv[®] were statistically superior to vehicle-control. The success rates for the evaluator wrinkle assessment were 33% (33/100 patients) of Laviv® and 7% (7/103 patients) in IT-R-005, and 19% (21/110 patients) of Laviv[®] and 7% (8/108 patients) in IT-R-006 [34] (Table 3). The FDA recommended that a postmarket registry study should be conducted to access the risk of skin cancer in the area of the Laviv® injection and immunemediated hypersensitivity reactions in 2700 subjects.

In the EU, the product of characterized viable autologous cartilage cells expanded ex vivo expressing specific marker proteins (ChondroCelect[®], Tigenix NV, Leuven, Belgium) were first approved as an ATMP on October 5, 2009 using the randomized, controlled clinical trial data of 118 patients (ChondroCelect[®], 57 patients vs. microfracture, 61 patients) and the compassionate use data of 334 patients followed by pharmacovigilance. ChondroCelect[®] resulted in better structural repair, as assessed by histmorphometry (p = 0.003) and overall histologic evaluation (p = 0.0103) (Table 3).

Matrix-applied characterized autologous cultured chondrocytes (MACI, Genzyme Biosurgery ApS, Kastrup, Denmark) consists of autologous chondrocytes, seeded on a collagen membrane of porcine origin (type I/III ACI-Maix) which is a CE marking device in the EU. MACI is an ATMP defined as combined tissue-engineering product. Prior to the introduction of the Regulation (EC) 1394/ 2007 and during the ATMP transitional period, MACI was available in certain European countries (i.e. Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, The Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, and the United Kingdom) and Australia, in accordance with national legislation since 1998. When Genzyme acquired the Verigen Corporation in 2005, approximately 4000 patients in EU and Australia had been treated with MACI. MACI was submitted through the centralized procedure on September 1, 2011, and approved as the ATMP on June 27, 2013 using the randomized, controlled clinical trial data of 144 patients (MACI, 72 patients vs. microfracture, 72 patients) and supportive data of approximately 800 patients from 19 studies with safety reports from postmarket

 Table 2

 Classification, definition, and regulation of human cell and tissue products in the US, the EU, and Japan.

Country or area	Classification	Definition	Regulation (notification)	Classification of medical products
US	Human cells, tissues and cellular and tissue-based products (HCT/Ps) 351HCT/P ^a 361HCT/P ^b	Articles containing or consisting of human cells or tissues that are intended for implantation, transplantation, infusion, or transfer into a human recipient.	21CFR1271	Drug or biological product or medical device
EU	Advanced therapy medicinal products (ATMPs) Gene therapy medicinal product ^c Somatic cell therapy medicinal product ^c Tissue engineered product ^c	Any of the following medicinal products for human use: a gene therapy medicinal product; a somatic cell therapy medicinal product; a tissue engineered product which contains or consists of engineered cells or tissues, and is presented as having properties for, or is used in or administered to human beings with a view to regenerating, repairing or replacing a human tissue.	Regulation (EC) No 1394/2007	Drug
Japan	Cells/tissue-engineered (manipulated) products ^d	Drug or medical device containing or consisting of manipulated autologous or allogeneic human cells and tissue that are applying chemical treatment, alteration of biological properties, combination by genetic engineering to artificially proliferate or activate cells and tissue for purpose of curing disease or repairing or regenerating tissues.	NA (PFSB notifications No. 0208003/2008 and No. 0912006/2008)	Drug or medical device

The US, the United States; The EU, the European Union; 21CFR, Code of Federal Regulation, title 21; NA, not available, EC, European Council; PFSB: Pharmaceutical and Food Safety Bureau.

^a 351HCT/P is regulated under sections 351 of the Public Health Service Act (42 the United State Code) according to in 21CFR1271.20 which is described as not meet the criteria of 21CFR1271.10 and not qualify for any of the exception of 21CFR1271.15 which removed and implanted in same individual during same surgical procedure. The HCP/ P is regulated as drug, medical device, and/or biological product.

^b 361HCT/P is regulated under sections 361 of the Public Health Service Act (42 the United State Code) which is described as minimally manipulated, intended for homologous use only, not involved the combination of cells or tissues with another article according to in 21CFR1271.10. No premarket approval is required.

Gene therapy medicinal product, somatic cell therapy medical product, and tissue engineered product are regulated under the regulation (EC) No 1394/2007.

^d Cells/tissue-engineered (manipulated) products are regulated as drug or medical device adapting existing legislation under the clause 2 of Pharmaceutical Affairs Law. The PFSB notifications of No. 0280003/2008 for autologous product and No. 0912006/2008 for allogeneic product mentioned the definition of cells/Tissue-engineered products, and the quality and safety of the products derived from human cells.

experience. MACI was superior compared to standard care of microfracture with symptomatic cartilage defects the knee with a range of defect sizes from 3.0 to 20.0 cm² (grade III and IV modified outerbride scale), regarding mean improvement of pain and function (Table 3).

Autologous peripheral-blood mononuclear cells activated with prostatic acid phosphatase granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (Sipuleucel-T) (Provenge, Dendreon UK Ltd., London, UK) was submitted through the centralized procedure on December 30, 2011, and approved as the ATMP on September 6, 2013 using the data from 14 clinical trials with a total of 1382 patients and post-market registry of 28 patients. The pivotal study (IMPACT study) with 512 patients (Provenge[®]-arm, 341 patients vs. Placebo-arm, 171 patients) be submitted to EMA was same data as the FDA approved in 2010 (Table 3).

In Japan, a surgical/orthopedic material involving autologous cultured epidermis (JACE, Japan Tissue Engineering Co., Ltd., Aichi, Japan) was submitted as New Medical Device Application on October 6, 2004, and was approved on October 29, 2007 using the clinical trial data of two patients to confirm the efficacy and safety of the product in the treatment of severe burns. Due to the extremely limited number of patients in the clinical trial, the conditions for approval were attached such as a postapproval clinical trial with 30 patients and a postmarket survey for all patients treated with JACE for seven years (Table 3).

Human autologous cells and tissues involving autologous cultured chondrocytes (JACC, Japan Tissue Engineering Co., Ltd., Aichi, Japan) was submitted as New Medical Device Application on August 24, 2009, and was approved on July 22, 2012 using the clinical trial data of 32 patients to confirm the efficacy and safety of the product in the treatment of traumatic cartilage deficiency and osteochondritis. Due to the limited number of patients in the clinical trial, the conditions for approval were attached such as a

postmarket survey for all patients treated with JACE for seven years (Table 3).

3.4. Regulatory pathways and legislation issuance

In the US, since the first guidance on manipulated autologous cells was issued in 1996 [29], many rules regarding HCTPs were issued. In 1997, FDA proposed a new approach to the regulation of HCTPs, which would establish in 21CFR1271 a comprehensive regulatory program. Final rules of establishment registration and listing in 2001, final rules of donors eligibility as well as inspection and enforcement for current good tissue practice (CGTP) in 2004, and final rules of donor screening, testing, and labeling in 2007 are the most important guidance for HCTPs regulations (Table 4).

In the EU, the medicinal products directive was issued in 2001. The regulation of medicinal products for human use regarding EUwide marketing authorization was issued in 2004 and ATMP regulation was issued in 2007. According to ATMP regulation, ATMP, other than tissue engineering products which were legally on the EU market in accordance with national legislation on December 30, 2008, shall be authorized using central application no later than December 30, 2011. Tissue engineering products which were legally on the EU market in accordance with national legislation on December 30, 2008, shall be authorized using central application no later than December 30, 2012 [3] (Table 4).

In Japan, a notification for quality and safety assurance for medical devices or drug products using cells or tissues was issued in 1999 and the confirming application prior to initiating clinical trials had continued until 2011. In 2008, notifications for quality and safety assurance for medical devices or drug products with processed autologous and allogeneic human-derived cells and tissue were issued [4,5](Table 4).

 Table 3

 History of regulatory action, preapproval and postapproval clinical evaluation of autologous human cells and tissue products in the US, the EU, and Japan.

Generic name (trade name)	History of regulatory action	Preapproval evaluation	Postapproval evaluation
US Autologous cultured chondrocytes (Carticel™)	 Began marketing in 1995 due to not to be regulated for autologous cell therapy Submitted BLA in 1996 Issued guidance regarding MAS cells^a on May 28, 1996 (FDA determined to be regulated) Approved on August 22, 1997 for accelerated approval Approval of narrow indication (second line therapy) on March 2, 2000 	 Nonclinical studies Rabbit studies of improved healing at 52 weeks Dog study of improved healing at 13 and 26 weeks Clinical studies Swedish Clinical Experience of 153 patients with retrospectively generated CRF US registry data of 191 patients repairing of femoral condyle in 241 patients treated 	 Nonclinical studies Goat studies of histological healing at 16 weeks Horse study of histological healing at eight weeks Clinical studies Registry-based study (RBS) of 97 US patients in which 44 were part of subset of 191 patients in preapproval clinical evaluation Study of the treatment of articular repair (STAR) of 154 patients in which 136 and 115 patients were completed 24 and 48
Cultured epidermal autografts (Epicel®)	 Commercialized from 1988 to 1996 as banked human tissue Issued guidance regarding MAS cells on May 28, 1996 (FDA determined to be regulated) Filed as Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE) application on February 5, 1997 Designated as Humanitarian Use Device (HUD) on November 30, 1998 	 Nonclinical studies In vitro and in vivo efficacy studies Clinical studies Clinical experience of 552 patients for 1989–1996 and 734 patients for 1997–2006 Physician-sponsored study of 44 patients with and without Epicel[®] followed for 	months follow-up, respectively Nonclinical studies • Not conducted Clinical studies • Not conducted (No registry of ClinicalTrial.gov ^b)
Sipuleucel-T (Provenge [®])	 Approved as HDE on October 25, 2007 Submitted IND on December 22, 1996 Submitted BLA on August 21, 2006 Approved as Biologic Products on April 29, 2010 	 seven years Nonclinical studies In vitro study for PAP^c expression in human tissues Five <i>in vivo</i> studies for immunogenicity and generation of PAP and efficacy model in mice and rats No toxicology studies 	 Nonclinical studies Not conducted Clinical studies A Registry of Sipuleucel-T therapy in men with advanced prostate cancer (PRO-CEED)^d of estimated enrollment 1500 patients. NCT01306890
Azficel-T (Laviv [®])	 Marketed as cosmetic treatment from December 1995 to February 1999 Submitted IND on October 12, 1999 Submitted BLA on March 6, 2009. Approved as Biologic Products on June 21, 2011 	 Clinical studies Randomized clinical trial (RCT) as pivotal study of 512 patients to compare Provenge® (341 patients) to placebo (171 patients) Other phase three, two RCTs of 225 patients to compare Provenge® (147 patients) to placebo (78 patients) Other phase three, a RCT of 176 patients and phase two, an open-label trial of 113 patients Nonclinical studies No preclinical studies conducted due to applicable five published articles of various <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> studies Off 7 clinical trials, two randomized clinical trial studies of phase 3 with 421 patients to compare Laviv® (210 patients) to vehicle-control (211 patients) and phase two an open-label trial of 50 patients Other indication for four trials of 436 patients Commercial experience of >9077 patients in the US and UK from 1995 to 2007 	Nonclinical studies • Not conducted Clinical studies • Proceeding pharmacovigilance activities of estimated enrollment 2700 patients (No registry of ClinicalTrial.gov ^b website)
EU Characterized viable autologous cartilage cells expanded <i>ex vivo</i> expressing specific marker proteins (ChondroCelect [®])	 Submitted through the centralized procedure on June 1, 2007 Approved as ATMP on October 5, 2009 	 Nonclinical studies Goat study of improved repair at 52 weeks Single dose toxicity of nude mice and sheep Carcinogenicity assay after serial passaging Clinical studies Randomized clinical trial of 144 patients to compare ChondroCelect to 	Nonclinical studies • Not conducted Clinical studies • Proceeding pharmacovigilance activities (No registry of ClinicalTrial.gov ^b and EU Clinical Trials Register ^e website)

• Compassionate use of 334 patients

Table 3 (continued)

Generic name (trade name)	History of regulatory action	Preapproval evaluation	Postapproval evaluation
Matrix-applied characterized autologous cultured chondrocytes (MACI)	 Available in certain European countries, and Australia in accordance with national legislations since 1998 Submitted through the centralized pro- cedure on September 1, 2011 Approved as ATMP on June 27, 2013 	 Nonclinical studies Rabbit, sheep, and horse of repair at 53 weeks Single dose toxicity of mice and horse Chromosomal stability testing with lack of tumorigenic findings Clinical studies Randomized clinical trial of 144 patients to compare MACI to microfracture Supportive data of approximately 800 patients from 19 studies Safety reports from post-market 	 Nonclinical studies Not conducted Clinical studies Proceeding pharmacovigilance activities (5-year long term safety and efficacy; EudraCT: 2009-016970-33) Planned retrospective and prospective study of pediatric patients
Autologous peripheral- blood mononuclear cells activated with prostatic acid phosphatase granulocyte- macrophage colony- stimulating factor (Sipuleucel-T) (Provenge)	 Submitted through the centralized procedure on December 30, 2011 Approved as ATMP on September 6, 2013 	 experience Nonclinical studies In vitro study for PAP^d expression in human tissues Five in vivo studies for immunogenicity and generation of PAP and efficacy model in mice and rats No toxicology studies Clinical studies Randomized clinical trial (RCT) as pivotal study of 512 patients to compare Provenge (341 patients) to placebo (171 patients) Other phase three, two RCTs of 225 patients to compare Provenge (147 patients) to placebo (78 patients) Other phase three, a RCT of 175 patients, and phase one and two, eight open-label trials of 301 patients Two salvage studies of 169 patients Post-marketing experience of 28 patients as of July 29, 2011 (PROCEED) 	 Nonclinical studies Not conducted Clinical studies A Registry of Sipuleucel-T therapy in men with advanced prostate cancer (PRO-CEED)^d of estimated enrollment 1500 patients, NCT01306890 Proceeding phase 2 study with immuno-suppressant therapies Proposed post-approval study and EU registry study
Japan Other surgical/orthopedic materials; autologous cultured epidermis (JACE)	 Submitted New Medical Device Application on October 6, 2004 Approved as New Medical Device on October 29, 2007 	Nonclinical studies • Biological safety studies Clinical studies • Clinical trial of two patients	 Nonclinical studies Not conducted Clinical studies Completed post-approval clinical trial with 30 patients Proceeding post-market survey for all patients treated with IACE for 7 years
Human autologous cells and tissues (JACC)	 Submitted confirmatory application on September 7, 2001 and confirmed on February 19, 2004 Submitted New Medical Device Applica- tion on August 24, 2009 Approved as New Medical Device on July 22, 2012 	 Nonclinical studies Biological safety studies Rabbit and Dog studies for graft treatments Clinical studies Open clinical trial of 32 patients Follow-up study for safety after clinical trial 	 Nonclinical studies Not conducted Clinical studies Proceeding post-market survey for all patients treated with JACC for 7 years

BLA, Biologics License Application; MAS, Manipulated Autologous; the FDA, the Food and Drug Administration; ND, Not determined; AMTP, Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products; EU, European Union. IND, Investigational New Drug application; PAP, Prostatic acid phosphatase. The US, The United State; The UK, The United Kingdom.

^a US Food and Drug Administration. Guidance on application for products comprised of living autologous cells manipulated *ex vivo* and intended for structural repair or reconstruction; availability. *Fed. Regist.* 61,26523–26254 (1996).

^b http://clinicaltrials.gov/.

^c PAP is an anigen expressed in prostate cancer tissue.

^d http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01306890?term=PROCEED&rank=4.

^e https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/.

The pathways of the nine approved autologous human cells and tissue products for market authorization in the US, the EU, and Japan demonstrated that the issuance of major regulations and guidance, especially in the US, induced various market authorizations, such as the accelerated application approval, the HDE approval, and the BLA (Fig. 1).

3.5. Safety information: recalls, alters, notification, and adverse event reports

Recalls regarding two products were enforced three times (Table 5). The Dear Healthcare Professional Letter from the manufacturer of CarticelTM was issued on March 2000, which was

Table 4 Major issuances of the logicilation of human cells and tissue products in the US, the EU and L

Country or area	Issuance date	Name of legislation	Note
US	1996	Guidance on application for Products Comprised of Living Autologous Cells Manipulated Ex Vivo and Intended for Structure Repair or Reconstruction; Availability	First guidance of manipulated autologous (MAS) cells
	1997	(Federal Register Vol. 61, No.103 P26523-26524, Notice May 28, 1996) Proposed Approach to Regulation of Cellular and Tissue-Based Products; Availability and Public Meeting (Federal Register Vol. 62, No.42 P9721- 9722 Proposed Rules March 4, 1997)	Proposed rules of cellular and tissue-based products
	2001	Human Cells, Tissues, and Cellular and Tissue-Based Products; Establishment Registration and Listing (Federal Register Vol. 66, No.13 P5447-5469, Final Rules January 19, 2001)	Final rules of establishment registration and listing regarding human cells, tissues and cellular and tissue-based products (HCTPs)
	2004	Eligibility Determination for Donors of Human Cells, Tissues, and Cellular and Tissue-Based Products (Federal Register Vol. 69, No.101 P2978629834, Final Rule May 25, 2004)	Final rules of donors eligibility for HCTPs
		Current Good Tissue Practice for Human Cells, Tissues, and Cellular and Tissue-Based Product Establishment; Inspection and Enforcement (Federal Register Vol. 69, No.226 P68612-68688, Final Rule November 24, 2004)	Final rules of inspection and enforcement for current good tissue practice (CGTP) of HCTPs
	2007	Human Čells, Tissues, and Cellular and Tissue-Based Products; Donor Screening and Testing, and Related Labeling (Federal Register Vol. 72, No.117 P33667-33669, Final rule June 19, 2007)	Final rules of donor screening and testing, and labeling for HCTPs
EU	2001	Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001 on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use	Medicinal products directive regarding to GMP- and GCP- compliance, advertising, labeling, classification and distribution
	2004	Regulation (EC) 726/2004 of the European Parliament and the Council of 31 March 2004 laying down Community procedures for the authorization and supervision of medicinal products for human and vetering a understabiling a European Medicines Agency.	Medicinal products for human use regarding to EU-wide marketing authorization
	2007	Regulation (EC) No 1394/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 November 2007 on advanced therapy medicinal products and amending Directive 2001/83/EC and Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 Official Journal of the European Union (L324/121) Dec.10, 2007	Advanced therapy medicinal products (ATMP), ATMP definition, ATMP complying with existing market authorization requirements and the post-marketing pharmacovigilance rules, a new Committee for Advanced Therapies (CAT)'s responsibilities
	2009	Commission Directive 2009/120/EC of 14 September 2009 amending Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use as regards advanced therapy medicinal products Commission Regulation (EC) No 668/2009 of 24 July 2009 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1394/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to the evaluation and certification of quality and nonclinical data relating to advanced therapy medicinal products developed by micro, small, medium-sized enterprises	
Japan	1999	Quality and safety assurance for medical devices or drug products using cells or tissues. (Iyakuhatsu: PFSB notification No. 906 of July 30, 1999) (in Japanese)	Confirming application prior to initiating clinical trial. Records and documents retention of specified biologics for 30 years and biologics for 10 years
	2000	Quality and safety assurance for drug products manufactured using human- or animal-derived components as raw materials, Appendix 1: Basic policies for handling and using drug products using cells and tissue; Appendix 2: Guidance regarding quality and safety assurance for drug products manufactured by processing human-derived cells and tissue. (lyakuhatsu: PFSB notification No. 1314 of December 26, 2000) (in Japanese)	Specifying notification regarding quality and safety assurance for drug products manufactured by processing human-derived cells and tissue
	2004	Guidance of regenerative medicine for epidermis using 3T3J2 and 3T3NIH as feeder cells with regard to guidance of infectious issues for public health conducting xenotransplantation.(Iseikenhatsu: MHLW/ HPB/RDD notification No. 0702001 of July 2, 2004) (in Japanese)	Notification of regenerative medicine for epidermis using 3T3J2 and 3T3NIH as feeder cells
	2008	Quality and safety assurance for drug products or medical devices with processed (autologous) human-derived cells and tissue (Yakushokuhatsu: MHLW/PFSB notification No. 0208003 of February 8, 2008) (Partial amendment, Jimurenraku: Administrative notification of September 12, 2008) (in Japanese)	Notification of drugs or medical devices with processed autologous human-derived cells and tissue
		Quality and safety assurance for drug products or medical devices with processed (allogeneic) human-derived cells and tissue (Yakushokuhatsu: MHLW/PFSB notification No. 0912006 of September 12, 2008) (in Japanese)	Notification of drugs or medical devices with processed allogeneic human-derived cells and tissue
	2010	Partial amendment with regard to quality and safety assurance for medical devices or drug products using cells or tissues. (Yakushoku hatsu: PFSB notification No. 1101-3 of November 1, 2010) (in Japanese)	Exemption of confirming application prior to initiating clinical trial

The US: the United States; The EU: the European Union; MAS: Manipulated Autologous; HCTPs: Human Cells, Tissues and Cellular and Tissue-based Products; CGTP: Current Good Tissue Practice; GMP: Good Manufacturing Practice; GCP: Good Clinical Practice; ATMP: Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products; CAT: Committee for Advanced Therapies; MHLW: Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. PFSB: Pharmaceutical and Food Safety Bureau; HPB: Health Policy Bureau; RDD: Research and Development Division.

Table 5

Recalls^a of autologous human cells and tissue products in the US, the EU, and Japan.

Generic name (Trade name)	Approval date	Recall class ^b	Date	Reason	Quantity
US					
Autologous cultured chondrocytes (Carticel TM)	August 22, 1997	Class 2	May 17, 2006	Carticel TM , possible contaminated with Novosphingobium capsulatum, was distributed	1 lot
		Class 2	September 1, 2010	Revised labeling of Carticel TM Essentials Kit clarifies the non-sterile packing of the out clear plastic tray which should not be opened in the sterile fields	3132 kits
Cultured epidermal autografts (Epicel [®])	October 25, 2007	NA			
Sipuleucel-T (Provenge [®])	April 29, 2010	Class 3	April 25, 2012	Provenge [®] , manufactured with a breach of disposal collection kit, was distributed	1 unit
Azficel-T (Laviv [®])	June 21, 2011	NA		• ·	
EU					
Characterized viable autologous cartilage cells expanded <i>ex vivo</i> expressing specific marker proteins (ChondroCelect [®])	October 5, 2009	NA			
Matrix-applied characterized autologous cultured chondrocytes (MACI)	June 27, 2013	NA			
Autologous peripheral-blood mononuclear cells activated with prostatic acid phosphatase granulocyte-macrophage colony- stimulating factor (Sipuleucel-T) (Provenge)	September 6, 2013	NA			
Japan					
Other surgical/orthopedic materials; autologous cultured epidermis (JACE)	October 29,2007	NA			
Human autologous cells and tissues (JACC)	July 27, 2012	NA			

NA: Not available.

Alerts and Notices (Devices): http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/AlertsandNotices/default.htm.

Tips and Articles on Device Safety: http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/AlertsandNotices/TipsandArticlesonDeviceSafety/default.htm.

List of Device Recalls: http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/RecallsCorrectionsRemovals/ListofRecalls/default.htm.

Medical & Radiation Emitting Device Recalls: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfRES/res.cfm.

Public Health Notifications: http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/AlertsandNotices/PublicHealthNotifications/default.htm.

Medical Device Safety Communications: http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/AlertsandNotices/ucm181502.htm.

Recalls (Biologics): http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/SafetyAvailability/Recalls/default.htm.

Enforcement Reports: http://www.fda.gov/Safety/Recalls/EnforcementReports/default.htm.

Online access to suspected side-effect reports: http://www.adrreports.eu/EN/index.html.

Product defects and recalls: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/regulation/document_listing/document_listing_000238.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac05800 24593.

Medical device recalls: http://www.info.pmda.go.jp/rsearch/html/menu_recall_base.html (in Japanese).

Medical device alters and notifications: http://www.info.pmda.go.jp/mdevices/md-others.html (in Japanese).

^a A recall is an action taken to address a problem with a medical device that violates FDA law. Recalls occur when a medical device is defective, when it could be a risk to health, or when it is both defective and a risk to health.

^b Class 1 recall: a situation in which there is a reasonable probability that the use of or exposure to a violative product will cause serious adverse health consequences or death; Class 2 recall: a situation in which use of or exposure to a violative product may cause temporary or medically reversible adverse health consequences or where the probability of serious adverse health consequences is remote; Class 3 recall: a situation in which use of or exposure to a violative product is not likely to cause adverse health consequences.

intended to narrow the indication [35] (Table 1). Sixty three adverse event reports regarding Epicel[®] from Manufacture and User Device Experience (MAUDE) of the FDA were 54 death reports, seven other serious adverse events, and the rest of reports from publication in the United Kingdom. Of 63 reports, three reports mentioned that three serious adverse events as severe fever and poor outcome were possibility of related to use the Epicel[®]. One adverse event report for CarticelTM was voluntarily submitted as high fever at one day after transplantation to MAUDE of the FDA. In the EU and Japan, there was no recall and serious adverse event report.

4. Discussion

Our findings show that there were various kinds of premarket application approval for autologous human cells and tissue products, that the preapproval clinical evaluations were conducted with small population or using clinical experience, while five of the seven allogeneic human cells and tissue products were approved for market authorization using relatively larger clinical trials [36–40]. The results suggest that the products may lead to postmarket-orientated evaluation rather than premarket-oriented evaluation.

Our survey of nine autologous human cells and tissue products approved by October 2013 shows that the definitions for human cells and tissue products were definitely not same among the US, the EU, and Japan, but still compatible for defined products. In the US, the distinction between HCT/Ps under sections 351 and 361 is based on the degree of risk posed by the products: for low risk products, so-called 361 products focus on minimizing the risk of transmission of infectious diseases, and higher risk HCT/Ps, socalled 351 products present in their processing or use. The 361 products must be minimally manipulated, perform the same basic function in the donor as recipient (homologous use), not be combined with other agents and not have systemic affect [2]. Furthermore, the definition of ATMPs and cell/tissue-engineered products in the EU and Japan, respectively, is easily understandable [3,5]. The HCT/Ps under sections 351 and 361 of the PHS Act would be classified as biological products under the control of the Center for Biological Evaluation and Research (CBER) or as medical devices under the control of the Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) or drugs (currently not identified) in the US [2]. Two cell/ tissue-engineered products, JACE and JACC were classified as medical devices rather than drugs, and regulated by the PFSB of MHLW in Japan [5]. Otherwise, in the EU, ATMPs are classified as drugs, and are regulated by the EMA [3], since medical devices are applicable CE-marking which the manufacture declares that the products confirm with the essential requirements of the applicable EC directives. Actually, the human cells and tissue products that were declared as medical devices using CE-marking prior to issuing the Regulation (EC) No 1394/2007 [3] have been on the market, which is only valid for selected member states in the EU. However, these products have to be applied the ATMPs' rule for a centralized authorization until end of 2012. Since the marketing authorization of ChondroCelect[®], three more ATMPs, Glybea, MACI, and Provenge has been approved in the EU until as of October 2013. Both the US and EU have already established sophisticated legislation, including 21CFR1271 [2], Regulation (EC) No 1394/2007 [3], Medical Products Directive 2001/83/EC [41], and Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 [42]. In contrast, Japan had adapted existing legislation under clause 2 of the PAL [43,44]. Currently, in Japan, the revised PAL which is changed the name of legislation as "Medicinal Products and Medical Device Law" is reformed the category of human cells and tissue products to "regenerative medicine products" [45].

A significant regulatory impact occurred in 1996 in the US, when the first guidance of MAS cells was issued [29]. At that time, some MAS cell products, including Epicel[®], Carticel[™] and Laviv[®], were on the market as banked human tissue (Fig. 1). Epicel[®] and Carticel[™] were withdrawn from marketing in 1996 [19,20], and Laviv[®] in 1999 [46]. Depending on the primary mode of action and the indication, the manufacturers can choose one of three different premarket approval applications: the accelerated approval application of biological products for serious or life-threating illness as for CarticelTM, the HUD designation and HDE approval application as for Epicel[®], and the IND application for conducting clinical trials following BLA application as for Laviv[®] and Provenge[®]. In the EU, a similar regulatory impact occurred in 2007, when the ATMP regulation was issued [3]. At the time, MACI were available in certain European countries and Australia in accordance with national legislations since 1998 [24]. After receiving a several scientific advices from EMA's Committee for Medical Products for Human Use (CHMP) and Committee for Advanced Therapies (CAT), MACI was submitted through the centralized procedure on September 1, 2011, and was approved as an ATMP on September 6, 2013. Otherwise, both the products of JACE and JACC underwent new medical device approval applications [27,28], and ChondroCelect[®] and Provenge went through the centralized applications for ATMPs [23,25] for market authorization. These products might be applicable to the fast track application for a life-threatening or chronically debilitating condition similar to that for an orphan drug and medical device application to use in a small patient population, which is 50,000 patients in Japan [47] and no more than 5 in 10,000 people in the EU at the time of submission of the rare disease (orphan) designation application [48]. Furthermore, the accelerated approval system of specifically targeted biological products for serious or life-threating illnesses [30,49] should be focused on implementing relevant regulation in the EU and Japan for fast application to patients. In Japan, the regulatory reform of medical products has just implemented after the notice through official gazettes on November 27, 2013. The new legislation will be available the conditional/time-limited approval system for regenerative medicine products [45].

For premarket approval authorization, safety and efficacy data from nonclinical and clinical studies are the most important information to be included for the examination of submitted documents or dossiers. In the present study, we focused on which preapproval clinical evaluation had been conducted by the relevant regulatory authorities. Clinical experience data [19,20] were used to evaluate the safety of Epicel[®] and CarticelTM in the US, which were on the market prior to their applications because these products were distributed as banked human tissue. The data from two pivotal trials with five supporting trials for Laviv® [22] were evaluated; however, nonclinical data were not submitted by the sponsor because this product had been on the market as cosmetic treatment for four years without FDA premarket approval, and because an appropriate animal model for wrinkles was unavailable, and much clinical information was available. As biologics and ATMPs applications, the primary endpoints of Provenge[®] [21,25], Laviv[®] [22], ChondroCelect[®] [23], and MACI [24] were evaluated. These products were approved as an ATMP in the EU or as HCTPs in the US, but no products have confirmed the primary endpoint in two clinical trials. According to the clinical reviewer's comments from the clinical reviews of US FDA or public assessment report of EMA, these products needed additional efficacy information after premarket approval because two clinical trials are typically required to evaluate the primary endpoint in new drugs and biologics [22,23]. After premarket approval, postmarket registration and surveillance have been conducted according to the condition of approval: a postmarket clinical trial and surveillance of all patients for seven years for JACE [27] and JACC [28], a 1500-patient registry in US [21] and EU registry [25] for Provenge[®], a 2700-patient registry for Laviv[®] [22], a postmarket safety and efficacy study for ChondroCelect[®] [23], and a postmarket safety and efficacy study for MACI [24]. During the postmarket surveillance, only three recalls were enforced for CarticelTM and Provenge[®]. The FDA showed that a total of 497 adverse events among 294 patients receiving Carticel™ were reported from 1996 to 2003 [50] and a serious adverse event was voluntarily reported from user facility. Furthermore, 63 serious adverse events for Epicel[®] were reported, while no serious adverse event was reported on the other autologous human cells and tissue products. Typical premarket-oriented evaluation is timeconsuming, expensive, and needs abundant human resources. The advantages of postmarket-oriented review are easier access than conducting clinical trial for patients, and would provide much information on safety and efficacy from a real world of clinical experience and update safety information. Therefore, the latter should be employed in some specific occasions such as orphan disease and autologous human cells and tissue products. This should be along the line with what is advocated in "Adaptive licensing" [51]. We believe that adaptive licensing's character of small population disease should fit the autologous human cells and tissue products, because the products are derived from autologous cells and tissue and applied specific area such as unmet medical needs which an ordinary drug or medical device do not enable to cover. A similar approval system as adaptive licensing, the conditional/time-limited approval system for regenerative medicine products in Japan would accelerate the development of regenerative medicine products.

For HCTPs, the FDA has focused on a risk-based approach to examine the risks and benefits [52]. In autologous HCT/Ps, it considers three major hazards: donor infection and contamination during processing or manufacturing, allergic reaction at the administration site by the processing materials, and tumorigenic potential. The hazards are lessened by complying with the guidance for donor eligibility [53] and current Good Tissue Practices [54]. Warnings and precautions regarding foreign materials, animalderived products, irritants and/or antibiotic use are described in the labeling of Laviv[®] [55], JACE [56], JACC [57], Epicel[®] [58], and MACI [59], and other information of ChondroCelect[®] [60], Provenge[®] [61.62] and CarticeTM [63] is described in the product labeling. Although the tumorigenic potential is believed rare, the possibility cannot be completely excluded. Therefore, in vivo tumorigenicity test using immunodeficient mice was conducted in Epicel[®] [20], [ACE [27] and [ACC [28]. The risk-based approach would be the best way to develop a novel class product including human cells and tissue products. Adaptive licensing [51] and the conditional/timelimited approval system [45] should lessen the development period and review time for human cells and tissue products.

There are limitations to this study. We examined nine autologous human cells and tissue products in the US, EU and Japan. When more than 20 products are approved, further study should be conducted to compare the global evaluation system to determine an efficient and timely manner to deliver new product to patients. Further analyses should compare the requirements of those products and the regulatory safety among the agencies, including adverse reaction reporting such as the FDA MedWatch mandatory reporting [64], EudraVigilance [65] and Postmarketing Safety [66], during the postmarket surveillance because some of the premarket clinical trials did not include a sufficient patient population to be clarified the safety issues.

5. Conclusion

Autologous human cells and tissue products in the US, the EU and Japan were approved for market authorization using various kinds of premarket application system. The preapproval clinical evaluations were conducted with small population or using clinical experience, while most of allogeneic human cells and tissue products were approved for market authorization using relatively larger clinical trials. The clinical evaluation of the autologous human cells and tissue products would focus on postmarket-oriented evaluation to distribute the new products of regenerative medicine, tissue engineering, and cell therapy to patients and to oversee the risk of these products using registry.

Conflict of interest

Dr. Kazuo Yano is an employee of Asahi Kasei Medical Co., Ltd. and Asahi Kasei Pharma Co., Ltd. and the holding company, Asahi Kasei Co., Ltd. is planning to develop a cell related products. Dr. Masayuki Yamato is a shareholder of CellSeed Inc.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Creation of Innovation Centers for Advanced Interdisciplinary Research Areas Program in the Project for Developing Innovation Systems "Cell Sheet Tissue Engineering Center (CSTEC)" and the Global COE program, the Multidisciplinary Education and Research Center for Regenerative Medicine (MERCREM), from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan.

References

 US Food and Drug Administration. Strategic Plan for regulatory science and research 2012-2016. 2012. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/ downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/ScienceResearch/UCM303542.pdf.

- [2] US Food and Drug Administration. Human cells, tissues, and cellular and tissue-based products, 21CFR1271. 2012. Available from: URL: http://www. accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/CFRSearch.cfm?CFRPart=1271.
- [3] European Parliament and the Council of the European Union. Regulation (EC) No 1394/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 November 2007 on advanced therapy medicinal products and amending Directive 2001/ 83/EC and Regulation (EC) No 726/2004. Off | Eur Union 2007;L324:121–37.
- [4] Pharmaceutical and Food Safety Bureau. Quality and safety assurance for drug products or medicinal devices with processed (homogeneous) human-derived cells or tissue. Yakushokuhatsu. 0912006. 2008.
- [5] Pharmaceutical and Food Safety Bureau. Quality and safety assurance for drug products or medicinal devices with processed (autologus) human-derived cells or tissue. Yakushokuhatsu. 0208003. 2008.
- [6] US Food and Drug Administration. Definition of primary mode of action of a combination product. Fed Regist 2005;70:49848–62.
- [7] Tillman DB. Approval letter: Epicel[®] cultured epidermal autograft (CEA) H990002. 2007. Available from: URL: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_ docs/pdf//h990002a.pdf.
- [8] Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency. Approval letter: other surgical/ orthpedic materials, autologous cultured epidermis. JACE; 2007. Available from: URL: http://www.pmda.go.jp/operations/shonin/info/new/h19medi caldevice_beppyo.html [in Japanese].
- [9] Malarkey MA, Witten CM. Approval letter Laviv. 2011. June 21, 2011. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/ CellularGeneTherapyProducts/ApprovedProducts/ucm260486.htm.
- [10] European Medicines Agency. Authorisation: ChondroCelect. 2009. Available from: URL: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/ human/medicines/000878/human_med_000698.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac0580 01d124.
- [11] Siegel JP, Donlon JA. Approval letter Carticel. 1997. August 22, 1997. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/ CellularGeneTherapyProducts/ApprovedProducts/ucm171702.htm.
- [12] European Medicines Agency. Authorisation: MACI. 2013. Available from: URL: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/human/ medicines/002522/human_med_001660.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d124.
- [13] Pharmaceutical and Food Safety Bureau. Approval letter: human autologous cells and tissues. JACC 2012. Available from: URL: http://www.pmda.go.jp/ operations/shonin/info/new/h24medicaldevice_beppyo.html [in Japanese].
- [14] Malarkey MA, Witten CM. Approval letter. Provenge; April 29, 2010. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/CellularGeneTherapy Products/ApprovedProducts/ucm210215.htm.
- [15] European Medicines Agency. Authorisation. Provenge; 2013. Available from: URL: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/hum an/medicines/002513/human_med_001680. jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d124.
- [16] Yano K, Tsuyuki K, Watanabe N, Kasanuki H, Yamato M. The regulation of allogeneic human cells and tissue products as biomaterials. Biomaterials 2013;34:3165–73.
- [17] US Food and Drug Administration. Vaccines, blood & biologics, marketed products, approved products 2012. 2012. Available from: URL: http://www. fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/CellularGeneTherapyProducts/ ApprovedProducts/default.htm.
- [18] US Food and Drug Administration. Listing of CDRH humanitarian device exemptions. 2012. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ ProductsandMedicalProcedures/DeviceApprovalsandClearances/HDEAppro vals/ucm161827.htm.
- [19] US Food and Drug Administration. Summary for basis of approval: autologous cultured chondrocytes. CarticelTM; 1997. Available from: URL: http://www.fda. gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/CellularGeneTherapyProducts/ ApprovedProducts/UCM109341.pdf.
- [20] US Food and Drug Administration. Summary of safety and probable benefit: cultured epidermal autograft (CEA). Epicel[®]; 2007. Available from: URL: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf/H990002b.pdf.
- [21] US Food and Drug Administration. Clinical review: Sipulecel-T. Provenge[®]; 2010. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/BiologicsBlood Vaccines/CellularGeneTherapyProducts/ApprovedProducts/UCM214540.pdf.
- [22] US Food and Drug Administration. Clinical review: autologous human fibroblast cells. 2009. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/ BiologicsBloodVaccines/CellularGeneTherapyProducts/ApprovedProducts/ UCM263421.pdf.
- [23] European Medicines Agency. Public assessment report: ChondroCelect. 2009. Available from: URL: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_ library/EPAR_-_Public_assessment_report/human/000878/WC500026035. pdf.
- [24] European Medicines Agency. Public assessment report. MACI; 2013. Available from URL: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/ EPAR_-_Public_assessment_report/human/002522/WC500145888.pdf.
- [25] European Medicines Agency. Public assessment report. Provenge; 2012. Available from: URL: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_ Public_assessment_report/human/002513/WC500151101.pdf.
- [26] European Medicines Agency. Public assessment report. Glybera; 2012. Available from: URL: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/ EPAR_-_Public_assessment_report/human/002145/WC500135476.pdf.
- [27] Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency. Review report: other surgical/ orthopedic material (autologous cultured epidermis). JACE 2007. Available

from: URL: http://www.pmda.go.jp/english/service/pdf/medical_devices/ jace_oct2007_e.pdf.

- [28] Pharmaceutical and Food Safety Bureau. Review report. JACC; 2012 (in Japanese). Available from: URL: http://www.info.pmda.go.jp/nmdevices/ M201200024/340938000_22400BZX00266000_R100_2.pdf.
- [29] US Food and Drug Administration. Guidance on applications for products comprised of living autologous cells manipulated ex vivi and intendeded for structual repair or reconstruction; availability. Fedral Regist 1996;61:26523-4.
- [30] US Food and Drug Administration. Code of Federal Regulations Title 21, subpart E – accelated approval of biological products for serious or lifethreating illnesses, Sec. 601.40 Scope. 2012. Available from: URL: http:// www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/CFRSearch.cfm? CFRPart=601&showFR=1&subpartNode=21:7.0.1.1.2.5.
- [31] Zaslav K, Cole B, Brewster R, DeBerardino T, Farr J, Fowler P, et al. A prospective study of autologous chondrocyte implantation in patients with failed prior treatment for articular cartilage defect of the knee: results of the Study of the Treatment of Articular Repair (STAR) clinical trial. Am J Sports Med 2009;37:42–55.
- [32] US Food and Drug Administration. Code of Federal Regulation Title 21, subpart H – humanitarian use device 814.100-126. 2012. Available from: URL: http:// www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfcfr/CFRSearch.cfm?CFRPart= 814&showFR=1&subpartNode=21:8.0.1.1117.
- [33] Kantoff PW, Higano CS, Shore ND, Berger ER, Small EJ, Penson DF, et al. Sipuleucel-T immunotherapy for castration-resistant prostate cancer. N Eng J Med 2010;363:411–22.
- [34] Smith SR, Munavalli G, Weiss R, Maslowski JM, Hennegan KP, Novak JM. A multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of autologous fibroblast therapy (Azficel-T) for the treatment of nasolabial fold wrinkles. Dermatol Surg 2012.
- [35] Levine WD. Carticel (autologous cultured chondrocytes) dear healthcare professional letter. Mar 2000. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/ Safety/MedWatch/SafetyInformation/SafetyAlertsforHumanMedicalProducts/ ucm176061.htm.
- [36] US Food and Drug Administration. Appoval letter of dermagraft temporary covering. Dermagraft-TCTM; 1997. Available from: URL: http://www. accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf/p960007.pdf.
- [37] US Food and Drug Administration. ApligrafTM (Graftskin) P950032. 1998. Available from: URL: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/ cfTopic/pma/pma.cfm?num=p950032.
- [38] US Food and Drug Administration. OrCel™ bilayered cellular matrix P010016. 2001. Available from: URL: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/ cdrh/cfdocs/cfTopic/pma/pma.cfm?num=p010016.
- [39] US Food and Drug Administration. DERMAGRAFT[®] P000036. 2001. Available from: URL: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfTopic/pma/ pma.cfm?num=P000036.
- [40] US Food and Drug Administration. GINTUIT (allogeneic cultured keratinocytes and fibroblasts in bovine collagen). 2012. Available from: URL: http://www. fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/CellularGeneTherapyProducts/Approved Products/ucm295465.htm.
- [41] European Parliament and the Council of the European Union. Directive 2001/ 83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001 on the community code relating to medicinal products for human use. Off J Eur Commun 2001;L311:67–128.
- [42] European Parliament and the Council of the European Union. Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 laying down community procedure for the authorisation and supervision of medicinal products for human and veterinary use and establishing a European Medicines Agency. Off J Eur Union 2004;R0726:1–51.
- [43] Yakuji Nippo Ltd. Outline of regulation for medical devices under the pharmaceutical affairs law. Guide to medical device registration in Japan. 7th ed. Tokyo: Yakuji Nippo, Ltd.; 2004. p. 1–19.
- [44] Arai H, Arai S, Takehiko A, Eiichi C, Jiro H, Yoko I, et al. Applications for biologics. In: Susumu N, Hideyuki A, editors. Fundamentals of Japanese Regulatory Affairs. Washington: DC Regulatory Affairs Professionals Society; 2010. p. 245–60.
- [45] Shinzo Abe Prime Minister. Law of Partial Revision of Pharmaceutical Affairs Law (Law of Efficacy and Safety Assurance for Medicinal Products, Medical Devices, etc.). Off Gazette 2013. Extra Edition 225, November 27.
- [46] US Food and Drug Administration. Summary of basis of regulatory basis. 2011. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/ CellularGeneTherapyProducts/ApprovedProducts/UCM262780.pdf.

- [47] National Institute of Biochemical Innovation. Services to promote development of medicinal products for rare diseases. 2012. Available from: URL: http://www.nibio.go.jp/shinko/orphan/english/CCP011.html.
- [48] European Medicines Agency. COMP (The Committee for Orphan Medicinal Products): overview. 2012. Available from: URL: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/about_us/general/general_content_000123. jsp&mid=WC0b01ac0580028e32.
- [49] US Food and Drug Administration. Guidance for industry fast track drug development programs – designation, development, and application review. 2012. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/ GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM079736.pdf.
- [50] Wood JJ, Malek MA, Frassica FJ, Polder JA, Mohan AK, Bloom ET, et al. Autologous cultured chondrocytes: adverse events reported to the United States Food and Drug Administration. J Bone Jt Surg Am 2006;88:503–7.
- [51] Eichler HG, Oye K, Baird LG, Abadie E, Brown J, Drum CL, et al. Adaptive licensing: taking the next step in the evolution of drug approval. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2012;91:426–37.
- [52] US Food and Drug Administration. Proposed approach to relation of cellular and tissue-based products. 1997. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/ downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/Tissue/UCM062601.pdf.
- [53] US Food and Drug Administration. Guidance for industry eligibility determination for donor of human cells, tissues, and cellular and tissue-based products (HCT/Ps). 2007. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/ BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/Tissue/ucm091345.pdf.
- [54] US Food and Drug Administration. Guidance for industry current good tissue practice (CGTP) and additional requirements for manufactures of human cells, tissues, and cellular and tissue-based products (HCT/Ps). 2011. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceCompl ianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/Tissue/UCM285223.pdf.
 [55] Fibrocell Technologies Inc. LAVIV[®] (azficel-T) package insert and patient in-
- [55] Fibrocell Technologies Inc. LAVIV[®] (azficel-T) package insert and patient information sheet. 2011. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/ BiologicsBloodVaccines/CellularGeneTherapyProducts/ApprovedProducts/ UCM260489.pdf.
- [56] Japan Tissue Engineering Cop. Ltd.. Pacakage insert: other surgical/orthopedic materials (autologus cultured epidermis). JACE 2010. Available from: URL, http://www.info.pmda.go.jp/downfiles/md/PDF/340938_ 21900BZZ00039000_A_01_04.pdf.
- [57] Japan Tissue Enginerring Cop. Ltd.. Pacakage Insert: human autologous cells and tissue. JACC 2013. Available from: URL: http://www.info.pmda.go.jp/ downfiles/md/PDF/340938_22400BZX00266000_A_01_01.pdf.
- [58] Genzyme Biosurgery. Patient information (Epicel: cultured epidermal autograftsl[®]). 2007. Available from: URL: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_ docs/pdf/H990002d.pdf.
- [59] European Medicines Agency. Product information, annex III labeling and package leaflet. MACI; 2013. Available from: URL: http://www.ema.europa.eu/ docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/002522/ WC500145886.pdf.
- [60] TiGenix NV. Product Information (ChondroCelect[®]: characterised viable autologous cartilage cells expanded ex vivo expressing specific marker proteins). 2009. Available from: URL: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/ document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/000878/ WC500026031.pdf.
- [61] Dendreon Co.. Package insert and patient information. Provenge; 2010. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/ CellularGeneTherapyProducts/ApprovedProducts/UCM210031.pdf.
- [62] European Medicines Agency. Product information, annex III labelling and pakage leaflet. Provenge; 2013. Available from: URL: http://www.ema.europa. eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/ 002513/WC500151099.pdf.
- [63] Genzyme Tissue Repair. Package insert (Carticel: autologous cultured chondrocytes). 2007. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/ BiologicsBloodVaccines/CellularGeneTherapyProducts/ApprovedProducts/ UCM109339.pdf.
- [64] US Food and Drug Administration. Human cell & tissue products (HCT/P) adverse reaction reporting. 2007. Available from: URL: http://www.fda.gov/ BiologicsBloodVaccines/SafetyAvailability/ReportaProblem/ucm152576.htm.
- [65] EudraVigilance. Mandatory e-reporting essentials. 2012. Available from: URL: http://eudravigilance.ema.europa.eu/human/index.asp.
- [66] Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency. Post-marketing safety. 2012. Available from: URL: http://www.pmda.go.jp/english/service/outline_p.html.