Heliyon 8 (2022) e10887

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Heliyon

journal homepage: www.cell.com/heliyon

Research article

CelPress

Mangrove fruit (*Bruguiera gymnorhiza*) increases circulating GLP-1 and PYY, modulates lipid profiles, and reduces systemic inflammation by improving SCFA levels in obese wistar rats



Helivon

Rinta Amalia^{a,1}, Adriyan Pramono^{a,b,*,1}, Diana Nur Afifah^{a,b}, Etika Ratna Noer^{a,b}, Muflihatul Muniroh^c, Andri Cahyo Kumoro^d

^a Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia

^b Center of Nutrition Research, Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia

^c Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia

^d Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Semarang, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Bruguiera gymnorhiza Dietary fibre Bioactive component The satiety hormone Lipid profile Inflammatory Body weight Obesity

ABSTRACT

Bruguiera gymnorhiza (BG) has potential as a functional food because of its dietary fibre content and bioactive components such as flavonoids and phenolic compounds. However, it is not studied in the context of diet-related disease prevention. In the present study, we aimed to investigate the effects of *Bruguiera gymnorhiza* fruit flour (BGF) on satiety hormone, lipid profile, systemic inflammation, body weight, and caecum SCFA levels in diet-induced obese rats. A total of 28 obese male Wistar rats were divided into four groups. Group 1 (K1) was given a standard chow, group 2 (K2) standard chow + orlistat, group 3 (P1) standard chow + BGF 2 g/200 g BW/day, and group 4 (P2) standard chow + BGF 4 g/200 g BW/day for 28 days. The levels of GLP-1, PYY, total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), HDL, IL-6, TNF-α, and body weight were measured before and after the intervention; meanwhile, the caecum SCFA levels were assessed only after the intervention. In this study, BGF intervention also decreased lipid profiles (TC & TG) (P < 0.000, respectively) and systemic inflammation in a dose-dependent manner. Finally, acetate, propionate, and total SCFA levels were associated with satiety hormones, lipids, and systemic inflammation (P < 0.05). The BGF intervention improved satiety hormone, lipid profile, systemic inflammation, and SCFA levels.

1. Introduction

Obesity is a significant public health problem, and its prevalence continues to rise worldwide [1]. The fundamental cause of obesity is a chronic energy imbalance, in which the energy from food consumption is higher than the expenditure (through physical activity and exercise) [2]. Furthermore, this energy intake and expenditure imbalance may also be influenced by metabolic, genetic, neurobiological, environmental, and psychological factors [3]. Obesity causes insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and several types of cancer [1]. In the current condition of the COVID-19 pandemic, a meta-analysis suggested

that obesity is one of the comorbidities in individuals infected with COVID-19 [4].

The targets for preventing and treating obesity have focused on modifying the dietary intake and increasing physical activity, such as exercise [5]. How diet can affect changes in body weight can be explained through various biological mechanisms, including modulation in composition and diversity of the gut microbiota [6]. A recent comprehensive review indicated that the gut microbiota could produce metabolites, one of which is short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) [7]. Intervention studies have shown that SCFA supplementation can affect the satiety response mediated by digestive hormones such as glucagon-like

* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e10887

Received 21 April 2022; Received in revised form 17 July 2022; Accepted 28 September 2022

E-mail address: adriyanpramono@fk.undip.ac.id (A. Pramono).

¹ These authors equally contributed.

^{2405-8440/© 2022} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

peptide-1 (GLP-1) and peptide YY (PYY) [8], which then have an impact on the regulation of body weight [9]. Furthermore, an *in vitro* study on adipocyte cells of obese individual donors has shown that supplementation of the SCFA mixture can also modulate adipocyte function in lipid metabolism [10]. In addition, a study suggested that SCFA can also modulate adipose function by suppressing levels of interleukine-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) as biomarkers of inflammation (low-grade inflammation) in obesity [11]. These provide proof of evidence of the role of SCFA on metabolic health.

However, it should be noted that the above studies used SCFA supplementation as an intervention molecule. Next to that, practically, SCFA supplementation is not always accessible to most people daily. Therefore, food ingredients that can be fermented by the gut microbiota and produce SCFA metabolites are options. It has been shown that the gut microbiota can also ferment dietary fibre and complex carbohydrates to make SCFA [6]. Therefore, consumption patterns containing complex carbohydrates (including dietary fibre) and bioactive components are a dietary modification strategy to improve metabolic health.

Indonesia has various foods classified as sources of complex carbohydrates, one of which is Bruguiera gymnorhiza (BG) fruit. BG fruit has a relatively high carbohydrate and fibre content which has been widely consumed by people living in coastal areas [12]. For instance, the Tual community in Southeast Maluku Regency usually uses it to replace rice as a source of carbohydrates. While in other countries (Solomon Islands), this fruit is commonly consumed as a vegetable and is widely sold in the market [13]. B. gymnorhiza fruit flour (BGF) contains 86.26 % carbohydrates, 7.5% soluble dietary fibre, and 38.6% soluble dietary fibre [12]. Furthermore, BG fruit contains bioactive components such as flavonoids and phenolic compounds [14]. Allegedly, an elegant review has suggested that phenolic bioactive compounds can also modulate SCFA [15]. To our knowledge, the metabolic effect of BG has not yet been investigated in the obesity model. In this study, we aimed to examine the impact of BGF on satiety hormone, lipid profile, systemic inflammation, caecum SCFA levels, and body weight in obese Wistar rats induced with a high-fat diet.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

The fruit of *B. gymnorhiza* (Figure 1) was collected from the mangrove forest in the coastal area of Mangkang, Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia, in April 2021. They were selected purposively without comparing similar species from other regions. Fruits with green/



Figure 1. B. gymnorrizha fruit.

brownish-green skin and reddish fruit petals were picked. The *B. gymnorhiza* flour production referred to the previous studies [16]. Fresh *B. gymnorhiza* fruits were washed and peeled, then soaked in 30a % (w/w) solution of rice husk ash for 24 h. The ratio between the fruit and the husk ash solution used was 1:4. The soaked *B. gymnorhiza* fruits were rinsed with tap water and then boiled for 30 min. The cooked fruits were drained and sliced horizontally into equal sizes (0.5–1 cm). Next, the sliced *B. gymnorhiza* fruits were dried using a cabinet dryer at a temperature of 70 °C for 12 h, then ground using a grinder. Finally, the milled result was sieved using a 60 mesh siever (250 μ m in diameter).

2.2. Biochemical analyses of BGF

Analysis of proximate (moisture, lipid, protein, ash, and carbohydrate content) and dietary fibre content of *B. gymnorhiza* flour (BGF) was carried out in duplicate using the techniques described by AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists) [17]. Total phenolics and flavonoids were analyzed according to the method described by Ghasemzadeh et al. [18].

Tannin analysis was measured using a Folin-Denis reagent, and the absorbance of the solution was measured with a spectrophotometer (Genesys 20, Thermo Scientific., USA) at 730 nm. For HCN content, distilled water was added to 2 g of BGF up to the mark on the measuring flask. The mixture was then centrifuged, and 5 ml of the alkaline picrate solution was added to 1 ml of the clear filtrate. Next, the solution was then heated in a 100 °C water bath for 30 min. After that, let it cool down and add 4 ml of distilled water. Then, shake the solution until homogeneous using Vortex, and read the absorbance using a spectrophotometer (Genesys 20, Thermo Scientific., USA) at 480 nm. Record the data obtained and then calculate using a standard curve with pure KCN.

2.3. Animals handling and ethical approval

In the current study, male Wistar rats aged 9–10 weeks and weighing 180–200 g were used. The rats were acclimatized for seven days before the experiment. Furthermore, the cage room temperature was set at $22 \,^{\circ}$ C and 12 h of lighting. Rats were fed a standard chow of 15 g per day and unlimited water access during the adaptation period. The standard feed used was commercial Comfeed AD II (Japfa Comfeed Indonesia Ltd, Indonesia), which contains 55–60% carbohydrate, 12% water, 7% ash, 15% crude protein, 3–7% crude fat, 6% crude fibre, 0.9–1.1% calcium, 0.6–0.9% phosphorus, and vitamins.

The rats were housed individually in stainless-steel cages and fed a high-fat, high-sucrose diet (HFSD) for 28 days to induce obesity [19]. The composition of HFSD used was Comfeed AD II (Japfa Comfeed Indonesia Ltd, Indonesia) 45%, lard 21%, and sucrose 34%. The criteria for obesity in rats were calculated based on the Lee Index [20] and classified as obese

Type of biochemical content M	$Man \pm SD$
Moisture (%) 3	3.17 ± 0.02
Lipid (%) 0	0.64 ± 0.19
Protein (%) 4	1.86 ± 0.23
Ash (%) 1	$.64\pm0.05$
Carbohydrate (%) 8	39.71 ± 0.49
Insoluble dietary fibre (%) 2	23.07 ± 0.04
Soluble dietary fibre (%) 0	0.37 ± 0.01
Total dietary fibre (%) 2	23.43 ± 0.04
Total phenolic (%) 1	$.12\pm0.09$
Total flavonoid (%) 9	0.07 ± 0.23
Tannin (%) 2	2.94 ± 0.04
HCN (ppm) 3	80.51 ± 0.10

Values are means \pm standard deviation (SD); ppm: part per million.

R. Amalia et al.

if the results of the Lee Index value were > 300. The formula for Lee Index is as below:

Lee Index = [bodyweight (g)^{1/3}/naso-anal length (cm)] × 10³

This result is in accordance with normal conditions (not under pressure or stress), referring to Heldrich et al. [21]. The research protocol (use of experimental animals) was based on the Declaration of Helsinki, The Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS). Experimental procedures were reviewed and approved by The Ethics Commission of the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Diponegoro, and Dr. Kariadi Hospital Semarang, Indonesia, with the approval number **37/EC/H/FK-UNDIP/III/2021**.

2.4. In vivo studies investigating the effects of BGF on satiety hormones, lipid profiles, systemic inflammation, and caecum SCFA levels

All *in vivo* study procedures were documented according to the ARRIVE (Animal Research: Reporting of *In vivo* Experiments) guidelines [22] (Supplementary Data).

2.4.1. Experimental design

This study calculated the sample based on previous literature, with seven samples per group [23]. Obese rats were then randomly assigned into four groups consisting of seven rats (n = 7) for each group. Each rat stayed in a different cage individually. All groups received 15 g/d/rat of normal chow and drank water freely. The K1 group is a positive control group. Group 2 (K2) was given orlistat (Xenical, Roche) at a dosage of 30 mg/kg body weight (BW)/day. Group 3 (P1) received BGF at a dosage of 2 g/200 g BW/day, while group 4 (P2) received doubled dosage (4 g/200 g BW/day). Orlistat and BGF were administered using an oral feeding tube. The intervention was carried out over 28 days. The normal feed used has an equal composition to the feed used during acclimatization.

The bodyweight (BW) was weighed weekly, and naso-anal length was measured before and after the intervention. The body weight of the rats was measured using a digital scale (Dor Yang JA-P, Shanghai, China). A measuring tape was used to measure the naso-anal length from the tip of the nostril to the anus (end of the tail).

2.4.2. Blood and caecum samples collection

In this study, the primary outcome was measured from blood and caecum samples. Blood samples were taken before and after the intervention period. Blood was drawn from rats anesthetized with ketamine at a dosage of 60 mg/kg BW in the light of day. Glass capillary tubes were used to collect 3 ml of blood directly from the orbital sinus. Afterw, the

blood sample was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 15 min at 4 $^\circ C.$ The supernatant was collected and then stored at -80 $^\circ C$ for further analysis.

Luminal contents of the cecum were collected after the intervention by washing out the luminal part of each sample with phosphate-buffered saline (1X PBS (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10ard mM Na2HPO4, 1.8 mM KH2PO4) pH 7.4).

2.4.3. Biomedical analysis of blood and caecum samples

We analyzed glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), peptide yy (PYY), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) levels using a sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) test kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Elabscience Biotechnology Inc., USA). In addition to that, lipid profiles were analyzed based on the manufacturer's instructions. Lipid profiles were determined using an enzymatic photometric test (DiaSys Diagnostic, Germany).

2.4.3.1. Caecum short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) analysis. The analysis of SCFAs levels was carried out with a gas chromatography-flame ionization detector (GC-FID) method refers to a process described elsewhere [24, 25]. We analyzed the SCFA from caecum samples after the intervention. The total SCFA is the sum of the acetate, propionate, and butyrate concentrations.

2.5. Data management and statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using SPSS (version 25), and graphical figures were designed using GraphPad Prism (version 9). All the values were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). The normality of the data distribution was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Data for bodyweight. GLP-1, lipid profiles, IL6, and TNF- α before and after intervention were normally distributed. Differences between groups (K1, K2, P1, P2) were tested using One-Way ANOVA followed by Post Hoc Bonferroni or Post Hoc Tamhane based on the Levene test (Supplementary Data). Data were analyzed using Paired t-test to determine the effects before and after the intervention. Since the data of PYY was not normally distributed, the Kruskal-Wallis and the Mann-Whitney test were used. The data were tested using a Wilcoxon test to determine the effect before and after intervention on the PYY level. After the intervention, data of acetate, butyrate, propionate, and total SCFA were not normally distributed; therefore, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used, followed by the Mann-Whitney test. Correlation between variables after the intervention was analyzed using rank Spearman's test. P-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Table 2. Baseline data on bodyweight, circulating satiety hormones, lipid profiles, systemic inflammations, SGOT, and SGPT in high fat-high sucrose diet-induced obesity Wistar rats.

Parameter/group	K1	К2	P1	P2	р
Bodyweight (g)	242.57 ± 5.83	242.86 ± 3.98	242.86 ± 3.53	239.14 ± 4.41	0.357
GLP-1 (ρg/ml)	5.93 ± 0.23	6.09 ± 0.32	6.17 ± 0.14	6.12 ± 0.09	0.205
PYY (ρg/ml)	$\textbf{25.90} \pm \textbf{1.11}$	26.15 ± 0.93	25.16 ± 1.65	26.40 ± 0.97	0.273
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	190.08 ± 4.56	188.22 ± 4.09	187.24 ± 6.63	191.95 ± 2.62	0.273
Triglyceride (mg/dl)	134.21 ± 4.49	131.81 ± 1.92	133.16 ± 3.65	134.00 ± 3.04	0.308
HDL (mg/dl)	25.31 ± 1.82	25.21 ± 0.68	25.11 ± 1.94	25.31 ± 1.96	0.995
TG/HDL ratio	5.33 ± 0.45	5.23 ± 0.15	5.32 ± 0.31	5.32 ± 0.46	0.950
IL-6 (ρg/ml)	169.16 ± 9.45	169.58 ± 3.98	172.80 ± 3.99	171.12 ± 2.86	0.627
TNF-α (ρg/ml)	12.31 ± 0.36	12.18 ± 0.39	12.20 ± 0.30	12.02 ± 0.43	0.541
SGOT (U/l)	73.86 ± 2.65	$\textbf{75.73} \pm \textbf{0.69}$	$\textbf{75.23} \pm \textbf{1.92}$	$\textbf{75.67} \pm \textbf{0.65}$	0.167
SGPT (U/l)	$\textbf{37.66} \pm \textbf{1.08}$	37.32 ± 1.32	$\textbf{37.93} \pm \textbf{0.94}$	$\textbf{37.87} \pm \textbf{1.05}$	0.722

Data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) and measured before the treatment period; K1: positive control group (normal diet); K2: negative control group (normal diet + orlistat 30 mg/kg BW/d); P1: treatment group 1 (normal diet + BGF 2 g/200 g BW/d); P2: treatment group 2 (normal diet + BGF 4 g/200 g BW/d); n: 7; GLP-1: glucagon-like peptide-1; PYY: peptide yy; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; TG: triglyseride; IL-6: interleukin-6; TNF- α : tumor necrosis factor alpha; SGOT: serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase; SGPT: serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase; p < 0.05 was considered significant with One Way ANOVA test.

Table 3. Effect of BGF on bodyweight, GLP-1, PYY, Lipid Profiles, IL-6, and TNF-α

Group/H	Parameter	Bodyweight (g)	GLP-1 (ρg/ml)	PYY (ρg/ml)	Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	Triglyceride (mg/dl)	HDL (mg/dl)	TG/HDL ratio	IL-6 (ρg/ml)	TNF-α (ρg/ml)
K1	Pre	242.57 ± 5.83	5.93 ± 0.23	$\textbf{25.90} \pm \textbf{1.11}$	190.08 ± 4.56	134.20 ± 4.48	25.31 ± 1.82	5.32 ± 0.45	169.16 ± 9.45	12.31 ± 0.36
	Post	291.71 ± 7.41	5.90 ± 0.28	25.01 ± 1.19	212.18 ± 3.99	138.38 ± 5.92	$\textbf{23.19} \pm \textbf{2.06}$	$\textbf{6.01} \pm \textbf{0.67}$	171.54 ± 9.01	12.53 ± 0.35
	р	< 0.001*	0.778	<0.001*	<0.001*	0.032**	[°] 0.001*	[°] 0.001*	0.004*	<0.001*
К2	Pre	242.86 ± 3.98	$\textbf{6.09} \pm \textbf{0.32}$	26.15 ± 0.93	188.21 ± 4.09	131.80 ± 1.92	25.21 ± 0.68	5.23 ± 0.15	169.58 ± 3.98	12.18 ± 0.39
	Post	267.71 ± 5.69	19.98 ± 0.29	510.99 ± 26.20	103.40 ± 4.08	$\textbf{76.33} \pm \textbf{3.52}$	89.94 ± 2.34	$\textbf{0.89} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	$\textbf{73.97} \pm \textbf{2.53}$	5.97 ± 0.24
	р	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	< 0.001*
P1	Pre	242.86 ± 3.53	$\textbf{6.17} \pm \textbf{0.14}$	25.16 ± 1.65	187.24 ± 6.62	133.16 ± 3.64	25.11 ± 1.94	5.32 ± 0.31	172.80 ± 3.99	12.20 ± 0.30
	Post	275.00 ± 4.08	12.03 ± 0.22	344.21 ± 16.14	146.13 ± 6.95	110.66 ± 2.42	$\textbf{46.49} \pm \textbf{3.72}$	2.39 ± 0.21	103.47 ± 2.29	9.36 ± 0.39
	р	<0.001*	<0.001*	0.018	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*	<0.001*
P2	Pre	239.14 ± 4.41	$\textbf{6.12} \pm \textbf{0.09}$	26.40 ± 0.97	191.95 ± 2.62	133.99 ± 3.03	25.31 ± 1.95	5.32 ± 0.46	171.12 ± 2.86	12.02 ± 0.43
	Post	263.00 ± 4.62	14.67 ± 0.34	413.72 ± 23.89	109.13 ± 3.35	$\textbf{84.86} \pm \textbf{2.42}$	$\textbf{74.06} \pm \textbf{4.43}$	1.15 ± 0.06	81.52 ± 4.02	$\textbf{6.19} \pm \textbf{0.29}$
	D	< 0.001*	< 0.001*	< 0.001*	< 0.001*	< 0.001*	< 0.001*	< 0.001*	< 0.001*	< 0.001*

Data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) and measured before and after the treatment period; K1: positive control group (normal diet); K2: negative control group (normal diet + orlistat 30 mg/kg BW/d); P1: treatment group 1 (normal diet + BGF 2 g/200 g BW/d); P2: treatment group 2 (normal diet + BGF 4 g/200 g BW/d); n: 7; GLP-1: glucagon-like peptide-1; PYY: peptide yy; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; TG: triglyceride; IL-6: interleukin-6; TNF- α : tumor necrosis factor alpha; *p < 0.001, **p < 0.05 were considered significant with Paired t-test, [□]Wilcoxon test.

3. Results

3.1. Biochemical content of BGF.

The proximate analysis results showed that BGF contains 3.17% moisture, 0.64% lipid, 4.86% protein, 1.64% ash, and 89.71% carbohydrate (Table 1). BGF also contains 2.94% tannins and 30.51 ppm HCN, which are considered anti-nutrients in excessive amounts. These two components of BGF were within acceptable limits for human consumption since the Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) guideline for tannin levels in meals is 560 mg/kg BW/day. Meanwhile, the HCN concentration in food should not exceed 50 ppm, as the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) suggested. Moreover, the content of dietary fibre, total phenol, and total flavonoid in BGF were 23.43%, 1.12%, and 9.07%, respectively.

(A) GLP-1 level

3.2. Circulating GLP-1, PYY, lipid profiles and inflammatory markers before the treatment period.

A total of 28 diet-induced obesity Wistar rats were observed (7 rats for each group). No significant differences were observed in the baseline data for all parameters (Table 2).

3.3. Effect of BGF intervention on circulating satiety hormones

The BGF intervention significantly increased circulating GLP-1 levels in K2, P1, and P2 groups from baseline, but no effect was observed in K1 group (Table 3). Furthermore, Figure 2 illustrates circulating GLP-1 level (A) which was significantly different between the K2, P1, and P2 groups compared to the K1 group [(K2) 19.98 \pm 0.29 pg/ml, (P1) 12.03 \pm 0.22



(B) PYY level

Figure 2. Effect of BGF intervention on circulating GLP-1 (A) and PYY (B) level in diet-induced obesity rats. Values were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD); K1: positive control group (normal diet); K2: negative control group (normal diet + orlistat 30 mg/kg BW/d); P1: treatment group 1 (normal diet + BGF 2 g/200 g BW/d); P2: treatment group 2 (normal diet + BGF 4 g/200 g BW/d); n: 7; a: p < 0.001 vs K1; b: p < 0.001 vs K2; c: p < 0.001 vs P1; p < 0.05 was considered significant with One Way ANOVA test and Bonferroni post hoc test.

(A) Total cholesterol level

а а 200 250 Plasma total cholesterol level (mg/ dl) b b Plasma triglyceride level (mg/ dl) 200 150 с c 150 100 100 50 50 0 0 **K2 K1 K2 P1 P2 K1 P1 P2** (D) TG/HDL ratio (C) HDL level a 8 а 100 b с 80 Plasma HDL level (mg/ dl) 6 b **FG/HDL** ratio 60 C 4 40 2 20 0 0 **K1 K2 P1 P2** K1 K2 **P1 P2**

(B) Triglyceride level

Figure 3. Effect of BGF intervention on lipid profiles [(A) Total Cholesterol, (B) Triglyceride, (C) HDL Cholesterol, and (D) TG/HDL ratio] in diet-induced obesity rats. Values were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD); K1: positive control group (normal diet); K2: negative control group (normal diet + orlistat 30 mg/kg BW/d); P1: treatment group 1 (normal diet + BGF 2 g/200 g BW/d); P2: treatment group 2 (normal diet + BGF 4 g/200 g BW/d); n: 7; a: p < 0.001 vs K1; b: p < 0.001 vs K2; c: p < 0.001 vs P1; p < 0.05 was considered significant with One Way ANOVA test and Tamhane post hoc test for all parameters.

 ρ g/ml, (P2) 14.67 \pm 0.34 ρ g/ml vs. (K1) 5.90 \pm 0.28 ρ g/ml; P < 0.000]. As expected, the GLP-1 level from K2 (orlistat group) was the highest compared to the P1 and P2 groups. Of interest, the GLP-1 level from the P2 group (BGF 4g) was significantly higher as compared to the P1 (BGF 2g) (P < 0.000, Figure 2A).

Similarly, circulating PYY increased significantly in K2, P1, and P2 groups after the BGF intervention. Meanwhile, circulating PYY decreased in the K1 group (Table 3). There was a significant difference in PYY levels after the intervention between (K2) $510.99 \pm 2.62 \text{ pg/ml}$, (P1) $344.21 \pm 1.61 \text{ pg/ml}$, (P2) $413.72 \pm 2.39 \text{ pg/ml}$ vs (K1) $25.02 \pm 1.19 \text{ pg/ml}$,

respectively (P < 0.000). The concentration of PYY level in group K2 was significantly higher as compared to P1 and P2 groups, as expected (P < 0.000, Figure 2B). Similar to the GLP-1 level, the PYY level was significantly higher in the P2 group (BGF 4g) than in the P1 group (BGF 2g), which may suggest a dose-dependent effect on the BGF intervention.

3.4. Effect of BGF intervention on lipid profiles

We investigated the effect of BGF intervention on total cholesterol, triglyceride (TG), HDL cholesterol, and TG/HDL ratio. Compared to



Figure 4. Effect of BGF intervention on lipid profiles (A) IL-6 and (B) TNF- α in diet-induced obesity rats. Values were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD); K1: positive control group (normal diet); K2: negative control group (normal diet + orlistat 30 mg/kg BW/d); P1: treatment group 1 (normal diet + BGF 2 g/200 g BW/d); P2: treatment group 2 (normal diet + BGF 4 g/200 g BW/d); n: 7; a: p < 0.001 vs K1; b: p < 0.001 vs K2; c: p < 0.001 vs P1; p < 0.05 was considered significant with One Way ANOVA test with Tamhane post hoc test for IL-6 and Bonferroni post hoc for TNF- α .

baseline levels, all lipid parameters significantly declined in K2 (orlistat), P1 (BGF 2g), and P2(BGF 4g) (Table 3). In contrast, the K1 group showed a significant drop in total cholesterol, TG, TG/HDL ratio, and HDL compared to the baseline.

After the intervention, total cholesterol, TG, and TG/HDL ratio in the K2, P1 and P2 groups were significantly lower from those in the K1 group [total cholesterol 103.40 \pm 4.08 mg/dl, 146.14 \pm 6.95 mg/dl, 109.13 \pm 3.36 mg/dl vs. 212.18 \pm 4.00 mg/dl; triglyceride 76.33 \pm 3.52 mg/dl, 110.66 \pm 2.42 mg/dl, 84.86 \pm 2.43 mg/dl vs. 138.38 \pm 5.92 mg/dl; TG/HDL ratio 0.89 \pm 0.04, 2.39 \pm 0.21, 1.15 \pm 0.06 vs. 6.01 \pm 0.68, respectively; P < 0.000; Figure 3A,B,D]. In addition, HDL cholesterol was significantly higher in the K2, P1, and P2 vs K1 group [85.94 \pm 2.35 mg/dl, 46.50 \pm 3.72 mg/dl, 74.06 \pm 4.43 mg/dl vs 23.19 \pm 2.07 mg/dl, P < 0.001, Figure 3C].

Interestingly, the total cholesterol level in the P2 group (BGF 4g) was not different from the K2 group (orlistat) and was significantly lower than in the P1 group (BGF 2g). The TG/HDL ratio of the P2 group was considerably lower than the P1 group and comparable to the K2 group (Figure 3A, D). These findings imply that the BGF reduced total cholesterol level and TG/HDL ratio as effectively as orlistat in a dose-dependent manner on HFSD diet-induced obese rats.

3.5. Effect of BGF intervention on systemic inflammations

In this *in vivo* study, the effects of BGF intervention on IL6 and TNF- α levels were determined. The systemic IL6 and TNF- α levels declined after the intervention in the K2, P1, and P2 groups compared to the baseline (Table 3). Meanwhile, the K1 group was inclined compared to baseline levels.

We found that IL-6 and TNF- α levels of the P2 group were significantly lower as compared to the K1 group [IL-6 81.52 \pm 4.02 ρ g/ml vs. 171.54 \pm 9.01 ρ g/ml; TNF- α 6.19 \pm 0.29 ρ g/ml vs. 12.53 \pm 0.35 ρ g/ml, respectively; Figure 4A, 4B]. The systemic inflammation of the P2 group was also substantially lower compared to the P1 group (IL-6 103.47 \pm 2.29 ρ g/ml; TNF- α 9.36 \pm 0.39 ρ g/ml) despite being slightly higher when compared to the K2 group (IL-6 73.97 \pm 2.53 ρ g/ml; TNF- α 5.97 \pm 0.24

 $\rho g/ml$) (Figure 4A, B). These findings suggested that the BGF intervention effect on systemic inflammation is likely dose-dependent.

3.6. Effect of BGF intervention on caecum SCFAs concentration

Acetate, propionate, butyrate, and total SCFA concentrations in K2, P1, and P2 groups were significantly higher from those in the K1 group [(A) acetate $26.49 \pm 5.78 \text{ mmol/kg}$, $25.57 \pm 3.49 \text{ mmol/kg}$, $38.47 \pm 4.39 \text{ mmol/kg}$ vs. $7.19 \pm 1.45 \text{ mmol/kg}$; (B) butyrate $9.61 \pm 1.87 \text{ mmol/kg}$, $5.30 \pm 0.86 \text{ mmol/kg}$, $8.39 \pm 1.05 \text{ mmol/kg}$ vs. $2.94 \pm 0.51 \text{ mmol/kg}$; (C) propionate $24.38 \pm 5.94 \text{ mmol/kg}$, $21.73 \pm 2.73 \text{ mmol/kg}$, $33.90 \pm 4.36 \text{ mmol/kg}$ vs. $6.73 \pm 1.38 \text{ mmol/kg}$; (D) total SCFA $60.48 \pm 13.44 \text{ mmol/kg}$, $52.60 \pm 6.99 \text{ mmol/kg}$, $80.75 \pm 9.67 \text{ mmol/kg}$ vs. $16.86 \pm 3.27 \text{ mmol/kg}$, respectively, Figure 5A–D]. The P2 group had considerably higher acetate, propionate, and total SCFA concentrations than the K2 and P1 groups. Surprisingly, the P2 group caecum butyrate was comparable to the K2 group (p = 0.065). These findings suggested that BGF treatment modulates gut microbiota metabolites acetate, butyrate, and propionate.

3.7. Effect of BGF intervention on body weight

There was a statistically significant difference in body weight of the K2, P1, and P2 groups compared to the K1 group (267.71 ± 5.69 g, 275.00 ± 4.08 g, 263.00 ± 4.62 g vs. 291.71 ± 7.41 g, respectively). The average body weight in the P2 group differed considerably from those in the P1 group. A slope trend could be seen in the K2 and P2 groups after a week of BGF treatment despite a rise in body weight. In comparison to the other groups, the P2 group gained the least weight (Figure 6A and B).

3.8. Correlation between variables after BGF intervention

The Spearman test revealed that following the BGF intervention, SCFA statistically correlates with all other variables. SCFA levels were positively associated with GLP-1, PYY, and HDL levels. On the other hand, there was a negative relationship between SCFA levels and total



Figure 5. Effect of BGF intervention on caecum SCFAs concentration [(A) Acetate, (B) Butyrate, (C) Propionate, and (D) Total SCFA in diet-induced obesity rats. Values were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD); K1: positive control group (normal diet); K2: negative control group (normal diet + orlistat 30 mg/kg BW/d); P1: treatment group 1 (normal diet + BGF 2 g/200 g BW/d); P2: treatment group 2 (normal diet + BGF 4 g/200 g BW/d); n: 7; a: p < 0.001 vs K1; b: p < 0.001 vs K2; c: p < 0.001 vs P1; p < 0.05 was considered significant with Kruskal Wallis test, followed with Mann Whitney test for all parameters.

cholesterol, triglycerides, TG/HDL ratio, IL-6, TNF- α , and body weight (Table 4). These findings suggested SCFAs may play a role in metabolic health regulation of obesity.

4. Discussion

In this study, administering BGF containing dietary fibre, flavonoid, and phenolic compounds increased circulating satiety hormone levels and reduced body weight. Furthermore, we demonstrated a dosedependent manner of decreased lipid profiles (total cholesterol, TG, and TG/HDL ratio) and inflammatory markers (IL6 and TNF- α) after the BGF intervention. Moreover, the BGF intervention increased total SCFA levels, acetate, butyrate, and propionate levels. These SCFA levels substantially correlated with those metabolic parameters after the intervention. Thus, the present results revealed that probably, BGF affects metabolic health in obesity by modulating the gut microbiota induce-SCFA production.

This study determined that the BGF product has a higher fibre and flavonoid content. Some studies have reported that dietary fibre, flavonoids, and phenols impact metabolic health and obesity [15, 26, 27, 28]. Dietary fibres are essential for intestinal health. They increase stool bulk and decrease transit time, enhancing digestion and absorption. The indigenous microbiota also ferments fibre and nondigestible carbohydrates such as resistant starch, which results in short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) production, mainly acetate, propionate, and butyrate [6]. According to the findings of this study, SCFA concentrations were higher in the group that received the BGF in a dose-dependent manner. This finding is consistent with previous research indicating that dietary fibre from various food sources or supplements might increase SCFA synthesis in rats and humans [29, 30, 31, 32].

(A) Bodyweight change after intervention

К1 К2

P1

P2

(B) Bodyweight trend during intervention



Figure 6. Effect of BGF intervention on bodyweight in diet-induced obesity rats. Values were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD); K1: positive control group (normal diet); K2: negative control group (normal diet + orlistat 30 mg/kg BW/d); P1: treatment group 1 (normal diet + BGF 2 g/200 g BW/d); P2: treatment group 2 (normal diet + BGF 4 g/200 g BW/d); n: 7; a: p < 0.05 vs K1; b: p < 0.05 vs K2; c: p < 0.05 vs P1; p < 0.05 was considered significant with One Way ANOVA test, followed with Bonferroni post hoc test.

Interestingly, bioactive components such as flavonoids and polyphenols have been shown to promote the synthesis of SCFAs by colonic bacteria. This mechanism either accelerates the development of SCFAproducing bacteria (prebiotic effect) or stimulates their metabolic processes [15]. A study utilizing flavonoid-rich herbal plant extracts demonstrated that it is possible to increase the concentration of cecum SCFA in mice fed a high-fat, high-sugar (HFSD) diet [33].

It is suggested that the SCFA might interact with G-protein-coupled receptors (GPR41/FFAR3 and GPR43/FFAR2) to alter tissue-specific lipid metabolism and energy harvesting. Several in vitro experiments using human and rodent intestinal cell lines found that SCFA can promote GLP-1 and PYY production via G-protein-coupled receptor activation (GPR41 and GPR43) [34]. In addition, an increased adipose tissue function by SCFA metabolites has been linked to various pathways, including metabolic flux regulation and satiety signalling. SCFA signalling via FFAR2 and FFAR3 promotes GLP-1 release from intestinal cells and initiates gluconeogenesis in the first pathway [35]. Intestinal gluconeogenesis is a metabolic mechanism that helps to double insulin sensitivity and reduce appetite. These mechanistic findings support research in humans and rats that has demonstrated modulation of PYY production, improved satiety, and decreased energy intake by gut microbiota-derived metabolites SCFA [36].

The SCFA, acetate, and butyrate increase intestinal GLP-1 secretion and, to a minor extent, increase intestinal PYY secretion [37]. In particular, propionate can bind to receptors on the surface of colonic epithelial cells. The anorexigenic peptides GLP-1 and PYY are secreted when these receptors are activated. GLP-1 and PYY releases will enhance satiety in the host [38]. Previous animal studies have shown that dietary fibre consumption can promote enteroendocrine L cell differentiation, increasing luminal and plasma PYY and GLP-1 levels [39, 40]. As shown in humans, prebiotic supplementation (16 g/day) has enhanced plasma PYY and GLP-1 levels, decreased appetite, and improved glycemic sensitivity [41]. Similarly, dietary supplementation with inulin-type fructan up to 21 g/day in obese adults might increase plasma PYY and suppress ghrelin production, a marker related to reduced food consumption, fat accumulation, and weight gain [42].

Furthermore, we found that the BGF intervention has decreased TG/ HDL ratio in this study. Recently it has been demonstrated that TG/HDL ratio was positively correlated with insulin resistance in humans [43]. SCFA may affect the adipose tissue lipid buffering capacity by affecting intracellular lipolysis, the hydrolysis process of stored triacylglycerol into glycerol and fatty acids, and may thereby affect circulating lipid concentrations [10]. An increased level of SCFA may partly explain the

Parameter	Acetate	Acetate		Propionate		Butyrate		Total SCFA	
	r	р	r	р	r	р	r	р	
GLP-1	0.558	0.006*	0.576	0.004*	0.826	<0.001*	0.626	0.001*	
РҮҮ	0.548	0.007*	0.575	0.004*	0.850	< 0.001*	0.617	0.002*	
Total cholesterol	-0.674	<0.001*	-0.681	<0.001*	-0.909	<0.001*	-0.727	< 0.001;	
Triglyceride	-0.590	0.003*	-0.623	0.002*	-0.882	<0.001*	-0.665	0.001*	
HDL	0.601	0.002*	0.628	0.001*	0.859	<0.001*	0.665	0.001*	
TG/HDL ratio	-0.608	0.002*	-0.631	0.001*	-0.874	<0.001*	-0.671	< 0.001;	
IL-6	-0.586	0.003*	-0.618	0.002*	-0.852	<0.001*	-0.651	0.001*	
TNF-α	-0.652	0.001*	-0.662	0.001*	-0.838	<0.001*	-0.698	< 0.001;	
Bodyweight	-0.694	<0.001*	-0.694	<0.001*	-0.718	<0.001*	-0.714	< 0.001	

Table 4. Spearman's correlation between blood biomarkers and caecum SCFA after BGF intervention.

GLP-1: glucagon-like peptide-1; PYY: peptide yy; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; TG: triglyceride; IL-6: interleukin-6; TNF- α : tumor necrosis factor-alpha; SCFA: short-chain fatty acid; *: statistically significant at p < 0.05.

TG/HDL ratio decrease. This finding supports a previous study showing an improvement in insulin sensitivity following dietary fibre intervention in humans. The SCFA, particularly acetate and propionate, may improve adipose tissue lipid buffering by inhibiting intracellular lipolysis and increasing adipogenesis [44].

Obesity is characterized by low-grade chronic inflammation. Inflammatory markers such as IL-6 and TNF- α are increased in obesity [45]. An increased fat accumulation, followed by adipocyte remodelling, is often accompanied by elevation of IL6 and TNF- α secreted by adipose tissue [46]. As markedly by both IL6 and TNF- α levels, chronic low-grade inflammation is often associated with obesity and insulin resistance [47]. In a review, it was found that SCFA interacts with G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR; GPR41, GPR43, and GPR109A) to enhance immunity [48]. In vivo data show that acute rectal and intravenous administration of sodium acetate (compared to saline) reduces plasma TNF- α levels in obese women [49]. Consistent with these results, in vitro studies show that SCFA can also decrease IL-6 and TNF-α by suppressing local inflammation in intestinal tissues [50, 51]. In a human observational study, dietary fibre intake was associated with gut microbiota composition and lower inflammation. That cohort was conducted in relatively healthy men [52]. Despite the beneficial effects of SCFA on the regulation of immune function, the role of dietary fibre on inflammation remains to be investigated in a well-controlled clinical trial study.

This present study has certain limitations. First, we did not analyze the type of dietary fibre in BGF. Next, we did not perform the transcriptomic analysis at tissue levels and the gut microbiota, which may benefit from observing the underlying mechanisms. Finally, the high dosage of flour used to transpose into human study may need to be recalculated due to the significant amount of flour they will consume. Nevertheless, we have performed extensive analysis concerning systemic metabolic biomarkers and gut-derived metabolite caecum SCFAs.

5. Conclusions

In this *in vivo study* in Wistar rats induced obesity, the BGF intervention increases circulating GLP-1 and PYY, decreases lipid profiles, reduces systemic inflammations, and increases SCFA levels in a dosedependent manner. Furthermore, BGF intervention slightly decreases body weight gain in diet-induced obesity Wistar rats. A further mechanistic study is needed to unravel underlying mechanisms. These results suggest that BGF might be considered a dietary fibre source (a prebiotic functional food) to prevent and control obesity; therefore, more wellcontrolled human studies may warrant investigation.

Declarations

Author contribution statement

Rinta Amalia; Adriyan Pramono: Conceived and designed the experiments; Performed the experiments; Analyzed and interpreted the data; Contributed reagents, materials, analysis tools or data; Wrote the paper.

Diana Nur Afifah: Analyzed and interpreted the data.

Etika Ratna Noer; Muflihatul Muniroh; Andri Cahyo Kumoro: Conceived and designed the experiments; Analyzed and interpreted the data.

Funding statement

This work was partly supported by a research grant from the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia [Grant Number: 1656/UN.7.5.4.2.1/PP/2021].

Data availability statement

Data included in article/supp. material/referenced in article.

Declaration of interest's statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Additional information

No additional information is available for this paper.

Acknowledgements

We thank to the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Diponegoro for their support. In addition, we also thank all staffs for their technical assistance under COVID-19 circumstances.

References

- M. Blüher, Obesity: global epidemiology and pathogenesis, Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 15 (5) (2019) 288–298.
- [2] T. Bhurosy, R. Jeewon, Overweight and obesity epidemic in developing countries: a problem with diet, physical activity, or socioeconomic status? Sci. World J. 2014 (2014), 964236–964236.
- [3] D. Albuquerque, C. Nóbrega, L. Manco, C. Padez, The contribution of genetics and environment to obesity, Br. Med. Bull. 123 (1) (2017) 159–173.
- [4] B.M. Popkin, S. Du, W.D. Green, M.A. Beck, T. Algaith, C.H. Herbst, R.F. Alsukait, M. Alluhidan, N. Alazemi, M. Shekar, Individuals with obesity and COVID-19: a global perspective on the epidemiology and biological relationships, Obes. Rev. 21 (11) (2020) 1–17.
- [5] A. Kontsevaya, O. Drapkina, B. Gorniy, A. Kalinina, D. Komkov, Y. Balanova, A. Bunova, D. Kushunina, A. Antsiferova, A. Myrzamatova, et al., Protocol and Rationale for the Russian-Japanese "Tackle obesity and metabolic syndrome outcome by diet, activities and checking body weight intervention" (RJ-TOMODACHI) randomized controlled trial, Circ. Rep. 2 (11) (2020) 695–700.
- [6] E. Klingbeil, C.B. de La Serre, Microbiota modulation by eating patterns and diet composition: impact on food intake, Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 315 (6) (2018) R1254–R1260.
- [7] H-s Ejtahed, P. Angoorani, A-r Soroush, S. Hasani-ranjbar, Gut microbiota-derived metabolites in obesity : a systematic review, Biosci. Microbiota Food Health 39 (3) (2020) 65–76.
- [8] E.S. Chambers, A. Viardot, A. Psichas, D.J. Morrison, K.G. Murphy, S.E.K. Zacvarghese, K. Macdougall, T. Preston, C. Tedford, G.S. Finlayson, et al., Effects of targeted delivery of propionate to the human colon on appetite regulation, body weight maintenance and adiposity in overweight adults, Gut 64 (11) (2015) 1744–1754.
- [9] E.E. Canfora, J.W. Jocken, E.E. Blaak, Short-chain fatty acids in control of body weight and insulin sensitivity, Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 11 (10) (2015) 577–591.
- [10] J.W.E. Jocken, M.A.G. Hernández, N.T.H. Hoebers, C.M. van der Beek, Y.P.G. Essers, E.E. Blaak, E.E. Canfora, Short-chain fatty acids differentially affect intracellular lipolysis in a human white adipocyte model, Front. Endocrinol. 8 (2018) 1–9.
- [11] Y. Lu, C. Fan, P. Li, Y. Lu, X. Chang, K. Qi, Short chain fatty acids prevent high-fatdiet-induced obesity in mice by regulating G protein-coupled receptors and gut microbiota, Sci. Rep. 6 (1) (2016), 37589.
- [12] D.N. Afifah, A.A.M. Alamsyah, A. Huwaida, C. Nissa, H.S. Wijayanti, R. Purwanti, V.N. Hastuti, D.N. Sugianto, Cookies made from mangrove (Bruquiera gymnorhiza) fruit and soybean (Glycine max) flour, Food Res. (Suppl 3) (2020) 24–36.
- [13] U. Amalia, Y.S. Darmanto, Sumardianto, L. Rianingsih, Chemical characteristics of fish Nugget with mangrove fruit flour substitution, Aquatic Procedia 7 (2016) 265–270.
- [14] M. Haq, W. Sani, A.B.M.S. Hossain, R.M. Taha, K.M. Monneruzzaman, Total phenolic contents, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of Bruguiera gymnorhiza, J. Med. Plants Res. 5 (17) (2011) 4112–4118.
- [15] M. Van Hul, P.D. Cani, Targeting carbohydrates and polyphenols for a healthy microbiome and healthy weight, Curr. Nutr. Rep. 8 (4) (2019) 307–316.
- [16] Jariyah, S.B. Widjanarko, Yunianta, T. Estiasih, P.A. Sopade, Pasting properties mixtures of mangrove fruit flour (Sonneratia caseolaris) and starches, Int. Food Res. J. 21 (6) (2014) 2161–2167.
- [17] AOAC, Official Method of Analysis, Association of Official Analytical Chemistry International, Gaitthersburg, 2005.
- [18] A. Ghasemzadeh, H.Z.E. Jaafar, A. Rahmat, Antioxidant activities, total phenolics and flavonoids content in two varieties of Malaysia young ginger (Zingiber officinale Roscoe), Molecules 15 (6) (2010) 4324–4333.
- [19] K.A. Pennington, N. van der Walt, K.E. Pollock, O.O. Talton, L.C. Schulz, Effects of acute exposure to a high-fat, high-sucrose diet on gestational glucose tolerance and subsequent maternal health in mice, Biol. Reprod. 96 (2) (2017) 435–445.
- [20] S.-I. Lee, J.-W. Kim, Y.-K. Lee, S.-H. Yang, I.-A. Lee, J.-W. Suh, S.-D. Kim, Antiobesity effect of Monascus pilosus Mycelial extract in high fat diet-induced obese rats [Internet], J. Appl. Biol. Chem. Korean Soc. Appl. Biol. Chem. 54 (2011) 197–205.
- [21] H.J. Hedrich, H. Mossmann, W. Nicklas, Chapter 24 housing and maintenance, in: H.J. Hedrich, G. Bullock (Eds.), The Laboratory Mouse, edn., Academic Press, London, 2004, pp. 395–408.

R. Amalia et al.

- [23] W.N. Arifin, W.M. Zahiruddin, Sample size calculation in animal studies using Resource equation Approach, Malays. J. Med. Sci. : MJMS 24 (5) (2017) 101–105.
- [24] W.R. Ribeiro, M.A.R. Vinolo, L.A. Calixto, C.M. Ferreira, Use of gas chromatography to quantify short chain fatty acids in the serum, colonic luminal content and feces of mice, Bio Protoc. 8 (22) (2018), e3089.
- [25] S.I. Choi, J.H. Son, N. Kim, Y.S. Kim, R.H. Nam, J.H. Park, C.H. Song, J.E. Yu, D.H. Lee, K. Yoon, et al., Changes in cecal microbiota and short-chain fatty acid during lifespan of the rat, J. Neurogastroenterol. Motil. 27 (1) (2021) 134–146.
- [26] L. Zhuo-si, Z. Jia-wen, M. Yuki, H. Takashi, T. Sugawara, Anti-obesity properties of the dietary green alga, codium cylindricum, in high-fat diet-induced obese mice, J. Nutr. Sci. Vitaminol. 64 (5) (2018) 347–356.
- [27] X. Li, L. Yang, M. Xu, G. Qiao, C. Li, L. Lin, G. Zheng, Smilax China L. polyphenols alleviates obesity and inflammation by modulating gut microbiota in high fat/high sucrose diet-fed C57BL/6J mice, J. Funct.Foods 77 (2021), 104332–104332.
- [28] X. Li, L. Chu, S. Liu, W. Zhang, L. Lin, G. Zheng, Smilax China L. flavonoid alleviates HFHS-induced inflammation by regulating the gut-liver axis in mice, Phytomedicine (95) (2022, Jan), 153728–153728.
- [29] H-y Liu, T.B. Walden, D. Cai, D. Ahl, S. Bertilsson, M. Phillipson, M. Nyman, L. Holm, Dietary fibre in bilberry ameliorates pre-obesity events in rats by regulating lipid depot, cecal short-chain fatty acid formation and microbiota composition, Nutrients 11 (6) (2019) 1–17.
- [30] J. Miyamoto, K. Watanabe, S. Taira, M. Kasubuchi, X. Li, J. Irie, H. Itoh, I. Kimura, Barley β-glucan improves metabolic condition via short-chain fatty acids produced by gut microbial fermentation in high fat diet fed mice, PLoS One 13 (4) (2018) 1–13.
- [31] Y. Yao, L. Yan, H. Chen, N. Wu, W. Wang, D. Wang, Cyclocarya paliurus polysaccharides alleviate type 2 diabetic symptoms by modulating gut microbiota and short-chain fatty acids, Phytomedicine 77 (2020), 153268–153268.
- [32] J. Tarini, T.M.S. Wolever, The fermentable fibre inulin increases postprandial serum short-chain fatty acids and reduces free-fatty acids and ghrelin in healthy subjects, Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metabol. 35 (1) (2010) 9–16.
- [33] X. Li, L. Yang, J. Li, L. Lin, G. Zheng, A flavonoid-rich Smilax China L. extract prevents obesity by upregulating the adiponectin-receptor/AMPK signalling pathway and modulating the gut microbiota in mice, Food Funct. 12 (13) (2021) 5862–5875.
- [34] E.S. Chambers, C.S. Byrne, D.J. Morrison, K.G. Murphy, T. Preston, C. Tedford, I. Garcia-Perez, S. Fountana, J.I. Serrano-Contreras, E. Holmes, et al., Dietary supplementation with inulin-propionate ester or inulin improves insulin sensitivity in adults with overweight and obesity with distinct effects on the gut microbiota, plasma metabolome and systemic inflammatory responses: a randomized crossover, Gut 68 (8) (2019) 1430–1438.
- [35] E.E. Canfora, R.C.R. Meex, K. Venema, E.E. Blaak, Gut microbial metabolites in obesity, NAFLD and T2DM, Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 15 (5) (2019) 261–273.
- [36] M. Green, K. Arora, S. Prakash, Microbial medicine: prebiotic and probiotic functional foods to target obesity and metabolic syndrome, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21 (8) (2020) 1–28.
- [37] C.B. Christiansen, M.B.N. Gabe, B. Svendsen, L.O. Dragsted, M.M. Rosenkilde, J.J. Holst, The impact of short-chain fatty acids on GLP-1 and PYY secretion from

the isolated perfused rat colon, Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol. 315 (1) (2018) G53–g65.

- [38] A. Psichas, M.L. Sleeth, K.G. Murphy, L. Brooks, G.A. Bewick, A.C. Hanyaloglu, M.A. Ghatei, S.R. Bloom, G. Frost, The short chain fatty acid propionate stimulates GLP-1 and PYY secretion via free fatty acid receptor 2 in rodents, Int. J. Obes. 39 (3) (2015) 424–429.
- [39] T. Aranias, A. Grosfeld, C. Poitou, A.A. Omar, M. Le Gall, S. Miquel, K. Garbin, A. Ribeiro, J.-L. Bouillot, A. Bado, et al., Lipid-rich diet enhances L-cell density in obese subjects and in mice through improved L-cell differentiation, J. Nutr. Sci. 4 (2015) e22–e22.
- [40] P. Richards, R. Pais, A.M. Habib, C.A. Brighton, G.S.H. Yeo, F. Reimann, F.M. Gribble, High fat diet impairs the function of glucagon-like peptide-1 producing L-cells, Peptides 77 (2016) 21–27.
- [41] P.D. Cani, E. Lecourt, E.M. Dewulf, F.M. Sohet, B.D. Pachikian, D. Naslain, F. De Backer, A.M. Neyrinck, N.M. Delzenne, Gut microbiota fermentation of prebiotics increases satietogenic and incretin gut peptide production with consequences for appetite sensation and glucose response after a meal, Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 90 (5) (2009) 1236–1243.
- [42] J.A. Parnell, R.A. Reimer, Weight loss during oligofructose supplementation is associated with decreased ghrelin and increased peptide YY in overweight and obese adults, Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 89 (6) (2009) 1751–1759.
- [43] J.K. Chiang, N.S. Lai, J.K. Chang, M. Koo, Predicting insulin resistance using the triglyceride-to-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio in Taiwanese adults, Cardiovasc. Diabetol. 10 (2011) 93.
- [44] E.E. Canfora, J.W. Jocken, E.E. Blaak, Short-chain fatty acids in control of body weight and insulin sensitivity, Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 11 (10) (2015) 577–591.
- [45] L. Kern, M.J. Mittenbühler, A.J. Vesting, A.L. Ostermann, C.M. Wunderlich, F.T. Wunderlich, Obesity-induced TNFα and IL-6 signaling: the missing link between obesity and inflammation-driven liver and colorectal cancers, Cancers 11 (1) (2019) 1–21.
- [46] G.H. Goossens, The metabolic phenotype in obesity: fat Mass, body fat distribution, and adipose tissue function, Obesity Facts 10 (3) (2017) 207–215.
- [47] K. Rehman, M.S.H. Akash, Mechanisms of inflammatory responses and development of insulin resistance: how are they interlinked? J. Biomed. Sci. 23 (1) (2016) 87.
- [48] C.L. Boulangé, A.L. Neves, J. Chilloux, J.K. Nicholson, M.E. Dumas, Impact of the gut microbiota on inflammation, obesity, and metabolic disease, Genome Med. 8 (1) (2016) 1–12.
- [49] K.R. Freeland, T.M.S. Wolever, Acute effects of intravenous and rectal acetate on glucagon-like peptide-1, peptide YY, ghrelin, adiponectin and tumor necrosis factorα, Br. J. Nutr. 103 (3) (2010) 460–466.
- [50] T.V. Hung, T. Suzuki, Short-chain fatty acids suppress inflammatory Reactions in Caco-2 cells and Mouse colons, J. Agric. Food Chem. 66 (1) (2018) 108–117.
- [51] P.V. Chang, L. Hao, S. Offermanns, R. Medzhitov, The microbial metabolite butyrate regulates intestinal macrophage function via histone deacetylase inhibition, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 111 (6) (2014) 2247–2252.
- [52] W. Ma, L.H. Nguyen, M. Song, D.D. Wang, E.A. Franzosa, Y. Cao, A. Joshi, D.A. Drew, R. Mehta, K.L. Ivey, et al., Dietary fibre intake, the gut microbiome, and chronic systemic inflammation in a cohort of adult men, Genome Med. 13 (1) (2021) 102.