Novel molecular biomarkers of cancer therapy-induced cardiotoxicity in adult population: a scoping review

Irene Cartas-Espinel¹, Marcelino Telechea-Fernández², Carlos Manterola Delgado^{3,4,5}, Andrés Ávila Barrera⁶, Nicolás Saavedra Cuevas⁷ and Angela L. Riffo-Campos^{5,8*} (D

¹Programa de Doctorado en Ciencias mención Biología Celular y Molecular Aplicada, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile; ²Departamento de Fisiología, Universidad de Valencia, Valencia, Spain; ³Departamento de Cirugía, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile; ⁴Centro de Excelencia en Estudios Morfológicos y Quirúrgicos (CEMyQ), Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile; ⁵Programa de Doctorado en Ciencias Médicas, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile; ⁶Centro de Excelencia de Modelación y Computación Científica, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile; ⁷Departamento de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile; and ⁸Vicerrectoría Académica, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile

Abstract

Aim Cancer treatments are associated with cardiotoxic events that predispose to cardiac pathology and compromise the survival of patients, making necessary the identification of new molecular biomarkers to detect cardiotoxicity. This scoping review aims to identify the available evidence on novel molecular biomarkers associated with cardiotoxicity in the adult population undergoing cancer therapy.

Methods and results The databases Medline, Web of Science, Scopus, and Embase were screened for the identification of published studies until 23 August 2020, searching for novel molecular biomarkers reported in cancer therapy-related cardiac dysfunction in adult patients. A total of 42 studies that met the eligibility criteria were included. Fourteen studies reported 44 new protein biomarkers, 18 studies reported 57 new single nucleotide polymorphism biomarkers, and 11 studies reported 171 new gene expression profiles associated with cardiotoxicity. Data were extracted for 272 novel molecular biomarkers reported and evaluated in 7084 cancer patients, of which only 13 were identified in more than one study (MPO, sST2, GDF-15, TGF-B1, rs1056892, rs1883112, rs4673, rs13058338, rs1695, miR-1, miR-25-3p, miR-34a-5p, and miR-423-5p), showing values for area under the curve > 0.73 (range 0.74–0.85), odds ratio 0.26–7.17, and hazard ratio 1.28–1.80.

Conclusions Multiple studies presented a significant number of novel molecular biomarkers as promising predictors for risk assessment of cardiac dysfunction related to cancer therapy, but the characteristics of the studies carried out and the determinations applied do not allow suggesting the clinical use of these molecular biomarkers in the assessment of cancer therapy-induced cardiotoxicity.

Keywords Cardiotoxicity; Molecular biomarkers; LVEF; CTRCD; Cancer therapy; Cardio-oncology/onco-cardiology

Received: 27 March 2021; Revised: 3 November 2021; Accepted: 11 November 2021 *Correspondence to: Angela L. Riffo-Campos, Programa de Doctorado en Ciencias Médicas, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile. Email: angela.riffo@ufrontera.cl

Introduction

The relationship between cancer and cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) is studied in the field of cardio-oncology/oncocardiology.^{1,2} This relationship includes the cardiotoxicity associated to cancer therapies.³ Cancer therapy-related cardiac dysfunction (CTRCD) is recognized as one of the main causes of mortality among breast, prostate, and bladder cancer survivors, even surpassing the mortality related to recurrence of the baseline malignancy,^{3–5} and impact the prognosis of patients treated in the short and long term.⁶ The cardiotoxic events include myocardial dysfunction, heart failure (HF), coronary artery disease, valvular disease, arrhythmias, pericardial disease, hypertension, and thrombolytic events, among others.^{7,8} The cardiac imaging societies define cardiotoxicity as a serial decline of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) despite symptoms,⁹ and despite existence of other cardiac imaging parameters, such as global longitudinal

© 2022 The Authors. ESC Heart Failure published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of European Society of Cardiology.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. strain (GLS), which could be used to predict CTRCD.¹⁰ In addition, the LVEF as a diagnostic tool for early cardiomyopathy has poor sensitivity and variable reproducibility,¹¹ highlighting the need to identify novel cardiotoxicity biomarkers. The molecular biomarkers (as protein, DNA, or RNA), among other characteristics, must detect cardiac lesions in earlier stages of the disease, monitoring the therapeutic benefit of cancer therapy with the risk of cardiotoxicity and identifying high-risk patients who required closer cardiac surveillance or the establishment of cardioprotective strategies.^{12,13}

The molecular biomarkers currently accepted in the clinic are cardiac troponins and natriuretic peptides (BNPs) and their use for cardiovascular toxicity diagnosis, during and after cancer therapies, have been recently discussed. For example, Pudil et al., 2020, reviewed the role of cardiac biomarkers to monitor cardiac safety during surveillance of cancer patients receiving radiotherapy, chemotherapy, or targeted therapies.¹⁴ Cardiac troponins are the gold standard biomarkers for the detection of cardiac injury and cardiomyocyte necrosis, and the most extensively used biomarkers to detect cardiac toxicity. BNP and N-terminal pro-B type natriuretic peptides (NT-proBNPs) are biomarkers of long-term cardiovascular dysfunction in asymptomatic patients.15,16 However, these biomarkers are not useful in all cases, generating contradictory results.^{17,18} Therefore, the search for new biomarkers is necessary. For instance, microRNAs (miRNAs) that mediate cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis may represent important biomarkers of HF,¹⁹ as well as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that are associated with patients at increased risk of cardiotoxicity due to cancer treatment.²⁰

Several studies have reported new molecular biomarkers of cardiac dysfunction, but there is no clarity on its potential as biomedical tools to recognize cardiotoxicity risk. Therefore, we conducted a scoping review²¹ to identify the emerging evidence on novel molecular biomarkers of cancer therapy-induced cardiotoxicity in adult population, as well as to identify existing gaps in scientific knowledge on this topic.

Material and methods

Our protocol was developed and written according to the scoping review methodological framework proposed by Arksey and O'Malley.²²

Literature searches were performed in the following information sources: Medline (by PubMed), Web of Science (WoS), Scopus, and Embase. An initial search was performed by two authors (A. L. R.-C. and I. C.-E.) on all included databases using keywords and index terms, followed by an analysis of the text contained in the title and abstracts, and the index terms used to describe the study (Supporting Information, *Table S1*). In addition to electronic databases, searching bibliographies and reference lists from relevant publications were checked for potentially relevant studies. All searches were carried out until 23 August 2020.

All identified citations were collated, and duplicates were removed. Abstracts and full-text reviews were screened and selected by two reviewers (A. L. R.-C. and I. C.-E.) based on the inclusion–exclusion criteria (Supporting Information, *Table S2*). Inter-reviewer disagreement was handled by a third reviewer (M. T.-F.). The scoping review was guided following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR).²³

For all included studies, the following data collection item was recorded: study characteristics, doi, author, year of publication, country, study designs, population characteristics, cardiotoxicity definition, and biological sample (Supporting Information, *Table S3*, Sheets A–E). The collection information was performed by I. C.-E. and cross-checked by A. L. R.-C.

Results

Study selection and characteristics

A total of 3484 publications from 2012 to 2020 were identified (*Figure 1*). Duplicated articles and those that did not meet the inclusion criteria during the initial screening of titles and abstracts and full-text analysis were excluded. Additionally, nine articles were incorporated by hand searching by checking the reference lists of relevant studies. Finally, 42 studies were examined in this scoping review (Supporting Information, *Table S3*, Sheet E).

The study design was correctly defined in 22 studies (cohort n = 12, case—control n = 7, cross-sectional n = 2, and randomized n = 1) and only 40.4% of the studies were prospective (*Table 1*). The studies were conducted in North America (n = 17), Europe (n = 16), Asia (n = 8), and South America (n = 1). The most common type of cancer was breast cancer (n = 30), followed by haematological malignancies (leukaemia, lymphomas, or multiple myeloma) (n = 5), lung cancer (n = 1), and colorectal cancer (n = 1). For the remaining five studies, multiple cancer types were examined. Cancer therapies were chemotherapy (n = 22), radiotherapy (n = 4), or target therapy (n = 7), and nine articles reported the use of combined therapies. The definition of cardiotoxicity varied across studies, but 66.7% used the reduction in LVEF defined by the CTCAE.²⁴

Finally, 14, 18, and 11 studies reported novel proteins, DNA, and RNA molecular biomarkers, respectively, associated with cardiotoxicity (*Figure 1* and *Table 1*).

Figure 1 PRISMA flow diagram of the selection process and exclusion criteria. *The article Frères et al., 2018, reported protein and RNA biomarkers and it is repeated in the n of these biomarker types, but not in the total included studies.



Patients characteristics

The analysed population consisted of 7084 cancer patients (age range from 43 to 65.7, median 50 years) with 70.9% (n = 5018) of females. A total of 6766 patients were treated with cancer therapy and 1166 patients reported cardiotoxicity.

Novel protein biomarkers associated with cardiotoxicity

Fourteen studies evaluated the relationship between levels of 44 circulating proteins and cardiotoxicity induced by cancer therapy (*Table 2* and Supporting Information, *Table S3*, Sheet F).^{25–38} Only transforming growth factor-beta 1 (TGF- β 1), interleukin-1 suppression of tumorigenicity 2 (ST-2), myeloperoxidase (MPO), phosphatidylinositol glycan

anchor biosynthesis class F (PIGF), and growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF-15) were repeatedly evaluated in eight studies.^{25–32} All these proteins have been associated with cardiac dysfunction; for example, the soluble form of ST-2 is secreted by cardiac cells in response to myocardial stress and fibrosis.³⁹ The determination of proteins in plasma was done by immunoassays (n = 11) and high-throughput (n = 3) techniques (*Table 2*).

A protein profile performed in breast cancer patients showed that $\geq 15\%$ tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) decline was associated with a significant decrease in TGF- β 1 after adjuvant radiotherapy (P < 0.001).²⁵ Also, a decrease in TGF- β 1 levels before and after 3 years of follow-up were independent risk factors for worsening LV systolic dysfunction (P = 0.013).²⁶ Three studies evaluated the association of soluble ST-2 (sST-2) with cardiotoxicity.^{27,31,32} Patients under anthracycline-containing chemotherapy presented increased sST-2 levels after treatment and were pos-

I. Cartas-Espinel et al.

Variable		Treated (n)	Cardiotoxicity (n)	Included studies
Patients		6766	1166	42
Type of cancer	Breast	4532	7 71	30
	Haematological	801	149	5
	Colorectal	198	98	1
	Lung	63	11	1
	Various types	1172	37	5
Cancer treatment	Chemotherapy	4667	571	24
	Target therapy	1155	219	7
	Radiotherapy	253	64	4
	Combined	691	31 2	7
Continent	North America	2606	305	17
	Europe	2351	584	16
	Asia	1753	267	8
	South America	56	10	1
Study design	Cohort	1538	661	12
	Case–control	467	165	7
	Cross-sectional	338	191	2
	Randomized	450	87	1
	Others	3973	62	20
Cardiotoxicity	LVEF decline	4251	795	28
-	Clinical symptoms	2080	220	10
	Others	526	151	4
Markers	Protein	1002	338	14
	DNA	4920	680	18
	RNA	899	148	11

Table 1 Study characteristics summary

LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; *n*, number.

In the haematological type of cancer, leukaemia, lymphomas, or multiple myeloma were included. North America included USA and Canada; Europe included the Netherlands, France, Belgium, Spain, Greece, Romania, Italia, France, Norway, Turkey, and Finland; Asia included China and Japan; and South America included Brazil.

itively correlated with elevation of the cardiac-specific isoenzyme troponin T (TnT) (P < 0.001).³² In addition, levels of sST-2 after 6 months of chemotherapy were negatively correlated with LVEF (P < 0.05) and were considered an independent predictor of LVEF change [area under the curve (AUC) of 0.74] with a sensitivity and specificity of 73 and 79%, respectively.²⁷ Finally, increased levels of sST-2 in chemo-naïve breast cancer patients treated with radiotherapy during 3 years were associated with a worse GLS (P = 0.034) and LVEF (P = 0.006). However, baseline patients' characteristics and cardiac doses were also associated with impairment in GLS.³¹

The level of MPO, GDF-15, and PIGF proteins increases after anthracyclines and trastuzumab therapy, but only changes of MPO were related to subsequent cardiotoxicity [hazard ratio (HR) = 1.34 (1.00-1.80), P = 0.048]. The combination of MPO and troponin I (TnI) was able to improve the risk prediction of cardiotoxicity.²⁸ A second study confirmed that MPO, GDF-15, and PIGF biomarkers increase over 3 months and were associated with the prediction of subsequent cardiotoxicity against doxorubicin and trastuzumab therapy.²⁹ A prospective cohort study of breast cancer patients treated with anthracyclines and trastuzumab demonstrated that higher baseline MPO levels (median 325 pmol/L) before treatment were associated with an increased risk of

cardiotoxicity for over an extended period of 3.7 years [HR: 1.28 (1.04–1.57), P = 0.019].³⁰

Novel DNA biomarkers associated with cardiotoxicity

Eighteen new studies describe the significant association of 57 polymorphic variations with cardiac toxicity induced by cancer therapy⁴⁰⁻⁵⁷ (*Table 3* and Supporting Information, Table S3, Sheet G). These DNA polymorphisms are presented in genes associated with drug metabolism and detoxification. Thus, these can be important in establishing a genetic predisposition or protection for cardiotoxicity. The SNPs were classified as intronic (22 SNPs), intergenic (14 SNPs), UTRs (3 SNPs), splice acceptor variants (1 SNP), non-coding genes (2 SNPs), and missense/synonymous coding genes (15 SNPs). Of the total SNP evaluated, only rs1883112 (NCF4), rs4673 (CYBA), rs13058338 (RAC2), rs1695 (GSTP1), and rs1056892 (CBR3) were presented in more than one study.41-43,50-57 Genotyping was performed by PCR-RFLP (n = 1), direct sequencing PCR (n = 1), Taqman (n = 5), pyrosequencing (n = 2), array/bead-based (n = 5), or mass spectrometry-based (n = 4) (Table 3).

Study	Sample size	Fvents	Type of cancer	Therapy	Biomarker	Cardiotoxicity association	Detection method	AUC	Timing of biomarker assessment
25	66	20	Breast	Radiotherapy	TGF-β1	Associated with a ≥15%	ELISA		After completion of
						decrease in IAPSE, OR = 0.85 (0.75–0.96), <i>P</i> < 0.05			radiotherapy
26	66	20	Breast	Radiotherapy	TGF-β1	Associated with worsening in GLS, P = 0.013	ELISA		3 years after radiotherapy
27	84	42	Breast	Doxorubicin + trastuzumab	ST-2	Associated with LVEF decline. P = 0.008	ELISA	AUC = 0.74	6 months after therapy
28	78	36	Breast	Doxorubicin +	MPO	Interval changes	CLIA		0
				trastuzumap		associated with LVEF decline >10%, HR = 1.34 (1.00–1.80), <i>P</i> = 0.048			
29	78	23	Breast	Doxorubicin + taxanes +	GDF-15	Associated with risk cardiotoxicity HR = 1 80	CLIA		0
				trastuzumab		(1.20-2.69), P = 0.007			
					PIGF	Associated with risk			
						cardiotoxicity, HK = $3.//$ (1.43–9.89), $P = 0.04$			
					MPO	Associated with risk			
						cardiotoxicity, HR = 1.37 (1 11–1 69) P = 0.02			
30	53	18	Breast	Doxorubicin +	MPO	Associated with CTRCD	ELISA		3.7 vears after
	ł			trastuzumab		risk, HR = 1.28			therapy
15	61	11	Broact	Radiotherany	51.2	(1.04-1.57), P = 0.019	FLISA		3 voars after
5	5	<u>t</u>			710	asymptomatic decline			radiotherapy
0	ļ			- - -		LVEF ($P = 0.006$)			:
32	45		Breast	Anthracyclines	512	Patients with CHF presented values for sST2	ELISA		After completion of chemotherapy
55	77	19	Breast	Dovorubicin +	ц	higher than average Associated with lower	Mass	$\Delta \Pi C = 0.73$	C
1	į	2		trastuzumab	u 1	risk of CTRCD, $OR = 0.52$	spectrometry		5
						(0.31-0.90), P = 0.018			
34	129	31	Haematological	Anthracyclines	GPBB	Higher levels in patients	Double-ELISA	AUC = 0.814	0
					Mvoalobin	Higher levels in patients		AUC = 0.810	
						with cardiotoxicity			
35	27	10	Breast	Doxorubicin	CCL23	Higher levels in patients with LVEF decline >10%	Magnetic bead-based multiplex immunoassay		Before each DOX cycle
AUC, ar bent as myelop	ea under th say; GDF-15 eroxidase; C	ne curve; CC 5, growth d 3R, odds ra	CL23, C–C motif cher lifferentiation factor tio; PIGF, phosphati	mokine 23; CHF, cong 15; GPBB, platelet gl idylinositol glycan bic	Jestive heart fail Iycoprotein Ib b ssynthesis class	ure; CLIA, chemiluminescent i ieta chain; HR, hazard ratio; l F; ST-2, suppression of tumoi	immunoassay; DOX, doxorub gE, immunoglobulin E; LVEF, rigenicity 2; TGF-β1, transforr	iicin; ELISA, enzyn , left ventricular ej ming growth fact	ne-linked immunosor- iection fraction; MPO, or beta-1 protein.
Sample	size colum	n is referre.	d to treated patient:	s. Haematological car	ncer includes lei	ukaemia, lymphomas, or mul'	tiple myeloma.		

ESC Heart Failure 2022; 9: 1651–1665 DOI: 10.1002/ehf2.13735

Table 3 G	enetic poly	/morphisn	ns associated with	cancer therapy-induced cardioto	dicity					
Study ref.	Sample size	Events	Type of cancer	Therapy	Gene	Amino acid change	SNP rsID	Cardiotoxicity association	Detection method	HWE
41	48	7	Various	Anthracyclines	NCF4	NR	rs1883112	A allele associated with cardiac intestitial fibrosis, OR = 5.11 (95% CI: $1.59-16.43$, $P = 0.018$)	qPCR	Yes
					СҮВА	NR	rs4673	C/C genotype associated with patched myocardial necrosis, $OR = 0.112$ (95% $C1 \cdot 0.70 - 63 P = 0.039$)		Yes
42	61	ъ	Breast	Trastuzumab	HER2	lle/Val	NR	SNP is significantly associated with asymptomatic LVEF decline >20%. P = 0.0058	PCR-RFLP	Yes
43	70	25	Various	Anthracyclines + trastuzumab	CBR3	V244M	rs1056892	AA genotype associated with high LVEF decrease, P = 0.039	qPCR	Yes
					GSTP1	1105V	rs1695	G (l105V) allele had lower fractional P = 0.024		Yes
50	106	17	Haematological	R-CHOP	ABCB1	NR	rs2229109	AG heterozygote variant associated with Grade 2–4 cardiac toxicity, OR 1.89 (95% CI: 1.15–3.12, P = 0.010)	SNP minisequencing	Yes
					NCF4	NR	rs1883112	AG/GG genotypes independent predictor of Grade $2-4$ cardiac toxicity, OR = 0.37 (95% CI: 0.16– 0.87, $P = 0.023$)		Yes
					СҮВА	NR	rs4673	TT homozygote variant associated with Grade 2–4 cardiac toxicity, OR = 1.86 (95% CI: 1.15–2.99, P = 0.010)		Yes
					RAC2	NR	rs13058338	AA homozygote variant associated with Grade $2-4$ cardiac toxicity, OR = 1.84 (95% CI: 1.10–3.10, P = 0.019)		Yes
					GSTP1	NR	rs1695	GG homozygote variant associated with Grade 2–4 cardiac toxicity, OR = 1.83 (95% CI: $1.12-3.01$, P = 0.015)		Yes

(Continues)

n HWE	NR	NR	NR	g NR	No	NR	NR	NR	Yes	Yes	Yes	NR	Yes
Detection method	Axiom array			Pyrosequencin				Sequenom MassArray	qPCR			qPCR	qPCR
Cardiotoxicity association	SNP associated with LVEF decline ($P = 0.004$)	SNP mice $(0.15 - 0.78)$ and $(0.15 - 0.78)$ by the second secon	SNP associated with LVEF decline $(D = 0.018)$	Accuracy $v = 0.0210$ AA genotype associated with CHF, $OR = 2.5$ (95% CI: 1 3-5 (0 P = 0.031)	T allele acute ACT, $OR = 2.0$ (95% CI: 1.0–3.9. $P = 0.01$)	$75087 < M_{\odot}$ acute ACT, OR = 2.6 (95% CI: 1.3–5.1, P = 0.005)	T allele with acute ACT, OR = 3.6 (95% Cl: 1.6–8.4, P = 0.005)	TT genotype was associated with LVEF decline, OR 1.59 (95% CI: $1.07-2.35$, P = 0.021)	SNP associated with increased risk of $EF < 55\%$, OR = 2.50 (95% CI: 1.22– 5.11. $P = 0.012$)	The variant allele 3435C>T had an additive protective effect for EF decline, OR = 0.48 (95% CI: 0.23 – 100 $P = 0.049$)	The variant allet $3435C > T$ had an additive protective effect for EF decline, OR 0.48 (95% CI: 0.23-1.00, P = 0.049)	AG genotype associated with cardiotoxicity risk, OR = 7.17 (95% CI: 1.82– 28.29 P = 0.005)	CC genotype Pro1170Ala associated with cardiomyopathy, OR = 2.60 (95% CI: $1.02-6.68$, $P = 0.046$)
SNP rsID	rs1056892	rs1883112	rs2235047	rs1883112	rs4673	rs13058338	rs45511401	rs246221	rs1056892	rs1045642	rs1045642	rs1136201	rs1058808
Amino acid change	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	G671V	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	P1170A
Gene	CBR3	NCF4	ABCB1	NCF4	СҮВА	RAC2	ABCC1	ABCC1	CBR3	ABCB1		HER2	HER2
Therapy	Anthracyclines			Doxorubicin				Epirubicin	Doxorubicin			Trastuzumab	Trastuzumab
Type of cancer	Breast			Haematological				Breast	Breast			Breast	Breast
Events	17			87				153	19			18	29
Sample size	1119			450				877	166			78	140
Study ref.	51			52				23	54			55	26

1657

Therapy Amino acid Gene Amino acid change SNP rsID Cardiotoxicity associa astuzumab HER2 I655V rs1801201 Val carrier genotype associated associated associated nthracyclines UGT2B7 NR rs7668258 Low occurrence cardiotoxicity, OR = ((95% C1: 013-0 P = 0.0040							
Therapy Gene change SNP rsID Cardiotoxicity associa astuzumab HER2 1655V rs1801201 Val carrier genotype associated associated associated associated associated associated nthracyclines UGT2B7 NR rs7668258 Low occurrence cardiotoxicity, OR = 073-0 073-0 013-0	f		Amino acid			Detection	
astuzumab HER2 1655V rs1801201 Val carrier genotype associated associated asymptomatic LVEF < OR = 3.83 (95% CI: 13.18, P = 0.025) ithracyclines UGT2B7 NR rs7668258 Low occurrence cardiotoxicity, OR = ((95% CI: 0.103-0 P = 0.00A)	r Therapy	Gene	change	SNP rsID	Cardiotoxicity association	method	HWE
asymptomatic LVEF < OR = 3.83 (95% CI: 0R = 3.83 (95% CI: 13.18, <i>P</i> = 0.025) 13.18, <i>P</i> = 0.025) 13.18, <i>P</i> = 0.025) 13.18, <i>P</i> = 0.025) 13.18, <i>P</i> = 0.025) 0.055 (CI: 0.103-0 <i>P</i> = 0.004)	Trastuzumab	HER2	1655V	rs1801201	Val carrier genotype vith associated	PCR	Yes
nthracyclines UGT2B7 NR rs7668258 Low occurrence cardiotoxicity, OR = ((95% Cl: 0.103-0 P = 0.00A)					asymptomatic LVEF < 50%, OR = 3.83 (95% CI: 1.11– 13.18, P = 0.025)		
	Anthracyclines	UGT2B7	NR	rs7668258	Low occurrence of 1 cardiotoxicity, $OR = 0.259$ (95% CI: 0.103–0.651, P = 0.004)	Pyrosequencing	NR

Fable 3 (continued)

AND STATE ST

The SNP rs1883112 conferred a protective role against cardiac toxicity for R-CHOP therapy [odds ratio (OR) = 0.37 (95% confidence interval, CI: 0.16–0.87), P = 0.023].⁵⁰ In concordance with these results, a genome-wide association study showed that a lower frequency of the rs1883112 A allele was related to congestive heart failure (CHF) [OR = 0.35, 0.15-0.78), P = 0.011] after chemotherapy.⁵¹ This was in contradiction to two other studies where the presence of this variant correlated with cardiac interstitial fibrosis [OR = 5.11 (95% CI: 1.59-16.43), P = 0.018] and CHF (P = 0.031).^{41,52} The rs4673 242-T allele was associated with protection against patched myocardial necrosis due to anthracyclines [OR = 0.11 (95% CI: 0.20-0.63), P = 0.039],⁴¹ but in contrast, other two studies associated the rs4673 SNP with chronic HF in lymphoma patients treated with R-CHOP or doxorubicin.^{50,52} Two articles reported that the rs13058338 polymorphism could be associated with CHF induced by anthracycline cancer therapy.^{50,52} The SNP rs1695 in R-CHOP was related to Grade 2-4 cardiotoxicity in R-CHOP treatment, and carriers of the G-allele (I105V) had significantly lower fractional shortening (FS) after anthracycline therapy (P = 0.024).^{43,50}

Lastly, patients that carried the rs10556892 polymorphism presented significant deterioration in cardiac functions after anthracycline treatment confirmed by a second study where this SNP was associated with risk of EF < 55% [OR = 2.50 (95% CI: 1.22–5.11), P = 0.012].^{43,54} A genome-wide study of 1191 breast cancer participants observed an association between the rs10556892 SNP and the maximum LVEF decline (P = 0.004).⁵¹

Nine articles reported several SNP mutations on genes ABCC1 (rs45511401 and rs246221), HER2 (rs1801201, rs1136201, and rs1058808), and ABCB1 (rs2229109, rs1045642, and rs2235047).^{42,50–57} In the ABCC1 gene, lymphoma patients carrying the rs45511401 polymorphism were predisposed to CHF by anthracycline therapy [OR = 3.6 (95% CI: 1.6-8.4), P = 0.005]. Due to the low number of CHF patients, these results could not be confirmed in a second cohort study.^{52,53} The second SNP on the ABCC1 gene. rs246221. was associated with LVEF decline >10% (P < 0.001) in patients carrying the T-allele.⁵³ From the articles that evaluated the influence of polymorphisms on the HER2 gene, the Ile655Val genotype (rs1801201 and rs1136201) was associated with trastuzumab cardiotoxicity by LVEF decline >20% (P = 0.0058) or LVEF < 50% (P = 0.025).^{42,55,57} On the other hand, the Pro1170Ala HER2 genotype (rs1058808) was frequently found in patients with cardiomyopathy due to trastuzumab [OR = 2.60 (95% CI: 1.02-6.68), P = 0.046].⁵⁶ Three SNPs on ABCB1 gene were associated with cancer therapy-induced toxicity. Assuming additive genetic effects, the variant rs1045642 presented a protective role [OR = 0.48 (95% CI: 0.23-1.00), P = 0.049 while the SNPs rs2235047 and rs2229109 were associated with LVEF decline. 50,51,54

Study ref. size Events Type of cancer Therapy Biomarker Cardiotoxicity association meter 32 45 1 Breast Anthracyclines miR-33-5p Associated with LVEF RT-qPCR 58 15 5 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 pregulated vith LVEF RT-qPCR 59 56 10 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 Up-regulated (P < 0.05) GeneCh 60 30 10 Breast Doxorubicin miR-423-5p Up-regulated (P < 0.001) MT-qPCF 61 63 10 Breast Doxorubicin miR-423-5p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in PU-PCF 61 63 11 Lung miR-423-5p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in PU-PCF PCF 61 63 11 Lung miR-423-5p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in PU-PCF PCF PCF PCF PCF PCF PCF PCF PCF PCF PCF <th>There is a subsection of the section of the section</th> <th></th> <th>liming of biomarker</th>	There is a subsection of the section		liming of biomarker
 32 45 1 Breast Anthracyclines miR-323-5p Associated with LVEF RT-qPCR (P = 0.045) and CHF miR-34a-5p Up-regulated (P ≤ 0.05) and CHF miR-3423-5p Up-regulated (P ≤ 0.001) miR-323-5p Up-regulated (P ≤ 0.001) miR-323-5p Up-regulated (P ≤ 0.017) in patients with abnormal LVEF after 2 cycles of miR-34a-5p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in patients with abnormal UVEF after 2 cycles of miR-34a-5p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in patients with abnormal UVEF after 2 cycles of miR-34a-5p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in patients with abnormal UVEF after 2 cycles of miR-34a-5p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in miR-34a-5p Up-regulated (P = 0.012) in miR-34a-5p Up-regulated (P = 0.012) in miR-34a-5p Up-regulated (P = 0.012) in miR-34a-5p Up-regulated (P = 0.002) (P = 0.000) (P = 0.000) (P = 0.0014) 	pe of cancer inerapy biomarker cargiouoxicity ass	ciation method AU0	/HR assessment
 5 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 Down-regulated (P≤0.05) GeneCh in patients with low ejection fractions 5 10 Breast Doxorubicin miR-1 Up-regulation associated RT-qPCF with LVEF (P < 0.001) 6 3 30 10 Breast Doxorubicin miR-1 Up-regulated in patients with abnormal UP-regulated (P = 0.013) 6 3 11 Lung Radiotherapy miR-25-3p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in patients with abnormal UVEF after 2 cycles of UP-regulated (P = 0.017) in patients with abnormal UVEF after 2 cycles of UP-regulated (P = 0.017) in miR-25-3p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in patients with abnormal UVEF after 2 cycles of UP-regulated (P = 0.017) in patients with abnormal UVEF after 2 cycles of UP-regulated (P = 0.017) in miR-25-3p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in miR-25-3p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in miR-213-3p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in miR-21	east Anthracyclines miR-423-5p Associated with (P = 0.045) and Cl	LVEF RT-qPCR	After completion of chemotherapy
 58 15 5 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 Down-regulated (P ≤ 0.05) GeneCh in patients with low ejection fractions with low ejection in patients with low ejection miR-1 Up-regulated in patients with abnormal with activity bown-regulated (P = 0.003) RT-qPCR with carditoxicity Down-regulated (P = 0.003) RT-qPCR miR-25-3p Up-regulated (P = 0.013) in Patients with abnormal LVEF after 2 cycles of chemotherapy miR-25-3p Up-regulated (P = 0.013) in UVEF after 2 cycles of chemotherapy miR-25-3p Up-regulated (P = 0.013) in RT-qPCF miR-33 8 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 61 63 11 Lung Radiotherapy miR-25-3p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in UVEF after 2 cycles of chemotherapy with abnormal LVEF after 2 cycles of chemotherapy miR-23-3p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in NINAI 63 363 19 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 Down-regulated (P = 0.000 (95% CI: 0.00-0.000, P = 0.014) 63 363 19 Breast Anthracyclines miR-17-5p rediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCF miR-200 (95% CI: 0.00-0.044, P = 0.024) 	miR-34a-5p Up-regulated		
 56 10 Breast Doxorubicin miR-1 Up-regulation associated RT-qPCR with LVEF (P < 0.0001) miR-423-5p Up-regulated in patients with abnormal in patients with abnormal in patients with abnormal in patients with abnormal UVEF 61 63 11 Lung Radiotherapy miR-25-3p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in patients with abnormal UVEF 63 363 19 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 Down-regulated BeadCh miR-2000 (95% CI 0.000-0.000, P = 0.014) miR-2000 (95% CI 0.000-0.000, P = 0.014) 	east Doxorubicin BANK1 Down-regulated (F in patients with lov fractions	0.05) GeneChip Array ejection	After completion of DOX chemotherapy
60 30 10 Breast Doxorubicin miR-423-5p Up-regulated in patients 61 63 11 Breast Doxorubicin miR-1 Down-regulated (P = 0.003) RT-qPCR 61 63 11 Lung Radiotherapy miR-25-3p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in patients with abnormal UVEF miR/MAI 61 63 11 Lung Radiotherapy miR-25-3p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in patients with abnormal UVEF miR/MAI 62 33 8 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 Down-regulated Badch 63 363 19 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 Down-regulated BadCh 63 363 19 Breast Down-regulated BadCh Down-regulated BadCh 63 363 19 Breast Down-regulated BadCh Down-regulated BadCh 64 365 00-0-0000(95% CI: 000-0000(95% CI: 000-014) Breactiotowicity Brisk, OR = 0.004) Breactiotowicity 65 000-0-0100, P = 0.014, Breactiotowicity Breactiotowicity	east Doxorubicin miR-1 Up-regulation a with LVEF ($P < 0.0$	ociated RT-qPCR AUC = 31)	0.851 3 months after DOX chemotherapy
60 30 10 Breast Doxorubicin miR-1 Down-regulated (\vec{p} = 0.003) RT-qPCR 61 63 11 Lung Radiotherapy miR-25-3p Up-regulated (\vec{p} = 0.017) in patients with abnormal LVEF 61 63 11 Lung Radiotherapy miR-25-3p Up-regulated (\vec{p} = 0.017) in patients with abnormal LVEF 63 33 8 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 Up-regulated miRNA1 63 19 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 Down-regulated BeadCh 63 363 19 Breast MiR-25-3p Up-regulated BeadCh 63 363 19 Breast MiR-17-5p Prediction cardiotoxicity 64 niR-20a Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCF 65 363 19 Breast MiR-20a Prediction cardiotoxicity 66 0.000-0.000, P = 0.014) miR-20a Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCF	miR-423-5p Up-regulated in with cardiotoxicity	oatients	
 61 63 11 Lung Radiotherapy miR-25-3p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in patients with abnormal LVE Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in patients with abnormal LVE 62 33 8 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 Down-regulated BeadCh 63 363 19 Breast Anthracyclines miR-17-5p Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCF risk, OR = 0.000 (95% CI: 0.000-0.000, P = 0.014) 64 0.000 0.000, P = 0.014) 75 0.000 0.0184, P = 0.024) 	east Doxorubicin miR-1 Down-regulated (<i>P</i> in patients with	: 0.003) RT-qPCR normal	After 1 cycle of DOX chemotherapy
61 63 11 Lung Radiotherapy miR-25-3p Up-regulated (P = 0.017) in patients with abnormal LVEF 62 33 8 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 Up-regulated miRNA1 63 363 19 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 Down-regulated BeadCh 63 363 19 Breast Anthracyclines miR-17-5p Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCF 63 363 19 Breast Anthracyclines miR-17-5p Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCF 63 363 19 Breast Anthracyclines miR-17-5p Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCF 64 0.000-0.000, P = 0.014) miR-20a Prediction cardiotoxicity Prediction cardiotoxicity 65 0.000-0.000, P = 0.024) 0.005 (95% CI: 0.005 (95% CI: 0.005 (95% CI: 0.005 (95% CI: 0.006 (95% CI: 0.	chemotherapy		
61 63 11 Lung Radiotherapy miR-25-3p Up-regulated miRNA F 62 33 8 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 Up-regulated BeadChi 63 363 19 Breast Anthracyclines miR-17-5p Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCF 63 363 19 Breast Anthracyclines miR-17-5p Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCF 63 363 19 Breast Anthracyclines miR-17-5p Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCF 63 363 19 Breast Anthracyclines miR-17-5p Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCF 63 363 19 Breast Anthracyclines miR-17-5p Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCF 64 65	miR-25-3p Up-regulated (P = patients with	.017) in normal	
 62 33 8 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 Up-regulated miR-223-3p Up-regulated miR-223-3p Up-regulated BeadChi 63 363 19 Breast Anthracyclines miR-17-5p Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCF risk, OR = 0.000 (95% CI: 0.000-0.000, <i>P</i> = 0.014) 64 miR-20a Prediction cardiotoxicity risk, OR = 0.005 (95% CI: 0.000-0.484, <i>P</i> = 0.024) 	ing Radiotherapy miR-25-3p Up-regulated	miRNA PCR array HR = (.826 1 week prior to initiation
62 33 8 Breast Doxorubicin BANK1 Down-regulated BeadChi 63 363 19 Breast Anthracyclines miR-17-5p Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCR 63 363 19 Breast Anthracyclines miR-17-5p Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCR 63 363 19 Breast Anthracyclines miR-17-5p Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCR 60 0.000-0.000, P = 0.014) miR-20a Prediction cardiotoxicity risk, OR = 0.005 (95% CI: 60 0.000-0.484, P = 0.024) 0.000-0.484, P = 0.024) 0.000 0.004	miR-34a-5p Up-regulated miR-223-3p Up-regulated	HR = (HR = (.722 .968
 63 363 19 Breast Anthracyclines mik-17-5p Prediction cardiotoxicity RT-qPCR risk, OR = 0.000 (95% CI: 0.000-0.000, P = 0.014) miR-20a Prediction cardiotoxicity risk, OR = 0.005 (95% CI: 0.000-0.484, P = 0.024) 	east Doxorubicin BANK1 Down-regulated	BeadChip Array	After completion of chemotherapy
miR-20a Prediction cardiotoxicity risk, OR = 0.005 (95% CI: 0.000-0.484, P = 0.024)	east Anthracyclines miR-17-5p Prediction carc risk, OR = 0.000 0.000–0.000 P = -	toxicity RT-qPCR AUC = 55% CI: 31.41	0.702 Before chemotherapy
	miR-20a Prediction carc risk, OR = 0.005 0.000–0.484, P = 1	toxicity AUC = 55% CI: 224)	0.754
64 198 98 Colorectal Bevacizumab mik-1254 Up-regulated mikiNA.	olorectal Bevacizumab miR-1254 Up-regulated	miRNA array	Within 24 h of the onset of symptoms

Novel RNA biomarkers associated with cardiotoxicity

A total of 11 studies explored the relationship between drug-induced cardiotoxicity and 171 RNA expression markers (*Table 4* and Supporting Information, *Table S3*, Sheet H).^{32,58–67} Four studies identified differential expression profile in 96 genes and seven determined the potential of 75 circulating microRNAs. The miR-1, miR-25-3p, miR-34a-5p, miR-423-5p, and *BANK1* transcripts were found in two independent studies.^{32,58–60,62,66} The gene expression screening was performed using high-throughput sequencing (n = 5) or RT-qPCR over RNAs previously selected by literature (n = 6) (*Table 4*).

The miR-1 showed down-regulation in breast cancer patients who developed LVEF decline after the completion of doxorubicin-based chemotherapy but, in a second study, was associated with decreased LVEF (P < 0.0001) and prediction of cardiotoxicity (AUC = 0.851).^{59,60} The miR-25-3p was upregulated in lung and breast cancer patients treated with radiotherapy or doxorubicin chemotherapy who developed cardiotoxicity.^{60,66} Up-regulation of miR-34a-5p in treated-naïve breast cancer patients immediately after anthracycline was identified as a possible risk factor for cardiotoxicity development.³² The last miRNA, the miR-423-5p, was up-regulated during chemotherapy treatment and was associated with decreased LVEF (P = 0.045).^{32,59} A genomic profile in patients who presented abnormal LVEF by doxorubicin treatment showed a significant down-regulation of BANK1 gene.58,62

Other novel molecular biomarkers of interest

Some novel biomarkers such as miR-223-3p, the protein IgE, and the rs1045642 (ABCB1) and rs7668258 (UGT2B7) polymorphisms were associated with a protective role or lower occurrence of cardiotoxicity due to cancer therapy. 33,45,54,66 Noteworthy, the combined use of MPO and TnI was able to subsequent provide additive value in predicting cardiotoxicity,²⁸ and the measure of proteins BNP, myoglobin, and GPBB (a glycogen phosphorylase released into circulation after myocardial injuries) together in patients with anthracycline risk cardiotoxicity exhibited an AUC of 0.930 with a sensitivity of 90.3% and specificity of 85.7%.³⁴ Further on, the combination of miR-17-5p and miR-20a expressions displayed a predictive benefit for low cardiotoxicity risk (AUC = 0.842).⁶³ High baseline concentration of chemokine CCL23 plasma levels before early doses of doxorubicin treatment could predict subsequent LVEF decline in breast cancer patients (P < 0.05).³⁵ Lastly, the expression profile of circulating miRNAs in two independent cohorts of colorectal cancer showed that only up-regulation of miR-1254 could be considered as a putative biomarker for bevacizumab-induced cardiotoxicity (*Tables 2–4*).⁶⁴

Discussion

Summary of findings

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first and only scoping review focused on novel molecular biomarkers associated with cancer therapy-induced cardiotoxicity in adulthood. We found a total of 42 articles, examining 44 proteins, 57 SNPs, and 171 differential gene expression profiles. Within these studies, two approaches are used for the identification of new biomarkers. The first approach utilizes highthroughput analysis to identify new biomarkers among the total of molecules studied.^{33,35,37,40,43,45–53,58,62,64,67} The second approach, used in the most of articles, is a directed selection based on a previous literature search. Further, in an attempt to provide a biological context of these novel molecular biomarkers with cancer therapy-induced cardiotoxicity, three articles performed a network analysis where they identified an over-representation of biological processes involved in apoptosis, immune response, drug/response transport, collagen metabolism, and activation of matrix metalloproteinases.^{37,58,62} However, the lack of complete knowledge on the molecular mechanisms of drug action constitutes one of the major problems to improve the biomarker discovery and its role on cardiotoxicity.⁶⁸ From the total 272 novel molecular biomarkers reported, only 13 were assessed by two or more studies (MPO, sST2, GDF-15, TGF-B1, rs1056892, rs1883112, rs4673, rs13058338, rs1695, miR-1, miR-25-3p, miR-34a-5p, and miR-423-5p). Furthermore, contradictory results were reported when the role of the same molecular biomarker of cardiotoxicity was examined in the different studies. For example, the HER2 Ile655Val polymorphism was significantly associated with cardiotoxicity, but a genome-wide study failed to observe any association between HER2 gene and LVEF decline in breast cancer patients treated with trastuzumab. 42,51,55,57 Another approximation used by some authors is attempting to study the prediction role of these novel molecular biomarkers in combination with previously recognized cardiac biomarkers, such as TnI or BNP, to evaluate cardiotoxicity risk due to cancer therapy. The authors recognize a superior performance when two molecular biomarkers were used together, suggesting the usefulness of this strategy to identify subgroups of patients with considerable risk to suffer cardiotoxicity. 28, 34, 63

Regarding the types of therapy studied, a lack is observed in the identification of molecular biomarkers for the new types of therapy, as immunotherapy. For instance, reviews highlight the cardiotoxic effects of CAR-T cell treatment such as tachycardia, hypotension, hypertension, LVEF decrease, and cardiogenic shock,^{69–71} but associated molecular biomarkers have not been investigated. There is also a lack of information regarding the types of molecular biomarker identified. For example, studies evaluating the role of epigenetic biomarkers with cardiotoxicity in patients were not reported, despite that the recent findings demonstrate that decreased DNA methylation and increased acetylation are associated to doxorubicin induced in *in vivo* and *in vitro* models.⁷² These gaps in knowledge added to the fact that the molecular factors related to cardiotoxicity derived from cancer treatment are not reported in all types of cancer, which opens a new field for the discovery of new molecular biomarkers.

On the other hand, the majority of the studies focused on the identification of novel molecular biomarkers before or immediately after treatment to predict early changes in cardiac parameters to identify patients at high risk of cardiotoxicity, but cancer therapies may also induce cardiovascular late effects.^{73,74} For instance, study conducted in long-term survivors of paediatric cancer showed that they had more than nine-fold increased rates of suffering CHF, CVD, or stroke compared with general population after chemotherapy and radiation therapy.⁷⁵ Therefore, long follow-up studies for these molecular biomarkers are suggested to understand late cardiac effects due to cancer therapy. In this sense, the absence of a uniform definition for cardiotoxicity among trials could significantly influence the validity of the results.²⁹ Even more, establishing a consensus in the definition of cardiotoxicity is an urgent need. Some interesting studies found in the systematic search were left out of the selection due to the definition of cardiotoxicity used. A recent study has made an attempt to uniform these diagnostic criteria suggesting a division of cardiotoxicity into four exclusive degrees groups according to their myocardial injury/ dysfunction that could serve as a guide for future studies in cardio-oncology field.⁷⁶

Regardless of the above and despite advances in the identification of new molecular biomarkers, further research is needed to outline whether all these novel molecular biomarkers could provide additional value in cardiotoxicity management and risk evaluation over already established cardiac biomarkers and cardiac imaging techniques.

Limitation of included studies

This scoping review suggests that knowledge related to novel molecular biomarkers in cardiotoxicity is in an early stage of understanding. A common limitation in most of the studies is the small sample size and reduced number of individuals experiencing cardiotoxicity events, which reduce the statistical power and limits the association between molecular biomarker changes and cardiotoxicity. Further, due to the novelty of these molecular biomarkers, the authors did not provide the potential implications of additional risk factors such as underlying CVDs, age, cumulative dose, or concomitant administration of multiple treatment modalities, which could have been contributing to the cardiotoxicity outcomes.

There is also a selection bias due to single-institution studies, cancer types, and population characteristics. International collaboration research and additional replication studies could help to validate and add consistency to these novel molecular biomarkers on cardiotoxicity towards their clinical use. Furthermore, longer follow-up trials and larger prospective clinical studies are required to establish molecular biomarker associations as it takes years for some cardiotoxic regimens to manifest symptoms.

Finally, the exclusion of patients with underlying CVDs and the lack of control groups, among others, hinder the application of novel molecular biomarkers in clinical practices.

Strengths and limitations of scoping review

The purpose of this review was to assess the amount and the preliminary nature of published evidence for novel molecular biomarkers associated with cancer therapy-induced cardiotoxicity in the adult population. This scoping review used precise methods to ensure a broad search of the literature, including four electronic databases and a reference list of relevant publications. The screening and data characterization forms were pre-tested by at least two reviewers and revised as needed before implementation. Each citation and article was reviewed by two independent reviewers who met to resolve conflicts. A bias towards English-language terms could have arisen on missing documents of interest in our scoping review. Our literature search included different terms used to describe cardiotoxicity, but other words may also exist. Only 22 articles reported the study design, and because of the heterogeneity, we could not infer the level of evidence for the literature search.

Conclusions

There is currently a limited amount of research on novel molecular biomarkers to predict cardiac toxicity induced by cancer therapies. This scoping review showed that the investigation of new protein, DNA, and RNA biomarkers in cardio-oncology is still at early stages and highlighted several limitations to confirm their added value in cardiotoxicity. Even though, molecular biomarker research is a promising area that would help to establish new strategies for CVD prevention and diagnosis for patients undergoing cancer therapy.

Acknowledgements

The role as research assistant of Ignacio Aravena Fica, an undergraduate student at the Universidad de La Frontera, is appreciated.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

Funding

This work was supported by the Chilean ANID/Fondecyt-Postdoctorado No. 3180486 (A.L.R.-C), and Grants ANID/ Doctorado Nacional No. 21150650 (I.C.-E.) and supported by the Universidad de La Frontera, project DI22-0014 (A.L.R.-C and I.C.-E.).

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Table S1. Search strategy.Table S2. Eligibility criteria for the clinical research question.Table S3. Data collection of included studies.

References

- Han X, Zhou Y, Liu W. Precision cardiooncology: understanding the cardiotoxicity of cancer therapy. NPJ Precis Oncologia 2017; 1: 31.
- Brown S. Preventive cardio-oncology: the time has come. Front Cardiovasc Med 2020; 6: 187.
- Cautela J, Lalevée N, Ammar C, Ederhy S, Peyrol M, Debourdeau P, Serin D, le Dolley Y, Michel N, Orabona M, Barraud J, Laine M, Bonello L, Paganelli F, Barlési F, Thuny F. Management and research in cancer treatment-related cardiovascular toxicity: challenges and perspectives. *Int J Cardiol* 2016; 224: 366–375.
- Sturgeon KM, Deng L, Bluethmann SM, Zhou S, Trifiletti DM, Jiang C, Kelly SP, Zaorsky NG. A population-based study of cardiovascular disease mortality risk in US cancer patients. *Eur Heart J* 2019; 40: 3889–3897.
- Alizadehasl A, Amin A, Maleki M, Noohi F, Ghavamzadeh A, Farrashi M. Cardiooncology discipline: focus on the necessities in developing countries. *ESC Hear Fail* 2020; 7: 2175–2183.
- Tajiri K, Aonuma K, Sekine I. Cardio-oncology: A multidisciplinary approach for detection, prevention and management of cardiac dysfunction in cancer patients. Vol. 47, Jpn J Clin Oncol 2017. p. 678–682.
- Michel L, Rassaf T, Totzeck M. Biomarkers for the detection of apparent and subclinical cancer therapy-related cardiotoxicity. *J Thorac Dis* 2018; 10: S4282–S4295.
- Manrique CR, Park M, Tiwari N, Plana JC, Garcia MJ. Diagnostic strategies for early recognition of cancer

therapeutics-related cardiac dysfunction. *Clin Med Insights Cardio* 2017; **11**: 1179546817697983.

- 9. Plana JC, Galderisi M, Barac A, Ewer MS, Ky B, Scherrer-Crosbie M, Ganame J, Sebag IA, Agler DA, Badano LP, Banchs J, Cardinale D, Carver J, Cerqueira M, DeCara JM, Edvardsen T, Flamm SD, Force T, Griffin BP, Jerusalem G, Liu JE, Magalhães A, Marwick T, Sanchez LY, Sicari R, Villarraga HR, Lancellotti P. Expert consensus for multimodality imaging evaluation of adult patients during and after cancer therapy: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2014; 27: 911–939.
- Araujo-Gutierrez R, Chitturi KR, Xu J, Wang Y, Kinder E, Senapati A, Chebrolu LB, Kassi M, Trachtenberg BH. Baseline global longitudinal strain predictive of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity. *Cardio-Oncology* 2021; 7: 1–8.
- Ewer MS, Lenihan DJ. Left ventricular ejection fraction and cardiotoxicity: is our ear really to the ground? *J Clin Oncol* 2008; 26: 1201–1203.
- Yu AF, Ky B. Roadmap for biomarkers of cancer therapy cardiotoxicity. *Heart* 2016; **102**: 425–430.
- 13. Alexandre J, Cautela J, Ederhy S, Damaj GL, Salem JE, Barlesi F, Farnault L, Charbonnier A, Mirabel M, Champiat S, Cohen-Solal A, Cohen A, Dolladille C, Thuny F. Cardiovascular toxicity related to cancer treatment: a pragmatic approach to the American and European cardio-oncology guidelines. J Am Heart Assoc 2020; 9: e018403.

- 14. Pudil R, Mueller C, Čelutkienė J, Henriksen PA, Lenihan D, Dent S, Barac A, Stanway S, Moslehi J, Suter TM, Ky B, Štěrba M, Cardinale D, Cohen-Solal A, Tocchetti CG, Farmakis D, Bergler-Klein J, Anker MS, von Haehling S, Belenkov Y, Iakobishvili Z, Maack C, Ciardiello F, Ruschitzka F, Coats AJS, Seferovic P, Lainscak M, Piepoli MF, Chioncel O, Bax J, Hulot JS, Skouri H, Hägler-Laube ES, Asteggiano R. Fernandez TL, Boer RA, Lyon AR. Role of serum biomarkers in cancer patients receiving cardiotoxic cancer therapies: position statement from the Cardio-Oncology Study Group of the Heart Failure Association and the Cardio-Oncology Council of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur J Heart Fail 2020; 22: 1966–1983.
- Ananthan K, Lyon AR. The role of biomarkers in cardio-oncology. J Cardiovasc Transl Res 2020; 13: 431–450.
- Hinrichs L, Mrotzek SM, Mincu RI, Pohl J, Röll A, Michel L, Mahabadi AA, Al-Rashid F, Totzeck M, Rassaf T. Troponins and natriuretic peptides in cardio-oncology patients—data from the ECoR registry. *Front Pharmacol* 2020; **11**: 1–10.
- Tan LL, Lyon AR. Role of biomarkers in prediction of cardiotoxicity during cancer treatment. *Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med* 2018; 20: 55.
- Bracun V, Aboumsallem JP, van der Meer P, de Boer RA. Cardiac biomarkers in patients with cancer: considerations, clinical implications, and future avenues. *Curr Oncol Rep* 2020; 22: 67.
- 19. Colpaert RMW, Calore M. MicroRNAs in cardiac diseases. *Cell* 2019; **8**: 737.

- 20. Garcia-Pavia P, Kim Y, Restrepo-Cordoba MA, Lunde IG, Wakimoto H, Smith AM, Toepfer CN, Getz K, Gorham J, Patel P, Ito K, Willcox JA, Arany Z, Li J, Owens AT, Govind R, Nuñez B, Mazaika E, Bayes-Genis A, Walsh R, Finkelman B, Lupon J, Whiffin N, Serrano I, Midwinter W, Wilk A, Bardaji A, Ingold N, Buchan R, Tayal U, Pascual-Figal DA, de Marvao A, Ahmad M, Garcia-Pinilla JM, Pantazis A. Dominguez F. John Baksi A. O'Regan DP, Rosen SD, Prasad SK, Lara-Pezzi E, Provencio M, Lyon AR, Alonso-Pulpon L, Cook SA, DePalma SR, Barton PJR, Aplenc R, Seidman JG, Ky B, Ware JS, CE. Seidman Genetic variants associated with cancer therapy-induced cardiomyopathy. Circulation 2019; 140: 31-41.
- Munn Z, Peters M, Stern C, Tufanaru C, McArthur A, Aromataris E. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. BMC Med Res Methodol 2018; 18: 143.
- Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Res Methodol Theory Pract* 2005; 8: 19–32.
- Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, Moher D, Peters MDJ, Horsley T, Weeks L, Hempel S, Akl EA, Chang C, McGowan J, Stewart L, Hartling L, Aldcroft A, Wilson MG, Garritty C, Lewin S, Godfrey CM, Macdonald MT, Langlois EV, Soares-Weiser K, Moriarty J, Clifford T, Tunçalp Ö, Straus SE. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. Ann Intern Med 2018; 169: 467–473.
- 24. Hossain A, Chen A, Ivy P, Lenihan DJ, Kaltman J, Taddei-Peters W, Remick SC. The importance of clinical grading of heart failure and other cardiac toxicities during chemotherapy: updating the common terminology criteria for clinical trial reporting. *Heart Fail Clin* 2011; 7: 373–384.
- 25. Aula H, Skyttä T, Tuohinen S, Luukkaala T, Hämäläinen M, Virtanen V, Raatikainen P, Moilanen E, Kellokumpu-Lehtinen PL. Decreases in TGF-β1 and PDGF levels are associated with echocardiographic changes during adjuvant radiotherapy for breast cancer. *Radiat Oncol* 2018; **13**: 1–10.
- Aula H, Skyttä T, Tuohinen S, Luukkaala T, Hämäläinen M, Virtanen V, Raatikainen P, Moilanen E, Kellokumpu-Lehtinen PL. Transforming growth factor beta 1 levels predict echocardiographic changes at three years after adjuvant radiotherapy for breast cancer. *Radiat Oncol* 2019; 14: 1–9.
- Huang G, Zhai J, Huang X, Zheng D. Predictive value of soluble ST-2 for changes of cardiac function and structure in breast cancer patients receiving chemotherapy. *Med* (United States) 2018; 97: e12447.

- 28. Ky B, Putt M, Sawaya H, French B, Januzzi JL, Sebag IA, Plana JC, Cohen V, Banchs J, Carver JR, Wiegers SE, Martin RP, Picard MH, Gerszten RE, Halpern EF, Passeri J, Kuter I, Scherrer-Crosbie M. Early increases in multiple biomarkers predict subsequent cardiotoxicity in patients with breast cancer treated with doxorubicin, taxanes, and trastuzumab. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 63: 809–816.
- Putt M, Hahn VS, Januzzi JL, Sawaya H, Sebag IA, Plana JC, Picard MH, Carver JR, Halpern EF, Kuter I, Passeri J, Cohen V, Banchs J, Martin RP, Gerszten RE, Scherrer-Crosbie M, Ky B. Longitudinal changes in multiple biomarkers are associated with cardiotoxicity in breast cancer patients treated with doxorubicin, taxanes, and trastuzumab. *Clin Chem* 2015; **16**: 1164–1172.
- 30. Demissei BG, Hubbard RA, Zhang L, Smith AM, Sheline K, McDonald C, Narayan V, Domchek SM, DeMichele A, Shah P, Clark AS, Fox K, Matro J, Bradbury AR, Knollman H, Getz KD, Armenian SH, Januzzi JL, Tang WHW, Liu P, Ky B. Changes in cardiovascular biomarkers with breast cancer therapy and associations with cardiac dysfunction. J Am Heart Assoc 2020; 9: e014708.
- 31. Aula H, Skyttä T, Tuohinen S, Luukkaala Hämäläinen Μ, Virtanen V. Т. Raatikainen Ρ, Moilanen E, Kellokumpu-Lehtinen PL. ST2 levels increased and were associated with changes in left ventricular systolic function during a three-year follow-up after adjuvant radiotherapy for breast cancer. The Breast 2020; 49: 183-186.
- 32. Frères P, Bouznad N, Servais L, Josse C, Wenric S, Poncin A, Thiry J, Moonen M, Oury C, Lancellotti P, Bours V, Jerusalem G. Variations of circulating cardiac biomarkers during and after anthracyclinecontaining chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. *BMC Cancer* 2018; **18**: 1–10.
- 33. Beer LA, Kossenkov AV, Liu Q, Luning Prak E, Domchek S, Speicher DW, Ky B. Baseline immunoglobulin E levels as a marker of doxorubicin and trastuzumab-associated cardiac dysfunction. Circ Res 2016; 119: 1135–1144.
- Di JY, Zhang ZX, Xin SJ. Glycogen phosphorylase isoenzyme bb, myoglobin and BNP in ANT-induced cardiotoxicity. *Open Life Sci* 2018; 13: 561–568.
- 35. Yu LR, Cao Z, Makhoul I, Daniels JR, Klimberg S, Wei JY, Bai JPF, Li J, Lathrop JT, Beger RD, Todorova VK. Immune response proteins as predictive biomarkers of doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in breast cancer patients. *Exp Biol Med* 2018; 243: 248–255.
- 36. Kouloubinis A, Sofroniadou S, Panoulas VF, Makaritsis K, Revela I, Karavolias G, Voudris V, Adamopoulos S. The role of TNF-a, Fas/Fas ligand system and NT-proBNP in the early detection of asymptomatic left ventricular dysfunction in cancer patients treated with anthracyclines. *IJC Heart Vasc* 2015; 6: 85–90.

- 37. Tromp J, Boerman LM, Sama IE, Maass SWMC, Maduro JH, Hummel YM, Berger MY, Bock GH, Gietema JA, Berendsen AJ, Meer P. Long-term survivors of early breast cancer treated with chemotherapy are characterized by a pro-inflammatory biomarker profile compared to matched controls. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2020; **22**: 1239–1246.
- Geisberg CA, Abdallah WM, da Silva M, Silverstein C, Smith HM, Abramson V, Mayer I, Means-Powell J, Freehardt D, White B, Lenihan D, Sawyer DB. Circulating neuregulin during the transition from Stage A to Stage B/C heart failure —a breast cancer cohort. J Card Fail 2013; 19: 10–15.
- Vianello E, Dozio E, Tacchini L, Frati L, Corsi Romanelli MM. ST2/IL-33 signaling in cardiac fibrosis. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2019; 116: 105619.
- 40. Lubieniecka JM, Graham J, Heffner D, Mottus R, Reid R, Hogge D, Grigliatti TA, Riggs WK. A discovery study of daunorubicin induced cardiotoxicity in a sample of acute myeloid leukemia patients prioritizes P450 oxidoreductase polymorphisms as a potential risk factor. *Front Genet* 2013; 4: 1–9.
- Cascales A, Pastor-Quirante F, Sánchez-Vega B, Luengo-Gil G, Corral J, Ortuño-Pacheco G, Vicente V, Peña FA. Association of anthracycline-related cardiac histological lesions with NADPH oxidase functional polymorphisms. *Oncologist* 2013; 18: 446–453.
- 42. Beauclair S, Formento P, Fischel JL, Lescaut W, Largillier R, Chamorey E, Hofman P, Ferrero JM, Pagès G, Milano G. Role of the HER2 [Ile655Val] genetic polymorphism in tumorogenesis and in the risk of trastuzumab-related cardiotoxicity. Ann Oncol 2007; 18: 1335–1341.
- 43. Salanci BV, Aksoy H, Kiratli ÖP, Tülümen E, Güler N, Öksüzoglu B, Tokgözoğlu L, Erbaş B, Alikaşifoğlu M. The relationship between changes in functional cardiac parameters following anthracycline therapy and carbonyl reductase 3 and glutathione s transferase pi polymorphisms. J Chemother 2012; 24: 285–291.
- 44. Christidi E, Huang H, Shafaattalab S, Maillet A, Lin E, Huang K, Laksman Z, Davis MK, Tibbits GF, Brunham LR. Variation in RARG increases susceptibility to doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in patient specific induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes. *Sci Rep* 2020; **10**: 1–13.
- 45. Li H, Hu B, Guo Z, Jiang X, Su X, Zhang X. Correlation of UGT2B7 polymorphism with cardiotoxicity in breast cancer patients undergoing epirubicin/cyclophosphamide-docetaxel adjuvant chemotherapy. *Yonsei Med J* 2019; **60**: 30–37.
- Ruiz-Pinto S, Pita G, Martín M, Alonso-Gordoa T, Barnes DR, Alonso MR, Herraez B, García-Miguel P, Alonso J, Pérez-Martínez A, Cartón AJ,

Gutiérrez-Larraya F, García-Sáenz JA, Benítez J, Easton DF, Patiño-García A, González-Neira A. Exome array analysis identifies ETFB as a novel susceptibility gene for anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity in cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2018; **167**: 249–256.

- 47. Wells QS, Veatch OJ, Fessel JP, Joon AY, Levinson RT, Mosley JD, Held EP, Lindsay CS, Shaffer CM, Weeke PE, Glazer AM, Bersell KR, van Driest SL, Karnes JH, Blair MA, Lagrone LW, Su YR, Bowton EA, Feng Z, Ky B, Lenihan DJ, Fisch MJ, Denny JC, Roden DM. Genome-wide association and pathway analysis of left ventricular function after anthracycline exposure in adults Quinn. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 2017; 27: 247–254.
- Todorova VK, Makhoul I, Dhakal I, Wei J, Stone A, Carter W, Owen A, Klimberg VS. Polymorphic variations associated with doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in breast cancer patients. *Oncol Res* 2017; 25: 1223–1229.
- 49. Nakano MH, Udagawa C, Shimo A, Kojima Y, Yoshie R, Zaha H, Abe N, Motonari T, Unesoko M, Tamura K, Shimoi T, Yoshida M, Yoshida T, Sakamoto H, Kato K, Mushiroda T, Tsugawa K, Zembutsu H. A genome-wide association study identifies five novel genetic markers for trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity in Japanese population. *Biol Pharm Bull* 2019; **42**: 2045–2053.
- 50. Rossi D, Rasi S, Franceschetti S, Capello D, Castelli A, de Paoli L, Ramponi A, Chiappella A, Pogliani EM, Vitolo U, Kwee I, Bertoni F, Conconi A, Gaidano G. Analysis of the host pharmacogenetic background for prediction of outcome and toxicity in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with R-CHOP21. *Leukemia* 2009; 23: 1118–1126.
- Serie DJ, Crook JE, Necela BM, Dockter TJ, Wang X, Asmann YW, Fairweather D, Bruno KA, Colon-Otero G, Perez EA, Thompson EA, Norton N. Genome-wide association study of cardiotoxicity in NCCTG N9831 (Alliance) Adjuvant Trastuzumab Trial. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 2017; 27: 378–385.
- 52. Wojnowski L, Kulle B, Schirmer M, Schlüter G, Schmidt A, Rosenberger A, Vonhof S, Bickeböller H, Toliat MR, Suk EK, Tzvetkov M, Kruger A, Seifert S, Kloess M, Hahn H, Loeffler M, Nürnberg P, Pfreundschuh M, Trümper L, Brockmöller J, Hasenfuss G. NAD(P)H oxidase and multidrug resistance protein genetic polymorphisms are associated with doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity. *Circulation* 2005; **112**: 3754–3762.
- 53. Vulsteke C, Pfeil AM, Maggen C, Schwenkglenks M, Pettengell R, Szucs TD, Lambrechts D, Dieudonné AS, Hatse S, Neven P, Paridaens R, Wildiers H. Clinical and genetic risk factors for epirubicin-induced cardiac toxicity in early breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2015; **152**: 67–76.

- 54. Hertz DL, Caram MV, Kidwell KM, Thibert JN, Gersch C, Seewald NJ, Smerage J, Rubenfire M, Henry NL, Cooney KA, Leja M, Griggs JJ, Rae JM. Evidence for association of SNPs in ABCB1 and CBR3, but not RAC2, NCF4, SLC28A3 or TOP2B, with chronic cardiotoxicity in a cohort of breast cancer patients treated with anthracyclines. *Pharmacogenomics* 2016; **17**: 231–240.
- 55. Gómez Peña C, Dávila-Fajardo CL, Martínez-González LJ, Carmona-Sáez P, Soto Pino MJ, Sánchez Ramos J, Moreno Escobar E, Blancas I, Fernández JJ, Fernández D, Correa C, Cabeza Barrera J. Influence of the HER2 1le655Val polymorphism on trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity in HER2-positive breast cancer patients: a meta-analysis. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 2015; 25: 388–393.
- 56. Stanton SE, Ward MM, Christos P, Sanford R, Lam C, Cobham MV, Donovan D, Scheff RJ, Cigler T, Moore A, Vahdat LT, Lane ME, Chuang E. Pro1170 Ala polymorphism in HER2-neu is associated with risk of trastuzumab cardiotoxicity. BMC Cancer 2015; 15: 267.
- 57. Roca L, Diéras V, Roché H, Lappartient E, Kerbrat P, Cany L, Chieze S, Canon JL, Spielmann M, Penault-Llorca F, Martin AL, Mesleard C, Lemonnier J, de Cremoux P. Correlation of HER2, FCGR2A, and FCGR3A gene polymorphisms with trastuzumab related cardiac toxicity and efficacy in a subgroup of patients from UNICANCER-PACS04 trial. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2013; 139: 789–800.
- 58. McCaffrey TA, Tziros C, Lewis J, Katz R, Siegel R, Weglicki W, Kramer J, Mak IT, Toma I, Chen L, Benas E, Lowitt A, Rao S, Witkin L, Lian Y, Lai Y, Yang Z, Fu SW. Genomic profiling reveals the potential role of TCL1A and MDR1 deficiency in chemotherapy-induced cardiotoxicity. *Int J Biol Sci* 2013; **9**: 350–360.
- 59. Rigaud VOC, Ferreira LRP, Ayub-Ferreira SM, Ávila MS, Brandão SMG, Cruz FD, Santos MHH, Cruz CBBV, Alves MSL, Issa VS, Guimarães GV, Cunha-Neto E, Bocchi EA. Circulating miR-1 as a potential biomarker of doxorubicininduced cardiotoxicity in breast cancer patients. *Oncotarget* 2017; 8: 6994–7002.
- 60. Todorova VK, Makhoul I, Wei J, Klimberg VS. Circulating miRNA profiles of doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in breast cancer patients. *Ann Clin Lab Sci* 2017; **47**: 115–119.
- 61. Zhang S, Wang Y, Wang Y, Peng J, Yuan C, Zhou L, Xu S, Lin Y, Du Y, Yang F, Zhang J, Dai H, Yin W, Lu J. Serum miR-222-3p as a double-edged sword in predicting efficacy and trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity for HER2-positive breast cancer patients receiving neoadjuvant target therapy. *Front Oncol* 2020; **10**: 1–9.

- Todorova VK, Makhoul I, Siegel ER, Wei J, Stone A, Carter W, Beggs ML, Owen A, Klimberg VS. Biomarkers for presymptomatic doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in breast cancer patients. *PLoS ONE* 2016; 11: 1–21.
- 63. Qin X, Chang F, Wang Z, Jiang W. Correlation of circulating pro-angiogenic miRNAs with cardiotoxicity induced by epirubicin/cyclophosphamide followed by docetaxel in patients with breast cancer. *Cancer Biomark* 2018; 23: 473–484.
- 64. Zhao Z, He J, Zhang J, Liu M, Yang S, Li N, Li X. Dysregulated miR1254 and miR579 for cardiotoxicity in patients treated with bevacizumab in colorectal cancer. *Tumor Biol* 2014; 35: 5227–5235.
- 65. Pop-Moldovan AL, Trofenciuc NM, Dărăbanţiu DA, Precup C, Branea H, Christodorescu R, Puşchiţă M. Customized laboratory TLR4 and TLR2 detection method from peripheral human blood for early detection of doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity. Cancer Gene Ther 2017; 24: 203–207.
- 66. Hawkins PG, Sun Y, Dess RT, Jackson WC, Sun G, Bi N, Tewari M, Hayman JA, Kalemkerian GP, Gadgeel SM, Lawrence TS, Haken RKT, Matuszak MM, Kong FM, Schipper MJ, Jolly S. Circulating microRNAs as biomarkers of radiation-induced cardiac toxicity in non-small-cell lung cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2019; 145: 1635–1643.
- 67. Peng J, Wang Z, Li Y, Lv D, Zhao X, Gao J, Teng H. Identification of differential gene expression related to epirubicin-induced cardiomyopathy in breast cancer patients. *Hum Exp Toxicol* 2020; **39**: 393–401.
- Braumann S, Baldus S, Pfister R. Molecular mechanisms underlying cardiotoxicity of novel cancer therapeutics. *J Thorac Dis* 2018; 10: S4335–S4343.
- 69. Ganatra S, Carver JR, Hayek SS, Ky B, Leja MJ, Lenihan DJ, Lenneman C, Mousavi N, Park JH, Perales MA, Ryan TD, Scherrer-Crosbie M, Steingart RM, Yang EH, Zaha V, Barac A, Liu JE. Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy for cancer and heart: JACC council perspectives. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019; 74: 3153–3163.
- 70. Ghosh AK, Chen DH, Guha A, Mackenzie S, Walker JM, Roddie C. CAR T cell therapy-related cardiovascular outcomes and management. JACC CardioOncology 2020; 2: 97–109.
- Herrmann J. Adverse cardiac effects of cancer therapies: cardiotoxicity and arrhythmia. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2020; 17: 474–502.
- 72. Kumari H, Huang W-H, Chan MWY. Review on the role of epigenetic modifications in doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity. *Front Cardiovasc Med* 2020; **7**: 56.
- Aleman BMP, Moser EC, Nuver J, Suter TM, Maraldo MV, Specht L, Vrieling C, Darby SC. Cardiovascular disease after

cancer therapy. Eur J Cancer, Suppl 2014; 12: 18–28.

- Okwuosa TM, Anzevino S, Rao R. Cardiovascular disease in cancer survivors. *Postgrad Med J* 2017; 93: 82–90.
- Oeffinger KC, Mertens AC, Sklar CA, Kawashima T, Hudson MM, Meadows AT, Friedman DL, Marina N, Hobbie W, Kadan-Lottick NS, Schwartz CL, Leisenring W, Robison LL. Chronic

health conditions in adult survivors of childhood cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2006; **355**: 1572–1582. https://www.nejm. org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMsa060185

76. López-Sendón J, Álvarez-Ortega C, Zamora Auñon P, Buño Soto A, Lyon AR, Farmakis D, Cardinale D, Canales Albendea M, Feliu Batlle J, Rodríguez Rodríguez I, Rodríguez Fraga O, Albaladejo A, Mediavilla G, GonzálezJuanatey JR, Martínez Monzonis A, Gómez Prieto P, González-Costello J, Serrano Antolín JM, Cadenas Chamorro R, López Fernández T. Classification, prevalence, and outcomes of anticancer therapy-induced cardiotoxicity: the CARDIOTOX registry. *Eur Heart J* 2020; **41**: 1720–1729.